# (lhess Sife America's Chess Newspaper 



## Pilnick, Santasiere Share

 Marshall Chess Club TitleBy KENNETH HARKNESS

U. S. Masters Carl Pilnick and Anthony E. Santasiere are the new co-champions of New York's Marshall Chess Club, finishing with identical scores of $12-3$ at the completion of the final round on February 15th. There will be no play-off for the tile as Santasiere has left for Europe.

New York State Champion John W. Collins was a close third with $111 / 2-31 / 2$. Youngster Edmar Mednis, a rated expert who is improving hapidly, took fourth prize with a score of 11-4. Fifth prize went to Master James T. Sherwin with $101 / 2-41 / 2$. The finish was close, only $11 / 2$ points separating the co-champions from fifth place.

In tying for the Marshall Club title, Pilnick scored his first major victory since he won the Southwestern Open Championship in 1944. A high-ranking expert for many years, Pilnick earned a master's rating in 1951 when he finished third in the annual Marshall classic, then confirmed his rank by placing a good fifth in the strong U.S. Open at Tampa last year.

Winning the Marshall Championship is no novelty to schoolteacherartist Tony Santasiere, who has captured the title outright twice and tied for first place twice since he started to play in these events back in 1929. Santasiere has been playing in American tournaments for thirty years, has competed in more rated contests than any other U.S. player by a wide margin. Three cards are needed in the
USCF rating file to record his performances in eighty tournaments! As a youngster, he won the New York State Championship in 1928, then tied for first in the same event in 1930.
 In 1936 he won the Marshall Club title for the first time, repeated in 1943. In the same year he won
the New England Championship anci tied one-two at Ventuor City In 1945 Santasiere ran away with the U.S. Open Championship at Peoria. The next year he again took the New York State title and tied for the Marshall Club Championship. It was in 1946 that Santasiere scored one of his greatest achievements when he placed third in the United States Championship, topped by Reshevsky and Kashdan, but ahead of Levin, Denker, Horowitz and Pinkus.
(Complete scores of Marshall. Club Championship will be published in next issue.)

## NEED SPONSOR <br> ON U. S. JUNIOR

Huntington, W. Va., originally scheduled to hold the 1953 U. S. Junior Championship event, has been compelled to withdraw its bid. So a sponsor for the event is needed as time grows short.

Cities and clubs interested in promoting the 1953 Junior Championship are invited to contact Frank R. Graves, 960 East Mulkey Street, Fort Worth, Texas or Dr. Bela Rozsa, 1571 Swan Drive, Tulsa, Okla.

> Plan Your Vacation for 1953 NOW!
> Aftend the U.S.C.F. OPEN TOURNAMENT Milwaukee, Wis. July 27-Aug. 8, 1953

Position No. 211 By Carl E. Diesen Tonawanda, N. Y. Unpublished

Postion No. 212
By Edwin Gault Philadelphia, Pa.

Unpublished


THIS Issue we view a pair of Pawn endings, for the treatment of positions in which only King and Pawns remain is frequently the weakest spot in the armory of the average player. Position No. 211 suggests some of the chances that may exist for a defender against an outside passed Pawn. Position No. 212 suggests the technique of breakthrough against certain types of Pawn-walls.

For solutions, please turn to Page eight.
Send all contributions for this column to William Rojom, \% CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Avenue, Oak Park, Illinols.

Scoring another first, the Log Cabin Chess Club of West Orange, N. J. dashed off on a 710 -mile week-end trip to play and win matches in three different states. First victim by $41 / 2-11 / 2$ was the Portland (Me.) Chess Club, second victim by a close 4-3 score the Portsmouth (N. H.) Chess Club, and final victim by $41 / 2-21 / 2$ the Newburyport (Mass.) Chess Club. Members of the hardy Log Cabin team were: John Curdo (2-1), Derwin Kerr (2-1), Paul Walbrect (2-1), Viggo Madsen (2-1), Ralph Houghton (2-1), Forry Laucks (1-2), and Reid Harris (2-0). Kay Saunders scored the win for Portland and Jacob Ephross the draw. At Portsmouth Robert Hux and Dunn Dean salvaged points while Jere Sullivan and Ralph Gerth drew for the locals. At Newburyport Orlando Lester and Dave Cain tallied the local wins while Charles Waterman drew.

The Trans-Mississippi Championship committee has just issued a souvenir bulletin of the 28th annual event in 1952 with a selection of forty games from that event. Copies were sent to each participent in the 1952 tournament. A few extra copies of the bulletin are still available and anyone interested in playing over some of the more exciting moments of this 80 player event may obtain a copy by sending 25 c to Karl H. Wiegmann, 2610 Ninth Street, Rock Island, Ill.

A return match between Reshevsky and Miguel Najdorf in Buenos Aires is now reported arranged for around the first of May, shortly after the conclusion of the Mar del Plata International Tournament.
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Negotiations by the Argentina Chess Federation are under way to have American representation at the Mar del Plata International event. Originally Robert Byrne, because of his high score at Helsinki and Reshevsky were invited; but Byrne is unable to accept and Argentina has not yet accepted Reshevsky's terms for participation. According to Mr. Alexander Bisno, who is handling the matter for Argentina, former U.S. Champion Herman Steiner, Arthur W. Dake, and James T. Sherwin have expressed willingness to compete, and the choice rests with Argentina Chess Federation.

Confirming suspicions expressed earlier, it was Hans Berliner, late of Washington and now of the U.S. army, who placed second to Spanard Romon Bordell in the Hasting Premier Reserves, Major Section. Berliner lost games to Bordell and N. Hammond, drawing with P.H. Clarke and E. G. Sergeant for a 6-3 score.

Yugoslavia, next to Soviet Russia the strongest chess center in Europe, had a heated and exciting championship tournament of twenty players, with Dr. Peter Trifunovic emerging finally as victor with $131 / 2-51 / 2$. Runner-up was Andrija Fuderer, who entered the final round with a half-point lead but lost a difficult game to Krivec, with a score of 13-6. Special brilliancy prizes were awarded to Fuderer for his victory over Dr. Trifunovic, and to Djurashevic for his defeat of Fuderer.

Salinas (Calif.) Chess Club: Rolla Taylor was elec̄ted president, Foster Clark vice-president, Sam Lowe secretarytreasurer, and George Oakes tournament director at annual meeting.

## VAITONIS TOPS ONTARIO MEET

Povilas Vaitonis, Canadian Champion, added the Ontario Provincial title with an $51 / 2-1 / 2$ score in the annual event which was staged in connection with the Hobby \& Homecraft Show at Toronto this year. Vaitonis, who drew with Frank R. Anderson, won in addition to the usual prizes a special award of $\$ 350.00$ rebate on the purchase price of the new 1953 Austin automobile. Frank R. Anderson, titlist in 1948, 1949, 1951 and 1952, placed second with $5-1$, drawing with Vaitonis and I. Aboltins. Third place was shared by I. Suk and E. Krestini with 4-2 each; both lost to Vaitonis and Anderson but were otherwise victorious in the 10 player Swiss.

In the Class $B$ Tournament $S$. Schein was victor, F. W. Pye second, while Rev. G. M. Chidley and B. Spira tied for third. Schein was presented with an original oil painting, entitled "Move and Win," donated by Robert Stead.

