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truth and untruth alike - until nothing is taken, for granted which has not been tested and accepted by our own experience.

I laugh when I hear players moaning that they have no chance to win because their opponents "know the book." As if The Book were a scripture of revealed authority! The books are simply the result of tournament practice, and the vast majority of international masters are themselves monkeys aping each other and their predecessors. The fact of the matter is the opening is not that important (except in the most serious masterplay). A knowledge of general principles, sound development, and an awareness of the strategical problems posed by a variation - rather than new wrinkles - is the main thing.

To know the book is one thing; to worship it is another. I say that we throw them away for the time being and lake a new look at all the traditional openings. We should return only when we can bring to them new knowledge, or old knowledge that has stood the test of our own experience.

## ROTH TRIUMPHS IN PITTSBURGH

Paul Roth, 1952 Pittsburgh Metropolitan Champion, swept through the 1953 event, drawing with F. C. McKnight in the final round to score $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Second place went to former Penn State Champion Don McClellan with $4-1$, losing to Roth, while McKnight was third with $31 / 2-11 / 2$, losing to McClellan and drawing with Roth. Werner Buhar was fourth with 3-2.

## WOMEN'S EVENT DRAWS ENTRIES

Despite the short notice, the U. S. Women's Championship in New York has drawn a respectable list of advance entries, and when the battle begins on December 5th, among those participating will be former U. S. Women's Champion Gisela K. Gresser, former U. S. Women's Champion M. May Karff, Mrs. Catherine Slater, Miss Wally Henschel (former Woman Champion of Hamburg), Miss Kate Henschel, Mrs. Henrietta Rogers, Mrs. Margaret Stephens.

It is expected that U. S. Women's Champion Mary Bain will defend her title, and it is hoped that Mrs. Gregor Patiagorsky and Mrs. Lena Grumette from California will also participate. Due to shortness of the notice several strong players will not be able to compete.

## An Easy Guide To Opening Data

## In Kooyman Filing System

By Staff Writer WILLIAM ROJAM

Back in the days of earlier guides of opening play, the columnar system became established in works like Cook's Compendium and the inherited system, without change, continues to be perpetuated in our modern vademecums of the opening such as Practical Chess Openings and Modern Chess Openings.

But the columnar system of compiling opening data has one serious disadvantage - either there must be an exhaustive cross-reference (which few volumes contain) or opening lines which transpose into identical positions cannot be published as played and yet be readily identified in a reference work as variations of the same opening line. Usually a work of reference transposes the odd lines which develop into an identical position, so that they can be placed in the proper column reference, and sometimes the fact that the opening moves have been transposed is stated in a footnote.
Many players who compile their own supplementary columns to keep their MCO or PCO, up to date have struggled manfully with this problem of transposition of opening moves, solving it either by cross-reference or by juggling the moves of the selected game to fit into the general pattern of the opening line as sketched in the reference book.

But there is no need to do this Ray Kooyman, a commercial photographer in Salt Lake City who is chess-minded the once edited a chess column in the Deseret News in 1933), has devised a system of filing open data which meets the problem of transposed opening play by making it no problem at all. The system Mr. Kooyman devised for his personal use to aid his correspondence chess play is one that any chess player can utilize. It only requires $3 \times 5$ index cards and a little patience, but not near as much patience as the columnar system demands of its users.
The system devised by Mr. Kooyman utilized a graphic representation of the first ten moves for White and Black, with a distinct separation between Pawn moves, Knight moves, Bishop moves, and moves of other pieces, so that a glance at the graphic representation reveals at a glance (when one becomes practiced) which cards contain identical opening moves, no matter how much they have been transposed in each example.

The code for Pawn moves is: Pawns
3rd rank
QR QKt QB Q K K K K K
 5 th rank Um Uk Uc T In consequence in the diagram on page two, ABPS- 222 represents moves of the White QP, KP, KRP to the 4th rank and KP to the 5th rank, while ACFHUX-211 represents moves of the Black QP, QBP, to 4th rank and KP and KBP to third rank, and one $P$
to Kt5. Other notations are references, while the 48 in the lower left-hand column is to page of MCO covering the opening line. The number under the bar in the center column means Black won in 26 moves; above the bar would mean a White victory. Fastidinus filers can use various colored cards to separate the White victories from the Black, the draws from the wins, or even to indicate important games as opposed to run-of-the-mill contests.
The system as outlined by Mr. Kooyman, can be adapted to suit the individual who can prepare his own code for pawn moves if he prefers and arrange his cross references to suit his taste. Those readers who wish to discuss the
(Please turn to page 2, col. 3)

## U. S. WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP New York

December 5-19, 1953
Place: Marshall and Manhattan Chess Clubs.
Eligibility: Open to all women who are U. S. citizens and members in good standing of the USCF. Players must show membership card or pay $\$ 5.00$ annual USCF dues.
Prizes: Winner takes custody of Edith L. Weart Trophy and Herman Dittmann Trophy; cash prizes announced later.
Entry Fee: $\$ 5.00$ (exclusive of USCF Membership Dues).
Entries, Contributions to Prize Fund, and Inquiries: Address communications and entries to:

Albert S. Pinkus
1700 Albermarle Road
Brooklyn 26, New York

# ALL'S WELL THAT ENDS WELL 

## Mastering the End Game

 By W ALTER KORN, Editor of MCOTHIS new column is the outcome of a happy meeting of mindsMontgomery Major and I agreed that the systematic study of the end game has been sorely neglected in many magazines. From now on, CHESS LIFE will at least once every month devote space to the important field of endings and thus, I believe, be the only prominent American periodical with such regular feature.

As the hall mark of the endgame is precision, and as space in CHESS LIFE is short, I will mostly be very brief, but need some initial remarks to outline my immediate programme. Opening and Middle Game technique in modern Chess has been perfected enormously, and a very subtle positional advantage, carried over into the endgame, may be the only, yet decisive factor in winning a crucial game after flawless execution of the final phase.
To this purpose it is essential to know how to conduct that concluding phase and to be equipped with the means to acquire that knowledge. There is no other stage of the game where analysis can be carried to such accuracy and certainty as in the endgame, although there are areas of end game which so far have not been analytically explored. We also have available a number of compendia which deal with endings, i.e., the books by Berger, Rabinovich, Cheron, Grigoriev, and, in the USA, Fine's "Basic Chess Endings" (BCE for short). Unfortunately, these tomes are expensive to produce and prohibit frequent re-edition and revision which could take care of corrections, improvements and new discoveries. Yet endgame technique has become allimportant and all available references must be kept up-to-date. As we luckily possess an enidgame encyclopedia in BCE, we can refer to it and work further from there, just as in a corresponding manner, CHESS LIFE uses this editor's MCO in the openings' section.
In line with the idea of using BCE as a continuous reference and adding to its contents, I will in the next few columns make it my task to examine any doubtful "theory" and to comment, change, or improve on it. Our readers and new subscribers can use this column from its inception as a helpful addendum to their BCE, so indispensible for successful and correct endgame play. As a marfinal remark, let me say that in intervals I will also deal with the artistic, construed endgame com-

## HAVE YOUR TOURNAMENTS OFFICIALLY RATED!

Ask your Club Secretary or tournament director to write for official rating forms to report the results of your next tournament or match, specifying the type of contest and the approximate number of players.

Official rating forms should
be secured in advance from:-
Montgomery Major
123 No. Humphrey Avenue Oak Park, Illinois
Do not write to other USCF
officials for these rating forms.
position, and introduce the Neophite in a methodical way to this beautiful facet of truly creative chess play.

Returning now to our topic on page 27, in No. 39(b), BCE gives a position which (after the moves: 1. K-Kt3, K-Kt4; 2. P-R3, P-R3; 3. K-B3, K-B4; 4. P-Kt4 ch, K-Kt4; 5. K-Kt3, K-B3; 6. P-R4) is illustrated in our Diagram No. 1.


