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M DAVID BRONSTEIN
ILD-MANNERED David Bronstein is my favorite. I am counting on him to make a comeback. He started as a firebrand on a "boom or bust" career. Long before he played a fighting world title match with Botvinnik in 1951 (which ended in a draw) I predicted (in my book "David Bronstein's Best Games of Chess") that "he will someday be a world champion." Since 1951 he has shown nothing; in fact, his showing at Helsinki in 1952 and the latest Russian championship was downright atrocious. His self-confidence seems to have been given a jolt.

## HUDSON TAKES <br> TEXAS TITLE

John A. Hudson, former Philadelphia college chess star, won the Texas Championship $51 / 2-1 / 2$ in a 38 player Swiss at San Antonio, drawing one game with Robert S . Brieger. Second place went to Blake W. Stevens with $5-1$, losing a game to J. B. Payne. Third to sixth on S-B with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ were R. S. Brieger, W. A. Bills, L. Poliakoff, and Louis J. Dina.

Brieger lost to Hudson and drew with Stevens; Bills lost to Dina and drew with K. Richards; Poliakoff lost to Hudson and drew with C. Villareal, and Dina lost to Hudson and drew with D. C. Huff.

This, the first Texas Cnampionship held independent of the annual Southwestern Championship, drew a strong field of Texas stalwarts and will undoubtedly become annual.

> Plan Your Vacation for 1953 NOW! Attend the U.S.C.F. OPEN TOURNAMENT Milwaukee, Wis. August 10-21, 1953

He has never played Reshevsky over-the-board, but he confided to me that he had great respect for him. In fact, because of his short stature and playing style, he has been nicknamed in Russia "our little Reshevsky."

Balding, of all the chess players he is in temperament the least likely one would suspect to be one. He speaks English and, when I saw him, was reading Shakespeare in the Russian translation.

I may be wrong-oh so wrong!about him. But I have a feeling that he will pull out of the nose dive he is in: The heart seems to have been burned out of him when he let Botvinnik escape with a draw in their match. He had a commanding lead after the 20th game. His great drawback is his tendency to get in severe timepressure. Also he is relatively frail and may not have the stamina to last the tournament

CONCLUSION: Good chance. Just my hunch. But it is conceivable that he will fall altogether to pieces.
(Next issue: the chances of Geller)

## CAROLINAS TITLE TO CRITTENDEN

Kit Crittenden won the Carolinas Championship, jointly sponsored by the North and South Carolina Chess Ass'ns, with a perfect 5-0 score. Winning Carolina tournaments is a habit with the young University of North Carolina student. Douglas Kahn of Charlotte and Al Jenkins of Raleigh scored 4-1 each, but subsidiary S-M points gave second to Kahn, who lost only to Crittenden; Jenkins also lost only to Crittenden.

Fourth to eighth on S-M with 3-2 each were Lenneau Foster, Arthur G. Ashbrook, Geo. C. Harwell, J.W. Cabaniss, and Dr. Norman Hornstein in the 18 player Swiss at Wilmington. Prizes were awarded to the seven ranking players, and the champion received a trophy in addition.

## SCHROEDER TOPS CENTRAL OHIO

James R. Schroeder of Columbus scored $41 / 2-1 / 2$, drawing with runner-up Vadim Voskressensky, to win the Central Ohio title at Columbus. Voskressensky, also of Columbus, was second with $31 / 2-$ $11 / 2$, losing a game to John $L$. Pusecker. Third to fifth on S-B with 3-2 each were Jerome R. Cox, Kurt Loening, and John L. Pusecker in the 10 player Swiss event.

# Chess Publications-New and Old Sustain Interest in Royal Game 

By WILLIAM ROJAM<br>\section*{Staff Writer}

Chess interest cannot be maintained alone by columns in the daily press, for these excellent features are usually too limited in space to cover all phases of chess activity adequately, and quite properly as a general rule place emphasis on local events. For this reason professional chess magazines are required to complete the task of keeping players informed on chess, while semi-professional (which are sometimes most professional in appearance and contents) publications of associations and clubs bridge the gap between national and local chess news.

There are 31 such publications in the USA and 4 in Canada, known to the writer-and this list in all probability omits the names of more club publications than it includes, for only those club publications are included which have been received recently-and many have therefore been omitted because the writer does not know if they are still alive.
Clubs would be well advised to place CHESS LIFE on their mailing list permanently, if they wish their activities to receive national recognition from time to time.
No comment will be made upon the four professional publications in the USA, but it may not be amiss to mention several outstanding examples in the semi-professional and amateur group. Two publications of State Associations (Nebraska and West Virginia) are professionally produced as printed bulletins. Among those produced by photo-offset the California Chess Reporter and the Cleveland Chess Bulletin are outstanding-, the latter is now in its tenth year. Of those produced by mimeograph the Washington Chess Letter is the most imposing in contents and appearance.

But there are many others that are admirable in fulfilling the particular need which created them (sometimes quite compactly in the limits of a single sheet-

## USSR-USA MATCH SET FOR JULY 15

The U. S. State Department has accorded visas to the Russian team and the match is now set for July 15 in New York City. Site of play has not yet been announced.

The U. S. team has now been appointed, and its membership is announced by USCF President Harold M. Phillips as consisting of the following players:
> U. S. Team S. Reshevsky Dr. Reuben Fine Larry Evans Robert Byrne George Kramer I. A. Horowitz Arnold S. Denker Max Pavey
U.S.S.R. Team M. Botvinnik Vassily Smyslo Isaac Boleslavsky Alexander Kotov E. Geller

Mark Taimanov Alexander Tolush

## nafes <br> Tigr

A. B. Bisguier Tigran Petrosian

## I. National Professional Publications

1. American Chess Bulletin

Herman Helms
150 Nassau Street New York 7, N.Y.
(Bi-monthly magazine)
(Please turn to page 6, col. 4)

## USCF JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP Kansas City, Mo. August 3-8, 1953

Eligibility: Open to chess players under 21 years old on August 3, 1953; entrants must show USCF Membership card or pay $\$ 5.00$ annual dues.
Enfries Close: On August 2nd at 2:00 p.m. Mail name, address, date of birth and USCF dues if not a member to Tournament Director at least one week in advance.
Schedule: Players meet Tournament Director at 11:00 a.m. August 3rd at YMCA, 404 E . Tenth St. First round starts 1:00 p.m.; second round 7:00 p.m. 10 round Swiss, Harkness Modification, Solkoff points for tie-breaking. No evening round Saturday, August 8.
Lodgings: Lodging at YMCA for $\$ 1.00$ per night arranged for all who desire it; other rooms available at reasonable rates at near-by hotels. Advise Director in advance of your needs.
Clocks: Please bring chess clocks, if available, and chess sets if possible.
Inquiries, Entries, Reservations: Address all queries, etc. to Tournament Director, Charles W. Graham, YMCA Chess Club, 404 East Tenth St., Kansas City 6, Mo.


$\mathrm{P}^{0}$
OSITION No. 219 is a worthy first-prize winner in which the thesis is that Bishop and Knight win against the bare and defenceless King. The problem is, therefore, one of shrewd liquidation of Black's forces-a theorem easier to stipulate than demonstrate.

Position No. 220 reiterates the same basic concept of Position No. 219 in somewhat different terms. Again liquidation is the road to victory - and the road is somewhat obscured.

## For solutions, please turn to Page five.

Send all contributions for this column to William Roiam, \% CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Avenue, Oak Park, Illinois.

James T. Sherwin, representing the USA, and Ross Siemms, representing Canada, sailed on the Queen Mary on June 24 for participation in the second World Jünior Championship at Copenhagen. Twenty countries will be represented by one player each, including the present World Junior Champion, Boris Ivkov of Yugoslavia.

In the Massachusetts Schoolboy Championship at the Boylston Chess Club in the YMCA, Boston, 17-year old Cerul scored a 7-1 victory, losing a game to runner-up S. Lyman. Lyman, Boston City Champion, and Rathburn tied for second with $61 / 2-1^{1 / 2}$; Lyman lost no games but drew with Rathburn, Snyder, and Lowe, while Rathburn lost to Cerul and drew with Lyman in the 9 player event.

