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## $\mathcal{L}_{\text {arry }} \mathcal{E}_{\text {vans }} O_{n}$ Chess



THE following position, sent in by a reader, is an exercise in visual discipline. The problem: what is the shortest number of moves in which Black can mate? Do not peek or move the pieces: Count intervening sacrifices by White which forestall the mate.

Solution: 1. ......, Q-K16; 2. B-K12, QB7 ch; 3. K-R1, Kt-Kt6 ch; 4. K-R2, Kt.

## Rossolimo and Sherwin Lead Field in Open at End of Sixth Round

The U. S. Open Championship at Milwaukee drew the unprecedented field of 181 players, of which around 40 were from the Master and Expert Classes, to become both the largest and strongest Open event in American chess history. Numerous upsets occurred in the opening rounds with Curt Brasket, recovering from poor form at Colorado, defeated Arthur Dake, while Walter Grombacher scored a startling victory over I. A. Horowitz.

Almost every state was represented by at least one player, while France, Canada and Cuba in the persons of Rossolimo, Anderson, Haley and Florido added international color to the event. The majority of the ranking U. S. Masters, headed by U. S. Champion Larry Evans, are in the list of contestants, augmented by recent European arrivals of strength yet to be proven in Zemgalis, Pitschak, Dreibergs, and many others. A number of younger players came from Kansas City, including the winner, U. S. Junior Champion Saul Yarmak.

Listing the ranking players at hazard, these include: Frank R. Anderson, Hans Berliner, Arthur B. Bisguier, Curt Brasket, Donald Byrne, Karl Burger, James 'Cross, Arthur W. Dake, J. F. Donovan, Larry Evans, Jose Florido, Eliot Hearst, I. A. Horowitz, Alex Kevitz, Dr. Ariel Mengarini, Max Pavey, Nicholas Rossolimo, A. E. Santasiere, Albert Sandrin, George Shainswit, James T. Sherwin, Herman Steiner, Miroslav Turiansky and Elmars Zemgalis-a list which undoubtedly is full of omissions. LEADING PLAYERS

Rossolimo
Sherwin
Anderson
Berliner
Brasket
Byrne
Fajans
Fajans
Horak O'Keefe

## EVANS REPEATS IN U. S. SPEED

U. S. Speed Champion Larry Evans retained his U. S. Lightning Chess title in 61 player contest at Milwaukee, winning the finals-5-1, drawing with Berliner and Sherwin, for $\$ 100.00$ first prize. Sherwin placed second with 4-2, losing to Berliner and drawing with Evans and Dake, while Berliner and Pavey tied for third with $31 / 2-21 / 2$ each.

Turner won the consolation event with 5-1, while Pafnutieff was second with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ and Shainswit third with $4-2$.

Preliminaries were in seven sections. Section A) Evans 7-1, Lombardy $61 / 2-11 / 2$; B) Pavey 7-1, Pafnutieff $61 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2} ;$ C) Bisguier $8-0$, Stolzenberg $51 / 2-2 \frac{1}{2}$; D) Dake $61 / 2$. $11 / 2$, Shainswit 6-2; E) Florido 7-1, Burger 7-1; F) Berliner $61 / 2-1 / 2$, Eastman $51 / 2-11 / 2$; G) Sherwin 7-0, Turner 5-2.

## USCF CANDIDATES <br> September 11-20

Philadelphia, Pa.

## Saul Yarmak Wins U.S. Junior Title,

## Harrow and Penquite In Games Tie

Saul N. Yarmak, Passaic, N. J., emerged from the 24-player, 10-round U. S. Junior Championship Tournament at Kansas City as the new U. S. Junior Championship and winner for 1953-54 of the Milwaukee Journal's challenge trophy. He also took home the championship trophy awarded by the USCF and the Kansas City YMCA Chess Club, co-sponsors of the event.

In taking the title, Yarmak had a clear winning score of $81 / 2-1 / 1 / 2$ after drawing with Martin Harrow, Passaic, N. J., in the first round and losing to John Penquite, Des Moines, in the ninth. Harrow and Penquite tied for second and third at 7-3 each, but the tie was broken by Solkoff points in favor of Harrow. Penquite became third and Karl Burger, Brooklyn expert, finished fourth in a $61 / 2-3^{1 / 2}$ tie with Charles Henin, Springfield, Mass., with Solkoff points again breaking the tie, this time in favor of Burger.

The sensation of the tournament was the play of 11 -year-old Larry Remlinger, Long Beach, Calif., who finished eighth and won the Hermann Dittmann trophy for best player, 15 and under. After winning three in a row, Larry faltered against Yarmak in the fourth, lost to Harrow, Penquite and Hal White, Avon Lake, Ohio, in the fifth, sixth and seventh, won from Shane O'Neill, Dallas, and Jim MeCormick, Seattle, in the eighth and ninth, and drew with Burger in the tenth.

The boy was under relentless pressure from the start, playing an unaccustomed two rounds a day in his second tournament in 14 months since he learned the game under Herman Steiner, Los Angeles international master. The tournament director was obliged several times to admonish spectators to stand back from his table.

Larry was in time trouble in most of his games, and at times during his evening games, which carried well past his customary bed-time, he appeared to be fighting sleepiness. Otherwise he gave little indication of the effects of the pressure. He became slightly nervous when the director moved over to watch his clock when timepressure became critical. However, he lost no games that way.

Burger summed up the feeling of the players when he commented: "Larry is the equal at his age of Reshevsky or Pomar. I think he is the most promising boy in the history of American chess. In a year or two, he will not only take the Junior title; he also will be a strong contender for the Open, and by his mid-teens he may become a strong candidate for the $\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{S}$. title."

Herman Steiner went to Kansas City with Larry, intending to spend a restful week looking on and coaching Larry between games. However, the tournament director, Charles W. Graham, promptly drafted him as best games judge
and adjudicator of unfinished games. This brought out a few anguished cries from players whose games were adjudicated by him.
"You're making us play against an international master," one of them complained to Graham. "We can't argue with him." To which Graham replied unsympathetically that he would recommend that the Federation assign an international master to all U. S. Junior tournaments. "It saves a vast amount of useless argument," he commented. Steiner joined with Henry Georgi, former Kansas Champion, and Philip W. Morrell, Kansas City, in selecting the second round game of Leo Ratermanis against his cousin, Juris Jurevies, as the best game of the event. Ratermanis took home the best game prize.

## U. S. CANDIDATES REVISED PLANS

The USCF Board of Directors have completely revised the plan for the U. S. Championship in 1954 by discarding the match in favor of a 14 player round robin championship tournament.
The eligible players for this 1954 Championship event will be:

1) The three top players in the 1951 U. S. Championship-Larry Evans, Samuel Reshevsky, Max Pavey;
2) The six players who place highest in the 1953 Candidates Tournament in Philadelphia;
3) The five players who place highest in the current $U$. S. Open Championship at Milwaukee.
It is the belief of the Directors that this is more equitable.
From Philadelphia, William Ruth reports arrangements completed for the Candidates, with the tournament scheduled at the Jefferson ballroom of the Adelphi Hotel. 1st prize will be $\$ 250.00$, 2nd prize $\$ 200.00$ 3rd prize $\$ 150.00$, 4 th prize $\$ 100.00$ and others will be added as donations continue to come in.

Finish It The Clever Way! by Edmund Nash

Position No. 111
Duras vs.

Position No. 112
Christiakov vs. Kasparian USSR, 1953


White to play and win "CHESS," there is a mate in five which I was delighted to discover. The second move prepares the brilliant fourth move.

An instructive tactical sacrifice in Position No. 112, from the USSR Championship preliminaries, resulted in Black's resignation after White's fourth move.

For solutions, please turn to Page five.
Send all contributions for this column to Edmund Nash, 153028 th Place, S.E. Washington 20, D. C.
J. Noel Williams retained the Montreal City Championship 13-4, to share with Maurice Fox the distinction of holding the Mayor Courtemanche Trophy twice; three victories are needed for permanent possession. Second to fourth on S-B with $121 / 2-41 / 2$ scores were Lionel Joyner, G. Novicky, M. Cohen. Novicky was the surprise of the tournament, being the winner of the Premier Reserves last year. A. Reiter, another new-comer, was fifth with 11-6 in the 18 player event.

Marcel Dion, after trailing $1 / 2-11 / 2$ in his tie-match with Padoue Guay, won the third and fourth games to take the Quebec City Championship $21 / 2-11 / 2$.

## HAVE YOUR TOURNAMENTS OFFICIALLY RATED!

Ask your Club Secretary or tournament director to write for official rating forms to report the results of your next tournament or match, specifying the type of contest and the approximate number of players.

For team tournaments there is a rating fee of 50 cents per player, for team matches a fee of 15 cents per player. All other contests rated free of charge.

