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## MEDNIS ENTERS JUNIOR FINALS

News is very slow in coming on the results of the FIDE World Junior Championship, but the latest dispatches indicate that the U.S. representative, Fimar Mednis has qualified for the final championship event. Twenty-four players were entered in the preliminaries and divided into three sections of eight players each. In Section One the qualifiers were Boris Spassky (USSR) 0-1, Leo Hallstrom (Finland) 51/2-11/2, and J. J. Oosterom (Netherlands) 5-2. In Section Two the finalists are S. Johanessen (Norway) 5-2, G. P. Tringov (Bulgaria) 5-2, Lajos Portisch (Hungary) 41/2$21 / 2$, and S . Schweger (Argentina) $41 / 2-21 / 2$. In Section Three the survivors are D. K. Keller (Switzerland) 5-1 (one adjourned game), Edmar Mednis (USA) 5-2, M. Farre (Spain) 41/2-11/2 (one adjourned game).

The other fourteen unsuccessful contenders for a chance at the World Junior title are: Broden (?), D. Ciric (Yugoslavia), P. Deiseach (Ireland), M. Donia (Saar), A. Johanssen (Iceland), V. R. Jorgensen (Denmark), Klages (?); E. Kreppenhofer (Austria), K. W. Lloyd (England), J. Muller (France), G. Philippe (Luxembourg), J. S. Purdy (Australia), S. Somers (Belgium), and M. Van Horne (Belgium).

## SPASSKY, FARRE LEAD, MEDNIS 3rd

As we go to press, Spassky, pretournament favorite to win, and Farre lead in the World Junior with $41 / 2-1 / 2$. U.S. representative Edmar Mednis is third with 4-1, drawing with Spassky in an early round. With five rounds finished, the race is close. There are four rounds left.

# KALME WINS JUNIOR TITLE 

## Becomes Youngest Junior Champion

# At Age of 15; Remlinger Second 

By Jack Spence

Edior, Aneriem Toumment Scris

Charles Kalme, a handsome unassuming youth from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, became the youngest player to hold the United States junior title at 15 and the second junior champion from the Quaker City to succeed to the title, Saul Wachs having won the title in 1951 in an event held in that city. In forging a 9-1 margin over a field of 25 talented juniors boasting of 3 USCF rated Experts and 4 Class A players he showed a striking superiority over the opposition he met in the tenth renewal of this annual event.

Under the new rules of the Harkness modification of the Swiss System Kalme met his chief rivals in the first five rounds. In the third and fourth he defeated Californians, Larry Remlinger, 13, and Ronald Gross, 19 in handy fashion scoring a 34 move win against Remlinger's Nimzoindian Defense and a 41 move victory at the expense of Gross on the black side of a King's Indian. In the fifth he suffered a lone set-back to Robert Cross, 20, Santa Monica, California in 49 moves while playing against the Gruenfeld. His loss enabled Gross and Cross to tie him momentarily at 41 . Undaunted he resumed with a blistering pace to rack up five consecutive wins concluding with a combinative gem against Kenneth Warner's Nimzoindian in 25 moves. Kalme, a pupil of A. DiCamillo, former Pennsylvania champion and U. S. title contender, learned to play chess about three years ago. His grasp of opening technique and polished middle and end game play is more than one would normally expect from a 15 -year-old. Unhurried, he plans his games along solid positional lines with a constant watch for combinative possibilities.

Larry Remlinger continues to play excellent chess as he earned second place, as he did in 1954, with $71 / 2-21 / 2$ ahead of Robert Cross, 7-3, and Ronald Gross, Andris Staklis, 16, Lincoln, both 6-4. Remlinger lost to Kalme while drawing with Gross, Staklis and Sanford Greene, 18, Mt. Vernon, N.Y. Cross suffered a loss to Remlinger while conceding draws to Californians Robert Lorber, 16, William Whisler, 17, Gross, and Eliot Froomess, 19. Gross and Staklis were next followed by five players at $51 / 2-4^{1 / 2}$. They were John Rinaldo, 16, Long

Beach, California, Lorber, Greene, Victors Pupols, 20, Tacoma, Wash. and Barton Lewis, 20, Lincoln, Nebraska.
The event was held under the auspices of the USCF and the Lincoln Chess Club at the Lincoln YMCA in air-conditioned quarters which were really appreciated during the 100 -degree heat wave which hit Nebraska's capitol city during the tournament held July 15th-24th. Alexander Liepnieks directed the tournament in very capable fashion under the complicated set of rules governing the new pairing methods based on USCF ratings which change from round to round depending on game, results. Trophies and merchandise prizes were awarded the first ten places. Main prizes included a valuable suit of clothes (Please turn to page 2, col. 3)

## MARTIN TAKES RHODE ISLAND

Albert C. Martin scored $4-0$ to win the Rhode Island State title in a five player round robin event at Providence, sponsored by the Greater Providence YMCA Chess Club. Second place went to Walter Suesman with 3-1, losing one game to Martin, while Harold Shore placed third with $11 / 2-21 / 2$, losing to Martin and Suesman and drawing with Matthew Grzyb.

The Class B Championship was won by Warren Chamandy with $5-0$ score in a six player round robin. Second place was jointly held by Nelson Blake and Thomas Rhodes with 3-2 each. Blake lost games to Chamandy and Rhodes, while Rhodes lost to Chamandy and John Davis who placed fourth with $21 / 2$ $21 / 2$ score.

Finish It The Clever Way! by Edmund Nab

Position No. 157
A. Kotov vs. H. Steiner Moscow, 1955

Position No. 158
M. Tilles vs. H. McComas Baltimore, 1955


N Position 157, one move by White leaves Black helpless. I found the resulting position very intriguing; it occurred in the first round of the four-round USA-USSR match played in June and July.

Two knight moves in succession win the Black queen (and eventually the game) in Position No. 158. As reported in the July 5 issue of CHESS LIFE in detail, M. Tilles tied for first with Herbert Avram in the Maryland State Chess Championship Tournament.

For solutions, please turn to Page eight.
Send all contributions for this column to Edmund Nash, 1530 28th Place, S.E. Washington 20, D. C.

Those who tread the reading road to chess will note with regret the passing in May of this year of Dr. Harold James Ruthven Murray of Cambridge University at the age of 86. Dr. Murray was the author of the monumental "History of Chess"-the most comprehensive work ever written upon the origins and history of the royal game.


It is rumored that Grandmaster Samuel Reshevsky, who returned to the USA after his gratifyingly successful encounter with Botvinnik instead of staying in Europe to participate in the FIDE Interzonal, will make an appearance in the U.S. Open at Long Beach. The last U.S. Open graced by this grandmaster was New York 1939 where he placed second, one-half point behind Grandmaster Reuben Fine. Prior to 1939 Reshevsky shared first with Fine at the 1934 U.S. Open at Chicago and won the 1931 Western Chess Ass'n Championship of 1931 at Tulsa. In the U.S. Championships Sammy has been more impressive, winning in 1936, 1938, 1940, 1946, tied in 1942 for first with Isaac Kashdan whom he bested in a titlematch, and second in 1951, one point behind Larry Evans. It will be Reshevsky's first experience with a Swiss System event.

| rles Kalme (Philadelphia, Pa.) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Larry Remlinge |
|  | Robert Cross (Santa Mo |
|  | Ronald Gross ' (Compton, C |
|  | Andris Staklis (Lincoln, Neb.) |
|  | Robert Lorber (Resada, Calif.) |
|  |  |
|  | Sanford Greene (Mt. Vernon, |
|  | Victor Pupols (Tacoma, Was |
|  | Barton Lewls (Lincoln, Neb.) |
|  | . Kenneth Warner (Bakersfield, Callf.) |
|  |  |
|  | Ben Shaeffer (San Bernardino, Calif.) |
|  | William Whisler (Concord, Calif.) |
|  | 15. Dale Ruth (Midwest City, Okla.) |
|  | Kenneth Stone (Los Angeles, Cali |
|  | Max Burkett (Carlsbad, N.M |
|  | 8. David Ames (Quincy, Mass.) |
|  | John Briska (Albany, N.Y.) ............. |
| 20. Robert Fisher (New York, N.Y.) ....... |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | . John Winkelman (Lincoln, Neb.) |
|  | Robert Blair (Midwest City, Okla.) Jim Dick (Lincoln, Neb.) |
|  |  |

## U. S. JUNIOR

(Continued from page 1, col. 4) for Kalme and a fine wrist watch for Remlinger.