The Class B Speed Championship ended in a tie between L. J. Siemms and R. Spira. Siemms won the playoff.
The Grade 8 Boys tourney was won by Peter Bates 6-1, with Leslie Galvin and Robert Bodrug tied for second at 5-2 each. The Grade 6 Boys tourney went to Douglas Durno with the perfect score of 3-0. In theGrade 8 Girls tourney Irene Bollard placed first with $41 / 2-$ $1 / 2$, Mary Newton was second with $4-1$, and Catherine Story was third with 3-2. George A. Coyne, president of the Ontario Chess Ass'n, served as tournament director.

| YUGOSLAVIA CHAMPIONSHIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trifunovic | 132-5 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | Puc | 9.10 |
| Fuderer | 13.6 | Rabar | 9-10 |
| Udovei | 12.7 | Matanovic | $9-10$ |
| Gligor | 12.7 | Trajkovic | 9-10 |
| Milic | 12-7 | Vukovic | 83-103 |
| Pire | 11.8 | Bogdanovic | 8-11 |
| Nedeljkovic | 10-9 | Bozic | 7311렬 |
| Andric | 10-9 | Djaja | 6 ${ }^{2}$-123 |
| lvkov | $10-9$ | Krivec | 6-13 |
| Djurashevic | 9-10 | Kraisnik | 5-14 |

## 



## JIMMY SHERWIN CHESS BOOSTER

## By KENNETH HARKNESS

Jimmy Sherwin was born in New York just 19 years ago. His chess career started in earnest when he joined the Marshall Chess Club in 1947. Mrs. Marshall spotted him as a comer and gave him every encouragement to improve his play by meeting strong players and taking part in the club's tournaments. In 1949, Jimmy entered national competition when he played in the U.S. Junior Championship, finishing 13th, and in the U.S. Intercollegiate Championship, placing fourth. In 1950, he could do no better than 49 th at the U.S. Open and 5th at the Southern Association title event. He was still not good enough to qualify for the championship finals of the Marshall Club Club; instead, he played in the consolation tournament and tied for 2nd-4th. Late in 1950 he played for Columbia in the U.S. Intercollegiate Team Championship, making a plus score of $31 / 2$ $21 / 2$.

It was in 1951 that Jimmy Sherwin won his spurs. He qualified for the Marshall Championship and came in eighth. Then he did better than before at the U.S. Open, finishing 18th. Finally, he shot to the top and earned his national rating as a chess master by winning the New York State Championship and the U.S. Intercollegiate Championship.

In 1952, Jimmy placed second to Hearst in the Marshall Club Championship, tied with Karl Burger for first and second at the North Carolina Open, came in 16th at the U.S. Open after meeting the strongest field of the entire tournament, placed in a tie for 4th-5th at the New York State Championship.

Jimmy Sherwin has been playing in big-time chess for only four years, so he still needs lots of experience. His main weakness is getting into terrific time-pressure in nearly all his games - but Reshevsky has the same trouble and he has done all right. According to Reuben Fine, it takes ten years to develop a Grandmaster, so Jimmy has plenty of time to show what he can do. He may be one of the select few who have what it takes to push their way to the top of the heap.

In common with most young masters, Jimmy Sherwin is an enthusiastic supporter of the United States Chess Federation. He pays his dues as a member and encourages others to join. He gladly volunteers his services as a writer for CHESS LIFE, as an exhibitor in simultaneous shows for the benefit of the USCF, as an active worker in the cause of chess. He regards it as a "great honor" to be given these opportunities to promote the USCF and the Royal Game.

CHESS LIFE reviewer, Dr. Kester Svendsen of the English Department of Oklahoma University, is the latest addition to TV stardom, doing a series of 30 minute Sunday telecasts on chess, its history and romance on the local TV station at Norman.

# YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM 

America's Leading Young Masters Annotate

Outstanding Games from Recent Chess Events

## The King's Indian Comes To Life

By United States Master JAMES T. SHERWIN



I R RECENT years the Russian masters Bronstein, 1 Boleslavsky, Kotov and Geller have revived and greatly popularized the King's Indian Defense. This revival is principally based on the tractical counterplay which the King's Indian gives Black.

The following game shows Bronstein vigorously pushing home a Queen-side attack while Kotov counters expertly on the King-Bishop file. When Bronstein lets up for a moment Kotov seizes the advantage and scores in a tricky endgame.

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE 20th USSR CHAMPIONSHIP Moscow, 1952


White withholds P-K4 in order to deny Black his usual counterplay in the King's Indian, i.e. PxP, P-QR4 and KtB4. Stoltz used the same system against Kotov in the 1952 Saltsjobaden tourney and the game continued 10. 1..."P-K5; 11. Kt-Q2, P-Q4; 12. PxP, PxP; 13. Kt-Kt5, preventing
reply 14.
14...,
R-B3.
10. .......

Q-R4
To hinder B-R3 and White's occupation of the key square Q6.
11. P-K4
$\mathbf{K t} \mathbf{- B 1}$
Black was threatening to get his Knight to Q5 by Kt-K3 and P-B4. Bronstein thercfore decides to block the center, for Black's counterplay (P-KB4) is hindered by his Knight at KB1, and the Black Queen is misplaced.
12. P-QR4!

P-B4
White can play this because Black cannot block the Queen-side with P-QR4.


22. Kt-R4!

If 22. KtxP, Black regains control of his QB4 by ….. B-Kt5 and ..... R-B1.
22. $\because \ldots .$.

Threatening B-Kt6 to trap Her Majesty in broad daylight!

| 23. | Q-B2 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 24. $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{K}+6$ | Q |
| 25. P-B5 |  |
| Q-K2 |  |
| ther |  |

So that if 26. PxP, BxR with good chances.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 26. R-K1 } & \text { QR-B1 } \\
\text { 27. KtxKtP } & \text { Kt-Q2 }
\end{array}
$$

Threatening KtxB.

## 28. P-B6 29. KtxKt <br> 30. Q-K+3 <br> K\&xB R-QB2 <br> B-R3?

A better idea is 30 . ......, Q-Kt4, to be followed by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7$. The move played permils White's strong reply.
31. P-R3!

B-B1
The only square, but blocking the defense against White's next move.

## 32. Kt-R8

A fascinating and difficult position. Here Black played 32 . ......, P-QKt4, but he might have tried 32 . ...... B-Q7 with some complicated possibilities. For instance, after 32. ......, B-Q7; 33. KR-Q1, Q-Kt4 (threatening B-K6ch which blocks the White Queen's defense of KKt3); 34. RxB, QxR; 35. KtxR, Q-Q5ch (35. ......, BxP is answered by 36 . R-R2, but not 36. BxB, Q-B7ch; 37. K-R1, KtxPeh; 38. QxKt, QxQ and Black should win); 36. K-R2, QxR; 37. PxP, BxKtP; 38. Kt-B2, Q-Q8; 39. QxB, QxKt; 40, Kt-K6 and White may survive.


However, on 32. ....... B-Q7 White stands well after 33. R-K2; Q-Kt4; 34. KtxR, KtxP; 35. RxB, QxR; 36. QxKt, Q-Q5ch; 37. K-R2. of if 32 . ......, B-Q7; 33. R-K2, BxKt (instead of $\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Kt4}$ ); 34. QxB, PxP (34. ......, KtxP?; 35. R-K3, Q-Kt4; 36. QKt3 wins); 35. KtxR, QxKt; 36. PxP favors White.

## 32. KłxP?

P-QK+4
White should take the Rook. After 33. KtxR, QxKt; 34. R-KB1 is good for White but not 34. K-R2, KtxP!; KxKt, B-B5ch; 6. K-B3, Q-Kt3 with a strong attack.
34. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$

If 34. Kt-Kt8, B-Q7.
34. ........ PxK!

Black could do better with 34. ......, B-Q7 followed by ......, Q-Kt4.

| 35. P-Q6ch | Q-B2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 36. QxQch | QRxQ |
| 37. Kt-Kł6 | B-K3 |
| 38. P-Q7 | $\ldots . .$. |



It begins to look more like a composition than a game.
38. ........ Kt-B3

Black should have continued 38 . B-Q7! and after 39. R-K2, B-B6 followed by ......, B-Q5ch.

| 39. QR-Q1 | KtxQP |
| :--- | :---: |
| 40. PxKt | R-Q1 |
| 41. B-B1 | $\ldots \ldots .$. |
| 41. R-Q6, BxQP; 42. KR-Q1, B-K6ch |  |
| d ...... B-Q5. |  |
| 41. |  | and ......, B-Q5.



## Contributors to the YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM Jeremiah Donovan <br> Eliot Hearst <br> Carl Pilnick <br> James Sherwin <br> Walter Shipman

## 42. K-K12

If 42. R-Q6, BxQP; 43, R-Q5, QR-KB1; (threatening ....., B-K6ch); 44. BxP, PxB; 45. KtxB, B-K6ch; 46. K-R1, R-B8ch; 47. R×R, RxReh; 48. K-Kt2, R-B7ch and ....., P-B6 wins.
Or if 42. R-Kt1, then …, B-Q7 and .....
$P-B 6$, threatening ....., P-B7 is too strong.