## HAVE YOUR TOURNAMENTS OFFICIALLY RATEDI

Ask your Club Secretary or tournament director to write for official rating forms to report the results of your next tournament or match, specifying the type of contest and the approximate number of players.
For team tournaments there is a rating fee of 50 cents per player, for team matches a fee of 15 cents per player. All other contests rated free of charge.
Official rating forms should be secured in advance from:Montgomery Major 123 No. Humphrey Avenue Oak Park, Illinois
Do not write to other USCF officials for these rating forms.

Sunday, Page 2
July 5, 1953
H. Golombek and W. Winter tied for first in the Bognor Congress in England with 5-2 each, while B. H. Wood and W. Heidenfield of South Africa tied for third with $41 / 2-21 / 2$ each. Henry Gross of San Francisco tied for seventh with 1-6, drawing games with Winter and Boxall.
E. Gutmanis of Chicago won a 5 round Latvian Tournament at Chicago 4-1, drawing with L. Ratermanis and J. Kalnins. Ratermanis of Iowa City was second with $31 / 2$ $11 / 2$, drawing with Gutmanis, Pamiljens, and A. Mengelis, while J. Pamiljens of New York City was third with 3-2, drawing with Ratermanis and Kalnins, and losing to Gutmanis.

## 雪

Overbrook High School of Philadelphia won the Pennsylvania Scholastic Team title by defeating Susquehanna High (Harrisburg) by 4-1, and South High (Pittsburgh) by $3-2$ in the State playoff matches held at Harrisburg YMCA June 6 and 7. The three schools were winners in the Eastern, Central and Western sections respectively. The matches were sponsored by the Pennsylvania Chess Federation and directed by PSCF Vice-president William M. Byland of Pittsburgh.

## E

Pittsburgh (Pa.) scored a close $10^{1 / 2}-91 / 2$ victory over Cleveland (Ohio) at Youngstown. Pittsburgh victors were P. Dietz, D. McClellan, R. Smith, A. Spitzer, G. Waltz, J. Stargle, B. Berger, L. Joffe and A. Loomis, while Wm. Byland, F. Sorensen, and M. McKinney drew. Salvaging points for Cleveland were R. Pitchak, G. Miller, D. Stauvers, J. Chavayda, J. Cohn, C. Garner, R. Krause, and Mrs. W. Owens, while H. Miller R. McCready and F. Haban drew.

## LATVIAN TOURNAMENT

## Chicago, 1953

1. E. Gutmanis (Chleago)
2. L. Ratermanis (Iowa City)
3. J. Pamiljens (New York) (Chicago) 1 -4.

CENTRAL OHIO CHAMPIONSHIP
Columbus, 1953

6. George A. Hudson (Columbus) 2.3 (14.00); 7. Phllip Rothman (Columbus) 2.3 (11.00); 8. Wiiiam Rebold (Columbus) 2-3 (10.50); 9. Tim Anderson (Columbus) 1 -4 (12.00); 10. Edward Siebrecht (Columbus) 1-4 (11.50).

## MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOLBOY CHAMPIONSHIF



Ross Siemms, Canada's representative to the World Junior Championship at Copenhagen, scored 15-3 at a final simultaneous exhibition at the Beaches Chess Club of Toronto, losing games to R . Wiekenberg, A. Worth, and L. J. Siemms, the last of course being the father of the Canadian junior expert.

Northern California triumphed in a $34-27$ vietory over Southern California in the annual NorthSouth team match. Southern California had a $61 / 2-31 / 2$ advantage on the top ten boards, but crumbled on the lower boards. At the annual CSCF meeting in connection with the match a constitution was approved for the new Federation and the USCF Rating System adopted as official; dues were set at $\$ 2.50$, including subscription to the California Chess Reporter.

## CHESS COLUMNS ADD 6 SUBTRACT 1

The total of newspaper and magazine chess columns in the USA and Canada is increased to 52 by six additions and one subtraction to the list by William Rojam in CHESS LIFE for April 20th. Readers William Plampin and Vincent Eaton report that D. H. Mugridge's column in the Washington Star has perished. But in its place can be added four newspaper columns and two columns in magazines as follows:

1. Los Angeles Daily News

George Koltanowski
Los Angeles, Calif.
(Daily)
2. Santa Rosa Press Democrat

George Koltanowski
Santa Rosa, Callf.
(Sundays)
3. Valleio Times-Herald

George Koltanowski
Valleio, Calif.
(Sundays)
4. Richmond Independent

George Koltanowski
Richmond, Calif.
(Saturdays)
5. Fortnight

George Koltanowski
200 Alhambra $\mathbf{S t}$.
San Francisco 23, Calif.
(Magazine, every two weeks)
6. Military Engineer

Vincent L. Eaton
Washington, D. C.
(Magazine, bi-monthly)
Are there any more that have escaped Mr. Rojam's less than eagle eye? The column in the Military Engineer consists of two chess problems, a feature inaugurated in 1946; the other columns listed are orthodox chess columns.

Peter Werenich won the 6 player Class A High School Championship of Rapid City, S.D., while Tom Ranney topped the 10 player Class B event. Winners were awarded book prizes by the South Dakota Chess Ass'n. Werenich is a promising young DP who may develop into a dangerous player.

Omaha (Neb.) bested Lincoln in an intercity match $9-5$ at Hotel Castle in Omaha to make the series 3-1 in favor of Omaha. Victors for Omaha were A. Ludwig, H. Ohman, R. McLellan, J. Hamlin, B. Rosenthal, J. Feldman, E. Ireland, while L. Magee, D. Saxton, H. Underwood and E. Holland drew. For Lincoln W. Rajnoka, P. Wood and J. Dresmanis tallied, while A. Liepnieks, P. Vumek, F. Hinman and A. Friebergs drew.

## RESHEVSKY WINS NAJDORF MATCH

Although Miguel Najdorf won the final game, Samuel Reshevsky won the match at Buenos Aires by the tight margin of $91 / 2-81 / 2$, winning 6 games, losing 5 , and drawing seven contests.
Reshevsky won the first, third, eleventh, fourteenth, fifteenth, and decisive seventeenth game; Najdorf won the second, seventh, tenth, sixteenth, and eighteenth games; and the others were drawn. The tenth game was a 91 -move battle.

In the match of 1952 Feshevsky scored 11-7 against Najdorf.

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE Third Match Game
Buenos Aires, 1953


# YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM 

## America's Leading Young Masters Annotate

## Outstanding Games from Recent Chess Events

## College Chess

By U. S. Master ELIOT HEARST



A S a June graduate your annotator can look A. back on a very happy four years of college, filled with not-to-be-forgotten experiences in many aspects of university life not the least of which has been competing for Columbia in chess combat! My graduating team-mates, Jimmy Sherwin, Phil Schwartz, Ed Scher, Steve Geller, Dick Minck, and Art Gussaroff, probably have similar feelings and it might be worthwhile someday to make a collection of the games we've played in college competition, for these all have been exciting and vigorous struggles-quite different from the usually 'quieter" games in metropolitan club tournaments! I hope the reader will pardon my sentimentality in annotating the following game, the last yours truly played for Columbia; despite notable inaccuracies on both sides it still exemplifies the nip-andtuck battles that go on in intercollegiate circles!

## FRENCH DEFENSE

Cambridge, 1953
White E. HEARST (Columbia)

1. P-K4
2. P-QK+3

One of the "irregular" methods of meetling the French Defense and one which the writer has found most effective the few times he has attempted it.
Tartakower recommends $2 . \quad \stackrel{\text { P-Q4 }}{2 .}$, P-QB4 Tartakowe
3. B-K+2

Kt-KB3
Again 3. ........, P-QB4 would be preferAgain 3. ......., P-QB4 would be prefer-
able. Here 3......., PxP would be met able. Here 3. ......, PxP would be met
by 4. Kt-QB3 and later Q-K2 eventualby 4. Kt-QB3 and later Q-K2 eventually recovering the KP with a good posi-
tion for White. (Not 4. tion for White. Not 4. ......, P-KB4?
as after 5. P-B3! White has terrific compensation if the second player accepts the pawn sacrifice).
4. Kt-QB3? is poor here because of the answer P-Q5!

$$
\text { 4. } \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4
$$

Another unusual idea. Probably better was 5. P.Q4.

