


By<br>International Master LARRY EVANS U. S. Chess Champion

U. S. Open Champion, 1951.52 U. S. Team Member, 1950, 1952 1. .-...... R-KKi8 The losing move. The drawing move, so simple that it is problematic, is $1 . \ldots .$. R-Q8 ch! Now White has two main continuations: I) 2. K-K3, RxP; 3. R-R6, R-K4 ch; 4. K-B3, R-K5; 5. R-KB6, R-QB5 and draws. II) 2. K-B4, R-Q7; 3. K-B5, RxP; 4. R-R7 ch, K-K1; 5. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$ (or P-B5), and it is White who is hard-pressed to find the draw.

$$
\text { 2. } \mathbf{R - R 2}
$$

Now White connects his pawns and wins without difficulty

| 2. |  | K-Q3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 3. |  |  |
| 4. | R-K2 4 | K-K4 |
| 5. | K-QB2 | K-Q3 |
| 6. | $R-Q 2!$ | R-KB8 |

## UTAHNS SCORE AGAINST SAMMY

To prove that chess talent is not confined to the Eastern and Western Seaboards, two Salt Lake players scored victories against Grandmaster Samuel Reshevsky at his 44 board simultaneous exhibition at the Salt Lake Stock Exchange. These were the first two games lost by the master in his current tour, who has been very chary even of conceding draws.
Victors were Alex Rizos and Martin Capell, who were actually not expected to give the visiting master as much trouble as several other better known Utah players.

## U.S. OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP' August 2-14, 1954 New Orleans, La.

Place: Roosevelt Hotel, New Orleans, La.

Dates: Monday, August 2 through Saturday, August 14.

Direction: Under the auspices of the Louisian Chess Association with the New Orleans Chapter as hosts; tournament director Newton Grant;

Tournament: Swiss system, according to regulations established by USCF Tournament Plans Committee.

For Details, write: A. L. McAuley, 4225 So. Liberty St., New Orleans, La.


If $6 \ldots .$. , R-QB8; 7. K-K3, K-Q3; 8. R-Q4, R-B7; 9. RxP, RxP; 10. K-B3, R-Kt8; 11. R-Q4 and wins (Alekhine).

| 7. | K-K2 | R-KK+8 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 8. | K-K3 | K-Q3 |
| 9. | P-B5 ch | KxP |
| 10. | P-Q6 | R-K8 ch |
| 11. | K-B4 | R-K1 |
| 12. | P-Q7 | R-Q1 |
| 13. | KxP | Black |
| Resigns |  |  |

For if $13 . \quad, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3$; 14. K-Kt4 picks up the King-side pawns.

## FORM COMMITTEE FOR WOMEN

Mrs. Willa White Owens, chairman of the recently appointed committee of the Women's Division, has announced the membership of her committee consisting of U.S. Women's Open Champion Mrs. Ninus Aronson, Central Representative; Mrs. C. L. Daniel, Southern Representative; Mrs. Caroline Marshall, Eastern Representative; Mrs. Gregor Piatigorsky, Western Representative; and Miss Edith L. Weart, Publicity.
The Women's Division will serve in the dual capacity of promoting greater interest in chess among women and of planning specifically for future women's chess events, including the U.S. Women's Open and U.S. Women's'Championship Tournaments. In the latter role, the Women's Division will work in close cooperation with the Tournament Plans Committee.

## BRASK VICTOR AT ATTLEBORO

Sven Brask won the Attleboro City Championship 61/2-1/2, drawing with D. Hudnut. A. C. Martin and D. Hudnut tied for second in the 10 player Swiss with $51 / 2-11 / 2$ each, while K. E. Gustafson was fourth with $41 / 2-21 / 2$. Martin lost to Brask and drew with Gustafson, while Hudnut lost to Martin and drew with Brask.

## No Kidding--The Russians May Come

## But Don't Hold Your Breath Waiting

By Staff Writer WILLIAM ROJAM

Dmitry Posnikov, Deputy Minister of Health and also Chief of the Central Board of Physical Culture, has announced in Moscow that the Soviet Union is interested in sending a strong track team to the USA this spring and also is planning to send a crack chess team for a match in New York in June. It is reported that this time the U. S. State Department has okeyed the plans for the Soviet team to live at Glen Cove, L. I. and commute to New York City for the match, removing the basis for previous Russian propoganda refusals.

In New York USCF President Harold M. Phillips, who is personally handling the negotiations for the Federation, has not yet issued any statement beyond confirming the fact that the Soviet team is considering a return engagement in the USA and that the State Department has relented on its previous strict regulations.

But as every Russian has at least one "nyet" up his sleeve, chess players are advised to remain sceptical until the Soviet team actually lands in New York. For there is many a slip between a Soviet team and the chessboard when the latter is set up in the USA.

Rumors aver that Botvinnik and Smyslov will head the Soviet delegation; aside from Reshevsky the composition of the U. S. team has not yet been suggested.

## CLEAVER SCORES AT ALLENTOWN

The Allentown (Pa.) City Championship went to Mahlon Cleaver, Tri-State and Pennsylvania Junior Champion for 1953, with 10-0 score in the 11 player round robin event. Tied for second with 7-3 each were Jack Mack, Paul Sherr and R a y Rockel. Mack lost games to Cleaver, Sherr, and Joseph Krefnus; Sherr lost to Cleaver, Rockel, and drew with William Schuler and Lemnard Losito; while Rockel lost to Mack and Cleaver and Krefnus.

## MARSHALL CHESS PLANS NEW EVENT

To celebrate the fiftieth anniversary of Frank Marshall's famous triumph at Cambridge Springs, 1904, the Marshall Chess Club is holding an Amateur Championship event for its Class A, B and C players, beginning February 28th.

The tournament will be a Swiss, held on successive Sunday afternoons and will be supervised by a tournament committee of the ranking Marshall players.
Member Jose M. Calderon has donated the Mauricio Jose Calderon Trophy in memory of his father.

## U. S. OPEN GOES TO NEW ORLEANS

Chairman A. Wyatt Jones of the USCF Ways and Means Committee has announced the acceptance of the bid of the Louisiana State Chess Ass'n and its New Orleans Chapter for the 1954 U.S. Open Championship Tournament. The event, therefore, will be held in New Orleans at the Roosevelt Hotel from August 2 to August 14 inclusive and will conform with the basic principles of an "Open" tournament as established by American chess tradition. The exact form of Open will be determined later, based on the general preferences espressed by U.S. chess players in answer to the three alternative plans offered by the USCF Tournament, Plans Committee as published in the January 20 issue of CHESS LIFE.

At the same time, the USCF Tournament Plans Committee has announced that the dates for the U.S. Junior Championship Tournament at Long Beach, California have been definitely set as June 29th, through July 9 th, as previously announced.
Details on both events will be published as soon as the respective committees can prepare plans in sufficient detail for announcement, but the Tournament Plans Committee has already been assured by Mr. John Looney of the Lincoln Park Chess Club of Long Beach, hosts to the Junior event, that housing costs will be held to a minimum and meals at inexpensive cost will be provided the Juniors, for whom the club has already made a number of plans for off-day entertainment, including probably a picnic, boat-ride and other moments of relaxation.

## U. S. JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP

June 29-July 9, 1954
Long Beach, California

## ALL'S WELL THAT ENDS WELL

Mastering the End Game By W ALTER KORN, Editor of MCO

## Singly, eVEn russians are vincible

ITT is easy to guess that the event behind our headline is the two consecutive victories of Chess Amateur C. H. O'D. Alexander at Hastings 1954 over Bronstein and Tolush.

We have no room today for a diagram as we bring the whole game and even have to omit notes, but first your columnist takes time out for some timely comments concerning the participation, so far lacking, of British players in American tournaments. It is no longer valid to argue that they are not good enough, nor have they been ostracizing American players. Denker and Steiner took part in Hastings 1946, in the same year when Alexander won his radio match game against

Botvinnik.
Prize money in Europe, coupled with travel expenses, are not tempting for Americans; but we have been supporting a $\$$-short world in every other respect, and befriended Belgian, Dutch, French, Spanish and what not players without responding to Hastings 1949-50 where Larry Evans took part, to Southsea 1950 (Bisguier), Hastings 1950-51 (W. W. Adams, with R. Byrne invited though absent), and to Hastings 1952-53 (covered by Ed Lasker).
There are few tournaments in the USA and the Ocean is wide. But I believe that Marshall's Morphy's and Pillsbury's memories might well be honored by creating some chance here for foreign players including British (and that is English, Irish, Scottish and Welsh ones) by allowing them to participate ex officio in our National Championships. Thus we reserve the selection of our National Champions yet combine it at little added cost, on a Federation basis, with an International event, giving additional practice to our own players.
Getting back to our game, from the 24th move onwards it virtually is an endgame, from the 36th move on one of opposing Queens and Pawnsa It is more difficult than most of the positions in the applicable chapter of BCE mainly because Black's King lacks adequate shelter of pawns protecting him from a perpetual check. Nevertheless, the winning procedure becomes almost self-evident as it unfolds itself to the reader playing (We advise the use of two boards, one to follow the game proper and another for analysis).

## HAVE YOUR TOURNAMENTS OFFICIALLY RATED!

Ask your Club Secretary or tournament director to write for official rating forms to report the results of your next tournament or match, specifying the type of contest and the approximate number of players.
Official rating forms should
be secured in advance from:-
Montgomery Major
123 No. Humphrey Avenue
Oak Park, Illinois
Do not write to other USCF officials for these rating forms.