Official rating forms should
be secured in advance from:-
Montgomery Major
123 No. Humphrey Avenue Oak Park, Illinois
Do not write to other USCF officials for these rating forms.
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The New York University, Division of General Education, has announced a course on "Playing Better Chess" as part of its adult studies program for the fall season. Fred Reinfeld, well-known writer on chess, will conduct the course which will cover such topies as basic checkmates, attacking combinations, development and mobility in opening play, mid-game strategy, and winning psychology. The course will be conducted on Mondays from 8:10 to $9: 55$ p.m. from October 12 to December 14, and registration may be made at NYU, Division of General Education Offices, 1 Washington Square No., New York City.

## 라⼸웅

The 1953 Cosmo Championship in Los Angeles was won by Lou Domanski with $61 / 2-1 / 2$, drawing with runner-up Dickens. Second to sixth on S-B with $41 / 2-21 / 2$ each were Dickens, Graves, Gray, J. Navickas, and Hess in the 13 player event. P. Navickas scored 4-3 for seventh.

New Haven (Conn.) Chess Club: James Bolton won the club title 12-1 in a double round event, losing one game to Edmund Hand who was second with $8-3$, losing twice to W. Levere and once to M. Deren. Levere was third with 9-5. Hand was taken sick and did not complete his schedule but scored sufficient wins nevertheless to gain second place.
Tri-City Chess Club (Borger, Tex.): Mason $S$. Wilt won the club rapid transit $51 / 2-1 / 2$, with C. D. Thompson, Jr. second with 5-1 and Dr. Andries Voet third with $31 / 2-21 / 2$. The $\mathbf{B}$ Class rapid transit went to Kevin Yelmgren with 5-0, while Kippy Kiphart was second with 4-1, and Frank Steitz third with 3-2.
Shreveport (La.) Chess Club scored a $71 / 2-41 / 2$ victory over Natchitoches with J. Noel scoring 2 points, W. Crew and O. Dupree $11 / 2$, A. Jones and McElroy 1 point while M. Adkins tallied a draw in the double round match. For Natchitoehes E. Watson scored $11 / 2$, J. Batten and G. Kenner one each, and C. Fernbaugh salvaged a draw.


COLORADO OPEN CONTESTANTS
In the center (in Western garb) Sheriff Merl Recse, tournament organizer and director, and Miss Betty Hughes, the tournament queen. Seated on the floor, Warren Miller, Albuquerque, 15, youngest player in the event.

## Colorado Open Event Won By Hursch In Colorful Western Setting

President Eisenhower had peen invited to make the address of welcome but sent his regrets to Mr. Reese, along with his best wishes for the success of the Colorado Open Championship. W. M. Spackman of Boulder, former editor of Chess Correspondent, gave a most enjoyable talk to start matters rolling.

Sensational wins by Class B players brightened the event, and many favorites were toppled in the wild melee of the Colorado Open where fifty-four players from nineteen states met in the "Little America of Chess" and battled for the title and the Denver Silver Dollar Shower, a prize fund amounting to $\$ 607.00$, divided among the ten top finalists.

When the dust cleared from the battle field only one match point separated the 16 top players, in a field of 24 Class A players, 6 Ex perts, and one Master. A triple tie for first was broken by SM points, giving Jack L. Hursch first place with $51 / 2-11 / 2$, Victor Traibush of Boulder second, and Iowa Champion John Penquite third. Fourth to tenth with 5-2 each were Viktor Pupols, Mark Eucher, Alfred Ludwig, Adam Smith, Richard MeLellan, Sven Almgren, and Albert Sandrin.
Western hospitality was the outstanding feature of the tournament where tournament director Merl W. Reese, resplendant in Western togs and wearing a full-blown desert-rat beard along with a shining sheriff's star, guarded proceedings. He was also host to the kickoff chicken banquet, introducing celebrities. For background in the Olin dining room where the games were played was an exhibit of Old West gun relics labelled "Check Youre Shootin' Irons Here, Podner."
Tournament Queen, Betty Hughes in an attractive cow-girl costume served free cigarettes, free cigars and free candy to the players during the event.
A special award of a hand-made covered wagon (replica of a FortyNiner) was awarded assistant director Alfred Ludwig-it carried a load of pynite (fool's gold) and had a brass plate enscribed: "Better to have played and lost, than never to have played at all."
Another special award was a mounted pawn, presented to M. F. Anderson of Rapid City, with the inscription: "I was pushed around at Denver, 1953." It was awarded for the shortest loss in the tournament, a seven move catastrophy.

A Rapid Transit started proceedings, and was won by Carl Weberg of Salina, after Sheriff Reese's Buffalo Bill revolver (made in 1871 by Colt) failed to fire the starting signal.

## YOUNG TAKES MADISON CITY

Lawrence C. Young won the Madison (Wis.) City Championship $71 / 2-1 / 2$ in a double round event, drawing one game with Carl E . Elliot. Edward F. LaCroix was second with $51 / 2-21 / 2$, losing both games to Young and drawing one game with Hale Blakely. C. E. Elliot placed third with $31 / 2-4^{1 / 2}$.

## McCHESNEY WINS NO. CAR. EVENT

M. J. McChesney of Wilmington with $8-0$ won the Southeastern North Carolina Open, conducted by the Cape Fear Chess Club at Wilmington. Second with $7-1$ was Dr. Norman Hornstein of Southport, who lost one game to McChesney in the 9 player round robin. P. W, Killian was third with $41 / 2-31 / 2$, while Julian H. Tusch was fourth with 4-4.

## CORRECTION

Mr. Aleksandras Zujus of Chicago points out that Paul Keres was born in Narva, Esthonia in 1916 and consequently is an Esthonian and not a Latvian, as incorrectly stated by Larry Evans in his column in the June 20 issue. Mr. Zujus also draws attention to the fact that his own final score in the Trans-Mississippi Championship was $51 / 2-11 / 2$ as correctly given in the score table, but not $5-2$ as stated in the news item on the tournament.

# YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM 

America's Leading Young Masters Annotate Outstanding Games from Recent Chess Events

## SECOND-RATE CHAMPIONSHIP?

By U. S. Master CARL PILNICK

TIIE June 20th isue of Chess Life carried the announcement of a change in the method of determining a challenger for the U. S. Championship. The new system makes all U. S. citizens holding a rating of Expert or higher in the USCF rating list eligible to participate in a Swiss-type tournament, the winner to have the right to play a match with the present champion in 1954 for the title.

In a letter dated July 3rd sent by Harold M. Phillips, USCF president, to all those eligible to play, the following information was added: (a) The tournament is to take place in Philadelphia, Sept. $11-20$ th, and will be a ten-round Swiss, (b) The entry fee will be $\$ 25$, with the "hope" that first prize would be at least $\$ 250$.
There is no doubt that the USCF Directors have full authority to activate this new system. There is also no doubt that by so doing they have made the U. S. championship a second-rate chess event.

To explain this statement, let me point out what I believe is the basic misconception which influenced the Directors into taking their action. The purpose of a chess championship tournament is not merely to find a winner, but to match the best players against each other. The USCF is presently conducting an excellent campaign to stimulate new interest in chess and increase the number of active players. As one phase of this program, tournaments like the U. S. Open are held all over the country and are becoming annually larger and more successful. This is wonderful, but the U. S. championship is, in chess as in any other sport, still supposed (I must repeat) to match the best players against each other. Why do events like the World Series, the Davis Cup matches or the Olympic games generate so much excitement? Because the fans know that each of these is a "than-which-there-is-nowhicher," that is, the best. Don't the baseball people want to develop better players and more fans too? Sure they do, but they don't try it in the World Series. They develop farm systems or Liadies' Days or midgets in the outfield or what have-you. The Series is reserved for the best. And does this increase snobbery or narrow the popular appeal of the game? Which baseball game is more difficult to get tickets to, the World Series or the Yankees against the Browns?

With this in mind, consider in detail the new system and also the reasons given for the stillbirth of the old plan.
(1) The Directors say "The three-year cycle plan for the U. S. Championship ... has been found to be unworkable. No area qualifying tournaments were conducted in $1952 \ldots$ due to the difficulty in breaking the country into equitable areas, and finding an organization in each area to organize and conduct such a tournament." It seems to me that the "organization to organize and conduct" is none other than the USCF itself, and in abandoning the plan without trial the Directors have also abandoned their responsibilities.