With Black to move, BCE calls the position a draw, explaining " 6 . ....... K-K4; 7. K-B3, K-B3!; 8. K-B4, K-K3! and White can only win by foul means, for fair ones fail."
This poetic statement is wrong, as White wins fairly easily after the forced sequence 9. K-K4, K-B3; 10. K-Q5, K-B2; 11. K-K5. K-Kt2 (if 11, ..... K-Kt3; 12 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 6$ ); 12. K-B5, K-B2; 13 . P-R5, tempoing the Black K away from his RP which ultimately falls. But the position is indeed a draw if Black instead of the-only!-wrong 6. ......., K-K4? plays any of the correct "distant opposition" moves 6. ........ K-K+2! (or K-Kt3, or K2, or K3); 7. K-B3, K-B2! (The "distant opposition" again). Upon 7. K-B2, K-B1! or K-B3 is right); 8. K-B4, K-B3 (Now it's "near opposition); 9. K-K4, K-K3; 10. P-R5, K-B3; 11. K-B4, K-K3!' (if 11. K-Q5, K-Kt4); draw. "His Imperial Majesty's loyal opposition has won the day" and it is the principle of so-called opposition and corresponding squares, first extensively analysed by Reichhelm in 1873, which applies to Diagram No. 1 and was missed in BCE.

Arturo Pomar, young Spanish chessmaster, is scheduled to arrive in New York about November 15th, and will be planning a series of exhibitions. Clubs desiring to contact Senor Pomar for an engagement may write him, care of Martin Nunez, 159 West 80th Street, New York, N.Y.

General Chemical (Claymont, Del.) Chess Club: A double round robin event at the Claymont Development Laboratory of the General Chemical Division was won by Maurice Golden with 12-2. Sam Gutelius scored 11-3 for a close second. Chess books were awarded as prizes.

## KOOYMAN FILING SYSTEM

(Continued from Page 1, column 4) details of the system broadly de- Kooyman, 775 9th Avenue, Salt scribed here may write to Mr. Ray Lake City, Utah.


Banks of Detroit is on his way to St. Petersburg, Fla. where he will direct chess and checker activity for the Recreation Board during the winter season. In route, the chess and checker master will give simultaneous exhibitions, leaving Detroit October 29th and going via Ohio and Pennsylvania to Washington, D.C., and thence south to Florida. Clubs desiring dates may write him at 5076 Coplin Avenue, Detroit 13, Mich. In his journeys Mr. Banks will act as a membership promoter for the USCF, accepting dues for the Federation from chess players who have failed to mail in their checks or hitherto failed to join the Federation.

The October issue of "En Passant," publication of the Downtown Y Chess Club of Pittsburgh, announces that a new chess column will appear in the Pittsburgh Sun-Telegraph beginning Saturday, October 31st, but coyly fails to mention the name of the column's editor.

The recent slaughter of the Jamica (N.Y.) Chess Club by the Washington (D.C.) Chess Divan at Washington $91 / 2-21 / 2$ was highlighted by one of the most startling double-blunders in this year's chess history. The position was (in Forsyth notation): 8, 5p2, 8, r2p2pp, 3P1k2, 2S4P, 3K1PP1, 8. Black (Mugridge) played 1. P-KB4??; and White (Partos) replied 2. Resigns???? After 1.
P-B4?, White has mate in two beginning 2. Kt-K2 ch!

[^0] over the Red Roses of Lancaster (Pa.)

## WALTER KORN

By WILLIAM ROJAM
Staff Writer
Famous as a student of the Openings, Walter J. Korn is best known for his contribution to tournament players' comfort as editor of the recent editions of the well-known classic, Modern Chess Openings, and also for the running commentary on innovations in Opening Play (not yet included in the last edition of MCO) which appears frequently in British Chess Magazine, Chess Correspondent and other chess publications.
It is probably not so well known that Mr. Korn is an authority upon the endgame technique, both in its practical form and in the form of composed endgame positions (some of which may be of more theoretical than practical value).
Beginning with this issue, once a month Mr. Korn will give CHESS LIFE readers the benefit of his wide knowledge of endgame technique in a feature which will not only systematically study the problems of endgame play but will also present the best in composed endings - an art of more practical value than problems, which can attain the same creative heighth in ingenuity, economy and surprise.

With the issue of October 16, 1953 the Cleveland Chess Bulletin entered into its eleventh year, for the first issue appeared October 16, 1943. Then as now Earl Benjamin was the publisher, although there have been a number of changes in the editor over the course of the years.

Hyde Park (Chicago) Chess Club begins its annual club tournament ( $A$ and B) classes on November 26 , and in its annual membership drive has reduced annual club dues for new members joining in November only. Interested players may contact A. Kaufman, 5531 Kimbark, Chicago, II.

# YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM 

America's Leading Young Masters Annotate<br>Outstanding Games from Recent Chess Events

## THE ANATOMY OF BLUNDER

By U. S. Master CARL PILNICK
$\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{T}}$ has often been said that the winner of a chess game is the man who makes the next-to-the-last blunder. Everyone who plays has blundered, and will again. Openings can be analyzed, middle-game tactics studied and end-game technique perfected and yet the God-given privilege of making an asinine move is continually exercised. The reasons for this are as varied and unfathomable as the players themselves but sometimes the blunders, if they follow a consistent pattern, shed light on the psychological makeup of the blunderer. For example, there are players who are precision itself in positions where they have achieved a slight edge, yet crack under pressure in defensible situations. Others are unhappy in wild tactical games and more often than not, theirs will be the piece left en prise.

The following is still another type, the blunder of complacency. Black plays the opening sharply, achieves everything he wants, and at the kill relaxes and is blinded by the light of his own halo. The checkmate becomes a win, the win a difficult win, dissolving to a draw and finally staggering into a loss. The game, incidentally, in spite of or perhaps because of the blunders is a fascinating and instructive one.
KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
National Candidates Tournament
Philadelphia, 1953 White R. KLUG

| UGMAN | C. PILNICK |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1. | P-Q4 | N-KB33 |
| 2. | P-QB4 | P-KN3 |
| 3. | N-QB3 | P-Q4 |
| 4. | P×P | N×P |
| 5. | P-K4 | N×N |
| 6. | P×N | P-QB4 |
| 7. | B-QB4 | B-N2 |
| 8. | N-K2 | P-K4 |

With this move, Black departs from the book line, enticing P-Q5, a double-edged advance
9. P-Q5
9. B-K3 is more flexible. 9.
10. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$
11. Q-Q2
12. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR}$

Aimed not so much at releasing the QB as the QR, via QR2.
13. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{N} 3$


The "must" move, regardless of consequences 14. PxP

P×P
15. B-R6

P-B5
15. ......., Q-R5, attacking both Bishops, is also good.

| good. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16. BXB | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 17. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K4}$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B3}$ |
| 18. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |  |

18. P-B3, NxN leads to a position similar to the actual game, with Black not having a weak KP to worry about.

| a weak KP to worry abo |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 18......... | Q×N |
| 19.P-B3 | K-R1 |
| 20. O-O | $\ldots$ |
| fortable, but what else? |  |

Uncomfortable, but what else?
20. KR Kl R-R2
21. KR-K1

21 ...R-B2 is slightly superior.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 21. } \\
& \text { 22. } \\
& \text { 23. } \\
& \text { 23. } \mathrm{R} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Desperation, but White cannot wait for the pallbearers.

Flash the red light, Charlie, here he goes again! This mild move is the monumental blunder of the game. Played to gain a tempo, it misplaces the King and euts the communication lines, as will be seen. Correct is 34 . ........, RxP; 35. R-B1, (if $35, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ ) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$; 36. K-Q3, RxP; 37. R-KR1, R-N2 and the game is over.