On the off-day, Sunday, July 17th, 18 players entered a rapid transit tourney in three preliminary sections. The top two entered a round robin final which saw Ronald Gross emerge speed king with a 4-1 score. Kalme followed at $31 / 2$. Others included Remlinger 3, Cross $21 / 2$, Staklis 2 and Richard Hervert 0.

As a rule the youngsters looked upon the pairings for subsequent rounds when they were announced with mixed emotions. Some seeing an easy point while others saw a grim battle and possible "zeros" in the offing. Late in the tournament one player, who, himself, had been buffeted rather mercilessly at the hands of higher placed players, found himself paired with a player much lower in the standings. After viewing the pairings he jubilently hurried around the room telling all who would listen, "He's mine, he's mine, he's all mine!" It would be ironic to report that this luckless player arose to smote his confident foe; but unfortunately such was not the case as the first player kept his victim's string of losses intact!

With the July 1955 issue, the Ukrainian monthly magazine, WE AND THE WORLD, has inaugurated a chess column conducted by Ewhen Onyschuk of Toronto, Canada, which will feature news of Ukrainian chess activity throughout the world, international chess news, games and problems. Details on this publication may be obtained from the Editor, M. Kolankiwsky, 278 Bathurst St., Toronto, Ont., Canada. The chess columnist, Mr. Onyschuk, is wellknown as a problem composer, some of whose work has appeared at various times in CHESS LIFE.

Hartford (Conn.) Chess Club: Victory in the club championship finals went to Dr. Joseph Platz with 3-0. Well was second with $2-1$ and Raymond and Lane tied for third with $1 / 2-21 / 2$ each. In the preliminaries Dr. Platz with $7-1$ and Reymond with $51 / 2-21 / 2$ qualified for the finals from Section One while Weil with $31 / 2-1 / 2$ and Lane with $7-2$ qualified from Section Two. A USCF Club Affiliate.

# Cheses dife <br> $y_{n} \eta_{\text {au }} Y_{\text {ork }}$ <br> By Allen Kaufman 

IN BRIEF: The cycle of year-round activities is about to begin at the Marshall Chess Club. The Championship preliminaries have begun, and about fifty are competing. Seeded into the finals are former club champions, last year's prizewinners, the N.Y. State Champion, and the U.S. Champion. After the Championship the Amateur Tourney will be held, followed by the Met League-bringing us to the summer again.
Edmar Mednis, in a presentation at the Marshall Chess Club, received a wallet containing a check for traveling expenses to the World Junior Championship in Belgium. Purdy of Australia and Spassky of the USSR are considered his main rivals for the title. Good luck, Edmar! ... Carl Grossguth and John Penquite are visiting this city for the summer, and are engaged in a round robin with Benedicto, Harrow, Feuerstein, Hidalgo, Stern and others at the Manhattan Chess Club Other visitors to New York are Kovacs of Austria and Rudoicic of Yugoslavia. All four visitors are strong players who will surely stimulate interest and activity here $\qquad$ With the U.S. Open about to begin, it is still uncertain as to which New York masters will attend upon returning from Europe; Bisguier will not, since he intends, to play in the Interzonal in Sweden. Sherwin and his new wife, just returned from a Bermuda honeymoon, will probably be at the Open. Turner expects to play, as do Lombardy, Hearst, Pilnick, and Burger. Rossolimo has said that he would like to attend, too, if he can leave his work. About forty other New York players have also indicated their desire to go if they are able

Your reporter will take a few issues' vacation now, in order to compete in some of the tournaments he has been writing about.

BOOST AMERICAN CHESS
By Joining the U.S.C.F.

## U. S. JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP

Lincoln, Nebraska, 1955

Friday, Page 2
August 5, 1955

| USCF Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Median |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2186 | W28 | w6 | W2 | W4 | L3 | W11 | W5 | w8 | W9 | W12 | -1 | 33.00 |
| 2114 | W17 | W15 | L1 | D5 | W14 | W6 | D4 | W3 | W11 | D8 | 71-2 ${ }^{3}$ | 33.00 |
| 2068 | D7 | W16 | D14 | W12 | W1 | D4 | D11 | L2 | W5 | W6 | $7-3$ | 33.50 |
| 2123 | W22 | W10 | W11 | L1 | W6 | D3 | D2 | L5 | D8 | D9 | $6-4$ | 34.50 |
| 1823 | W21 | D12 | W15 | D2 | L11 | W13 | L1 | W4 | L3 | W1 | $6-4$ | 33.50 |
| 1847 | W25 | L1 | W17 | W7 | L4 | L2 | W12 | W11 | D13 | L3 | $51-413$ | 33.50 |
| 1600 | D3 | D8 | W9 | L6 | L12 | W24 | W14 | D13 | D15 | D10 | 51.43 | 31.50 |
| 1950 | D16 | D7 | W23 | D14 | D13 | D12 | W18 | L1 | D4 | D2 | 51.41 | 30.50 |
| 2027 | W24 | L11 | L7 | L13 | W25 | W16 | W20 | W1 | L1 | D4 | 51-43 | 30.00 |
| 1785 | W18 | L4 | L16 | D17 | L19 | D22 | WBye | W24 | W2 | D7 | 51-41 | 25.00 |
|  | W13 | W9 | L4 | W16 | W5 | L1 | D3 | L6 | L2 | D14 | $5-5$ | 35.50 |
| 1550 | W2 | D5 | D13 | L3 | W7 | D8 | L6 | W1 | D1 | L1 | $5-5$ | 32.50 |
| 1700 | L11 | W2 | D12 | W9 | D8 | L5 | D15 | D7 | D6 | D16 | 5-5 | 31.50 |
|  | WBye | D20 | D3 | D8 | L2 | D18 | L13 | W19 | D12 | D11 | 5-5 | 30.50 |
| 1785 | W19 | L2 | L5 | W23 | D18 | D17 | D13 | L12 | D7 | W22 | 5-5 | 30.00 |
| 00 | D8 | L3 | W10 | L11 | D20 | L9 | D21 | WBye | W25 | D13 | 5-5 | 30.00 |
| 1600 | L2 | W22 | L6 | D10 | W21 | D15 | W19 | L9 | L18 | W2 | 5-5 | 29.00 |
|  | L10 | WBye | W19 | D20 | D15 | D14 | L8 | D21 | W17 | L5 | 5-5 | 29.00 |
|  | L15 | D21 | L18 | W25 | W10 | D20 | L17 | L14 | wbye | W24 | 5 -5 | 26.50 |
| 1830 | L12 | D14 | W21 | D18 | D16 | D19 | L9 | D23 | D22 | W25 | $5-5$ | 26.00 |
| 1600 | L5 | D19 | L20 | W22 | L17 | W25 | D16 | D18 | D24 | WBye | $5-5$ | 25.00 |
| 1650 | L4 | L17 | W24 | L21 | WBye | D10 | L23 | W25 | D20 | L15 | 4 -6 | 25.50 |
| 1650 | L1 | W25 | L8 | L15 | L24 | wbye | W22 | D20 | L10 | L17 | 351 | 26.50 |
| 1600 | L9 | L13 | L22 | WBye | W23 | L7 | W25 | L10 | D21 | L19 | $31.6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 25.50 |
| 1600 | L6 | L23 | W | L19 | L9 | L21 | L2 | L22 | L16 | L20 | $1-9$ | 25.0 |

ian
3.00
.00
.50
3.50
3.50
.50
.50
.00
5.50
2.50
1.50
0.50
0.00
0.00
2.00
2.00
6.50
2.00
25.00
25.50
26.50
25.50
25.00
Alexander Liepnieks tournament director

## Manhattan Retains Metro League Title

By U. S. Master DR. HAROLD SUSSMAN

Adetermined Marshall Chess Club came within an ace of victory this year, bowing finally in the closing moments of the match. Extra color was added to the struggle when Lessing J. Rosenwald donated two special chess sets, the more valuable one going to the winning team.