The USCF Board of Directors have amended the Championship plan so that most of the objections, voiced by Master Pilnick, have been removed. For details on the revised plan, refer to page one.
(2) The proposed Swiss System tournament, it is claimed, "is designed to select one challenger for the Title-and all authorities agree that the Swiss System, as proposed, will accomplish this end." All authorities do not agree, but let us concede this point. As anyone who has ever competed in a large Swiss tournament will testify, below the first two or three places the results and standings are almost meaningless as a determination of relative playing strength. Certainly, the rating statisticians have a mathematical formula for obtaining this information, but the ratings cannot be any more reliable than the tournament itself. (Everyone knows the old swindle whereby it can be "proved," by comparative scores against different opponents, that the Vassar J. V. team is 72 points better than Notre Dame. And let me also inject parenthetically the notion that the few glaring incongruities on the rating list at present are almost exclusively the result of Swiss tournament results). To use these results, for example, to decide whether a particular player ranks 5 th or 6 th in the country is not only misleading but foolish. Only in complete competition with each other can the relative rankings of this country's top players be conclusively established.
(3) The new tournament is oven to all players with a rating of Expert or higher. The Spring 1953 rating list contains 48 players with the rank of Master or higher (excluding the Masters Emeriti and the provisionally-rated Schmidt and Zemgalis), and 111 players with the rank of Expert. Of course not all these players can compete, but the possible total is still 159 entries. What would winning such a tournament prove? Nothing more

The YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM offers opportunity for the free expression of ideas; and the opinions expressed therin are not necessarily those of the Editor or of the U. S. Chess Federation-The Edifor.
than that the winner was capable of doing well against a chance combination of three or four players much weaker than himself and four or five in his own class. Is this considered a championship tournament? I want to see players like Bisguier, Kramer, the Byrnes battling each other, not fattening half their scores against players they should beat handily nineteen times out of twenty. If the purpose of the tournament is merely to select one challenger, I say do away with the tournament completely. The USCF rating list, while not perfect, is the greatest advance made in chess in years and I would therefore suggest that the player with the highest rating each year automatically become the official challenger. This suggestion is somewhat facetious but nevertheless infinitely more logical than the proposed plan.
(4) The city where the tournament will take place is announced as Philadelphia. At the risk of being accused of New York chauvinism, I would like to point out that of the 48 listed Masters, one of whom will presumably win the challenger's tournament, 33 normally reside in the metropolitan New York area. Since chess-players, with very few exceptions, must also pursue secondary hobbies to earn a living, the net result will be that most of these strong players will not be able to compete and the tournament will thus have even less meaning. I do not claim that all tournaments must necessarily be held in New York, which would be both ridiculous and stifling for national chess growth, but simply that in a championship tournament whose avowed purpose is to attract the nation's strongest players, the players themselves had no voice whatever in choosing the most convenient site. If there is a logical reason for the choice of Philadelphia other than that of chess politics, I should like to hear it.
(5) The entry fee at Philadelphia is $\$ 25$; no definite information as to prizes is given except the hope that first prize will be at least $\$ 250$. Of course it is a backbreaking job to raise money for chess, especially at the short notice which prevails here. The above disparity, however, simply emphasizes the point already made, that the U. S. championship is now a second-rate chess event.

The easiest thing in the world is to lie comfortably in a hammock, watch a crew of workmen building a house, and say, "They're doing it all wrong." But how to do it right? In this case, I think the answer was right under the noses
ess Sife
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Hans Berliner
Arthur Bisguier
Eliot Hearst
George Kramer
Carl Pilnick
James Sherwin
Walter Shipman
Saut Wachs
of the Dircetors. In short, use the rating list, use it as it was intended to be used. If time is available for nineteen rounds of play, invite the 20 top-rated players to compete in a round-robin. If time is available for only nine rounds, invite the top 10 but keep the tournament a round-robin. If a player is unable or unwilling to compete, the next highest player fills in. If no time is available, top dog takes all. Of course there will be some inequities but the rating list is the most accurate and impartial tool available. If we don't use it fully for championship events, what do we use it for?

Finally, after the entries are completed, would it be too unorthodox to poll the players themselves as to the most mutually convenient playing dates and location? Would thrones totter and castles crumble?

To sum up, I think the new plan is a clear case of the Directors acting in good faith but nonetheless choosing what is not the best, but the easiest for them. What can be done? At this late stage, probably nothing, but if enough pror tests are heard in Milwaukee, future U. S. championships may again be something more than hodgepodge, grab-bag events.

## 聞

Cosmo (L.A.) Chess Club: Bob Jacobs won Section A of the club's annual open house event with $4-1$, while Hunnex was second with 3-1, losing a game to Jacobs. H. Rogosin won Section B on S-B points, with Ragosin, Russo and Gross scoring 3-1 each. Ragosin drew with both Russo and Gross.
Shreveport (La.) Chess Club: O. C Dupree won the Two Knights' Defense Club Tourney $41 / 2-11 / 2$, with W. W. Crew second with 4-2.
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## Disciples Of A Sage

## As for me, all I know is that I know nothing.

> SOCRATES-Reported in Phaedrus by Plato.

AFTER seven weary years of editing, your scribe is often left wondering about the quality and purpose of our public schools and private institutions, for one fact remains certain: they do not teach the average man how to read instructions intelligently.

We do not complain that occasionally the sense of an argumentative line is misinterpreted, for that is but an expected fatality and we know that readers sense in any argument what they wish to interpret from the lines, regardless of what is actually written. No writer can complain of this, for it remains the essential hazard of his chosen occupation.

But we do object to the slovenly mental processes which cause so many to disregard written instructions because they know better than the man who wrote the directions. For example, every USCF Rating Report for Tournaments bears the definite instructions that they should be sent to the Editor at Oak Park. But helpful souls know better than CHESS LIFE and so they send these reports to Mr. Harkness in New York, mercifully unaware in their blissful ignorance that Mr. Harkness must take their reports and mail them back to Oak Park.

Solvers of various CHESS LIFE problems are equally helpful in disregarding all printed instruetions. Each feature has a plain statement giving the name and address of the feature editor to which all communications should be sent; but it is too much effort for many to obey such instructions, so solutions to "Best Move" travel to Mr. Eaton in Maryland while solutions to "Mate the Subtle Way" turn up regularly in Oak Park. Or solutions to both cumber the mail of USCF Business Manager Harkness. The simple fact that Mr. Groesser, Mr. Harkness, and Mr. Eaton are many miles apart does not trouble their thinkingpresumably the three can easily transfer the messages by mental telepathy without loss of time or energy.

Such misdirections of material are, of course, only a minor annoyance which would not be worth the space consumed in commenting upon it-if it were not also a very alarming indication of the inability of many individuals to read intelligently a set of directions. And if they cannot follow simple instructions, how can they think intelligently upon much more important questions which must be decided in a world and age that daily grows more complex?

What is the quality of our educational system, if it cannot at least teach its pupils to grasp the import of a simple sentence? And what is our future if the pupils of today receive no better training than their parents?

CHICAGO CITY CHAMPIONSHIP (CCCL)

## Chicago, 1953


 Roll 1-5.

Dena withdrew after third round; Brown and Roll after fourth round.

NOTE: Statements of income and expenses for the year prior to 1953 are not available to me. The figures for these years shown below are taken from the records of receipts and disbursements and from the bills rendered for printing Chess Life. The amounts representing net profit from sales for the years prior to 1953 are estimates based on $40 \%$ of the actual sales.