February 20, 1954

Alexander first maneuvers his King to the $Q$-side in order to force a pawn exchange ( $60 . \mathrm{PxP}$ ). This exchange bares the White King and thus facilitates threats of exchanging Queens. Then Alexander maneuvers the King back to the Kwing in order to help establishing a single passed pawn there. This process is done by constantly threatening the exchange of Queens although simultaneously Black has to ward off any possible perpetual check. Finally the Black King moves, back to the Q-wing for more shelter so that the passed pawn may advance and the converging $K, Q$, and Ps end White's struggle.

## DUTCH DEFENSE

Hastings Christmas Tournament
Hastings, 1953-54 Black

White
D. BRONSTEIN
C.H. O'D ALEXANDER 1.

| 1. | P-Q4 | P-KB4 | 61. Q-K6 | Q-B2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | P-K4 | PxP | 62. K-K+3 | Q-B5 |
| 3. | Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 | 63. Q-Q7ch | K-Kı3 |
| 4. | P-B3 | Pxp | 64. Q-Q8ch | K-B4 |
| 5. | KtxP | P-KK+3 | 65. Q-K7ch | K-K+3 |
| 6. | B-KB4 | B-K+2 | 66. Q-Q8ch | K-34 |
| 7. | Q-Q2 | Castles | 67. Q-K7ch | K. Q4 |
| 8. | B-R6 | P-Q4 | 68. Q-Q7ch | Q-Q3 |
| 9. | BxB | KxB | 69. Q-Kt4 | Q-B4 |
| 10. | Castles | B-B4 | 70. Q-Q7ch | K-K4 |
| 11. | B-Q3 | BxB | 71. QxRP | K-B4 |
| 12. | QxB | Kt -83 | 72. Q-Q7ch | K×P |
| 13. | QR-K1 | Q-Q3 | 73. Q-Q2ch | K-B3 |
| 14. | K-Kı1 | P.QR3 | 74. Q-Q8ch | K-B2 |
| 15. | R-K2 | QR-K1 | 75. Q-B7ch | Q-K2 |
| 16. | KR-K1 | P-K3 | 76. Q-B4ch | K-Kr2 |
| 17. | Kt-K5 | Kt-Q2 | 77. Q-Q4ch | Q-83 |
| 18. | Kt-83 | R-B4 | 78. Q-K4 | K-32 |
| 19. | R-K3 | P.K4 | 79. K-K+2 | Q.Q3 |
| 20. | PxP K | K+(Q2) P P | 80. Q-B3ch | Q-83 |
| 21. | KtxKt |  | 81. Q-K4 | P-K+4 |
|  |  | R (B4) RKt | 82. Q-R7ch | K-K3 |
| 22. | R×R | RxR | 83. Q-K4ch | K-Q3 |
| 23. | RxR | QxR | 84. Q-Q3ch | K-B2 |
| 24. | Qxap | QxP | 85. Q-R7ch | K-Kı3 |
| 25. | Q-Q7ch. | . K-R3 | 86. K-B2 | Q-BS |
| 26. | P-R3 | Q-Q3 | 87. Q-K7 | Q-B7ch |
| 27. | Q-B8 | Kt -Q1 | 88. K-K+3 | Q-Q7 |
| 28. | P-KKt4 | K-K12 | 89. Q-K8 | Q-Q4ch |
| 29. | P-Kı3 | P-B3 | 90. K-K+2 | Q-Q3 |
| 30. | P-K+5 | Q-K2 | 91. Q-K3ch | Q-B4 |
| 31. | Q-K+4 | Kt-B2 | 92. Q-K8 | Q-B7ch |
| 32. | Kt-K4 | QxRP | 93. K-K+3 | Q-B3 | Rizos will score one of two victories against him.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 . \\
& 2 . \\
& 3 . \\
& 4 . \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$



WARE SHOALS! ROCKS AHEAD!
Grandmaster Samuel Reshevsky, pondering a move against Alex Rizos, does not know (any more than staff photographer Frank Davis) that this same

Photo: Courtesy Deseret News

With the imposing, title of Second Pan-American Chess Congress International Open Tournament, the Hollywood Chess Group is sponsoring a group of graded tournaments, to be held at the Hollywood Athletic Club, 6525 Sunset Blvd., Hollywood 28, Calif. There will be six separate events from Master to Class D, with players placed in the various groupings according to their USCF ratings. Entry fee will be $\$ 15.00$ and will include admission to other special events such as rapid transit, living chess game, students' tournament and ladies tournament. Prizes are announced totaling $\$ 3,700.00$ for Master event with trophies for other groups. Closing date on entry July 9th, 1954; date of tournament July 10 through July 24. For details, players may write Hollywood Chess Group, 108 No. Formosa Ave., Los Angeles 36, Calif. This is not a rated event and contrary to earlier announcements is not in any way sponsored by the USCF.

University of North Dakota Chess Club, according to faculty advisor Prof. S. S. Johnson, is anxious to procure matches with other University teams, either by mail, over-the-board, or via short-wave radio. The first University Open Tournament at the school had 16 entrants. John Gordon of Grand Forks won the student title and the Open title went to C. L. Crum of the Law Faculty, The club faculty advisor, Prof. Johnson, is North Dakota State Champion.

Tri-City Chess and Checker Club (Davenport, la.) defeated the Peoria (III.) Chess Club in a double round match $101 / 2-31 / 2$ at Galesburg, Ill. K. match $101 / 2-31 / 2$ at Galesburg, III. K.
Wiegmann scored two victories, A. Wiegmann scored two victories, A.
Rochow and J. Smith a win and draw Rochow and J. Smith a win and draw aplece, L. Maher, J. Freund and L. Lindbade a win and $H$, Jeffrey draw for Tri-City, while C. Lyon had a win and a draw, D. Lybarger a win, and H. Cramer and J. Roceker a draw apiece for Peoria.

## GLADNEY TOPS AT BATON ROUGE

W. Frank Gladney scored a $6^{1 / 2}$ $1 / 2$ victory in the Baton Rouge (La.) City Championship. Second and third on Solkoff were George Patrick and Otto Claitor with $41 / 2-21 / 2$ each, while Francis Lee scored $4-3$ for fourth in the eight player final event. The Consolation Tournament was won by W. D. Hudgens with 4-1, while B. E. Chaney was second with $4-2$ and Fred Steffgen third with 3-1.

## CURT BRASKET MIDWEST MENACE <br> By WILLIAM ROJAM

Because of the uneven quality of midwest tournaments, which may be much stronger than casually assumed to be or on the other hand much weaker than one might suppose, victories in midwestern events frequently are not given full credit in the eyes of the chess world. Thus, although a young man named Curt Brasket had won a large Trans-Mississippi Championship and also gained the U.S. Junior title, he remained an unknown quantity to those who gathered at Milwaukee in 1953. He did not, however, remain unknown for long.
Beginning with a victory over Arthur Dake, Brasket proceeded to bowl over the opposition, besting Shainswit, Evans, Berliner, Sherwin, Shaffer, Zemgalis and Sharp while drawing with Horowitz and O'Keefe. His' losses were to the veteran Kevitz, and in the last two rounds to Max Pavey and Nicholas Rossolimo, who placed second and third in the tournament. Until the semi-final round, he was among the leaders and even the collapse of his ambitions in the last two rounds from what amounted to nervous exhaustion at the strain of his second really major tournament does not dim the record he compiled nor the respect that he earned from master players in the event who unanimously consider him the brightest star to rise in midwest chess circles in a long time.
Most remarkable in this achievement was the fact that Brasket learned his chess the hardest way -by study of books and correspondence play. Isolated in an area where there were few opportunities to improve by over - board play with better players, he yet managed to improve by study without practice, which is an exploit of great rarety in the annals of chess.
Those who watched his performance at Milwaukee are convinced that much may be expected of Curt Brasket in the future.

There was a Scotchman once who
refused to give a check in a chess refused to give a check in a chess
game. But he gave a check for CHESS game. But he gave a check for CHES
LIFE, because that was a bargain.

# YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM 

(1)
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America's Leading Young Masters Annotate

Outstanding Games from Recent Chess Events

## An Undercurrent of Drama

By U.S. Master CURT BRASKET



COMMENTING on the following game, the Mil-
watkee Journal had this to say: "There was a deep undercurrent of drama Wednesday when Brasket and Sherwin sat down at their board. Brasket was the 1952 junior champion last spring when a committee of the United States Chess Federation passed him over to pick Sherwin to represent American juniors in the world junior tournament at Copenhagen, Denmark. Although the situation was unspoken, the eyes of this tournament were on the Brasket-Sherwin board Thursday night to see how Brasket . . . would react to his first encounter with the young New Yorker whom Brasket might underderstandably feel had usurped his place at Copenhagen.

While I carry no grievance against the USCF for their decision, mainly because I was already over-age for the world junior event, I feel that it is wrong to select representatives for any tournament solely on the basis of the rating list.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

U. S. Open Championship Milwaukee, 1953

## Whte

J. Wh. sherwin

1. P-K4
c. BRASKET

1 had rather expected 1. P.Q4, but Sherwin has done all right with the text, also, for example, his games with Evans and Byrne, Tampa, 1952, in both of which Jimmy obtained a win. ning position against the Sicilian. $\frac{1 .}{1 .}$ P. variation the challenge, with a favorite

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Fine's PCO recommendation of 6. P-B4 is met satisfactorily by either........, Kt-B3 or ,.., P-QR3. White's most enterprising line", in my oplinion, is the one with B.K3, (or KKt5) in conjunction with $P \cdot B 3, Q . \cdot Q, 0.0 .0, P-K K t 4$, and $P \cdot K R 4$.