45. ........ P-B6?

Much simpler and prettior is 45. .....,
B-QB3!; 46. RxR, BxPch; 47. K-R2, BB5ch; 48. K-Kt1, B-K6ch; 49. K-R2, BxKt. $\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { 46. } \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{xB} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B7} & \text { 51. K-B3 } & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR1}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { 47. BxP } & P \times R(Q) & \text { 52. B-R4 } & \text { R-KB1 } \\ \text { 48. R×Q } & \text { B-B5 } & \text { 53. B-Q7 } & \text { R-B3 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrlr}\text { 48. RXQ } & \text { B-B5 } & \text { 53. B-Q7 } & \text { R-B3 } \\ \text { 49. R-Q5 } & \text { R-QB1 } & \text { 54. P-R4 } & \text { B-Kt4ch }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrlr}\text { 49. R-Q5 } & \text { R-QB1 } & \text { 54. P-R4 } & \text { B-Kt4ch } \\ \text { 50. Kt-B5 } & \text { R-K2 } & \text { 55. K-Kt3 } & \text { B-B5ch }\end{array}$
56. K-B3
B-B8ch

Kotov is either gaining time on his clock or stalling for adjournment.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 57. K-K2 } & \text { B-R6 } \\
\text { 58. P-Kł5 } & \text { R-Kł3 } \\
\text { 59. Kt-Q3 } & \ldots \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$


59.

R-Q3
Forces the exchange of a pair of Rooks and makes things much easier.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 60. B-Kł44 } \\
& \text { 61. PXR } \\
& \text { 62. K }+\times P
\end{aligned}
$$

62. KtxP
63. K-Q3

R×R
$R-R 2$
R-R2
R-R5
Parries the threat. Now if 63.
64. Kt-B4. But White is lost.
63. ........ R-KB5

Black threatens ......, B-Kt7 and if White plays K-K3, then ...... B-B8ch.

| 64. P -R5 | B-Kt7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 65. P -R6ch | K-R1 |
| 66. Kt -B4 | R-Q5ch |

White resigns. An exhausing struggle.

Inglewood (Calif.) Chess Club: Open tournament won by Karl Reissmann with 6-1, losing to N. Thomas. Thomas was second with $5 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{3}$, losing to A. Freeman and drawing with C. Kodil. A. Freeman and E. Fisher tied for third with 5-2 in the 19 player Swiss.
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#### Abstract
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Montgomery Major

## A $\eta_{\text {eu }}$ Chess Life

In all things what we most prize is novelty
OVID-Epistolae ex Ponto
$W^{E}$ E DO not necessarily subscribe to Ovid's dictum that novelty is most prized for its own sake or that one must needs desert the tried and proven ways in search of something ever startling. For novelty itself may grow stale and unexciting.

But without judicious changes, man does not progress-without someone's search for novelty man might still be lurking in deep caves, eluding the sabre-toothed tiger and the dinosaur by agility rather than now building new caves against another novelty-the atomic bomb. Or is this progress?

In any case, in this issue we unveil the new CHESS LIFE, trusting that the reader will approve and term judicious the numerous alterations in content and typographical format.

In doing so, it seems most fitting to voice a few words of appreciation for those various collaborators whose early efforts firmly established the basis upon this new structure is reared-collaborators who have since departed from the stress of circumstance and personal exigency into less exacting and more profitable endeavors.

First among these stands Erich W. Marchand, the Editor of Tournament Life, who contributed annotations to the first issue of CHESS LIFE, formally took charge of the games department in December 1946, and has never failed an issue-even in the intense period of preparing his thesis for a Doctorate. It is no less than just to acknowledge now that CHESS LIFE could not have existed during its first six years without the able and never-failing collaboration of Dr. Marchand.

We are happy to state that Dr. Marchand is merely taking a most well-earned vacation and we hope to have him back with us before too long as director of another department. In the meanwhile, we have been assured that Erich will not altogether forget us, but will contribute his always concise and clear annotations as a guest annotator in Mr. Collins' game department.

Specialist in chess biobliography of newspapers and club publications, Gene Collett long kept the chess world informed of the birth and (alas!) death of newspaper chess columns with many details on the health and longevity of club and association bulletins. But eventually the work and its demand for a meticulous attention to detail wore him down, as it has many another and CHESS LIFE ceased to receive his valued contributions to the statistics of chess. But before he retired to private life, Gene made his readers aware of many of the interesting facts and ideas of his USCF Club Manual-a manuscript we still hope to publish for its value as a suggestive reference book.

Another valued early collaborator was John D. French whose bookreviews and occasional witty special stories enlivened the pages of the young CHESS LIFE. We must express our gratitude for his able assistance, although he deserted us and newspaper work to become a popular radio commentator.

Nor must we forget the valued contributions of Dr. P. G. Keeney as Problem Editor. It was altogether fitting, when he resigned, that his successor should be one of those rising younger composers he had done so much to encourage and develop in his great chess column in the Cincinnati Enquirer.

Equally must we express appreciation for the contributions of International Master George Koltanowski in our early days before the
pressure of his own newspaper work forced Kolty to become more sparing in his contributions.

Another pioneer in CHESS LIFE was Milton Finkelstein, originator of "Chess Life in New York" whose newsy columns were at last curtailed by his greater preoccupation in the profession of teaching. We owe Milton a double debt of gratitude-for conducting the column so ably and so long despite his other pressing engagements, and for finding such an able successor in Eliot Hearst, when he could no longer continue.

Finally, we must express our thanks to Dr A. Buschke for the contribution of much valuable material from the fruits of his long and diligent research in the life and doings of Alexander Alekhine.

## The Reader's Road Jo Chess

## By Kester Syendsen

WILLIAM STEINITZ-EMANUEL LASKER WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP MATCH 1894. ADOLPH ANDERSSEN-WILLIAM STEINITZ WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP MATCH 1866. Supplements 1 and 2. The California Chess Reporter. Editor: Dr. H. J. Ralston, 184 Edgewood Avenue, San, Francisco 17, Calif. Pp. 28, 30, numerous diags. \$1 apiece.
I HE combination of printing and multilithing used in these booklets understand, by the venerable British Chess Magazine. The offset method costs about one-fourth as much as regular printing; it produces a most readable page. Farewell, mimeograph. Let the limited-edition chess editor (who is often limited in funds) take notice.

As to the booklets themselves, the Steinitz-Lasker match is drawn chiefly from the pages of the British Chess Magazine as annotated by James Mason, the mystery man of chess. Even rarer is the AnderssenSteinitz, for the games of this match have never before been published separately in book form. They are given here with Tchigorin's annotations translated by CHESS LIFE Contributing Editor Dr. A. Buschke. Biographies and records of the players are included. Here, then, are 33 championship games long difficult of access to the late-comer but now inexpensively re-published for general edification and enjoyment. They have already had a wide sale in America and abroad, more than enough to encourage Dr. Ralston and the CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER to issue more.
FIRST BOOK OF CHESS. By I. A. Horowitz and Fred Reinfeld. New York: Barnes \& Noble. Paper, 128 pp., 314 diags., 14 illus. $\$ 1.25$.
$T$ HIS is a reprint in the Barnes \& Noble "Everyday Handbook Series" of the popular primer which appeared first in 1950 as Let's Play Chess and then in 1952 as a hardback with the new title under imprint of Sterling Publishing Co. The present form includes an impractical chess set inside the back cover; but a beginner will benefit from trying to use it while he discovers chess, and it will no doubt lead him to buy a real set the same afternoon.

## The Kibitzer Has His Day

Dear Mr. Major:
It is with horror, and a little disgust, that I read Bisno's letter on international chess. A few days later I heard the radio commentator, Lowell Thomas relate the same story. Both of these must be construed as opening moves in a Reshevsky withdrawal from the World Championship Candidates' Tournament.