## 35. P-B4

A deceptively harmless move, but now Black sweated over 35. .....,R-R7ch; 36. K-K1, RxR; 37. KxR and the win is far from easy.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 35. } \\
& \text { 36. R-B1 } \\
& \text { 37. K-Q3 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
R \times P \\
R-R 7 \mathrm{ch}
\end{array}
$$

For now if 37 $\qquad$ RxP; 38.
For now if 37, , When; 38. R-KR1, tactics are now called for. The All-out tactics are now called for. The threat's ......, Q-B4. ${ }_{38}$ K-B3
38. P-Q8(N) ch, K-K1! wins for Black. 38. ....... Q-B4; 39. R-QB1, R-R6 ch; 40. K-Q2! (40. K-N2?, Q-Q6! ; 41. P-Q8(N) ch, K-K1; 42. QxQ, RxQ; 43. NxB, R-Q2!) had also to be considered. 39.P-Q8(N) ch! $\qquad$
Now, unfortunately, if 39 . ......., K-K1; 40. QxP ch, KxN; 41. R-Q1 ch and Black is mated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 40. Q-K6 ch } \\
& \text { 41. Q×P ch } \\
& \text { 42. Q-K6 ch } \\
& \text { 43. Q-B7 ch } \\
& \text { 44. Q×BP ch } \\
& \text { 45. Q-B7 ch }
\end{aligned}
$$



In spite of White's gains, the game is still drawn since time out cannot be taken because of Black's mating threats. In the time pressure, however, White sets a devilish "cheapo" which Black, after avoiding once by pure instinct, finally falls into.
47. Q-B7 ch
48. Q-K6 ch
K-R3
K-R4??
49. R-R1 ch!!
K-R4??


The point. If 49. ......., QxR; 50. Q-N4 ch, K-R3; 51. N-B7 mate.
49.
50. Q-R6 ch $\begin{array}{r}\text { K-N4 } \\ \text { Resigns }\end{array}$

It was rumored that after this game Black committed suicide. The report is somewhat exaggerated.

A recently born chess club is the NOY MARIANAS Chess Club on Guam at Agana, composed of American and Filipino employees of Brown-Pacific-Maxon. The new club was heartily greeted in The Constructionaire, the company newspaper. V. R. McHale is president, Bill Lee vice-president, "Pabby" Pabalinas secretary-treasurer, and Dick Jahr tournament director.

Salinas Y (Calif.) Chess Club elected George Oakes, perennial winner of Salinas City title, president; Foster Clark and Sam Lowe were reelected respectively as vice-president and sec-retary-treasurer. Al Hoerchner was named tournament director.

Contributors to the YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM

## Hans Berliner

Arthur Bisguier
Eliot Hearst
George Kramer
Cart Pitnick
James Sherwin
Walter Shipman

## CHESS MAGAZINES

(Continued from Sept. 5 Issue)
Add to Class II. Independent and Regional Publications.

## 5. CBMA News

Richard E. Gleason
935 Chestnut Street
Springfield 7, Mass.
( 10 times a year-Chess By Mail Association bulletin.)
Add to Class III State Association Publications
11. Penn-Scratches

Jeffrey C. Bortner
31 South Duke Street
York, Pennsylvania
(Quarterly-Pennsylvania State Chess Federation)
IV. League and City Publications

1. Chicago City Chess Bulletin

Abraham Kaufman
5531 So. Kimbark Ave.
Chicago, III.
(Sporadic-Chicago City Chess League)
2. Cleveland Chess Bulletin

Cyril Duda
1430 East 90th St.
Cleveland 6, Ohio (Semi-monthly - Cleveland Chess Association)
3. Disłrict Chess Bulletin

Russell Chauvenet
721 Gist Ave.
Silver Spring, Md.
(Semi-monthly-District of Columbia Chess League)
4. Sacramento Chess News
J. B. Gee

5425 Eighth Ave.
Sacramento 17, Calif.
(Monthly-Central California Chess League)
5. Bell Tournament Notes Robert Bruce, Room 2127
195 Broadway
New York 7, N. Y.
(Sporadic-report on
Bell System corrospondence chess tourneys for employes)
(To be continued)

## UNITED STATES

CHESS FEDERATION

## officers



## Vice-Presidents

Frank R. Graves Rhys Hays Ft. Worth, Tex. New York, N.Y. A. Wyaft Jones Hans Kmoch Shreveport, La. New York, N.Y. A. L. Ludwig. Omaha, Nebr E. T. McCormicis Geo. E. Roosevelt E. Orange, N.J. New York, N.Y. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Dr. Bela Rozsa } & \text { Herman Steiner } \\ \text { Tulsa, Okla. } & \text { Los Angeles, Calif. }\end{array}$

## Past Presidents

Paul G. Giers E. A. Wagner, Jr. Syracuse, N.Y. Chicago, ili.
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## The Lost Art of Logic

No cat has two tails, but a cat has one tail more than no cat; therefore a cat has three tails.

PAncient Elementary Example of False Syllogism ERHAPS the naive statement, purporting to prove that a cat has three tails, in its very simple form appears ridiculous to most readers - some of whom may, alas, have swallowed whole at one time or another syllogisms that were equally preposterous but more persuasively concealed under a dressing of verbiage to hide the essential fact that the syllogism was basically false.

That the art of Logic has been lost in modern thinking (for its precepts no longer form a part of the modern curriculum under college level) is obvious to anyone who gives a moment of intelligent attention to the various arguments that are advanced today by the advocates of any cause. Even those who are crusading for a just and righteous cause too frequently base their arguments and appeals upon a logic that has no more basic sense than the proof that a cat has three tails. An example is the recent "Tideland Oil" dispute. The basic point involved was whether the tideland oil reserves should be national or belong to the state. But the cry arose that if they were given to the state, it would be handling them to the exploitation of the villaneous capitalists. How many readers penetrated the nonsense of this ballyhoo? Whether the royalties and revenues went to nation or state, the actual final operations in extracting the oil would be made by private companies under contract! The only question of principle involved was whether these revenues should be spread for national benefit or benefit of the individual states involved. We do not pretend to know which course would have been best, but are only concerned with the illogical argument presented for public consumption. If the public knew its logic, it could have penetrated the fraud in the argumentsbut how many average citizens did?

Even more frequently we see mankind bowing to the false syllogism best demonstrated in another famous example: David said all men were liars, David was a man, therefore David was a liar; therefore David lied and men are not liars. Did the witty Frenchmn have this pseudo syllogism in mind when he quipped that all generalities were false, including this one!

For we see the feeble line of reasoning, expressed in providing David a liar, most militantly active today in regard to public figures like Senator McCarthy. David, who said all men were liars, did not say that they lied at all times. Senator McCarthy often indulges in very foolish witch-hunts, but the fact that he sometimes exceeds the bounds of wisdom, probability and good taste does not in the least invalidate the evidence he has accumulated which make some of his charges well substantuated by factual proof. One need not approve of the Senator's tactics, but one should not brush aside his concrete evidence. In logic, the follies a man may court should not be permitted to obliterate his sensible proposals, for even the sage can stoop to folly (witness Solomon!)

The conscious masters of the false syllogism today are, of course, our communist neighbors, who have long specialized in proving that black is white and white is red; and are sometimes quite persuasive. How much they have muddled the thinking of many honest, bewildered, but conscientious individuals is best demonstrated by the letters this writer received at various times regarding comments on Communism
in Chess and Dr. Bohatirchuk's right to be named a Grandmaster.
The same readers, not themselves communists but deluded into a stand they have been persuaded is correct (like the well-meaning simpletons who testified on behalf of Alger Hiss), have written first to complain about this writer's remarks on Communism in Chess on the basis that Chess should be above and beyond politics; they have secondly written to uphold the idea of denying Dr. Bohatirchuk the title of Grandmaster because he was "a traitor to Russia" and "a Nazi collaborator."

Now, obviously, if these readers had had even an elementary understanding of logic, they would realize that it is inconsistent to insist that Chess must be above politics in one breath, and in a second breath with equal vehemance insist that a chess title of honor should be denied an individual on what are purely political grounds. But, denied the assistance of logic, they have been an easy prey to the muddled ideas and distorted thinking which the clever (and a few are clever) communist sympathizers still disseminate in the USA.

It is often the same lack of logical thinking (plus a lack of proper information) which creates a communistic sympathizer. One reads the statements and theories of Marx and Engels (in which, by the way, there is much that is persuasive and even some that is profound and true), and confuse this ideology with what is now called Communism, not recognizing that beneath a hollow fascade of Marxian phraseology modern communism is a beast that bears a different brand. That is why men like Whitaker Chambers who wedded the ideal eventually seek divorce from the ugly fact. There is nothing new about the basic concepts of communism-the early followers of Christ practiced it in Jerusalem until they discovered that human frailty, even among the early devout Christians, made the concept impractical. It is an ideal that will always appeal to mankind - and perhaps someday we will be good enough as individuals to put it to practice.