KENNETH HARKNESS, Business Manager


COLORADO OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP

| Denver, 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jack K. Hursch, Jr. ......W7 | W4 | W12 | W23 | D2 | W8 | L3 | 51-13 | 34.00 |
| 2. Victor Traibush ............W53 | W26 | W32 | D8 | D1 | D7 | W11 | $51.1 \frac{13}{3}$ | 28.00 |
| 3. John Penquite ................W36 | W52 | L23 | W39 | D13 | W28 | W1 | 51-12 | 23.50 |
| 4. Viktor Pupols ...............W15 | L1 | W33 | D11 | W40 | W9 | D5 | 5 -2 | 30.50 |
| 5. Mark Eucher .................D6 | W14 | L28 | W27 | W35 | W13 | D4 | $5-2$ | 29.00 |
| 6. Alfred Ludwig ..............D5 | W41 | W34 | D13 | W10 | L11 | W17 | $5-2$ | 28.50 |
| 7. Adam Smith .................L1 | W37 | W17 | W46 | W23 | D2 | D8 | 5 -2 | 28.50 |
| 8. Richard McLellan .......W39 | W50 | W18 | D2 | W25 | L1 | D7 | 5 -2 | 27.50 |
| 9. Sven Almgren ..............L27 | W54 | W19 | W16 | W12 | L4 | W21 | 5-2 | 25.50 |
| 10. Albert Sandrin .............D9 | D17 | W48 | W47 | L6 | W25 | W30 | 5 -2 | 22.00 |
| 11. George Chase ...............L19 | W32 | W27 | D4 | W34 | W6 | L2 | 43-23 | 29.50 |
| 12. Lee Magee ...................W37 | W19 | L1 | W24 | L9 | W20 | D14 | 41-21 | 29.50 |
| 13. John Alexander ..........W22 | W40 | D25 | D6 | D3 | L5 | W28 | 41-21 | 29.00 |
| 14. A. K. Underwood, Jr. ..D17 | L5 | W41 | W49 | D18 | W19 | D12 | 43-27 | 25.50 |
| 15. Carl Weberg ................L4 | W30 | L16 | D31 | W48 | W39 | W23 | 41-213 | 24.00 |
| 16. Charles Sharp ..............W54 | L18 | W15 | L9 | D39 | W31 | W29 | 41-21 | 23.00 |
| 17. Curt Brasket ...............D14 | D10 | L7 | W26 | W22 | W15 | L6 | $4-3$ | 31.00 |
| 18. Walter Grombacher ......W30 | W16 | L8 | D34 | D14 | L17 | W25 | 4-3 | 28.00 |
| 19. Robert Brieger ............W11 | L12 | L9 | W37 | W47 | L14 | W34 | 4-3 | 26.50 |
| 20. Bryant Holnes ............L2s | L35 | W44 | w52 | w33 | 1.12 | w38 | $4-3$ | 21.00 |
| 21. R. McGregor .................LL40 | W53 | D35 | W48 | D29 | W24 | L9 | 4-3 | 20.00 |
| 22. B. G. Dudley ...............L13 | W51 | L46 | W42 | L17 | W47 | W35 | 4-3 | 19.00 |
| 23. Howard Ohman ............W20 | W27 | W3 | L1 | L7 | D29 | L15 | 31-31 | 31.50 |
| 24. W. E. Stevens ...............W35 | W29 | L2 | L12 | W36 | L21 | D26 | 31-312 | 27.00 |
| 25. M. F. Anderson ...........W43 | W47 | D13 | W28 | L8 | L10 | L18 | 31-32 | 26.50 |
| 26. Kirke Mechem .............W32 | L2 | L29 | L17 | W37 | W36 | D24 | 31-313 | 26.00 |
| 27. George Smith ..............W9 | L23 | L11 | L5 | W50 | D33 | W40 | 31-31 | 25.50 |
| 28. Max Wilkerson ............W38 | D48 | W5 | L25 | W46 | L3 | L13 | 312-31 | 25.00 |
| 29. Jack Shaw .....................W45 | L24 | W26 | D40 | D21 | D23 | L16 | 31-313 | 24.00 |
| 30. Leon Poliakoff ...............L18 | L15 | D32 | W41 | W49 | W40 | L10 | 312-3] | 23.50 |
| 31. Robert Grande ............L42 | W44 | L40 | D15 | W32 | L16 | W43 | 31-312 | 22.50 |
| 32. Blake Stevens ..............L26 | L11 | D30 | W44 | L31 | W41 | W42 | 312.32 | 22.50 |
| 33. Phil Neff .....................L52 | W36 | L4 | W43 | L30 | D27 | W39 | 323-32 | 21.50 |
| 34. R. Hervert ....................W46 | W42 | L6 | D18 | L11 | D | L19 | 33-312 | 21.00 | 34. R. Hervert .....................W Wenneth Weberg $3-4(25.00)$; 36. R. Golla $3-4(22.00)$; 37 . K. R. Jones $3-4(20.50)$; 38. B. Brice-Nash $3-4(18.50)$; 39. Mark Wells $2 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}(28.00)$; 40. A. HuImes $2 \frac{1}{3}-4 \frac{1}{2}(27.50)$; 41. A. H. DuVall $2 \frac{2}{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}(24.00)$; 42: J. Kahan $2 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{b}$ (20.00); 43. J. H. Maguire $2 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{2}{2}$

(18.50); 44. Dr. Max Schlosser $2 \frac{1}{3}-4 \frac{1}{2}(17.50) ; 45$. Ted Nast $2 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}$ (15.50); 46. L. P. Knecht $2-5(24.00)$; 47. S. Madison $2-5(22.50)$; 48. R. Murphy 12251 (22.00); 49. W. Miller 12-51 $2-5(24.00) ; 47 . ~ S . ~ M a d i s o n ~$
$(19.50) ; 50$.
D. Thies $1 \frac{2}{2}-5 \frac{7}{2}(19.00) ; 51$. O. L. Neal $1-6(15.50) ; 52$. Fred Payne 1-6


Solkoff points used. Neal, Payne, Rosenblum and Romanenko withdrew after fourth round, and Murphy after sixth round.

## SOUTHEASTERN NORTH CAROLINA OPEN

Wilmington, 1953

1. M. J. McChesney (Wilmington)
2. Dr. Norman Hornstein (Southpo
3. Dr. Norman Hornstein (Southport)
4. Julian H. Tusch (Wilmington)
5. Harry E. Mitchell (Wilmington) 3-5; 6. Rupert D. Worthington (Wilmington) ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}-4$ 7. Carl L. Rhodes (Wilmington) 3-5; 8. Leon J. Taubenhaus (Shallotte) $2 \frac{1}{3}-5 \frac{1}{2} ; 9$. Leonard Pulley (Roanoke Rapids) 1-7.
Pulley forfeited to McChesney, Hornstein, Killian, Worthington, and Taubenhaus. MADISON CITY CHAMPIONSHIP

Madison, 1953


4. Hale Blakely $21-5 \frac{1}{2}$; 5. Adolph E. Starik 1-7.

MASTER of Chess is the title of an informative biographical sketch, complete with photo, which appeared in the magazine section of the New York World-Telegram and Sun on Saturday, August 1st. The subject, of course, is Grandmaster Samuel Reshevsky, whose aspirations to meet World Champion Mikhail Botvinnik are discussed. Interviewer Edward Ellis seems better informed on chess than many newspaper men, thrust unprepared into the task of writing about chess and chess celebrities, and his one-page article on Reshevsky is exceptionally accurate for a newspaper story. Chess doesn't seem able to stay out of the papers these days!

# THE ELEMENTS OF CHESS 

By International Master HERMAN STEINER

## Hypothetical Game

Illustrating Principles, Rules, and Objectives

(Continued from issue of August 5)


After White plays: 8. B-Kt3 Nullifies threat of First Objective

Now back to the game. Black is now, in chess parlance, on the move. He has to make his eighth move. It is indeed an important one. So far, he has made all the developing moves that are possible. He must determine what his opponent's' threats are and what he intends to do about them. Finally, he must decide upon his own threats and whether he has any.

He considers, and sees that White can play his First Objective. Can Black nullify this threat or can he play his First Objective with safety? P-Q4 cannot be played with safety, although it looks as if it could. Why not? 8. ........, P-Q4; 9. B-Kt5, threatens 10. PxP. Black is compelled to make a few exchanges in order to avoid losing Force. This helps White to develop

If you cannot prevent your opponent from achieving his Objective without giving up more than the Objective is worth, then by all means you should yield, because that does not necessarily give your opponent a decisive advantage. The only one which will give this is the Third Objectivecreate a weakness and take advantage of it. Remember, a weakness is a target, either mobile or stationary, which can be attacked with more Force than that with which it can be defended, and unless it can be gained, it is not a weakness. If Space or Time have been gained by attacking this weakness, it is an advantage.
It is easily seen that when you are faced with the necessity of judging the position and making the winning move, you must know why it is the winning move. It is not enough simply to gain Force, Time, or Space, for your opponent is not necessarily compelled to give up the game; it is still to be won, and until your opponent finds it hopeless to continue and resigns, the best moves are still to be made.

Black has exactly the type of position just described. He does not want to disturb his development, so he ignores his opponent's threat and plays 8


After Black plays: 8. ......., Q-Q2 Developing move

Objective
to threaten his First Objective and develop. White, having an opportunity to play his First Objective with a threat of a fork (P-Q5, plays 9. P-Q4: First Objective with safety!


After White plays: 9. P-Q4 First Obiective achieved with safety Threat of fork with P-Q5

Black will answer with 9.
$\mathbf{P x P}$.


Fourth Obiective achieved (exchange evenly or better)
Threat of fork (P-Q5) nullified
Threat of capture
How does he arrive at this conclusion? First he asks: "What does my opponent threaten?" and readily sees that the fork at Q5 is a major threat, for it will win either the Bishop or the Knight. Therefore, he makes a move which will nullify the threat of the fork. He may accomplish this in any of three ways: 1) by moving either of
the threatened Forces, 2) by substituting the fork for something of greater value, or 3 ) by removing the Force which threatens the fork -in this case the Pawn, which is the safest of the three moves. To avoid confusion let us take each separately, and in doing so remember that with these three choices we have sub-choices, as it were, related to the main choice. Again, under each of these sub-choices, we have "sub-sub-choices."