Captained by our N.Y. reporter Allan Kaufman, the Marshallites this year put the accent on youth, apparently with an eye to developing its youngsters. The only veterans on this youngest Marshall team in many years were Franklin Howard, Jack Collins, and Carl Pilnick, all club champions over the past three years. The new faces were Willy Lombardy, Jimmy Sherwin, Saul Wachs, Karl Burger, and Edmar Mednis, all of whom had represented the Marshall Juniors in previous years.

Although deprived of the services of Arthur Bisguier (playing now at Buenos Aires) and George Shainswit (unavailable), the Manhattanites were fortunate in securing Sammy Reshevsky, Donald Byrne, and Al Kevitz, none of whom were on hand last year.

After a brief introductory speech by Leonard B. Meyer, Manhattan team captain, in which he reiterated his gallant annual comment that "the Marshalls would win this time", the pairings were announced and play commenced.

Three early draws occurred, most unusual for this fighting match. At board one, Larry Evans made little progress with a Ruy Lopez and offered a draw at the 20th turn which was accepted by Donald Byrne. Kevitz, at board four, tried a clever surprise move in a Reti Opening which turned out to be unsound. Sherwin used up too much time and selected a safe reply on his 10th move which permitted equality. In extreme time pressure, Jimmy offered a draw and Kevitz accepted. Considering Sherwin's time pressure, perhaps Kevitz would have been wiser to play on (or else he was tired of playing against Sherwin again!). At the fifth board. Burger played aggressively against Horowitz' Benoni debut but also used up too much time in the early stages. He offered several draws which were rejected until Horowitz did agree on the 21st move. The final position obviously favored Burger but a logical winning method is unclear and he had insufficient time.
Grandmaster Sammy Reshevsky racked up the first win at board two. Sammy had a cold but it surely didn't show in his play which was outstanding. After securing a slight opening advantage against Franklin Howard's (Marshall CO-Champion 1955) Meran defense, he won a pawn in the mid-game and finished off in pleasing style, carefully avoiding many a Howard trap. The finale saw the Black King in a mating net.
A major upset occurred at board three where Carl Pilnick employed the closed Smyslov line against the Sicilian. Denker's 6th and 7th moves were premature and he made a fatal blunder at his 15th turn, after which Pilnick gave him no rest. Arnold is occasionally overconfident and pays insufficient attention to the opening phase. On the other hand, Pilnick turned in a masterful effort.
In the second half of the pairings, most of the excitement and action occurred. Max Pavey at board six

We wish to apologize for the belated appearance of this graphic description of the Manhattan-Marshall League match; it was scheduled for a much earlier appearance, but the pressure of other matters forced its postponement. While it has lost some news value, we hope that enough interest remains in this very important annual battle between two great American teams to iustify even its belated appear-ance.-The Editor.

Hearst got plenty of counterplayperhaps a winning position. Eliot used up almost all his available time on the early mid-game looking for the clincher. He never found it-choosing an unsound combination which looked good and proved unworthy. The final position was so much in Turner's favor that Hearst didn't bother to play it out. One would do well to study the tactical possibilities and actual play in this game!
To Turner then, a somewhat underrated master whose record actually is quite formidable, went the honor of the deciding point as Hearst resigned several days later. The final score was $51 / 2-41 / 2$.

Thus ended the closest match between these teams in years-the Marshall Club is fortunate in having so many promising young masters. They should be even tougher next year.

## EARLY MATURITY

Willy Lombardy, only 17 years of age and already Marshall C. C. Co-Champion, handles this entire game like a mature veterm. Schwartz misplays the opening and his cramped game leads to disaster.

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE MCO: page 95 Metropolitan League Match

 New York, 1955


> Women's Chess Life
> By willa White Owens

Address news items and inquiries
on Women's Chess to Mrs. Willa White
Owens, 124 South Point Drive, Avon Lake, Ohio.

CHESS players and philatelists find that their two hobbies converge in the collection of Chess Stamps. I have before me a fascinating little booklet, Chess Stamps and Chess Cancellations by Pauline Hurd Nearing. This booklet is a reprint of an article in The Congress Book, 18th, obtainable from the American Philatelic Congress, Mr. Stephen Rich, Sec. \& Treas., P. O. Box B, Verona, New Jersey ( $\$ 3.00$ in paper back; $\$ 5.00$ cloth back.) The separate booklet is no longer available.

This booklet gives a short resume of the history of chess, showing its migration from country to country, and gives photographs and descriptions of Mrs. Nearing's collection of chess stamps, first day covers, and cancellations. The stamps are from Bulgaria, Russia, Hungary, Yugoslavia, Cuba, and Finland. The designs are beautiful.
"Chess Paper Money" issued during World War I in Konigsaue and Strobec, Germany, is described but not photographed. "Strobeck, the famous chess village of Germany (where every man, woman and child plays chess) printed chess money in denominations of 25,50 , 75 pfennings and half marks, all of which were gaily illustrated chess boards with chessmen in colors." There follows descriptions of some of the money-one depicts Bismarck as "Der Welt Schachmeister" (The World Chess Master.)
The chess cancellations were hand drawn for the booklet and show interesting designs. Mrs. Nearing points out the frequency of the use of the board, rook and knight, "unquestionably chosen because of their antiquity."

Mrs. Nearing, a life member of the USCF, is not now actively engaged in chess as nursing her invalid Mother is taking up all her time. She was a charter member of the Decatur Chess Club and its President, and she was an Illinois State Chess Association Director. She has participated in the TransMississippi Valley Annual Chess Tournaments, the Illinois State Touraments, and the Illinois State Open Tournaments, which she helped organize.

She found that her International Postal Chess had the interesting byproduct of adding to her collection the Air-Mail-Letter-Sheets of different countries as relative material to the Chess Stamp collection. Whenever this collection is exhibited, she says, it has been of interest and information to the visitors, as few people realize the magnitude of Chess and Postal Chess.
Mrs. Nearing's collection "Chess Stamps and Chess Cancellations" won the grand award, June 18th,
(Please turn to page 7, col. 1)

## USCF Tournament Rules

By KENNETH HARKNESS

Acting Chairman, USCF Tournament Rules Committee
(In this series, Kenneth Harkness releases the USCF tournament rules which will appear, with explanatory comments, in his "Official Blue Book and Encyclopedia of Chess" to be published this Fall for the USCF by the David McKay Co., New York. The first and second sections of this series appeared in the June 20th and July 5th issue of CHESS LIFE.-Editor.)
T RE penalty for failing to record the moves of a game is stated in both players stop keeping score for ten or more moves, the Director has the power to declare the game lost by both players.

A player may ask why he should be forced to keep score if his opponent has stopped writing down the moves. Would not this amount to giving his opponent an advantage in time? The answer will be found in Rules 33,34 and 35 below. If a player is keeping score and his time limit expires, he will not lose the game on a time-forfeit if his opponent cannot present immediately a complete and legible record of all the moves of the game. So a player loses nothing by keeping score when his opponent has stopped recording the moves. On the contrary, he is in a position to claim the game if his opponent oversteps the time limit.

Up to now, there have been no definite regulations covering "timeforfeits" and much confusion has resulted. USCF Tournament Rules 33, 34 and 35 outline the exact procedure to be followed by the Director in the performance of his duty "to establish that the prescribed time limit has not been exceeded by the players." (Laws of Chess, Art. 19b)

If a clock indicates that a player's time-control period has expired. the Director must obtain clear and positive evidence of the number of moves played so that he may know whether or not the player has complied with the time-limit law. The Director is not supposed to count the moves as they are being played, nor is he permitted to accept the evidence of illegal check-marks on a score sheet. The evidence must be a complete and legible record of all the moves.

The rules specify that the Director must base his decision on the score sheet of the opponent of the player whose time-control period has expired, and that this evidence must be given to the Director immediately. There are various reasons for these requirements. Most important reason: the opponent may have gained an advantage in time by not recording his moves.

