

# What's The Best Move? <br> <br> Conducted by <br> <br> Conducted by GUILHERME GROESSER <br> USSR 20: USA 12 

CEND solutions to Pqsition No. 144 to the Editor, CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, III., by August 5, 1954.

## Position No. 144

White seems in desperate straights, but the player, famous in Whist circles, was not disconcerted. He had a coup up his sleeve, not exactly the sacrifice of an unsupported honor card, but something quite as effective in blocking Black's efforts for victory.
Solution in August 20 issue.
Position No. 141
This position published in May 20 issues comes from the Czechoslovakian Master Tournament and is one of Pachman's effective combinations in overboard play. It calls for the sacrifice of the exchange and the carnage leaves White with Kt and Q against two

## SUESMAN TAKES RHODE ISLAND

In a round robin event sponsored by the Providence YMCA Chess Club, Walter Suesman, chess editor of the Providence Journal, won the Rhode Island State title 6-0. Second place went to former Rhode Island Champion Albert Martin with $5-1$, losing only to Suesman. Chester Kisiel was third with $31 / 2$. $21 / 2$ and Bruce Carpenter fourth with 3-3.

## U.S. OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP <br> August 2-14, 1954

New Orleans, La.
Place: Roosevelt Hotel, New Orleans, La.

Dates: Monday, August 2 through Saturday, August 14.

Direction: Under the auspices of the Louisian Chess Association with the New Orleans Chapter as hosts; tournament director Newton Grant;

Tournament: Swiss system, according to regulations established by USCF Tournament Plans Committee.

- For Details, write: A. L. McAuley, 4225 So. Liberty St., New Orleans, La.

> WS, La. ALSO CHAMAN'S OPEN AND ZONSHIP

Two top ranking women contestants declared U. S. Representatives to the Women's World Championship Candidates Tournament in 1955.


Rs and B-but Black cannot escape the mating net, squirm as he will.
For solution, please turn to page twelve.
NOTE: Do not place solutions to two positions on one card; be sure to indicate correct number of position being solved.

## STEVENS WINS TEXAS STATE

Blake Stevens of San Antonio won the Texas State Championship at Corpus Christi by S-B points with 5-1 score, drawing with Owen W. Johnson and Joe Gilbert. Second and third with 5-1 each were Owen Johnson of Dallas and Harley W. Wilbur of Corpus Christi. Johnson drew with Stevens and Wilbur, who drew also with William $\backslash$ Browning. Fourth and fifth on S-B in the 38 player Swiss were Joe Gilbert of Dallas and William B. Bills of Houston with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ each.

Shane O'Neil in 12th place with $31 / 2-21 / 2$ became Texas Junior Champion as ranking junior player in the event, while Mrs. Hanni Meyers became Texas Women's Champion with $2-4$ score as ranking women player.

## HESSE CAPTURES LEHIGH VALLEY

H. V. Hesse with 14-1 score took the Lehigh Valley Open title in a round robin event sponsored by the Allentown YMCA Chess Club, losing one game to $\mathbf{F}$. Schaeffer. Mahlon Cleaver was second with $121 / 2-21 / 2$, losing to Hesse and Schaeffer and drawing with Thomas Gutekunst who placed third with $12-3$. Gutekunst lost only to Hesse, but drew with Cleaver, Paul Sherr, M. Simsak, and Joseph Krefnus. Tied for fourth were Paul Sherr and Martin Simsak at 10-5 each.

## Americans Lose Three Rounds

## But Tie Last Session 4-4

By KENNETH HARKNESS

The international match between leading chess masters of the United States and the Soviet Uunion, held at New York's Hotel Roosevelt June 16th to 24th under the auspices of the U. S. Chess Federation, ended in a victory for the Russians by a total score of 20 to 12. The visitors won the first three rounds by scores of $6-2,5-3,5-3$, but were held to a 4.4 tie in the final session.

Although our team lost the match, the results indicate an improvement in the strength of American players and hold out some hope for a better showing in the next contest with the USSR. Suffering from stage-fright and overawed by the reputations of their formidable opponents, or else foolishly overconfident, the Americans were crushed $6-2$ in the first round. However, the morale and playing strength of the home team improved as the match progressed. The last-round tie by the Americans was an achievement unequalled by the teams of any other country playing against the Russians. It is just possible that a longer match would have produced a closer total score or even a victory for our side!

## Plus Scores To D. Byrne, Evans

Samuel Reshevsky, the only Grandmaster on the American team, played four draws with Vassily Smyslov. At the other boards, it was the young American players (with the exception of Bisguier) who fared best against the Russians. Donald Byrne and Larry Evans were the two heroes who turned in plus scores against their opponents. Playing USSR Champion Yuri Averbach, Donald won two games over the board, scored a point on timeforfeit, lost one game for a total of 3-1, Larry Evans, facing Mark Taimanov, won two, drew one and lost one for a total of $21 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$. Robert Byrne lost his first-round game to Alexander Kotov, then drew the remaining three games. Robert should have made an even score but overlooked a win at the 103rd move of his twice-adjourned game for the second round.

The Americans were outclassed at board $2,3,5$ and 7. Pavey, Horowitz and Bisguier scored only one point apiece; Denker was blanked 3-0. Arthur Dake, who substituted for Denker in the first round, lost his game, as did Alexander Kevitz who was put in to take the place of Pavey in the third round. Best individual score of the match was registered by Russia's David Bronstein who won all four of his games. Keres, Geller and Petrosian scored 3 points apiece.

## Big Crowds Attend Sessions

The match was witnessed by capacity crowds of more than 1000 spectators at every session. Many (Please turn to page 5, col. 1)

## STEINMEYER TIES POMAR AT LAKES

In an exciting finish U.S. Master Robert Steinmeyer tied International Master Arturo Pomar for first place in the 96 player Great Lakes Open Championship at Chicago. In the semi-final round Steinmeyer defeated Pomar who was in time pressure through most of the game, but Steinmeyer himself was held to a draw by Angelo Sandrin in the final round and so was forced to share first place with Pomar at 6-1 each.
Third to fifth with $51 / 2-11 / 2$ scores were Povilas Tautvaisas, Angelo Sandrin, John Tums, and Richard Kujoth in an event which drew players from Ohio, Michigan, New York, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kentucky, Indiana, Georgia, Kansas, and Illinois.
The Class championship prizes were awarded to Robert Uhlman of Grand Rapids with $51 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$ for Class A, Sheldon Rein of Minneapolis with 5-2 for Class B, and Richard Roth of Chicago with 5-2 for Class C. The tournament was directed smoothly by Paul Adams of the sponsoring Austin Chess and Checker Club, ably assisted by Charles Brokaski. Success of this event has the sponsors considering staging it annually.

> SEE INSIDE PAGES for Stories on U. S. Championship and USA vs. USSR Team Match


7 HE Eastern States Open, held at the Long Cabin Chess Club in West Orange, N.J., under the generous patronage of E. Forry Laucks and the excellent direction of Hans Kmoch, is a memorable event. The tournament was so successful that a repeat performance is promised for Thanksgiving weekend in November. In Position No. 131, White declined to accept the apparent perpetual check (as loss of the White Queen is the alternative) and won in 3 moves.

Position No, 132 is an original composition that I enjoyed solving.
For solutions, please turn to Page Twelve.
Send all contributions for this column to Edmund Nash, 1530 28th Place, S.E. Washington 20, D. C.

## PENQUITE TOPS SO. DAKOTA OPEN

John Penquite of Des Moines scored 7.0 to win the South Dakota Open Championship at Rapid City. Second place went to Carl Weberg of Salina with $41 / 2 \cdot 21 / 2$, losing games to Penquite and Donald C. Emigh drawing with M. F. Anderson. Emigh of Rapid City placed third with $4-3$, losing games to Penquite and Richard B. Denu, while drawing with Bertin Goddard and Kenneth Weberg. M. F. Anderson was fourth with $31 / 2 \cdot 31 / 2$ in the round robin event.

At the annual meeting of the South Dakota Chess Association, a USCF State Affiliate, Richard B. Denu was elected president, Donald Emigh secretary, Bryant W. Holmes vice-president, and M. F. Anderson the USCF Director.

## GERTH TRIUMPHS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

Ralph Gerth, Jr. scored $5-0$ to win the New Hampshire State title at Concord. Philip D. Bell placed second with 4-1. Third to fifth on S-B with $31 / 2-11 / 2$ each were E. O. Fisk, P. B. Kilmister, and Almon H. Kelly in the 5 round Swiss event.


A visitor from Melbournc, Australia walked off with the Cleveland Speed Championship, held at the St. Patrick Club. Twenty-two players contested in the 10 rd Swiss, and Alex S. Komives with $81 / 2-11 / 2$ tallied the top score. He was closely dogged by Cleveland City Champion Rudolf Pitschak and R. Kause with $8-2$ each, followed by A. Robboetoy with $71 / 2-21 / 2$.

## NEDVED TAKES 30TH TRANS-MISS

Kimball Nedved of Glencoe, former Illinois State Champion,-won the 30th annual Trans-Mississippi Open at Davenport with a score of $51 / 2-1 / 2$ in the 43 player Swiss event. Nedved's only lapse was a draw with Povilas Tautvaisas of Chicago in the 5th round. Tautvaisas was fourth in the tourney with $41 / 2-11 / 2$, losing to Hugh Myers of Decatur in the final round on a time forfeit.
Hugh Myers was second with a 5-1 score, losing a game to Nedved. Third was Charles Henin of Chicago with the same game score but lower S-B rating. After Tautvaisas came Albert Sandrin, Jr., Steve Winikaitis, Dr. Isadore Schwartz, John Ragan, Martin Ptacek, Angelo Sandrin, Edmond Godbold, Daniel Roszkowski, Daniel Fischheimer, the first two with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ scores and the remainder with 4-2. Other prize winners included Lawrence Maher and Melvin Semb in Class B and John Roccker and Norval Stamm in Class C.
The tournament was sponsored by the Tri-City Chess and Checker Club, Second and Brady, Davenport, and was held in the main auditorium of the Chamber of Commerce. Prizes in cash and merchandise were presented to 17 prize winners. Nedved's first prize was $\$ 100$.

## ELO TRIUMPHS <br> IN MILWAUKEE CO.

Arpad E. Elo for the third successive year won the Milwaukee County title (although he actually lives in Waukesha County with a Milwaukee P.O. address!). In winning this year, Elo had an assist from John Grkavac who was leading in the final round until he blundered the point away by leaving a Rook en prise to Dan Clark. This left Elo in undisputed first with $71 / 2 \cdot 11 / 2$, while second to third with 7.2 each were Dan Clark, John B. Grkavac, and N. Kampars. Fourth and fifth with $61 / 2-21 / 2$ on Solkoff were L. Gaigals and Averill Powers.
Elo lost one game to Kampars and drew with V. Liepskalns. Clark lost to Elo and drew with Kampars and Marshall Rohland. Grkavaes lost to Clark and Elo. Kampars lost to Alfred Wehriey and drew with Clark and Gaigals.
Wisconsin State Champion Averill Powers started badly with losses to C. Gardner and Melvin Cohen in first two rounds, but rallied thereafter to concede only one draw to Kalman Farkas, ending with $61 / 2 \cdot 21 / 2$. The return of two veterans to chess competition after more than 10 years' absence in Curtis Gardner and Alfred Wehrley indicates a general revival of chess interest in Milwaukee, possibly spurred by the excitement of the U. S. Open Championship in Milwaukee last summer. 57 players competed, setting a new record for this event.

University of Illinois bested Decatur in a return match 5.1 with P. Poschel,
C. H. Liu, J. E. Warren, E. RadzimovC. H. Liu, J. E. Warren, E. Radzimov-
ski, and H. Hughart scoring for Illinois ski, and H. Hughart scoring for limois
while Hugh Myer salvaged the point while Hugh
for Decatur.

## Chess Life <br> In $\eta_{\text {ew }} Y_{\text {ork }}$

By Eliot Hearst

WE leave to the regular news columns of CHESS LIFE the analysis and commentary regarding the recently completed U. S. Championship tourney and turn here instead to a description of the "Russian invasion" of New York-how this metropolis greeted the Soviet team and how the Russion players reacted in turn! It's been a long time since any Russian master ever visited the United States and no doubt American chess fans will be more than a little interested in hearing about the Soviet stars whose games they have often admired but whom they have never seen in person. At this writing the historic international match is just beginning, but the six days prior to the initiation of competition found the Russians getting acquainted with the United States and the New York chess public in a big way! Let's see what happened to Messrs. Smyslov and company after they alighted from their plane at $6: 15 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$. June 11 up to the start of the match on the evening of June 16
June 11: Russians arrive at 6:15 A.M. and are greeted by President Phillips of the USCF and Captain Alex Bisno of the US team as they enter Idlewild Airport terminal. Television cameras roll away as short speeches of hospitality and international friendship are made by the principals of both teams. A supposedly embarrassing question "What about Botvinnik?" is eventually asked of the Soviet delegation and, according to N. Y. Times reports, this query acted as a cue for the Russian departure to their weekend residence at Glen Cove, Long Island amidst such answers as "Botvinnik is sick" and "worn out from his hard fought match with Smyslov". The Russians retire to Long Island to rest for a couple of days from their journey.