An alternative is ......., P-QR4, e.g. 10. P-QR4, Kt-QKt5; 11. B-B3, B-K3; 12. Kt-Q4?, B-Kt5: with an excellent game for Black (Brasket-Donovan, Tampa, 1952). The text move, along with the $\mathbf{Q}$ side follow-up ........, P-QKt4 and B-QKt, initiates a rather unanalyzed Dragon system which, however, has yielded good results for me in several tournament games. The closest thing to it which I can find in the "books" is column 15, page 44, PCO-an English Opening where simllar positions arise Opening where similar positions arise
with colors reversed. The conclusion (I) would indicate advantage to white (I) would indicate advantage to white
in the present game, but this is debatein the present game, but this is debate-
able since the move 13. P-QKt5 in the able since the move 13. P-QKti
quoted game seems premature.
10. $0-0$

Hastily played. With 10. B-B3 he could have prevented Black's next move (because of 11. P-K5 winning a piece) and thus forced the game into more regular channels after $\ldots \ldots$. , B-K3 or

## 10. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B3}$

White is playing a dangerously passive game. Fither here or on the next move Kt-Q5 (perhaps preceded by PQR4) could have been played- e.g., 11. P-QR4, P-Kt5; 12. Kt-Q2 (......, KtxP?; 13. Kt-K6, R-Kt1; 14. Q-Q5); 13. P-B313. Kt-K6, R-Kt1; 14. Q-QJ); 13. P-B3-
but even this would not be without danger for him.
11. P-QR3

B-K+2
Not only a weaking move, but a waste of time since P-Kt5 was not yet a serious threat.


Now Black threatens not only ........, Now Black threatens not only .......,
BxKt disrupting White's pawns, but an invasion of QB5 (via QKt3) as well. Invasion of QB5 (via QKt3) as well.
Jimmy spent about 45 minutes on his Jimmy spent about 45 minutes on his
reply, which is as good as any at reply, which is
White's disposal.
$13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}$
14. P-QK+3

A horrible weakening of the black squares on the long diagonal, but at least it shuts Black's QKt temporarily out of play.

$$
\text { 14. } \text { 15.K1 }
$$

Slightly preferable was Q-Q2 (later suggested by Sherwin) in order to overprotect Q4.
protect
P-K4!
Sccuring a central square for the KKt, The next few moves play themselves,

| 16. PxP | $\mathrm{KH} \times \mathrm{P}(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 17. R-Q1 | $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{R})-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 18. KłxKt | $\mathrm{RxK} \dagger$ |

Threatening
RxKt.
19. B-Q4

Q-R4!

## LARRY EVANS ON OPENINGS

A New Feature Covering Opening Strategy, Novel-
ties, and Ideas Beginning in March 5, 1954 Issue

20. P-QR4

The only way to avoid immediate loss of a pawn. Black does not yet threaten to double rooks on the QB file of KtQ5 in reply, threatening a 3-way fork at K 7 .

> 20. 21. PxP 22. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$

PxP
$\mathbf{Q - K} \ddagger 5$
Meeting the threat to win a piece, and at the same time playing for ........ Kt B5?; 23: Kt-Q5! with complications.
Now, at last Black can play ........, KR-B1 since Kt-Qs can be met with ........, BxKt followed by ....., RxP or $\ldots$...., QxP. 23. B-K2
24. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 11$

KR-B1 24. R-K+1


A time-pressure oversight (Jimmy had just a few minutes in which to complete his fifty moves) which eventually loses the exchange and a couple of pawnsthe exchange and a couple of pawns-
Relatively best was 24 . Kt-Q5, BxKt; Relatively best was 24. Kt-Q5, BxKt;
25. PxB, QxRP (not ........ RxP; 26. BxP 25. PxB, QxRP (not......,$~ R x P ; ~ 26 . ~ B x P ~$
attacking the rook); 26. P-B3 and the attacking the rook); 26. P-B3 and the
win still presents difficulties. Now it is win still pre
child's play.

| 24. $\qquad$ <br> 25. $Q \times Q$ <br> 26. R×K + <br> 27. K-B1 <br> 28. KRXB <br> 29. $R \times B$ <br> 30. BxP | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Q×B! } \\ \text { K-B6 ch } \\ B \times Q \quad c h \\ B \times K t \\ R \times R \\ R \times P \\ R-R 7 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Threatening mate. <br> 31. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{T}$ <br> 32. B-K +5 <br> 33. K-K\$1 <br> 34. K-81 <br> 35. $K-K+1$ <br> 36. K-B1 | R(1)-B7 $R-B 7 \mathrm{ch}$ $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch}$ $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KRP}$ QR-K+7 ch $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}+5$ |
| Or simply ........, R-R8 <br> 37. R-K+4 <br> 38. R-B4 <br> 39. R-B7 <br> 40. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K}+1$ <br> 41. R-Q7 <br> 42. B-B1 <br> 43. K-K+2 <br> Resigns | $\begin{gathered} \text { ch; 37. } \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{RxR} \\ \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \\ \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 12 \\ \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch} \\ \mathrm{R} \times K \mathrm{KP} \\ \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} \mathrm{ch} \\ \mathrm{R}(7)-\mathrm{RB} \\ \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} \end{gathered}$ |

Actually White overstepped the time limit before resigning. Sherwin's only loss in the 13 rounds of this grueling event.

St. Paul (Minn.) Chess Club: Robert C. Gove won the club championship and received a special trophy donated by the St. Paul Pioneer Press and Dispatch, which gave press publicity to the evient.

Contributors to the YOUNG MASTERS' FORUM

## Hans Berliner

Arthur Bisguier
Curt Brasket
Eliot Hearst
George Kramer
Carl Pilnick
James Sherwin
Walter Shipman

# Chess Life On $\eta_{\text {ew }}$ York <br> By Eliot Hearst 

AS compared to last year's Marshall Championship where the contest for the title was not decided until the final hour of the final game, this year's tourney was rather a quiet one! Jack Collins took the lead early in the tournament, lost only to runner - up Tony Santasiere, and had the title practically elinched four rounds before the end - a rare occurrence in these hard-fought metropolitan club tournaments.
The winner needs little introduction to CHESS LIFE readers, for his "Games Department" is one of this newspaper's most distinguished features; Jack, too, is an annotator for CHESS REVIEW and one of the United States' top correspondence masters. No pushover in master tourneys, either, Jack is a previous N. Y. State Champion and has won numerous prizes in Marshall C. C. and Brooklyn C. C. club championships in past years. The Collins domicile in the heart of Brooklyn is noted for its fine collection of chessbooks, the hospitality of Jack and his popular sister, Ethel, and the Brooklyn Dodger partisanship which is not uncommon in that part of New York City! Anyone who has seen Collins competing in a tournament will remember too, that a supply of candy "Life-Savers" is always at his side; no wonder he squeezes out of so many difficult positions!
Tony Santasiere, last year's cochamp along with Carl Pilnick (who did not compete this year), finished a clear second with $81 / 2-31 / 2$, a point behind the netw titlist, while Jimmy Sherwin ( $71 / 2-41 / 2$ ) took third prize. Jerry Donovan 7-5, Richard Finhorn and Edmar Mednis $61 / 2-51 / 2$ were the other prizewinners and qualifiers into next year's championship tourney.
The Manhattan Chess Club Championship, which has five of the nations top masters competing this year, is almost four rounds complete now and the leading scorers include Max Pavey 31/2-1/2, Arnold Denker 3-1, Alex Kevitz and Abe Turner $2^{1 / 2}-1^{1 / 2}$ and Arthur Bisguier and George Shainswit $\quad 21 / 2-11 / 2$. Some features of the opening rounds were Turner's titanic 90 move draw with former U. S. Champ Denker and Allen Kaufman's upset victory over top U.S. master Bisguier. As the reader
(Pease turn to page 8, col. 4)
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## Major Jopics

 ByMontgomery Major

## Is Chess Isolationist?

Graccia Maconiram, jactet sibi Roma Maronem,<br>Anglia Miltonum jactat utrique parem.<br>sELVAGGI-Ad Joannem Miltonum

OUR Learned contributor, Walter Korn poses a question of some importance when he asks why the USA has not done more to invite European masters to these shores by staging more International Tournaments. Certainly, there would be a convenience to American players who are seeking distinction in importing foreign master talent for important tournaments, rather than forcing our eager youth to go abroad for proper blooding against the best of Europe's chess might.

Of course, the catch (there is always one) is that an International Tournament is an expensive affair, for it must have adequate remuneration to lure important distant masters to these shores. But it would pay U.S. Chess golden dividends to hold more of such events in the polishing of the styles of our younger masters.

We do not, however, agree with Mr. Korn that the proper beginning is to open our own U.S. Championship to visiting masters. As a matter of national economy we are endeavoring to hold the U.S. Championship down to a reasonable two weeks of play at the more leisurely pace of play that is desirable for such events. And to do this, the entry in the U.S. Championship must be held to a limited number. It does not, therefore, seem feasible to limit the number of possible contenders more narrowly by permitting entry of non-contenders from other countries. But this does not mean that other tournaments should not be staged to which such visiting masters should be pressed to enter and encouraged by substantual prize awards to participate. In directing our attention to the needs of the great majority of average chess players, we must not forget nor neglect the masters, for the professional is just as essential to an art as the most gifted of amateurs.

The USCF is not at this moment in a position to stage International Tournaments on a large scale for its resources are more immediately demanded in the development of local chess. But it is the writer's sincere hope that before too long the Federation will attain that security and prosperity which will enable it to sponsor a number of International events. Let it never be said that American Chess is isolationist in character.