PxP:
Correct! The alternative P-QB3 would be too passive.
6. $P \times P$ is feasible also, but after 6. ....... P.QB4 White's KB doesn't have too rosy a future.
6. ........ 6.

## P-QB4

An inaccuracy. 6. ........, Kt-Kt3 and thence to Q4 was more precise, although white still retains a good attacking formation.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 7. } & \text { Q-K+4 } \\
\text { 8. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KBB} 3
\end{array}
$$

9. Q-Kł3

Kt-QB3
9. O-O would be too speculative as after 9.-......, KKtxP; 10. KtxKt, KtxKt; 11. (White has excellent chances, even so!?).

> 10. P-QR4
> 11. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3!$

P-QR3


So that if Black captures KtxB White can retake with his Knight and put pressure on Black's weak Q3 square.
If Kt-R4, then 12. Kt-KKt5! is a strong answer (allowing Kt -K4 and protecting the QKtP with the Queen). Stronger that the text move is $11 . . . . . . ., \mathbf{B}-Q 2$ followed by 0-0.0, as now white is able to get in P-Q4 effectively.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 12. O-O } & \text { B-Q2 } \\
\text { 13. P-Q4 } & \text { B-B3 } \\
\text { 14. B-K2! } & -\ldots . .
\end{array}
$$

A powerful reply, paving the way for a White Rook to control the QB file, opening QB4 for White's QKt, and mak ing possible capture of Black's KKtP in some variations as White's KKt can now be recaptured with the Bishop in case of BxKt by Black. NOT 14. PxP, BxP; 15. QxKtP?, 0 -0-0 with a virulent attack for Black!
Obviously dangerous but otherwise Black cannot get his pieces into play
15. QR-B1?

KR-B1 was far stronger as White would have no worries about a possible KtR7 by Black (as he does after the text move!).

On 15. ......., Kt-R7 White, realizing the error of his 15th move, intended to continue 16. R-R1, Kt-Kt5; 17. KR-B1!

## 16. $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{xP}$

Switching another piece to the $Q$-side assault. 16. BxQP is met by $\mathrm{Kt}(3) \cdot \mathrm{Q} 4$ with an adequate defense.
16. .....

K-K+1?
Black attempts to get off the terrible open file. 16. ......, Kt-R7; 17. R-R1, BxKt; 18. BxB, RxKt; 19. RxKt leaves White with the edge, but it was Black's best chance.


This Knight remains en prise to the QRP for 6 moves and each time there is a different reason why it cannot be taken. Reason No. 1: 17. ......., PxKt; 18. PxP regaining the piece with a terrific attack.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 17. } \mathrm{K} \cdot & Q-Q 2 \\
\text { 18. } \mathrm{KR} \cdot 11 & K+(3)-Q 4
\end{array}
$$

Reason No. 2: $18 . \quad . . . . . .$, PxKt; 19. KtxB ch winning Black's Queen.

The only way to put pressure on Black's defense. On 19. KtxB ch, KtxKt leaves Black with a tenable game. Reason No. 3: 19. ........, PxKt; 20. PxP!, trapping the Bishop with a winning game for White.
$\qquad$

## P-KK+3

As Black can do nothing on the Q-side, he tries to get his KB developed at Kt2 or R3 while rendering his KKtP no longer subject to attack.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ger subject } \\
& \text { 20. } \mathbf{K + x B ~ c h}
\end{aligned}
$$

If now KtxKt there follows 21. BxKt, PxB; 22. P:K6 ch or 21. ........, PxKt; 22. B-B3 (or PxP!?) winning easily.


A cute answert 20. ........, PxKt was expected where 21. BxKt! is quite powerful, e.g., KPxB; 22. P-K6 ch or 21 . ........; BPxB; 22. R-B7, Q-K1; 23. Q-K3, P-Q5; 24. RxP1, PxKt; 25. R(4)-Q7! (or RxR ch). On 21. ......, KtxB; 22. Kt-Q4 leaves White with a positional advantage, though this line gives Black better chances than the text, tricky as it may be. Reason No. 4: Black's King is in check!
21. Q-KB3!

Not 21. BxKt because of the neat reply RxB! with mate threats on the eighth rank. The text threatens BxKt by protecting Q1 square and also menaces the KBP of Black in many variations.
On 21. 21. ......... Q-K1; 22, Kt-Q6!
Reason Q6! would prove PxKt; 22 BxKt, Q-Q2; 23. BxKtP1 22. BxKt

Obviously PxB was not playable because there is no answer to 23 . Q-Kt3! or P-K6 immediately. Reason No. 6 22. ......., PxKt; 23. BxKtP.

No more reasons necessary!
On 23. ........, B-Kt2; 24. KtxKt, PxKt; 25 R-B5 wins a pawn (25. P-K6?, PxP), R-B5 wis, R-B4 (25. P-K-K 1 2
There is nothing better.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 25. KtxKt } \\
& \text { 26. R-B4 }
\end{aligned}
$$

PxKt
26. R-Q4 also wins but the text involves a number of "cheapos,"" e.g., 26. ......... KR-B1; 27. B-R3!, BxKP; 28. BxR, BxR; 29. QxB ch (or 27. ........, B-R3 28. BXR, BxR; 29. B-Q6 ch).

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 26. } & \text { Q-K3 } \\
\text { 27. } R \times B P & B \times P \text { ? }
\end{array}
$$

Loses a piece. The only hope was KRB1 whereupon 28. RxR wins the endB1 wh
game.
game.
28. R-K1I

R-QB1


Sunday,

Black had intended 28. $\qquad$ , BxP ch but now saw that it is adequately met by 29. K-B11, Q moves; 30. BxR, RxB; 31 . P-Kt3! trapping another piece. Another, prettier, refutation of BxP ch is 29 .
 Q-Kt5 (forced); $32 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ picking up a stray Rook.
29. P-K+3

White overlooked 29 . RxB which wins immediately as QxR for Black is m possible due to 30 . BxQ ch! The move played wins a piece also.
So that if 30 . QxQ, BxB leaves Black with some chances.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { me cnances, Resigns } \\
& 30 \text {. } \mathbf{B \times B ~ c h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Black's Queen will not live for the coronation!

## Contributors to the <br> YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM <br> Hans Berliner <br> Arthur Bisguier Eliot Hearst <br> George Kramer Carl Pilnick <br> James Sherwin Walter Shipman Saul Wachs

San Francisco defeated Bay Area in the annual team match 13-11 at Mechanics Institute in San Francisco. Scoring for San Fran were D. Poliakiff, C. Capps, W. Addison, C. Linklater, O. Wreden, K. Bendit, H. Branton, P. Petersen, Dr. M. Korshet, while C. Bagby, A. J. Fink, R. Currie, A. Palmin, W. Leeds, J. Hill, S. Van Gelder and N. Beloff drew. For Bay Area R. E. Burger, C. Sedlack, Luc Huang, C. Wilson, R. Cuneo, E.A. Yaeger and R. Freeman tallied, while M.O. Meyer, V. Zemitis, R. Hultgren, E. Hoffer, J. Kalnins, J. Escobar, G. Hultgren and L. A. Post drew.

## 箇

INDUSTRIAL CHESS LEAGUE (Cleveland): In the final championshtp battle of Eastern and Western Division winners, the victory went to Cleveland Twist Drill with U.S. Post Office a close second.
Cleveland Twist Drill.... Matches Games U.S. Post Office U.S. Post Office

Lubrizol Corp.
....23- 1
$\ldots . . .0-3$
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## The Pilgrim Must Progress

The bitter goes before the sweet, Yeas, and for as much as it doth, it makes the sweat the sweeter.

## BUNYAN-Pilgrim's Progress

ACORRESPONDENT who shall remain nameless protests the amount of space devoted to Dr. Lasker's fantasies in "Chess" and their rebuke. His plea is for harmony, for he states that the beholding of constant dissention among the leaders of organized chess is detrimental to the growth of chess. Unfortunately, our correspondence seems to believe in unilateral harmony-we must remain silent (that is harmony) and our enemies may say unrebuked whatever they chose. He does not suggest that the cooperation should be two-sided.

We find our correspondent a bit naive. He holds a responsible position in a big corporation which achieved its eminence through blood and sweat and tears in a struggle that was not always dignified with harmony or charity, however noble its present eminence permits it to be. While it grew it cherished few illusions about the nobility of its opposition and did not hesitate to fight with whatever weapons lay readiest to hand.