That night this reporter's composure is upset by the unexpected sight of observing pictures of renowned Russian chessmasters alighting from a plane on a late TV newscast. After weeks of watching the Army-McCarthy hearings at that hour, what a surprise to recognize Kotov, Bronstein, and Smyslov right in my own home!
June 12: Russians rest at Long Island while N.Y. newspapers proceed to give tremendous publicity to their arrival, the N.Y. Times featuring the story on page one. We hear that the Russians will visit the Maghattan C.C. the next day at 3:00 P.M. and thence visit the Marshall C.C. at 4:00 during the last round of the U.S. Championship then in progress at the latter club. Your reporter hopes his game in the championship is over before 4:00 so he can get a chance to meet the Russians before he gets in time pressure!
June 13: The N.Y. Times Sunday magazine section reveals Sammy Reshevsky as a feature writer in
(Please turn to page 4, col. 2)

## BISGUIER WINS U. S. TITLE <br> Undefeated Victor Concedes Six Draws <br> <br> Outpoints Defending Champion Evans <br> <br> Outpoints Defending Champion Evans <br> by KENNETH HARKNESS

ARTHUR BISGUIER of New York became the new Chess Champion of the United States when he finished in first place with a score of 10-5 in the fourteen-man tournament held at the Marshall Chess Club May 29th through June 13th. Defending champion Larry Evans of New York placed second with a score of $9-4$. The winner and runner-up qualified as the two players who will represent the United States in the FIDE World Championship Interzonal Tournament of 1955.

The U. S. title did not change hands until the last round of the tournament. Bisguier, the only undefeated player, had piled up a score of 9.3 with six wins and six draws. Evans had won six, drawn five and lost one (to Eliot Hearst) to enter the last round with a score of $81 / 2-31 / 2$. No other contestant had a chance to tio or outpoint the leader. The issue was decided when Larry Evans was held to a draw by Herbert Seidman while Bisguier went on to win from Dr. Ariel Mengarini.

The Frank J. Marshall Trophy, emblem of the U.S. title, was presented to the new champion in the garden at the rear of the club, packed to capacity by spectators and distinguished guests, including members of the Soviet chess team. Photographers had a field day as they took shots of Bisguier shaking hands with Tigran Petrosian, the champion's opponent in the USA-USSR match, surrounded by Reshevsky, Evans, Keres, Averbach, Geller, Kotov, Taimanov and other players of international renown.

## Bisguier's Popularity Assured

Our chubby, cheerful chess champion for the next two years will be a popular title holder. As friendly as a puppy, Art Bisguier doesn't have an enemy in the world. If he cannot say something nice about you, Art doesn't say anything. He bubbles over with boisterous enthusiasm for chess and chessplayers. It is no effort for Art to win friends and influence people; it is a natural gift.

The new champion is one of that rare species-a New Yorker who was born in New York. The happy event took place just 24 years ago, on October 8th, 1929. Young Arthur learned the chess moves when he was only 7 years old, started to play in tournaments of the BronxEmpire Chess Club a few years later. His performance in the 1944 championship of that club was the first to be recorded in the rating files of the USCF. The future champion started his carcer as a Class A player.


In 1945, at the age of 15, Bisguier entered his first national tournament- the U. S. Amateur Championship held in New York - but failed to qualify for the
 R. Exaneremita Art joined the Manhattan Chess Club, took part in the powerful championship tournament of this famous club. In the same year, the budding young player made a strong bid for the United States Open title at Pittsburgh, rose to the expert class in the national ratings.

NNIAL CHAMPIONSHIP


Arthur B. Bisguier's Tournament Record

| YEAR | TOURNAMENT OR MATCH | Rank | Score | Perf. Rating | Avg. Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1944 | Bronx-Empire Chess Club Championship | 3 | 6-3 | 1924 | 1924 |
| 1945 | Bronx-Empire Chess Club Championship | 3 | $8-4$ | 2141 |  |
| 1945 | U. S. Amateur Championship, Preliminary | 5-6 | 7.4 | 2124 | 2063 |
| 1946 | Manhattan Chess Club Chamiponship .... | 13-14 | 53-101 | 2124 |  |
| 1946 | U. S. Open Championship, Pittsburgh | 5-6 | 4-5 | 2261 | 2149 |
| 1947 | Manhattan Chess Club Championship | 7 | 23-51 | 2166 | 2149 |
| 1947 | Bronx-Empire Chess Club Championship | 2 | 83.21 | 2204 | 2173 |
| 1946 | Manhattan Chess Championship | 3-1 | 53-101 | 2124 |  |
| 1948 | U. S. Open Championship, Baltimore | 7 | 81-31 | 2297 |  |
| 1948 | U. S. Junior Championship, Oak Ridge | 1 | 8 -2 | 2318 |  |
| 1948 | International Tournament, New York | 7-8 | $4-5$ | 2508 | 2392 |
| 1949 | Manhattan Chess Club Championship | 1 | $8-2$ | 2535 |  |
| 1949 | U. S. Open Championship, Omaha | 5 | 8 -4 | 2253 |  |
| 1949 | U. S. Junior Championship, Ft. Worth | 1 | 8 -2 | 2371 |  |
| 1949 | N. Y. State Championship, Rochester | 5 | 63-31 | 2328 |  |
| 1949 | Junior Masters' Tournament, New Yor | 3 | $4-4$ | 2338 | 2370 |
| 1950 | Manhattan Chess Club Championship | 3-6 | 7 -4 | 2410 | 2394 |
| 1950 | U. S. Open Championship, Detroit | 3 | 91.23 | 2402 | 2394 |
| 1950 | N. Y. State Championship, Binghamton | 3 | 62-2\% | 2334 |  |
| 1950 | Stevenson Memorial Tourney, Southsea | 3 | 73.23 | 2442 | 2390 |
| 1951 | Manhattan Chess Club Championship | 2-3 | 91 $31-72$ 31 | 2549 |  |
| 1951 | Wertheim Memorial Tournament, New Yor | 10 | 32-7 | 2345 | 2428 |
| 1952 | International Team Tourney, Helsinki | 1 |  | 2685 | 2486 |
| 1953 | International Tournament, Vienna | 23 | 9-2 | 2680 2232 | 2486 |
| 1953 | U. S. Open Championship, Milwaukee ....... | 23 | 83-4 813 | 2232 2630 |  |
| 1953 | U. S. Candidates Tournament, Philadelphia | 1 | 83-13 | 2491 | 2460 |
| 1953 | Marshall CC-Franklin CC Match .-... | 2-4 | $8 \cdot 3$ | 2467 | 2464 |
| 1954 | Manhattan Chess Club Championship | 2-4 | 10-3 | 2649** |  |
| 1954 | U. S. Championship, New York .-. | 1 | 10 with | thers | during |

*Performance rating for U. S. Championship will be averaged with others during current period and a new average will appear in the Fall ranking list.

Bisguier shot to the front in 1948 when he won the championship of the Manhattan Chess Club and became the United States Junior Champion by winning the title tournament at Oak Ridge, Tenn. At the U.S. Open in Baltimore, he tied with Pavey, Steinmeyer and Pinkus behind Adams, Kashdan; Ulvestad and Kramer. These achievements gained him an invitation to play in the New York International of $1948-49$ where he tied with Kashdan ahead of Denker and Steiner, behind Fine, Najdorf, Euwe, Herman Pilnik, Horowitz and Kramer. As a result of his performances in 1948, Bisguier graduated to the master class in the USCF rankings.
In 1949, Bisguier again won the Manhattan Chess Club title and the United States Junior Championship, but failed to capture the U.S. Open at Omaha. The following year, however, Arthur topped 120 entries to win the national Open at Detroit. Then, in his first foreign appearance, the young American won on S-B points over Tartakover in the Stevenson Memorial Tournament at Southsea, England, ahead of Golombek, Penrose, L. Schmid, Bogoljubov and other famous European masters.
In 1951, Bisguier did not fare too well in the Wertheim Memorial Tournament, New York, but this was one of the strongest international contests ever held in this country and it was no disgrace to finish with a minus score. During the next two years, Arthur's chess activity was curtailed by his service in the U.S. Army. He was sent to Europe but his superior officers seemed to have a hard time resisting the Bisguier charm. The bulletin boards of the Marshall and

Manhattan Chess Clubs in New York were plastered with postcards from various spots on the Continent where Arthur was "on leave". The Army also gave him permission to play on the U.S. team at the Chess Olympies in Helsinki, 1952. Bisguier then wound up his European tour in spectacular fashion by winning the 3rd Annual Christmas Tournament at Vienna, $11 / 2$ points ahead of a strong field of European masters,
Returning to the U.S. in 1953, civilian Bisguier disappointed himself and his friends at the gargantuan U.S. Open in Milwaukee but recovered his form to win the National Candidates Tournament at Philadelphia where he qualified for the U.S. Championship. This year, Bisguier tied with Pavey and Turner in the Manhattan Club Championship, half a point behind Arnold Denker.
Bisguier will be a fighting champion, has no intention of resting on his laurels. As this is written, he is playing for the United States in the team match with Russia. He intends to compete in the PanAmerican Tournament at Hollywood and the U.S. Open at New Orleans. In September the U.S. Champion will play on the American team at the Chess Olympics in Buenos Aires.

## Tournament Highlights

Reshevsky, Horowitz and DiCamillo were eligible but decided not to compete. Donald Byrne was also eligible, intended to play, but last-minute duties at the University of Michigan prevented him from coming to New York in time for the contest. The four vacant places were filled by Sidney Bernstein, Dr. Ariel Mengarini, Carl Pilnick and Herbert Seidman. The first

three qualifed as substitutes by their standings in the Candidates Tournament last year. Seidman had been promised qualification from the 1951 Championship, w as disqualified by a resolution
passed too hastily
Larry Evans at Milwaukee, then reinstated by the USCF Directors in a vote by mail.
Seidman proved a worthy contender. Possibly as a result of inactivity, he made a bad start, scoring only 2 points in the first six rounds. Then the Brooklyn star forged ahead with five wins and two draws to finish in third place with 8-5.
Max Pavey and Jimmy Sherwin tied for 4 th-5th with $71 / 2-51 / 2$. They were paired in the last round. After 73 moves the game had boiled down to a Rook and Bishop vs. Rook ending. Sherwin had the extra piece but decided, after an agonized appraisal of the situation, to give up any attempt to win.

To Jimmy Sherwin goes the dubious distinction of being the first player in a U.S. tournament to be time-forfeited under the new FIDE rule specifying that "the last move is not considered as being completed until after the player has stopped his clock". Against Evans in the eleventh round, Sherwin made an incredible number of
(Please turn to page 11, col. 2)
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## Professional vs. Amateur

The Puritan hated bear-baiting, not because it gave pain to the bear, but because it gave pleasure to the spectators.

Once again in the USA vs. USSR Team Match we behold reiterated proof that the gifted amateur is rarely, if ever, the equal of the professional. No matter how talented by natural heritage, the amateur lacks that sometimes brutal precision that marks the top professional as master of his trade, that almost instinctive prevision which comes only from constant practice of the art under all conditions and against all sorts of opposition.

In sport or in art alike, it is a rare amateur who can hold his own when pitted against the professional-there is no reason why chess should be a single abnormal exception to this rule.

Therefore, there need be no surprise at the triumph of the Russian team-it was composed of eight talented professionals, an octet of marked ability and unsurpassed training and experience. Even if they had been amateurs, devoting many hours to other activities including working at a trade for a living, each one of the Russian team was gifted enough as a chess player to have made his mark as a amateur. Therefore, when given the status of professionals with its freedom from the cares of earning a livelihood by other endeavors, it should cause no surprise that the performances of each are superlative.

Against these eight professional titans the USA pitted one professional and a group of amateurs. The professional, Reshevsky, being one of the outstanding top professional chess players of the world, held his own against an equally outstanding professional opponent. The others, being amateurs, however greatly endowed with natural talent, were not the equal of their professional opponents as a class-they lacked not so much ability as the trained experience of many arenas plus constant practice. Occasionally, the genius of the amateur would triumph over the odds and the professional be defeated. But such miracles of inspiration and good luck were not sufficiently frequent to save the U.S. Team from defeat.

Two gifted amateurs did display superlative genius in compiling plus scores against their professional antagonists-U.S. Open Champion Donald Byrne and former U.S. Champion Larry Evans. Their success merely highlights the fact that the amateur is, at a disadvantage. And one of these in Larry Evans is a semi-professional player who plans to combine writing with chess playing as a vocation.

It is obvious that new faces will make little change in the basic situation. What the USA needs is not more chess talent so much as the environment in which such talent can become professional without the risk of starvation. Various young American masters, when single and carefree, have in the past proven that our more talented players can hold their own in any company under the proper conditions. This was proven in the era when Fine, Reshevsky, Dake, Kashdan and other young American masters invaded Europe as professionals and made their mark on the history of International Chess. But the pressure of economics removed all of these, save Reshevsky, from the status of professionals. And the more cagy young masters who followed them, were too wise to attempt a professional career in chess.

If the USA is to exert once again the power it once held in international chess, we must find a way to make the life of the professional chess player profitable and attractive. Until a chess professional can earn a living at the profession, it is idle to expect our gifted young players to devote their energies and skills to chess playing in preference to business. And until we have professional chess players, it is useless to expect many triumphs in team matches against professional players.

How to achieve this objective of creating professional chess players and creating for them a living wage is a problem that will not be solved over night, but it is one that should engage our attention. The easy solution, of course, is the Soviet solution of a Government-dominated and Government-financed program. This, however, is the dangerous way for personal liberty. Better for all would be a program based upon a free enterprise. And the first step in such a program is to create a national chess organization strong enough and rich enough to direct and promote such a program. The United States Chess Federation is growing; but it is still a long way from attaining the nation-wide support that is necessary to achieve such objectives. They will not be achieved until the majority of chess players give their willing support to the Federation. When that day comes, then we can seriously consider the possibilities of regaining a leading role in world chess events.

## CHESS LIFE IN NEW YORK

(Continued from page 2, col. 4)
a discussion of Russian chess and some of the leading Russian chess personalities; the Soviet team is termed the "greatest collection of talent in the history of chess!"
3:00 P.M. The Russians arrive as scheduled at the Manhattan Chess Club and are introduced amidst tremendous ovations to the large crowd of members who came to see them. Alexander Kotov impresses with his flashy blue summer suit, involving stripes of three different colors!