It was probably a very clever woman (and a pretty one) who first proclaimed that all men are fools (a statement that it is difficult to deny in the conditions of the world today). But let us not be deceived thereby; we can accept this premise without granting that the converse is true as well: that all fools are men (forgetting the fair sex!). Probably no reader would.

Yet there are many earnest readers who have been trapped into believing that when a premise is correct, its converse is also truth a trap that knowledge of logic would reveal immediately. Thus there are those who have accepted the premise that the Daily Worker (that infinitely dull periodical of communism) tells only the truth, and also thereby that only the Daily Worker tells the truth-unaware that even if the first premise were true (which it isn't), its correctness does not imply a logical proof of the converse. It is such self-delusion that prevents the average man from escaping from the web of false ideas that entraps him, because his training lacks that understanding of the fabic of logic which would shelter him safely.

Is it not time we ceased to be so eager to convict David of being a liar; for certainly it is time that we ceased to grant a cat two imaginary extra tails!

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
Quarterly Period ended September 30, 1953
Balance on Hand, June 30, 1953 .............................................................................................. 687.49
RECEIPTS:





| Rating Fees |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tournament Fees ................................................................................................................................................................. | 405.00 |

$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Trust: Foreign Magazines } \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ & 148\end{array}$

Total Receipts ............................................................................................. 6,557.90
$\overline{7,245.39}$


Balance on Hand, September 30, 1953.



# THE ELEMENTS OF CHESS 

By International Master HERMAN STEINER

## Hypothetical Game

## Illustrating Principles, Rules, and Objectives (Continued from issue of November 5)

So white must decide what to do. It is certain that he gains nothing by giving up the King-Pawn; rather he should defend the Pawn directly, and at the same time make a developing move. What next? Play for the Second Objective, or better yet, Third Objective, if possible. How can this be done? First, in order to secure Second Objective, you must get your Rooks on the file, so the obvious move becomes: 13. KR-KI.


After White plays: 1. KR-K1

## Nullifies Third Objective

## Developing Move

Threat of Third Obiective
Threat of second Objective (Kt-Q5)
Since it defends the King-Pawn directly and threatens to open this King file, what is the next move? Ample time should be taken at this point and a far-sighted plan visualized as to how to open the King file with safety.

There are several ways of doing this: 1) by placing the Force on a square where it can be captured; 2) if the opponent does not want to capture, we can capture his Force with ours. However, in the following position this cannot be done. If we play P-K5, Black answers with PxP;


[^1]and while White succeeds in opening the file for himself, he also opens his opponent's Queen file, losing the $B$, which is pinned by the Rook. The principles of chess definitely state that whenever you open a file, be sure you open it for yourself and not for your opponent. 3) Another way to open a file is to place a Force in such a position that it will threaten the Third Objective. To nullify this, the player is compelled to capture the Force, thereby opening the file for his opponent.

It ${ }^{\prime}$ is now Black's turn to move. What does his opponent threaten? 14. Kt-Q5 is the threat, because it attacks the Queen and the Knight. If the Queen moves out of the attack, 14. ......, Q-Q2; 15. KtxKt, PxKt is followed by 16. BxP, winning the Rook for the Bishop.


This is a disadvantage for Black, who then decides that he would rather yield the file than the Force - the Second Objective rather than the Third Objective. By looking at White's threat of Kt-Q5, Black must decide what to do to nullify White's Third Objective without giving him the advantage. The Knight must be moved. The question is, where? We must find a place which will give the most flexibility to the Knight. Applying the rule of development as to the best place for the Knights, we find it to be their own B3. Since the Knights cannot be mover any great distance at one time, they should be used for limited attack as well as for defense. For that reason, the Knight should stay on a square where it may be moved readily to either side of the board. This is what is meant by flexibility.

However, in this particular position, the Knight is misplaced on KB3 because the opponent threatens Kt-Q5. In our illustration of development we have the Knight as an attacking Force. Now we shall illustrate the principle as it applies when the Knight is used as defense.

The only move to make is the one which inyolves the sacrifice
of the King-Knight-Pawn, and the move is 13 . Kt-Q2.


After Black plays: 13:
, $K t-Q 2$ Nullifies threat of Third objective Sacrifices pawn for counter attack
Now White's Third Objective is nullified, if he plays Kt-Q5, and it does not create any weakness for Black.

There remains an alternative: P-QB3, which would appear to prevent 14. Kt-Q5. However, P-QB3 is unsatisfactory since it does not prevent White making the Kt-Q5 move. This if followed by 14. PxKt; 15. PxP.


Now it is clearly seen that White will win back the sacrificed Force with a positional advantage. As a result Black must discard this variation and must look for a position in which he can use Fourth Objective with safety.
(To be continued)

# Chess Life Yn $\eta_{\text {ew }} Y_{\text {ork }}$ 

By Eliot Hearst

FOR the first time in several years the Manhattan Chess Club has found it necessary to run preliminaries to its championship tourney, and this fact once again evinces the increasing interest and participation of metropolitan club members in serious competition. More than twenty expert players sought a place in the finals and, rather than running a round-robin (which might last almost half a year!), the club directors decided to seed eight players into the finals and qualify four others from two preliminary sections of seven contestants each. Those eight already seeded include the present champion, Max Pavey, and former titleholders A. Bisguier, A. Denker, A. Kevitz, and A. Pinkus, in addition to A. Turner, G. Shainswit, and J. Williams. The title fight promises to be a most exciting one and will get underway as soon as these short prelims are completed.

Your reporter usually avoids making predictions about the outcomes of chess events, but our prognostication that Smyslov would win the Challengers Tourney has gone to our head and leads us to stick our neck out to predict the winner of the Manhattan tourney. Despite the admittedly fine play of all the contestants, the battle appears to us to be a three-cornered one, i.e. between Bisguier, Pavey and Denker, and we forsee a tight contest with Denker the eventual winner. Okay, we can't take the prediction back now!
IN BRIEF: Jack Collins $3-0$ and T. Dunst 20 lead the Marshall C. C. Championship tourney after three rounds, while J. Sherwin and J. Donovan have 2-1 scores; features of the opening rounds were Dunst's victory over Sherwin and the latter's win against Santasiere (who has a $11 / 2-11 / 2$ record), the defending co-champion . . . Don't forget the U.S. Intercollegiate Championship, Dec. 26-30.
Sammy Reshevsky returned to N.Y. from Zurich and is planning a trancontinental tour shortly.

## NEW MEXICO STATE CHAMPIONSHIP

## Alburquerque, 1953

 2. Jack Shaw (Albuquerque) ...........W19 W18 W9 D4 L1 D3 W6 $5 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2} \quad 30.00$ 3. John Halladay (Los Alamos) ........W22 W8. L6 W21 W4 D2 D1 5 -2 29.50 4. Dr. R, S. Underwood (Lubbock, Tex.)

W5 W17 W12 L2 L3 W15 W8 5 -2 29.00 5. A. B. Innis (Albuquerque) .........L4 W10 W15 W9 L8 W19 W16 5 -2 25.00 6. F. T. Coleman (Florence, Ariz.) ..D12 W11 W3 L1 W13 W7 L2 4 42 齿 32.00 7. Albert HarIe (Albuquerque) .......W10 W16 L1. W18 D11 L6 W9 42 8. Fred Rawlins (Las Vegas) ….......W24 L3 W20 W22 W5 L1 L4 4 - 3 9. Hall Jones (Albuquerque) W25 W15 L2 L5 W16 W11 L7 4 4 $-3 \quad 25.50$ 10. Gordon Charlton (Las Vegas) ......L7
 12. Dr. W. M. Drury (Carlsbad) ……D6 W13 L4 L11 W25 L10 W19 $\begin{array}{llllllll} & \text { W2 } & \text { W3 } & 23.50\end{array}$ 13. W. G. Smith (Albuquerque) ……...D11 L12 W19 W10 L6 L16 W20 $\quad 32-32$ 14. Robert Johnson (Espanola) ….....L17 W26 L21 W24 L15 W20 D11 $3 \frac{1}{3}-3 \frac{1}{2} \quad 15.50$ 15. H. J. Drake (Albuquerque) $3-4(24.50)$; 16. Floyd Lewis (Albuquerque) $3-4(22.00)$; 17. D. W. Earl (Los Alamos) $3-4(18.50) ; 18$. H. B. Shaffer (Albuquerque) $3-4(15.00)$; 19. David Neal (Albuquerque) $2-5(23.50) ; 20$. R. D. Adair (Albuquerque) 2-5 (18.00); 21. Frank Grossbeck (Albuquerque) 2-5 (17.00); 22. Paul Johnson (Espanola) 2-5 (14.00); 23. Sid Yarbrough (Albuqueque) 2-5 (14.00); 24. Floyd Miller (Albuquerque) 1-6 (18.50); 25. Richard January (Albuquerque) 1-6 (17.50); 26. Earnest $P$. Wilson (Albuqueque) $1-6$ (13.50); 27. Skip Hunter (Albuquerque) $0-7$ (3.00); 28. David Hawley (Albuqueque) 0-7 (3.00).

