

## $\mathcal{L}_{\text {arry }} \mathcal{E}_{\text {vans }} O_{n}$ Chess



By<br>International Master

LARRY EVANS
U. S. Chess Champion U. s. open Champion, 1951-52 U. S. Team Member, 1950, 1952
$\Gamma^{\mathrm{HE}}$ following position has a strange history. It was first shown to me by Jerry Donovan. Later, I joyfully had the pleasure of watching him stump a group of America's leading chess masters with it. One day, at the U.S. Junior Championship Tournament in Cleveland, 1947, I showed the problem - and the solution - to Eliot Hearst before the round. Then I discovered that we were paired. Some forty moves later, to my chagrin, I found myself defending the losing side. To this day, I can only speculate as to whether he would have found the win over-the-board had I not shown it to him beforehand!

This is the most difficult study I have ever come across which utilizes so few pieces.

## PLANS MAKING FOR COLLEGIATE

The Intercollegiate Individual Championship will be conducted in New York as a 7 round Swiss event December $26-30$ inclusive, and the winner will be accorded two-year custody of the H. Arthur Nabel Trophy, as Intercollegiate Individual Champion. Title-holder James T. Sherwin of Columbia will not compete, having graduated and become ineligible. Location of the tournament has not been determined and will be anounced later by the Intercollegiate Committee, as will be the name of the secretary to which inquiries and entries should be sent.

## U. S. Intercollegiate Individual Championship New York

December 26-30, 1953
Site to be announced later


White to play and win
The first step is to obtain this position with Black to move. (The brash try 1. K-B3, K-R5; 2. K-Q3, fails owing to 2. ....., K-Kt5!) Hence, the solution is as follows: 1. KR3!, K-Kt3; 2. G-Kt2!, K-R4; 3. KKt3 (Now we have the original position with Black on the move), K-Kt3; 4. K-B3, K-R4; 5. K-Q2!! (The second finesse. Not 5. K-Q3, K-Kt5 and draws), K-R5; 6. K-K3, K-Kt5 (finally!); 7. K-Q3, K-R6; 8. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{KxP} ; 9 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ and wins.

Notice that the first step consisted in gaining a move, the second in the 5 . K-Q2!! finesse. A study of extraordinary depth.

## SOBEL TRIUMPHS IN PENNSYLVANIA

With a 6-1 score, Robert D. Sobel of Philadelphia won the 67 player Swiss at York for the Pennsylvania title. Sobel lost no games but drew with Vladimir Bomanov and Saul Wachs. Second to fifth on S-B with equal $51 / 2-11 / 2$ scores were Attilio DiCamillo, Vladimir Bomanov, Saul Wachs, and William Byland.

DiCamillo lost to Sobel and drew with Bomanov. Bomanov drew with Sobel, DiCamillo and N. L. Coleburn. Wachs drew with Sobel and lost to DiCamillo. And Byland lost to DiCamillo and drew with E. R. Glover.

Sobel, not yet 21, could also have claimed the Junior title but waived it in favor of the next ranking junior, M. F. Cleaver who scored $41 / 2-21 / 2$.

DiCamillo won the Rapid Transit event with 30 entries.

## LUDWIG TAKES ANNUAL SWENSON

Scoring 4-1, Alfred Ludwig won the annual Swenson Memorial at Omaha, drawing with Ricard Vincent and E. Ireland. Vincent was second with $3 \frac{112-11 / 2}{}$, and Jack Spence and D. Sheffer scored 3-2.

## FIDE Regulates Fułure Events

The Annual FIDE Congress at Schaffhausen, Switzerland from August 23 to August 30 was devoted largely to the regulation of future tournament plans. The Zonal structure was revised with the following seven Zones established: Zone I: England, Scotland, Ireland, Holland, Belgium, Luxemburg, Spain, Saarland, France, Portugal, Italy, Yugoslavia, Greece, West Germany, Switzerland and Austria; Zone II: U.S.S.R; Zone III: Sweden, Norway, Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Poland, Rumania, Albania, Hungary, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, East Germany, Israel, Egypt, South Africa, and Australia; Zone IV: U.S.A.; Zone V: Canada; Zone VI: Central America; Zone VII: South America.

Zonal tournaments are to be the World Correspondence Chess held in these Zones in 1954 to qualify players for the Interzonal Tournament in 1955. The Interzonal event will consist of 22 players: four each from Zones 1, 2 , and 3 ; two from Zone 4 (USA), one each from Zones 5 and 6, and four from Zone 7, plus one player from the Federation organizing the tournament (if the Federation is not already represented and only if its candidate is cither a grandmaster or international master), and the player in the 1953 Candidates Tournament who just failed to qualify for participation in the next Candidates event.

The Candidates Tournament for 1956 will consist of the winner of 1953 Candidates Tournament (or the second place player if the winner should gain the World Championship title), and the six ranking players in the 1955 Interzonal event. The final match for the World Championship title will be contested in 1957 as a twentyfour game match between winner of the 1956 Candidates Tournament and the World Champion.

The International Team Tournament at Buenos Aires in 1954 will be held in September instead of March as originally planned and the Argentina Chess Federation will assume cost of first-class passage to and from Argentina for the six-man teams of competing Federations.

Bids for the next World Junior Championship have been received from France, Belgium and Argentina; and a decision on the site will be made by the 1954 Congress.

The following titles were awarded: Grandmasters Rossolimo (France), Tolush (USSR), Pirc and Trifunovic (Yugoslavia). International Masters: Gilg, Heinicke, Brinckmann, Rodl, and Wagner (West Germany), Fazekas (England), Sliwa (Poland), Blau (Switzerland), Filip (Czechoslovakia), Spassky (USSR), Becker (Argentina). International Judges: Skalicka (Argentina), Kristensen (Denmark), Gabrovsek (Yugoslavia), Alatortsev and Tichomirova (USSR).

FIDE also recognized the International Correspondence Chess Association as being entitled to hold

Championship and to conduct various international correspondence matches and tournaments. Other actions will be reported when the complete minutes of the Congress are available for a more detailed account.

## WOMEN'S TOURNEY PLANS IN MAKING

USCF President Harold M. Phillips has announced the appointment of a special committee to lay plans and make arrangements for the U.S. Women's Championship, to be held before the close of 1953. Mrs. Gisela I. Gresser has been appointed chairman, with Albert S. Pinkus as secretary-treasurer, and committee-members Mrs. Carrie Marshall, Mrs. Kathryn Slater and Willard Widney.

Dates for the event have been set as December 5-19 inclusive and location of the event will be announced later. The tournament is open to all qualified women chess players who are citizens of the United States, and membership in the USCF is required of all entrants. The entry fee is $\$ 5.00$ and the closing date on entries has been set as November 15, 1953.

Entry fees and contributions toward the prize fund of the U.S. Women's Championship should be sent to Mr. Albert S. Pinkus, 1700 Albemarle Road, Brooklyn 26 N.Y., treasurer for the Women's Championship Committee. Contributions to the tournament funds will be appreciated in the cause of lending even greater dignity to the promier event for women chess players, whose greater participation in the game will be beneficial to all chess.

## U. S. WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP New York

December 5-19, 1953
Site to be announced later

# YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM 

America's Leading Young Masters Annotate

Outstanding Games from Recent Chess Events

## Peaceful Intentions Thwaried

By U. S. Master HANS J. BERLINER



THE New York State Championship of 1953 afforded me with my first victory in a major intersectional event. The following game was one of the crucial games from that event, coming in the seventh round. My score at this point was $6-0$ and my opponent had $5-1$, and after a first round loss had been playing very fine chess. Since after this round there were only two more rounds to go and I had played most of my tough competition, I started the game with the notion of obtaining a draw. Soon, however, $I$ found myself fighting hammer and tongs to avoid immediate loss.

## QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED

New York State Congress Cazenovia, 1953

## White

J. W. collins
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. } & \text { P-Q4 } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { P-QB4 }\end{array}$
The Queen's Gambit Accepted, one of my favorites.

| 3. | Kt-KB3 | P-QR3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 4. | P-K3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 5. | BXP | P-K3 |
| 6. | O-O | P-B4 |
| 7. | Q-K2 | P-QK 14 |
| 8. | B-Q3 |  |

So far all book. White's last move, however, is theoretically inferior to B-Kt3. 8. $\mathbf{P \times P}$.

White cannot recapture with the Knight because P-K4 is too strong. This points out one of the drawbacks of 8 . B-Q3.


But now Black errs seriously in trying for too much. B-K2 followed by O-O is sufficient to give Black a very good game.
Meets both of Black's threats (KtxP and Kt -Kt5) and gives White the edge.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 10. } \\
\text { 11. } \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \\
\text { 12. } & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 4
\end{array}
$$

This move is an unfortunately necessary prelude to the development of the KB and castling because if at once 14. ........, B-K2; 15. B-KKt5, O-O; 16. P-Q5 leads to wins for White in all variations.

Black has the choice of being massacred in the center or on the K -side and chooses the latter to make his stand. White cannot now win the exchange with 17 . $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q7}$ because of Q -B3. But his next move threatens to do so.
his next move 17. R-R3!
Threatens to bring the R to the K -side attack.
Otherwise R-KR3 followed by Q-R5 wins off-hand.

$$
\text { 18. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5} \quad \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}
$$

To prevent Kt-Q7. Black's last three moves have served to give him a backward KP and weaknesses on the black squares since the good black-squared Bishop is gone. However, these moves offered the only hope of a successful K defense.

## 20. R-KK+3?

White goes astray. He could have crowned his beautiful play up to now with 20. R-KR3!, P-Kt3 (to prevent Q-R5); 21 . R-R6! and White's many threats (KtxP or P-R4, R5) will eventually make Black's game untenable.
Traps the $\begin{gathered}\text { B. }\end{gathered}$
If now 21 . R-KR3, R-B4; 22. Q-R5, $P$. If now 21. R-KR3, R
KR3 and Black wins.
21. QR-K1!

In order to meet B-R6 by R-K2, K-R1 would not have done because R-R4 threatens both Kt-Kt6 ch and Q-R5.