Our well-meaning correspondent is naive in believing that chess or any other human endeavor can be divorced from the human element of strife. So many men, so many opinions, says the Latin aphorism. There will always be strife where men meet and opinions clash. It need not be violent strife-it can be dignified if the opposing forces both have dignity and honor. But when the weapons become the fabric of untruth, there is no answer but to expose the false texture of the cloth. To permit the wide circulation of falsehood without protest is to court disaster and deserve contempt.

Our gentle correspondent expresses a fear of exposing those chess players whom he shepherds to the malignancy of truth as expressed in CHESS LIFE. He does not want them to learn the facts of life in regard to chess, but to cherish the fond illusion that in chess all is love and harmony. His attitude is rather like the doting mother who will not let her child play with the "rough" boys in the neighborhood because she intends to rear a perfect little gentleman-and the perfect little gentleman she raises is often at a startled disadvantage when at last he faces life on his own and has to deal with those "rough" boys grown to men. Our correspondent's chess players may eventually be in the same position of startled alarm when they do join chess clubs, and find that even locally all is not sweetness and light, for there never was a chess club yet that sometime did not shelter dissidence and strife. Chess is no more harmonious than life.

But it is a mistake our correspondent shares with many other idealist to believe that conflict is in itself without value. There has been no achievement that reached the climax of accomplishment without travail and tribulation in its gestation. For the bitter goes before the sweet. Yea, and for as much as it doth, it makes the sweet sweeter.

## Strike It From The Record

IN the June issue of CHESS is published the following statement over the name of Edward Lasker:

I have learned that you have printed in CHESS an excerpt from a letter I wrote you, part of which volced criticism of USCF activities and mentioned names. I had not infended any part of my letter for publication, and as I do not wish to enter into any polemics, please publish this letter as a refraction on my part of the critical remarks 1 had made to you.
The same issue contains a ridiculous statement by Mr. N. T. Whitaker, which we do not propose to dignify by answering. But since Mr.

Whitaker pretends to be championing a position which he infers is taken by USCF President Harold M. Phillips, it is only just to publish the fact that President Phillips voted in favor of the special resolution which made Mr. Kenneth Harkness the USCF Business Manager.

In justice to Mr. Glenn Hartleb, whose name is mentioned freely by Mr. Whitaker, it should be noted also that Mr. Hartleb was not deposed from the office of Membership Secretary (as Mr. Whitaker subtly infers) but resigned of his own volition several months before the annual USCF Business Meeting at Tampa in 1952, refusing indeed to reconsider his resignation when asked by USCF President Harold M. Phillips to remain in office.

We have not always agreed with USCF President Harold M. Phillips upon matters of policy, but we have never questioned his integrity nor his steadfast will to do what he believes to be right. We feel President Phillips deserves a better fate than that of having is position misrepresented in Mr. Whitaker's propaganda.

## Jhe Reader's Road Jo Chess

By Kester Svendsen

## FOREIGN TRADE

TwWO French primers and the current file of Otto Katzer's SchachL. Echo are up for mention this time. Jacques Lechalet's Traite Complet du Jeu des Echecs ( 32 pp .) and Pierre Vincent and Maurice Beaucaire's more ambitious Pour Apprendre a Jover aux Echecs ( 146 pp .), both published by S. Bornemann of Paris, are not so sumptuously presented as American introductions but do contain the essential information. Their great usefulness to players in the United States is as a dictionary of French terms. Even if one does not read French, he can piece out chess vocabulary from his knowledge of the game and then go on to French journals. Recent issues of Schach-Echo fulfill the promise of the revival mentioned in this space some time ago. Games, news, theoretical studies, problems, and each issue a leading article make up the offering. Of interest to us particularly is the reprinting in the latest number of Chess Review's March editorial on Soviet chess.

## The Kibitzer Has His Day

## A State Chapter Speaks Up!

## Dear Mr. Major:

Becoming an officer of a State Organization is an honor and an obligation, and only those who have proven their ability to conduct a statewide organization and cooperate with the National officers should be elected.

Speaking for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, we feel we have an active and efficient organization in our state. Our elected officers are of the highest caliber and are elected on their ability. We have representatives throughout the state who keep us advised as to what the membership wants and we endeavor to give the majority a program that suits their requirements. But in all our endeavors our ultimate goal is the elevation of chess to its rightful place as a healthy and educational recreation.

Our scholarship program is one example. We have members who are constantly teaching schoolboy groups the fundamentals of chess and conducting schoolboy competition. We have no idea how much this has reduced juvenile delinquency in our state, but we know of no youngster with an interest in chess that has become a juvenile delinquent. Together with our hospital program and many tournaments we are continuously stimulating the interest in chess and correspondingly increasing the membership and participation in our state organization.

Too often the election of officers is based on popularity rather than ability and once elected, the officers, who have accepted the honor bestowed upon them, promptly become too busy to take care of their responsibility. It is time for those who have the interest of Chess at heart to clean house, when conditions such as these exist, and form a firm state organization on which the National Organization can build.

From the foregoing you can gather that we in Massachusetts are proud of our organization, our activities, our constitution and by-laws and our officers. We are organized along the lines set down by the United States Chess Federation and cooperate one-hundred percent. If any state chapters have difficulty in organizing their state chapters, we will be glad to answer correspondence to the best of our ability. Those who neglect to drink from the spring of experience are apt to die of thirst in the desert of ignorance. Our main concern is a strong National Organization based upon dependable State Organizations and if we in Massachusetts can help attain this ultimate goal we will be glad to do so.

STANLEY W. D. KING,
President, MSCA
Quincy, Massachusetts

By International Master HERMAN STEINER

## Hypothetical Game

## Illustrating Principles, Rules, and Objectives (Continued from issue of June 20)

Of course, Black can see all this and his problem is to meet it with safety, that is, without the loss of any of the Elements (Force, Time, or Space). It is at this point that he must take ample time before deciding on his course. Snap judgment invariably results in blunders.

Again, "What does my opponent threaten?" We can see that White threatens First Objective (P-Q4) This threat is the important point. We immediately think, "Can we capture this dangerous Bishop with our own?" Before we move we must ask, "What will be the result?" It is true that we temporarily nullify the threat of White's First Objective, but we would also open up a file for him which eventually might be occupied by his major forces (Rooks, Queen) not to mention the fact that we have exchanged one Force for another (Bishops). In addition, the Black Bishop controlled four square of opponent's territory, and is exchanged for one which controlled only three. After the exchange of Bishops, Black would control eight squares while White controls eleven!


You need not be a mathematician to figure out that Black would make a bad exchange. He would lose Space and help White to gain his Second Objective, which would put him ahead in Time, without compensation. Black was under the delusion that by exchanging the Bishops, he created a weakness - a double Pawn the Third Objective. But is this a genuine weakness? It must be remembered that a weakness is only a weakness if it can be attacked with more of the opponent's Forces than can be defended by our own. The student should take a good look again at the last diagram. He will find that White can easily defend his Pawn on K3 with more than three of his Forces, while Black can only attack it with two of his. In itself, that is sufficient reason for not making the move. Remember that you should never get into a situation in which the results cannot
be foreseen, otherwise you find yourself on the losing end.
Black must first determine what his opponent's threat is. He finds that his opponent threatens his First Objective. This threat also establishes the fact the BxB loses Time and Space and that by playing the Knight or the Bishop to Q5 he will create a weakness after several exchanges on this square. So he must reconcile this with the fact that White's move of P-Q4 must be allowed. Here the question of timing arises. It is clear that White's P-Q4 move is strong only because it attacks the Black Bishop at B5. This is the "giveaway" in the problem, "the fly in the ointment." Here is the solution: Both sides have threatened First Objective and nullified it several times. Had these been overlooked, the one who achieved the First Objective would have gained a slight advantage in §pace.
The only problem now is the attacked B. Assuming that the Bishop is not attacked, could White still play P-Q4 with safety? The answer to this is that we must know when it is safe to make a move without loss of any of the Elements. This means we can only exchange with safety when we have just as many Forces controlling the occupied squares as our opponent has, and also that the exchanged Forces are equal in value. Neither Space or Time is lost by this exchange. Further, Black could allow it if he gained any of the Elements by it, which would make P-Q4 unsafe.