As to Mr. Korn's suggestion that British masters have been ignored or overlooked because they were not considered strong enough, it can only be said that Mr. Korn hardly does his countrymen justice. Certainly no intelligent American player considered Sir George Thomas, Winter or Yates as inferior players; certainly no well-informed American player would deny the ability and prestige of Atkins and Alexander, to name only two active players of this era.

Thus, we nust say to Mr. Korn the equivilent of Selvaggi's lines on Milton: "Greece boasts her Homer, Rome can Virgil claim; England can either match in Milton's fame."

But lest it still be felt that Britain has been too long overlooked, let the sponsors of the next U.S. International event invite a few British masters. Surely, there are a few American players who would feel honored at the opportunity of scowling across the board at A1exander, who might himself be sighing for new worlds to conquer.

## PAULINE PAPP

ON Sunday, January 24th death took Miss Pauline Papp, one of the charter members of the famous Queens Women's Chess Club of Cleveland and one of the most enthusiastic supporters of the concept that chess was a women's game. Miss Papp, who usually played first board for the Queens in the Cleveland City League matches, was an opponent not to be underestimated and she was equally successful in correspondence chess play. In recent years Miss Papp had become interested in chess problems and some of her compositions were very commendable in this difficult field. We wish to express our sympathy to the Queens Chess Club, which has lost a most valuable member and fine friend, as well as to all others who knew and cherished her friendship.


HEART OF AMERICA-MISSOURI STATE OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP Kansas City, 1954

U. S. INTERCOLLEGIATE LIGHTNING CHAMPIONSHIP

New York, 1954

1. A. Bisguier (Pace)

CHAMPIONSHIP FINALS
2. K. Burger (Columbia)
3. D. Samuels (Pennsylvania)
4. H. Berliner (G. Washington)
5. C. Henin (Chicago)
6. A. Rudy (C.C.N.Y.)
7. A. Weissman (N.Y.U.)

| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 53-13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 51-13 |
| x | 1 | 1 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 413-21 |
| 0 | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4-3 |
| 0 | 0 | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 -3 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | $x$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 3 | 2-5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 13-54 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 금 | $\frac{3}{1}$ | x | $1-6$ |

O. Popovych (Rutgers)

3-1.

1. H. Berliner (G. Washington)
2. A. Weissman (N.Y.U.)
3. D. Samuels (Pennsylvania)
4. G. Hardman (John Hopkins)
5. R. Kause (Western Reserve) 3-4; 6. R. Shapiro (Chicago) 3-4; 7. R. Fry (Penn sylvania) $2-5$; 8. A. Rich (C.C.N.Y.) , -6 I.

SECTION 2 PRELIMS

1. A. Bisguier (Pace)
2. O. Popovych (Rutgers)
3. C. Sovel (Pennsylvania)
 sylvania) 1-5.
4. K. Burger (Columbia)

SECTION 3 PRELIMS
2. C. Henin (Chicago)
3. A. Rudy (C.C.N.Y.)
A. Rudedenthal (Bridgeport)
J. Orenstein (Columbia)
W. Howard (Pennsyla)

ALLENTOWN CITY CHAMPIONSHIP
Allentown,

1. Mahlon Cleaver
2. Jack Mack
3. Paul Sherr
4. Ray Rockel ,...
5. Wiliam Schuler
6. Joseph Krefnus
 $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ x & 0 \\ 1 & x\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \times & 1\end{array}$

## SECOND DIRECTORS' MEETING

## Committee Roon, Wisconsin Hotel

 $T$ HE Meeting was called to order by Frank R. Graves, Acting President. There was a discussion lasting over an hour concerning International Chess affalrs. Many motions were made and withdrawn, as not covering the field.The following resolution on International Chess affairs was presented by Mr. Gibson:

Resolved: That the Chairman of the Ways and Means Committee be authorized to appoint an International Committee of not more than five members to handle all International chess affairs connected with the USCF including the conduct of tournaments and team matches
and the selection of teams and individuals to represent the United States in International Competition. As provided in the USCF By-Laws, As provided in the USCF By-Laws,
the USCF President, or his designate, will continue as official representative of the Federation at all meetings of the Internationale Federation des Echecs, but all matters of Federation policy and commitments will fall under the jurisdiction of the "International Committee."
The motion was seconded by Rev. H. Ohman, and was passed.
(Tbe International Affairs Committec anthorized above was activated with William M. Byland, cbairman, Max Pavey, Herman Steiner, and Dr. R. J. Ralston.) NCCP was discussed at length. Fin-
ally a motion was passed that the ally a motion was passed that the
reference to it in the By-Laws, be referen
deleted.

Further discussion was entered into as to procedure in lieu of the NCCP. A motion was passed that the Ways and Means Committee authorize the procedure, after consulting with Mr. Harkne
proper.
Now was opened a discussion as to the U.S. Championship, and the following resolution was passed:

Recognizing that the proposed
plan of having a candidates tournament in 1953, the winner of which is to play a match in 1954 with the United States champion for the title, is unpopular with a maiority of the prominent chess
players in the country, the directors players in the country, the directors here assembled modify as follows
the 1953 and 1954 tournament. match play they approved by ballot. 1) The candidates tournament
shall be held in 1953 as approved. shall be held in 1953 as approved.
This is to be in Philadelphia Sep. tember 11th through September 20th. It is to be a ten round Swiss. Eligible to play is any permanent
resident of the United States havresident of the United States havin the USCF rating scale.
2) Instead of a match in 1954 ion and the winner of the 1953 Candidates' tournament, a United States Championship fournament shall be held in 1954. This tournashall be held in 1954. This tournament of fourteen players.
3) The players to be seeded into the 1954 United States Championship Tournament shall be:

The three players who placed highest in the 1951 Champs. Reshevsky, and M. Pavey,
b) The six players who placed highest in the 1953 Candidiates Tournament, if any of ed, the next highest players in the tournament shall be seeded to make a total of six.
The five eligible players who place highest in the 1953 UCF
Open Tournament. If any of the top players are not eligible, or are already seeded, the highest eligible player the highest eligible player total of five.
d) If any of the above eligible players, once seeded, elect not to play in the 1954 Championship Tournament the USCF Tournament Committee shall seed into the
Championship Tournament Championship Tournament the next eligible players
from the 1953 Candidates from the 1953 Candidates' Tournament to make a total

August 14, 1953, Milwankee, Wis. of fourteen playing in the 1954 Championship Tournament.
e) If ties occur among the players in either the 1953 USCF Open Tournament or the 1953 Candidates' Tournament, the manner of breaking the ties to determine who shall be seeded into the 1954 Championship Tournament shall be determined by the committee in charge of each of these tournaments.
4) The time, place and arrange-
ments for the 1954 Championship Tournament shall be handled by the USCF Tournament Committee with the understanding that all players are treated equally by the Federation.
5) The directors recognize that these changes may cause embarrassment to some of the plans and
publicity of the 1953 Candidates Tournament, bout by these changes, it is hoped that the popularity for this tournament will be increased and more prominent players will enter.
(According to the provisions of this resolution, the fourteen eligible players for the U. S. Championship Tournament are: L. Evans, S. Reshevsky, M. Pavey, D. Byrne, N. Rossolimo, J. T. Sherwin, I. A. Horowitz, E. Hearst, A. Bisguier, H. Berliner, K. Burger, A. DiCamillo, P. Brandts, and S. Wacbs.)
Herman Steiner issued an invitation for the 1954 USCF Open Tournament to be held in California in case no to be held in California in
other place was accepted.
Miguel Colon, of San Juan, Puerto Rico, read the following letter of invitation:
Gentlemen:
As authorized by the President of the Puerto Rican Chess Federation, Judge P. J. Santiago Lavendero, and its Board of Directors, I want to make a bid for the 1954 USCF Open Tournament, to be played in San Juan, Puerto Rico. We are asking for a 60 or 90 day term to give our final word of acceptance. This is so because we are looking for the Government to help and we need that term to
know definitely whether the Government will back us. Mr. Lavendero is very optimistic and he believes that there is a $95 \%$ possibility that we will get the Government help.

We are hoping to make the tournament as good as the one we are having in Milwaukee. At least we plan to offer the same.
Hoping that you will give Puerto Rico the honor of playing the 1954 U.S. Open, I remain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sincerely yours, } \\
& \text { ed) } \quad \text { Miguel Colon. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It was stated by the President that the Executive Committee would determine the matter.
Motion was passed that the United States Chess Federation extend the Milwaukee Chess Federation a vote of thanks for putting on the largest Open Tournament in our history.
Edgar McCormick, a director from New Jersey, submitted his resignation as director. The acting President ruled that it was out of his province as acting President to accept it. The
matter was dropped and Mr. Mcmatter was dropped and $M$
Cormick remained a director.
The meeting adjourned.
J. B. HOLT USCF Secretary
Regimental Chess Club (Fort Benning): The First Officer Candidate
Regiment of Fort Benning held a chess Regiment of Fort Benning held a chess
tournament over the Christmas Holldays as the start in organizing a Regimental Chess Club. Victor was Sg . Karch with 5-0 score, while 0/C Bingham placed second with 4-1. Lt. Baldwin and Pvt. Hoffman tied for third with $2-3$ each.
REDWOOD EMPIRE CHESS LEAGUE: A single round schedule has been adopted for this league, consisting of Santa Rosa, Petaluma, Vallejo, Crockett and the newly organized Sacramento Chess Club (not to be confused with the Capitol City Chess Club of Sacramento in the Central Calif. League).