1. Moving the threatened Forces:
a) $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+5$
b) $B \times B$
2. Allowing the fork ( $P$ -Q-5)-an exchange for one of greater value (counter-threat).
3. Removing the Force which threatens the fork:
a) BxP
b) $K+x P$
c) $\mathbf{P x P}_{\mathbf{x}}$

1 Moving the threatened Force: The threatened Force is either Bishop or Knight, and we have, therefore, two alternative moves as above: B-Kt5,

or BxB.


Both are inferior. The first, B-Kt5, is inferior because, although it does not lose Force, it does lose Time and Space. The alternative, BxB , is inferior for the same reasons. It should be discarded because as can easily be seen, it opens up the Rook file for the opponent. In evaluating the results of this move, account should be taken of the controlling Froces before and after the move.
(To be continued in next issue)

## Chess Life $Y_{n} \eta_{\text {ew }} Y_{\text {ork }}$ <br> By Eliot Hearst

NEW YORK chess life is being transferred to Milwuakee and your reporter is not one to avoid this general trend! Our imminent departure to Wisconsin for the U. S. Open therefore can be utilized as an adequate (?) excuse for the brevity of the column which follows.

The only major chess activity in the metropolitan area during the summer months is going on at che Marshall Chess Club, where 44 contestants in four sections are vying for the right to enter the club's championship finals into which last year's prizewinners (and any previous champions who wish to compete) are already seeded. Two competitors will qualify from each of the four preliminary sections and right now, with the competition entering its final rounds, there is still much doubt as to who the eight fortunate participants will be. In Section I Jerry Donovan leads with a $5^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$ score, while Richard Einhorn has amassed a 6-2 total; Claude Hillinger $51 / 2-1^{11 / 2}$ and Ivan Romanenko $31 / 2-1 / 2$ are very much in the running, too. The second section finds Ted Dust well out in front with a 7-0 score, Paul Brandts $4 \frac{1}{2}-1^{1 / 2}$, Manuel Gonzales $31 / 2-1^{1 / 2}$, and Tony Saidy $2-0$ being the other top scorers. None of the three leaders in Section III have met as yet and their totals (Pamiljens and Richman 6-0, Fajans $51 / 2$ $1 / 2$ ) indicate that the qualifying duo from this group will almost certainly be two of this formidable trio. Nick Bakos is celebrating his return from the Armed Forces rather strikingly as his 7-0 score to lead Section IV demonstrates; J. T. Westbrock $61 / 2-11 / 2$ and G. East$\operatorname{man} 41 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$ are the other possible qualifiers here.
IN BRIEF: World Telegram and Sun had an interesting feature article on Sammy Reshevsky entitled "Master of Chess" in its weekend magazine section recently. . . . According to the same newspaper, the late Sen. Taft was an avid chess player in his youth. . . . Jimmy Sherwin returned from Copenhagen and the world Junior tourney in time to make the Milwaukee tournament also.

## Solutions:

Finish It the Clever Way
Position No. 111: 1. R-QB1 ch, K-Kt1; 2. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt4} \mathrm{ch}$ !, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$; 3. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{RxB}$; 4 . Q-K4 ch!, QxQ; 5. R-B8 mate.

Position No. 112: 1. P-B5!, BxP; 2. PQ6, B-Q5 (if ........, Q-Q2; 3. KtxKP); 3 . $\mathrm{KtxB}, \mathrm{QxQ} ;$ 4. RxQ and Black resigned. (If 4. ........, PxKt; 5. P-Q7).

Baton Rouge (La.) Chess Club fought a draw match with New Orleans. For Baton Rouge O. Claitor, F. Gladney and R. Dornier scored while J. Kimball drew with E. Pelton. For New Orleans A. Wills, A. L. McAuley, and F. CumA. Wills, A. L
mings tallied.

BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!

## GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, New York State Champion, 1953

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless othervise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## SANTASIERE ABROAD

Anthony E. Santasiere, annotator, school teacher, musician, poet, painter, and thirty year veteran of U.S.A. chess wars, made an auspicious debut in European events by winning first prize in an international tournament in Italy early this year. One of his wins follows.

## NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE <br> MCO: page 112, column 59 (i:B) Milan Chess Club International Tournament Milan, 1953

White A. E. SANTASI Black
 2. P-QB4 P-K3 4, B-Kts

Until very recently, this seemingly natural developing move has been variously described as "unusual," "rare," "Inferior," and "poor." The queen-side prematurely and/or leads to the unprofitable exchange of QB for KKt. Now, however, that Boris Spassky, the sixteen year old USSR Smyslov and Golombek in the 1953 Buysiov and Golombek in the 1953 "authoratative" opinion is rapidly changing and the move is called "strong," "dangerous," and "excellent!" Perhaps the truth lies in the midale.
Previously considered best, this currently is under a cloud. One of the most rently is under a cloud. One of the most
recent sugegsted improvements is 4 . recent sugegsted improvements is 4 . Q3; 7. B-Q3, BxKtch; 8. P×B, QKt-Q2; 9. Kt-K2, Kt-B1; 10, Q-B2, Kt-Kt3; 11. O-O P-KR3; 12. KBxKt, RPxB.
Spassky-Smyslov
Spassky-Smyslov, Bucharest, 1953, continued: 5. ......, P-B4; 6. P-Q5! P-Q3; 7. P-K3, PxP; 8, PxP, QKt-Q2; 9. B-QKt5 $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 10$. KKt-K2, Kt-K4; 11, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Kt}$-Kt3; 12. B-Kt3, Kt-R4; 13. B-Q3, KtxB; 14.
$\mathrm{KtxKt}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 15 . \mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{BxKt}$; 16. PxB, KtxKt, Kh-K4; 15 . B-K2, BxKt; 16. PxB, and White secured a winning
And Spassky-Golombek, Bucharest, 1953, And out: 5. ....., P-B4; 6. P-Q5, P-K4; 7. P-K3, P-Q3; 8. B-Q3, P-QKt4; 9. PxP,
P-Kt4; 10. B-Kt3, B-Kt2; 11. Kt-K2, Ktx P-Kt4; 10. B-Kt3, B-Kt2; 11. Kt-K2, Ktx
P; 12, O-O, Kt-Q2; 13. B-K4! BxKt; 14. $\mathrm{BxKt!} \mathrm{BxB} ; ~ 15 . ~ Q x B, ~ B x P ; ~ 16 . ~ Q R-Q 1, ~$
$\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6 ; ~ 17 . ~ Q x Q P, ~ Q-K 2 ; ~ 18 . ~ Q-B 6, ~ R-Q 1 ; ~$
 19. Kt-B3, O-O; 20. Kt-Q5, Q-K3; 21, QxQ,
PxQ; 22. Kt-K7eh, K-B2; 23. Kt-B6, KPxQ; 22. Kt-K7ch, K-B2; 23. Kt-B6, K-
$\mathrm{K} 1 ; 24$. R-Q3, Resigns. Santaslere tries a different move.
Perhaps the soundest is 6. Q-Kt3. The text, to enforce P-K4, is a bit slow and awkward. But if $6 . ~ P-Q 5, ~ a s ~ i n ~ t h e ~$
Spasky games, then 6. ......., PxP; 7. Spasky games, then 6. ....., PxP; 7.
PxP, B-Kt2; and White's QP is going. For if 8. Q-Q4, BxKtch; 9. PxB, BxP; $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 10. BxKt, QxB!; and if } \\ \text { 6. } & \text { 8. P-K4, Q-K2! } \\ \text { 7. } & \text { P-B4 } & \text { 7. PR3 }\end{array}$ This loses a tempo. 7. P-Q5 should be played immediately.
8. P×B P-Q3 9. P.K4 Or 9. P-K3! Kt-B3; 10. B-Q3, P-K4; 11.
B-K4! $\begin{array}{llcc}\text { B-K4! } \\ \text { 9. } & & & \\ \text { If } 10 . . . . & \text { Kt-B3 } & \text { 10. P-Q5 } & \text { Kt-K4 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { If } 10 . & \text { K......., Kt-QR4; } \\ \text { Q-K2, } \\ \text { 11.Q2; } & 13 . \\ P\end{array}$
11. B-K43

Better is 11. B-Q3, O-O; 12. Kt-R3, B-R3;
13. Q-K2. 13. Q-K2.

## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you a thorough postgame analysis. Fee $\$ 10$.
Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of your games for a fee of $\$ 5$.