4:00 P.M. Your reporter breathes a sigh of relief as his last-round game is completed at $3: 45$ and the Russians arrive at 4:00 at the Marshall C.C.! Downstairs in the "bridge room" of the club refreshments and vodka(!!) are set up and the Russians are regaled in the midst of autograph hounds, club members, amateur photographers, and just plain chessplayers. We meet Taimanov first, find him to be a very personable fellow who (very flatteringly!) mentions the Hearst-Evans game won by the latter in 1950 and even knows by heart the Hearst-Evans contest played in the U.S. Championship a few days before; since Taimanov is playing Evans in the forthcoming match, we figure he has made a fairly extensive study of the exU.S. Champion's games! Next we are introduced to Geller and Pe trosian, both rather short in stature but the former aggressive and dominant (it appears!) and the latter a shy and quiet youth who we learn is the "baby" of the Russian team at 24 and who, though a native Armenian, is described as Russia's "Capablanca". In scattered conversation with this trio we discover that Taimanov is a concert pianist who often appears with his wife in piano duets on the concert stage in Russia, that Geller served as an aviator in the war and now is a Professor of Agriculture at the University of Odessa besides boasting the muscles of a strong amateur athlete, and that Petrosian combines university studies and chess while at home in the USSR. Keres, whom several women members of the Marshall have commented on as resembling a movie star, does not look his 38 years, and in fact could pass for under 30; he speaks English rather well and has his hands full meeting all
those who wish to be introduced to him and in autographing copies of "Keres' Best Games" and his treatises on the openings. Even after all his international experience he appears a master overcome by the reception the Russian team has received!

We Iearn that Smyslov and Bronstein are tired and are resting in Long Island; they'll be in town tomorrow for the official reception, though. The six Russians present, including also Kotov and Averbach, whom we have not met as yet, finally go upstairs to watch the last round of the U.S. Tourney. Karl Burger, still playing his lastround game, is so overcome by the presence of the Soviet team that he makes five blunders in a row (!)-and only after the Soviets leave is he able to regain a winning position! We analyze with Kotov and Evans and a score of other players and are amazed at the Russian grandmaster's quick sight of the board; he goes over to the Rossolimo-Berliner game, just completed, and points out in a split second a winning move for Rossolimo which neither player had seen in the course of the game. Averbach, a tall, blond and quiet fellow stands nearby and comments modestly, in answer to a query, that the only reason he won the recent USSR championship was because "all the good players did not play." The Russian team leavestill tomorrow at the official reception and cocktail party.
June 14: The reception supposedly is for the twenty players and a hundred invited guests, but there seem to be at least 300 or 400 with TV and newsreel cameramen present. Smyslov, Bronstein and Boleslavsky are at this gathering and look much like the photos that have reached us from abroad. Smyslov, a redhead (!?), is somewhat heavier than we expected and his dignified but friendly air is apparent even amidst the confusion of the crowd. Bronstein is a short, slight fellow whose baldness is restricted to the center of his headrather than to its peripheries where his black hair is by no means absent; despite the fact that we have heard he speaks good Eng lish, he exhibits no inclination to converse in that language with any-
(Please turn to page 12, col. 2)


BEFORE THE BIG MATCH BEGINS
Samuel Reshersky (left) shakes hands with Vassily Smyslov, No. 1 man on the Soviet Team. Behind Reshersky (left to right) are Dake, Bisguier, Evans, Pavey (rear), Don Byrne, Horovitz, Robert Byrne. Behind Smysloy are Bronstein, Geller, a Sovict official, Petrosian, U. S. Team Captain Alexander Bisno (holding microphone), Keres (behind Bisno), and USCF President H. M. Phillips.

Photo: Raymond Jacobs

## USA vs. USSR TEAM MATCH AT NEW YORK

## (Continued from page ${ }^{-}$1, column 3)

travelled hundreds of miles to attend the match. The audience followed the progress of the games as the moves were duplicated on eight demonstration boards behind the players on the stage. Overflow crowds in adjoining rooms were entertained by analysts George Koltanowsky, Eliot Héarst and Nat Halper who explained the moves being made and discussed future possibilities at each board.

For one glorious week chess was front-page news in the United States! Newsreel, TV, newspaper, newsmagazine cameramen and reporters covered the arrival of the Soviet team, the opening and closing rounds of the match. Editors of the metropolitan press recognized the news value of the presence of a Soviet chess team, opened their columns to wide coverage of the contest. Feature stories and roundround results, with game scores and big pictures, appeared in the New York Times, the New York Herald-Tribune and other papers.

First-Round Jitters and Jolts
Spectators streamed into the Roosevelt's Grand Ballroom and the SRO sign went up before the opening ceremony finished. With movie photographers shooting from all angles, U. S. Team Captain Alexander Bisno welcomed the visitors. USCF President H. M. Phillips basked in the Klieg light and spoke his piece. USSR Chess Chief Dmitri Postnikov, who had accompanied the Soviet team, replied on behalf of the visitors. To commemorate the match, the head man of chess in the USSR presented a flag and a good-looking cup to the USCF. Then Mr. Bernard Baruch came on the stage and was given a big round of applause. Mr. Baruch avoided the hackneyed line about cementing cultural relations between our two countries, admitted
he did not know much about chess, thought the last time he had played the game was against a "dummy" in the Eden Musee (the chess automaton of many years ago). In the N. Y. Times the next day, Mr. Baruch was reported as saying that a museum mummy could beat him -an understandable mistake on the part of an inexperienced reporter (not Mr. Helms!).

When the speeches were over, Mr . Bisno and Match Referee Hans Kmoch shooed the photographers and reporters off the stage. Then the Russians asked for a short intermission. The strong lights had bothered them and they wanted to gather their wits for the fray Finally, the clocks were started about $8: 15$ p.m. A surprise substitution had been made at Board 2. Arnold Denker had contracted a virus infection and his place was taken by alternate Arthur W. Dake of Portland, Oregon.

At the eight tables on the stage, flanked by huge Russian and American flags, a Soviet chess team confronted a U. S. team on its home grounds for the first time in history. The Russians had White on the odd numbered boards. At Board 1, tall, red-haired Vassily Smyslov faced the diminutive but spunky Sammy Reshevsky. At Board 2, Oregon's Arthur Dake had white against David Bronstein who has lost all his hair on top but makes up for it with a bushy fringe. At Board 3, handsome Paul Keres of Esthonia sat opposite our Max Pavey. At Board 4, U. S. Open Champion Donald Byrne was opposed by USSR Champion Yuri Averbach who hastens to explain to everybody that "he won the championship when nobody was playing in it." At Board 5, chunky Ewfin Geller faced Israel A. Horowitz who bolstered up his courage
for this match by betting $\$ 100$ to $\$ 250$ that he would make a plus score. (P. S. He lost the bet.) At Board 6, Robert Byrne, who startl ed the Russians at Helsinki, played White against roly-poly Alexander Kotov, noted for his sitzfleisch ability. At Board 7, the dark-haired Armenian, Tigran Petrosian, opposed by newly-erowned U. S. Champion Arthur Bisguier. At Board 8, Leningrad's Mark Taimanov, a pianist of great ability as well as an outstanding chess master, played our Larry Evans, exchampion of the United States.

As play progressed, the seated audience watched the demonstration boards, bowed heads over their pocket-sets, whispered to each other, became concerned about the positions. Some wandered back to the room where George Koltanowsky was holding forth, analyzing the games. Then attention centered on the game at Board 8. Mark Taimanov offered the sacrifice of a Knight against Larry Evans. The American snatched it off without giving too much thought to the consequences. Whereupon the Soviet played launched an irresistable attack, forcing Larry's resignation at 11:55 p.m. First blood to the Russians!

The spectators applauded Taimanov's decisive win, then turned their attention to the other boards.
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Vassily Smyslov
Photo: Raymond Jacobs


David Bronstein
Photo: Raymond Jacobs
It was obvious that the home team was being outplayed at most of the tables. Bronstein had Dake on the ropes, but the American was squirming around trying to avoid the knockout. Keres had all the play against Pavey. Howowitz was in trouble at Board 5. Robert Byrne's game with Kotov attracted most attention when the Hero of Helsinki accepted the sacrifice of a . Knight-another Russian gift that apparently should not have been taken.

At 12:45 a.m. Petrosian offered a draw which Bisguier accepted. A couple of minutes later another point was split when Smyslov and Reshevsky agreed to a draw. Then Horowitz threw in the sponge after Geller had won the exchange and taken away all chances of counterplay.

Around 1:15 a.m., the players at the remaining tables were in time-presure and moving fast. Dake and Pavey collapsed at boards 2 and 3 but Don Byrne won the exchange. The session ended at $1: 20$
(Please turn to page 9, col. 4)

BOX SCORE of the USA-USSR CHESS MATCH

| Board U.S.A. | Rd. <br> R. | $\mathrm{Rd}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rd.} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{4}{\mathrm{Rd} .}$ | Total | U.s.s.R. | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \mathrm{Rd} . \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\mathbf{R d} .}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Rd. } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {Rd. }}^{4}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Reshevsky | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | Smyslov | $\frac{1}{8}$ | 3 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 2 |
| 2 Denker | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Bronstein |  | . 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 2 Dake | 0 | . | .. | - | 0 | Bronstein | 1 | . | . | . | 1 |
| 3 Pavey | 0 | 0 | .. | 1 | 1 | Keres | 1 | 1 | . | 0 | 2 |
| 3 'Kevitz | - | - | 0 | * | 0 | Keres | . | . | 1 | . | 1 |
| 4 Don Byrne | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Averbach | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 Horowitz | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | Geller | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 3 |
| 6 Robt. Byrne | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 13 | Kotov | 1 | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 1 | 21 |
| 7 Bisguier | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Petrosian | 3 | 4 | , |  | 3 |
| 8 Evans | 0 | 1 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 1 | 23 | Taimanov | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| Team Totals: | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 12 |  | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 20 |
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SMYSLOV-RESHEVSKY
With Smyslov playing his favorite Catalan System, Queens were exchanged on the 10th move and the Russian was left with a distinct advantage. The Soviet star managed to get control of the Q-file by doubling Rooks but Reshersky succeeded in opposing Rooks and exchanging off a pair of them before White's domination of the board became too great. Thereafter, with two Knights and a Bishop apiece, the opponents at No. 1 board agreed to a draw after 28 moves had been made
RETI OPENING
(By Transposition)

## DAKE-BRONSTEIN

Oregon's Arthur Dake, substituting unexpectedly for Denker, played the White side of a King's Indian Defense. The West Coast master withheld P-K4, played for R-Q1 and a Queen fianchetto instead. However, an inexact 8th move (QB2) enabled Bronstein to seize the initiative. Further waste of time in a costly Knight maneuver by Dake led to an overwhelming positional (and tactical!) advantage for the Russian. Dake's attempts at swindling his redoubtable opponent proved ineffective. Several Panns behind, the American resigned in view of Bronstein's onrushing passed Queen's Pawn.

| KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE <br> MCO: page 92, column 61 (a) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA vs. USSR Team Match |  |  |  |  |
| New York, 1954 |  |  |  |  |
| Round One, Board Two |  |  |  |  |
|  | hite |  |  | Bla |
| A. DAKE |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 21. B-Q5 | Kt-K2 |
| 2. | P-QB4 | P-Q3 | 22. B-K12 | Kt-83 |
| 3. | Kt-QB3 | P-KK+3 | 23. P-B3 | Kt-K+5 |
| 4. | Kt-B3 | B-K+2 | 24. P-K+4 | B-K3 |
| 5. | P-KKı3 | 0.0 | 25. P-QR3 | B3 |
| 6. | B-K+2 | QKt-Q2 | 26. R-K+1 | -QK+4 |
| 7. | 0.0 | P-K4 | 27. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | Pxp |
| 8. | Q-B2 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | 28. PxP | t-R4 |
| 9. | K+xP | Kt-K+3 | 29. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | KtxBP |
| 10. | P-QK+3 | P-B4 | 30. Q-K+5 | K1xB |
| 11. | KKt-K+5 | 5 P-QR3 | 31. RxKt | P-B5 |
|  | Kt-R3 | B-84 | 32. Kt - $\mathrm{B6} \mathrm{ch}$ | h BxKt |
|  | Q-Q2 | P-Q4 | 33. QxB | Q-R4 |
|  | B-K+2 | P-Q5 | 34. KR-K+1 | Qxp |
|  | Kt-Q1 | Kt-K5 | 35. R-Kı7 | K6 ch |
|  | Q-R5 | R-K1 | 36. K-RI | P-Q6 |
|  | Kt-K+1 | Q-B2 | 37. PxP | Pxp |
|  | Kt-Q2 | KıxKt | 38. Q-B3 |  |
| 19. QxKt QR-Q1 Re |  |  |  |  |
| 20. R-K1 |  |  |  |  |

## KERES-PAVEY

Pavey chose to play the Rubenstein variation of the French Defense (3. ........, $P x P)$ and his passive handling of the opening enabled Keres to build up a promising' attack. In the face of this assault, the American found an ingenious method of exchanging: Queens. However, Keres

## USA vs. USSR TEAM MATCH GAMES

Commentary by U. S. Masters ELIOT HEARST, HANS BERLINER and KARL BURGER
was then in a position to force the gain of an important Q-side Pawn. With two passed Pawns, the Esthonian's only problem was to avoid Bishop's of opposite color endgame. Once this draving possibility was precluded, Pavey resigned.


Geller played the Exchange Variation of the Queen's Gambit Declined. By means of the usual minority attack, the Russian succeeded in weakening Horowitz's Queen Pawn and in putting pressure on his entire $Q$-side. A cute sequence of moves involving a Qucen retreat to Q1 gained the exchange for Geller and he won quickly thereafter.

## QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

MCO: page 166, column 65
USA vs. USSR Team Match
New York, 1954
Round One, Board Five White

In an English Opening, both players jockeyed for position -throughout the first 25 moves with most observers feeling that Averbach had a slight advantage during this phase of the game. When both combatants became short of time, Byrne played incisively and a cute 3 -move combination won him the exchange. Averbach held on well and at adjournment, the strong position of his King, Rook and Knight left him with some chances of a drav. Donald's sealed move proved very strong (some are dubious as to whether the Russian analysts considered it at all) and once a passed Pawn was forced by
the American, the game proved easy to win. A pretty concluding combination finished Averbach off after 59 moves.