After Black plays $6 . . . .$. , B-Kt 3
Black plays 6 ., $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+3$ in spite of the fact that it is not a developing move, and that it does not control the greatest number of opponent's squares. The chief importance of the move is that it nullifies White's threat of the First Objective. Now, if White plays P-Q4, Black reasons thus: "What does my opponent threaten?" If nothing, "What can I threaten?" He comes to the conclusion that, after all the exchanges, he will come out on the short end, with a loss of Space.

Black also knows that he can attack this center Pawn once more by playing B-KKt5.


The student will ask: "How does this move attack the Pawn (Q4)?"
 by Bishop, Knight, and Queen, a total of three, it has been attacked with Pawn, Bishop, and Knightalso a total of three pieces. Black pins the Knight with B-Kt5, thus restricting its power and rendering it useless, because if the Knight moves, BxQ would be the answer. B-Kt5, therefore, attacks the Pawn on Q4 indirectly. This is the fourth time that the center Pawn has been attacked. Although it is supposed to be a strength (if moved with safety), it actually becomes a weakness-a hanging target.

It is true that it can capturePxP, or KtxP, or move, by playing P-Q5, or Kt-Q5, but either of these allows Black to control more Space than his opponent. The student has learned by now that a premature play for an Objective is undesirable, and although it does not lose Force, it gives the opponent initiative. The foregoing discloses the fact that moving the Bishop has proved that P-Q4 (First Objective) was played prematurely and that it was not achieved with safety.
(To be continued in next issue)

## Solutions:-

White to Play and Win
Position No. 219: 1. Kt-K3 ch, K-Kt5; 2. Kt-BB5 (not 2. B-Kt8, B-Q5 ch!), BB3!; 3. B-Kt8, K-R5; 4. BxKt, B-Q1 ch; 5. K-R6, BxB; 6. B-K8 ch, K-Kt5; 7. KtK7! and wins, for the Black B is trapped.

Position No. 220: 1. B-Q2, Kt-Kt7; 2. B-B1, Kt-B4 (if 2......., Kt-R4; 3. B-Kt5); 3. BxKt, K-B4; 4. B-B7, Kt-K3! (if Black can win a piece safely, it is a draw); 5. Kt-K3 ch, K-K5; 6. Kt-Q5, KxxKt; 7. B-Kt2 mate. If 6. ......., KtxB; 7. KtxxKt leaves White a win, while other wise Kt and two Bs will win against Kt and $P$ in time.

Lincoln (Neb.) Chess Club: Alexander Liepnieks won the Spring Club tourney, a 7 round Swiss, scoring 7.0. Second place went to Joe Warner with 52113 , losing to Liepnicks and drawing with Anton Sildmets who placed third on S-B with 5-2; Kenwood Opp was fourth, also with 5-2, while Dr. Edgar Hinman scored $41-2\rfloor$ for fifth.

BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!
By Joining the U.S.C.F.
(h)ess Cife

## Chess difo $y_{n} \eta_{\text {ew }} Y_{\text {ork }}$ <br> By Eliot Hearst

A TRIP to Denmark involving the initial participation of a U.S. player in the World Junior Championship has elicited a great deal of interest here in New York chess circles, and Jimmy Sherwin, the U.S. representative, spent the final week preceding his departure via the Queen Mary in a very active fashion himself! Not content with completely spending his last days before sailing in "quiet" old New York, Sherwin made a quick trip to Wilmington, N. Car. to direct the Carolinas Championship and, before returning to his Yankee environment, even wiled away a few pleasant days at the home of Kit Crittenden (the winner of the Carolinas tourney!) in $\bar{R}$ aleigh. But $\overline{\text { New }}$ Y Y̌ork chess clubs beckoned and the wandering junior returned home in time to attract all comers to two simultancous exhibitions before the Europe bound Queen Mary left for England.

Sandwiching these exhibitions at the Brooklyn and Marshall Chess Clubs in between the necessary visits to passport officials, draft board heads and chess organizers, Jimmy performed quite well and achieved the record of 10 wins and 2 draws (with experts Henry Spinner and Carmine Nigro) at the former club, defeating some of the group's leading players in the process. At the Marshall C. C., Sherwin's home club which was responsible for collecting the major portion of the expense money necessary for the trip to Copenhagen he met eighteen opponents and concluded with a score of 13 wins, 2 draws (with J. Kelly and E. Bergel) and 3 losses; the defeats came at the hands of J. Petras, W. Wolff (a very talented youngster from Verona, N. J. and who played a fine game), and a combined team of Al Weissman, Jerry Donovan, Walter Shipman and Edmar Mednis, the consultants containing several of the top players in the country, as will be recognized! This latter exhibition was held on the eve of Sherwin's departure and, at the conclusion of play, the term "Bon Voyage!" seemed to have almost completely replaced the older and more widely used "Goodbye!"
. Bon Voyage, J.T.S.
IN BRIEF: The all-master Invitational Minute Chess Tourney has not yet been completed and the current standings find Bisguier and Seidman leading with $5^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$ scores; Pavey has 4-1, Hearst and Mengarini 4-4, Sherwin 3-5, Sussman $2^{1 / 2}-3^{1 / 2}$, Levy $2-6$ and Pilnick $11 / 2-51 / 2$. Final results depend primarily on the Bisguier-Pavey encounter to be played . . . Marshall C. C. championship preliminaries have begun and leading scores include J. Richman 3-0, J. T. Westbrock $2 \frac{1}{2}-1 / 2$, A. Saidy, K. Stern, I. Romanenko, and M. DeLieto 2.0...
(Please turn to page 7, col. 3)

## GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, New York State Champion, 1953

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## RUY LOPEZ

MCO: page 250, column 103, (1) Hollywood Invitational
Los Angeles, 1953
Notes by Senior Master George Kramer White
L. SPINNER H. STEINER

1. P-K4 P-K4 3. B-K +5 STEINER P-QR3 2. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \quad$ 4. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 4 \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
 line in which the Lopez Bishop is quickly eliminated. It deserves more $\begin{array}{llll}\text { trials. } & \text { B. } & 0.0 & \text { B-K2 } \\ \text { 5. } & \text { B-K+3 }\end{array}$ 6. Q-K2 P-QK 44 8. P-B3

The Marshall attack is a favorite.Q4 Steiner's. Spinner decides to avoid the 9. Problems of 9. PxP.

Threatening to saddle White with Q.
side headaches after 10. ........, PxP and

## 10. PXP Kt×QP

10. ....... PxP allows White too much play with 11. P-K5. The text leads to an exchange of weak squares (White's

11. B-K3

Q-Q3
Immediate pressure on the B file. Black reacts strongly and unexpectedly with 13. .....
which leaves his QR somewhat exposed. The
14.
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}+1$
offer
is
15.
B-R7

15. R-B6 leads to nothing after Q-Q1 or Q2.
15.
Threatening the R and mate in two. Preventing 18. BxR because of BxP, and 18 . K-K2 because of KtxP; 19. ${ }_{18}^{\text {BxKt, Kt-Q2 }}$ Rt5 ch ; $20 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{QxP}$.
18. Kt -Q2 $\mathrm{KtxP}{ }^{\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5}$ 18. K.Q.... KtxP is very tempting but
apparently fails after 19 . Kt-B3


24. KxKt, K-R1; 25. Q-R1, P-B4 ch; 26 .

KvP and the mate just isn't there.
$19 . \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
White has weathered the storm and is ready to pick up his reward at QKts. Steiner has other ideas.
19.
The only way to
20. $\mathrm{KtxKt} \quad \mathbf{Q x K t}$ aintain chances.
21. BxR immediately loses to B-Kt5. Now
P-B3.
21. ..
P.QB3

PERSONAL SERVICE
The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you a thorough postgame analysis. Fee $\$ 10$.

Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of your games for a fee of $\$ 5$.
(hess Sife
Sunday, Page 6
July 5, 1953

Probably to try for counterplay after 22. BxP with P-B4. But 23. Q•R3 should be good enough.
White decldes ${ }_{\text {PXB }}^{\text {to }}$
22.
Black has a pawn for the exchange and implied threats.
Opens himself up a bit, but not bad.
 Preparing for P-KR4 or Q-R5 depending on necessity.
25 . BxP?
But here White goes wrong. 25. P-B5,
 ch; 0 . K-B2 wins); 26 . K-K2, PxP; 27 R-R1, PxP; 28. QxP wins.