## PART TWO: TOURNAMENT REGULATIONS

## Claims by Players

26. If a player claims an infraction by his opponent, the claim must be made while the claimant's clock is running. Except as provided in Rule 35, the claimant's clock must remain in claim.

Any claim based on the number of moves that have been played must be supported by the evidence of the claimant's score sheet. The claimant is fully
responsible for the correctness of his responsible
score sheet.

## Adjournment Procedure

27. Upon conclusion of the time prescribed for play, the Director goes from ooard to board and checks the number unfinished game; and at each board where the prescribed number of moves has been completed, the Director gives an envelope to the player having the move, and inst
seal his move.
Each player who has been instructed to seal his move must write his next move in unambiguous notation on his score sheet, place his and his oppo-
nent's score sheets in the envelope nent's score sheets in the envelope
furnished by the Director, seal the envelope, and then stop the clocks. If the player has made the said move on the chessboard, he must seal this same move on his score sheet.

Upon the envelope must be Indicated:
(1) The names of the players;

The position immediately before the sealed move;
(3) The time used by each player;

The name of the player who has
sealed the move and the numsealed the move
ber of that move;

The envelope must be delivered to
the Director, to be retained by him until the game is resumed.
Resumption of an Adjourned Game 28. The procedure in the resumpti
of an adiourned game is as follows:
(a) The position immediately before the sealed move is set up on a chessboard, and the fime used by journment is indicated on the clocks.
(b) The envelope is opened only when the player having the move
(the player who must reply to (the player who must reply to
the sealed move) is present. That the sealed move) is present. That
player's clock is started after the sealed move has been made on the chessboard.
(c) If the player having the move is absent, his clock is started, but the envelope is open
the time of his arrival.
(d) If the player who has sealed the move is absent, the player having the move is not obliged to reply to the sealed move on the
chessboard. He has the right to chessboard. He has the right to
record his move in reply upon record his move in reply upon his score sheet, to place the lat-
ter in an envelope, to stop his ter in an envelope, to stop his
clock, and to start his opponent's clock. The envelope should be placed in security and opened at the time of his opponent's If the envelope containing the sealed move at the time of adiournment has disappeared, and it is not possible to re-establish, the position and the times used the position and the times used
for the adjourned game, or if, for the adjourned game, or if,
for any other reason, the said position and said times cannot be re-established, the game is annulled, and a new game must be played in place of the adjourned game.
until both players have recorded all
move recorded with paragraph disappeared, the in accordance
(d) above
gar disappeared, the game must be time of adjournment, and with the clock times recorded at the time of adiournment.
(g) If, upon resumption of the game, the time used has been incorrectly indicated on either clock, and if such mistake has been es-
tablished by either player before making his first move, the error must be corrected. If the error is not then established, the game continues without correction.
Penalty for Sealing an Ambiguous Move
29. If, on disclosure of a sealed move recorded at the time of adjournment or in accordance with Rule 28 (d), it
is found to be capable of more than one legal interpretation, the player having the move determines which of the legal moves is to be made on the chessboard. The time taken by the player having the move to reach his decision must be registered on his clock.

## Agreement on Result Before

 Resumption30. If players agree on a result before the time specified for the resumption of an adjourned game, both play ers must notify the Director before or
at the time fixed for resumption; othat the time fixed for resumption; oth-
erwise they may be liable to penalty under Rule 31(b) or Rule 32.

## Loss of the Game

31. A game is lost by a player:
(a) Who has not completed the prescribed number
time specified.
(b) Who arrives at the chessboard more than one hour late without having obtained permission from the Director.
(c) Who has sealed an illegal move, or one so inaccurately or vaguely defined as to render impossible the establishment of its true meaning.
(d) Who has failed to record a Rule 27.
(e) Who, during the game, refuses to comply with the Laws
Game Lost by Both Players
32. If both players arrive at the chessboard more than one hour late without having obtained permission from the Director, or if both players refuse to comply with the Laws of Chess and
these Rules, the game shall be declared these Rules, the gam
lost by both players.

Administration of Time-Forfeit Rules
33. When a clock indicates that a players' time-control period has expired, and the Director has not determined previously that the player has complefed the prescribed number o moves, the Director or Assistant Director halts further play in the game, stops the clocks, calls upon the said player's opponent to submit his score sheet for examination, and-
(a) If the opponent's score sheet is not submitfed immediately, or if and legible record of all the moves of the game, the Director must rule that he lacks sufficient evidence to declare the game lost under Rule 31(a).
(b) If the opponent's score sheet is submitted immediately, contains complete and legible record of proves that the player whose proves that the player whose not complete the prescribed number of moves, the Director must declare the game lost under Rule 31(a).
34. If, after the procedure of Rule 33 has been followed, the Director declares that the game is not lost under Rule 31 (a), the clocks remain stopped
the moves of the game, and-
(a) If each player has completed the the time specified for play has concluded, the clock of the player having the move is started and Rule 27 applies.
(b) If each' player has not made the prescribed number of moves, or if the time specified for play has not concluded, the clock of the player having the move is started and the game is continued; but in this situation, the player whose time-control period has not expired cannot be penalized under Rule 31 (a) if he faits to complete the prescribed number of moves in the time specified for that session, and the Director has the right to waive the requirements of Rule 16 and adiourn the game at his discretion.
Time-Forfeit Claim by Player
35. If the Director or an Assistant Director is not at a player's board when the player's clock indicates that his time-control period has expired, and if the opponent wishes to claim that the player has not completed the prescribed number of moves, the Diprescribed number of moves, the Di rector should clocks should not be stoppedi no The clocks should not be stopped; no moves should be made; and no moves may be recorded pending the Director's arrival. When the Director arrives, the procedure of Rule 33 is followed.

## Conduct of the Players

36. (a) During play the players are forbidden to make use of notes, manuscripts, or printed matter, or to analvze the aame on another chessboard; they are wise wise forbidden to receive the ad vice or opinion of a third
whether solicited or not.
(b) No analysis is permitted in the playing rooms during play or during adjournment.
(c) Players are forbidden to distract or annoy their opponents in any manner whatsoever.
37. For an infraction of any of the regulations of Rule 36 above, the Di rector has discretionary power to impose any
Rule 45 .
Duties of the Tournament Director
38. The principal duties of the Direc-
(a) To see that the Laws of Chess and these Rules are strictly observed.
(b) To supervise the progress of the fournament; to establish that the prescribed time limit has not been exceeded by the players; to
arrange the order of resumption arrange the order of resumption
of play in adjourned games; to supervise the arrangements set forth in Rule 27 herein, above all to see that the information on the envelope is correct; to assume custody of the sealed envetopes until such time as adjourned games are resumed, etc.
(c) To enforce the decisions he has reached in disputes that have arisen during the course of the tournament.
(d) To impose penalties on the players for all infractions of the Law of Chess and of these Rules.
(e) To arrange the pairings of players in tournament
(f) To keep custody of all score sheets and records of the tournament.

Chess Life, are at regular rates (see above) for first membership, at the followIng rates for each additional membership; One year $\$ 2.50$; two years $\$ 4.75$; three years $\$ 6.75$. Subscription rate of Chess Life to non-members is $\$ 3.00$ per year. Single coples 15 c each.
CHANGE OF ADDRESS: Four weeks' notice required. When ordering change please furnish an address stencll impression from
luction, including numbers and dates on top line.
Send membership dues (or subscriptions) and changes of address to KENNETH
Send tournament rating reporis (with fees, If any) and all communications re,
garding CHESS LIFE ediforla! matters to MONTGOMERY MAJOR, Editor, 1/23
Make all checks payable to: THE UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION


# LARRY EVANS ON OPENINGS 

By International Master LARRY EV ANS

U. S. OPEN CHAMPION, 1954

B
LFOKE leaving for the Soviet Union, Bisguier, Evans, and Reshevsky contested a triangular practice match, in which each player played four games with the other two. The mood was one of experimentationof testing out pet lines and innovations-before springing them on the Russians.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

Through the following order of moves, Reshevsky permitted the Maroczy bind, hoping to test Bronstein's line of play against it for Black. The game went: 1. P-K4, P-QB4; 2. N-K2, P-KN3; 3. P-Q4, PxP; 4. NxP, B-N2; 5. P-QB4, NQB3; 6. В-К3, N-R3; 7. B-K2, 10-О; 8. O-O, P-Q3; 9. N-QB3, P-B4; 10. PxP.