This opening of the KB-file is almost decisive
21. $\mathbf{P \times P}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 22. R-K+1 RXP } & \text { 23. R-Kt2? }\end{array}$
If here or on the previous move, 23. RxR then the interpolation 23.
BxKt wins. E.g., $24 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R} ; 25$. BxKt wins. E.g., 24. Q-Q2, PxR; 25. P-
Q7, (if $25 . \mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Kt} 2$ ) R-Q1; 26 . Q-Q6, K-B2.
But 23. Kt-B4! makes a fight of it.
 Or 26. P-Q7, R-Q1; 27. R-Q2, BxKt; 28. $\mathrm{KxB} . \mathrm{QxP}$; and Black has a won ending. $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 26. } & \begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{BxKt} & \text { 28. } \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 3\end{array}\end{array}$ 27. K×B
If $28 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1$,
Q-R3ch
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B8}$

If 28.2 . K-K1, Q-B8 mate.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 29. P-Q7 } & \left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Q-B58ch } & \text { 30. K-Q3 }\end{array}\right]\end{array}$
If 30. K-K2, QxPeh; wins.
For if 31, K-K32 Resigns
For if 31. K-K3, R-Q1; and Black re-
moves the moves the $Q P$ and makes further re-
sistance pointless.

GAME WITHOUT WORDS
And this exceptionally long game (too long for words) yeally won the tournament for "Tony" on S.B. points over Primavera, who had tied his score. It is a struggle which required much technique and patience and determination. And which consumed four playing sessions and fourteen hours! Black's opening play (Cf. 7 th and 8th moves) is somewhat novel and his handling of the two successive Queen
and Pawn endings is very adroit.

## GRUNFELD DEFENSE

MCO: page 84 , column 25 (m)
Milan Chess Club
Infernational Tournament Milan, 1953

| 1 |
| :--- |
|  |
| 1. |
| 2. |
| 3. |
| 4. |
| 5. |
| 6. |
| 7. |
| 7. |
| 9. |

White
PRIMAVERA

-ूलสส

## RETI OPENING

South Makota Open Championship Vermillion, 1953
Notes by U.S. Master Ariel
Mengarini, M.D.
, White PENQUITE
, M.D. Black J. PENQUITE $\quad$ C. WB3 WEBERG 2. P-B4 P-K3 3. PRK Here and for the next two moves Black here a very promising continuation in $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ! The reasoning behind that move is that, since White has committed his KB to the long diagonal, the $Q$ will have to recapture at B4 eventually, thus prematurely developing and exposing prematurely dever. Black then takes advantage of her forwardness by the forcing P-QR3 and P-QKt4. Then he fianchettos his QB p-QKta. Then he fanchettos his QB to neutralize White's, and attacks the center with P-QB4. White may seek
tactical complications but they all seem tactical complica
to favor Black.
to favor Black.

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 4. } & \text { B-Kt2 } & \text { B-K2 } & \text { 7. } & \text { P-Q3 } & \text { Kt-B3 } \\ \text { 5. } & 0.0 & 0.0 & 8 & \text { QKt-Q2 } & \text { R-Kt1 }\end{array}$ | 5. | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { P.O } & 0.0 & \text { O.O } & \text { 8. QKt-Q2 } \\ \text { S. } & \text { R-K }+1\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Instead of this undewater shadow-play, P-Q5 would have quite simply secured an advantage in space, with possibilities of P-K4, P-KB4 and P-K5. If White then at any time tries to contest the center with P-K4, PxP e.p. allows for pressure on the half-open Q-file.

 the pawn position still favors him, because of the prospective outpost at Q5. He should strive to coordinate his forces by bearing down on that point, and at the same time try to prevent White's P-1.
scem best.

## seem best.

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 11. B-Kt2 } & \text { P-K4 } & \text { 13. KR-Q1 } & \text { B-K12 } \\ \text { 12. } & \text { Q-K2 } & \text { P-QK } & \text { 14. } K+\text { K } 11\end{array}$ 12. Q-K2
Perhaps P-QK43
fearful of
14. Kt-B2-K3-Q5 Perhaps fearful of Kt-B2-K3-Q5. But now White gets a mobile $P$ majority to work with, whichever way Black retakes.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 15. BxKt } & \text { KPXB } & \text { 18. R-K1 } & \text { QR-K1 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 16. } & K+-Q 3 & K+-Q 2 \\ \text { 17. P-B4 } & \text { P-B3 } & \text { 19. } Q-B 2\end{array} \quad$ R-B2,
There isn't time now to double Rooks on the K-file. B-Q3 would have made it hard for White to utilize his majorlty.
20. P-K5 BxB

KR-B1 was necessary. The text simply invites White to take control of the long diagonal without loss of time. Or 21. P-K6 wins the exchange for a pawn.
21. QxB
P×P
24, QR-K1
B-B3 21. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 22. } K+x K P & \text { KtxKt } & \text { 25. R-K8! }\end{array}$
23. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{R}(1)-\mathrm{KB1}$


Leading to serial exchanges and a won ending. Black's pawn preponderance is fixed and his

## 25. RX

27. Q-Q5ch
28. QxQch
29. R×Rch
30. K-B2
RXR

Black seems bent on helping his op ponent with pawn moves on both sides of the board. But if he hadn't convenlently made the BP an object of attack from the Kt's blockading post at Q3, result with P-QKt4xP
37. P-KKt4 KtPXP 39. PXP 37. P-KK
38. PXBP

With his $K$ tied to the defense of the BP, Black cannot hope to hold $K$ and passed pawn with this lone $B$. If the $B$ were at $Q 3$ and his $K$ at $K 3$, the ending
would still present some difficulties for White.
Still, it might be hard for the begin ner to understand how White could as carly as the 25 th move, in view of Black's "protected passed 'P" and the fact that his own QBP could be "isolated." The whole ending verges on the relative capacities of Kt and B ; with out these pieces on the board White would have no advantage. Black's protected passed $P$ is useless because the Kt blockades it without ceasing to exert pressure at the same time. White's isolated pawns are not weaknesses because they cannot be attacked and so he need not concern himself about them. In fact, every white man on a white square is immune. White had all the play and all the prospects.
40. P-K15 K-B3 45. P-Kt6
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { 40. P-K15 } & \text { K-B3 } & \text { 45. P-K46 } \\ \text { 41. P-B5 } & \text { PxPch } & \text { 46. P-K+7 } \\ \text { 42. K×P } & \text { K-Q3 } & 47 . \\ \text { K×B }\end{array}$
42. $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 43. K-Kt6 K-R1 } & \text { 48. K-B6 } & \text { K-B3 } \\ \text { 4. K-Q3 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { 44. K-B7 B-K4 } & \text { 49. K-B5 Resigns }\end{array}$ Penquite shows a
style, but it must be admitted that in this game he had most of his problems solved for him.

## 소⼸

## NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

MCO: page 110, column 46
Metropolitan League Match New York, 1953

## J. White COLLINS <br> 3. W-COLLINS Black

 (Marshall C. C.) Academy (C, C Most seen is 4. P-Q4. And 4-QKt3 Kt-B3; Taimanov's favorite, is an interesting way of countering the Rubinstein Variation of the Nimzo.
5. Kt-K2

More in vogue now is 5 . $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$; 6 Kt-B3, P-Q4; 7. O-O, B-Kt2; 8, B-Q2, PxP 9. BxP, P-B4; 10. P-QR3, PxP; 11. PxB 14. KR-Q1! and White has the advantage.

## GUEST ANNOTATORS

## Dr. Ariel Mengarini

| 5. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| On 5............, | B-R3; |  |

On 5. ...... B-R3; 6. Kt-Kt3, BxKtch; 7. PxB, P-Q4; 8. B-R3, White stands better. But 5....., B-Kt2; is better. 6. P-QR3 B-K2? 7. $\mathrm{Kt-K+3}$ Or 7. P-Q5.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 7. } & \text { P-K4 } & \begin{array}{llll}\text { B-K12 } & \text { P. } & \text { B-K2 } & \text { QKt-Q2 } \\ \text { 8. } & \text { P. } & \text { O.O } & \text { R-K1 }\end{array}\end{array}$ Black has a badly cramped position and lacks a satisfactory continuation. Apparently 6. ........, BxKtch; 7. KtxB, PQ4; was a practical necessity.
11. P.B4

With acknowledgement to the Four Pawns Attack in the King's Indian Defense. The threat is 12. P-K5, win ning the KKt.

This saves the plece, but leaves white This saves the plece, but leaves White
with splendid play on the KB and Q with splendid play on the KB and Q files.
13. P.Q5 P.QR4 14. B-K3

Now Black can exchange his "bad" KB for White's "good" QB. Yet it soon becomes clear Black really needed his KB.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 14. } \ldots . . . . & \text { B-B4 } & \text { 16. QXB }\end{array}$ Q.K2 An inaccuracy. Better is 16. ........, Kt-B1. 17. Kt-Kt5 QR-B1?

Comparatively best is 17. ......., KR-QB1. The move made loses a Pawn or the exchange, which means the game. 18. P-Q6!