Hunter and Hawley withdrew after 1st rd., Grossbeck and Paul Johnson after
4 th, Shaffer after 5 th. Solkoff points used,

# GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS 

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, New York State Champion, 1952

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless othervise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## RESHEVSKY DEFEATS

## BOLESLAVSKY

International Master R. G. Wade has contributed the following score and notes to this Department. We wish to thank him for his friendliness and the good work he has done on this interesting struggle between two Grandmasters. We hope Mr. Wade will often be a Guest Annotator on this page.

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

## MCO: page 92, column 61 (a)

## World Championship Candidates

 Zurich, 1953Notes by International Master R. G. Wade
White
S. RESHEVSKY Black
BOL
Black
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { S. RESHEVSKY } & \text { 1. BOLESLAVSKY } \\ \text { 1. P-Q4 Kt-KB3 } & \text { 4. } & \text { B-Kt2 } \\ \text { 1. } & \text { O.O }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 2. } & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4} 4 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK}+3 & \text { 5. } & \mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{QB} 3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \\ \text { 3. } & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK}+3 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K}+2 & \text { 6. } & \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4}\end{array}$
Udoveic's variation.
7. P-Q5

The alternative is 7 : $0-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Kt}$-B3; leading to either 8. PxP, PXP; 9. B-K3, Q-R4; 10. Q-R4 hen 10. ......., QxQ; 11. KtxQ, P-Kt3! is possible; or 8. P-Q5, Kt-QR4 with difficult positions for both players.
 pawn formation of Q3, QB4 for Black and Q5, QB4 for White. Black therefore plans to smash the White pawn configuration by both ......., P-QKt4 and 9. $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \mathrm{C}$.

It is interesting R-K10. P-QR4 P-K3 refrains fring to note that Boleslavsky P-QKt4; 12, RPxP, RxP; R-QR3; 11. P-R5, has played 9 Kt-Q2 10. ........, P-K3 is 10 . ........, P-QKt3 fol lowed by ......., P-QR3 and ........, P-QKt4, and not playing ........, P-K3 or ........, P-K4 until White has played P-K4.
11. PxP BxP 13. KtxKt BxBP 12. $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 2)-\mathrm{K} 4$

## KtxKt

A move like 13. ........, Kt-K1 gives up the idea of creating a a-side pawn majority for Black and handicaps a Rook. White would possibly continue 14. Q-Q3 and if ........, B-B4; 15. Q-Kt3. A. zwisch

A zwischenzug that turns out to be really irritating, e.g. 14.
KtxQP and now either $15 . .$. P......., PxB; 15. KtxQP and now either 15 . ......., PxB;
16. KtxB holding up the Q-side majority 16. KtxB holding up the Q-side majority
with a well-posted Kt , or 15 ........, BxP; with a well-posted Kt, or $15 . . . . . . ., ~ B x P ;$
16. $Q \times B$ with a choice between 16. ......., 16. QxB with a choice between 16. .......,
$P \mathrm{BB} ; 17 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ which wins for white the exchange (Philidor's Legacy) or




While the Kt covers so many squares of the white-squared B, Reshevsky sneaks the opportunity' of getting rid of Black's two Bs.
 Friday, Page 6 November 20, 1953

At least there is nothing routine about Reshevsky's moves. That delving into shoals of impossible moves that feashoals of impossible moves that shows
tures Reshevsky's games and shen itself on his clock pays its dividends. Most of us would dismiss the text move because of Black's "desperado" posbecause of Black's "
sibility on move 24.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 23. ....... BXKt } & \text { 24. R-Q2 B-B1 }\end{array}$ After 24. ......., BxP; 25. RxKt Black is faced with the likelihood of omnipotent Rs on the seventh besides having a B and a pawn en prise.
25. RxKt P-B5?

Loses a pawn with nothing to show for it. However 25. ........, P-QKt4; will not work because of 26 . PxP, RxP; 27. R-K8, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$; 28. $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 5)$-Q8 winning a piece. If $25 . . . . . . . .$. , P-Kt3; 26. R(K)-Q angling for an exchange of Rs-the white $K$ and R can cope with the passed pawns. 26. R-K4 P-QR3

For if 26 . ......, R-B1; 27. R(Q5)-Q4, PB6; 28. R-QB4 wins the pawn.
27. RxP P-QK+4
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 27. RXP } & \text { P-QK+4 } & \text { 29. } \begin{array}{lll}\text { R-B7 } & \text { P-Kł5 } \\ \text { 28. } & \text { PXP } & \text { PxP }\end{array} & \text { 30. R(Q5)-Q7 }\end{array}$ If 30 ........, P-Kt6; the $R$ interproses by 31. R-Kt7.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 31. K-K+2 } & \text { R-R7 } & \text { 33. R-K+7 } & \text { B-B4 }\end{array}$ 32. RxP P-K+6

The "Plachutta" interference is already showing itself, e.g., if 33 . ........, P-Kt7 White must play 34. R-B3, not 34. R-Q7 because of 34. …..., R-R2!
 35. R-Q3 B-B1
Spoils a

Spoils a good game. There is now a draw by 36 . ......., R-R2; 37. R-KB7, PKts(Q); 38. R×B ch.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 36. } \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch} & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B4} \text { ? } \\ \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Bi} \\ \text { 36 }\end{array} & \text { 38. } \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 8)-\mathrm{K}+8\end{array}$


## PROSPECT

Larry Remlinger of Long Beach, California, eleven year old protege of Former U. S. Champion Herman Steiner, startled everyone by the calibre of his play in the U. S. Junior Championship. Playing a tough schedule, he scored $51 / 2-41 / 2$ and won the Dittmann Trophy offered for ranking player fifteen or under. It has been said that be may be as strong as Reshersky was at the same age and that he is a good bet to take the Junior next year. And, judging by the way be bandles the opening and middle-game in the following brilliant effort, the Junior title may be only the beginning for Larry.

## TWO KNIGHTS' DEFENSE MAX LANGE ATTACK

## MCO: page 303, column 21 (d)

U. S. Junior Championship Kansas City, 1953
White
c. HENIN
L. REMLINGER
c. HENIN

P-K4
No French, no Sicilian, no Caro-Kann, $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { no } & \text { fear! } \\ \text { 2. } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B4} 4 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & \text { 4. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB3} \\ \text { Kt-B3 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 2. } & \text { B-B4 } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB3} & \text { 4. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3\end{array}$ Preferable to 4. ........, KtxP.
5. $0-0$

And this is preferable to 5. B-KKt5, 5. $5 \mathrm{KtaKt5}$, or 5 . P-K.5.
5. ........ B-B4. 6. P-K5

The Max Lange Attack is reached via the Bishop's Opening.