The recommended move here is 10. ........, BxN!; 11. BxN, RxP; If 10.

PxP; 11. P-B4!, N-N5; 12. Bx $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{PxB} ; 13$. Q-Q2, with an edge. The game continued: 10. ....... NxP; 11. $\mathbf{N x N}, \mathbf{R x N}$ ? (Correct is 11. ........, BxN; although Reshevsky later said he feared 12. Q-N3 in reply); 12. Q-Q2, R-B1; 13. KR-Q1, with a big plus for White which, however, he could not convert into a win against Reshevsky's defensive play.

## MERAN DEFENSE

In Reshevsky-Bisguier, Black tried to dispense with P-QR3. White could get nothing out of the opening. The game went: 1. PQ4, P-Q4; 2. P-QB4, P-QB3; 3. NQB3, P-K3; 4. N-B3, N-B3; 5. P-K3, QN-Q2; 6. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{PxP} ; 7$ 7. $\mathrm{BxBP}, \mathrm{P}$ QN4; 8. B-Q3, B-N2.


Position after 8. ........ B-N2
The usual move in this position is 8. ........, P-QR3, leading to a difficult equality for Black. MCO gives no satisfactory continuation
leading to advantage for White against the text move.

The game continued: 9. P-K4 (9. O-O, P-QR3 leads to the QGA), P-N5;'10. N-R4 (10. N-K2, P-B4; 11. P-K5, N-Q4; 12, O-O, R-B1;= is Cas-tillo-Wade, Venice, 1950), P-B4; 11. P-K5, N-Q4; 12. NxP (12. PxP, QR4!; 13. O-O, B-B3!), NxN 13. PxN, BxP (after 13 . $\qquad$ Q-R4; 14. O-O, BxP; 15. N-N5 White has a dangerous K-side initiative); 14. B-N5 ch (Strangely enough, this superficial check leads to nothing! White might try 14 N-N5 to maintain an advantage), K-B1; 15. O-O, P-KR3; and eventually Black fianchettoed his King with full equality.

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

Bisguier-Evans, went: 1. P-Q4, NKB3; 2. P.QB4, P-KN3; 3. P-KN3, B-N2; 4. B-N2, O-O; 5. N-KB3, P-Q3 (5... P-Q4 leads to a more passive type of position); 6. O.O, QN-Q2; 7. N-B3, P-K4; 8. P-N3, R-K1; 9.


Position after 9. Q-B2
Q-B2, 9 ........, PxP. Too committal. A good continuation is $9 \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{P}$. K5!; 10. N-N5, P-K6!; 11. BxKP, NN5!, with a good game for Black, as in Szabo-Donner, Argentina, 1955); 10. NxP, P-B3; 11. B-N2 (11 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4!$; 12. $\mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{NxP}=)$, N B4; 12. QR-Q1, Q-B2(?) (Black's experiment with the setup P-QR3 and P-QN4 is not quite satisfactory. Correct is the simple $12 \ldots \ldots$. . P. QR4; followed by Q-N3 and KNQ2); 13. P-K4, B-N5; 14. R-Q2, QRQ1; 15. P-B4, B-QB1; 16. P-KR3, P. P.QR3; 17. P.QN4, with an edge for White, which Black later managed to neutralize.

## NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

Reshevsky-Evans went: 1. P-Q4, NKB3; 2. P-QB4, P-K3; 3. N.QB3, BN5; 4. P-K3, P-QN3; 5. N-K2, B-R3; Black was hoping to test the line from the 2nd match game, Botvin-nik-Smyslov, 1954, which continued: 6. P-QR3, B-K2; 7. NB4; P-Q4; 8. PxP; 9. KxB, PxP; 10. PKN4, and now P-KN4 instead of ......... P-B3? as played in the game.

However, the move which Reshevsky chooses is both simple and strong, providing White with a lasting initiative: 6. N-N3.


## Position after 6. N-N3

Already White threatens P-K4 and Black is in trouble! Not 6. ........ P-Q4; 7. Q-R4ch, winning a piece. If 6. ........ O-O; 7. P-K4, P-K3; 8. B-Q3; 8. B-Q2, P-B4; 9. P-QR3, BR4; 10. P-Q5, PxP; 11. BPxP, BxB; 12. KxB, QN-Q2; 13. P-KR4, R-K1; 14. P-B3-draw-Reshevsky-Keres, Zurich, 1953, although in the final position White has a sufficient advantages to play on. The last possibility, apart from the text, is 6.

N-B3; 7. P-K4, P-K4; 8. P-Q5, N-Q2; 9. B-N5, with a good game for White.

There is no satisfactory continuation here for Black, indicating that 5. ........, B-R3 is faulty. The game continued: 6. $\qquad$ P.B4; 7. P-Q5! O-O; 8. P-K4, PxP; 9. BPxP, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 10$. KxB, P-Q3; 11. B-N5 (11. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 1 ;$ 12. N-B5 is even stronger), BxN ; 12. $\mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q2}$; 13. P-KR4, R-K1; 14. R-R3!, R-K1; 15. P-B3, P-KR3; 16. B-B4, N-K4; 17. K-N1 (17. P-R5!, N-R2; 18. NB5, N-N4; 19. R-N3, probably gives White a decisive advantage), and White has a lasting edge, although Black managed to draw.

## NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

## (Zurich Variation)

Bisguier-Evans: 1. P-Q4, N-KB3; 2. P-QB4, P-K3; 3. N-QB3, B-N5; 4. P-K3, P-B4; 5. N-B3, O-O; 6. BQ3, P.Q4; 7. O.O, N-B3 (........, QNQ2 has also been tried here by Gligorich); 7. P-QR3), $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N} ; 9$. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$, QPxP; 10. BxP, Q-B2; 11. B-N5.
(Diagram top of next column)
The point of B-N5 is to exert enough pressure to prevent Black from freeing his game with P-K4. The game Szabo-Gligorich, Argentint, 1955, continued: 11. QR3; 12. B-Q3, P-K4; 13. Q-B2, leading to a normal continuation where Black has a move in hand (P-QR3) which may or may not turn out to effect a weakening of the Q's wing. Abe Turner assures me that 11. ....., B-2 is Black's best move, leading to full capacity. The move chosen is the actual game, if followed up correctly, is also satisfactory.
(l)RSS life Friday, Page 5 August 5, 1955


Position after 11. B-N5 P.QN3; 12. B-N2, B-N2; 11. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B1}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QR} 4$; 14. Q-B2, (and now not R-B1 15. Q-K2!, as in the game, but-) P-QR3!; which forces 15. B-K2 (15. B-BQ3?, B-P5; 16. BK2, B-K5; 17. Q-Q1, N-N6; winning the exchange), QR-B1; and Black stands still.

## RETI OPENING <br> (Barcza System)

Evans-Reshevsky: 1. N-KB3, NKB3; 2. P-KN3, P-KN3; 3. B-N2, BN2; 4. O.O, O-O; 5. P-B4). Whatever move White plays at this point destroys the symmetry and gives the game its character; if 5 . P-Q3, PQ3; is probably Black's best reply, as in Smyslov-Botvinnik, USSR Chmp. 1955, which continued; 6. PK4, P-QK4, P-QB4; 7. QN-Q2, N-B3; 8. P-QR4, N-K1; 9. N-B4, with a slight initiative for White) P-B3; 6. P-Q4, P-Q4; 7. QN-Q2, N-K5; 8. Q-N3.


White exerts pressure on the Q's side. If, for instance, Black tries to hold the center with 8 . $\qquad$ 9. Q-B2!, followed by P-K4 as rapidly as possible. Hence-
8. ........, Q-N3; 9. PxP, $N \times N ; 10$. $\mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{QxQ} ;$ 11. $P \times Q, P \times P ;$ 12. QRB1 (perhaps 12. N-K5 is better), N B3; 13. P-K3, and White maintained Q-side pressupe which was not sufficient to win.