A rather uneventful game. The first seven moves were identical on both sides. Thereafter, Petrósian's attempts to gain a positional advantage got nowhere in this Reti Opening. An early offer of a draw by Bisguier after Queens were exchanged was refused, but a few moves later, with the U.S. Champion perhaps having a shade the better of the ending, the point was split.

RETI OPENING
MCO: page 225, column 62 (a)
USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954
Round One, Board Seven

| A. White |  | Black <br> T. PETROSIAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB3}$ |  | 17. KR-Q1 | Kt-83 |
|  | Kt-KB3 | 18. P-B3 | KR-Q1 |
| 2. P-KK+3 |  | 19. B-KB1 | BxB |
|  | P-KK+3 | 20. KxB | B-B1 |
| 3. B-K+2 | B-K+2 | 21. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B4}$ | P-B3 |
| 4. 0.0 | 0.0 | 22. K-K2 | K-B2 |
| 5. P-Q3 | P-Q3 | 23. Kt-K3 | K-K3 |
| 6. P-K4 | P-K4 | 24. R×R | R×R |
| 7. QKt-Q? |  | 25. R-Q1 | R×R |
|  | QKt-Q2 | 26. $K \times R$ | Kt-Kı4 |
| 8. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B4}$ | Kt-K1 | 27. B-K12 | Kt-Q3 |
| 9. P-Q4 | Q-K2 | 28. Kt-Q2 | B-R3 |
| 10.. PxP | PxP | 29. K-K2 | P-B4 |
| 11. P-K+3 | P-K+3 | 30. K-Q3 | Kt-R4 |
| 12. B-R3 | P-QB4 | 31. P-B3 | P-KB5 |
| 13. Q-Q5 | Kt-bz | 32. Kt-Q5 | PxP |
| 14. Q-B6 | Kt-Kt1 | 33. PxP | BxK\% |
| 15. Q-Q6 | QXQ | 34. K×B | P-KR4 |
| 16. $\mathrm{K}+\times \mathrm{Q}$ | B-QR3 | 35. K-K2 |  |

The best game of the round. Robert played well against the King's Indian Defense set up by Kotor and had a distinct advantage going into the middle game. Kotov tried P-QR6 at a critical stage, a move which involved the sacrifice of a piece which Byrne should not have accepted. After the Knight sacrifice
had been taken, Kotor continued neatly and regained the piece with a Pawn to boot in a combination based on a queening possibility. Bishops of opposite color left Byrne with some drawing opportunities but the Russian Grandmaster's careful handling of the ending eventually scored him the point after an adjournment had been taken.

| KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE MCO: page 92, column 61 (a) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USA vs | v. USS | Team M | tch |
| New York, 1954 |  |  |  |  |
| Round One, Board Six |  |  |  |  |
|  | White |  |  | Black |
| R. BYRNE A. KOTO |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | P.Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 31. B-83 | K-K2 |
| 2. | P-QB4 | P-KKı3 | 32. K-Kı2 | K-83 |
| 3. | P-KK+3 | B-K+2 | 33. B-R5 | KxP |
| 4. | B-K+2 | 0.0 | 34. BxP | K-K5 |
| 5. | Kt-QB3 | P-Q3 | 35. K-B2 | K-Q6 |
| 6. | Kt -83 | QKt-Q2 | 36. B-K6 | P-R3 |
| 7. | 0.0 | P-K4 | 37. K-B3 | B-K4 |
| 3. | P-K4 | P-83 | 38. K -B2 | B-83 |
|  | P-KR3 | R-K1 | 39. K-B3 | B-K+4 |
| 10. | R-K1 | P-QR4 | 40. K-B2 | B-R5ch |
|  | B-K3 | PxP | 41. K-B3 | B-K+4 |
|  | KtxP | $\mathbf{K t - B 4}$ | 42. K-B2 | P-R4 |
|  | Q-B2 | P-RS | 43. K-K+3 | P-RSch |
|  | QR-Q1 | 6-R4 | 44. K-K +4 | B-Q1 |
| 15. | P-84 | B-Q2 | 45. P-R4 | K-Q5 |
| 16. | B-82 | R-K2 | 46. P-RS | P-Q4 |
| 17. | P-KK+4 | QR-K1 | 47. PXP | P-B4 |
| 18. | P-85 | PxP | 48. B-B8 | P-BS |
| 19. | KtxKBP | BxKt | 49. K-83 | BxP |
| 20. | KP×B | RxR | 50. K-K2 | P-Kı4 |
| 21. | R×R | P-R6 | 51. P-Q6 | P-K+5 |
| 22. | P-K+5 | Pxp | 52. K-Q1 | P-K+6 |
| 23. | PXKt | R×R ch | 53. K-B1 | K-BS |
| 24. | BxR | BxP ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 54. B-BS | B-Q1 |
| 25. | QxP | Kt-Q6 | 55. K-K+1 | B-Kt4 |
| 26. | Q-Q2 | K+xB | 56. P-Q7 | K-K+5 |
|  | QxKt | B-Q5ch | 57. B-K+6 | P-B6 |
| 28. | K-R1 | K-B1 | 58. B-B5 | K-B4 |
| 29. | Q-Q2 | Q×Kt | Resigns |  |
|  | Q×Q | BXQ |  |  |

## EV ANS-TAIMANOV

Evans played Taimanov's B-K2 against his King's Indian and eventually exchanged his King's Parn for Black's KBP-an unusual method of handling the central formation. Instead of playing the commonplace $Q$-side attack, Evans prepared P.KKt4 and a K-side assault. When this attack was finally underway, Taimanov offered the sacrifice of a Knight which led to a smashing attack after Evans took the piece. Later analysis revealed that a refusal of the sacrifice would have left Evans with a satisfactory game. As it went, Taimanoy won the American's Queen by a forced sequence of moves. With only a Rook left in exchange for bis Queen, Evans soon resigned.

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE MCO: page 89 (aB) USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954
Round One, Board Eight

| White <br> L. EVANS |  | Black <br> M. TAIMANOV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1. P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 19. PxP | Kt -R4 |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-KK+3 | 20. PxKt | Q-R5 ch |
| 3. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB3}$ | B-K+2 | 21. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K}+2$ | KtxPch |
| 4. P-K4 | P-Q3 | 22. K-B1 | B-R6ch |
| 5. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | 23. $\mathrm{K} 7 \times \mathrm{B}$ | QxKtch |
| 6. B-K2 | P-K4 | 24. K-B2 | QR-KB1 |
| 7. 0.0 | Kt -B3 | 25. B-B3 | -Q6ch |
| 8. P-Q5 | Kt-K2 | 26. K-K2 | R×B |
| 9. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kl}$ | Kt-Q2 | 27. Q-Q2 | RxBCh |
| 10. Kt-Q3 | P-KB4 | 28. QxR | QxPch |
| 11. PxP | PxP | 29. K-Q2 | B-R3 |
| 12. P-B4 | P-K5 | 30. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{ch}$ | K×R |
| 13. Kt - $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | Kt-KB3 | 31. KtxP | Bxach |
| 14. B-K3 | K-R1 | 32. KxB | Q-R6ch |
| 15. K-R1 | R-KK+1 | 33. K-Q2 | Kt-K4 |
| 16. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}$ K+1 | P-B4 | 34. R-K+1 ch | K-B1 |
| 17. P-KR3 | Kt-K+3 | 35. R-K+3 | Q-R5 |
| 18. P-KKt4 | PxP | Resigns |  |
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## RESHEVSKY-SMYSLOV

In the main variation of the Slay Defense Resherksy made no attempt to mix it up. Instead, he exchanged off three minor pieces in order to leave Smyslor with a doubled KKtP. This set-up, proved to be no disadvantage for the Russian Grandmaster and a quick draw was the result.

| SLAV DEFENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA vs. USSR Team Match |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York, 1954 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Round Two, Board One |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | White |  |  |  | Black |
| S. RESHEVSKY V. SMYSLOV |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | P-Q4 | P.Q4 |  | B.Q3 | P-KR3 |
| 2. | P.QB4 | P-QB3 |  | R-Q1 | Q-K2 |
| 3. | Kt-KB3 | Kt -B3 |  | P-K5 | Kt-Q4 |
| 4. | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | PxP |  | KtxKt | BPXK+ |
| 5. | P-QR4 | B-84 |  | BxB | PxB |
| 6. | P-K3 | P-K3 |  | B-Q2 | KR-B1 |
| 7. | BxP | B-QK+5 | 17. | KR-B1 | Kt-B1 |
| 8. | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | P-R4 | BxB |
| 9. | Q-K2 | QKt-Q2 |  | QxB | Q-Q2 |
|  | P.K4 | B-K+3 |  | AWN |  |

## BRONSTEIN-DENKER

The former U.S. Champion, recovered from his brief illness, chose to play the Sicilian Defense and Bronstein countered by playing the 7. P-B3 variation against Black's Dragon formation. A vigorous attack by the Russian forced weaknesses in Denker's K-side which an exchange of Queens served to accentuate. In the ending, the American missed one good draning shance and resigned without continuing play when shown Bronstein's sealed move.

| SICILIAN DEFENSE <br> MCO: page 282 , column 89 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA vs. USSR Team Match |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | New Y | , 1954 |  |
| Round Two, Board Two |  |  |  |  |
| WhiteD. BRONSTEIN BlackA. S. DENKER |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | P-K4 | P-QB4 | 22. KR-K1 | KR-K+1 |
| 2. | Kt-KB3 | P-Q3 | 23. P-K+3 | P-K4 |
| 3. | P-Q4 | PxP | 24. PXP e.p. | p. K-K2 |
| 4. | KtxP | Kt-KB3 | 25. R-Q4 | P-QR4 |
| 5. | Kt -qB3 | P-KK+3 | 26. BxP | QR-KB1 |
| 6. | B-K3 | B-Kı2 | 27. B-R3 | R-K+6 |
| 7. | P-83 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 28. R-R1 | R-KB6 |
| 8. | Q-Q2 | Kt-33 | 29. P-B5 | R-KK+1 |
| 9. | 0.0.0 | KtxKt | 30. R-Q3 | R×R |
| 10. | BxKt | B-K3 | 31. P-B6 ch | K×P |
| 11. | K-K+1 | P-QR3 | 32. R-B1 ch | K-K2 |
| 12. | P-KR4 | P-KR4 | 33. R-B7 ch | K-K1 |
| 13. | Kt-Q5 | BxKt | 34. PxR R | R-K+8 ch |
| 14. | PxB | Kt-Q2 | 35. K-K+2 | R-KR8 |
| 15. | BxB | K×B | 36. B-B5 | R-R7 ch |
| 16. | Q-Q4 ch | P-83 | 37. K-B1 | R-R8 ch |
| 17. | P-KK+4 | Q-K+3 | 38. K-Q2 | R-R7 ch |
| 18. | Q×Q | KraQ | 39. K-Q1 | Kt-Q4 |
| 19. | PxP | PxP | 40. B-K4 | Kt-K2 |
| 20. | B-R3 | P-84 | 41. R-R7 | K-Q1 |
| 21. | P-KB4 | K-83 | 42. R-R8 ch |  |

## PAVEY-KERES

In an English Opening Pavcy again chose a passive variation and eventually Keres was left with two Bishops and a stron'g supported passed Queen's Pawn in addition to a dominating Queen position at adjournment. Material was even but positional advantages enabled the Esthonian to push White into passive defense and finally to force the gain of an important Q-side Pawn. A few mores later Black's newly-created passed QRP could not be stopped and Pavey resigned.


AVERBACH-DONALD BYRNE
An English Opening by the Russian Champion left him with a slight advantage but Byrne eventually neutralized this edge and had an approximately equal position when he made two disasterous time-pressure blunders, one costing two pieces for a Rook and the second an exchange. He resigned without remaining play.

ENGLISH OPENING
USA : page 36, column 28 (gC)
New York, 1954
Round Two, Board Fou

## White



A slipshod but exciting game. Taimanov got the worst of the opening on the White side of a Nimzoindian Defense but was later able to sacrifice the exchange (which-Evans should not have accepted) for a powerful central Pawn formation. Taimanov missed numerous winning continuations but managed to gain a Pawn as the combatants entered time-pressure. In the melee the Russians overlooked a more which could have forced Evans' resignation and at the completion of 40 moves the American had redeemed his position so well that he refused the draw proferred by his opponent at adjournment. Upon resumption, Taim-
anov played a losing variation and a cut finishing combination won a Rook and the game for Evans. With best play at adjournament the Soviet master would have had fair drawing chances

## NIMZOVITCH DEFENSE

MCO: pages 108-109
USA vs. USSR Team Match
New York, 1954
Round Two, Board Eight

| White |  |  |  |  | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. | TAIMA | NOV |  | L. | EVANS |
| 1. | P-QB4 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB3}$ | 29. | Kt-Q5 | B-K+2 |
| 2. | Kt -QB3 | P-K3 | 30. | K+xP | R×P |
| 3. | P-Q4 | B-K+5 | 31. | B-R3 | R-QB2 |
| 4. | P-K3 | O-0 | 32. | R-Qt | P-R4 |
| 5. | Kt -83 | P-84 | 33. | P-BS | Kt-K5 |
| 6. | B-K2 | BxKt ch | 34. | P-R4 | K-B2 |
| 7. | PxB | P-Q3 | 35. | Kt-Q7 | Kt-K+6 |
| 8. | $0 \cdot 0$ | Kt-83 | 36. | Kt -88 | K-K+1 |
| 9. | Kt-Q2 | P-K4 | 37. | Kt-K+6 | $\mathbf{K + x P}$ |
| 10. | B-K+2 | P-QK+3 | 38. | R-KB1 | -K6 |
| 11. | Q-B2 | B-R3 | 39. | R-B8ch | K-R2 |
| 12. | P-B4 | KPXQP | 40. | Kt -84 | R-B7 |
| 13. | BPxP | Pxp | 41. | R-B7 | B-83 |
| 14. | B-KB3 | P-Q4 | 42. | R-B7 | Kt-K+5 |
| 15. | BPxP | Kt-QK+5 | 43. | P-K+3 | P-K+5 |
| 16. | Q-R4 | BxR | 44. | B-K+2 | R×B |
| 17. | QxKt | B-R3 | 45. | $\mathbf{R \times B}$ | $\mathbf{R \times P}$ |
| 18. | QxQP | R-B1 | 46. | R-QK+6 | R-Kt7 |
| 19. | P-K4 | B-K12 | 47. | K+xP | P-K+6 |
| 20. | Kt -84 | P-QKt4 | 48. | R-K+7 R | R-K+8ch |
| 21. | Kt-K3 | Q-K+3 | 49. | K-K+2 K | t-K6ch |
| 22. | QxQ | PxQ | 50. | K-83 | Kt-B5 |
| 23. | P-K5 | Kt-Q2 | 51. | KtxP | P-K+7 |
| 24. | B-Kt4 | KR-Q1 | 52. | Kt -K6 ch | ch K-R3 |
| 25. | P-K6 | PxP | 53. | K-K2 | Kt-Q3 |
| 26. | PxP | Kt -34 | 54. | R-K+6 | R-KR8 |
| 27. | P-K7 | R-KI | 55. | Kt-K+5 | K-R4 |
| 28. | BxR | $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\times B}$ |  | gns |  |

HOROWITZ-GELLER
Horowitz played well against the King's Indian Defense of Geller and maintained the superior position throughout most of the game, with the Russian playing ingeniously to create counterchances. At adjournment, Bishops of opposite color practically forced a draw although both sides had some winning tries. The game was not ressmed, the players agrecing to a drave by telephone.