I, as all of us surely should, agree with Mr. Bisno that the ideal candidates' tournament would be one in which each of the players represent the champion of their own country. I also agree with Mr. Bisno that his man, Reshevsky, would stand but little chance of meeting and defeating 9 Russian Grandmasters who will draw amongst themselves.
However, we must admit that this year those are the rules of play. Anyone of the non-Russians must undergo similar treatment. I cannot understand the lack of foresight on Mr. Bisno's part that he allowed such a maneuver to take place. After all he was the USCF delegate to the FIDE Assembly. Surely, the FIDE is not a Russian organization. As the evidence has shown, and might show, the present system of creating a
contender for the world champion has been abused, there are others who will clamor for a revision. The Bisno Variation will surely prove to be the fair method. Thusly, there is hope for the future.

Chess, as we all know, is the national game in Russia. Today, in these politically trying times, the sport of chess has been relegated to a status of national superiority. It is a matter of national honor that the USCF candidate attempt to bring back the world's championship. If the candidate Reshevsky declines the privilege of carrying his adopted country's colors into international fray, then now is the time to set the machinations in order to provide us with a substitute. Surely it must be realized that 'even in defeat, there is honor'.

## A. E. HOERCHNER

Salinas, California

Golden Gate (San Francisco) Chess Club: Club title won by Henry Gross with $9-2$, losing a game to A1 Johnson and drawing with Jack Vaughn and and drawing with Jack Vaughn and Peter Dahi. Bob Currie was second with
$8-3$, losing to Gross and Robert Konikel while drawing with Neilen Hultgren and while drawing with Neilen Hultgren and Keith Draughon. Robert Kunkel was with $71-3 \frac{1}{2}$ in the 24 player event

## By International Master HERMAN STEINER

OBSERVATION of the skill of superior players, the mistakes of others as well as your own, the gradual understanding and application of such laws of chess as you have memorized-all of these things help you not only to attain satisfaction and pleasure for yourself, but for others also. Chess, like other forms of human endeavor, includes the same kinds of trial and error, mistakes and triumphs, punishment for oversight, discipline and sportmanship as does life itself.

I learned the game at seventeen from a newspaper chess column, but the development, elaboration, and formulation of principles and practice came to me much later.

After years of teaching I have come to the conclusion that the most practical starting point is the study of the Elements of chess. As I see it, these are Space, Force, and Time. Before proceeding further, I will explain these three elementary concepts, since they are basic factors in the somewhat revolutionary method of instruction with which I have enjoyed good success.

CHESSBOARD (SPACE)
Space is a term used to refer to the sixty-four squares of the chessboard, which is often referred to as a battlefield. Generally speaking, the strong squares are those grouped at the center; the weak ones are at the sides. Under certain conditions, however, the squares at the sides became strong and those in the center weak. Victory depends upon how many squares of the opponent's territory one controls; that is the reason the analogy between chess and war is so apt.

## CHESSMEN (FORCES)

The ROOK is classified as a major Force with a value of five points. It is often referred to as the heavy tank to be used in major attack, clumsy but potent.
The BISHOP constitutes a minor Force with a value of three and one-third points. Like a train running on a track, they never leave the black or white squares on their diagonals.

The QUEEN is a major Force, the most powerful on the board, for her value is ten points. Why is the Queen introduced after the Rooks and Bishops? In order to simplify the learning process by pointing out that the strongest Force on the board combines the movements of the Rook and the Bishop.
The KING is like a hen-pecked husband who, although he follows the same pattern as the Queen, can only move one square at a time. In the beginning of the game he has no point value. It is only at the end, when there are no major Forces left on the board, that he becomes a powerful Force.
The value of the PAWN is one point.
Last, but not least, is the KNIGHT, often called the cavalier. The terms Knights and Horses are used interchangeably, because in modern sets, they are usually represented by a horse's head. The value of the Knight is three and a third points.

## TIME

Time is the name of the edemont in which a move is measured in terms of time. The student must know what advantage is gained in Time, Tempo, or Move. Whenever one gains a move, it is a gain of Time; a loss of a move
is a loss of Time. A gain of Time can be made either by attack, or threat, or both; threats may be direct or indirect. To attack does not necessarily mean a disadvantage for the opponent, nor an advantage for the one who attacks. One should not attack unless he can gain Force, Time, or Space with advantage.

For example: A Knight on a square controls five of the opponint's squares. We can attack it (Knight) with one of our Forces and at the same time we can develop one of them. This means a gain of Time and Space. At ertain stages Time is more important than Force. Force can only be sacrificed if we have already gained Time or Space. We can reach a certain point faster than our opponent can defend himself.
We gain Time by checking the King, for if the King must move (a forced move), we are still on the move. We may count this forced move a loss of Time. The same applies to the threat or threats, direct or indirect. As long as we can force our opponent to do something which will give us the advantage, however slight, achieved directly or indirectly by a threat or series of threats, it is a gain of Time.

## COMPARATIVE VALUE <br> OF THE ELEMENTS

The value of Force is clear, as is also the relative value of Force and Time. The smallest unit in Force is the Pawn, which in value is worth one point. It is worth three Tempi (a gain of three moves). In other words, a Tempo is worth one-third of a point. Other Forces have the same relative values: Knight or Bishop equals ten Tempi, etc.
As to space, one Tempo is worth three of the opponent's squares (or a gain of one move); therefore a Pawn is worth nine to ten squares.

As to the advantage in Space, now that the comparative value of the Elements is clear, the student is able to recognize an advantage in Space. If one controls up to five squares more of the opponant's territory than he controls, and there are no weaknesses, the position is called even. Everything else being equal, advantage consists in controlling six to ten more squares of the opponent's

CHESS LIFE is privileged to publish excerpts from a book on the teaching of chess by Internatonal Master Herman Steiner, who ranks as one of the most outstanding teachers of chess in modern times. For the benefit of those readers who are voluntarily devoning their own efforts to spreading chess by teaching it on the playground and in club rooms, we offer these excerpts as suggestive of a revolutionary new approach to teaching chess technique and objectives. We have eliminated in these excerpts the purely mechanical details of movemints of pieces, etc. which our readers can supply from their own knowledge.
In this and succeeding instalments, we will bring the heart of this novel system of chess peragogy. Thereafter International Master Herman Steiner will continue his course of instruction with detailed analysis and discussions of Modern Opening Theary and Opening Innovations - The Editor.
squares usually wins the game. (Control of five squares more, six to ten more, or eleven or more means that many squares in excess of the number of our territory controlled by the opponent).

## OBJECT OF THE GAME

As a teacher of the Royal Game of chess for more than twenty years, I have often in the course of a lesson asked, "What is the object of the game?" Be he beginner, advanced student or expert, the pupil always gives the same answer: "To checkmate the King." Then I ask, "Can you see a checkmate in the beginning of the game?" They all agree they cannot, so then I make ten moves, and again ask the same question. The answer again is "No" so I play ten more moves, and the answer is still "No". Finally, we reach the end-game, where there are just a King and several Pawns left on the board, and still no mate to be seen. The game I use as illustration is played according to fundamental principles and, by virtue of the best play on both sides, ends in a draw.
On the basis of the foregoing, if an expert cannot see a checkmate in any part of a game, unless the opponent blunders and owerlooks one, how then can a beginner be expected to see a checkmate? It is true that the completion of a game may be achieved by checkmating, since the opponant is not compelled to resign the game until one does so. This is not the case, however, when two experts play, as they recognize when the position is won, lost, or drawn, and they agree to resign or call the game a draw at any time.
(Please furn to page 7, col. 3)


## Chess Life In $\eta_{\text {ow }} Y_{\text {orb }}$

By Eliot Hearst

FTER a most exciting and close struggle which saw six competitors retaining a chance for the title with two scheduled rounds left to play, the 1952-53 Marshall C. C. Championship finally coneluded with Tony Santasiere and Carl Pilnick in a $12-3$ deadlock for first place. Santasiere had complated his schedule weeks before Pilnick did-a necessity due to his preparations for his impending trip to Europe-but he left New York for Italy at least knowing the final result; Pilnick's adjourned game with Sherwin, an uphill contest all the way for the former, was agreed drawn only a few hours before the ship sailed! Santasiere has won his share of tourneys in the past and numbers the U. S. Open Championship, the N. Y. State Championship and previous Marshall titles as feathers in his cap; for Pilnick, however, this was the first major accomplishment, his previous claims to fame being victory in the Southwestern Open during the war years, several high prizes in former Marshall tourneys and an oft-diagrammed draw with Reshevsky in the 1942 U. S. Championship. Pilnick and Santasiere will remain as co-champions for this year, since the latter's trip abroad negates the possibility of a tiebreaking match. Our congratulaions to both of them!