RAYMOND A. SMITH AWARD
This handsome inlaid chess board (the work of Herman Dittmann) will be awarded at the Nevada State Championship at Carson City, along with trophies and other prizes.
New Orleans (La.) Chess Club: David A. Walsdorf, Jr. was elected club president to succeed Dan Gavitt. Other of ficers elected were: Dr. Kenneth N. Vines vice-president, A. L. McAuley secretary, and Frank Chaves treasurer. The New Orleans Club now has approximately 40 players entered in the City Champlonship, the largest entry since the club was organized several years ago.

Hollywood Chess Group (Callf.): Herman Steiner won the 10 rd club champlonship $9-1$, drawing with S. Mazner
and S. Geller. Irving Rivise was second with $81 / 2 \cdot-11 / 2$ and $S$. Mazner third with
wer. 7-3.
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## According Jo

A. Sid Jest

There is a lot to be said for getting the right slant on things in life or in chess. Look at a Bishop commanding the long diagonal.

In life or chess, watch the board. Many an absent-minded batchelor has
been mated because he wasn't looking

Hitler and Stalin didn't invent the "war of nerves." They both stole the idea from some of the
players at the local chess club.

In chess or life, it is not what your title is, but what you do; a pawn can be more dangerous than a rook in the right situation.

There are lots of willing people in this world-a few are willing to work, and the rest are willing to watch club.

Greed is always short-sighted. I've seen a fellow so eager to queen a pawn
he couldn't see that it would give he couldn't see that it
his oppenent stalemafe.

## How To Make A Pairing Table For Round Robin Tournaments

By Staff Writer WILLIAM ROJAM

RECENT queries from readers show that the round robin tournament is still justly popular for club events, but that many chess clubs are stymied by the fact that pairing tables for round robin events are a rarety in chess publications. While the USCF expects in the near future to supply this want, along with material on many other details of tournament management, in the meanwhile here is a very simple method of making your own pairing tables for any number of contestants. There are more elaborate systems, but this has the virtue of simplicity and can be easily remembered.

Assume that it is planned to run So in a ten player event the a nine round event with either nine or ten entrants. The first step is to write out the numbers one to nine in the following pattern:

1
6
2
7
3
8
4
9
5
5

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
3 & 4 & 5 \\
3 & 8 & 9 & 1 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
3 & 1 & 2 \\
3 & 5 & 7 \\
1 & 2 & 3 \\
& 6 & 7 & 8 \\
2 & 3 & 4 \\
7 & 8 & 9
\end{array}
$$

There will be nine rounds of play with either nine or ten players; and each line in the numerical patter above represents one round of play. But this is only the first step in making the pairings.

Next, you write behind each of these numbers in your original pattern the numbers one to nine, beginning in the lower right-hand corner and working backwards from the bottom to the top, but always ignoring the numbers in the first column. This will produce a pattern as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
2-9 & 3-8 & 4.7 & 5-6 \\
7-5 & 8-4 & 9-3 & 1-2 \\
3-1 & 4-9 & 5-8 & 6-7 \\
8-6 & 9-5 & 1-4 & 2-3 \\
4-2 & 5-1 & 6-9 & 7-8 \\
9.7 & 1-6 & 2.5 & 3-4 \\
5-3 & 6-2 & 7-1 & 8.9 \\
1.8 & 2.7 & 3.6 & 4.5 \\
6-4 & 7-3 & 8-2 & 9-1 \\
\text { collump }
\end{array}
$$

The first column (not paired) represents the byes in a nine player event. In a ten player event, place the number 10 alternately in front and in behind the single number of the first column, making it read down as $10-1,6-10,10-2 ; 7-10$, $10-3,8-10,10-4,9-10,10-5$.
first round pairings would be Player No. 10 vs. Player No. 1, Player No. 2 vs. Player No. 9, Player No. 3 vs. Player No. 8, Player No. 4 vs. Player No. 7, and Player No. 5 vs. Player No. 6. In a nine player event the pairings would be the same, except that there would be no Player No. 10 and Player No. 1 would have a bye. In all cases the player whose number is first in each pairing has the white pieces, as this system alternates the colors automatically.
The second line represents the second round pairings, the third line the third round pairings, etc.

This system may be used for any number of contestants. For example, if a table is needed for a 13 round event (for 13 or 14 players), the initial pattern would begin like this:

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 1 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 1 & 2,
\end{array}
$$

and when completed by the backward inserting of the numbers in the second step, the first few lines would read:

| 1 | $2-13$ | $3-12$ | 4.11 | $5-10$ | 6.9 | $7-8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $9-7$ | 10.6 | $11-5$ | 12.4 | $13-3$ | $1-2$ |
| 2 | $3-1$ | $4-13$ | $5-12$ | $6-11$ | $7-10$ | $8-9$, |
|  | By | this | simple | method, | which |  |

By this simple method, which does not take more than fifteen minutes to complete a pairing table, any club can arrange its own pairings for a round robin event of any number of contestants.

Join the USCF! it is always a sound
opening move.

# GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS 

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, Marshall Chess Club Champion, 1954

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to ${ }^{\circ}$ JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication, Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.


In the game Kotov-Szabo (Budapest 1950) here Black played 8. ...... Kt-K5; 9. Q-Q3!, Kt-B4; 10. Q-B2, PxP; 11. BxP, KtxP; 12. B-Kt5 ch, P-B3; 13, QxKt, Q-R4 ch; 14. K-K2, QxB ch; 15. QxQ, PxQ; with about an equal game. Bronstein, watching the game, thought that the variation could be improved for Black. He set to work and produced an analysis which gave Black an excellent alysis which gave Black an excellent
game, but he had to walt three years before he could employ this innovation. When Tolush, known to be an excelWhen Tolush, known to be an excellent theoretician, saw the move he
studied the position for an hour and studied the position for an hour and line that allowed White equallty. $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 9. Q-Q3 } & \text { Kt-KKts } & \text { 15. KtxKt } & \text { K K+xKt } \\ \text { 10. } \mathrm{Kt-B3} & \mathrm{PxP} & \text { 16. B-Kt4ch } & \text { K-K1 }\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 12. PxP } & \text { K-K2 } & \text { 18. O.O } & \text { B.K3 } \\ \text { 13. B-Q2 } & \text { R-Q1 } & \text { 19. } & \text { BxKt }\end{array}$


K(K1S)xP(K4) Drawn P-QR4

SCOTCH GAME
MCO: page 259, column 10 (i)
U. S. Open Championship Milwaukee, 1953
Notes by U.S. Master Curt Brasket White Black c. BRASKET

1. P-K4 P-K4 3. P-Q4
2. Kt-KB3 Kt-QB3

The Scotch Game is not as weak as the text clatm it to be. To avold the 4. ......., B-B4 variation, however, it would be safer to transpose from the Four Knight's Game (3. Kt-B3, Kt-B3; 4. P-Q4).

More usual are Kt-B2, Kt-Q2, Q-Q2, or

## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department, will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you a thorough postgame analysis. Fee $\$ 10$.

Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of your games for a fee of $\$ 5$.

P-KB4, all of which lead to a good game for Black. The text seems better as it prevents an immediate P-Q4, while an attempt on Black's part to win a pawn would give White a very promsing game, e.g.: 7. ........, Kt-K4; 8. B-K2, Q-QKt3; 9. Ot, QuP; 10 . Kt-Q2, Q-Kt3; Q-Kt3; 8. O-O, QxP; 9. Q-R5!
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { If } & \text { instead } & 0.0 & 8.0 & 0.0 \\ \text { W-Kt3! }\end{array}$ If instead $\ldots . . . . ., ~ P-Q 3 ~ W h i t e ~ c o u l d ~$
establish a K-side pawn majority with establish a K-side pawn majority with
KtxKt, PxKt (......, BxB??, KtxKt eh; $\underset{\text { Kxis, PxB. }}{\text { Kta }}$
9. P-B4 P.Q3 10. Q-Q3?

In order to play QKt-Q2 and QR-K1 with an impressive-looking game, but Shaffer refutes this scheme very nicely. B-Kt3 or K-R1 were better moves.
10. ........ K $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t}$ ! 11. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
11. PxKt is answered in the same way. 11. ....... P.Q4! 12. BxP K+×B If ........, R-Q1, then 13. Q-B4, P-B3; 14. P-K5, PxB?; 15. PxQ, PxQ; 16. PxKt. 13. PXKt B-KB4 14. Q-B4 Q-K+3! A difficult move to meet. Black threatens ........, B-Q6, and if R-B2?, BxKt. 15. R-K1 B-Q6?

As Shaffer pointed out later,
B-K5; 16. P-Kt3, QR-Q1 would have repositional advantage.
16. Q-K+3 B-K5 $\quad$ 18. BxB BxPI? 17. P-K+3 QR-Q1

A daring sacrifice of a piece which barely fails. White would have little to fear, however, after - ......., RPxB; P-B4.

## 19. P-B4 B-B3!

The alternative was ….... BxP; 20. QxB, QxB, QxB ch: 21 . K-R1, QxP; 22, Q-B3!, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 7$; 25. Q-K2, Q-Q5; 26. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3$ and White should win.
20. B-B2!

Necessary to prevent a sacrifice at KKt3 after Black's next move. of course not B-K3??, Q-K5 and mate course
follows.
20. ........ R-Q6 21. Kt-B3 Q-K+5!


At this point White had about 30 minutes and Black only about six minutes in which to complete fifty moves. But with good reason white consumed more than 20 of those precious minutes on his next move. What can he do? If 22 . K-B1, B-B6; 23. R-K3, Q-R6 ch; 24 K-K1, RxR ch; 23. R-K3, Q-R67! or if 22 . R-K5, B-B6; 23. K-B1?, Q-R6 ch; 24. K-K1, Q-Kt7 or 23. K-B1?, Q-R6
22. R-K3?, Q-R6.