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 26. BXQP } & \text { B-Kt5 } & \text { 28. Q-B2 }\end{array}$

28. ......... B-K+6 29 . Q-K3?
After use Pavey's expression. 29. Q-B2 gives him a few chances. If P-B4; 29 . Q-Kt3 ing. Steiner now concludes dynamically, $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 29. Steiner now chencer } & \text { P-B4 } & \text { 33. Q-Q5 ch } & \text { K-Ri } \\ \text { 30. P-K5 } & \text { P-B5 } & \text { 34. PXP } & \text { RXP ch }\end{array}$ 31. P-K5
32. 32. Q-Kt P.B6

## 雪

## SEIDMAN'S TREATMENT

U.S. Chess Master Herbert Seidman comes up with an original and aggressive treatment of the Hague System of the Sicilian Defense against Columnist Eliot Hearst.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

MCO: page 286, Column 110 30-30 Tourney
New York, 1953

White New Black

$\begin{array}{llclll}\text { 1. } & \text { P-K4 } & \text { P-QB4 } & \text { 4. } & \text { KtxP } & \text { Kt-KB3 } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { Kt-KB3 } & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3 & \text { 5. } & \mathrm{Kt-QB3} & \mathrm{P} \text { P-QR3 }\end{array}$
Signalling the Paulsen Variation, or one of its offspring, and a rejection of the better known Dragon Variation
6. P-KK+3
6. P-B4 and 7. B-Q3 has been seen a good deal lately.
The Hague System. Black seeks early queen-side action. With 6. ........, P-K4; the so-called Tcheppy variation is established.
7. B-K+2

Threatening 8. P-K5.
Horowitz-Denker, New York, 1948-49, continued with 8. P-QR4.
8. .-....... P-K3

Threatening 9. ........, P-Kt5; and 10. ........, KtxP.
9. Q-K2 QKt-Q2 10. R-Q1!?

Fine-Najdorf, New York, 1948-49, went on with 10. P-QR3 (evidently to prevent 10. ......, P-Kt5) Q-B2; 11. P-B4, R-B1; 12. P-R3, Q-B5; 13. Q-B2, P-Q4; with chances for both sides.
If 10. P-K5? BxB; 11. PxKt, BxR; 12. PxP, BxP; wins. But 10. R-Q- threatens 11. P-K5, BxB; 12. PxKt, B-Kt2; 13. KtxKP, PxKt; 14. QxPch, B-K2; 15. PxP winning-and activates a White Rook much sooner than in standard variamuch
tions.
10
0. ....... Q-B2?

Black should react more vigorously with 10. ......., P-Kt5!; 11. Kt-Kt1 (11. P-K5? BxB; 12. PxKt, KtxP; 13. KxB PxKt; 14. PxP, Q-B2; with the better game for Black) Q-B2.

1. P-QR4!

This and the next move are reminiscent of the Alekhine Variation in the Slav Defense.
11. P-Kts 12. Kt-R2 Q-R4? A palliative in a crisis. If there is a remedy, it is 12. ......., P-Q4. After the text, Black never has a chance.
13. B-Q2

A move too late. If 13. ......., QxP?; 14. KtxKtP wins the Black Queen. K+xB
14. P×P
 On 15. , QxB ; 16. K $\dagger x$ KPl!


A mean move, which picks up at least three Pawns for a piece, and one particularly difficult to meet at the speed of 30 moves in 30 minutes.
16. …... PxKt 17. QxPch Kt-K2 Or 17. ........, B-K2; (17. ........, K-Q1; 18. B-Kt5ch wins) 18. BxP, KtxB; 19. RxKt, Q-B4; 20. KtxKt, QxKt; 21. P-QB3, Q-B4; 22. R-K1, wins.
18. BXP Q-KB4

If 18. ......., QxP; 19. BxKt, BxB; 20. $\mathrm{Kt}^{\mathrm{Kt} 3}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 21$. ${ }^{21} \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5$, wins.
19. Q-Q6 R-Q1

And it is good-bye to a fourth Pawn. But if 19. ......., P-QR4; 20. B-R3, followed by $21 . \mathrm{Kt}$-B3 and 22 . Kt-Kt5.
20. QxP

N-Q4
If 20 . ........, QxP; one move to win is
21. B-R5. The text results in exchanges and an ending in which the surplus of White Pawns are easily turned to account.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { count. } \\ \text { 21. Q-K+5 } & \text { R-QKt1 } & \text { 24. K+xB } & \text { R×K } \\ \text { 22. }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 22. QxKt } & \text { QXQ } & \text { 25. QR-Q1 } & \text { R-Kt2 } \\ \text { 23. RXQ } & \text { BXB } & \text { 26. P-R5 } & \cdots . . . . .\end{array}$ The Pawns are coming. $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 26. } & \text { R-QK+4 } & \text { Kt-K3 } & \text { 29. P-K+5 }\end{array} \quad$ R-QB1 28. R-K5ch K-B2 31. R-QB1! ........ Tight-fisted.
32. R-Q5

| $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{Q}$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K}$ |
| B |

33. 

If 33 .
P-R7. wins.
34. R-K1ch
34. R-Klch K-B2
35. R-Q4 P-Kt4 41. R(4)-B5 R-Q8Ch
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 36. P-R3 } & \text { P-R4 } & \text { 42. K-Kt2 } & \text { Kt-Q2 } \\ \text { 37. R-K5 } & \text { P-K }+5 & \text { 43. R-Q5 } & \text { R×R }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 38. R-B4 } & \text { K-Kł3 } & \text { 44. P-R7! Resigns }\end{array}$
The extra Rook and Knight are no match for the three passed-pawns. If 44. ........ R-Kt1; (44. …..., RxR; 45 . PxRch, KxP; 46. P-R8-Q wins) 45. PxR-Q, KtxQ; 46. RxR wins.

## RUY LOPEZ

MCO: page 252, column 115 (18)
Massachusetts Open Championship Springfield, 1953
White
Black
c. GROSSGUTH
R. E. KUNITZ

1. P-K4 P-K4
2. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 4 \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 2. } & \mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{KB} 3 \\ \text { 3. } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+5\end{array}$
Kt-QB3
3. P-Q4

This should secure no more than equal chances.

## 5. P-QK+4

Dubious. Favorable to Black is 5 . ........, PxP; 6. Q-K2, Q-K2; 7. O-O, KtxP; 8. Kt-B4; 9. Q-Q1, Kt-K3!
6. $\mathbf{P x P}$

Much better is 6. B-Kt3 (one does not part with the Lopez Bishop, or any other Bishop, without good and sufficient reason), PxP; 7. P-K5, Kt-K5; 8. B-Q5, B-Kt5ch; 9. P-B3, PxP; 10. O-O!
6. ........ KKtxP?

Black should go for the Two Bishop advantage with 6, ........, PxB; 7. PxKt, QxP.
7. B-Kt3 Kt-B4 10. QKt-Q2 B-K2
8. B-Q5 B-K+2 11, P-KR4 P-R3

Creating an attractive target for White's 12. ar . $\mathrm{K}_{2}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 12. Q-K2 R-QKt1 } & \text { 13. O-0.0 }\end{array}$
Quite logical. White sets himself to exploit his opponent's faulty develop. ment and exposed KRP.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 13. } \\ \text { 14. } & \mathrm{BxB} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5} & \text { 15, } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4 \text { ? }\end{array}$
Why give a Pawn? 15. K-Kt1!
Why not take a Pawn? 15. ......... KtxPch!
16. P-R3 Kt-Q4 17, P-KKt4 KtxPch! The attack on the KRP beglis
The attack on the KRP begins.
17.
1.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 18. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4} & \text { Q-Kt1 } & \text { 21. P.R4 }\end{array}$
19. Kt×Kt QPxKt

Naturally Black is not permitted to open the QKt-file.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 21. .......- P-Kt6 } & \text { 22, P-B3 } & 0.0\end{array}$
Black is in hot water whether or not he castles.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 23. P-Kt5 } & \text { KtxB } & \text { 24. QxKt } & \text { P-R4 }\end{array}$ Not relishing the thought of 25 . P×P and 26. QR-Kt1(ch). If 24, ......., PxP. 25. RPXP, and White will win quickly with Q-R3.
25. Kt -B6ch!