Just barely playable as the following variations will show:- a) 24 . R-QB1, KtxP; 25. RxQ, KtxQ eh; 26. K-B1, KtxR; 27. BxR, KtxB; 28. KxKt, RxKtch and Black is a piece ahead; b) 24. BxKt, BxB; 25. R-QB1, B-Kt4!; 26 . Q-Q2! (not 26. RxQ, Bxe and both Rs are attacked), Q-Q3; 27. BxP, KtxB; 28. RxKt, RxKt! wins; but 27. B-R6, P-Kt3; 28. P-KKt3 leads to a wild position with chances for both sides and this would have been White's best line.

| best line. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24. $K+x K+$ ? | BxKt |
| 25. $R-Q B 1$ | B-Kt4! |
| 26. QQQ | Q-KB2! |
| 27. $B-B 2$ |  |



Thinking to win the exchange but now Black forces the win.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 27. } & \text { 28. } \\
\text { 29. } & \text { R-R4 } \\
\text { 30. } & \text { R-R3 }
\end{array}
$$

## Q-R4! PXB Q×B <br> Q.R3

An air-hole for the $K$ and protection for the Q before the final mop-up.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 31. R-KB3 } & \text { R-K7 } \\
\text { 32. Q-R5 } & \text { Kt-K6! } \\
\text { 33. P-KK+3 } &
\end{array}
$$

xP mate.

$$
\text { 33. } \mathrm{Q} \text { Q-B }
$$

If 34. Q-R1 (to protect the $R$ on the discovered attack) then $34 \ldots \ldots$......., PxP leads to the win of another pawn and ultimate victory.
Now it is all over since the QR is attacked and Q-B8 fails against R-K1. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 35. R×Kt P×BP ch } \\ \text { 36. K-BT } & \text { R-K8 ch }\end{array}$ Resigns
For if KxP. Q-Kts. mate.
One slip after a beautifully played opening cost White the game. With a $R$ and $a \operatorname{B}$ out of play he never had another chance.

## R28

At the annual meeting of the Louisiana Chess Ass'n, Eugene P. Watson of Natchitoches was elected president, A. L. McAuley of New Orlean secretary-treasurer, and W. Frank Gladney director to the USCF. Natchitoches was selected as site for the 1954 state tournament. Mrs. W. F. Gladney and Mrs. Otto Claitor were awarded honorary membership in the LCA for their labors in selecting prizes and preparing the buffet supper for the tournament dinner.

At its annual meeting the District of Columbia Chess League elected the following officers: William Plampin (Federal Chess Club) president, L. Russell Chauvenet (Naval Communications) vice-president, John T. Gant, Jr. (Paragon Club) secretary, Karl A. Baer (Divan) manager for local activities, and N. T. Whitaker (Federal) manager for outside activities. League matches will begin October 2nd with the following teams participating: Department of Agriculture, Applied Physics Laboratory, Federal Chess Club "A," Federal Chess Club "B," Georgetown University, Library of Congress, University of Maryland, Naval Communications "A," Naval Communications "B," Paragon Club, Washington Chess Diyan "A," Washington Chess Di- van "B."

Contributors to the YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM

Hans Berliner
Arthur Bisguier
Eliot Hearst
George Kramer Carl Pilnick James Sherwin Walter Shipman

## Chess dife In $\eta_{\text {aw }} Y_{\text {ork }}$

By Eliot Hearst

THOUGH the U. S. Women's Championship is still a couple of months off, N. Y. chess enthusiasts and promoters are already making plans for this important event; invitations to attend this year's tourney are being sent out to all previous competitors while "talent scouts" around the country are searching for women who, though talented, have not as yet crossed swords with other members of the fair sex in top-flight competition. The tournament, to begin Dec. 5th, promises to be a most interesting and hard-fought contest

The news that Miss Nanny Roos, one of the ranking U . S. women players, is seriously ill in Los Angeles led Mrs. Mary Bain, the present U. S. Lady Champ, and other members of the Marshall Chess Club to arrange an exhibition for the Californian's benefit and Mrs. Bain kindly volunteered to demonstrate the Knight's tour blindfolded in addition to taking on all comers in simultaneous play. Strenuous work for one evening! The Women's champ's blindfold feat was flawless (as always!), the Knight touching all the squares on the chessboard in 64 consecutive moves; how many of our readers could perform that tour with complete sight of the board? (Confession: yours truly probably wouldn't be able to go more than ten moves without the Knight landing on the same square twice! Someday I'll have to learn how to continue . . .). Mrs. Bain proved equally skillful in the simultaneous exhibition wihch followed; she scored 12 victories, 2 draws (with D. Lawson and J. Anthony) and 2 losses (to C. Curtis and W. Drakert) against stiff opposition. All present signed a "Get Well" card for Miss Roos, with the added hope that she will recover sufficiently to compete in many women's tourneys in the future.
IN BRIEF: In the Marshall Intraclub Match held to open the fall season, a team captained by L. J. Wolff defeated one headed by Mrs. I. A. Horowitz to the tune of $101 / 2$ $61 / 2$. . . Arthur Spiller, California expert, paused long enough in New York (on his way to Europe with the Air Force) to win one of the strong Marshall C. C. weekly rapids The Manhattan C. C. Championship wiil begin shortly. There is a strong possibility that "short preliminaries" will be held, since
(Please turn to page 7, col. 3)

Finish It The Clever Way! by Edmund Nash

Position No. 115 Book vs. Nilsson Stockholm, 1946


White to play and win

Position No, 116
E. Nash vs. R. Klugman Philadelphia, 1953


N Position No. 115 White won a piece in two moves, and Black resigned a move later.

In Position No. 116, one move forces a unique drawn position. Needless to say, I was very much pleased to be able to draw, being a piece down. The Philadelphia Candidates Tournament, under the excellent direction of Bill Ruth, ably assisted by Giangiulio, was one of my most enjoyable ones, even though I made a low score due to some rustiness and the exhausting excitement of the event. I hope participants in this tourney, as well as the "Open" in Milwaukee, will send me some memorable finishes.

For solutions, please turn to Page seven.
Send all contributions for this column to Edmund Nash, 1530 28th Place, S.E. Washington 20, D. C.

In the Ohio State Championship event, Mrs. Willa White Owens retained the Ohio Woman's Championship as highest ranking women player, while Philip Rothman of Middletown was accorded the Junior title as ranking junior player in the event at Columbus.

On his return from the Candidates Tournament in Switzerland, Grand Master Samuel Reshevsky plans an extended exhibition tour of the USA: January 1-15 in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Washington D.C., Ohio, West Virginia; January 16-February 1 Indiana, Illinois, Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas; February $2-15$ the West Coast; February 16-March 1 Utah, Wyoming, Colorado, Nebraska, Iowa, Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, New York and New England. Clubs desiring dates may write: Samuel Reshevsky, 396 Montgomery Street, Brooklyn, N.Y.

## HAVE YOUR TOURNAMENTS

OFFICIALLY RATED!
Ask your Club Secretary or tournament director to write for official rating forms to report the results of your next tournament or match, specifying the type of contest and the approximate number of players.
Official rating forms should be secured in advance from:Montgomery Major
123 No. Humphrey Avenue Oak Park, Illinois
Do not write to other USCF officials for these rating forms.

Louisville, where once Showalter and Hodges played chess, has been experiencing a chess revival, and now plans a Central States Open for the Thanksgiving weekend. Invitations have been sent to a number of Seaboard masters including James T. Sherwin, Karl Burger, Eliot Hearst, and Hans Berliner, and it is expected that some among these will compete. It is also anticipated that a number of strong players from the central States will, attend, and if the tournament is as successful as its organizers anticipate, it may become an annual event. Those interested are invited to write: J. H. Bloomer, 1300 Lexington Road, Louisville 4, Ky.
D. A. Yanofsky with $21 / 2-1 / 2$ won the finals of a speed tournament at the Hudson Bay Co. store at Winnipeg, held in connection with the Canadian Championship. G. Bernier was second with 2-1, Frank Anderson third with $11 / 2-11 / 2$, and Harry Yanofsky (the Champion's younger brother) ended the quartet with $0-5$. In the preliminaries Dan and Harry Yanofsky were first and second in one section, while Bernier and Anderson tied for first in the other.

Michel Giroux won the Quebec Provincial Junior title $61 / 2$, with Bernard Lesage and Lawrence Hazelm tied for second with 6 each. R. Letourneau scored $5^{1 / 2}$ for third and 10 -year old Loic Therien was fourth with $41 / 2$ points. P. Belanger won the brilliancy prize donated by R. Trotier. The tourna-
ment was directed by P. H. Nadeau.

USCF COMMITTEES BEGIN PLANNING
Of the Committees created at the Annual Meeting of the U.S. Chess Federation at Milwaukee, the most important was the Ways and Means Committee consisting of A. Wyatt Jones, chairman, Frank R. Graves and William M. Byland, which immediately began to function. Among its first acts was the acceptance of a translation of the new FIDE Laws of Chess, which it has already submitted to the FIDE Bureau for approval and authorization.

Now ready to function as well are a number of other committees which have completed their personnel and are already beginning their deliberations. Among these are the following:

International Affairs Committee: William M. Byland, chairman, Max Pavey, Herman Steiner, and Dr. R. J. Ralston.

By-Laws Committee: William R. Hamilton, chairman, Frank R. Graves, and Jack Spence.

Tournament Plans Committee: Montgomery Major, chairman, Kenneth Harkness, and Jeremiah F. Donovan.

Tournament Rules Committee: Montgomery Major, chairman, Eliot Hearst, and Newton Grant.

## BERLINER MADE

 SLOW STARTINGBy WILLIAM ROJAM Staff Writer
Hans J. Berliner, who makes his bow in the Young Masters' Forum in this issue, was a little slow in starting up the ladder compared to the strategically better placed young players of New York. But in the last year he has amply atoned for any dilatory lingering on the lower rungs by his rapid ascent of the ladder.
Although Hans played in the first 1946 U.S. Junior Championship in Chicago (where he placed second), bis early competitive efforts were mainly confined to Washington, D.C. where he soon became a perennial challenger for the District title, winning it in 1949 and 1950, when army service prevented further contention until this year. Thus he was only rated an Expert in 1951, placing 12th in the U.S. Open at Detroit with 8-4.
But in 1952 he became a master in the ratings despite his curtailed opportunities for competition in service overseas, of which the most noted were service at an alternate on the U.S. Team at Helsinki in the International Team Matches and a second place in the strong Premier Reserves Section of the Hastings Tournament, 195253.

Returning to the USA, Berliner placed second in the District of Columbia Championship to announce that his chess had not rusted in the army. He placed 17th on percentage (tied for 13th in games won) in the phenominally strong U.S. Open at Milwaukee.
But this was merely practice for the New York State Championship, which he won handily $81 / 2-1 / 2$ over former State Champions John W. Collins, James T. Sherwin and a host of strong New York Masters -achieving the distinction of being the first non-New Yorker ever to win this strong annual event. That this was no fluke of circumstance was shown immediately thereafter when he placed second to Bisguier in the U.S. Candidates at Philadelphia. A slow start bears no relation to the finish!