Jack Collins continued his sterlar play of the last few months and, utilizing an attacking style which was not often his wont in past years, the present N. Y. State champ captured third prize, only a half-point behind the leaders; a draw with Edmar Mednis in the semifinal round prevented his gaining a share of the first prize itself. Mednis, the youngest participant at 15, was fourth with 11-4, a fine showing in this topnotch company; if a little boasting will be permitted, it was this column which first mentioned Mednis two years ago and predicted a great future for him, a prognostication which seems validated already! Jim Sherwin, dogged by some bad breaks in time pressure in several games, finished fifth, just $11 / 2$ points behind the new titlists. Of the other competitors there might be noted Paul Brands who put on a sudden spurt at the finish to end up in 6th place and Frank Howard who led the tourney most of the way and at one time had an $8-2$ score, only to drop four out of his last five games and finish seventh. Certainly a tourney as close and hard-fought as this one delighted the club members and spectators and it is to be hoped that contests in future years will attract as much interest as this one did.
IN BRIEF: The Manhattan C. C. Championship is developing into a neck and neck race also, as many postponed and adjourned games are now complete and the top scores thus become more meaning-
(Please turn to page 8, col. 1)

# GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS 

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, New York State Champion, 1953

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## GREETINGS FELLOW MEMBERS:

WE ARE honored and pleased to take charge of "Games By USCF Members." We shall do our best to give you the type of feature you want. We intend to present all kinds of games, by all classes of players, from all sections of the country. Our goal shall be representative games instructively and entertainingly annotated.

We invite you to submit your best games, rapid, skittle, tournament match, or postal, for publication. Games of moderate length, free from blunders, theoretically, positionally, or combinatively interesting, decided in opening, mid-game, or ending, an "idea" game, will be most welcome.
We are fortunate to have "inherited" Annotators Cotter, Crittenden, Herzberger, Howarth, Korpanty, Lester, Marchand, Mayer, Myers, Platz, Powers, Reinfeld, Rozsa, Santasiere, and Soudakoff from "Tournament Life." We look forward to more of their fine work on your games. Dr. Harold Sussman of Brooklyn and Allen Kaufman of New York have recently been added to the staff too. And new guest and regular annotators will be recruited later. Eliot Hearst, borrowed from "Young Masters' Forum," and Karl Burger have contributed to this, and possibly the next, issue. Our thanks to all.
We shall always be grateful for your friendly comments. With your help, we hope to do our bit in making a new and better Chess Life and a stronger United States Chess Federation.

> John W. Collins

## NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

 MCO: page 108, column 36Intercollegiate Team Championship New York, 1952

## J. SHite <br> (Columbia)

Black

1. P-Q4 $\mathrm{Kt-KB3} \quad$ 3. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \begin{gathered}(\mathrm{CCNY}) \\ \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+5\end{gathered}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2. P-QB4 P-K3 } & \text { 4. P-K3 }\end{array}$
The Rubinstein Variation-more aggressive than a casual look indicates.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { sive than a casual look } & 0.0 & \text { 6. } \\ \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3}\end{array}$

The "Normal Position" is established with 7. ......, P-Q4. And 7. ......, BxKt; 8. $\mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q3}$ deserves notice. The text is not forcing enough.
2. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ !

Maneuvering for a king-side attack and threatening to embarrass Black's KB with 9. P-QR3.

| 8. <br> 9. PXP | PxP | 11. B-Kt2 <br> 12. $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{K}+3$ | R-B1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. P-QK+3 | 3 B-K+2 | 13. PxP |  |
| White's | Hanging | Pawns, | Steinitz |
| Knights, | and Harrw | itz Bishops | guaran- |
| tee attack | king chan | es in the | middle |
| game. |  |  |  |
| 13. ....... | Kt-QR4 |  |  |
| Better 13. | . ......, Kt-K |  |  |
| 14. Q-K2! |  |  |  |
| 14. Q-K2! | ........ |  |  |

## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you a thorough postgame analysis. Fee \$10.

Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of your games for a fee of $\$ 5$.
which result from the capture.
8. R-B1 R-K1 10. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5$ ?
9. P-K3 Kt -K4


In trying to take advantage of Black's early castling, White loses valuable time in compelling a "king-slde weakness" which is not so weak after all! 10 . B-K2 is therefore stronger.

## 10. ........ P-K\$4!

Necessary to prevent White's threat of Necessary to prevent White's threat of
11. BxKt, forcing recapture with the Pawn due to the attack on QB7.
11. B-K+3 P-R3 12. Kt-Q4 P-Q4!

A powerful answer. If now 13 . PxP, QxP! leaves White in a quandary as to how to complete his development.

## 13. P-QR3 BXKtch <br> 14. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ <br> P-B41

If the Knight moves, 15. ......, P-Q5; is too strong; the threats on the king-file are then overwhelming. A cute trap is QxQ, RxQ; 18. BxKt, P-B7! winning! 15. $\quad$ R $\quad \mathrm{RXB} \quad$ 18. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{Q} 5$ ! $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 16. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3} & \text { B-Kt5! } & \text { 19. R-Q3 } & \text { Q-R4ch? }\end{array}$
19. ....., Q-K2 leads to a definite advantage for Black, as White is left with a weak King Pawn to worry about in the endgame.
20. Q-Q2

## Q-R5?

Throws away whatever edge Black had. 20. ....., QxQch is best and after 21. KxQ, PxPch; the game would probably soon resolve itself into a draw.
21. 0.0

Better late than never!
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 21. } & \begin{array}{ll}\text { 21. } \\ \text { PXP }\end{array} \\ \underset{\text { PXP }}{ } & \text { 23. } \mathbf{R x P}\end{array}$
Q-82
Now Black's weakness on the king-side begins to tell.
24. R-Q6 K-K+2 26. R-K+6!
25. Q-Q4 QR-K1

Very risky as Black might now essay 26. ......, R-K8??! If then 27. QxKt-ch, K26. ....., R-K8??! If then 27. QxKt-ch, KWhite can prevent the threats of 28. White can prevent the threats of 28.
$\ldots . . ., Q \cdot B 5$ or $28 . . . . . ., Q-B 8$; But on 26 .
... $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B5}$ or $28 . \ldots .$. Q-B8; But on 26 .
.., R-K8; 27 . RxKt!, wins since White's Bishop can retreat to Q1 after 27......., RxRch; 28. KxR, Q-BBch.
26. ........ P-QR4

On 26. ......, Q-B8?!; 27. Q-R!, leads to a winning end game for white Or 26 . $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$; 27. RxKtP, is fine. (27. ...... QxR; 28. QxR (K5) !!).
27. R×P Q-B7 28. R-K+6

Back again to prevent 27. ....., R-K8.
28. ....... P-R4!

The best attempt at counter-play.
29. P-KK+3 Q-KB4 30. B-K+2 R-K8
30. ......, R-R5; gave better chances.

Black was in very bad time pressure at Black was
this point.
31. Q-B3 RXRCh

On 31. ......, $\mathrm{R}(1)-\mathrm{K} 7$; (threatening 32.
-....., QxPeh)' either 32. QxR! or 32. B-B3 retains White's advantage.

## 32. BXR R-QB1??

Loses outright. Almost any other move is better, but White's threat of 33. $\mathbf{R}$ Kt5 should ensure his victory anyway. 33. R×K+1 Q×R 36. Q-K5ch K-B1

A cursory glance at this game might indicate that White's tenth move forced a fatal weakness in Black's position which was eventually exploited. But actually, as can be seen from a more careful examination, it involved a serious loss of time which was only negated by several inferior moves by Black later on!