If 18. ......, Q-B1; 19. KtxP, wins.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 20. } \mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{B5} 5) \times \mathrm{QPP} & \text { 21. } \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 8) \\ \mathrm{KtxK} & \end{array}$
If 21. ........, BxKt; 22. KtxKt ch, wins. If 21. ........, RxKt; 22. Kt-B7, wins. And

 25. BxR, QxB; 26. QxP, and White wins.
 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 25. QxP } & \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{Q3} & \text { 28. R×R } \\ \text { 26. QR-Q1 } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B5} & \text { 29. R-Q7 }\end{array}$ And White can win by forcing R-Q8 or advancing his QKtr.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 29. } & \text { P-K..... } & \text { 31. R-Q8ch Resigns }\end{array}$ 30. Q-B7 Q-R3

If $31 . . . . . . .$. . K-Kt2; (or else the Queen and Knight are lost) 32 . QxKP ch, P-B3; 33. Q-K7 mate.

## Journament Life

September 5-7
Florida State Championship Vero Beach, Fla.
Open to all; at Windswept Hotel, first round begins 10:00 a.m. Saturday; trophies; for details, write: Major J. B. Holt, Long Beach via Sarasota, Fla.

September 5-7
Illinois State Championship Chicago, III.
At Columbus Park Refectory, on Jackson Blvd., west of Central Ave.; 7 rd Swiss; A, B and C classes; begins 11:00 a.m. Saturday; registration fee $\$ 5.00$ (plus $\$ 1.00$ rating fee for non-USCF members); for details write: Fred H. Stoppel, Jr., 5214 So. 24th St., Cicero, Ill.

100\% USCF rated event.
BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!

## U. S. OPEN GAMES FROM IST ROUNDS

Beginning with an upset by the former U. S. Junior Champion.

ENGLISH OPENING

|  | White |  |  |  | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRASK |  |  | A. | DAKE |
| 1. | P-QB4 | P-K4 | 20. | BxP | K $4 \times 8$ |
| 2. | Kt-QB3 | Kt-QB3 | 21. | QxKt | B-84 |
| 3. | P-KK13 | P-B4 | 22. | K+xB | PxKt |
| 4. | B-K+2 | Kt - B 3 | 23. | BxKtch | PxB |
| 5. | P-K3 | B-K2 | 24. | QxPch | Qxa |
| 6. | P-Q4 | P-K5 | 25. | R×Q | B-83 |
| 7. | P-B3 | PxP | 26. | R-K2 | P-R5 |
| 8. | Ktx ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | P-Q3 | 27. | P-QKt4 | -36 |
| 9. | $0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | 28. | Kt-K6 | -82 |
| 10. | P-QR3 | P-QR4 | 29. | R(K2)-K | KB2 |
| 11. | Q-B2 | K-R1 |  |  | K-Kı1 |
| 12. | P-K+3 | B-Q2 | 30. | R×P | R×R |
| 13. | R-K+2 | Q-K1 | 31. | RxR | R-K1 |
| 14. | QR-K1 | Q-R4 | 32. | K1×P | R.K8ch |
| 15. | Kt-Q5 | B-Q1 | 33. | K-K+2 | R-K7ch |
| 16. | Kt -34 | Q-K1 | 34. | K-R3 | K-K+2 |
| 17. | P-Q5 | Kt-K4 | 35. | P-Q6 | B-K4 |
| 18. | Kt-Q4 | P-KK13 | 36. | RxB | Resigns |
| 19. | P-K4 | PxP |  |  |  |

Quite
QUEN'S PAWN GAME
Blacl v. PAFNUTIEFF E. ZEMGALIS 1. P-Q4 Kt-KB3 13. Q-B2 Kt-K4
 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 3. } & \text { Kt-QB3 } & \text { B-Kt5 } & \text { 15. P-K+5 } & \text { B-K+2 } \\ \text { 4.K3 } & 0.0 & \text { 16. } \mathrm{Kt-K+3} & \text { P-KR4 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 4. } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { O.O } & \text { 16. Kt-Kt3 } & \text { P-KR4 } \\ \text { 5. } & \text { B-Q3 } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { 17. P-KR4 } & \end{array}$


 11. $\mathrm{PXP} \quad \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{B2} \quad \begin{array}{ll}\text { 21. K-B1 K } \\ \text { Resigns }\end{array}$ 12. B-K3

SICILIAN DEFENSE


QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED White
E. ZEMGALIS
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. P.Q4 } & \text { E. T. McCORMICK }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 1. P-Q4 P-Q4 } & \text { 13. Q-B2 } & \text { Q-K+3 }\end{array}$ 3. Kt-KB3 $\underset{\text { Kt-KR3 }}{\text { PXP }}$ 14. QKt-Q2 Kt-Q2 $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & \text { 15. R-Kt1 } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrl}\text { 4. P-K3 P-K3 } & \text { 16. BxKtch KtxB }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrll}\text { 5. } & \text { BxP } & P-Q R 3 & \text { 17. Q-Q1 }\end{array} \quad$ Q-Q3 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Q-K2 } & \text { P-QKt4 } & \text { 19. QxB } & \text { Kt-Q4 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llrll}\text { 8. } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2} & \text { 20. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K4} & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2 \\ \text { 9. } & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 & \text { 21. } \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Bch} & \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \dagger\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 10. P-QKt4 KłxP } & \text { 22. QxPch } & \text { K-Q3 }\end{array}$ 11. BxPch Kt-B3 $\quad$ 23. P-K4 Resigns 12. B-R4

## September 5-7

Ohio State Championship Columbus, Ohio
Open to residents of Ohio; at Seneca Hotel; 5 rd Swiss; trophies and prizes; write to Ross Owens, 124 South Point Drive, Avon Lake, Ohio for details.

## October 30-November 1

North Carolina Open Championship Wilmington, No. Car.
At Community Center; starts 7:30 p.m.; 5 or 6 rd Swiss; entry fee $\$ 3.00$ plus membership in NCCA or USCF; prizes; all welcome; rated; write: Dr. N. M. Hornstein, Southport, N. C. for further details.

## Mate The Subtle Way!

by Vincent L. Eaton

Address all communications to this column to Vincent L. Eaton, 612 MeNelll Road, Silver Spring, Maryland.

WITH this issue we begin our fifth year as problem editor of CHESS LIFE, and to celebrate the occasion we dedicate No. 442 to all our readers. May our solving band continue to increase, and may the problems in this column continue to please you!

CORRECTION: Problem No. 438 should be "mate in three moves."


## Solutions: Mate the Subtle Way!

No. 427 (Afonin): 1. Q-K2.
No. 428 (Rice): The author intended 1. Kt-Kt8, but there is a "cook" by 1 . $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{RP}$. Ronald $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{Nelll}$ suggests the following re-setting: $\mathrm{q} 3 \mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{Q} 2 \mathrm{RIPb}, 6 \mathrm{~B} 1$, 3pppp1, r1Rbk3, 2 PpS 3 , s4K1B, 8. Mate in two.

No. 429 (Holladay): 1. P-Kt4, with a novel pin-unpin variation by en passant. The close try 1. P-Kt3 is defeated by 1. ......., RxKt.

No. 430 (O'Neill): 1. Kt-B1. If 1. ......., P-B7; 2. R-Q2. If 1. ........, K-Q8; 2. R-B2.
No. 431 (Heathcote): 1. Q-R6.
No. 432 (Loshinsky): 1. R-QB3. The try 1. Q-R7 is defeated by R-QKt3.
No. 433 (Ovehinnikov): 1. Kt-B5. Tries by 1. K-Q8, etc., fail when 1. ......... Q-K5.
No. 434 (Leon-Martin): 1. R-K3, threat: 2. R-K4. If 1. ........, B moves; 2. P-Q4ch. If 1. ......., Kt-Q5; 2. R-K4 ch.

## BURGER TAKES JUNIOR SPEED

Karl Burger triumphed in the Junior Speed Championship at Kansas City in a field of 16 players, scoring 14-1 with a loss to Leonard Frankenstein of Kansas City.

Second place went to Charles Henin of Boston with $131 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$, with a loss to Burger and a draw with John Penquite. Allen Kaufman of New York was third with $101 / 2-41 / 2$, losing to Burger, Henin, Martin Harrow and John H. Bloomer while drawing with Juris Jurevics.

USCF
CANDIDATES TOURNAMENT Philadelphia, Pa. September 11-20, 1953 at Hotel Adelphi

What's The
Best Move?
By Guilherme Groeser
Position No. 123


Send solutions to Position No. 123 to the Editor, CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, Ill., by September 20, 1953.