Decisive.
25. ….... BXKt

If 25 . ........, K-R1; 26 . KtxP or 26. Kt-Q7 wins. And if 25. ......., PxKt; 26. KtPxP, R-B1; (if 26. ......., B-Q1; 27. Q-Kt3ch and 28. Q-Kt7 mate) 27. PxB, RxP; 28. KRKt1ch wins.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 26. K+PxB } & \text { P-K+3 } & \text { 27. P-B5 }\end{array}$
Threatening 28. Q-R6.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 27. } & \text { K-R2 } & \text { 29. Q-K4 } & \text { R/2-KB2 }\end{array}$
A bit more stubborn is 29.,....., Q-K1.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 30. KR-Kt1 R-Kt1 } & \text { 31. R-Kt5 } \\ \text { Gaining time to double Rooks by threat- }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { ening 32. RxPeh. } \\ \text { K1.R3 } & \text { 32. QR-K+1 R×P }\end{array}$ As they say around the clubs, resigns $\begin{array}{lll}\text { was stronger. } \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { 33. PxR }\end{array} & \text { 34. Q-KB4 Resigns }\end{array}$

## 24. P-B5?

White allows his QB to be trapped and loses soon afterwards. But a different 24th move would have put another complexion on matters.
TCHIGORIN INDIAN DEFENSE
MCO: page 96, column 76
Queen City Chess Club

## Championship Buffalo, 1953 <br> Buffalo, 1953

White
R. T. BLACK

Black
$\begin{array}{llr}\text { 1. } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { Kt-KB3 } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { P-QB4 } & \text { P-Q3 }\end{array}$
Usual is 3. $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \\ \mathrm{QK} \text {. }\end{gathered}$

| 4. | B-K 12 | QKt-Q2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | P-K4 |  |

4. B-Kt2 P-K4
Preferable is 5. P-K4 and 6. Kt-K2.
5. 

Not 5. ........., P-K5?; 6. Kt-Kt5, Q-K2; 7.
Kt-QB3, and White wins the KP.
Kt-QB3, and White wins the KP.
6. O-O B-K2 8, P-KR3 $\begin{array}{lcll}\text { 6. } & \text { O-O } & \text { B-K2 } & \text { 8. } \\ \text { 7. P-KR3 }\end{array}$
White can renounce $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ altogether
and continue with 9. B-K3 and 10 .
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { and continue with 9. B-K3 and } \\ \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1, \text { a la Rubinstein. } \\ \text { Q } & \\ \text { Q-B2 } & \text { 10. R-K1 } & \text { Kt-B1 }\end{array}$
 followed by 12 . ......... Kt-B4; deserves
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { attention. } \\ \text { 11. B-K3 } & \text { B-Q2 } & \text { 13. P-B5? }\end{array}$

This should lose a Pawn. Correct is 13. Q-Kt3. $\mathbf{K t}-\mathrm{K}+3$ ?
Best is 13. ......., QPxP! 14. PxBP, QBxP; winning a Pawn by threatening the Queen. If 13. ......., QPxP!; 14. KtxP? PxP; 15. BxP (if 15 , KtxB; QxKt wins; and if 15 . QxP, BxP wins) B-K3 and (15. Q-Kt3, B-K3) BxB 16. PxKt, BxKt; 17. P×B, QxP; and Black has a won game.

If 16. BxP, Kt-Q6; 17. P-K5, KtxKP. If 16. QxQ, BxQ; 17. KtxKt, BxKt; 18 . BxP, R-R1; 19. B-K3, BxKt; 20. RxB, RxRP; and White has nothing.

17. P-B4

This is somewhat weakening. Better is $17 . \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{R} 4$.
$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { 18 17. Q-R4. } & \text { Q-QR4 } & \text { 20. R×R } & \text { R×R } \\ \text { 17. } & \text { R-B3 } & \text { Q-Q-K3 } & \text { 21. R-Q1 } & \text { P-KR3 }\end{array}$
B-QKt5
To give the King air and thereby threaten to win a queen-side Pawn. 22. RXRCh QXR 23. BXP

Risky, but just playable. After 23. P. K5, Black's queen-side pawn majority remains an advantage.


Menacing 24 . $\qquad$ Q-B2; and 25. QxB. But 23. ….., BxKt; 24. QxB, BxQRP; with a probable draw, is the soundest course.

## 24. P-B5?

Whereas this loses, 24. P-K5! avoids loss and may even win! And this despite the trapped QB. E.g., on 24. ......, B-Q4; or $2 . . . . . .$. , Kt-Q4; White has the strong reply $25 . \mathrm{Q}$-Q3! Or if 24 . ......., BW4er, 25 . K-R2! Q-Q5; 26 . Kt-K21 and White is on top. And on 24. P-K5! Kt25. P-B5! A surprising turn of events. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 25. ....... A } & \text { B-B5! 25. P-K5 }\end{array}$ White cannot defend against both the mating attack (25........, B-B4 (ch) and QB (25., Q-Q5) and the threat to his QB (25."......., Q-B2).

| 25. $\ldots$ K-R2... B-B4ch | 27. Q-Q1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Now if 27. Kt-K2, BxKt; wins. |  |
| 27. ....... QxP | 29. BxKtP |
| 28. Q-QBCh K-R2 | 30. B-B2 |
| Threatening to hit the KKtP |  |
| time with 31. ........, | P-R5. |
| 31. Kt-K4 ........ |  |
| Drops a plece. |  |
| 31. ....... KtxKt | Resigns |
| 32. $\mathbf{B \times K t} \mathrm{Q}$ - |  |
| After 33. QxB, B-B8 | ; forces mate |

GUEST ANNOTATORS George Kramer

## SOUTH TEXAS OPEN

## Corpus Christi, 1953

| 1. John Hudson (Houston) | D4 | W9 | W11 | W5 | 4]. 1 | 17.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. John B. Payne (San Antonio) ........W21 | W17 | D5 | W10 | D6 | $4-1$ | 13.00 |
| 3. Robert Brleger (Houston) .................W6 | W8 | D11 | L5 | W10 | 3 ${ }^{2}-1 \frac{1}{6}$ | 13.75 |
| 4. William Bills (Houston) | D1 | L10 | W12 | W7 | 31-11 | 12.25 |
| 5. Leslie Ghetzler (San Antonio) .......W17 | W20 | D2 | W3 | L1 | 31-11 | 12.00 |
| 6. Homer Faber (Corpus Christi) .......L3 | W21 | W20 | W14 | D2 | 31-13 | 9.50 |
| 7. Jack D. Moore (Corp. Christi) ........W12 | L11 | W18 | W8 | L4 | 3-2 | 10.00 |
| 8. Richard Carson (Houston) ................W22 | L3 | W13 | L7 | W11 | 3-2 | 9.00 |
| 9. Blake Stevens (San Antonio) ..........W16 | D10 | L1 | W21 | D13 | $3-2$ | 8.50 |
| 10. Harley D. Wilbur (Corp. Christi) ....W13 | D9 | W4 | L12 | L3 | 2].2d | 10.00 |
| 11. Leon Poliakoff (San Antonio) .........W15 | W7 | D3 | L1 | L8 | 23-2 | 9.25 |
| 12. James A. Creighton (Corp. Christi) L7 | W15 | D14 | L4 | W18 | 2 2 -2 $2 \downarrow$ | 7.00 |
| 13. Henry Youngman (Corp. Christi) ....L10 | W16 | L8 | W20 | D9 | 23-23 | 00 |
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Fresno (Calif.) Chess Club: International Master Imre Konig scored $121 / 2$ $31 / 2$ in a simultaneous exhibition, playing two games with each opponent. M. Hailparn tallied the only win from the master and drew, while P. Smith (twice), B. Womack and Leo Legler held the draws.

## USCF OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP Milwaukee, Wis. August 10-21, 1953 <br> Place: Eagle's Club, 2401 West Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wis.