The Chess Federation of Canada at its annual meeting at Winnipeg elected G. G. Ferguson of Beauport, Que. president; Dr. Nathan Divinsky of Winnipeg, Sask. 1st vice-president; G. Prentice of Vancouver, B.C. 2nd vice-president; P. G. Haley of Sarnia, Ont. secretary; and C. L. Kirton of Calgary treasurer. Retiring president Canon H. L. Roy of Vancouver passed away on September 21st at the age of 87, having given many years of valued and devoted effort to the cause of chess in Canada.

Elizabeth Bykova is the new Women's World Champion, having defeated former champion Ludmila Rudenko $8-6$ in a match ending September 20th, according to Pravda. Mme. Bykova won the Woman's Candidate event $111 / 2$ $31 / 2$ and was Soviet Woman Champion in 1947, 1948 and 1950.

The Montreal Speed Championship went to Guze with 7 points. Fox and Bodner scored $51 / 2$ each for second, while Brunet, Nurme, Zalys and Shilov scored 5 each in the 18 player eight round Swiss.
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## Major Jopics $\quad \begin{gathered}B y \\ \text { Montgomery Major }\end{gathered}$

## Regarding Vatical Remarks

## Don't never prophesy-onless ye know

## JAMES RUSSELL LOWELL-The Bigelow Papers

TAKING his courage in both hands (as the saying goes), one of our readers rises to defend the algebraic system of notation against the intrusive challenge of the Szold notation in a letter published on this page.

We hasten to state that we are not in particular advocates of the Descriptive System of notation, which has all the faults catalogued by Mr. James plus a few he neglected to mention. But we cannot share his enthusiasm for the Algebraic System, which has its own series of ambiguities. Nor, despite the authoritative advocacy of U. S. Champion Larry Evans, do we find the Szold System particularly an improvement.

When the change comes in systems of chess notation (if it ever comes at all), the system substituted for our present individual chaos of various systems should be one that has a universal solidity-it should provide a universal language of chess, such as Idiom Neutral, Esperanto, etc. have endeavored in vain to provide for international speech.

The Algebraic does not do this any more competently than the Descriptive, for the confusing element is the fact that pieces have such different names in different lands. $K$ is King in English, German, Dutch, Czech and Polish, but it is R in French, Italian and Spanish while $K$ becomes Knight in Russian. The Rook, however, is $R$ in English but T in many other tongues. even becoming B (as a matter of confusion) in Hungarian and V in Czech. The Knight has numerous noms-de-guerre, being Kt, S, C, P, H, J and K. The Bishop has as many alias as B, L, F, A, S, and G.

All this is confusing indeed to the student who follows his chess in periodicals from various lands. So, if we are to change the system of notation, we must find one that abolishes this confusion in addition to discarding the ambiguities of the Descriptive System or the Algebraical.

We do not feel the vatic urge to state with definite conviction what such a system will be. But we are convinced that it will evolve from the basic concept of the notation used by the International Chess Correspondence Association, which substitutes numbers for letters, as numbers remain the same in all languages using the roman script.

In the ICCA System the squares are numbered with 11 being the White Queen Rook square, 12 the White Queen Knight Square, 13 the White Queen Bishop square, etc. 22 becomes White QR2, 32 White's QR3 etc. Moves are expressed numerically by the number of the square from which the man moves and the number of the square to which it moves. Thus, $15-65$ (or simply 1565) means a man on White's K1 moved to White's K6 (presumably a R or Q). If Black had a piece or pawn on Black's K3 (65), it was captured. There is no possibility of ambiguity. B-Kt5 means nothing, if both Bishops could move, the notation is ambiguous; but there is no confusing $16-52$ and 13-57 in ICCA notation. Conventional signs for check are used and also O-O and O-O-O can be used. Promotion can be expressed simply by $76-86(12)$ meaning the White pawn became a Knight, or $27-17(84)$ meaning a Black pawn became a Queen.

Mr. James grows very bold in suggesting in his postscript that "within 40 years-the descriptive system will be as obsolete and 'quaint' as calligraphy." There is no sign to indicate that Mr. James
has any hope of making his prophesy true, but many signs to indicate that 100 years from now we will still be struggling with the inadequacies of the Descriptive System. (In fact I would make a bet on this, only I do not expect to be present to collect on it one hundred years from today.)

What prevents change is the fact that books and publications in English are printed in the Descriptive System. From these beginners learn their chess; and many of them never graduate to understanding the language even of the Algebraic System. This creates a vicious circle, for so long as the majority of players know the Descriptive System best, publishers will continue to publish in the Descriptive System of notation.

And even if one daring publisher should defy the trend, it is very doubtful if his example would create any general change. As powerful an influence as the Chicago Daily Tribune has been fighting for a few specimens of simplified spelling for some forty years without even establishing frate for freight or a few other simple changes outside the pages of their own publication. For more than forty years a strong group of theorists have sought the abolishment of our inherited and weird system of weights and measures in favor of the metric system and the fight for a much improved 13 month calendar has béen waged almost as long.

Man does not like change; he accepts it reluctantly with many longing backward glances at the past. And a change, like one in chess notation, which would make all the past published works on chess as unintelligible to the average reader as Chaucer's English, will be fought bitterly.

When the "best" is not practical, its ceases to be the "best."

## SYDNEY T. SHARPE

W
ITH much regret we note the passing of Master Emeritus Sydney T. Sharpe on Monday, September 28 at Jefferson Hospital in Philadelphia. Noted as a player of exceptional skill, Sharpe had not recently been very active in chess circles, but will be long remembered for his achievements in the past. His illness had been a lingering one, and overcame him at the comparatively young age of 68 when normally many more years of chess should have been his.

## HERBERT H. HOLLAND

CHESS for Veterans received a sad blow with the passing on Sunday, October 4th, of Herbert H. Holland, who began his work with veterans at the Walter Reed Hospital in Washington and later transferred his dynamic activity to the Hines, Vaughan and Great Lakes Hospital of the Chicago area, devoting much time and energy to developing groups of chess players to visit the veterans to teach and play chess with them.

An attorney who served many years with the Department of Agriculture and later the Office of Price Administration, Herbert Holland was noted for his talent for arousing in others his own enthusiasm in the cause of the veterans, and his loss at the early age of 57 will be irreplaceable. We know that many veterans at Hines, Vaughan and Great Lakes will join in expression of deepest sympathy to Mrs. Holland and other members of his family.

## The Kibitzer Has His Day

Dear Sir:
Permit me, a class C player, to disagree with Evans' advocacy of any system other than the algebraic. (I am simply following an argument made by W. Korn, Ed. Lasker, et al.) The descriptive system and its descendants seem to bring problems in their path (to mangle a metaphor).

The algebraic system is more nearly absolute. The descriptive system is "relative." When a correspondence player, for example, thoughtlessly transcribes a move such as P-R3, it may be ambiguous. In the descriptive system, the player mentally divides the board into four parts: his own K-side and Q-side, and then his opponent's K and Q -side-and even rather experienced players have written some impossible moves. Furthermore, in this system, a player may rely on the omission of "check" to clarify a bishop-move (and then the opponent, a beginner, may not be aware of the fact that the omission of "check" after a
bishop-move is a deliberate omission). Is this sort of thing likely to happen in the algebraic system? I believe that any system requiring the modification " $Q$ 's" or " K 's" in its notation is inferior to the algebraic. In its description of diagonals, the algebraic system demonstrates its superiority over the descriptive system.

The blunders evident in an occasional column in a book-of-openings show how even the most astute brains have difficulty in coping with the descriptive system.
I believe the adoption of the algebraic system would show a few players why-in 99.99 per cent of the diagrams-White pieces are shown at the bottom of the diagram (for one reason: "to show which way the pawns go").

The descriptive system has been responsible for a lot of dreary, perhaps even unfathomable, notation. (For example: some of the ancient annotators referred to "QKt" and "KKt" even in mixed-up (Please turn to page 7, col. 2)

# THE ELEMENTS OF CHESS 

By International Master HERMAN STEINER

## Hypothetical Game

Illustrating Principles, Rules, and Objectives (Continued from issue of September 5)



After White plays: 11. BxKt Fourth Objective (exchange even) Controls greatest number of opponent's squares

Black finds that there are two possible captures, one with the Bishop from Kt3 to K6, the other BxKt. The former move has been possible for several moves and was considered several times and given up as not good. Black, therefore, discards it, leaving White with only one capture-BxKt.
Here Black must decide whether it is a threat or not. By this time it is clear that a weakness is a target which can be attacked and gained, with more of your Forces than can be fended off by the opponent. Black realizes that after White plays 12. BxKt, PxB, he will have a double King-Bishop-Pawn and an isolated King-Rook-Pawn as eventual targets. Therefore, White threatens Third Objective, and it must be nullified with salety. What should Black do? He determines whether or not he can exchange the piece which threatens the Third Objective and what will happen if he does.
At present the White pieces control the following squares of his opponent: The Queen-Bishop controls four, QKt6, QB5, KB6, and K 5 ; the Rooks none; the Queen controls two, KR6 and KKt5; the King-Bishop two, Q5 and KB5; the Queen-Knight controls two, QKt5 and Q5; the King-Pawn two, Q5 and KB5; the King nonea total of 12. What about Black? He controls one with his Queen, QR5; none with his Rooks; four with Queen-Bishop, QKt6, QB5, KR6, and KKt5; one with his King-Bishop, Q5; two with the Knight, K5 and KKt5; none with the Pawn; none with the Kinga total of 8 squares, a difference of four squares in favor of White.
Everything else being equal, this is not enough for advantage: If Black now exchanges the Bishop at White's Q4 by playing 11. it naturally will be answered by 12. QxB.
(See diagram, next column)


What is the count in Space now? The Queen controls seven as follows: QR7, QKt6, QB5, Q5, Q6, K5 and KB6; none with the Rooks; two with the Bishop, K6 and Q5; two with the Knight, QKt5 and Q5; and two with the King-Pawn, Q5 and KB5-a total of thirteen. How many squares does Black control? One with the Queen, QR5; none with the Rooks; four with the Bishop, KR6, KKt5, QKt6, and QB5; and two with the Knight, K5 and KKt5-a total of seven, which is six less than White controls, and is over the border line. Here White has a slight positional advantage in Space, having the better development in Time and Space.
So Black decides to play 11. Q-K2


After Black plays: 11. ........, Q-K2 Nullifies threat of Third Objective Threat of Third Objective
because White has achieved his First Objective and continues to strive for his Second Objective: opening of a file for his major Forces and controlling it. Black's strategy is to prevent this and to nullify it without creating any weaknesses for himself. At the same time he tries to equalize in Space and keep the balance of Time with a possible threat or threats. In the text move, Q-K2, he accomplishes this without creating any weaknesses for himself.