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
MCO: page 92, column 61 (a)
USA vs. USSR Team Match
New York, 1954
Round Two, Board Five
White
A. HOROWITZ
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 1. } & \text { P-Q4 } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 \\ \text { 2. } & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK}+3\end{array}$
22. KP×P PELLER
$\begin{array}{llllr}\text { 3. Kt-QB3 } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 12 & \text { 24, B-Q2 } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q2} \\ \text { 4. } & \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{KB} 3 & \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} & 25, \mathrm{R}(3)-\mathrm{B3} & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 44\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{llrlr}\text { 6. } & \text { B-Kt2 } & \text { OKt-Q2 } & \text { 27. P-B6 } & \text { P×P } \\ \text { 7. } & \text { O-O } & \text { P-K4 } & \text { 23. B-B1 } & \text { Q-Kt1 } \\ \text { 8. } & \text { P-K4 } & \text { P-B3 } & \text { 29. R×P } & \text { Kt-R4 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrl}\text { P-K4 } & \text { P-B3 } & \text { 29. R×P } \\ \text { P-KR3 } & \text { P-QR4 } & \text { 30. K-K }+2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 10. B-K3 } & \text { P-R5 } & \text { 31. P-B4 } & \text { B-K } 47 \\ \text { 11. Q-B2 } & \text { Q-R4 } & \text { 32. QR-B2 } & \text { Kt-B3 } \\ \text { 12. QR-B1 } & \text { P×P } & \text { 33. Q-K } 46 & \text { Kt }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrlr}\text { 12. } Q R-B 1 & P \times P & \text { 33. } Q \cdot K+6 & K t-K 5 \\ \text { 13. } K+x Q P & K t-B 4 & \text { 34. } B-K 1 & Q \times Q\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrlr}\text { 13. } K+x Q P & K t-B 4 & \text { 34. } B-K 1 & Q \times Q \\ \text { 14. KR-Q1 } & K K t-Q 2 & \text { 35. } R \times Q & Q R-Q B 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lrl}\text { 15. } Q K+-K 2 & R-K 1 & \text { 36. } R(6)-Q B 6 \text { R } \\ \text { 16. } K t-B 4 & K+-B 3 & 37 \\ R \times R\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{rrrr}\text { 19. P-Kt3 } & \text { Kt-QKt5 } & \text { 40. K-B2 } & \text { R-Q1 } \\ \text { 20. Kt-Q3 } & \text { KtXKt } & \text { 41. K-K2 } & \text { DRAWN }\end{array}$

## 21. RXKt P-Q4

## ©

## KOTOV-ROBERT BYRNE

The longest game of the match, lasting 108 moves. The game was adjourned twice and took 13 hours to complete. Byrne won a Pawn on the Black side of an English Opening and after the first adjournment managed to set up a winning position with 2 Bishops and 2 Pawns versus Bishop, Knight and one

Pawn. After the second adjournment, Byrne forced a Bishop and 2 Pawns vs. Knight and Pawn winning endgame only to blunder a few moves before the end. Had he placed his King on QKts in stead of QKt3 Kotor would have been unable to stop a Black passed Pawn from Queening as he did in the actual continuation. A discouraging conclusion for Robert who worked very hard to win the game.

ENGLISH OPENING
MCO; page 34. column 18 (h)
USA vs. USSR Team Match
New York, 1954
Round Two, Board Six
 made.

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
MCO: page 90, column 55 (p)
USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954
Round Two, Board Seven
White
A. BISGUIER

1. P-Q4 Kt-KB3
2. P-QB4 P-KKł3
T. PETR Black
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2} & \text { 6. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{P} .84\end{array}$
(Please turn to page 8, col. 1)

Monday, Page 8 July 5, 1954

## SMYSLOV-RESHEVSKY

Smyslov again played the Catalan Opening and Reshersky employed an unusual development of his Bishop on Q3. By quiet development the Soviet player obtained a definite edge and during timepressure won a Pawn. The enusing endgame, however, was drawn by careful play on the American's part in 56 moves.


## DENKER-BRONSTEIN

Denker played the Catalan Opening which transposed into the Tarrasch Defense with the loss of several tempi for White. A neat combination by Bronstein won the exchange and Denker was hopelessly lost at adjournment. The American resigned without resuming play when th
game was scheduled for continuation.

## CATALAN OPENING <br> MCO: page 221, column 43

USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954
Round Three, Board Two

| White |  |  | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. S. DEN | ER | D. BRO | NSTEIN |
| 1. P-Q4 | P-K3 | 22. RxKt | RxR |
| 2. P-KK $\dagger 3$ | P-QB4 | 23. QxR | QXQ |
| 3. PXP | BxP | 24. KłxQ | BxR |
| 4. B-K +2 | Kt-KB3 | 25. BxP | R-B2 |
| 5. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Kt-B3 | 26. B-K4 | B-B7 |
| 6. P-B4 | P-Q4 | 27. B-Q5 | K-B1 |
| 7. PXP | PxP | 28. Kt-B6 | B-K+8 |
| 8. 0.0 | 0.0 | 29. P-QR3 | B-B4 |
| 9. Q-B2 | B-K+3 | 30. K-B1 | B-K3 |
| 10. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | B-K3 | 31. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | PxB |
| 11. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+5$ | P-KR3 | 32. KtQ4 | R-B8ch |
| 12. BxKt | QxB | 33. K-K2 | K-K2 |
| 13, KR-Q1 | QR-B1 | 34. K-Q3 | R-B8 |
| 14. QR-B1 | KR-Q1 | 35. P-B4 | R-B7 |
| 15. Kt-QR4 | P-Q5 | 36. K-B4 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 16. K+×B | PXKt | 37. K-Kł5 | R-Q7 |
| 17. P-K+3 | P-Q6 | 38. Kt-B6ch | K-Q3 |
| 18. Q-Q2 | B-K+5 | 39. $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P}$ | R-Q6 |
| 19. R-B4 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 40. P-QK $\dagger 4$ | RXQRP |
| 20. QxKP | R-K1 | Resigns |  |
| 21. R-K4 | Ki-K4 |  |  |

Commentary by U. S. Masters ELIOT HEARST, HANS BERLINER and KARL BURGER

KERES-KEVITZ
Pavey was benched for this round by the U.S. Team Captain. Alexander Kevitz took his place but fared no better than the Manhattan Chess Club star. Kevitz employed his favorite Nimzovich Defense against which Keres played a quiet line giving him a slight advantage. A bad blunder by Kevitz cost him the exchange and thereafter Keres wrapped up the game.

## IRREGULAR DEFENSE

## MCO: page 131, column 3

| Round Three, Board Three |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  | Black |
| P. KERES |  | A. | KEVITZ |
| 1. P-K4 | Kt-QB3 | 24. B-K+6 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ |
| 2. P-Q4 | P-K4 | 25. QxP | Kt -83 |
| 3. PxP | K+xP | 26. BxR | RxB |
| 4. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Q-B3 | 27. QR-K+1 | B-81 |
| 5. B-K2 | B-K+5ch | 28. Kt -B4 | B-K2 |
| 6. QKt-Q2 |  | 29. KR-Q1 | P-KR4 |
|  | KtxKtch | 30. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+6$ | B-Kt5 |
| 7. BxKt | Kt-K2 | 31. R-Q2 | B-B3 |
| 8. 0.0 | 0.0 | 32. Q-K3 | P-R5 |
| 9. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+3$ | Rt-B3 | 33. P-R3 | B-K3 |
| T0. P-KK+3 | R-K1 | 34. P.KI4 | P-Q4 |
| 11. B-K+2 | B-B1 | 35. P-B5 | B-K+4 |
| 12. P-QB3 | P.Q3 | 36. Q-K2 | Q-R3 |
| 13. P-KB4 | B-K3 | 37. R-Q3 | PxP |
| 14. Kt-Q4 | B-Q2 | 38. R×Rch | K+xR |
| 15. B-K3 | Kt-R4 | 39. PxB | B-K6ch |
| 16. Q-Q3 | P-B4 | 40. K-R1 | KtxP |
| 17. Kt -83 | Q-K3 | 41. Kt-Q5 | B-B4 |
| 18. Kt-Q2 | P-QR3 | 42. RxP | Kt-Q5 |
| 19. P-K+3 | QR-Q1 | 43. R-K+8ch | K-R2 |
| 20. KR-K1 | B-K+4 | 44. QxPch | P.84 |
| 21. Q-B2 | Q-K+3 | 45. Q-K3 | Q-Q3 |
| 22. P-QR4 | B-Q2 | 46. Q-K8 | Resigns |
| 23. P-QK+4 | PxP |  |  |

DONALD BYRNE-AVERBACH
Against Averbach's Nimzovich Defense
Byrne played for a $K$-side assault and obtained a winning advantage. However, the American player won the point when Averbach overstepped the time limit.

## NIMZOVITCH DEFENSE

 MCO: page 109, column 41USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954
Round Three, Board Four
 tion against the Nimzoindian Defense but instead of pressing his advantage he allowed Kotov's King to escape to the $Q$ side and then neutralized the $K$-side attack. This gave Kotov all the chances. When the Russian finally made the break
in an effort to win, Robert sacrificed a
Pawn and succeeded in getting a strong passed Pawn which held the draw in the endgame.


## GELLER-HOROWITZ

The most exciting game of the match. Geller thought about 40 minutes considering the possibilities of 21. Q-R6, a Queen-sacrifice which comes very close to winning-but probably loses. Finally the Russian decided just to win a Pawn and the resulting position gave Horowitz good chances. In time-pressure Geller played for a win and alloved Horowitz to sacrifice the exchange which led to a win but Horonitz missed it in time-pressure. The Amer. ican missed several winning lines, including a gain of a Rook. At resumption after adjournment Horowitz still had slight advantage but agreed to a draw without continuing.


Reshersky played the Exchange Variation against Smyslov's Slav Defense, Pieces were swapped off and an carly draw agreed upon.

SLAV DEFENSE
MCO: page 196, column 24
USA vs. USSR Team Match
New York, 1954
Round Four, Board One

| S. RESHEVSKY |  |  | Black <br> V. SMYSLOV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 12. | Kt-K5 | K+xKt |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 13. | BxKt | KR-B1 |
| 3. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Kt-B3 | 14. | R-B2 | R-B1 |
| 4. PxP | PxP | 15. | QR-QB1 |  |
| 5. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | Kt -B3 |  |  | QR-QB1 |
| 6: B-B4 | B-B4 | 16. | Kt-R4 | Kt-K5 |
| 7. P-K3 | P-K3 | 17. | P-B3 | Kt-ks |
| 8. B-Q3 | BxB | 18. | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ | R×R |
| 9. $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ | B-K2 | 19. | QxR | Kt-Q3 |
| 10. $0-0$ | 0.0 |  | Drawn | Kr-Q3 |
| 11. KR-B1 | Q.Q2 |  |  |  |

Commentary by U. S. Masters ELIOT HEARST, HANS BERLINER and KARL BURGER

## BRONSTEIN-DENKER

Bronstein obtained the same type of position as in his game in the third round with reversed colors. However, Bronstein did not lose the tempi Danker had lost. The American tried to free his game by sacrifice of a piece, winning back the exchange a fen moves later. This left Bronstein with two Bishops and a Pawn for a Rook. The Soviet Grandmaster translated this into a win by a clever Rook sacrifice.


Pavey played well against the King's Indian Defense, obtaining a distinct advantage. However, the American player went astray after winning a Pawn and Keres got an overwhelming attack. In time-presure, Keres disdained the win of a piece, missed at mate, finally won the piece under unfarorable circumstances. Keres' sealed move was his last chance to draw. After an inferior sealed move Pavey cleverly wrapped up the win.