Chess received much photographic publicity when the match at Moscow obtained impressive space with stories and photos in the July 18th issues of both LIFE and SPORTS HLUSTRATED. Grandmaster Reshevsky played the role of hero in both stories for his superlative first round win over World Champion Mikbail Botvinnik and his plus score in the match. "He whipped the cream of the Muscovite team" as Sports Illustrated advertised the story.

# GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS 

## Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, Marshall Chess Club Champion, 1954

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## IMPERFECT BUT

Perfection is the goal in chess, but it is probably unattainable, and perhaps undesirable. This game is all the more fun because of its imperfections.

## QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

 (Exchange Variation)MCO: page 161, column 37
Racine County Tournament 4 Wisconsin, 1955
White
A nice $\quad \underset{\text { 24. }}{\text { Broblem-type move-even }}$ though it should not succeed.
In harmony with "if they bother you, capture them," Black should play 24 . $\ldots$....., BxB. Then if 25 . QxKt ch, K-B1; and if 25 . RxKt, Kt-R5; and Black wins in either case, But the text seems quite adequate too.
R. KUNZ
A. V. MIK

Threatening to keep out White's queen with 26 . ......., Kt-K5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 26. Q-K+6 } \\
& \text { 27. R-R57! }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{B \times B}$
This is the best "swindle" try, al though there are flaws in it. Actually, White no longer has anything. If 27. QxKt(Kt5), Q-B7 ch; 28. K-B3, B-K3; wins: and if 27. QxKt (Kt6), Q-B7 ch; wins: and if 27. QxKt (Kt6)
28. K-B1, P-Kts-Q ch; wins.

28. ......, QxQ, Kt-K5! 29. QxKt(Kt6),
er win with 27. Q-B7 ch; etc. Or $28 . \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{BxP}$ ch; 29 . $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{BxR} ; 30 . \mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{QxP}$; 31. RxKt, R-KB1. Throwing a piece ( 27 . Kt8-Q) especially when well ahead in material, is a very effective strategem. Finally the RxKt Q-B5ch ? Finally, the losing move. With 28. 29 . Qxin! (freeing the Rook and threatening 31 . (freeing the Rook and threatening 31 ...... B-Kt4 ch; or 31. ......., B-R5) Black maintains a winning advantage.
Black can almost save himself with 29. ........ BxP ch. But not quite. White wins with $30 . \mathrm{R} / 1 \times B!$ Then if $30 . . . . . .$. , R-B1 ch; (30. ....., R-R2; 31. Q-K8 ch mates in two) 31. K-Kt2, and both 31. R-B2; and $31 . \ldots . . ., Q-B 2$; lose to 32. Q-K6 (ch). Or if $30 . . . . . . .$. , Q-B2; 31 . Q-KB6!! wins. This is the only winning move, as it threatens $32 . \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}$, and prevents effective Black checks with 31. ........ Q-B2; and 31. ......., R-KB1. After the text, White has a forced mate in three.


With one bright idea after another popping up, one crucial situation after popther, and with the win swinging another, and with the win swinging from side to side, imperfections come
naturally-to player and annotator. But naturally-to player and annotator
surely it is a fascinating game.
See caption! The text is too much of a good thing! With $23 . \mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{KxB}$; 24. QxP, R-Kt1; 25. Q-R3 ch, followed by P-B3 and P-Kt5, White keeps the win in hand. $\qquad$ K+xB

## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you a thorough postgame analysis. Fee $\$ 10$.

Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of vour games for a fee of $\$ \$$.
3. 4. . B-B4 B-K+2 $\quad$ 6. $\quad$ P-K2 Here 6. P-KR3 might be played for Black could move 6 . Black could mo
remove the QB . remove the QB.
6 . $\qquad$ 7. 0.0 played fo Preferable was 7........, Kt-B3, bringing out the QKt, which lingers at home for the next 12 moves.
$\begin{array}{llll}8 . & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2 & \text { 10. Kt-K2 } \\ \text { KKt-Q2 }\end{array}$ 9. B-B3 P-K3 11. $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{xKt}$ QxKt An awkward move, probably made to protect his QB in event of White's PQB4.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 12. P.B3 } & \text { P.B3 } & \text { 15. B-K2 } & \text { P-B4 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 13. } \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 & \text { 16. } \mathrm{PxP}\end{array}$
White surrenders his foothold in the center to get play against Black's adcenter to "get play against Black's ad-
vanced "hanging" pawns. This danvanced hanging pawns,
gerous strategy allows free rein to gerous strategy allows free rein to
the Black bishops. Moves like 16 . Pthe Black bishops. Moves like 16. P-
Kt4 or 16. P-B3 would have struck at Kt4 or 16. P-B3 would have struck at the center and allowed more play for the white pleces.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 16. } & \text { P..... } & \text { PXP } & \text { 18. Q-Q2 } \\ \text { 17. P-QR4 }\end{array}$
17. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+3 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$

This strange looking move is necessary to hold the center pawns.
Losing a pawn but gaining the two bishops and eventually the game, since White's extra pawn proves useless. Another possibility was 18. with an eye to planting a Kt at Q 6 , but Black reserves the option of P-Q5 instack
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 19. B×Kt } & \text { QRXB } & \text { 22. KR-K+1 } & \text { R-B2 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 20. } K+\times R P & Q B-R 1 & \text { 23. } & B-B 1 \\ \text { 21. } K t-K+3 & Q-K+2 & \text { 24. } & \text { Q-K2 }\end{array} \quad$ R-Q1 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 21. } K t-K ł 3 & Q-K+2 \\ \text { White's apparently } & \text { logical previous } 4\end{array}$ Whites apparentiy logical previous 4 moves fail to utilize his material ad-
vantage or forestall the Black pawn push.


| 25. PxP | P-Q5 | 27. R-B1 | R-B2 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 26. PxP | PxP | 28. Q-Q2 | P-Q6 | The decisive move, which would also have been made on 28. Q-Kt4. White's KB is shut in and Black develops ominous threats on the long diagonals. 29. R-B4 R/1-KB1 31. P-B3 B-R3 30. Q-K3 R×P 32. R×P

White is lost. The threat was 32 PxP. If 32. Kt-Q2, PxP; 33. RxR , RxR; a) 34. OxQP, PxKtP; 35. B-K2, Q-R2 ch wins; or b) 34. KtxBP, RxKt; 35. QxR wins; or ${ }^{\text {(if } 35 . ~ Q x B, ~ R x B ~ c h, ~ e t c .), ~} \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{ch}$ wins. 32. $\quad$ RXR 34. Q-Q4 B-K6ch A nice finish by Saldy
nice finish by Saidy.

## FIRE CAPTAIN CHAMPION

Clinton L. Parmelee, a Captain in the Newark Fire Department, is the new National Amateur Champion. He captured the title by drawing one and winning five game, including the following four-alarmer, with its windup ween sacrifice and mating attack.

VIENNA GAME
MCO: page 310, column 11
U.S. Amateur Championship Lake Mohegan, 1955
Notes by U.S. Master
William C. Lombardy
White
melee
P-K4 2. CHTEINBERG

More elastic would be 2. ........, Kt-KB3 or ......., Kt-QB3.
3. B-B4 Kt-KB3 4. P-Q3

Preparing for P-B4. The possibility of playing B-Kt5 is also left open.
. P-KR3?
A poor move which loses a valuable tempo which could have been used more effectively by developing. As we will see 4. ........, P-KR3 becomes the direct cause for Black's losing the game as it provides him with the illusion of having safety.
5. P-B4

Striking immediately at the undevel5. oped center. P.Q3 7. Kt-QR4!
6. $\mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{B} 3 \quad \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{B} 3$
Depriving Black of his priceless KB.

Depriving Black of his priceles

## KtxB RPXKt

A weak move which more or less cures Alack of his illness, "Lack of space and development". Better is PxP or O-O.
9. P-Q4!