8. PxP, R-KKt1; 9. B-Kt5, may well be the best.
8. ........ B-K3 9. Kt-Kt5 Kta Prkt, Q-R5ch, P-Kt3; 12. Q. 9 .

For if 10. ......., PxKt??; 11.QB3!
For
10.
11.
$\begin{array}{lcc}\text { 11. QKt-K4 } & \text { Q-B4 } & \text { 12. P-KK+4 }\end{array}$

Or 12, KKtxB, PxKt; 13. P-KKt4, Q-K4; transposing into the actual continuation. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 12. } & \text { Transposing into the actual continuation. } \\ \text { Q-K4 } & \text { 14. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5\end{array}$
13. KtxB PXKt

Although it threatens 15. RxQ, and 15. Kt -B7, this is not as good as it looks. Correct is 14. PxP, KR-Kt1; 15. B-R6, P-Q6; 16. P-B3, P-Q7; 17. R-K2, R-Q6; 18 . KtxB, QxKt; 19. RxP(Q2)!, Kt-K4; 20. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$; 21. K-Kt2, Q-Q4 ch; 22. K-Kt3, with equal chances.
14. ....... QxP 15. RxP

If 15. KtxKP, R.Q4; holds the plus.
15. ........ Q-B1

This is only a temporary retreat. Black
has considerable advantage-an extra Pawn (potentially passed), more development, more piece security, and greater King safety.
16. Q-K1
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 16. Q-K1 } & \text { P-KR3 } & & \\ \text { The counter-attakk begins. } & \\ \text { 17. } \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{K} 4 & \text { B-K }+3 \text { ! } & \text { 19. } \mathrm{K} \uparrow-\mathrm{K} \uparrow 3 & \text { P-Q6! }\end{array}$
17. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4 \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K}+3$ !


Threatening a) 20. ......., PxP; b) 20........, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$; as played, c) 20 . ......, Kt-Q5; as played, c) 20. ......., BxP; 21. QxB, QxR; and d) 20. ........, QR-K1; 21. R×R ch RxR; 22. Q-B1, R-K7.
20. R-K4

There is no defense.
20. ...... Kt-Q5 21. R-B4

Now Black wins a piece. Relatively best is 21 . RxKt, but Black would still win easily.
21. Q. Q4 ch 22. Q.K4

I2 $22 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{KtxP}$; wins the QR .
Black hammers out the win in short
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { order. } & \text { Pry } & \text { PGT-B5 } & \text { KR-K1! }\end{array}$ 24. $\mathbf{B \times P}$ QxB 26. QxP KR-KI! If 26. Q-B3, Kt-K8 ch; 27. RxKt, QxQR; wins.
26. ....... P-K+3 Resigns

White must part with his Knight, for if, say, 27. KtxP, then Black wins the Queen with 27 . ......, Kt-K6 ch.
A remarkable game for an eleven year old youngster!

SEMI-SLAV DEFENSE
MCO: page 188, column 36 (a)
U. S. Candidates Tournamenf

Philadelphia, 1953
Notes by U. S. Master
Sidney Bernstein
White
Black

## s. RUBINOW

S. RUBINO

1. P-Q4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 1. P-Q4 } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { 5. } & \text { S. BERNSTEIN } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { P-QB4 } & \text { P-QB3 } & \text { 6. } & \text { B-K+5 } \\ \text { BPXP } & \text { Kt-B3 }\end{array}$

| $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3} 3$ | 7. | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kt 3 B 3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | 8. | Bx 4 |  |

Better is 8. Kt-Q2, Kt-K5; 9. Kt(2)xKt, PxKt; 10. B-R4. The text results in a position akin to that reached in the Cambridge Springs. Here, however, Black stands better because he has already achieved the opening of the QB file, and can also prevent the enemy Kt from reaching K5.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 8. from reaching K5. } & \text { PXB } & \text { 10. P-KKt3 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 8. } & \text { Q-Q2 } & \text { PXB } \\ \text { 9. }\end{array}$
This is too passive-yet, strangely, it has the "accidental" virtue of causing Black to abandon all thought of ......., P-B4 (which would have prevented White's thrust P-K4. Note that ....... PB4 does not relinquish control of Black's B4 does not relinquish control of Black's
K4, since the rear BP can guard the
 This is risky. Black relies on the principle that any opening up of the position will favor the player possessing the two Bishops. The more natural 15. ........ Q.Q1 would have avoided all danger. But Black, striving for 16 . ......., $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R4}$, expects 17. QKt4, and wishes to reserve Q1 for the Kt-maneuver Kt-Q1-Kt2-Q3B5.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 16. P-K4 } & \text { PXP } & \text { 17. Kł×KP } & \text { KR-Q11 }\end{array}$


The result of long reflection. Any other move gives White at least a draw. If 17. ......., P-B4; 18. Q-R6, PxKt; 19. KtKt5 draws. If 17. Q....., Kt-R4?; 18. QR5, Q-Q1; 19. KtxP ch!, BxKt; 20. B-Q3 and there is no defense. If instead of 18. ......., Q-Q1 in this variation Black tries 18. ........, P-K4 then 19. Kt(3)-Kt5 highlights the pin along the rank.

## 18. QR-Q1

Not 18. Q-R6 at once because of 18. thanks tap and Black's position holds, tected!
18. ....... P-K4! 19. Q-R6?

If 19. P-Q5, B-KB4! After the game White suggested 19. PxP. but after the reply 19. ......, KtxP (not 19. ......, BR6?; 20. Q-R6, BxR; 21. KtxP ch winning) Black has the better of it despite the week $P$ formation; for example: Kt5?, B-B1), RxR; 22. RxR, Q-B3 and Kt5?,
wins.
19. $\ldots \ldots+\cdots \mathrm{Kt} \quad \mathrm{KtxP} \quad$ 21. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5$

Or 21. B-Q3, Q-K3 (threatening
Or 21. B-Q3, Q-K3 (threatening 22. ......, P-B4) and the attack is over (22. KtxP ch?, QxKt; 23 . BxP ch, K-R1, etc.)
If 22. B-R5, B-B1!; 23. BXP ch, K-R1 winning a piece
$\begin{array}{lcl}\text { 22. } \\ \text { 23...... } & \text { Q-B4! } & \text { 25. R-K1 } \\ \text { B×B } & \text { Resigns } & \text { Q×R }\end{array}$ 24. RxB Q-B4

A tense struggle.

## A NIMZOVITCH LINE

John Penquite of Des Moines, Lowa, ended in third place in the Junior tournament last August. This game shows him using a Nimzovitch line, building a commanding position, and winning Rook for Bishop.

## FRENCH DEFENSE

MCO: page 64 , column 96
U. S. Junior Championship

Kansas City, 1953
White
Black

1. P-Q4 P-K3 2. P.K4 P-Q4

And a QP Opening transposes into a French Defense.
3. P-K5

The Nimzovitch Variation: it is not popular because it relaxes the tension in the center and allows Black to countin the center and allows Black to count., P-KB3. 3. Kt-QB3 and 3. Kt-Q2 are the most promising, with the latter being most promising, with the latter being
seen more and more in grandmaster seen
chess.

## GUEST. ANNOTATORS Sidney N. Bernstein R. G. Wade

4. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ and 4. PxP are playable. 4. ........ $\quad \mathrm{Kt}$-QB3 $\quad$ 5, Kt - B3 $\quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ B-K2, Kt -B4; and, as with the text, Black has pressure on the QP.
5. P-QR3

White leaves the books. The idea is to prevent Black from checking at QKt5 later on, and to prepare P-QKt4.
6. ....... PXP 7. PXP B-Q2 More consequent is 7 . ......., KKt-K2 8. P-QKt4, Kt-B4; 9. B-Kt2, B-K2.
8. Kt-B3 KKt-K2 10. Kt-QR4 Q-Q1 9. P.QKt4 Kt -B4

As a result of 6. P-QR3 and 7. ........., BQ2; White gains ground on the queenside.
11. B-K+2 P.QR4

A weakening move. Better are 10. ........, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$; and $10 . . . . . .$. , P-QR3.
12. P-Kt5 Kt-R2 $\quad$ 14. B-Q3 P-KKt3 13. Q-K+3 B-K2

To avoid the isolated QP which results from 14. ........, O-O; 15. BxKt, PxB.
15. $0.0 \quad 0.0,16$. QR-B1

White has the advantage in time and space.
This could wait. Corect is 16. ........, P. Kt3. 17. Kt-B5 P-K+3 Or $18 . \mathrm{Kt}$ - $\mathrm{R6}$..... R-Kt1.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 18. } \mathrm{Kt} \text {-R6 } 6 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B1} & \text { 20. KR-K1 }\end{array}$
Threatening to win the KP with 21 $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{RxP} ; 22$. Kt-Kt5, for if 22 . Q-Q3?; 23. Kt-K8 wins the exchange. 20. ....... R-Kt2 21. Kt-R6 PxP Now White gets in with his other Knight. Greater resistance is offered with 21. ........, R-Q2.
22. K+xP $\quad$ B-B3

Better 22. ........, B-Q3.
23. BxKt and $24, \mathrm{R} / 1-\mathrm{QB} 1$ seems to be a preferable positional course.
Black wants to
Black wants to grant a little more freedom to his QB. But 23. ......, KtPxB; followed by ......., QR-Kt2; gives more chances.