If R-K5!..., B-B6 W-B6 White finesses the, win with R-K3!
23. R-Q5! P-QKł4

White cannot capture the pawn, but the win is still there!
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 24. R-Q11. } & P \times P & \text { 27. Q×B } & \text { Q×Q } \\ \text { 25. } R(1) \times R! & P \times R & \text { 28. Kł×Q } & P-Q 7\end{array}$ 26. Q-B4 BXR 28. KłXQ

Of course not Kt-K3??, R-K1; Kt-Q1, RK 8 ch and wins! The remainder of the game was played in extreme time pressure, neither player keeping score. After a couple of minutes of "blitz" White's flag fell, but re-construction of the game showed that at least 54 moves had been made, and Black's claim of forfeiture was thus invalidated, A hard-fought struggle.


## OFFICIAL WINS

E. A. Coons, Secretary-Treasurer of the Pennsylvania State Chess Federation, writes his own book and cashes in on it in this game.

## QUEEN'S GAMIT DECLINED

MCO: page 176, column 13 (k) Pennsylvania Stafe Tournament York, 1953

White
J. G. WALTZ 1. P-Q4 P.O4 2. A. COONS This loses control of the center KB3? This loses control of the center. Better are the regular 2 .
QB3; and 2 ......, $P \times P$.
QB3; and
3. ${ }^{\text {PxP }}$ !

## Refutation.

3. KtxP 4. P-K

But this likely looking move is not best and should only lead to equality. Correct is 4. Kt-KB3! (to prevent the counter ....., P-K4), B-B4; 5. Q-Kt3, KtQB3; 6. QKt-Q2!, (not 6. QxP $3 / 6$ QKtKt5; and wins) Kt-Kt3; 7. P-K4, B-Kt3; 8. P-Q5, Kt-Kt1; 9. P-QR4, P-QR4; 10. Kt-K5, QKt-Q2; 11. B-QKt5, Q-B1; 12. $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q2})$-B4, and white has a distinct advantage.
4. $\quad \mathrm{Kt}$-KB3 $\quad$ 5. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4!$ Black exploits the omission of 4. Kt KB3!

## 5. P-Q5?

Questionable, it seems, The recommended line is 6. Kt-B3, PxP; 7. KtxP, B-QB4; 8. B-K3, Kt-Kt5; 9. Kt-K6, QxQch; 10. RxQ, BxKt; 11. BxB, P' QKt3; 12. B-Q4, P-QB4; with even chances. If 6. PxP3/8 QxQch.
5. ....... if $\quad \begin{gathered}\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B3} 3 \\ \mathrm{P} \\ \text { 7. } \\ \text { 7. B-QB4 }\end{gathered}$

A little better, if not wholly satisfactory, is 8. KtxP, KtxKt; 9. BxKt, B-Kt5ch; 10. B-Q2. The passed, isolated QP, which the text establishes, turns out to be a liability.
A Nimzovitchian blockader.
Or ${ }^{\text {Or }}$ 9. ......, P-KR3; 10. O-O, P-R3; and then 11......., OO-; avoiding a pin on the then 11. ...., OO-; avoiding a
KKt and preserving the KB.
KKt an
10. 0.0
Or the
Or the pin - 10. B-KKt5.
Sounder is P-KR3 11. Kt-Kt5
Sounder is 11. P-KR3 and 12. B-K3.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 11. } \\ \text { 12. } \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B} & \begin{array}{c}\text { P-R3 } \\ \text { QXKt }\end{array} & \text { 13. P-KR3? }\end{array}$
This loses the QP. Necessary is 13. PQR4!
 followed by P.... Kt-Kt3 and ....., KR-Q1, and Black wins the QP.
14
A
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 15. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} \ddagger 3 \\ \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 12 & \text { 16. Q-Q2 }\end{array}$
If 16. Kt-B3, P-Kt5; 17. Kt moves, and then Black takes the QP.
16. Kt - K 5

The immediate capture of the QP is playable too.
17. Q-Q3
17. Q-B2 saves a move
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 17. } \\ \text { 18. } Q-B 2 & \\ \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 2)-\mathrm{B4} \\ \mathrm{Kt+B} & \text { 19. PxKt }\end{array}$
Inasmuch as the QP must fall anyway, White should avoid doubled QKtPs with 19. QxKt(Kt3).
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 19. BxP } & \text { 20. KR-Q1 }\end{array}$
Threatening 21. QxKt, BxQ; 22. RxQ, and wins.
and
20.
${ }_{21}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 21. } & \text { KR-B1 22. } Q-K 2\end{array}$ to post the Knight at OB5.


Black intends to crack White's defenses with ....., P-K5.
31. B-B2

If White snaps at the Pawn with 31. BxP? then 31. ......., P-B5!; 32. Q-K2 (i) 32. BxBP, KtxB; 33. QxKt, QxReh; wins $\mathrm{KtxB} ; 33$. $\mathrm{QxKt}, \mathrm{QxQ}$; 34. RxQ, BxP; and Black has a clearly won ending. 31. -..... P-B5 32. Q-B3 P-K5! Threat: 33. .... P-K.K6.
 (threatening 35 , RxB) Ofter 34 . Q-QKt3 (threatening 35 . RxB) QxQ! 35. KtxQ,
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$; 36 . $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$; 37. R-K2, thanks to his extra BP.
34. -..... Q-Q4 35. QR-Q1 Q-R1 Not 35. ......, Q-Kt2\%; 36. Kt-B5; and White wins the Bishop.
36. R×R R×R 37. B-B5

This loses a piece. But there is no defense. If 37 . $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5,-\mathrm{BR} 8$; 38, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B1}$, Q-Kt7ch; 39. K-K2, P-B6ch; 40. K-K3, Q-R7; and wins. If $37 . \mathrm{Kt}$-Q4 (37. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$; wins) Kt-K4; and Black has a winning attack. If 37 . B-Q4, Kt-R5; wins. 37. ....... R-Q6 38. Resigns.

For if 38. Q-B1, RxKt; wins; and if 38 . 'Q-B2, R-Ktch; 39. K-B1, BxQ; wins.

## A QUEEN WANDERS

White is forced to pay too much ransom for a Queen that wanders off alone and becomes enmeshed in enemy units.

PHILIDOR'S DEFENSE
East Tennessee Open Tournament Bristol, 1953
White
Black B. RUCKER
K. NEDVED

1. P-K4 P-K4 2. Kt-KB3 P-Q3 Black adopts an old configuration, the Philidor Defense, which is no longer in vogue because of its cramped na ture.
2. P-Q4 Kt-Q2

This is the Hanham Variation, in which

## NEW LAWS OF CHESS

Official American translation of the new laws of Chess, copyright 1954 by the United States Chess Federation, now available in mimeographed form. Contains all the latest changes in the Laws, as amended by the FIDE Congress at Schaffhausen, 1953. Clarifies many ambiguous laws in the old code. Send 50 cents for one copy, or $\$ 1$ for three copies, to the United States Chess Federation, 93 Barrow Street, New York 14, N. Y.

## GUEST ANNOTATORS <br> Curt Brasket <br> Imre Konig <br> Dr. Erich Marchand

isack manntains a Pawn at K4. Like 3. ........5 5X' ; and ........s Kt-KB3; it does not quite equalize.
4. B-QKt5?

Now biack makes his customary 4. ........, P -(2BS; a good move, with tempo. White's cicarest way to achieve an advantage is 4. B-QB4, P. QB3; 5. O-O, B-K2; 0. Nt-BS, Knt-BS; 7. P-QR4, P-KIR3; ð. K -QKils, $\mathrm{Q}-15<2$ Y. B-Kt2. And 4. BQB4, P-Qib3; 5. O.O, B-K2; 6. PxP, PxP; \%. nt-Кt , BxKt; 8. Q-k5, P-KKt3; 9. $\mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{Q} \times(\mathrm{Q} ; 10$. BxQ, P-KK3; 11. B-Q2, aiso shgntiy ravors white.
$\begin{array}{llrl}\text { 4. } & \text { m- } & \text { P-Q83 } & \text { 6. Q-Q3? }\end{array}$
This should $\mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{B3}$
is 6. Q-K2.
6. ........ B-K2?

P-QKt4; 8....., PxP; 7, KtxP (7. QxP? and Black wins, P-B4; 9. Q-K3, P-B5; Trap) Kt-B4; 8, Q-QR8, Q-R4ch; 9 KL B3, KtxB: 10 . QxKt (Black wins a plece B 3 , KtxB; 10 . QxKt (Black wins a piece
on 10 2 P-QKt4? Q-K4) QxQ; 11. KtxQ, on 10. P-QKt4? Q-K4) QxQ; 11. KtxQ,
KtxP; Black garners a Pawn and a probable win.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 7. P-B4 } & 0.0 & \text { 9. } & \text { B-K3 } & \text { P-QR3 } \\ \text { 8. } & \text { P-KR3 } & \text { R-K1 } & \text { 10. P-Q5 } & \end{array}$ Preferable is the developing 10. KtB3.
10. ...... PXP 12. P-QK 14 P-QK $\dagger 4$ 11. BPxP R-B1 13. B-K13 P-QR4
 15. BxP Q-Kt3 17. O-O KR K4 Ano., Kt-B4.
18. R-K1 K

Black wants to shift his KB to Kt3 and must protect his QP again in order to do it
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 19. QR-B1 B-Q1 } & \text { 20. Q-K3 } & \end{array}$.... The ill-fated wandering begins. 20 . Ktling of Rooks on the QB- file, offers $\begin{array}{ll}\text { happier prospects. } & \text { B-K }+3 \\ \text { 21. Q-K }+5 \text { ? }\end{array}$ Journey of no return. White should stay near home with 21 . Q-Q2. 21. ........ Kt-B5!