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954

| White |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAVEY |  |  |  | KERES |
| 1. | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 |  | R-KB1 | Q-K4 |
| 2. | Kt-KB3 | P-KK+3 |  | K-K+1 | B-K3 |
| 3. | P-B4 | B-K+2 | 37. | Q-K+4 | x ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |
| 4. | P-KK+3 | O-O | 38. R | R-K1 | Q-B? |
| 5. | B-K+2 | P-Q3 | 39. | Kt-Q7 | R×0 |
| 6. | 0.0 | QKt-Q2 | 40. | K+xQ | R-BS |
| 7. | Q-B2 | P-K4 | 41. R | R(2)-K2 | R-B3 |
| 8. | R-Q1 | R-K1 | 42. R | RxB | RxR ch |
| 9. | Kt-B3 | P-B3 | 43. R | RxR | K-K+2 |
| 10. | P-K4 | P-QR3 | 44. | Kt-K+4 | R-K+6 |
| 11. | P-KR3 | P-QK+4 | 45. | R-Q1 | B-K+4 |
| 12. | PxKP | PXKP | 46. $R$ | $\mathbf{R \times P}$ | RXQKtP |
| 13. | B-K3 | Q-R4 | 47. R | R-Q7 ch | K-B1 |
| 14. | Kt-Q2 | P-K+5 | 48. | RxP | R-B7 |
| 15. | Kt-K+3 | Q-82 | 49. | Kt-K5 | K-K+1 |
| 16. | Kt-R4 | B-81 | 50. R | R-QB7 | RXQBP |
| 17. | P-B5 | P-QR4 | 51. | K+xBP | K-R1 |
| 18. | B-KB1 | Kt-R4 | 52. | P-R4 | B-Q7 |
| 19. | Kt-Q2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+2$ |  | R-Q7 | B-K8 |
| 20. | Kt -B4 | Kt-K3 | 54. | Kt-K7 | K-K+2 |
| 21. | QKt-K+6 | R-Kt1 | 55. | Kt-Q5 ch | h K-R3 |
| 22. | QR-B1 | B-KK+2 | 56. | Kt-K3 | R-B1 |
| 23. | KtxKt | BxKt |  | K-K+2 | R-QRI |
| 24. | Kt-K+6 | Kt-Qs | 58. P | P-B4 | P-K+4 |
| 25. | Q-R4 | B-K3 | 59. | P-B5 | $\mathbf{R \times P}$ |
| 26. | QxRP | P-Kt6 | 60. | K-R3 P | P-K+5 ch |
| 27. | P-R3 | P.B4 |  | K-R4 | BXP ch |
| 28. | BxK $\dagger$ | PxB | $62 . \mathrm{K}$ | KxB | R-K5 |
| 29. | $\mathbf{P x P}$ | BxP | 63. | Kt-Q5 | K-Kı4 |
| 30. | B-Q3 | BxP | 64. P | P-B6 | R-Q5 |
| 31. | Q-K+4 | K-R1 | 65. | P-B7 | R-Q6 ch |
| 32. | QxKtP | B-R3 | 66. | Kf.K+2 | R-KB6 |
| 33. | R-B2 | R-K6 | 67. | Kt -K3 | K-R5 |
| 34. | K-R2 | B-K+5 | $63 . \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathbf{K + x P}$ | Res |

An English Opening in which the Soviet player got a slight edge. However,
he proceeded in a lackadatizical fashion
and Donald built up a strong position on the $K$-side. In time-pressure, the American sacrificed a piece which insured at least a draw. After adjournment, Donald played confidently and secured a min.
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

MCO: page 165, column 60
USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954
Round Four, Board Four


BISGUIER-PETROSIAN
Against the Russian's Benoni System, Bisguier transported into a variant of the Maroczy bind of the Sicilian Defense. Petrosian's active Q-side play more than compensated for Bisguier's $K$-side attack. In a desperate situation Bisguier made a futile sacrifice, then resigned.


## SICILIAN DEFENSE <br> MCO: page 275, column 52 (e) USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954

| ve |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. A. HOR | WITZ |  | GELLER |
| 1. P-K4 | P-QB4 | 23. P-B3 | * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2. $\mathrm{Kt-KB3}$ | Kt -QB3 | 24. QxKt | B-85 |
| 3. P-Q4 | PxP | 25. R-Q2 | P.KK+3 |
| 4. KtxP | Kt-B3 | 26. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | Q-B4 |
| 5. Kt-QB3 | P-Q3 | 27. $Q \times Q$ |  |
| 6. B-K2 | P.K4 | 28. RxR ch | R×R |
| 7. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} \dagger 3$ | B-K2 | 29. PxP | BxP |
| 8. O-O | 0 -0 | 30. $\mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{BI}$ | R-Q3 |
| 9. P-B4 | P-QR4 | 31. B-B3 | R-K+3 |
| 10. P-QR4 | Kt-QK+5 | 32. Kt-K3 | B-K3 |
| 11. B-B3 | Q-B2 | 33. Kt-Q1 | R-Q3 |
| 12. K-R1 | B-K3 | 34. K-K+1 | R-Q7 |
| 13. B-K3 | KR-Q1 | 35. P-R3 | B-QK+6 |
| 14. Q-B1 | B-B5 | 36. K-B1 | B-K+6 |
| 15. R-Q1 | QR-B1 | 37. B-K2 | K-K+2 |
| 16. Kt -Q2 | B-R3 | 38. B-B3 | P-R4 |
| 17. Kt -B1 | Kt-Q2 | 39. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K}+1$ | B-K85 |
| 18. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+3$ | Kt-K+3 | 40. K-B1 | B-B5ch |
| 19. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+4$ | R-R1 | 41. K-K+1 | K-R3 |
| 20. Kt -85 | Kt-B5 | 42. R-K+1 | B-Q6 |
| 21. Kt-Q5 | KtxK+ | 43. R-RI | K-K+4 |
| 22. RxKt | B-B3 | Resigns |  |

## TAIM ANOV-EV ANS

Larry refuted a prepared variation of the King's Indian. Taimanov offered a Rook at his 19th turn which Evans answered by a counter-sacrifice of a Knight leading to a forced win. Evans had to play sharply to press his advantage. This was the most exciting game of the fourth round, and Larry's best of the match.

| KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE <br> MCO: page 89 (aB) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA vs. USSR Team Match New York, 1954 |  |  |  |  |
| Round Four, Board Eight |  |  |  |  |
|  | hite |  |  |  |
| M. TAIMANOV |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | P-QB4 | Kt-KB3 | 20. BxB |  |
| 2. | Kt-KB3 | P-KK\$3 | 21. B-R3 | t-K |
| 3. | Kt -83 | B-K+2 | 22. Q-B1 | -KK+2 |
| 4. | P-K4 | O-O | 23. R-B2 | B-Q2 |
| 5. | P-Q4 | P-Q3 | 24. Kt-B3 | P-Kt5 |
| 6. | B-K2 | P-K4 | 25. B-Kł2 | -Kt6 |
| 7. | 0.0 | Kt-B3 | 26. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Qxp |
| 8. | P-Q5 | Kt-K2 | 27. B-B1 | QB |
| 9. | Kt-K1 | Kt-q2 | 28. Q-K1 | QKt4 |
| 10. | Kt-Q3 | P-KB4 | 29. Kt-K2 | Q-R5 |
| 11. | P-B3 | P.85 | 30. P-K+3 | Px |
| 12. | B-Q2 | P-KK+4 | 31. K*xP | +x3 |
| 13. | R-B1 | R-B3 | 32. Kt-B5 | R-Kı3ch |
| 14. | P-B5 | KtxBP | 33. KxKt | -R8ch |
| 15. | KtxKt | PxKt | 34. K-K2 | -B7ch |
| 16. | Kt-R4 | P-K+3 | 35. K-Q1 | QxQch |
| 17. | P-QK+4 | PxP | 36. $K \times Q$ | K+8 |
| 18. | BxP | B-B1 | Resigns |  |
|  | RxP | K |  |  |


| White |  |  |  | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. KOTOV |  |  | R. | BYRNE |
| 1. P-QB4 | P-K3 | 4. |  | P.QB3 |
| 2. Kt -QB3 | P.Q4 | 5. | Kt-B3 | Kt-83 |
| 3. $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | PxP | 6. | B-K+5 | P-K |


| 7. B-R4 | B-K2 | 19. PxP | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. Q-B2 | QKt-Q2 | 20. B-B5 | BxKt |
| 9. P-K3 | 0.0 | 21. $Q \times B$ | Q-K+3 |
| 10. B-Q3 | R-K1 | 22. Q-B2 | P-R5 |
| 11. 0.0 | Kt-K5 | 23. P-K+3 | PxP |
| 12. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+3$ | K) $\times$ B | 24. PxP | B-B1 |
| 13. RPXKt | B-Q3 | 25. B-Q3 | R-R2 |
| 14. QR-K+1 | P-QR4 | 26. P-K4 | KtxP |
| 15. Kt-QR4 | Kt-B3 | 27. KtxKt | PxKt |
| 16. Kt -B5 | P-QK+3 | 28. BxP | P-K+3 |
| 17. Kt-QR4 | B-Q2 | DRAWN |  |
| 18. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q2}$ | P-B4 |  |  |

USA vs. USSR MATCH
(Continued from page 5, col. 4) a.m. with the games of the two Byrne brothers adjourned.

The unfinished games were continued the following day. Don Byrne had sealed a strong move and scored the first full point for the U. S. team when Averbach resigned after 59 moves. Robert Byrne fought an uphill battle against Kotov, trying to draw, but the Iron man of the Soviet team played faultlessly to win the point.

Americans Fight Harder
Big spreads in local papers helped bring an even larger crowd to the second round. Recovered from his illness, Denker took his place against Bronstein at Board 2. The audience applauded Don Byrne when he came on the stage to play his second game with Averbach. The Reshevsky-Smyslov game was postponed until 9:30 p.m. as the American Grandmaster's religious convictions do not permit him to play until after sundown on Saturdays.

With their backs to the wall, the Americans began to show signs of greater resistance. Only three of the games were concluded during the session. After the ReshevskySmyslov contest started, the No. 1 man of U. S. chess, following his usual custom, studied more than half-an-hour before making his 13th move. The spectators applauded when he finally played P-K5.

Again it was Board 8 that produced the most excitement. At 10:40 p.m. Taimanov gave up the exchange for a Pawn and the attack. The Russian won back the exchange about an hour later. Both players got into serious time trouble and the board boys had a hard time trying to show the audience what was happening. Taimanov missed several wins and Larry succeeded in at least equalizing the position when the game was adjourned.

Another mad time scramble was going on at Board 4. Don Byrne had an even game but blundered twice and resigned as the session ended. Reshevsky and Bisguier drew their games. The other contests were adjourned.

Continuation of adjourned games was scheduled on Sunday. Horowitz and Geller agreed to a draw by telephone. Denker resigned when shown Bronstein's sealed move. Larry Evans, having recovered from a lost game as a result of Taimanov's oversights in timepressure, succeeded in winning a point for the U. S. team. Pavey lost for the second time to Keres. Robert Byrne had a theoretical win against Kotov but it needed careful play and the Russian is a past-master at hanging on and fighting back in lost positions. The game had to be adjourned for the second time.

## Pavey Benched in Third Round

The U. S. Team Captain decided to substitute Alexander Kevitz for Max Pavey in the third round. So far as we know, this is the first time that a chessplayer has been (Please turn to page 11, col. 4)

## GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, Marshall Chess Club Champion, 1954

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless othervise stated note, to games are by Mr. Collins.

## OLAF ULVESTAD

Olaf Ulvestad, former U. S. International Team member and author of "Chess Charts," scored $61 / 2-1 / 2$ to win the All Eastern States Open Championship at the Log Cabin Chess Club held over the Memorial Day holiday. Ulvestad's original opening play and aggressive, combinative tactis very nearly achieved a shutout.

## ALBIN COUNTER GAMBIT <br> MCO: page 174, column 1 (c)

All Eastern States Open Championship
West Orange, 1954

## Natec hy Olaf Illvectad and

the Editor of this Department
White
White's advantage is overwhelming. He has a massive, mobile pawn-center, the Two Bishops, and generally superior development.
14. ....... Kt-R4

Necessary is 14. .
$\qquad$ B-B4.
15. P-B5!

A fine line-opening move.
15. ...... Kt-QB3

If $15 . . . . . . .$. BxP; 16. Q-Kt5ch, and White wins the Bishop.
16. R-B1 R-R4 17. R-B4 BXP? This loses at least the exchange. A preferable way to go after the QBP is 17......., Q-R1; and 18. ....... Q-R2. ${ }^{\text {18.K2 }}$ K2 should be left vacant for the KKt. K2 should be left vacant for the KKt.
Better is 19. ......, B-Kt3; 20. KtxR, Better is ${ }^{19 .}$ BKi.., B-Kt3; 20. KtxR,
BxKth; 21. K-K2, KKt-K2; 22. P-B4, Kt-Kt3.
20. $K+x R$
 Fixing the Queen to K2. For instance, 23. $\quad$ Q-...... Q ; 24. RxKt, and white wins a piece.
A desperate bid for some counter-play which only increases the scope and power of the White Queen Rook.
24. RxP B-B4 25. R-Q7

White winds up forcefully.
$\begin{array}{llll}25, & Q--\mathrm{K1} & 30 . \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5 \\ 26\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 26. } \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q3} 3 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 & \text { 31. } \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & \mathrm{Kt} / 2-\mathrm{B} 3 \\ \text { 27. } \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4} & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R1} & \text { 32. } \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}+1 \mathrm{ch}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 28. O-O } & \text { KKt-K2 } & \text { 33. } & \text { K-RT } & \text { R-K1 Ch } \\ \text { 28 }\end{array}$
$\Lambda$ time pressure blunder. However, as Alekhine points out, all moves in lost positions look like blunders.
34. PxPch K-B1 36. Q-Kt4ch
35. P×R-Qch K×Q Resigns

KAUFMAN BESTS BISGUIER AND PAVEY
In this tournament, my first Manhattan C. C. Championship, I won only three games. Two of them, however, were against Pavey and Bisguier, either of whom would have won the tournament had he beaten me. All of which goes to prove a theory 1 have long held: if you play enough games with good players, some day a master will blunder against you, and you will be able to min a game from him.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

## MCO: page 292, column 140 (qB) Manhattan C.C. Championship New York, 1954

Notes by U.S. Expert Allen Kaufman White Black
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { A. KAUFMAN } \\ \text { 1. P-K4 P.QB4 } & \text { 3. QXP }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 1. } & \text { P-K4 } & \text { P-QB4 } & \text { 3. } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { P.Q4 } & \text { PXP }\end{array}$ 2. P-Q4 PXP

One of the oldest systems against the Sicilian. If Black sets up a Dragon formation, White will play Q-K3, KtQB3, B-Q2, B-B4, and O-0.0, followed by a $K$-side pawn advance. 4. $\quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \begin{gathered}\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 \\ \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3}\end{gathered}$

Now 5. Q-K3 will not do because 5 . 5. ....., P-Q4 equalizes completely. $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 5. } & \text { P. } & \text { B-K } 3 & \text { P-KKt3 } \\ \text { B-K+2 }\end{array}$
White is now playing a well-known system against the Sicilian two tempi behind.
hind.
7.
7. $\quad$ Q-R4 8. $0.0-0 \quad$ P-QR3 After the game Kevitz criticized this move and suggested 8. ......., P-Q3 and 9. ........ B-K3. I believe that Pavey's move is at least equally good. 9. P-KKt4 Kt-Kt5 13. P-Kts Kt-Q2 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 10. Q-B4 } & \text { P-QKt4 } & \text { 14. } \mathrm{KKt-K2} & \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \\ \text { 11. Q-Kt3 } & \text { P-Q3 } & \text { 15. } \mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{Q4} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B4}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 12. P-QR3 } & K t-B 3 & \text { 16. } Q \cdot R 2\end{array}$
Black has refuted White's opening sys: tem, and has established a clear advantage. Black now exchanges several pieces, under the impression that he wins a pawn.
16. 16. BxK K

 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K}+2$ |
| KtxP | loses to 20. Q-Q5, forking Kt and R . Black still maintains the initiative, however, and threatens a strong attack with 20 . ........, Q-Kt3!, 21 . ........, $P$. QR4, and 22. ........, P-Kt5.