TRAPS
The moral of this game is: "Opening Traps Must be Studied!"

## TWO KNIGHTS' DEFENSE <br> MCO: page 305, column 31 <br> Intercollegiate Team Championship <br> New York, 1952 <br> Notes by Allen Kaufman <br> Whit <br> Black <br> A. KAUFMAN J. BEAVERS (N. Y. U.) <br> (N. Y. U.) <br> 4. 0.0 <br> 2. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$

These moves constitute the Max Lange Attack (or a blood-brother of it), to which there are two good replies: A. 5. ….., PxP; 6. P-K5, P-Q4; 7. PxKt, PxB; with an equal game, or B. 5. ....., BxP; 6. KtxB, KtxKt; 7. P-B4, P-Q3; 8. PxP, PxP; 9. B-Kt5, with chances for both sides.


This loses quickly.
6. $\mathrm{KtxP} \quad \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$

If 6. ......, P-Q4; 7. PxP, wins a Pawn; for Black cannot recapture with his KKt because of 8. P-QB3.
7. B-K3! $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K3}$ 8. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt} \quad \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ If 8 . ....., BPxB; 9. BxB, P-Q3; 10. B-R3, wins the exchange.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 9. } \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Pch} & \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} & \text { 11. P×B } & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt1}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 9. } \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{Pch} & \text { RXB } & \text { 11. PxB } \\ \text { 10. } \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{KxKt} & \text { 12. P-K5 }\end{array}$
-
Black must lose his Knight or King.
12. ........ Kt-K1 14. R-B8 mate.
13. Q-Q5ch K-R1

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
MCO: page 89 , column 46
Marshall Chess Club Championship New York, 1952
White Black
J. W. COLLINS C. PILNICK
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 1. } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { Kt-KB3 } & \text { 4. } & \text { P-K4 } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { P-QB4 } & \text { P-KKt3 } & \text { 5. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3\end{array}$
3. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \dagger 2$

Not 5. P-K5, Kt-K1 and Black can hit at the center effectively with 6. ....... P-Q3 or 6. ...... P-KB3.
5. $\begin{array}{rllll}\text { P..... } & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q3} & \text { 7. } 0.0 & \text { P-K4 }\end{array}$

A new move!? At least it is not mentioned in the last four editions of MCO and not in Fine's PCO. Book is 8. P-Q5 or 8. R-K1.
8. ........ Kt-Kt5

This is a loss of time, rather than a refutation. Better is 8. ......, P-B3.
9. B-Kt5 P-KB3 10. B-Q2

Threatening 11. Q-B1 and 12. P-KR3.
10. $\ldots$ Kt-R3 12. B-K3 P-B3
11. Q-B1 Kt-B2 13.Q-B2
Now the position is more or less normal except that Black's KKt and KBP are reversed.
13. ....... Q-K2
In this type of po

In this type of position, where the situation in the center has not been clarified, the Queen is safer at QB2. 14. KR-KI Kt-Q1

More in order would be 14. ......, R-Q1, 15. ...... $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$, and 16. ......, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$.
15. QR-Q1 P-KB4?

Black should not play to open the game with White having a lead in developwith white having a lead in aevelopment and a superior pawn-center. Per-
haps something slow, like 15. ....., Phaps something

## 16. B-K $+5 \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ ?

While not wholly satisfactory, 16. ......, Q-B2 and 16. ....., B-B3 are certainly better. The text loses.
17. P-B5!

Decisive. The threat is $18 . \mathrm{BPxP}, \mathrm{QxP}$; 19. QPxP winning the KKt.
17. ........ KP×P

If 17. ...... QPxP; 18. QPxKP wins. If 17. ....., BPxP; 18. BPxP, Q-Q2 (18. QxP; 19. PxP wins) 19. QKtxP, KtxKt; 20. QxKt, PxP; 21. B-K7 and White has a winning attack.
 On 18. ......, QxQP; 19. P-K5 wins.
19. B-B4ch K-R1 20.P-K5! Kt-Kt5 White wins the Queen after 20 . ......,
PxKt; 21. PxKt, BxP; 22. BxBch, RxB; 23. R-K7.
21. P-K6


Winning a piece.
21. ........ Kt×KP

Or 21. ......, QxQP; 22. P-K7 winning.
22. BxKt QxP 23. BxB KRxB

If 23. ......, QRxB; 24. B-K7 takes the exchange.
24. P-KR3 Q-B4

If 24. ......, Kt-R3; 25. BxKt, BxB; 26. RxP follows.


## CARO-KANN DEFENSE

MCO: page 11, column 16
Marshall Chess Club Tournament New York, 1953
Notes by Anthony E. Santasiere White $\begin{array}{lll}\text { F. P-K4 } & \text { P-QB3 } & \text { A. E. SANTASIERE } \\ \text { 1. }\end{array}$
 White emphasizes his quiet opening with a long-term declaration. He is desirous of preventing 6. ......, B-Kt5 and also of providing a safe retreat for his QB at R2, But speed and intensity are of the essence in chess, so that White here gains only the reward of being outplayed in the opening.

The Black QB no longer has a future on the $k$-side, for 6 . invite (possibly) 7. P-KKt4 and 8. P-Kt5. $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 7. } & \mathrm{Kt} \text {-B3 } & \text { B-Q3 } & \text { 10. } & \text { O.O } & \text { P-QR3 } \\ \text { 8. } & \mathrm{BXB} & \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} & \text { 11. } & \text { B-Q3 } & \mathrm{Kt} \text { K } 5\end{array}$

With the same maneuver as in another Caro-Kann (McCormick-Santasiere, Tampa, 1952), Black seizes the initiative. 12. QKt-Q2 P-B4 14. Kt-K5 R $4 \times \mathrm{XKt}$ $\begin{array}{lcrr}\text { 13. R-K1 B-Q2 15. PxKt } & \text { Q-Kł3 } \\ \text { Not } 15 . & \text { B...., QxP?; because of } 16 . \text { P-B3. }\end{array}$ But the text forces white to unpleasant action.
16. BXKt BPXB 17. R-K3

Not 17. KtxP?, PxKt; 18. QxB, QR-Q1 and Black wins.
17.

Threatening, of course, simply to double Rooks.
18. Q-K+3

Q-B2
Again obviously avoiding exchanges. The White Queen will be missed on the k -side, where the climax suddenly unfolds.
19. P-B3 QXKP 20. PXP QR-KB1 Not 20. ......, Q-B5?; 21. R-B3!, QxKt; 22. RxR, KxR; 23. QxKtP etc.
21. QR-KI

Better is 21. R-KB1, but after 21. ..... RxRch; 22. KtxR, B-Kt4; 23. Kt-Kt3, Q-B5; White is lost.



Dynamism triumphant in the nick of time!
25. Resigns.

## CARO-KANN DEFENSE

MCO: page 15, column 36
Intercollegiate Team Championship
New York, 1952
Notes by Karl Burger
White
Black
s. SZIRMAY K. BURGER
 A most unusual and ingenious move! White attempts to restrict the activity of Black's king's side by preventing 4. ....., P-K3 which now would lose a
piece. Can the Black QB be maintained piece. Can the Black QB be maintained
at B4? Yes, by devious and bizarre at B4? Yes, by devious and bizarre moves.
4. ........ P-KR4! 5. Kt-K2

The only consistent move. 6. Kt-Kt3, B-Kt3; 7. B-Q3 is threatened.
5. ........ B-K+5! 6. P-KB3?

This loosens the pawn-mass. Better is 6 .
Q-Q3 with an uphill struggle that is not without hope.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 6. } & \text { 7. } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+5 & \mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 \\ \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K}+3 & \text { 8. } & \text { Q-B1 }\end{array}$
8. B-B1 is better but cuts an unlovely picture.

## $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 8. } & \text { 9. } & \text { Pt-Q2 } & \begin{array}{lll}\text { P-K3 } \\ \text { P-B4 }\end{array} \\ \text { 10. P-B3 }\end{array}$

This keeps the KKt1-QR7 diagonal closed, but completely opens the QKt1KR7 one.
10. ........ Kt-QB3 11, B-K3 Kt-R3!