When the student uses logic and continuity in his planning, his play may be compared to the momentum of an avalanche. It

International Master Herman Steiner continues in this installment with an exposition of the hypothetical game which illustrates the basic principles of his teaching theory-The Editor.
starts with a few "quiet" movements and increases gradually to a crescendo. This type of finish is the result of careful study of the particular situation, so that in case the unexpected arises he can change to other rules without losing his original aim, that is, holding the advantage if he has it and grasping it if he has not. game.

## (To be continued)

Downtown YMCA Chess Club (Pittsburgh): At the annual meeting T. M. Cherington was elected president, Dave Spiro and K. E. King vice-presidents, Glen Waltz secretary, and Fred Thompson treasurer.

## UNITED STATES

 CHESS FEDERATION|  | OFFICERS |
| :---: | :---: |
| President | Harold M. Phillips 258 Broadway |
|  | New York 7, N.Y. William M. Byland |
|  | 3244 Latonia Ave. |
|  | Pittsburgh 16, |
| Secretary | Major J. B. Holt <br> Long Beach via |
|  | Sarasota, Fla. |
| Membership | Kenneth Harkness |
| Secretary | 93 Barrow Str |

## Vice-Presidents

## Frank R. Graves Rhys Hays

Ft. Worth, Tex. New York, N.Y. A. Wyatt Jones Hans Kmoch Shreveport, La. New York, N.Y $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A. L. Ludwig } & \text { Phil J. Mary } \\ \text { Omaha, Nebr. } & \text { Cincinnati, D. }\end{array}$ E. T. McCormicis Geo. E. Roosevelt E. Orange, N.J. New York, N.Y. Dr. Bela Rozsa New York, N.Y.
Herman Steiner Tulsa, Okla. Los Angeles, Calif.

## Past Presidents

Paul G. Giers E. A. Wagner, Jr. Syracuse, N.Y. Chicago, 1il.

Hyde Park (Chicago) Chess Club now meets in the Club Room of the First Unitarian Society, 1174 East 57th Street on Thursdays, from 8 p.m. to midnight, Visitors are welcome.

| Baton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. E. M. Borsodi (New Orleans)..W14 | W16 | W18 | W3 | W8 | L2 |  |
| 2. F. Cummings, Jr. (N. Orleans) W21 | L15 | W14 | W22 | W12 | W1 |  | 2. F. Cummings, Jr. (N. Orleans) W21 L15 W14 $\begin{aligned} & \text { W22 } \\ & \text { W12 }\end{aligned}$ W1 3. Mrs. K. N. Vines (N. Orleans) W13 W19 D15 L1

4. A. L. McAuley (N. Orleans) L16 W11 W9 4. A. L. McAuley (N. Orleans) .L16
5. Frank Day (New Orleans) 5. Frank Day (New Orleans) .....W29 D10 D8 W13 W15 L4 6. Newton Grant (Monroe) .........L15 W26 L22 $\quad$ W21 $\begin{aligned} & \text { W27 } \\ & \text { 7. Dr. E }\end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { 7. Dr. E. Jones (Alexandria) ....D11 } & \text { L8 } & \text { W26 } & \text { D24 } & \text { W22 } & \text { W15 } \\ \text { 8. W. F. Gladney (Baton Rouge) } & \text { D9 } & \text { W7 } & \text { D5 } & \text { W23 } & \text { L1 } & \text { D12 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rlllllll}\text { 9. John Luneau (Alexandria) ..D8 } & \text { D24 } & \text { W10 } & \text { W16 } & \text { D4 } & \text { L3 } \\ \text { 10. Dr, W. Kirkpatrick (Jackson) } & \text { W20 } & \text { D5 } & \text { L9 } & \text { L11 } & \text { W17 } & \text { W23 }\end{array}$ 11. J. C. Barnes (New Orleans) ..D7 $\quad$ L23 $\begin{aligned} & \text { W28 } \\ & \text { 12. W. Vines (New Orleans) }\end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { 12. K. Vines (New Orleans) } & \ldots . . . . . . . \text { W22 } & \text { W27 } & \text { D23 } & \text { D15 } & \text { L2 } & \text { D8 } \\ \text { 13. Roger Dornier (Baton Rouge) } & \text { L3 } & \text { W28 } & \text { W27 } & \text { L5 } & \text { ble } & \text { D14 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { 14. Ed. Hunter (Baton Rouge) } & \text { (...L1 } & \text { W17 } & \text { L2 } & \text { b1e } & \text { W18 } & \text { D13 } \\ \text { 15. Otto Claitor (Batron Rouge) } & \text { W6 } & \text { W2 } & \text { D3 } & \text { D12 } & \text { L5 } & \text { L7 }\end{array}$ 16. Henry Abbott (Baton Rouge) W4 16. Henry Abbott (Baton Rouge) W4
6. Dan Gavitt (New Orleans) ....L25 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { 17. Dan Gavitt (New Orleans) } & \text { …L25 } & \text { L14 } & \text { W20 } & \text { W19 } & \text { L10 } & \text { W22 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { 18. James S. Nuel (Shreveport) } & \text {..W28 } & \text { W25 } & \text { L1 } & \text { L4 } & \text { L14 } & \text { W24 } \\ \text { 19. O. C. Dupree (Shrevelport) } & \text {...W26 } & \text { L3 } & \text { L4 } & \text { L17 } & \text { W28 } & \text { W27 }\end{array}$ 19. O. C. Dupree (Shrevelport) $\ldots$ W26 L3 L4 L4 L17 W28 W27
7. Gary Erdal (New Orleans) $2 \lambda-31$

 (3.00); 22. Joe Petty (Ida) $2-4(6.50) ; 23$. W. W. Crew (Shreveport) 2-4 (6.25); 24.
D. A. Walsdorf, Jr. (New Orleans) $2-4(6.00) ; 25$. Eugene Watson (Natchitoches) D. A. Walsdorf, Jr. (New Orleans) $2-4(6.00) ; 25$. Eugene Watson (Natchitoches) 2-4 (4.00); 26. Frank Chavez (New Orleans) 2-4 (3.00); 27. Ronald Tollum (Alexandria) $2-4$ (2.00); 28. Renato Roscher (New Orleans) 12 $-4 \frac{1}{2}$ (1.25); 29. Bailey Chaney (Baton Rouge) 1-5 (0.00).

NEW JERSEY STATE OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP

## Hackensack, 1953

1. F. Howard (East Orange) ..........D10 W17 W2 W21 W11 D3 D4
2. S. Yarmak (Passiac) ................W31 W33 L1 D5 W3 W11 W9 3. I. Romanenko (Plainfield) ....W41 L6 W34 W15 W5 D1 W12 4. E. McCormick (E. Orange) …..W28 W18 W17 L11 W9 W13 D1 5. C. Parmelee (Newark) ...............W16 D11 W6 D2 L3 W20 W13 6. Claude Hillinger (New York) W43 W3 L5 L26 W23 W16 W19 7. I. Sigmond (Mechantville) .....W40 W23 L13 L23 W29 W14 W15 8. G. Krauhs (Trenton) …..............L24 W42 W39 W38 L2 W35 W17 9. O. Popovych (Newark) $\qquad$ W12 D24 W32 W14 L4 W27 L2 10. J. Westbrock (Brooklyn, N.Y.) D1 W30 D20 D13 L12 W36 W22 11. A. Stern (Asbury Park, N.Y.) W44 D5 W25 W4 L1 L2 W28 12. J. Pamiljens (Broolklyn, N.Y.) L9 D14 W41 W36 W10 W15 L3 13. H. Hickman (Orange) .............D14 W45 W7 D10 W16 L4 L5 14. A. Boczar (Irvington) ............D1
3. Dr. V. Berzzarins (Glen Garden)
4. Dr. V. Berzzarins (Glen Garden)
5. Dr. Ed. Baker (Up. Montelair) L5 W29 W40 W20 L13 L6 W31 17. L. Blonarovyeh (Newark) ......W19 W36 L4 W31 L15 W21 L8 18. N. D. Hazle (N. Brunswick) ....W26 L4 L14 W42 W30 L22 W32 19. S. Green (Mt. Vernon, N.Y.) L17 L26 W45 W41 W35 W34 L6 20. H.Feldheim (Brooklyn, N.Y.) W39 W47 D10 L16 D25 L5 W34 21. Dr. R. C. Slater (Brooklyn) ....L36 W39 W35 L1 W37 L17 W27 22. "W. Kawecki (Montelair) ............W38 L25 W46 L37 W32 W18 L10 23. R. R. Bass (South Orange) ....Bye L7 L31 W46 D24 W35 D25 24. N. Cafarelli (Bogota) …...........W8 D9 D27 L25 D23 W26 L14 25. W. Walbrecht (Jersey City) ....D37 W22 L15 W24 D20 L8 D23 26. E. Faust (S. Plainfield) .........D18 W19 L11 W6 D36 L24 W37 27. H. Overeem (Clifton) ….............W35 L15 D24 W32 W28 L9 L21 28. G. Benjamin (Paterson) 29. F. Nagy (Passaic) $\qquad$ L4 D38 W47 W7 L27 W37 L11 29. F. Nagy (Passaic) lainfield) ...L32 L16 W30 W43 L7 D38 W36 1. 31. Robert McCallister (Hackensack) 3-4 (26.50); 32, Philip Selvaggi (Passaic) 3-4 (23.00); 33. Herbert Dodkewitz (Spring Valley) 3-4 (22.50); 34. Edward A. Friedman
(Bayonne) $3-4(22.50)$ : 35 . Lewis Fattel (North Bergen) $3-4$ (22.00); 36. William Jones (Bayonne) $3-4(22.50) ; 35$. Lewis Fattel (North Bergen) 3-4 (22.00); 36. William Jones
(Jersey City) $2 \frac{1}{3}-4 \frac{1}{2} \quad(27.00)$; 37. David Murray (Jersey City) 21-41 (26.00); 38. Carl Carlson (Teaneck) $2 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}$ (24.50); 39. K. Ouchi (Bogota) 22-43 (22.00); 40. Charles A. Keyser (Bloomfield) 2z-43 (18.00); 41. John Mager (Plainfield) 2-5 (23.50); 42. F. E. Condon (Bogota) $2-5$ (21.50); 43. George Skinner (Ridgewood) $2-5$ ( 17.50 ); 44. Henry Hunt (Irvington) $2-5$ (16.50); 45. Frank P. Abarno (Hoboken) 12 $-5 \frac{2}{3}$ (21.00); 46 . Ernest W. Tyler (Hackensack) $1 \frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{1}{3}$ (17.50); 47. Harry Lipset (Patterson) $1 \frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{3}{2}$ (16.00),
$5{ }^{2}-12$ $5 \frac{1}{4}-1 \frac{1}{3}$ $5 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{3}{3}$ $5-2$
5 $\begin{array}{ll}5 & -2 \\ 5 & 2\end{array}$ $5-2$
$5-2$ $5-2$
$4 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$
 $42-23$
$4-23$
$4-3$ $\begin{array}{lll}4 & -3 \\ 4 & -3 \\ 4 & -3\end{array}$ 88.00 27.00
26.50
26.50
25.50
25.50
25.50
25.50
25.00
25.00
23.50 23.00
23.50 23.50
21.50
32.00
30.50 32.50
29.00 28.00 32.50 27.00
25.50
23.50
29.50
28.00
28.00
27.50
27.50
27.00
27.00
29.50 28.50

## GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, New York State Champion, 1952

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## FRENCH DEFENSE

Masters Invitational 30-30 Tourney New York, 1953

## Notes by U. S. Master

Harold Sussman
"Featuring a 2 piece sacrifice and a sound defense."
White
DR. H. SUSSMAN Dlack $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { DR. H. SUSSMAN } & \text { DR. A. MENGARINI } \\ \text { 1. } & \text { P-K4 } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { 4. } & \text { B-K }+5 \\ \text { 2. } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { P.Q4 } & \text { 5. } & \text { K } 4 \times \mathrm{P}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llrlll}\text { 2. } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { 5. } & \text { KtxP } & \text { B-K2 } \\ \text { 3. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & \text { 6. } & \mathrm{BxKt} & \text { P×B }\end{array}$ The text selects an unbalanced position where Black's 2 Bs and open KKt file compensate for his weak pawns.
7. Kt-KB3

Both 7. Q-Q2 and 7. P-KKt3 have been tried here.
 simply loses a tempo, as Black will play

## P-QB3 later anyway. <br> $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 8. } & \\ \text { 9. Q-K2 } & \text { B-K } 10 & \text { Kt-Q2 } & \text { O-0 }\end{array}$

The routine move here is O-O-O. Ac tually White has inttle to fear on the K-side, despite the open KKt file, but the Black $K$ is really insecure as he may be attacked along both flanks or the center.
11. P-QR4!

## $\begin{array}{ll}\text { P-B3 } & \text { 12. P-R5 }\end{array}$

Sharp play to discourage Q-side castling. The pawn can always be regained. Quite a plausible alternative was 12 . B-R6 with P-R5 as a future threat.
A strong waiting move, but the simple 13. B-R6 would yield White a positional advantage.
13. ....... $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} \dagger 3 \quad$ 14. BxKP!?

This sortie looked decisive, especially under the $30-30$ time limit, but it just missed a victory. Instead 14. B-Q3 retains an advantage.
14. ....... PxB 15. KtxPch BxKt The only move! Both 15 .
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ are met by Kt-Kt5!
16. QxPch B-K2 17. Kt-K+5! Q-Q2! Dr. Mengarini consumed most of his time in this difficult situation to establish what is apparently his strongest
defense. Instead 17 . ........, B-B1; 18. Q-B7 defense. Instead 17. ......., B-B1; 18. Q-B7
ch, K-Q1; 19. Q-Kt7!, R-KB1 (if 19. ......., ch, K-Q1; 19. Q-Kt7!, R-KB1 (if 19. .......,
R-K1; 20. Kt-B7 ch, K-Q2; Q-Kt4 mate); 20: KtxRP suffices to win, as 20 . ......., $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$; 21. Kt-B6!, R-KB1; 22. QxR ch, BxQ; 23. R-K8 mates!
18. Q-B7ch K-Q1 19. R×B!

Rather an unusual way to force the draw. Both 19. Kt-K6 ch, K-Q1 and 19. RxP, B-Kt5 seem to lead nowwhere with correct defense by Black.
 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 20. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch} & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2 & \text { 24. Kt-Kt7ch } & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \\ \text { 21. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch} & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q1} & \text { 25. Kt-B5ch } & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q1}\end{array}$ A short but interesting struggle.

DANISH GAMBIT CCLA Gambit Tourney Correspondence, 1953
Notes by Dr. M. G. Sturm Whîte C. C.C. HARDING


## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you a thorough postrame analysis. Fee $\$ 10$.
Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of your games for a fee of \$5.

White has transposed into the Goring Gambit, which springs more usually Gambit, which springs more
from the Scotch.
8.
Q.B1 9. 0.0
 Better is 9.
B-K2; 11. O-O.

|  | B-K2 | 13. | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. P-K5 | P.Q4 | 14. QR-Q1 | R-85- |
| 11. B-K3 | Kt-R3 | 15. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | -Q |
| 12. BxKt | PxB |  |  |
| A "Patton" Rook, heavy and dangerous. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 16. Q-B2 | R-QB5 | 20. Kı | RxKt |
| 17. Q-Q2 | Q-B1 | 21. Q-K2 | R-K3 |
| 18. P-QR3 | B-B4! | 22. R-KB1 | Q-B2 |
| 19. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} \dagger 3$ | Kt-Q5! | 23. Kt -R5 | -K5!! |



If 23. ......., RxP?!; 24. RxR, BxR ch;
25. K-K1!, B-B4; 26. R-KB1; 26. R-KB1, Q-Kt3; 27. R-B6, Q-Kt8 ch; 28. R-B1., etc. with a forced draw. Or 25. QxB, QxKt; 26. R-Q3!, Q-B4 (not 26 . ......., QxKP; 27. R-K3); 27. QxQ, PxQ; 28. RxP, R-K1. 27. R-K3); 27. QxQ, PxQ; 28. RxP, R-K1.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { 24. Kt-B6ch QxKt } \\ & \text { 28. KxB } \\ & \text { R-Blch }\end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 24. Kt-B6ch QxKt } & \text { 28. KxB } & \text { R-Bich } \\ \text { 25. QxR } & \text { QxPch } & \text { 29. K-K3 } & \text { K-K+2 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 26. RXQ } & \text { PXQ } & \text { 30. R-Q7ch } & \text { R-B2 } \\ \text { 27. K-B1 } & B \times R & \text { 31. R-Q4? } & . . . . . .\end{array}$ A fairly certain drawing line is 31 . $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8$, intending R-K8.
$\begin{array}{lrlr}\text { 31. } \ldots \ldots \ldots & \text { P-B4 } & \text { 35. K-B4 } & \text { K-B2 } \\ \text { 32. R×P } & \text { P-KR4 } & \text { 36. K-K } 5 \text { ? } & \text { P-K+4! }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 33. R-QB4 } & \text { R-B2 } & \text { 37. RXP? }\end{array}$
34. P-QK†4 P-K†3

After 37. R-B2, P-B5 Black has very definite winning chances, but the game is by no means over
37. $\quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ 38. $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$ P-R4 wins If 39. K-B4, P-Kt5; 40. PxP, P-R5 the White King cannot stop this pawn, while the Black King can prevent the White QKtP from queening.

A very good game by one of the Fair Sex.

## RUY LOPEZ

MCO: page 240 , column 58 (h) 20th Grand National, Round 1 Corespondence Chess, 1952 Notes by J. Norman Cotter White

Black
 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 2. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB3} 3 & \mathrm{Kt-QB3} & \text { 5. } & \mathrm{K}-0 & \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}\end{array}$ 3. B-K\$5 P-QR3
The so-called "Open The so-called "Open" variation of the Ruy which gives Black greater freedom at the cost of a slightly loosened position.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 6. } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { P-QK+4 } & \text { 8. } & \text { PXP } & \text { B-K3 } \\ \text { 7. } & \text { B-K+3 } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { 9. } & \text { Q-K2 } & \ldots . . .\end{array}$ This move, which is making a strong bid to replace the old stand-by 9. P-B3, has generally been credited to an obscure European correspondence player, Edmond Adam, although it received its popular introduction to tournament play in the 1948 World Championship Tournament by Keres and Smyslov. 9. Tournament Kt-R4 IO. R-Q1

Reinfeld, who has made an exhaustive study of this opening, considers 10 . Kt -Q4 the strongest continuation. Black has many ways to go wrong. Thus if 10. ........, KtxB; 11. RPxKt, B-QB4?; 12.

KtxB, PxKt; 13. RxP! with a winning game. Or 10. ......., B-K2; 11. KtxB, PxKt; 12. Q-Kt4 and Black must renounce castling to begin with.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 10. } & \text { B- ..... } & \text { BB4! } & \text { 12. } \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} \\ \text { 11. } & \text { P-B4 } \\ \text { 13 } & \text { BXB } & \text { 13. P-B3 } & \text { K } 4 \times \mathrm{B}\end{array}$ 11. B-K3
Better seems 13.
BXB $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$, etc.
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { 14. PXKt } & \text { O.O } \\ \text { 15. QKt-Q2 } & \text { Kt×Kt }\end{array}$
16. RxKt

Since the capture of the Kt-Kts $B$ would strengthen Wood's position, White might well continue with the positionally strong Kt-K1!
17. .-..... P-R3 18. Kt-B3 P-QR4? Better, as subsequent events prove, would be additional protection for the QP by 18. ........, KR-Q1.
19. P-KKY4!