$\begin{array}{lrrrr}\text { 20. P-KR4 } & \text { Q-K } 13! & \text { 22. B-Kt4 } & \text { P-QR4 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 20. P-KR4 } & \text { Q-Kt3! } & \text { 22. B-Kt4 } & \text { P-QR4 } \\ \text { 21. B-R3 } & \text { Kt-B5 } & \text { 23. P-R4 } & \end{array}$ Forced.

P-Kt5 24. Kt-K+5 B-B1?
Giving White some chances. Correct is 24. ........, B-R3! (Pavey); 25. Kt-Q4, P-K4!; 26. Kt-Kt3, B-B5! and White is lost, since the $Q$ cannot reenter the game. An attempt to extricate it by K-Kt1-R1 and $Q-K t 1-B 1$ fails in view of ........ Q-B3 and ........, QxRP at the correct moment.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 25. } Q-K+3 & \text { B-K3 } & \text { 29. PxP } & \text { RPXP }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 26. BxB } & \text { KłxB } & \text { 30. R-Q2 } & \text { Q-Kł6 } \\ \text { 27. P-R5 } & \text { Q-B4 } & \text { 31. R(2)-R2 } & \text { KłxP }\end{array}$
28. R-Q5


Black has won a pawn, but is now exposed to a dangerous attack. If White can attack KB6, the KBP is immune in view of R-R8 ch and KR-R7 mate. Therefore: $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 32. Kt-B7 } & \text { R-R2 } & \text { 34. Q-K3! } & \text { R-K12 }\end{array}$ Overlooking an immediate win with Overlooking an immediate win with
35 . R-R8 ch, K-Kt2; 36. RxR, KxR; 37 . $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, winning the Kt .
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 35. ....... } & \text { P-B3 } \\ \text { Since } 36, & \text { QxK }\end{array}$
Since 36. ........, QxKt was threatened. 36. R-R6 K-K 12 37. Q-K2 QR-KB2? The fatal error; Black overlooked the threat. His game is not easy in any event.

## 38. P-KB4

There is no good defense to 39. RxP ch, KxR; 40. Q-R5 ch, K-Kt2; 41. Q-R6 ch, K-Kt1; 42. Q-R8 mate.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 38. ....... } & \text { R-KR1 } & \text { 40. Q-Kt5 } & \text { P-B6 } \\ \text { 39. } & \text { P×R } & \text { 41, Q-K8 } & \text { Resigns }\end{array}$ There is no defense to R-Kt8 mate.

## OLD INDIAN DEFENSE

 MCO: page 9
## Manhattan C.c. Championship

New York, 1954
Notes by U. S. Expert Allen Kaufman White
A. BISGUIER 1. P-QB4 Kt-KB3 A. KAUFMAN $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 2. } & \text { Kt-KB3 } & \text { P-Q3 } & \text { 4. } & \text { Kt-B3 } \\ \text { P-KKt3 } & \text { P-K } \\ \text { R-K }\end{array}$ 3. P-Q4 QKt-Q2 6. B-K+2 $\quad$ P-B White must now prevent 7. ........, P-K5 and 8. ........., P-Q4. At Milwaukee, 1953 , Horowitz played 9. P-K4! Bisguier's move also prevents 7. ........, P-K5, but is not as strong.
7. Q-B2 Q-B2

1 discarded 7. ........, PxP (8. KtxP Kt-Kt3; 9. P-Kt3, P-Q4; 10. PxP, QKtxP; 11. KtxKt, KtxKt; 12. P-K4?, Kt-Kt5; 13. $Q$ any, QxKt and White resigns) be cause of 12 . O-O, with advantage to White in this variation.
White in this varl
This idea is borrowed from the PhiliThis idea is borrowed from the Phili-
dor Defense. The point is to swing dor Defense. The
the QKt to KKt3.
the QKt to KKt3.
9. PXP
9. PxP PxP
White wants to play Kt-KR4-B5. If he had played 7. P-K4 instead of 7. Q-B2, 9. Kt-KR4 could be played immediately. The exchange' of pawns $n$ the center greatly relieves Black's congested posi tion.

| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 10. Kt-KR4 } & \text { Kt-Kt3 } & \text { 12. P-K4 } & \text { B-K3 }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11. Kt-B | 0.0 | 1 |  |
| The best way of |  |  |  |
| White threatens P-B5, P-QKt4, followed |  |  |  |
| by Kt-Kt2-B4-Q6. |  |  |  |
| P-K+3! |  |  |  |
| The foregoing maneuver having been prevented, the game stands even with |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| a draw the logical outcome; Black has |  |  |  |
| no weakness, White no plan. |  |  |  |
| 14. B-K3 | KR-Q1 | 16. $R \times R$ | Q1 |
| 15. QR-Q1 R×R 17. P-K+3 |  |  |  |
| Black was threatening 17. ........, RxR ch and 18 ....... BxP. |  |  |  |
| 17. ........ R×R ch 23. Kt-B3 K-K |  |  |  |
| 18. $Q \times R \quad$ Q-Q2 24. |  |  |  |
| 19. KixB ch |  |  |  |
| 20. $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ K $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q}$ 26. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \quad \mathrm{Kt}$-B3 |  |  |  |
| 21. P-B4 P-B3 |  |  |  |
| 22. K-B2 K-B1 |  |  |  |
| Here I offered a draw. The two B's |  |  |  |
| mean nothing in view of the blocked |  |  |  |
| pawn position. White refused. <br> 28. B-B3 P-QR4 29, B-B1?? |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



But this is a blunder, losing a pawn and the game. Almost any other move (including the offer of a draw) would have drawn.
29. ........ BxKt 30. KPXB Kt-Kt5 The Kt forks the RP and Q6.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 31. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \mathrm{~K}+\times R \mathrm{P} & \text { 35. P-R4 }\end{array}$
Kt-B1 $\begin{array}{lrll}\text { 31. B-Q2 } & \text { KtxRP } & \text { 35. P-R4 } & \text { Kt-B1 } \\ \text { 32. K-K3 } & \text { K-K2 } & \text { 36. P-Kt5 } & \text { RP×P } \\ \text { 33. } \mathbf{P}-\text { KKt4 } & \text { P-R3 } & \text { 37. PxP } & \text { Kt-R3 }\end{array}$ 33. P-KKt4 P-R3
37. B-K4, PxP would be a mistake. White plays K-B3-Kt4, winning back the pawn and opening the game for 38, K-B3 Kt-B2 40, K-K\$4 Kt-Q3 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 39. B-Q3 Kt-K1 } & \text { 41. P-K } \ddagger 6 \text { ? }\end{array}$
This move leaves Black no problems. The game is a win for Black in any case but now Black can demonstrate a procedure that wins by force.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { a procedure that } \\ \text { 41. } & \text { Kt-Q2 }\end{array}$
The sealed move During the following week I spent twenty-five hours on the position and discovered this plan: play the Kt's to Q3 and K2, and then play P-K5 and KtxKBP. White must make waiting moves; he has no defense.
$\begin{array}{lrllr}\text { fense. } & K+K t 1 & \text { 46. } & \text { B-K3 } & K t-B 1 \\ \text { 42. K-B3 } & K t-K+R 3 & 47 . & \text { B-Q2 } & K t(K 1)-Q 3 \\ \text { 43. B-QB1 } & K t-R 3 \\ \text { 44. B-K3 } & K t-B 2 & \text { 48. } & \text { B-B2 } & K-B 1 \\ \text { 45. B-Q2 } & K t(2)-K 1 & \text { 49. } & \text { K-Kt4 } & K t-K 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 45. B-Q2 } & \mathrm{Kt}(2)-\mathrm{K} 1\end{array}$ Voila!
To gain time on the clock while for gain time on the best square for $\begin{array}{llll}\text { the } K . ~ & K & \text { 54. B-B4 } & K+(2) \times B P\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllr}\text { 51. } & \text { B-Q2 } & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q1} & \text { 54. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \mathrm{Kt}(2) \times \mathrm{BP} \\ \text { 52. } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q2} & \text { 55. BxP } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5\end{array}$ Too many threats.
56. B-Q3 KłxKtP 57. B-R6
One last try to hook the fish. Black One last try to hook the fish. Black
threatened to queen the QRP.
$57 . \ldots \ldots .$.

震

## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you a thorough post. game analysis. Fee $\$ 10$.

Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of your games for a fee of $\$ 5$.

## GUEST ANNOTATORS

Allen Kaufman
Ariel Mengarini, M.D.
Olaf Ulvestad.

COMBINATION
White springs a winning combination on Move 18.

FRENCH DEFENSE
MCO: page 61, column 83 District of Columbia League Match Washington, D.C., 1954
Notes by U. S. Master
Ariel Mengarini, M.D. White
 exposed $Q$-position now permits White a gain of time that even at material cost allows White to dominate the further course of the game.
5. Q-B3 Kt-KB3 6. B-B4!?

Black is just too undeveloped to afford blac. Q-Q1 instead would have left White with the problem of what to do about the center.
about the center.
$7 . \mathrm{K}+-\mathrm{K} 2$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 7. } & K+-K 2 \\ \text { 8. } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+5 \mathrm{ch} & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1 & \text { 9. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 4 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K2}\end{array}$
The threat QKT-Q2 B-R5 has now been parried, but White gains a tempo by taking two moves to go from B1 to B4!
11 .
 The weakness of his QKtP compels further demobilization on Black's part. 15. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$


Allows the decisive combination, but there was no saving the game. A game any master would be proud of. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 18. } \mathrm{K} \dagger \times \mathrm{QB} \text { QR } \times \mathrm{Kt} & \text { 20. } \mathrm{K} \dagger \times \mathrm{BB} \mathrm{ch}\end{array}$


## A DECISIVE GAME

The only loss of defending champion Larry Evans in the U.S. Championshipand it was by this one-point margin that the title passed to Bisguier.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE <br> MCO: page 286, column 110 (nD)

U.S. Biennial Championship

New York, 1954

U. S. CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENT
(Continued from page 3, col. 4)
moves while his clock's flag teetered on the edge of the minutehand. Jimmy made his 50th move but the flag dropped before he punched his clock. Fortunately, the forfeit did not make any difference to the score. Evans had an easy win and Sherwin resigned for the record.

Sherwin got into serious time trouble in almost every game he played. When this young master learns how to manage his time he will forge to the top. He plays even better than his tournament score indicates. In each of the last two U.S. Opens Jimmy was paired with stronger opponents than any other contestant, might have won the title if he had been given a better break. In the U.S. Championship, Jimmy lost points under time-pressure. For instance, against Bisguier in the 12 th round, Jimmy had a forced win, according to the experts, but did not have time to see it. As usual, he had to make about 20 moves in a split second and lost the game.

Veteran Sidney Bernstein, who has seen action in chess tournaments for the past 25 years, demonstrated that he is still a powerful player by tying for 6 th and 7th with Rossolimo. Each scored 7-6.

Nicolas Rossolimo, rated for life as an International Grandmaster by the FIDE, made a poor showing for a man of his ability and reputation. He won from Hearst and tail-enders Brandts and Mengarini, lost to Berliner and Wachs, drew the remaining eight games. Rossolimo claims that he cannot adjust to the time-limit of U.S. tournaments. He is accustomed to the more leisurely 40 moves in $21 / 2$ hours and finds it difficult to make 50 moves in the same period of time. The former French champion seems to think that time-limits are decreed by the FIDE, that the USCF has no right to specify a faster speed than 40 moves in $21 / 2$ hours. He is wrong on both counts. For its tournaments, each unit of the FIDE specifies the "certain number of moves" that must be made in "a given period of time" as required by Article 14(1) of the FIDE Laws.