Playable and most likely the only chance to free his game. He cannot allow White to consolidate completely,
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 10. } \mathbf{P x P} & K t \times P & \text { 12. O-O } & \mathrm{Kt} \text {-B3 }\end{array}$ 1. Q-K2 O-O

He must prepare to defend the weakened KP and at the same time counterattack the White KBP.
13. B-Q2 Q-Q3 15. QR-K1
14. B-B3 R-K1 Kt-Q5?? Losing at once! 15. ........, Kt-KKt5 is necessary to defend the $K P$ and threaten the KBP. Black's position is inferior but it should be held. The following sacrifice" by White needs no explana-
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 16. BxKt1 PxB } & \text { 17. QxRch! }\end{array}$
 Champion.

HIS OWN
White's departure from "book" on his 13th turn may not be best, but at least it puts his opponent on his own. The result is a wild attacking game with plenty of exciting moments for all!

## TWO KNIGHTS DEFENSE

MCO: page 299 , column $6 c(B)$
Eastern States Open
Philadelphia, 1955
Notes by J. Norman Cotter
White
Black
N. COTTER CANTOR

| J. | N. COTTER |  | H. CANTOR |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | P-K4 | P-K4 | P. | PxP | PXP |
| 2. | Kt-KB3 | אt-QB3 | 8. | B-K2 | P-KR |

2. 

$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { 5. PxP } & \text { Kt-Kt5 P-Q4 } & \text { 10. Kt-K5 } \\ \text { Kt-QR4 } & \text { 11 } & \text { PekB4 }\end{array}$
6. B-K+5ch $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Kt-QR4 } & \text { 11. P-KB4 } & \text { 12. P-B3 } & \text { B-QB4 }\end{array}$

Possibly best is 12. P-Q4, PxP e.p.; 13. $\mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$; 14. B-Q2, Kt-Kt2; 15. Q-R4 with an edge.
12.

## $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{K}+2$ 13. P -QK $\ddagger 4$

The Fine-Steiner 1944 Match Game with which I was familiar continued: 13 . P-Q4, PxP e.p.; 14. QxP, O-O; 15. Kt-Q2,
Kt-Q3; 16: B-B3, B-B4; 17. Q-K2, Kt-Q4;

## GUEST ANNOTATORS

## J. Norman Cotter

William C. Lombardy
Stanley B. Winters

I had quickly developed a losing position after 19, ......., QR-K1 in a postal game, so 1 decided to strike out on my own.
13.

B-K+3 14. Kt-R3 P-R3 Deciding to preserve the KB , a decision which; however, permits White valuable time to continue his plan.
15. $\mathrm{Kt} / 3-\mathrm{B} 4 \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R2} \quad$ 17. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 1$
16. B-R3 $\quad$ Kt-Q4 $\quad$ 18, Q-B2

Instead 18. P-Kts? would be a serious error. Among other favorable continuations Cantor might try ........, RPxP!; 19. BxR, PxKt; 20. B-R3, KtxKBP; 21. RxKt, QxKt with an overwhelming position. 18. KtaKt Kt-Q3 20, QxP R-K1 19. KtxKt QxKt

With the strong threat ......., P-B3. White considered and rejected 21. Ktx BP?, KxKt; 22. B-R5 ch, P-Kt3, etc. 21. Q-82 P-B3

What to do? If 21. Kt-B4, Q-K2 and the pin on the White Bishop is devastating.


## WOMEN'S CHESS

(Continued from page 3, col. 4) at the Fourth International Convention and Exhibition of the American Topical Association. There were eighty-eight entries on all subjects, such as flowers, ships, trains, religion, Napolion covers, coaches, International organizations, Medical, Muses, Historical, architecture, sports, Royalty, women, Rooseveltania, Science, Flowers, Personages, Plants \& Trees, Fish, Insects, etc. Congratulations, Mrs. Nearing!

There is a movement started in this country to issue chess stamps in 1957. I asked Mr. David Lawson, the originator of this idea and probably the world's greatest authority on Paul Morphy, to give me details of this plan. He writes:
"The year 1957 will be the one hundredth anniversary of the introduction of Paul Morphy to world acclaim at the First American Chess Congress which opened October 6 in New York. Plans are under way for the issuance of a series of commemorative postage stamps. Suggested designs include portraits of Paul Morphy not hitherto published.
"If plans materialize it is hoped that the stamps will go on first day sale at New Orleans and New York on October 6, 1957. Paul Morphy at the height of his career meant as much or more to these United States as ever Capablanca did to Cuba and while that was long ago, Morphy's dominion is still world wide. Pauline Nearing says, 'Capablanca commemorative stamps outsold any previous issue in Cuba's postal history, with the exception of the Roosevelt 2 centavo stamp.'"
22. Q-K+61

This "in-between" move which threatens QxR ch leads to some extremely ${ }_{22}$ intricate and pretty play.
22.
Best, for if simply , B-Q2, White's follow-up would be even stronger in view of the pin. ......., PxKt??; QxQ.
23. B-Q3 PXK

Obviously forced.
24. Q-R7ch K-B1 25. PxPch Kt-B3 Undoubtedly the move Black counted on. Certainly ......., K-K2; 26. QxP ch is not very attractive.
26. 0-0.01

White has a few pins up his sleeve too!
26. QXKP 27. B-Kł6! R-K3
Probably the best try is to exchange with …..., B-K3 so as to counter 28. Q-R8 ch with so as to In this case 28 . QR-K1 would be a blunder after ......., QxR ch!; 29. RxQ, KtxQ 28. Q-R8ch K-K2 30 . R-BS Q-Q3 29. QxPch K-Q1 31. QR-B1 Kt-K1 Threatening both ......., RxB as well as ......., KtxQ, forcing the following exchange.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 32. BxKt } & \text { R×B } & \text { 34. R-B7 }\end{array}$
Most of the Q-Q4
Most of the smoke has cleared away. White has 3 pawns for a plece, and the Black King is caught in the middle. If now ......, QxRP? simply 35. Q-B6 ch. Therefore:
34. B-Q2 35. QxP QXK+P? Under pressure Cantor finally eracks. Relatively best was ........, QxRP; 36. B$\mathrm{Kt2}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q4}$ and although White's extra pawns should eventually tell, a hard struggle is indicated.
36. Q-Q6! Resigns

On either ......., Q-R6 or ......., Q-Kt5; 37. $\mathrm{R}(1)-\mathrm{B5}$ is decisive. Black has a few spite checks-e.g. ......, R-K8 ch; 38. K-Kt2 and then it's all over. Not a perrect game by any means but certainly an exciting one.

MANHATTAN WINS
(Continued from page 3, col. 3)


Desperate for air, but now his game is full of holes and he must soon lose one of the weak pawns.
19. $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ BxP 22. K $+\times \mathbf{P}$ P-B3 20. P-K51 P×P 23. P-K+3 R-Kł2 21. KłxB RXKt 24. Q-B4 R/2-K2? An oversight. K-R2 was obligatory.
25. $\mathrm{K} \mathbf{~} \times \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{P}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 25. KłxKtP } & \text { R×R } & \text { 27. } \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{R} \\ \text { 26. R×R } & \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} & \text { 28. Kt-K5 }\end{array}$
26. R×R the RXR 28. Kf-KS Back at the same stand! Black can resign safely. The remainder needs no comment.
$\begin{array}{lrlr}\text { 28. } & \text { Q-R2 } & \text { 31. } \mathbf{B x P} & \text { Kt-R4 } \\ \text { 29. KtxP } & \text { Kł×Kt } & \text { 32. Q-KB3 } & \text { Q-B4 } \\ \text { 30. QxKt } & \text { Q-K6 } & \text { 33. Q-Q5 } & \text { Resign }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 30. QxKt Q-K6 } & \text { 33. Q-Q5 Resigns }\end{array}$ An impressive game by the talented Marshall C.C. Co-Champion.

Jack Spence, the indefatigable tournament book editor, plans to publish in his tournament series a book on the 1955 U.S. Junior Championship, containing a selection of about 70 of the best games from this exciting event, and containing all the pertinent information on the tournament. It will be a mimeographed book in the style of his previous limited editions and will sell for $\$ 1.00$ a copy. Interested collectors may gain further information by writing to Mr. Jack Spence 208 So. 25th Ave., Omaha, Neb.