Despair! White not exactly inviting, the more obvious 19........., BxP; 20. RxQP, BxKt; 21. QxB, KR-Q1 appears to give better chances for survival.
20. P-K+5 K-R2 21. P-B4!? PXBP? The final error. Black should close the file with …..., P-Q5. E.g., ......., P.Q5; 22. Q-K4 ch, K- R1; 23. P×P, PPP; 24.
Q-R4, R-Kt1ch; 25 . K moves, R-Kt3 and Black is still alive
22. Q-K4ch K-R1 23. PxBP Q-K2 Sither R to Q1 is better, $\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { 24. P×P } & \text { PxP } & \text { 30. } & \text { P-R4 } \\ \text { 25. R-Q6! } & \text { P-R5 } & \text { Q1. } & \text { R+2 } \\ \text { 26. } & \text { Q-K3 } & \text { R-Kt1ch } & \text { 32. } Q \text { Q-B4 } \\ \text { K-K+2 } \\ \text { P-R6 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { 26. } \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \text { R-K+1ch } & \text { 32. } \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{B4} & \text { P-R6 } \\ \text { 27. } K-R 1 & \text { R-K+3 } & \text { 33. } \mathbf{P \times P} & \text { P×P } \\ \text { 28. } \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK+1} & & \text { 34. P-R5 } & \text { R-K+5 }\end{array}$

| 29. R×R | QR-KKt1 <br> R×R | 35. Q6-B6ch <br> 36-Q8  | K-R2 <br> R-Kt1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


37. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5 \mathrm{ch}$

Resigns
Of course, on ........, RxKt??; 38. Q-R8
is mate, and if ......., PxKt; 38. RxR, spirited performance.

QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED

## MCO: page 150, column 1

## Charlestown Prison

Team Match, 1953
Notes by William J. Couture E. BOHANNON W. COUTURE $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { E. } & \text { P.Q4 } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { 4. } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { P-K3 } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { P-QB4 } & \text { PXP } & \text { 5. } & \text { BXP } & \text { P-B4 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 2. } & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4 & \mathrm{PXP} & \text { 5. } & \mathrm{BXP} \\ \text { 3. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & \text { 6. } & \mathrm{K} t-\mathrm{B} 3\end{array}$
When, and if, White has the ability to make things easier for himself, by exposing Black's methods of development, his duty is to proclaim it immediately! If he lacks this power of evaluation, then his responsibility lies in a molding of the factors which contribute to the betterment and the clarification of the chess ideals. It stands to reason that the strength of one's chess game depends upon what is known about the subject. Best here is 6. O-O. If then 6. ........, PxP; 7. PxP, P-QR3; 8. Q-K2, P-QKt4; 9. B-Q3, B-Kt2; 10. P-QR4! with White slightly better.
6. $\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \text { 8. PXP } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 7. O-O } & \text { PxP } \\ \text { Furthermore, any restriction of your }\end{array}$ mobility, by your own choice, is contrary to sound chess! In spite of the fact that such maneuvers often succeod, chess progress depends upon the best efforts of "both sides!" Here 8. ......., B-K2; 9. Q-K2, P-QR3; 10. P-QR4, O-O; -Q1, Kt-QKt5 is an advancing effort
that does not make for weakness. If then 12. B-KKt5, Kt(5)-Q4 and Black's game is accounted for.
9. R-K1
9. Q-K2, vacating the Q-square for the Rook, or 9 . Kt-QKt5, forcing Black to hold on to his B by moving it again, would be better. White has a blackward pawn (Q4) and experience tells us that an isolani can have a negative effect in a game's later stages.
 advance his K-side pawns.
Chess conflicts are further complicated by the development of our own crea tions! Better would be 11. B-K3. As noted earlier, the isolani should deter noted earlier, the isola
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { White from exchanges. } \\ \text { 11. } & \text { P. KK }+4 \\ \text { 19. RxKt } & \text { P-K }\end{array}$ 12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
A.
13. $\mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{B}$
14. $\mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{K} 5$
15. $Q \times Q$
16. $Q R-Q 1$
17. R-K3
18. P-B3

KłxKt 26. R×RP? to win anyhow! The text allows quicker results.
26. .......

Kt-K†5ch

## 事

SICILIAN DEFENSE
Masters Invitational 30-30 Tourney New York, 1953
Notes by U. S. Master
Harold Sussman
"A wild dogfight between two tacticians!"
White
E HEARST DR H SUSSMA
E. HEARST P-QB4 DR. H. SUSSMAN 1. P-K4 P-QB4 $\quad 2 . \quad$ P-QR3
The Wing Gambit Deferred, more dangerous than it looks.
2. ........ Kt-QB3

Looks correct but probably 2. ........, P-K3 is more accurate since 3. P-QKt4, PxP;
4. PxP, BxP; 5. P-QB3, B-K2 saves Black 4. PxP, BxP; 5. P-QB3, B-K2 saves Black In an offhand game recently against a N. Y. master, I encountered 2 . .......,
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ ?! The game continued: 3. P-QKt4, PxP ; 4. PxP, BxP; 5. P-QB3, B-K2; 6. P-Q4, PxP; 7. B-QB4, P-Q6?; 8. Q-R5, P-KKt3; 9. Q-Q5, Q-B2; 10. QxBP ch, K-P-Kkt3; 9. Q-Q5, Q-B2; 10. QxBP ch, K
Q1; 11. B-KKt5, Q-K4; 12. KKt-B3, QX KP ch ; 13. B-K3, Kt-KB3; 14. QKt-Q2, Q-KKt5; 15. P-KR3, Q-B4; 16. P-KKt4, 19. Kt-K5, R-K1; 20. RxQRP, RxR; 21. B-Kt6 mate! A wild and wooly Sicllian.

| 3. | P-QKt4 | PxP | 6. | P-Q4 | P-Q3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 4. | PxP | KtxP | 7. | B-Q3 | Kt-B3 |

 KB4. The Kt will be posted well at KKt3.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 8. } & \text { O... } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { 11. P-KB4 } & \text { B-Q2 } \\ \text { 9. } & \text { B-K2 } & \text { 12. B-QR3 } & \ldots . . .\end{array}$ I like 12. P-K5, Kt-Q4; 13. Kt-K4! threatening B-R3.
If. now 13. P-K5, Kt.Kt. 5 is excellent. If now 13. P-K5, Kt-Kt 5 is excellent.
The Knight will settle at KB4.
 By judicious exchanging, Black has minimized White's attack and remains a solid pawn up.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 17. Q-K+4 } & \text { Ki-B4 } & \text { 19. Kt-K+5 } & \text { P-KK+3 }\end{array}$ 18. Q-R3 B-B3 20. P-Kt4?

Very much inferior to 20 . BxKt!, KtPxB; 21. Q-R5!, Q-K2!; 22. R-R2 but Black still retains the better game with 22 . B-Q4! The text should lose quickly 20. ........ Kt-Q3 22, P-QB5

## 21. P-B4 P-QR3

White's attack grinds to a halt but if instead 22. P-Q5, then 22. ........, PxP! (22. ....... BxP; 23. PxB, O-B4 ch: 24. K-R1, OxR!; 25. B-Kt5 ch?, KtxB wins, but in this line White has counterplay with
95. PxP); 23. R-K1 ch, K-Q1; 24. Q-R4, 25. PxP); 23. R-K1 ch, K-Q1; 24. Q-R4, K-B1 wins hantily.
22. ....... Kt Kt-Kt4 $\quad$ 24. R-QKt1?
23. BxKt BxB
ut whel nevertheless turns met by o-o-o

# GUEST ANNOTATORS 

## J. Norman Cotter <br> William J. Couture <br> Dr. Harold Sussman

Black's judgment is dulled by the acute ime pressure. I had insufficient time to analyse fully 24. ......., QxKBP!! which wins quickly. On $25 . \mathrm{RxB}, \mathrm{QxQP} \mathrm{ch} ; 26$. K-Kt2, Q-Q7 ch and Black emerges 3 pawns up. The text should also win. 25. Q-K3 P-KR3 26. Kt-K4 O-O Even 26. ......., O-O-O; 27. Kt-Q6 ch, RxKt; 28. PxR, Q $\times P$ should win.
27. $\mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch} \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} \ddagger 2$ 29. P×P R-KR1 28. P-K+5 PxP 30. R-R2 B-Q4? In the blitz sequence, on the very last move of the control, Black errs badly. nstead 30......., R-R5 followed by QRKR1 and R-R6 should win rapidly. 31. R-KB2 R-R5

This still looks decisive but Hearst secures powerful chances with several clever moves.
32. R-QKt6! B-B3 $\quad$ 34. R-Q6! QR-KR1 33. Q-QB3! B-Q4 35. Q-K3! Q-R4 Spring the trap: 36 . KtxB?, Q-R8 ch; 37. R-B1, R-Kt5 ch; 33. K-B2, RxP ch and wins.
36. R-Q7! Q-R8ch 37. R-B1 RxRP!! An inspired and brilliant try in acute time pressure. Black has perhaps two minutes left for another 23 moves in minutes left for another 23 movestion! of course, if 38 . RxQ? , R.Kt7 ch; 39 . Of course, if 38. RxQ?, R-Kt7 ch; 39 .
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ mates on the next. The al K-B1, R-R8 mates on the next. The alternative 37. ......., QxQP? (instead of the text) loses but not to 38 . KtxB?, Q-KKt5 ch!; 39. Q-Kt3 (if 39. K-R1, RxP mate or if $39 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{RxP} \mathrm{ch}$; 40 . K-K1, Q-R5 ch; 41. R-B2-if 41. K-Q1, Q-R5 ch and mates in 2-, QxR ch; 42. QxQ, RxQ; 43. KxR, PxKt and wins), Qxe ch; 40 . PxQ, R-R8 ch followed by RxR wins. However in reply to 37. KxR; 39. Kt-Kt4ch wins the Q for a R. In the following play, Hearst also badly pressed for time defends resourcefully and just manager to keep his head above water.

## 38. Kt-R5 ch!!

The only move! If instead 38. RxP ch, KxR; 39. Kt-Kt4 ch (39. KtxB, QxR ch!; 40. KxQ, R-R8 ch; 41. K-K2, R(1)-R7 ch followed by R-R6 wins), QxR ch; 40. KxQ , R-R7! winning shortly. A1so inadequate is 38 . Kt-K8 ch, K-B1! and wins.
38. K-B1!

Quite forced, for if the Kt is taken, QK5 ch mates Black quickly.
39. $\mathrm{R}(7) \times \mathrm{Pch}$

If 39. RxB?, R -R8 ch! wins. But best here is 39. RxQ!, $\mathrm{R}(1) \times \mathrm{Kt}$; 40. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R}$ $\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch} ; 41 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{R}(8)-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 42, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$, R-R8 ch; 43. K-K2, RxR threatening either R to R7 check and also the other R . Or 42. K-K1, PxR, etc. In both cases the outcome of the game is not clear. 39. ....... K-K1 40. KXR?