Solution to No. 120
Strangely enough, a number of solv ers overlooked the simple but forceful winning move in the Mason-Blackburn, Monte Carlo, 1901 position. Black merely played 1. ........, B-K61; 2. QxR (if 2. $B \times B, P-R 6$ wins), $Q \times Q$ and Black wins. It must be pointed out that an imIt must be pointed out that an in2. QxP; while 1. ......., RxBP; 2. RxR 2. QxP; while 1. ......., RxBP; 2. RxR (forced) is not main variation, although White may any main variation, although White may
win (and has definite advantage). Howwin (and has definite advantage). How ever, 1. ......., R-K6 wins in the same fashion as 1. ........ B-K6 and is accepted as a correct solution.
Correct solutions are acknowledged received from: J. E. Barry (Ann Arbor) G. F. Chase (Buffalo), J. E. Comstock (Duluth), J. D. Define (Florissant), E. K. Dille (Norfolk), R. J, Gagnon (Kingsley), E. Gault (New Brighton), P. J. Goldstone (Chicago), D. Hamburger (Pittsburgh), J. Kaufman (Los Angeles), C. Lyon (Pcoria), E. Nash (Washington), G. W. Payne (Webster Groves), E. G. W. Payne (Webster Groves), E. Roethler (Cleveland), E. Roman Ney Britain); B. A. Schaeffer (San Ber nardino), I. Sigmond (Colwick), D. Sil ver, I. Schwartz (Durand), D. A. Walsdorf (New Orleans), W. B. Wilson (Amherstburg), N. P. Witling (Salem), N Zemke (Detroit), F. S. Dietrick (Memphis).
A hearty welcome to new solvers Frank S. Dietrick of Memphis, R. J. Goldstone of Chicago, Irwin Sigmond of Colwlek, and David Silver who ne glested to include his address.

The List of Chess Magazines will be resumed in next issue.

## THE NEWEST BOOK ON THE OLDEST GAMES <br> CHAMPIONSHIP CHESS AND CHECKERS FOR ALL

 U. S. Chess Champion

Tom Wiswell Checker Champion

AUTOGRAPHED! This newest work on the sister games, by two top-notch masters, is the only one to-read. Ideal as a gift to friends Whether you play one or both games, this definitive work is a MUST. Deluxe, cloth bound copy only $\$ 3.75$. Send check or M.O. to:

LARRY EVANS
358 West 27 Street
New York 1
N. Y.

## $T_{\text {ournament }}$ Life

August 29-September 7
New York State Chess Congress Cozenovia, N.Y.
Open; Championship and Experts tmts, also Susquehanna Team matches, open to team of five from any club in state; entry fee $\$ 10.00$ in Championship, $\$ 5.00$ in Experts, team match $\$ 1.00$, NYSCA membership required; 9 rd Swiss in Championship with $\$ 100.00$ first prize; Swiss or rd robin in Experts, first prize $\$ 50.00$, Fritz Brieger Brilliancy prize $\$ 25.00$, Paul Morgan Trophy to top ranking upstate player, etc.; entries for individual tmts must be postmarked no later than August 21st and sent to Harold M. Phillips, 258 Broadway, New York City; inquiries to Willis Hull, 30 Circuit Drive, Binghampton N. Y.

## August 29-30 <br> Panhandle Open Borger, Texas

Ópen to all; at Borger Hotel starting at 1:00 p.m. Saturday; entry fee $\$ 2.50$, and $\$ 1.00$ to those under 21 years old; 4 or 5 rd Swiss; trophy and cash prizes; for details, write: Mason S. Wilt, Borger, Tex.

## September 4.7

Pennsylvania Stafe Championship York, Pennsylvania
Open to all players residing in Pennsylvania or member of Pennsylvania Chess Clubs; at Yorktowne Hotel; 7 round Swiss; prizes include traveling trophy, eash and merchandise; Rapid Transit tourney September 4 at 8:00 p.m.; for details write Jeffrey C. Bortner, 31 So. Duka St., York Pa. 100\% USCF rated event.

## September 5-7

West Virginia State Championship Huntington, W. Va.
At Huntington YMCA, 6th Ave. and 11th St.; begins noon Saturday State title event open but title re stricted to state resident; also W. Va. Open and Junior events; Swiss or round robin; entry fee $\$ 5.00$; for details write: Dr. V. S. Hayward, 1128 Ninth Ave., Huntington, W Va.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event
Turn to page 7 for a list of other tournament announcements.

Subscriptions Accepted for
THE BRITISH CHESS MAGAZINE Founded in 1861 and now the oldest ches periodical extant. Games Editor: H. Golombek-Problem World: S. Sedgwick
$-\$ 3.00$ per year ( 12 lssues)Specimen cony $2 \overline{\mathrm{c}}$
Special thin-paper edition, sent by Atrmall $\$ 4.70$ per year. CHESS WORLD
Comprehensive Australlan chess maga gine edited by C. J. S. Purdy. Articleb,
nanotated games, problemf, newn $\$ 2.25$ per year-12 issues CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Hum Oak Park. Humphrey Ave.

> For news of Canadian Chess Life, Subscribe To The CANADIAN CHESS CHAT Official Organ of the Chess Federation of Canada Only publioation with national ooverage: Events, Games, Artioles and personalities. Canadian Chess News! Annual Subscription: $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 7 5}$ CHESS LIFE: 123 No. Humphrey Avo., Oak Park,

## September 4.7

New Jersey Open Championship Hackensack, N. J.
Open to all, State Championship trophy restricted to state residents; at Northern Valley Chess Club, 360 Main Street; 7 rd Swiss; eight prizes plus a special travel expense prize for player planning to enter a distant tournament whose standing in this event justifies award; entry fee $\$ 3.00$ with $\$ 3.00$ forfeiture fee, USCF and State Ass'n membership required ( $\$ 5.00$ ); for hotel reservations or details, write: Tournament Director, Northern Valley Chess Club, 360 Main St., Hackensack, N. J.

100\% USCF rated event.

## September 4-7

## New England Championship

 Boston, Mass.Open to New England residents; at Huntington Ave. YMCA, 316 Huntington Ave.; Class A, B and Women's events; 6 rd Swiss in each; Speed tmt on Labor Day afternoon; starts 7:30 p.m. Sept. 4 ; challenge trophy and $\$ 100.00$ first prize in Class A; entry fees: Class A $\$ 10.00$, Class B $\$ 5.00$, Women's $\$ 3.00$, all plus $\$ 1.00$ NECA membership; send entries to Harry H. Lyman, Sec'y-Treas., 741 Morton St., Mattapan 26, Mass; and enquiries to Franklin J. Sanborn, 84 Fenway, Boston 15, Mass.

## Mid-Wesł Open Championship

 Lincoln, Nebr.Open to all; at Capitol Hotel; sponsored by Lincoln City C. C.; 6 rd Swiss; entry fee $\$ 5.00$; cash and merchandise prizes for at least 6 top places; starts 9:00 a.m.; for details, write: Peter Wood, \% Ben Simon \& Sons, 1215 "O" St., Lincoln, Nebr.

September 5.7

## Louisiana State Championship Baton Rouge, La.

Open to Louisiana and Mississippi players; 6 rd Swiss, starting 10 a.m. Sept. 5 at YMCA, 311 No. 4th St.; entry fee $\$ 6.50$, which includes USCF and LCA membership dues, payable to Otto Claitor, 241 North Street, Baton Rouge, La.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## September 5-7

California Open Championship San Jose, Calif.
Open; at Sainte Claire Hotel; cash and special prizes; entry fee $\$ 5.00$ to CSCF members, $\$ 7.50$ to others; 1st rd starts 10:00 a.m. Sept. 5; 7 rd Swiss; for details, wríte: Harry Shaw, 1227 Minnesota Ave., San Jose 25, Calif.

## September 5-7 <br> Southwestern Open Championship Houston, Texas

Open to all; at Rice Hotel; registration Saturday morning; the major chess event of the SouthDallas in 1952; prizes; 7 rd Swiss; 1st round starts 2:00 p.m. Saturday; for details: write Robert Brieger, 220 West 18th St., Houston, Tex.


## Windsor Castle Chessmen

Made of solid plastic. Big lead weights molded into bases. Felts cemented permanently with plastic glue. 37/8" King. Complete set in leatherette-covered case, as illustrated: No. 21-Black and Maple.............Retail
No. 22-Red and Ivory ................ $\$ 20.00$
Less $21 \%$ discount to USCF members
15.80

Complete set in leatherette-covered de luxe case with individual felt-lined divisions for all pieces:
No. 23-Black and Maple.............Retail $\$ 25.00$
No. 24-Red and lvory.........
Less $21 \%$ discount to USCF members


Complete set of Black \& Ivory men, felted but NOT weighted, in shipping carton:
No. 27: $\$ 10$ less $15 \%$ to USCF members
$\$ 8.50$
Mail your order now to
UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION
93 Barrow Street
New York 14, N. Y.