Rossolimo is a very fine player and we have no doubt that he will fare better in the future. Under the USCF rating system, he scored 2421 points at the U.S. Open and 2419 points in the U.S. Championship. These figures are about 100 points below his average ratings in European and international tournaments during the past five years. If he can adjust to our time-limit, Rossolimo should have no difficulty in establishing himself in our Senior Master class. However, our rating system is much stricter than the free-and-easy FIDE method of issuing Grandmaster titles on the basis of a player's performance in one tournament. It takes more than one swallow, etc.
(Thess Cife
Monday, Page 11 July 5, 1954

## USA vs. USSR MATCH <br> (Continued from page 9, col. 4)

benched in an international match. In the International Chess Team Tournaments, an alternate is sometimes put in to play against a comparatively weak team, in order to give a strong player a rest-but we have never heard of a regular team member being benched because he had lost two games. There was much lifting of eyebrows and a great deal of talk about the questionable ethics of the substitution. Some members of the U. S. team resented the affront to Pavey. As it turned out, Kevitz lost to Keres and then Pavey won his 4thround game-so there is at least a chance that Pavey might have done better than Kevitz in the third round.
The audience was kept on tenterhooks for one hour and five minuttes while Reshevsky pondered his 10th move, gave a sigh of relief when the American finally came out of his trance. First game to finish was a draw between Evans and Taimanov. Then Bisguier resigned to Petrosian.
The most exciting game of the match was played at Board 5 . In a wild position, Geller decided against a Queen-sacrifice after thinking about 40 minutes. The whole audience was analyzing with him. Instead, Geller won a Pawn. As the time-control approached, both players were moving fast. Horowitz made a winning sacrifice of the exchange but failed to follow up his advantage and the game was adjourned in an even position.
Nearly all the players were in time trouble. Kevitz blundered and lost to Keres. There was much excitement at Board 4 where Averbach's flag dropped before he made his 40th move. The Soviet Champ ion could not understand why he was being forfeited. In the USSR, a player is not forfeited until one minute after his flag drops. However, USSR Team Captain Igor Bondarevsky conceded the point. Don Byrne claims that Averbach blundered at his 39th move, would have lost the point if the game had been continued.

Three games were adjourned. After move had been sealed, Reshevsky protested that Smyslov had gained an unfair advantage because the Soviet player's clock had stopped for 35 minutes during the game. The Team Captains and Referee disallowed the claim, ruled that the game should be continued from the adjourned position without penalty. (The Laws of Chess make no provision for advancing a clock that has stopped during play and specify no penalty.)

Adjourned games were continued on Tuesday. Reshevsky had lost a Pawn in time-pressure but found a continuation that drew the game. Denker phoned in his resignation. Robert Byrne, with two adjourned games, resumed his encounter from the second round. After struggling so long for a win, Robert placed his King on the wrong (Please turn to page 12, col. 3)
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> Solution Jo
> Whats She Bust Mowe?

## Position No. 141

This very interesting position from the Czechoslovakian Master Tournament demonstrates Pachman at his best in combinative play, overwhelming Vescly by the selective choice of the best of several continuations after the Initial blow of 1. RxB! There followed 1. ........, QxR (what else?); 2. BxKKtPl!, QxR ch (if the Q seeks safety, 3. KtxB d1 ch is death); 3. QxQ, PxB; 4. Q-Q4! and Black resigned for he cannot defend against 5. Q-B5 ch and Q-R7 ch simultaneously. If R-QR1; 5. Q-B5 ch wins both if QR-B1; 5. Q.R7 mates in two. If the KR moves; 5. Q-B5 ch also mates in two.
A close, but not sufficient try, submitted by many solvers was: $1 . \mathrm{RxB}, \mathrm{QxR}$; 2. B-K3!? which is not as decisive as 2. B-K3!? which is not as decsive (not it looks, continuing 2 . $-\ldots . . .$, eh, KxKt; 1 . B-Q4, QxB; 5. R×Q and White with $Q$ and $R$ against two $R s$ and $B$ by no means can claim a won game. And in this variation 3. KtxB ch, RxKt is even less desirable.
In the same way 1. RxB, QxR; 2. KtxB ch, RxKt!; 3. BxKKtP ch, PxB; 4. RxQ, RxR is even less decisive with $Q$ against two Rs. An immediate 1. KtxB ch is met with $1 . \ldots$......, RxKt (not PxKt); while another popular ine in 1. BxKtp ch, PxB; 2. RxB gives White very slight edge (as Black obviously will not play 2. ......, BxRP to provide a mate in R (Q7 mate).
In all this is a very Instructive position with its several "almost" variations, and we congratulate the successful solvers.
Correct solutions are acknowledged received from: H. Cleveland (Chicago), J. E. Comstock (Duluth), R. Dickinson (Redwood City), H: E. Hart (Oakwood), R. E. Hitchcock (Ann Arbor), H. Kurruk (San Fernando), J. L. McDonald (New York), Dr, J, Melnick (Portland), R. A. Monroe (Knoxville), P. Murtha (Monroe), W. H. C. Newberry (Alton), Dr. M. Schlosser (Decatur), Dr. I. Schwartz (Durand), I. Sigmond (Colwick), H. D. Wilbur (Corpus Christi), W. B. Wilson (Amherstburg), N. P. Witting (Salem), N. Zemke (Detroit), E. Roman (New Britain).

## Journament dife

## October 2-3 <br> Fort Wayne Open Tournament

 Fort Wayne, Ind.Open to all; at World Friendship Hall, YMCA, 226 East Washington; 5 rd Swiss, S-B tie-breaking; entry fee $\$ 2.00$ plus $\$ 1.00$ USCF rating fee for non-members of USCF; prizes $50 \%-$ $30 \%-20 \%$ of $\$ 1.00$ per player for first three prizes respectively; for details, write: William R. Shuler, 3025 Winter Street, Fort Wayne 5, Ind.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
November 26-28
1st Annual Wisconsin State Open Wisconsin
Open; entry fee $\$ 7$ plus $\$ 1$ rating fee for non-members USCF; $\$ 100 \mathrm{~min}-$ imum 1 st prize guaranteed; $\$ 250$ total prizes guaranteed; 50 moves in two hours; location to be announced later; Fier details, write: Arpad Elo, 3935 No. Fiebra
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
Solutions:
Finish It The Clever Way!
Position No. 131: 1. K-Q1, Q-Kts ch; 2. K-Q2!, QxQ; 3. R-K8! and Black resigned.
Position No. 132: 1. P-B5! (KxP only draws), K-Kt4; 2. KxP, P-R4; 3. K-Q6, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{BS}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{RB}(\mathrm{O})=7, \mathrm{O} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins. P-B8(Q), P-R8(Q); If 1 . K . P-B6, P-R4; 3. Kt. Kt6! wins. If 1......, P-B3; 2. K-B7,

Say You Saw it in CHESS LIFE
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(Continued from page 4, col. 2) cally "Russian" to us than any of the other team members; his heavy build may or may not be the characteristic that gives us this impression! We regret to hear that he is slowly going blind and has only a few years of possible tournament competition remaining.

While the players are being introduced to the public, Kotov chats excitedly with Newell Banks, checker champion from Detroit; the American tries to describe the difference between our and the Soviet checker game by noting that the English style requires that a "single piece can't jump backwards." Averbach stands by, attempting to transmit to the Byrne brothers the fact that he is $6^{\prime} 3^{\prime} 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ tall; his answer is complicated by the necessity of a double translation between languages and between systems of measurement!

Next on the agenda is a ping. pong match between Keres and Reshevsky, billed as for the table tēn̄nis championship of the world by Alex Bisno. It seems that the two competitors are about even, Keres having won in Helsinki, Reshevsky in Zurich, and this rubber game is to decide the question of which grandmaster is a better ping-pong artist! Kotov and Bisno even have a 25 -cent or one ruble bet on the contest (or as Kotov said, "25 pence") and since Keres won 21-19, Kotov collected his first "capitalist money!" Thereafter, Bisguier and an American expert, Amos Kaminsky, took on Keres and Geller in a doubles match and were soundly trounced twice, Geller's reputed strong "drive to win" being very manifest. Kotov, who says he can't even play ping-pong, took on Abe Turner and won easily, while Taimanov defeated Evans in a subsequent match.

Most of the guests have left but Bronstein remains to play skittles with Bisguier, the former winning about six straight games after the new U.S. Champ held him even in the first four contests. While these games are being played, Smyslov returns and engages in a lively discussion with this reporter's sister whose study of the Russian language at college places her in an enviable position with respect to communication with the Soviet stars. Smyslov tells of his liking for Tolstoy and Turgenev, his major avocation: singing (he is supposed to have a fine baritone voice), and expresses his hope of getting to see an opera while in the United States. Regarding his match with Botvinik he commented that he tried to play scientific chess a la Botvinnik the first four games and, after his disastrous start in those struggles, he just reverted to "psychological chess" and did much better from then on. The seven hours he gained traveling from Russia to the United States are disrupting his daily activity, he notes, but he does not subscribe to the suggestion that he can avoid losing those seven hours again if he never leaves the U.S.! Bronstein continues playing, breaking even with Evans in three games.
$\square$

## July 16.18 North and South Carolina Open Clemson, So. Car.

Open to all; at Clemson House; 5 or 6-rd Swiss; trophies and eash prizes: entry fee $\$ 3.00$ with $\$ 1.00$ USCF rating fce for players not USCF members; foe for players not write: L. L. Foster, 121 Saluda Ave., Columbia, So. Car.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## August 20-22

Heart of America Open Championship, Kansas City, Mo.
Open; at Downtown YMCA Chess Club; $\$ 150$ guaranteed first prize; entry fee $\$ 5$ plus $\$ 1$ rating fee or membership in USCF; 6 rd modified Harkness; entry fees go for cash prizes; entries close $8: 30$ a.m., Friday, Aug. 20; play starts at 9 a.m.; write to: J. R. Beitling, Sec'y, YMCA Chess Club, 404 East 10th, Kansas City 4, Mo.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## August 28-29

## Panhandle Open Championship

 Amarillo, Tex.Open to all; at Amarillo YMCA, 816 Van Buren St.; 5 rd Swiss; also Junior event for under 18; Trophies for Panhandle Open Champ, Panhandle Champ, Junior Champ, and four cash prizes; entry fee $\$ 3.00$ plus $\$ 1.00$ USCF rating fee from non-members of USCF; Panhandle title to ranking Panhandle resident, Open and other titles not restricted; for details, write: R. T. Price, 1907 Bonham Street, Amarillo, Tex.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!

 By Joining the U.S.C.F.September 4-6
Southwestern Open Championship

## Fort Worth, Texas

Open to all; 7 rd Swiss; cash prizes; entry fee to be announced later; TCA and USCF membership required of all players; details later; for further information, write: Clarence A. Cleere, 1327 E. Lancaster, Ft. Worth, Tex.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
September 4-6
Pennsylvania State Championship Johnstown, Penna.
At Johnstown YMCA; 7 rd Swiss; open to residents of Pennsylvania or members of Penn. Chess Clubs; Friday evening Sept. 3rd Rapld Transit tourney; entry fee $\$ 2.00$ plus $\$ 6.00$ for USCF and PSCF dues; for detalls write: Dr. E. J. Gording, 1015 Graham Ave., Windber, Pa.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
September 4-6
Ohio State Open Championship Columbus, Ohio
Open to all; at Seneca Hotel; 7 rd. Swiss, 50 moves in 2 hours, adjudication of unfinished games after 4 hrs.; entry fee $\$ 8$, including banquet ticket plus USCF \& OCA membership of $\$ 6.00$ for non-members; minimum 1 st prize $\$ 75$, trophy and Ohio State, Women's and Junior titles to ranking state residents, other cash prizes; banquet at 7 p.m. Monday, Sept. 6; play begins Sat. Sept. 4 at 1 p.m.; for details, write: Ross Owens, 124 South Point Drive, Avon Lake, Ohio.
100\% USCF rated event.
BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!

## USA vs. USSR TEAM MATCH AT NEW YORK

 (Continued from page 11, column 4)square at his 103 rd move, permitting Kotov to draw.

## U. S. Team Ties Final Round

The seating accomodations were inadequate for the big crowd of more than 1000 that attended the final session.

A 19-move draw between Reshevsky and Smyslov did not meet with the approval of the audience. There were many hisses and boos mingled with the applause. Apparently the crowd felt that Reshevsky should at least have tried to mix it up with Smyslov in an effort to win a badly-needed point for the U. S. team.

The Soviets elinched the match at $10: 40$ p.m. when Robert Byrne and Kotov agreed to a draw, giving the visitors a total of $161 / 2$ points. Although the match was lost, Larry Evans stopped the show when he smashed Taimanov with brilliant combinative play, turning in another full point for the American team. The ex-champion of the U. S. had made a plus score against one of Russia's Grandmasters.

The USSR added two more points to its total when Bisguier resigned to Petrosian and Denker lost for the third time to Bronstein. The score stood $181 / 2-91 / 2$ in favor of the Russians with four adjourned games, including the unfinished Byrne-Kotov encounter from the third round.

In the afternoon of Thursday the four remaining games were played to a finish. Horowitz resigned to Geller. Robert Byrne drew with Kotov. Pavey finally broke into the
winning column by defeating Paul Keres. Last game of the match was between Donald Byrne and Yuri Averbach. The 24 -year-old U. S. Open Champion won his third full point for the U.S. team and tied the last round score by defeating the USSR Champion,

The match was over and the Soviets had won 20 to 12 . Although we lost to Russia, we beat Argentina! Against a slightly weaker team headed by Bronstein instead of Smyslov and with Boleslavsky at Board 8, the Argentine players were defeated in all four rounds and scored a total of only $111 / 2$ points. The U. S. team scored only one point at Board 2 and 3. At the other boards the total score was close- 13 to 11 in favor of the Russians. It should be remembered, however, that Denker and Pavey (with their alternates) were playing against two of the strongest players in the world-Bronstein and Keres. It remains to be seen whether any other American player (except Reshevsky or Fine) could do any better.

The performance of our young players bodes well for the future. If George Kramer had been permitted to play-as he should-he would probably have made a better score than some of the veterans. By the time the next match with the USSR comes around (possibly next year in Moscow), we hope that Jimmy Sherwin and other young players will have demonstrated their right to replace the old-timers who can make no headway against the Russians.