This probably loses. Necessary here is This probably loses. Necessary here is 40. RxQ, R-Kt7 ch; 41. K-B1, RxKt; 42. R-B2, R-R8 ch; 43. K-K2, RxR; 44. RxR,
R-R7 ch; 45. K-K1, RxR and Black has at $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 45$. K-K1, RxR and Black has at
least a draw, and some winning chances least a
at that.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 40. Ki... RxKtch } & \text { 42. R-B8ch K-Q2 }\end{array}$ 41. K-K+3 Q-R7! 43. R(1)-B7ch ….... A nice try is 43. P-B6 ch!?, KxP!; 44. Q-QB3 ch!, K-Kt4!; 45. Q-B5 ch, K-R5; 46. R(8)-B2, RxP ch; 47. K-R4, R-R4 ch; 48. K-Kt4, Q-R6 in Black's favor. Other variations at move 45 for White also lead to about the same positions in Black's favor.
43. ....... K-B3 45. Q-Q3ch K-R5 44. R-B8ch K-Ki4 Black oversteps Black over
time limit.
White is lost but Black overstepped the time-limit. Hearst himself had only 30 seconds to go. In the excitement according to Dr. Mengarini I had punched my clock a few moves back, and the button failed to go down and my clock kept on running. This is not to detract from Hearst's splendid play under pressure. In the final position 45. R-B2, KxP ch wins easily, or if Q-Q1, B-Kt6 wins as RxP is also threatened. A fantastic fame, replete with chills and thrills, frenetic time pressure and gorged with combinative action! The result of the game was very costly to my final standing in the tourney.

## Solutions:

Finish It the Clever Way! Position No. 115: 1. Q-K3!, R-B1; 2. QxKt, QxP; 3. Q-B4 and Black resigned. Position No. 116: 1. P-B5 ch, K-K3; 2. R-Kt7, P-K6 ch; 3. KxP, B-K1; 4. R-Kt3, RxP; 5. RxB ch, K-Q4; 6. R-QB8, KxP; 7. RxP and the game was drawn.
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## The Kibitzer

(Continued from page 4, col. 3)
middle-games; to play over such games, a modern player would have to mark one of his Knights.)

This mediocre chess player likes to compare the algebraic system to a simple graph. At the beginning of every game, the White pieces (not pawns) are placed on the "horizontal axis" of the graph, taking care to have a white square at h-1 and a black square at a-1. The rest is easy.
(And I will bet a dollar that Ed. Lasker was right when he advocated the algebraic system EVEN FOR BEGINNERS. It's that good.)

## W. H. JAMES

Fox Lake, Illinois
P.S. I suggest that-within 40 years-the descriptive system will be as obsolete and "quaint" as calligraphy. The dead hand of tradition has kept it alive. The algebraic system, based on a notation of SQUARES on a 64 -square board, is superior. The simplicity of 1. d4; d5 (as against 1. P-Q4; P-Q4) or 1. Sf3 (as against 1. KtKB3) is close to a "reductio ad absurdum.'

## Norember 27-29

Central States Open

## Championship

Louisville, Ky.
Open to all; 5 to 7 round Swiss depending on entry; possibly both a Major and Reserve Section; entry fee $\$ 2.00$; guaranteed first prize of at least $\$ 100.00$; rooms and dormitories available upon advance notice; for details write: J. H. Bloomer, 1300 Lexington Road, Louisville 4, Ky.

# Mate The Subtle Way! 

by Vincent L. Eaton

Address all communications to this column to Vincent L. Eaton, 612 McNelll Road, Silver Spring, Maryland.
Contemporaly American Composers - 9

## JULIUS BUCHWALD

JUULIUS BUCHWALD, composer of the four fine problems diagrammed below, was born in Vienna on April 2, 1909. He learned to play chess at the age of eight and published his first problem eight years later. He left his native country for England when Hitler made the moves toward Anschluss, and came to America in 1945. For the past eight years he has resided in New York City

Mr. Buchwald has been one of our most prolific composers; he has made about 2,200 problems, of which some 1,500 have been published. More than 400 of them have been honored in tourneys, about a quarter of them receiving prizes. He annually compiles a list of rankings of individual composers by the total number of prizes they win in tourneys all over the world; he himself was on top of the list in 1948, has been second twice, and has always figured among the top contenders.

A floral decorator by profession, Mr. Buchwald also "dabbles" in the fine arts and musical composition, plays bridge, and, he writes, "is generally very busy."

Problem No. 445
By Julius Buchwald 1st Prize, "Daily Guardian" 1946


Problem No. 457
By Julius Buchwald
1st Prize, "Skakklub Kamraterna"


White mates in two moves

## N. Y. CHESS LIFE

## (Continued from page 3, col. 4)

many are expected to enter the competition . . . J. Donovan R. Einhorn, T. Dunst, H. Fajans, J. Pamiljens, and N. Bakos have already qualified for the Marshall C. C. Championship and two other qualifiers from preliminary sections will be decided shortly. Art Bisguier, twice Manhattan C. C. Champion, expects to enter the Marshall tourney this year for the first time and will join the seeded players Pilnick, Santasiere, Collins, Mednis, and Sherwin in the finals, too.

Problem No. 446
By Julius Buchwald
1st Prize, "Parallele 50"
Theme Tourney, 1947


Problem No. 458
By Julius Buchwald
1st Prize "Magasinet"

White mates in three moves

CENTRAL CALIFORNIA CHESS LEAGUE: Election of officers resulted in Wm. T. Adams president, C. J. Smith vice-president, and N. T. Austin secre tary-treasurer. Plans for the league season were discussed with the final arrangements left for a committee to study and report with recommendations.

BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!
By Joining the U.S.C.F.

## Whats The $\beta_{\text {est }} M_{\text {ove? }}$ ?

By Guilherme Groesser
Position No. 127


Send solutions to Position No. 127 to the Editor, CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, IIl., by November 20, 1953.

## Solution to Position No. 124

This comparatively simple endgame position again demonstrated that ending technique is insufficiently studied by many players, for a number of solvers went astray. In the actual game, Balanel-Barcza, Marianske Lazne, 1951 Black played 1. ........, P-Kt5! (assuring the draw). There followed 2. PxP, K-Kt6! and a draw by perpetual check cannot be avoided as the White King is blocked by his own pawns. Note that an im mediate 1. ...... R-R8 ch; 2. K-Kt2, RxP;
3. RxR, PxR; 4. K-R3! is a book win for 3. RxR, PxR; 4. K-R3! is a book wi.
White. Several solvers suggested 1 . PxP; 2, K-R4, R-R8 ch; 3. K-Kt5, K-B6 as drawing, but 4. RxP, RxR; 5. KxR is definitely a win for white; nor is 1 RxP ch K-B6; 2. K-R4, R-R8 ch; 3. K-Kt5, 4. K×P?), P×R; 5. KxRP; for White wins. Correct solutions are acknowledged re ceived from: G. F. Chase (Buffalo), E. Gault (New Brighton), E. Godbold (St. Louis), R. Grande (Denver), W. A. Henkin (Detroit), W. H. James (Fox Lake), E. Nash (Washington), G. W. Payne (Webster Groves), J. Petriceks (Palo
 Alto, M. Schlosser (Decatur), I.
Schwart\% (Durand), I. Sigmond (Colwick), Schwart: (Durand), I. Sigmond (Colwick),
W. E. Steevns (Laramie), F. Trask (Plymouth), H. C. Underwood (Washington), W. B. Wilson (Amherstburg), N. Zemke (Detroit), F. E. Armstrong (Dearborn), J. Kaufman (Los Angeles), E. J. Korpanty (Belleview), E. Roman (New Britain).

## Journament dife

November 28

## Ashville 30-30 Tournament

Asheville, N. C.
Open to all; at Langren Hotel starting 1:30 p.m. Saturday; 6 or 7 rd Swiss, entry fee $\$ 2.00$; cash prizes; played at $30-30$ rate; please bring clocks; write: Bill Adickes, 66 Linden Ave., Asheville, N. C.

## December 26-28

3rd Illinois Open Championship Decatur, Illinois
At YMCA "All Purpose" room, 151 W. Prairie St.; entry fee $\$ 5.00$ (plus $\$ 1.00$ rating fee for USCF non-members), all entry fees used for prizes, 1st prize guaranteed \$75.00; entries close Sat., Dec. 26 at 7:45 p.m.; for details write: Mrs. C. Turner Nearing, 1400 W. Macon St., Decatur, III.

1. Donald Byrne (Brooklyn, N.Y.
2. Max Pavey (Brooklyn, N.Y.)
3. Nicholas Rossolimo (Paris, France) 4. James T. Sherwin (New York, N.Y.) 5. I. A. Horowitz (New York, N.Y.) .. . F. B. Anderson (Toronto, Canada) F. B. Anderson (New York, N.Y.) James Cross (Glendale, Calif.) . Curt Brasket (Tracy, Minn.) 0. Miroslav Turiansky (Chicago, III.) 1. Karl Burger (Brooklyn, N.Y.) 12. Joseph Shaffer (Philadelphia, Pa.) 13. Larry Evans (New York, N.Y.) 14. Dr. A. Mengarini (New York, N.Y.) 15. Alex Kevitz (Bronx, N.Y.) 16. Dr. Bela Rozsa (Tulsa, Okla.) 17. Hans Berliner (Washington, D.C.) 18. A. E. Santasiere (New York, N.Y.) . George Krauss (Pope AFB, N.C.) 20. George Shainswit (New York, N.Y.) 21. Arthur W. Dake (Portland, Ore.) . J. F. Donovan (Brooklyn, N.Y.) 3. Arthur B. Bisguier (New York, N.Y.). 24. Wm. James Lombardy (Bronx, N.Y.) 25. Jack O'Kecfe (Ann Arbor, Mich.) 26. Jose Florido (Havana, Cuba) 26. Jose Florio (Aavana, Cuba) 28. Edmar Mednis (New York, Pa.) 29. Alen Kaufman (New York, N.Y.) 29. Allen Kaurman (New York, N.Y.) 30. Leonids Dreibergs (Saginaw, Mich.).. 31. V. Pafnutier (San Francisco, Calif.) 32. H. B. Daly (West Roxbury, Mass.) 33. Herman Steiner (Los Angeles, Calif.) 34. Rudolph Pitschak (Cleveland, Ohio). 35. E. T. MicCormick (E. Orange, N.J.). 36. Dr. Bruno Schmidt (Homer, N.Y.) 37. Joseph Hidalgo (New York, N.Y.) 38. Eugene Warner (Seattle, Wash.) 39. John Grkavac (Milwaukee, Wis.) 40. Leon Stolzenberg (Detroit, Mich.) 41. Rev. H. E. Ohman (Omaha, Neb.) 42. Armin Surgies (Baltimore, Md.) 43. N T. Whitaker (Washington, D.C 44. Erich W, Marchand (Rochester, N.Y.). 45. Abe Turner (New York, N.Y.) 45. William Bills (Houston, Tex.) 47. Alex Liepnieks (Lincoln, Neb.) 47. Alex Liepnieks (Lincoln, Neb.)
4. M. Colon (San Juan, Puerto Rico) 48. M. Colon (San Juan, Puerto Rico)
5. Henry Horak (Williams Bay, Wis.) 49. Henry Horak (Williams Bay, Wis.)
6. Wm. M. Byland (Pittsburgh, Pa.)