The retreat of the White Queen is cut off and 22 . ......., Kt-Q6; winning the exchange, is menaced.
The fatal step. White still has a game with 23 . Q-Kt3 or 23. Kt-K2. 23. ......... B-Q1!

## 24. Q-Kł5

This would be forced sooner or later by ........., B-QB1.
Or 24. $\quad \mathrm{Kt}(3)-\mathrm{R4}$
Or 24. ....... KtxQP followed by $25 . \ldots . . .$. ,
KtxB. Black wants even more ransom for the surrounding Queen.

25. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$
26. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$

White must pay two minor pieces to save his Queen. The rest is easy for Black.


If 31. KR-Q1, KtxP; wins.

SICLIAN DEFENSE
MLO page 265, column 1
Team Match, Board One
Rochester, 1953
Notes by U.S. Expert Dr. Erich Marchand White

Black
M. PLASSKACH MARCHAND
(Ukrain Self-Reliance)
(Rochester Chess)
(Ukrain Self-Reliance) (Rochester Chess)
7. P-K4 P-QB4 3. B-B4
2. Kt-KB3 Kt-QB3
an oid nove which has been revived iateiy. It offers white no advantage. $\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { 3. } & \text { 4.....B3 } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { 5. } \\ \text { 4. P-QR3 }\end{array}$
setter is 5 . P Qitu since it is more restrieting. However, it does yield controt of White's QKus.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 5. } & \ldots . . . . . . & \text { P.QK14 } & \text { 7. P-Q3 }\end{array}$
6. B-R2 Kt-B3

If 7. 0-0, P-Kt5 winning a pawn.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 7. } & \ldots . . . . . & \text { Q-B2 } & \text { 11. } \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{K} 2 & 0.0 \\ 8 . & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+5 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 & \text { 12. } & \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{B3} & \mathrm{KR} \text { Q1 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 8. } & \text { B-Kt5 } & \text { B.K2 } & \text { 12. P-B3 } & \text { KR-Q1 } \\ \text { 9. } & \text { O- } & \text { B-K12 } & \text { 13. P-QKt4 } & \text { P-B5 }\end{array}$
10. K-K1 P-Q3
A crucial decision. Black must follow

A crucial decision. Black must follow
up with a sharp combination or else up with a sharp comb
14. BxKt

The main variation. If 14. PxP, KtxKP favors Black. After the text move White hopes for $14 . . . . . . . ., B \times B ; 15$. P-G4! (not 15. PxP, PxP; 16. BxP, Kt×P; 17. BXKP, P×B; 18. RPXKt, BxKP). 14. ....... PXP! This is the zwischenzug Black was counting on.
15. BXB PXKt 16. QXKP

If 16. BxR, then $P \times Q(Q)$; 17. $B \times Q$, Q-Q6; 18. QR-B1, Kt-K4 and it is not possible for White to trap the Q.
16.
K1.
19. QR-B1 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 16. } & \text { K } \times \mathrm{BB} & \text { 19. QR-B1 } \\ \text { 17. } & \text { P-B4 } & \text { PXP } \\ \text { 20. R-B2 }\end{array}$
If $20 . \mathrm{BxRP}$, then $\qquad$ .., QxR; 21. BxB, QxP.
QxP.
$20 .$.
Here
Here Black Q-Kı3 lose a pawn brilHere Black could lose a pawn bril-
Hantly with 20.
 P-Q4; 22. BxQP, PxB; 23. RxQ;
RxKt, PxKt; 25. PxP, R-B6; 26. R(1)-K3 21. B-K+3
21. KR-QB1 was in order so as to contest the QB file.


$\begin{array}{llll}\text { If } 25 . R-Q B 1, ~ Q x P . ~ & \text { 26. R-K3 } & \text { Q-K+7! }\end{array}$


Far better than falling into the trap 26. ........, Q-R8 ch; 27. Q-Q1, QxQ ch; 28. BxQ, R-B8; 29. R-K1, BxP?; 30. Kt8-; 31. Kt-Q2); 31. RxR, B-B7; 32 . RxP, BxKt; 33. RxRP with a better game for White.
27. Q-Kł3?

A blunder to be sure, but Black has no defense. If 27. R-K2, Kt-K4; 28. Q.K3, QxKt; 29. QxQ. Kt-B6 ch. If 27. B-Q1, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K4}$ and the Kt is lost. If 27. B-Kt3 (or R4), Kt-K4; 28. Q-Q1, R-B3. If 27 . P-B4, P-K4, etc.
27.

Resigns


ATTLEBORO CITY CHAMPIONSHIP


# U. S. Chess Champions 

By Charles Morgan

## PAUL MORPHY

THE most meteoric player chess has ever known and the first United States Chess Champion, Paul Charles Morphy, was born of Spanish and French parents on June 22, 1837 in New Orleans. He was the son of Judge Alonzo Morphy of the High Court of Louisana and Thelcide Carpentier. He was one of four children-two sons and two daughters.

Paul attended the Jefferson Academy in New Orleans, graduated from St. Joseph's College at Spring Hill, Ala. in 1854, and obtained his law degree from the University of Louisiana. He was admitted to the bar before reaching his twentieth birthday. His brilliance was already evident: fluent in four languages and knowing, word-for-word, almost the entire Civil Code of Louisiana.
His father taught him the $\mid$ ladies of New Orleans society moves at the tender age of ten. Chess was the family game for the older Morphys, but, soon, they were no match for the future champion. He taught himself chess strategy and tactics by following their games. Morphy's first adventure into master chess was in 1850 with J. J. Lowenthal of Hungary whom he beat $11 / 2-1 / 2$.
At the age of twenty, invited to the First American Chess Congress in New York, he won his first major title, the U.S. Championship, by beating Louis Paulsen of Iowa, 5-1-2 (wins-losses-draws) in the final round. Returning home, the young champion repeated his New York challenge of a Pawn and move to any American citizen, but it was not accepted.
In 1858, at London, Morphy beat Lowenthal, 9-3-2, and the Rev. J. Owen, at the odds of a Pawn and move, $5-0-2$. Morphy challenged Howard Staunton, a Shakespearean scholar and one of the ranking players of that day to play a match, but his efforts were fruitless.

At Paris, Morphy, in spite of David Harrwitz's frequent "indispositions" and his own weak start, beat the 'King of the Regence' (Harrwitz), 5-2-2. Morphy's greatest triumph was his victory over Adolf Anderssen, World Champion and winner of the great 1851 London Tournament, 7-2-2. Morphy then became the new World Champion. Immediately, he offered a Pawn and move to anyone in the world. No Challengers.
Before leaving Paris, the new champion played a "friendly match" with Augustus Mogredien, President of the London Chess Club, winning 7-0.0.
In May 1859, Morphy returned to New York and Boston and a host of banquets attended by such dignitaries as Agassiz, Holmes, Longfellow, and Lowell. Playing a match, at the odds of a knight, with James Thompson, one of the sixteen participants in the First American Congress, Paul won 5-3.
Returning home, Paul issued his final Pawn and move challenge, but, receiving no response, declared his chess career closed. He would not accept Louis Paulsen's challenge of a match on equal terms.

Morphy failed to develop a law practice since everyone considered him "only a chess player." His proposal of marriage was rejected by one of the prominent young
since he was a "mere chess player." A most crushing blow was the Confederacy's refusal to accept him for diplomatic services.
In 1869, Morphy quit playing any form of chess.
Many consider the year of Morphy's retirement from chess as the year of his death, at least as far as intellect is concerned. He was the victim of a persecution complex; he would eat food only from his mother or sister Helena. His family tried, unsuccessfully, in 1882 to put him in a sanatorium.
The "pride and sorrow of chess," who, in three short years, rose from obscurity to become World Champion, died on July 19, 1884.

## Chess $S_{\text {quares }}$

By Maurice A. Druet


Salem (Ore.) Chess Club engaged the Cascade Chess Club of Albany in a match at Salem YMCA, gaining a $161 / 2^{-}$ $91 / 2$ victory over the visitors. Chess
players, beginners and visitors, are players, beginners and visitors, are invited to meet with the Salem Club at the Salem YMCA.
(h) ess Sife
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Send solutions to Position No. -135 to the Editor, CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, Ill., by March 20, 1954.

Solution to Position No. 132
This is one of Marshall's brilliant swindling draws. In Marshall McClure, New York, 1923 White played 1. R-R6! and there followed 1. ......., RxR; 2. P-R3(Q) ch, RxQ; 3. P-Kts stalematel There is a less dramatic but equally There is a less dramatic but equally
etfective line in 1. RxP, RxR; 2. P-R8(Q). etfective line in 1. RxP, RxR; 2. P-R8(Q)-
ch RxQ; 3. P-Kt5, also stalemate; and ch RxQ; 3. P-Kt5, also stalemate; and
both solutions are accepted as correct. both solutions are accepted as correct.
(It might be noted that White also (It might be noted that White aiso
draws with 1. R-B5, or 1. R-K6, or 1. draws with 1 . R-B5, or 1. R-K6, or 1 .
R-Kt6 in the same thematic line-sointions which are accepted).