R-K2


A costly oversight. Black still has a 25. B-R

The win of the exchange is decisive. 25. ....... KR-K1 27. P-B4 Resigns White has an appreciable advantage in material and position.

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

## U. S. Candidates Tournament

 Philadelphia, 1953White
P. BRANDT

|  | S. | Black <br> WACHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BxP | R×R |
|  | R×R | B-Kt5 |
|  | P-B3 | B-K3 |
|  | BxKt | P×8 |
|  | R-Q6 | B-Q4 |
|  | P-B4 | B-B3 |
|  | Ktx ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | PxKt |
|  | BxPch | K-Kı2 |
|  | t-K6ch | K-R1 |
| 26. | xR | xB |
|  | Q7 | Q-K1 |
|  | -P | B-K2 |
|  | Q3 | Q-B2 |
|  | Q5 | P-R3 |
| 31. | R8ch | K-R2 |
|  | Q8 | Resigns |

.9800

## The Reader's Road Jo Chess

## By Kester Svendsen

TOURNAMENT BOOK OF THE MASSACHUSETTS STATE CHESS CHAMPIONSHIP 1953. Produced by E. E. Underwood for the Massachusetts State Chess Association. Cambridge 39, Mass.: 214 Westgate Street. 19 pp .50 c .

HERE is a neat little collection of games multigraphed by MSCA Secretary Underwood in the paragraph style of continental journals. Except for one forfeit, all 59 games of the championship flight are here, without notes but with round-by-round pairings and tables. The games show the usual variety and irregularity of state chess meets, though several are of first-class interest, particularly those by winner Keilson and S-B runner-up Rubinow. Neilson's win from Curdo (White) in the first round contains some very pretty pins.

French Defense. 1. P-K4, P-K3; 2. P-Q4, P-Q4; 3. KT-QB3, B-Ki5; 4. P-K5, P-QB4; 5. P-QR3, B-R4; 6. P-QKt4, PxKtP; 7. Kt-Ki5, Kt-QB3; 8. P×P, BxP ch; 9. P-QB3, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 10$ Q-Kt4, K-B1; 11. P-R4, B-Q2; 12, B-R3, BXB; 13. R×B, Q-Kł3; 14. B-Q3, Kt-K2; 15. P-R5, P-KR3; 16. Kt-K2, KłxKP; 17. PxKt, BxKt; 18. Q-Kt4, B-R3; 19, QXQ, PXQ; 20. Kt-Q4, R-QBI; 21. BXB, R-R1; 22. K-Q2, PXB; 23. R-QKt1, Kt-B1;
 $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q3}$; 29. R(3)-R2, Kt-K5 ch; 30. K-Q3, KR-R1; 31. Kt-Kt4, R×R ; 32. RXR, RxR; 33 . $\mathrm{K} \ddagger \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{K} \pm \mathrm{xP} \mathrm{ch}$; 34. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5 \mathrm{ch}$; 35. Resigns.

## WASHINGTON OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP

## Seattle, 1953

1. Jim Schmitt (Portland) 2. Don Turner (Portland) $\qquad$ W6 W22 W12 W8 W3 W7 W2 W6 L3 W10 W12 W11 W9 L1 3. O. W. Manney (Seattle) $\qquad$ W18 W2 W7 W15 L1 L4 W8 4. Duane Meador (Portland) 5. Dan Wade (Seattle) W L7 W18 W5 D8 W3 D11 6. V. W. Bever (Seattle) W20 L12 W13 L4 W16 W15 W7 7. Russell Vellias (Seattle) $\qquad$ L2 W21 W9 L7 8. Mack Patterson (Portland) W10 W4 L3 W6 W15 L1 L5 8. Mack Patterson (Portland) W14 W23 W22 L1 D4 W13 L3 10. Dick Greenwood (Seattle) -W17 L11 L6 W22 W10 L2 W15 11. John DeWitt (Seattle) $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { L. L7 } & \text { W17 } & \text { L2 } & \text { W18 } & \text { L9 } & \text { W20 } & \text { W16 } \\ \text { W15 } & \text { W19 } & \text { L2 } & \text { D12 } & \text { D4 }\end{array}$ 11. John DeWitt (Seattle) 12. Max Bader (Seattle) ..... I....W21 W5 L1 W19 D11 L6 14. Ted Davidsen (Seattle) L22 W20 L5 D17 W14 L8 W21
 3-4 (25.50); 16. Jack Nourse (Clinton) 3-4 (23.50); 17. Roberts (San (Alaska) $3-4$ (22.50); 18. F. H. Weaver (Seattle) 2 2d-4 (23 ( 23.00 ); 19. H. H. (Forest Grove) 11 2-5 (23.50); 20 . H. E. Yoco- (21.00); 23. O. J. Traynor (Tacoma) 0.7 (14.00); 24. Lester Fenton (Des Moines) 0-7 (12.00).

Yocom forfeited to Greenwood; Boll forfeited to Patterson, Joachim, Weaver; Traynor forfeited to Patterson, Nourse, Yocom; Fenton forfeited to Yocom, Davidsen.

Mate The Subtle Way!
by Vincent L. Eaton

Address all communications to this column to Vincent L. Eaton, 612 McNelll Address all communications
Road, Silver Spring, Maryland,


## Solutions: Mate the Subtle Way!

No. 451 (Keeney): 1. Q-Kt1. The try 1. KxP is defeated by 1. ........, PxP.
No. 452 (Beale): 1. Q-Kt3, with self-blocks and line-opening play.
No. 453 (Anderson): 1. K-K6, a very puzzling key.
No. 454 (Shinkman): 1. B-K2, K-Kt3; 2. Q-R5 ch. If 1. ........, K-Kt2; 2. Q-B8 ch.
A classic miniature.
No. 455 (Buchwald): 1. Q-R8. All other attempts at a key by the King are defeated by Black's pinning defenses,

No. 457 (Buchwald): 1. Q-Q7, a massive study in differentiated mates.
No. 458 (Buchwald): 1. Q-Kt7, threat; 2. Either Kt-Kt7 ch. If $1 . \ldots . . . .$. Q-B8; 2. P-Q4. If 1. ........ Q-B7; 2. Q-Kt3 ch. If 1. ......., Q-B5; 2. Q-K4 ch. If $1 . \ldots . . . ., Q-B 4 ;$ P-Q4. If 1. If..., Q-B7; 2. Q-Kt3 ch. If 1.
2. Q.Q5 ch. If 1. ........, R-B4, R-B5; 2. KtxP ch.

## SOLVERS' LADDER

(Two points for two-movers; four points for three-movers extra credit for correct claims of "cooks," i.e., solutions not intended by the composers. The following tally covers solutions received up to November 3, when we went to press. Solutions received after that date will be credited on the next Ladder.)
Steve Myzel G. Murtaugh Rev. Chidley Kenneth Lay Ronald O'Neil J. H. France J. B. Mulligan E. J. Korpanty W. J. Couture Heino Kurruk W. I. Lourie C. J. Koch Dr. I. Schwartz 234 E. T. Dana 58 K . A. Foressmark 20 R . O. Mauldin Dr. I. Schwartz 234
Y. Tom Heermann
V. Oganesov
174
J. Haliburton, Jr. 50 R R. We. Wittemann 20 R . Bonwell Nicholas Yoe 166 Ben Shaeffer, Jr. 38 Dr. J. W. Britain 18 A. G. Lubowe

Mrs. F. D. Rogers 2
A hearty welcome to the following new solvers: Mrs. F. D. Rogers, of Fairhope, Alamaba; Henry G. Abbott, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; J. M. Boge, New York, N. Y.; Henry H. Gutman, New York, N. Y.; Herman Schramm, Sheboygan, Wisconsin; and Paul H. Smith, Charlestown, Massachusetts.