## Journament Life

## Milwaukee, 1953

## Part One- $\mathbf{5 0}$ Top Players

| W26 | L15 | W33 | W32 | W | W76 | W | 4 | D7 | D3 | 1 | W16 | W2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L43 | D17 | V7 | 32 | 100 | W54 | W25 | W | W | D4 | W3 |  |  |  |
| W93 | W43 | W33 | W144 | W38 | D13 | D4 | 1 | W3 | D1 | L2 | D | W9 | 91-3 |
| W86 | W40 | W18 | W17 | D13 | W | D3 | D | W1 | D2 | L9 | W23 | D5 | 92.3 |
| W89 | D9 | L11 | W34 | L166 | W 101 | W107 | W29 | W69 | W17 | D23 | W15 | D4 | 91.3 |
| W178 | D10 | D31 | W45 | W136 | W91 | W12 | D3 | L2 | W32 | D15 | D13 | W/1 | $9 \frac{1}{2}-3$ |
| W27 | D20 | L2 | W28 | W140 | W16 | W2 | W | D1 | D5 | L16 | W1 | W19 | -3 |
| W48 | W22 | L10 | L31 | W173 | W126 | W106 | W118 | L12 | W30 | D20 | W25 | W13 | -3 |
| 21 | D5 | W56 | W20 | D25 | W61 | W13 | L15 | W17 | W12 | W4 | L2 | L3 | -4 |
| D30 | D6 | W8 | 18 | L1 | 46 | W78 | L12 | W47 | W3 | D14 | W3 | D11 |  |
| 73 | L21 | W5 | 18 | W43 | W57 | W76 | L2 | L32 | W7 | W17 | W3 | D10 | $9-4$ |
| W96 | W50 | L86 | W3 | W95 | W98 | L6 | W1 | W8 | L9 | W22 | D3 | D23 | 9 -4 |
| W68 | W44 | W92 | W26 | D4 | 3 | L9 | L7 | W11 | W2 | W56 | D6 | L8 | 81-4 |
| D59 | W18 | L34 | L33 | W53 | W87 | D57 | W5 | W55 | W24 | D10 | L19 | W52 | 83, 4 |
| D39 | W1 | L44 | W23 | W156 | W113 | W110 | W9 | L. 4 | W48 | D6 | L5 | D26 | 83, 4 |
| W85 | D93 | $L 45$ | D52 | W124 | W31 | W91 | W3 | D28 | W3 | W | , | 16 | 1.4 |
| W69 | D2 | W10 | D21 | W78 | W109 | W49 | D33 | L9 | L5 | L11 | W9 | W48 | 3-4 |
| W90 | L14 | L4 | D11 | D50 | W42 | W64 | W11 | L30 | W97 | W79 | W56 | D21 |  |
| W135 | D59 | 43 | L30 | W103 | W68 | W10 | L25 | W4 | D31 | W3 | W14 | L7 | -4 |
| D101 | D7 | D28 | L9 | W142 | W140 | W113 | D62 | W79 | W35 | D8 | D21 | D22 | -4 |
| L.9 | W11 | D23 | D17 | W30 | W27 | D62 | W106 | W34 | W28 | L1 | D20 | D18 | 82.4 |
| D | L8 | W12 | D56 | D36 | W133 | D132 | W78 | W60 | W27 | L12 | W4 | D20 | 1-4 |
| W66 | W180 | D21 | L15 | W152 | W64 | D28 | D69 | W59 | W43 | D5 | L4 | D12 |  |
| W31 | L46 | W175 | D50 | W147 | L28 | W92 | D47 | W39 | L14 | D2 | W7 | W43 | -4 |
| W176 | W98 | W13 | D157 | 9 | W32 | L2 | W19 | D48 | L13 | W90 | L8 | W53 | 83-4 |
| L1 | W110 | W64 | L13 | L58 | W128 | W80 | D63 | D97 | W104 | W61 | W3 | D15 | -4 |
| L7 | W30 | D29 | W36 | D56 | L21 | W88 | W12 | W62 | L22 | D24 | W8 | 38 | $8-5$ |
| W116 | W55 | D20 | L7 | W77 | W24 | D23 | W61 | D16 | L21 | D43 | D40 | D32 | 8 -5 |
| W70 | W97 | D27 | L59 | W37 | W103 | L7 | L5 | W68 | L90 | W45 | W47 | D40 | -5 |
| D10 | L27 | D104 | W19 | L21 | W121 | W14 | W122 | W18 | L8 | W4 | 43 | D33 | 8 -5 |
| W167 | L56 | D6 | W8 | 51 | L16 | W115 | W57 | W61 | D19 | L32 | D52 | W79 | 8 -5 |
| W78 | W66 | W18 | L2 | W106 | L25 | D102 | W40 | W11 | 1.6 | W3 | L10 | D28 | 8 -5 |
| W173 | W45 | L1 | W14 | W75 | W104 | W69 | D17 | L3 | L16 | W86 | L11 | D30 | -5 |
| W62 | W47 | W14 |  | W107 | W38 | L1 | D49 | L21 | W45 | L19 | D65 | W67 | $8-5$ |
| L56 | W79 | D93 | L68 | W84 | W71 | D63 | W132 | W10 | L20 | L52 | W105 | W60 |  |
| W108 | D75 | D142 | L27 | D22 | D45 | L123 | L88 | W149 | W13 | W76 | W110 | W56 | $8-5$ |
| L67 | W51 | W41 | D133 | L29 | L.92 | D93 | W17 | L66 | W11 | W14 | W106 | W65 | $8-5$ |
| W138 | W153 | W12 | W119 | L3 | L34 | W94 | L16 | W10 | L10 | D75 | W93 | D27 |  |
| D15 | W17 | L3 | L64 | D127 | W157 | W 100 | W91 | L24 | W62 | W10 | L26 | W61 | $8-5$ |
| D 47 | L4 | W60 | L10 | W151 | W156 | W166 | L32 | D54 | W144 | W110 | D28 | D29 | $8-5$ |
| L64 | L43 | L37 | L58 | W181 | W135 | W146 | W134 | W122 | L47 | W108 | W78 | W69 |  |
| L105 | W12 | L102 | L103 | W158 | L18 | W151 | W152 | W98 | W76 | L30 | W63 | W59 | -5 |
| W2 | L3 | D19 | W93 | L11 | W125 | W58 | W75 | W49 | L. 23 | D28 | D30 | L24 | , |
| W52 | 11 | W15 | L3 | L65 | W70 | W82 | W94 | L19 | W112 | W60 | L22 | D46 | 73 |
| D53 | L33 | W16 | L6 | W144 | D36 | W54 | D72 | W87 | L34 | L29 | W77 | W86 | 71.5 |
| L63 | W24 | D116 | D140 | W112 | L10 | D136 | W77 | W89 | L59 | D93 | W62 | D44 | 72.5 |
| D 40 | L34 | W80 | D86 | D101 | W111 | W161 | D24 | L10 | W41 | D69 | L29 | W89 | 7 |
| L8 | W94 | L61 | W108 | W117 | W80 | W122 | W104 | D25 | L15 | W59 | L7 | L17 | I-5 |
| W170 | W41 | W114 | W127 | W61 | L4 | L17 | D34 | L43 | D53 | D105 | D67 | D51 | 12 |
| D130 | L12 | D62 | D24 | D18 | W81 | W171 | L14 | D70 | D108 | D135 | W107 | W87 | 7 D - |

October 30-November 1
North Carolina Open Championship Wilmington, No. Car.
At Community Center; starts 7:30 p.m.; 5 or 6 rd Swiss; entry fee $\$ 3.00$ plus membership in NCCA or USCF; prizes; all welcome; rated; write: Dr. N. M. Hornstein, Southport, N. C.

## November 12-14

## Utah State Championship Salt Lake City, Utah

Open to all Utah residents and invited players from neighboring states; at Salt Lake City YMCA; 6 rd Swiss, garmes beginning at 11:00 a.m. and 6:30 p.m.; entry fee $\$ 5.00$ (plus $\$ 1.00$ rating fee from non-members of USCF), victory banquet included for details, write Gaston Chappuis, YMCA, Salt Lake City, Utah.

100\% USCF rated event.

## November 27-29 <br> East Tennessee Open Championship Bristol, Tenn.

Open; at YMCA in Bristol; entry fce $\$ 2.00$ (non-USCF members pay $\$ 1.00$ rating fee in addition); 5 rd Swiss; trophy and cash prizes; registration at 7:00 p.m. at YMCA, 1st rd at 7:30 p.m.; for details and hotel reservations write: Bill
Rucker, Bristol Chess Club, 128
Holborn St., Bristol, Tenn.
100\% USCF rated event.
November 27-29
Wichita Open Tournament Wichita, Kansas
Open, at YMCA; 6 round Swiss; $\$ 2.00$ entry fee; trophies; for details write: K. R. MacDonald,


## Windsor Castle Chessmen

Made of solid plastic. Big lead weights molded into bases. Felts cemented permanently with plastic glue, $37 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ King. Complete set in leatherette-covered case, as illustrated: No. 21-Black and Maple.............Retail
No. 22-Red and Ivory
Less $21 \%$ e.......... Less $21 \%$ discount to USCF members
Complete set in leatherette-covered de luxe case with individual felt-lined divisions for all pieces:


Complete set of Black \& Ivory men, felted but NOT weighted, in shipping carton:
No. 27: $\$ 10$ less $15 \%$ to USCF members............... $\$ 8.50$
Mail your order now to
UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION
93 Barrow Street
New York 14, N. Y.