Oddly enough, White may also draw with 1. P-Kto, for 1. ......., R-K5 is answered by 2. R-B4! Our solvers were almost all correct in finding one of the six drawing vatiations, and many found several. We published the position mainly to soe if it was possible for some to miss all six of the draws. But how many would have found them in overboard play?
Correct solutions are acknowledged received from: W.C. Adickes (Asheville), J. E. Barry (Ann Arbor), M. B. Blumenthal (Bellaire), A. Bomberault (Pittsburg), R. Bonwell (Pittsburgh), R. Burry (Ft. Lauderdale), G. F. Chase (Buffalo), W. H. Clark (Grand Forks), J. Comstock (Duluth), W. J. Couture (Norfolk), J. D. Define (Florissant), E. K. Dille (Norfolk), H. A. Dittmann (Sait Lake City), C. E. Diesen (Tonawanada). D. W. Earl (Los Alamos), E. Godbold (St. Louis), H. W. Gould (DeKalb), R. Grande (Parks AFB), J. Haliburton, Jr. (Allen), D. Hamburger (Pittsburgh), L. Harvey (Fortana), L. Hyder (Rockdale), C. Joachim (Seattle), Hyder (Rockdale), C. Joachim (Seaupe),
J. Kaufman (Los Angeles), F. Knuppel (New York City), E. J. Korpanty (Belle(New York City), E. J. Korpanty (Belleview), H. Kurruk (San Fernando), M.
W. Luebbert. Jr. (Kansas City), J. MelW. Luebbert. Jr. (Kansas City), J. Mek-
nick (Portland), L. A. Mercy (Burbank), R. A. Monroe (Knoxville), C. Musgrove (Northlake), E. Nash (Washington), Y. V. Oganesov (Montercy Park), G. Payne (Webster Groves), W. H. Phillips (Kalamazoo), N. Raymond (Hartford), W. L. Reddy (Indianapolis, N. Reider (San Francisco), E. Roman (New Britain), M. Schlosser (Decatur), I. Schwartz (Durand), I. Sigmond '(Colwick), D. Silver (New York), P. Smith (Charleston), W. E. Stevens (Laramie), L. Thompson (Washington), L. Towle (Durham), Francis Trask (Plymouth), A. Trucis (Philadelphia), H. C. Underwood (Washington), F. J. Valvo (Guilderland Center), J. L. Weinger (Schenectady), Center), J. L. Weinger (Schenectady),
W. B. Wilson (Amherstburg), N. P. W. B, Wison (Amherstburg), N. P.
Witting (Salem), N. Zemke (Detroit). Witting (Salem), N. Zemke (Detroit). Eglitis for fidentifying Position No. 128 as Zemgalis-Endzelins, West Germany, 1948.

[^0]
## Tournament oLife

## February 25 <br> New Haven Chess Championship New Haven, Conn.

1st round begins Thursday, Feb. 25 at 7:30 p.m. at YMCA on Howe St.; open to residents of greater New Haven; entry fee is $\$ 3.00$ (or $\$ 2.00$ for USCF members); $\$ 1.00$ refunded on completion of all games; Swiss if more than 10 entrants; Chess and Checker Club of New Hoven guarantees $\$ 20.00$ in prizes; tournament director, Spector. $100 \%$ USCF rated event.

February 26-27
March 5-6
Maricopa County Championship Phoenix, Ariz.
Sponsored by Encanto Chess Club of Phoenix; for details write: T. W. Cunningham, 3540 W. McDowell Road, Phoenix, Ariz.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## February 27-28

## 57th Minnesota State Championship

 Minneapolis, Minn.At Minneapolis Chess Club, 32 Glenwood Ave., No., Minneapolis; 6 rd Swiss; entry fee $\$ 5.00$ ( $\$ 2.00$ refund on completion of schedule plus $\$ 1.00$ rating fee for non-members of USCF; 1st prize $\$ 15.00$, 2nd prize $\$ 10.00$, 3rd prize $\$ 5.00$; registration 7:30 a.m. February 27th.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
March 6-7
Southwestern Intercollegiate

## Championship

Austin, Jexas
Individual (not team) championship, sponsored by Texas Chess Ass'n through Texas University Chess Club; open to all college students in southwest; entry fee $\$ 2.00$ plus $\$ 1.00$ national rating fee for non-USCE members; 5 rd Swiss, Solkoff tie-breaking; begins 7:00 a.m. Saturday, March 6, concludes 6:00 p.m. March 7; Prizes: trophy for first place, cups and merchandise prizes for at least five places; send entrance fee to D. J. Bedford, 1908 San Antonio Ave., Austin, Tex. who will also handle housing queries; for other details, write D. B. Martin, 1208 Morningside Dr., Austin, Tex.
100\% USCF rated event.
April 15-17
Nevada State Championship Carson City, Nev.
Open to all Nevada, Utah and Idaho players; entry fee $\$ 5.00$ plus $\$ 1.00$ rating fee for non-members of USCF; for details or entry, write: Harold G. Kispert, 428 Long Street, Carson City, Nev.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

> May 15-16

Indiana State Championship Logansport, Indiana
At Barnes Hotel; open to Indiana residents; begins at 7:00 p.m. Saturday; 5 rd Swiss; business meeting of Ass'n at 7:00 p.m. will consider USCF State Affiliation; entry fee to be determined at meeting; for details, write
Rhead, 2715 Green St., Gary, Ind.
Rhead, 2715 Green St., Gary,
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

Subscriptions Accepted for
THE BRITISH CHESS MAGAZINE Founded in 1881 and now the oldest ches perioditeal extant. Games Editor: H. Golombek-Problem World: S. Sedgwick

- $\$ 3.00$ per year ( 12 lssues)-

Specimen copy 2os
Spectaa thin-paper edition, sent by
Atrmall $\$ 4.70$ per year. Airmall $\$ 4.70$ per year.
CANADIAN CHESS CHAT Offioial Organ of the Only publieation with national ooverage: Only publieation with national ooverage:
Events, Games, Artioles and personalities-Annual Subscription: $\$ 2.7$

CHESS WORLD
Comprehensive Australian chess maga-
xine edited by C. J. Surdy. Articles, $\$ 2.40$ per year- 12 is Semple copy 20 c Order From
CHESS LIFE, ${ }^{123}$ Noak Park. $\begin{gathered}\text { Humphroy Ave }\end{gathered}$

## Buccaneer Open Tournament

 Corpus Christi, Tex.At Nueces Hotel, in conjunction with Corpus Christi's annual "Buccaneer Corpus Christi's annual "Buccaneer Days celebration; 5 rd Swiss; open to
all players; entry fee $\$ 4.00$ plus $\$ 1.00$ all players; entry fee $\$ 4.00$ plus $\$ 1.00$
rating fee to non-members of the USCF; rating fee to non-members of the USCF;
all entry fees distributed in cash prizes, all entry fees distributed in cash prizes,
$\$ 50$ minimum 1st prize guaranteed, plus revolving Buccaneer Trophy; for details, write: Harley D. Wilbur, 4217 Cambridge Drive, Corpus Christi, Tex.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

$$
\text { May } 29.31
$$

Texas State Championship Corpus Christi, Tex.
At Nueces Hotel; 6 rd Swiss; open to residents of Texas and millitary personnel stationed in Texas; entry fee $\$ 5.00$ plus membership in' the USCF and the Texas Chess Ass'n (dues $\$ 5.00$ adthe Texas Chess Ass'n (dues $\$ 5.00$ ad-
ditional for non-members); $\$ 100$ 1st prize ditional for non-members); $\$ 100$ ist prize
guaranteed, additional prizes and guaranteed, additional prizes and
trophies; for details. write: Harley D. Wiibur, 4217 Cambridge Drive, Corpus Christi, Tex.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
May 29-30
Great Lakes Open Championship Chicago, Illinois
Held over Memorial Day weekend. First prize to be expense paid trip to the 1954 United States Open Championship or $\$ 175.00$. For information write: Austin Chess \& Checker Club, Austin Town Hall, 5610 West Lake St., Chicago Town H
$44, \mathrm{II}$.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
Junc 4-6
Trans-Mississippi Open Davenport, lowa
Details later on this 30 -year-old tourney.
$100 \%$.

BOOST AMERICAN CHESSI

This event has been cancelled for 1954 but will be resumed next year.

## N. Y. CHESS LIFE

(Continued from page 3, col. 4) can tell, this is one of the strongest metropolitan tourneys in recent years!

IN BRIEF: Army's chess team paid a two-day visit to New York recently, dropping a 7-1 decision to the Staten Island C. C. and a $61 / 2-11 / 2$ count to the Marshall C. C.
.Marshall C. C. is setting up an eight-board postal match with London. . . . For the second time in two months the Marshall C. C. has played Cupid, as the recentlywed Mr. and Mrs. Matt DeLieto first met at the club several months ago. . Herb Seidman, with $201 / 2-11 / 2$, won a top-notch Marshall Rapid celebrating the completion of the club's championship tourney.
An Eastern Intercollegiate League is being formed to schedule regular competition among colleges next year, comparable to the set-up in the various Football and Basketball major conferences. Interested colleges should contact either yours truly at 200 W . 20th St. N. Y. 11, N. Y. or Bill Howard \% Univ. of Penn Chess Club, Houston Hall, Philadelphia 4, Pa.

Maryland (Baltimore) Chess Club: Grandmaster Samuel Reshevsky scored 48 wins, 8 draws and no losses in a 56 board simultaneous exhibition.


[^0]:    Middletown (Conn.) Chess Club: New England Champion James Bolton scored 13 victories and 3 losses, while giving Knight odds to 11 of his 16 opponents