Hakoah (San Francisco) Chess Club: H. Rosenbaum won the club title 10-2, H. Rosenbaum wolf and drawing with H . losing to V. Wolf and drawing with $H$. Loewy and A. Horne in a 13 -player
round robin. H. Loewy and V. Wolf round robin. H. Loewy and V. Wolf
tied for second with $9-3$ each, and D. tied for second with $9-3$ each
Peizer placed fourth with 8-4.

BOOST AMERICAN CHESS! By Joining the U.S.C.F.



Send solutions to Position No. 129 to the Editor, CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, III., by December 20, 1953.

Solution to Position No. 126 This interesting position occurred in the 1953 Midwest Open Championship in the game Spence-Sheffer. White was unable to find the winning line and after a determined and dogged 101 moves the game was declared a draw. The winning line (discovered in post-mortem) was direct and rather simple (once you saw it!). 1. R-QR8!, B-Kt3 (Best, since on other B moves, 2. P-R7, K-Kt2; 3. R-Q8 other B moves,
wins the Kt$)$; 2 . P-R7, K-Kt2; 3. R-Kt8 whis KxP (if 3. 2. P-R7, KtxR; 4. PxKt(Q) ch; KxQ ; 5. KxB and wins since the White K is two squares in front of the $B P$ ); 4. R-K8 and wins, for Black is in zugzwang.

Correct solutions are acknowledged received from: K. Blumberg (Chicago), received from: K. Blumberg (Chicago), R. E. Burry (Ft. Lauderdale), R. Chau-
venet (Silver Spring), J. D. Define venet (Silver Spring), J. D. Derine
(Florissant), E. Gault (New Brighton), (Florissant), E. Gault
R . Grande
(Denver),
D. Hamburger R. Grande (Denver), D. Hamburger
(Pittsburgh), J. Haliburton, Jr. (Allen), (Pittsburgh), J. Haliburton, Jr. (Allen),
C. Joachim
(Seattle), J. Kaufman (Los Angeles), H. Kurruk (San Fernando), J. Melnick (Portland), E. Nash (Washington), I. Schwartz (Durand), I. Sigmond (Colwick), D. Silyer (New York), W. E. Stevens (Laramie), F. Trask (Plymouth), H. C. Underwood (Washington).

Correct solutions received at press time are acknowledged from: G. F. Chase (Buffalo), J. E. Comstock (Duluth), E. Roman (New Britain), J. L. Weininger (Schenectady), W. B. Wilson (Amherstburg). One unfortunate solver found 1. R-QR8 but decided it was a draw after 1. ........, B-Kt3, not looking far enough.
We also accept solution to No. 125 from J. G. Moore (Wake Island), recognizing the slowness of second class mail to such distant shores.

## Subscriptions Accepted for

THE BRITISH CHESS MAGAZINE Founded in 1881 and now the oldest ches perioaical extant. Games Editor: H. Golombeik-Mroblem World: S. Sedgwick

- $\$ 3.00$ per year ( 12 lssues)Specimen copv 25 c,
Special thin-paper edition, sent by Airmail $\$ 4.70$ per year.
CANADIAN CHESS CHAT Official Organ of the Only publlcation with national ooverage: Events, Games, Articles and personalltios-Canadian Chess News!
nnual Subscription: $\$ 2.75$


## CHESS WORLD

Comprehensive Australian chess magazine edited by C. J. S. Purdy. Articles, annotated games, problems. news. $\$ 2.40$ per year- 12 issues Sample copy 20 C Order From
CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave. Oak Park. III.

## Journament Life

## November 27-29 <br> East Tennessee Open Championship Bristol, Tenn.

Open; at YMCA in Bristol; entry fee $\$ 2.00$ (non-USCF members pay $\$ 1.00$ rating fee in addition); 5 rd Swiss; trophy and cash prizes; registration at 7:00 p.m. at YMCA, 1st rd at 7:30 p.m.; for details and hotel reservations write: Bill Rucker, Bristol Chess Club, 128 Holborn St., Bristol, Tenn.
100\% USCF rated event.
November $27-29$

## Wichita Open Tournament

 Wichita, KansasOpen, at YMCA; 6 round Swiss; $\$ 2.00$ entry fee; trophies; for details write: K. R. MacDonald, YMCA, Wichita, Kans.

## November 28

## Ashville 30-30 Tournament

 Asheville, N. C.Open to all; at Langren Hotel starting 1:30 p.m. Saturday; 6 or 7 rd Swiss, entry fee $\$ 2.00$; cash prizes; played at $30-30$ rate; please bring clocks; write: Bill Adickes, 66 Linden Ave., Asheville, N. C.

## PITTSBURGH METROPOLITAN CHAMPIONSHIP <br> 1. Paul Roth <br> 2. Don McClellan <br> 3. F. C. McKnight 4. Werner Buhar <br> 5. Alex Spitzer <br> 6. J. P. White <br> 8. W. I. Granville <br> $\qquad$ $.41 / 2-1 / 2$ <br> $\qquad$ $\begin{array}{ll}\ldots . . & -4 \\ -. .5 & -5\end{array}$

Wilmington (Del.) Chess Club is conducting a six weeks' course on chess for all ages at the Wilmington YMCA. Also classes are being held at the local Boys' Club and bi-weekly classes at the New castle County Workhouse. Individual members of the Wilmington club have been active in chess instruction and playing at the local Veterans Adminis tration Hospital.

HURRY-TIME IS FLYING! ILLINOIS CORRESPONDENCE CHESS ASSOCIATION TOURNEYS START JANUARY 1 Two classes: Regular (for average players) $\$ 2.00$; Special (for strong players) $\$ 5.00$. No points, certificates or mer-chandise-cash prizes only. The ICCA is a non-profit organization. Founded 1910. Send post card for details.

LeRoy Thompson
Box 676 Beni. Franklin Station, Washington 4, D. C.


## Discounts to USCF members only. Mail your order to

UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION
93 barrow street

PENNSYLVANIA STATE CHESS FED. ERATION: At the annual meeting at York preceeding the State Championship Tournament, Thomas C. Gutekunst (Allentown) was elected president, D. A. Giangiulio (Lansdowne) executive vicepresident, Everett A. Coons (Sewickley) secretary-treasurer, William A. Ruth (Philadelphia) vice-president for publicity, Jeffrey C. Bortner (York) vicepresident for publications, and William M. Byland (Pittsburgh) vice-president for youth.

Rogers Park (Chicago) Chess Club meets at Loyola Fieldhouse, Greenleaf meets at Loyola Fieldhouse, Greenleaf
and Sheridan, every Saturday from 1 to 5 p.m. Among its most promising young members is 13 -year old Mitchell Sweig who almost drew Reshevsky in a hard fought simultaneous battle when he had only been playing chess for six months, and who finished 30 th in the Illinois State Championship in a field of 60 players while still having less than a year of chess under his belt. Sweig learned chess while convalescing from rheumatic heart condition.

Germantown (Philadelphia) $\mathbf{Y}$ Chess Club: On weighted scores, Dale C. Schrader won the club title 11-2, losing one game to Thomas Tait and drawing with Bert H. Lubar and W. Leon Arkless. Lubar was second, also with 11-2, losing to Alvin V. Saxer and drawing with Schrader and Don A. Gianglulio. Arkless placed third with $102-2 \frac{1}{2}$, losing to Lubar and drawing with Schrader, Giangiulio and Gilbert Raich in the 14 player round robin event.


New York 1
N. Y.


[^0]:    Wilmington (Del.) Chess Club: Recovcring from a $71 / 2-31 / 2$ defeat by the invading Jamaica (N. Y.) Chess Club, the Wilmington team scored a $6-4$ victory

[^1]:    International Master Herman Steiner continues in this installment with an exposition of the hypothetical game which illustrates the basic principles of his teaching theory-The Editor.