Continuing to spread the blockading web and indirectly bringing more pressure on the center.
12. Kt-QK+3 Q-Q1!

With the idea of attacking the k -side with ......, B-K2; ......, P-B3; and ......, PKKt4; and/or the q-side with ....., P-QR3 and ......, P-QKt4.
13. Q-Q2!

Over-protecting strong-point Q4.
13. ........ P-QR3!

To keep out White's KB and to prepare 1...., P-QKt4-5.

23. ........ Kt-QK+1!

A cute move which threatens to occupy
QB5 with Q, QR, or QKt. White's game

## GUEST ANNOTATORS

In This Issue
Karl Burger
Eliot Hearst
Allen Kaufman
A. E. Santasiere
could not be hocked now for a worn out shaving blade.
24. $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathbf{2})-\mathrm{Q4} \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2!\quad$ 25. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$

Attempting to castle by hand.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 25. } & \begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3} & \text { 27. } & \mathrm{BxKt} \\ \text { 26. } & \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Kt } \\ \mathrm{KtKt}\end{array} \\ \text { 28. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}\end{array}\end{array}$
QxBch
After 28. K-B3, R-B5 followed by a queen-side pawn storm would win.
28. ........ B-B4


There is little to choose between this and 28. ......, R-B5. Both send His Majesty the White King to a timely demise.
29. KR-Q1 BXKtch 31. K-KI KtxQP 30. PxB R-B5!

Finis.
32. QR-B1 Kt-Kt6 34. R-B8ch K-K2 33. R×R Kt×Q 35. RXR Q.K6 mate Lacking in sharp tactical play, this game is positionally most Nimzovich-like.

## CHESS ELEMENTS

(Continued from page 5, col. 3)
Therefore, we must not strive for the impossible, since the checkmate cannot be seen, but rather show the student how much he can see, even if he is not an accomplished chess player.
I often use the following analogy with my students. I compare the composition of the game with that of a symphony:

1. Adagion-Opening (control of the center)
2. Andante-Opening files for major pieces
3. Scherzo-Creating a weakness
4. Finale-Exchanging even or better and winning with the superior Force.
By using the above analogy, the student is helped to make the move which the objectives call for.
OBJECTIVE 1: Control of either the squares Q4 or K4, or both, with safety. ("With safety" means no loss of Force, Space or Time). If one opens with P-K4, the first objective is to control his Q4 square with safety. If one opens with P-Q4, the first objective is to control his K4 square with safety. There are many openings which begin with moves other than P-K4 and P-Q4, but the first objective remains the same: the control of the center squares with safety.

OBJECTIVE 2: Open the files for the Rooks and control them with safety, at the same time prevent your opponent from doing the same thing. It is not enough simply to open a file; you must control it, not only with major pieces but minor pieces as well.

## OBJECTIVE 3: Create a weak-

 ness, or weaknesses, in your opponent's game, and then take ad-(Obess Sife
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What's The
Best Move?
By Guilherme Groesser


Send solutions to Position No. 112 to the Editor, CHESS LIFE, by April 5, 1953.

## Solution to Position No. 109

This very tricky position came from the Championship of the Ukraine, 1948 wher. Kofman against Lipnitzki played 1. R-R8ch, R-B1; 2 . R-Q1!, P-B4!; 3. R(1)Q8, R-KB3; P-B4!! and it no longer Pawn marches to K ; to win the Bishop or force mate. This is the intended solution for which full credit will be given But extra credit goes to Edmund Nash who shows that Black should draw against this brilliant conception by: 1 . (not 3. R(1)-QQ8, R-B8 ch! winning the
 and White can no longer force the victory.
B4 (not 2 ch, B-B1; 2. P-B3 is met by Pan eventual drawing line White's brilliant attemine but lacking as suggested, 1. R-R8 ch, B-B1; 2. P-B4 At once, then 2. ....., P-B4! and if 3. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$; ' while if 3 . KP×P, BxP; 4. RxP, R-B1! leaves a drawing position. Or
if 1. R-R8 ch, B-B1; 2 . P-B4, P-B4; 3 . P-Kt4, KPxP! and if 4. P-K5, K-B2, or
if 4. Either PxP, BxP!; 5. PxB, R-KB3 if 4. Either
and a draw.

## In a draw.

In other words the only White resource that promises victory is the line
White adopted in the actual game, and this is defeated by a variation which only solver Nash found.
Correct solutions are acknowledged received from: $\mathbf{E}$. Nash (Washington) 2 points, D. Hamburger (Pittsburgh), A. Kaufman (Chicago), F. J. Korpanty (Woodside), H. Kurruk (Desplaines), J. and), N. P. Witting (Salem). Many veteran solvers slipped on this one. Kor. panty who again tops the Solving Ladder with 45 points.
vantage of it! A weakness is not a weakness unless you can take advantage of it.
OBJECTIVE 4: Exchange even or better, and win with the superior Force or superior position.
Every move should be planned with these objectives in mind. In approaching all objectives you should try to prevent your opponent from accomplishing his objectives, which are the same as your own. If your opponent gives you an opportunity to play for an objective which is ahead of the rotation, do not go back to objectives omitted. But skip the intervening objectives and continue to the following one.
(To be continued in next issue.)
BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!
By Joining the U.S.C.F.
N. Y. CHESS LIFE
(Continued from page 5 , col. 4) ful. Abe Turner and Alexander Kevitz lead with $71 / 2-21 / 2$, while Herb Seidman has 7-2 and Max Pavey $6^{1 / 2}-2^{1 / 2}$. The Kevitz-Pavey game, the only one left matching any of these four leaders, still remains to be played so that the general opinion among the cress cognoscenti is that either Seidman or Turner has the best chance for the coveted title . . . Anthony Santasiere met 26 opponents in a simultaneous exhibition just before his European departure and scored 21 wins, one draw (with E. Westing), and four losses (to Miss MayoSmith, E. Braine, A. L. Brown and the consulting team of junior whizzes: W. Lombardy and A. Feuerstein). Tony Saidy, the new club junior champion was also feted that evening before "San's" exhibition and the new club cochampion entertained with readings of his own poetry in addition to contesting the 26 games! The latest craze at the Marshall C. C. is "Minute Chess" played under the time limit of 30 moves in 30 minutes. Originated by Dr. A. Mengarini, the new time control seems most popular and already a tourneys of experts and masters has gotten underway. It also presents a fine situation for testing new opening ideas!!? . . . Columbia topped Army 9-1 at West Point, continuing its four-year undefeated skein . . . Hans Kmoch picked up 16 wins and 4 draws in a recent simul at the Bklyn. C. C. . . . An important newcomer to the Marshall C. C. is William Spackman, editor of the CHESS CORRESPONDENT, whose first novel "Heyday" has created quite an impression in literary circles, being compared to the writings of F. Scott Fitzgerald, Ezra Pound and Max Bodenheim by the Saturday Review of Literature. Formerly a teacher of Greek and Latin in Colorado, Spackman is working on a new book and will remain here at least till May; let's hope his writing obligations don't force him to avoid chess completely while here in New York!

University of Illinois (Urbana) Chess Club: Daniel Fischheimer won the University title $16-0$ in a nine player double round event. James E. Warren was second with $12-4$, losing two games to
Fischheimer and one each to David Fischheimer and one each to David
Shapiro and Marvin Cohen. David Shapiro scored 112 $-4 \frac{1}{2}$ for third, while Marvin Cohen placed fourth with $83 .-73$.

## Solutions:-

White to Play and Win
Position No. 211:- 1. K-Kt3, K R 4 (if K-B4, K-Kt4; 2. P-Kt3); 2. P-R4 (2. P-K5, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q4;}$ 6. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5 ; 7$ 7. K-Q2, K-
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$; 3. P-K6, K-B3; 4. KxP, KxP; 5. K-Kt; 8. K-B1, K-R7; 9. K-B2, P-Kt5; 10. $\mathrm{Kt6;}$
$\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, ~ 8 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ;$ 11. P-Kt3,
K-R6; 12. K-B1, PxP, PxP; 11. P-Kt3, K-K6; 12. K-B1,
Kxp
13. K-Kt1, K-R6), P-Kt5; 3. K-B2 (or R2), K-R5 (P.QKt6; 4. K-Kt3, K-Kt4; 5 . P-K5, K-B4; 6. P-K6, KxP; 7. KxP, K-Q4;
8. K-B3, K-B5; 9. K-K4, K-Kt5; 10. K-Q4, KxP; 11. K-B4); 4. K-Kt2, K-Kt4; 5. K-Kt3, K-R4; 6. K-B2, K-Kt3; 7. K-Kt3, K-Kt4;
8. P-Kt3, K-R4;
9. P-K5. 8. P-Kt3, K-R4; 9. P-K5.
Position No.