Eligibility: Open to any chess player who is a member in good standing of the USCF. Players must show membership cards or pay $\$ 5.00$ annual USCF dues.
Entries Close: Entries must be postmarked not later than August 3, 1953 and should be sent to the treasurer, Dr. O.M.J. Wehrley, 506 Tower Bldg., Milwaukee, Wis.
Entry Fee: $\$ 15.00$ including the rating fee of the USCF.
Prizes: Guaranteed first prize of $\$ 1500.00$; total prize fund in excess of $\$ 4000.00$.
Tournament: 12 or 14 round Swiss, depending on number of entrants.
Lodging: For lodging or hotel reservations, specify requirements and mark \% Tournament Director Ernest Olfe, 3841 W. St. Paul Ave., Milwaukee 8, Wis.
Clocks: Players are asked to bring chess clocks, but mechanical one only.
Player Registration: At the Eagles Club, Monday August 10, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
assimpian

## What's The

 Best Moue?By Guilherme Groesser


Send solutions to Position No. 120 to the Editor, CHESS LIFE, by August 5, 1953.

Solution to position No. 117
Most solvers found this solution, although several talked themselves out of the solution by failing to see the flaw to the Black defence of 1.
Q-Kt4. In the game Vukovic-N.N., 1937, White played 1. Kt-B5! and there followed: 1. ......., Q×R; 2. Q-R5! and Black resigned, for 2 . ......., QxQ is answered 3. Kt-R6 mate. Black's defence of 1. Q-Kt4 is met by 2. Q-B1!, P-B3; 3. Kt-R6 ch, K-Kt2(R1); 4. QxQ and wins. Of
course an immediate 1. ......., PxKt loses quickly.

Correct solutions are acknowledged received from: R. A. Baker (State College), J. E. Barry (Ann Arbor), K. Blumberg (Chicago), F. Cabot III (Sturgeon
Bay), R. M. Church (Cambridge), J. E. Bay), R. M. Church (Cambridge), J. E.
Comstock (Duluth), W. J. Couture (Charleston), E. K. Dille (Norfolk), E.
Godbold (St. Louis), R. Grande (Denver), Godbold (St. Louis), R. Grande (Denver), panty (Belleview), H. Kurruk (Des-
Plaines), E. Nash (Washington), G. Plaines), E. Nash (Washington), G.
Payne (Webster Groves), I. Schwartz (Durand), P. W. Stephens (Cambridge), W. E. Stevens (Laramie), H. C. Under-
wood (Washington), D. A. Walsdorf, Jr. wood (Washington), D. A. Walsdorf, Jr. (New Orleans), W. B. Wilson Amherst-
burg), N. Zemke (Detroit). One halfpoint to solvers W. H. James and N. P. Witting who believed 1 .
Several belated answers to Position No. 116 were received just too late for acknowledgment last issue. Correct answers came from: J. Barry (Ann ArKurruk (DesPlaines), and I. Schwart\% (Durand). Several other late solutions were incorrect in believing that 1 . Q-K8 immediately resulted in a certain win. Also one from Cranford, N.I. without any name attached; solver may gain credit by sending in his name.


TRANS-MISSISSIPPI CHAMPIONSHIP
 (0.00).
Mu

## INDIANA STATE CHAMPIONSHIP <br> Logansport, 1952


 25. F. B. Bolton (Hammond) 2-3; 26. Mark Hopkins (Crawfordsville) 2-3; 27. L. N.
Stallworth (South Bend) 2-3; 28. Geo. O. Dunkel (Gary) $2-3 ; 29$. Glen C. Donley (Lake Cicott) 2-3; 30. Thos. P. McGrath (Logansport) 2-3; 31. H. B. Salisbury (Gary) 2-3; 32. R. W. Richardson (South Bend) 2-3; 33. Jesse H. Horne (Lynn) 2-3;
34. Paul Martinak (E. Chicago) $2-3$; 35. St. P, Davis (Gary) 11-32; 36. L. Richard34. Paul Martinak (E. Chicago) 2-3; 35. St. P. Davis (Gary) 13-32; 36. L. Richard-
son (South Bend)
1-4; 37. Chas. D. King (Royal Center) 1-4; 38. P. O. Marsland son (South Bend) 1-4; 37. Chas. D. King (Royal Center) 1-4; 38. P. O. Marsland
(Richmond) $1-4 ; 39 . \mathrm{Wm}$. Trinks (Hammond) 1-4; 40. L. M. Chalk (Portland) 1-4; 41. E. M. Thomas (Muncie) 1-4; 42. Gregory O'Connor (Logansport) 1-4; 43. Eugene Monahan (Logansport) $0-5$; 44. John Ferguson (Logansport) 0.5 .

## CHALLENGE CUP TOURNAMENT

Miami, 1953

| - Miami, 1953 ( 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Peter Magri | 11 | 1 | 1 | 4.0 |
| 2. Murray G. Cohen ...................................................... 0 | x | 1 | 1 | 3-1 |
| 3. Norman B. Church ................................................... 0 | 0 x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 13-21 |
| 4. David Shubow | 0 ) | x | 1 | 12-21 |
| 5. Armstrong Chinn ......................................... | 0 | 0 | x | 0-4 |
| SACRAMENTO CITY CHAMPIONSHIF <br> Sacramento, 1953 |  |  |  |  |
| 1. R. E. Russell ..............................................................W4 | W6 | W3 | D2 | 31- $\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | D5 | W6 | D1 | 23-12 |
| 3. M. O. Meyer .............................................................D2 | D4 | L1 | W5 | $2-2$ |
| 4. J. A. Celle ..................................................................L1 | D3 | L5 | W6 | 11323 |
| 5. R. L. Richards .................................................................... | D2 | W4 | L3 | 13-23 |
| 6. O. Bender .................................................................W5 | L1 | L2 | L4 | $1 \cdot 3$ |

# Tournament Life 

## July 18-20

## Colorado Open Championship Denver, Colo.

Third annual; open to all; 7 rd Swiss; Entry fee $\$ 5.00$ to USCF members, non-members pay USCF dues ( $\$ 5.00$ ) plus entry fee; begins 1:00 p.m. Olin Hotel with Rapid Trans; Banquet at 6:00 p.m. courtesy T.D.; 1st rd 7:30 p.m.; Two classes, A \& B; seeded pairings, Harkness Plan; Denver Silver Shower approx. $\$ 500.00$; reserve early; write: Merl Reese, Box 84, Capitol Hill Sta., Denver, Colo.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## July 25-26, August \&-9

Northern California Open San Francisco, Calif.
Open to all; 7 rd Swiss; entry fee $\$ 5.00$ plus CSCF membership ( $\$ 2.50$ ); trophy and cash prizes; two top players qualify for California State Championship event; for details, write: Guthrie McClain, 544 Market Street, San Francisco 4, Calif.

## Sonoma Chess Festival Sonoma, California

Open to all; sponsored by Valley Chamber of Commerce and directed by George Koltanowsi; A, B, and C Class s sections, with special sections for women players and juniors under 15; state class when submitting entry fee of $\$ 1.00$ to Valley Chamber of Commerce of Sonoma before August 1st, entry fee $\$ 2.00$ after that date; problem solving competition and other special events.

## August 21-23 <br> Open Championship <br> Columbia, So. Carolina

Open; at Wade Hampton Hotel; 5 round Swiss; entry fee $\$ 2.00$ plus SCCA membership (\$2.00); beautiful trophies for 3 top places; for details, write L.L. Foster, 1704 Green St., Columbia, S.C.

## Pennsylvania Stafe Championship York, Pennsylvania

Open to all players residing in Pennsylvania or member of Pennsylvania Chess Clubs; at Yorktowne Hotel; 7 round Swiss; prizes include traveling trophy, cash and merchandise; Rapid Transit tourney September 4 at 8:00 p.m.; for details write Jeffrey C. Bort ner, 31 So. Duke St., York Pa.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event
September 5-7
Southwestern Open Championship Houston, Texas
Open to all; at Rice Hotel; reg istration Saturday morning; the major chess event of the Southwest, won by Herman Steiner at Dallas in 1952; prizes; Swiss event; for details, write M.M. Williams 2nd National Bank BIdg., Houston.

North Carolina Open Championship Wilmington, No. Car.
At Community Center; starts 7:30 p.m.; 5 or 6 rd Swiss; entry fee $\$ 3.00$ plus membership in NCCA or USCF; prizes; all welcome; rated; write: Dr. N. M. Horn stein, Southport, N. C. for further details.