K-K3, P-Kt5; 3. P-B4, PxP ch; 4. KxP PxP; 5. KxBP, K-K4; 6. P-R4, P-Kt3; 7. P-R5, PxP; 8. PxP, K-B4; 9. K-Q4, K-Kt5; 10. K×P, KxP, etc. a) 2. PxP ch, KxP and Black breaks through with P-R5. On 2. P-Kt4, RP $\times P$; 3. $P \times P, P \times Q P$, etc.
b) 3 . $B P \times P, R P \times P$; if 4 . $P \times P$ ch K-K4 b) 3. BPxP, RPxP; if 4. PxP ch, K-K4;
5. P-B6, P-Q5 ch; 6 . K moves and K -Q1Q3, etc; if 4. P-R4, PxP ch; 5 . KxP, K-K3 and wins.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY LEAGUE: Ambitious plans of the LACL include a complete program of tournaments: City-wide Playground Tourney March 14; Citywide Highschool Tourney March 21; Interclub team matches beginning March 27; State Championship preliminary June 1, Individual Club Champicnships Sept. 8; and County Championship Nov. 1. Officers elected were: Charles Edward Gray, president; Nathan Negley vice-president; Sotero Rodriguez secretary; William Wheeler treasurer; and John Keckhut tournament director.
CLEVELAND CHESS LEAGUE (CLUBS): East Cleveland lost to Brooklyn Chessnuts $5-3$; Checkmate downed Atlantic Nationals 32; Shaker Hts topped King's Men 3-2; Pawns overpowered East Cleveland $4-0$; Internationals bested Shaker Hts. 4-1. Early standings show Internationals leading 4-0 in matches with $20-2$ in games; Pawns have $21 / 2-1 / 2$ and $10-2$, while Checkmate has $21 / 2-1 / 2$ and $101 / 2-41 / 2$.

Playoffs in the District of Columbia Chess League will determine final standings of teams. Divan A with 6-0 in matches and 32-7 in games and Library with 5-1 and 34-8 topped the American Division, while Navcom A with $6-0$ in matches and $33-10$ in games and Divan B with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ and $33-16$ topped the National Division. Leading scores to date with 5-0 each are: V. L. Eaton (Library), F.F. Russell (Navcom A), G. E. O'Rourke, Jr. (Divan B), K. Vilniss (Divan A), and E. Serebrennikov (Library).

DISTRICT (OF COLUMBIA) CHESS LEAGUE: In final round of league play in the American Division Federal B topped Georgetown $5 \frac{1}{2} \frac{-1}{2}$, Paragon whitewashed Agriculture $5-0$, and Divan A outpointed Library 4-3. In National Division Maryland won by forfeit from FSA 6-0, Navcom A bested Federal A $5_{2}^{2}-2 \frac{2}{3}$, and Divan B drew with APL 3-3. AMERICAN DIV. Final standings
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HASTINGS CHRISTMAS TOURNAMENT

## Hastings, 1952-53

PREMIER SECTION


1. R. Bordell (Spain)

PREMIER RESERVES

$\begin{aligned} & \text { NORTH DAKOTA OPEN } \\ & \text { Grand Forks, } \\ & 1953\end{aligned}$

 $7 \frac{1}{2}-12$
$6-3$
5123
$5 \frac{1}{2}-3 \frac{1}{3}$
$5-4$
$5-4$
$32-5 \frac{1}{2}$
$3-6$
$23-61$
$1 \frac{1}{2}-7 \frac{1}{2}$
 ONTARIO PROVINCIAL CHAMPIONSHIP
Toronto, 1953

| 1. P. Vaitonis | W 4 | W7 | W3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. F. R. Anderson | D5 | W9 | W8 |
| 3. I. Suk |  | W9 | W6 |
| 4. E. Krestini | L1 | W10 | W9 |
| 5. I. Aboltins | D2 | W8 | W7 |
| 6. P. Avery | L7 | L3 | W10 |
| 7. J. Greenberg | ..W6 | L1 | L5 |
| 8. E. T. Jewitt 1-5; | Sz | 0 0-6 |  |



March 27-April 18
District of Columbia Open Washington, D. C.
Open to all; entry fee $\$ 10.00$ with $\$ 4.00$ refund; custody of trophy plus cash prizes for 1st to 3rd; played at Washington Chess Divan, 2445 15th St. N.W. on three successive weekends begining 7:00 p.m. March 27; for entry or details, write director D. Stokes, \% Washington Chess Divan or phone COlumbia 5-5218.

Queen City Chess Club (Buffalo): Roy Black repeated in the Club Championship $7 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$, losing games to Arthur Damon and George Mauer while drawing with Robert Mekus. Second to fifth on S-B with 7-3 each were Robert Mekus, Chester Fell, James Barrett, and Vernon Gable. Mekus lost games to Gable non Gable. Mekus lost games to Gable Mekus; Barrett to Black, Damon and Mekus; Barrett to Black, Damon and
Fell; and Gable to Harry Borowiak, BarFell; and Gable to Harry Borowiak, Bar-
rett, and Mauer in the 17 player Swiss. rett, and Mauer in the 17 player Swiss.
Kansas City (Mo.) YMCA Chess Club: Officers elected were: Ross H. Latshaw Officers elected were: Ross H. Latshaw
president, L. E. Graham vice-president, president, L. E. Graham vice-president,
Charles W. Graham secretary-treasurer, Charles W. Graham secretary-treasurer,
L. A. Peterson sergeant-at-arms, and L. A. Peterson sergea
W. A. Hinkle librarian.
. A. Hinkle librarian. visiting Beloit team 9-1 recently in a 10 board match. Scoring for Racine were: Rudy Kunz, Art Domsky, R. E. Rigg, David Arganian, Erwin Poetschke, John Aroks, Frank Buttenhoff, John Abt, and John Olsen, while O. K. Longmire salvaged the point for Beloit.

## THE NEWEST BOOK ON the oldest games <br> CHAMPIONSHIP CHESS AND

 CHECKERS FOR ALLLarry Evans
U. S. Chess Champion
and
Tom Wiswell
World Unrestricted
Checker Champion
AUTOGRAPHED! This newest
work on the sister games, by two top-notch masters, is the only one of its kind. Streamlined and easy-to-read. Ideal as a gift to friends. Whether you play one or both games, this definitive work is a MUST. De luxe, cloth bound copy only $\$ 3.75$.
LARRY EVANS
358 West 27 Street
New York 1

29th Trans-Mississippi Open

## Championship

Davenport, lowa
At Chamber of Commerce, Davenport; Open to all; 8 round Swiss; $\$ 505.00$ in cash prizes$\$ 150.00$ guaranteed 1st prize; entry fee $\$ 6.00$ plus $\$ 1.00$ rating fee for USCF non-members; Opens 1:40 p.m. Friday, June 5; last round 4:30 p.m. Sunday, June 7; Tourn. Dir. C. Turner Nearing; for details, write: Karl Wiegmann, 2610 Ninth St., Rock Island, IIl.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.


## HAVE YOUR TOURNAMENTS

 OFFICIALLY RATED!Ask your Club Secretary or tournament director to write for official rating forms to report the results of your next tournament or match, specifying the type of contest and the approximate number of players.

For team tournaments there is a rating fee of 50 cents per player, for team matches a fee of 15 cents per player. All other contests rated free of charge.

Official rating forms should be secured in advance from:Montgomery Major
123 No. Humphrey Avenue
Oak Park, Illinois
Do not write to other USCF officials for these rating forms.