## Mate The Subtle Way!

by Nicholas Gabor

Solutions, remarks, suggestions, etc., as well as compositions by any and all composers are welcome. Address all communications to Nicholas Gabor, Hote Kemper Lane, Cincinnati 6, Ohio.


NO. 579 is the first sample of its type we publish since in charge of this column ("All Change Here"). Nos. 580 and 581 are by 2 neighbors. Knowing as we do the skill and experience of Mr. Rubens, we suspect that he can compose chessproblems (mostly three-movers!) while riding the subway to and from work without board and pieces. The work of the late Otto Wurzburg was sent to us by Mr. Holladay, problem editor of the American Chess Bulletin, who has temporary custody of the presumably unpublished problems of this giant of American problemdom. We therefore give this problem as "first publication" with reservation only.

## Solutions - Mate tho Subtile Way!

Nos. 567, 568 and 569, all two-movers are built along the lines of mutual interferences of bishop-rook called "Grimshaw". The "critical square" is the meeting point of these 2 pieces.

No. 567 by Selb: 1. Q-Kt7, threat 2. Q-Q4 mate. If 1. ........ R-K4, 2. Kt(4)Q6 mate and if 1. B-K4, 2. Q-QKt7 mate! The special charm of this composition is the apparent Grimshaw play after the tempting try: 1. Q-R3. If: 1. ...... R-Kt6, 2. Q-K6 and if 1. .......B-Kt6, 2. QxQ. This try, which fooled many solvers, is defeated by 1. ....... K-Q4.

No. 568 by Schor: 1. RxP, threat 2. B-B7 mate. Before the kèy: if: 1. .i.... R-B5, 2. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7$ and if $1, \ldots . . . .$. : B-B5, 2. Kt-K4 set mates. The key changes these Grimshaw interferences: 1. ......... R-B5, 2, QxP and 1. ....... B-B5, 2. Q-KKt3.

No, 569 by Nagy: 1. Kt-R4, threat 2. R-B3 mate. Doubled Grimshaw! 1. R-K3, 2. Q-Kt3 mate 1. ....... B-K3, 2. P-B5 mate. 1. ....... R-K4, 2. P-Q5 mate. 1. ......... B-K4, 2. Kt-B5 mate.

No, 570 by Eaton-Anderson: 1. Q-K5, threat 2. Kt-Kt6ch!-QxKt and 3. KtxP mate. This very complicated problem shows a series (5!) of "Novotny Interferences", so called when a white plece is sacrificed on the critical square of the black rook-bishop. Thus: 1. ........ R(K)xQ, 2. R-KB5 and mate follows by either
 3. BxP or 3. KtxR, depending on which piece captures the R. 1. ......-R(R)xQ, 2.
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$ ete. 1. ...... RxKt, 2. Q-B5 ete. 1. ...... RxRR, 2. Q-K7 etc. 1.
 etc. Of the bewilderingly great number of close tries the most disastrous-one
for the solvers was 1 . Q-B4 which is defeated by 1 . Kt-Kt5! Other tries and for the solvers was 1. Q-B4 which is defeated by 1.,...... Kt-Kt5! Other tries and their defeat are: 1 . Q-Q6-QxQ!
$\mathrm{B} 3!$ 1. $\mathrm{RxB}(\mathrm{B} s q)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3!$ etc. ete.

Join the USCF and get unity in American chess.

August 5, 1955

Solution Jo<br>What's The Bust $M_{\text {oue }}$ ?

## Position No. 163

Mittelmann-Blausen, Altona, 1928 The game continued 1. RxPch, PxR; 2. N-B6ch, K-R; 3. R-N7;, KxR (to prevent R-R7 mate) 4. N-K8ch.ch., K-N3; 5. Q$\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 6$. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 7$ mate, a solution sent in by some of our solvers. The majority found the quicker mate by 1. N1B6ch, K-R (if 1. ......., PXN; 2, QxBP with mate next); 2. RXP! KxR; 3. N-R5 (or K8)ch.ch., K-R2; 4. Q-N7 mate. As the theme of the two solutions is identical, 1. RxPch is not acceptable as an independent solution; those who sent in both, along with those who submitin both, along with those who submit1 point.
Correct solutions are acknowledged from:
Francis H. Ashley, R. A. Baker, James E. Barry, Irving Besen, Mark D. Biallas, K. Blumberg, Milton D. Blumenthal, Walter H. Bogle, Abel R. Bomberault, R. E. Burry, J. E. Byrd, Jerrell D. Carpenter, Gaston Chappuis, George F. Chase, J. E. Coachman, J. E. Comstock, W. J. Couture, C. J. Cucullu*, Robert Dickinson, John O. Fagan Jr., Dr. Harold Gabel, Eric L. Gans, Gary G. Gentry* Frank J, Golec, Tom Griffiths** Hayes, Dr. Robert A. Hedgcock, Philip R. Heilbut*, Donald C. Hills, Robert G. Hocker, J. B. Holt, Victor E. Hultman, John Ishkan, Harry Kaye, F. S. man, John Ishkan, Harry Kaye, F. S.
Klein, Heino Kurruk, Gary H. Labowitz, Klein, Heino Kurruk, Gary H. Labowitz,
Kenneth Lay, Harold Leef*, C. W. MaKenneth Lay, Harold Leef*, C. W. Ma-
gerkurth, Paul Maker, M. A. Michaels* gerkurth, Paul Maker, M. A. Michaels*,
Warren Miller, Max Milstein, Fred L, Warren Miller, Max Milstein, Fred L.
Morningstar, Max F. Mueller, Joe H. Morningstar, Max F. Mueller, Joe H.
Murray Murray*, Peter Muto, Edmund Nash,
Rudd T. Neel, Don Neff*, John C. ©lRudd T. Neel, Don Neff* John C. Ol-
sen, Ronald O'Neil, George W. Payne, Dr. Ray P. Pinson, Nicholas Raymond, Norman Reider, Ray F. Reithel, Edmund Roman, Dr. Frank C. Ruys, Dr. Max Schlosser, Dr. I. Schwartz, Irwin Sigmond, David Silver, Paul H. Smith, Reuel L. Smith, Bob Steinmeyer, W. E. Stevens, Donald W. Taylor, Francis Trask, F. J. Valvo, Richard Vogel, L. A. Ware, J. L. Weininger, Harley D. Wilbur, James R . Williamson*, William B. Wilson, B. F. Winkelman, Nell P. Witting, and L. E. Wood.
$1 / 2$ point each to Edwin Gault, J. W. Horning and Charles T. Morgan.
The solvers vanquished No. 163 by $371 / 2-211 / 2$. A few of the wrong answers simply, overlooked the en prise status of the White Queen. The majority of wrong answers seized upon 1. RxPch, PxR; 2. N-B6ch, K-R; 3. Q-K3 as the "winning" line. Some missed Black's reply, 3. $\quad$ Q-B8; those who considered it, offered "4. QxKP and wins," but the "win" evaporates upon 4 .
Q-KR8ch! 5. KxQ, NxBP ch. etc. Another attempt, by 1. QxPeh, Kxq; 2 .
N-B6 deh, K-R; 3. R-N7 is merely an oversight ( 3 . ....., KxR!).
*Welcome to New Solvers.

## Solutions

Finish It The Clever Way!
Position No. 157: 1. R-Kt6! Black played 1. ......., Q-K2, and resigned after 2. R-Kt8 ch. If 1. ....... R-R2; 2. R-Kt7; If 1.
Position No. 158: 1. Kt-B4, B-Kt5; 2. Kt-Q5, PxKt (if ......., Q-Kt1; 3. RxP ch, PxR; 4. B-R6 ch, etc.); 3. RxQ ch and won. The remaining moves were: 3 . 6. PxPP, Kt-Kt3; 7. RxB, KxR; 8. B-B4 ch, 6. PxP, Kt-Kt3; 7. RxB, KxR; 8. B-B4 ch,
K-B1; 9. Q-B2 ch, K-Q2; 10. Q -B7 ch , K-B1; 9. Q-B2 ch, K-Q2; 10. Q-B7 ch,
K-K1; 11. P-Q6, Kt-Q4; 12. QxKKtP, KR-K-K1; 11. P-Q6, Kt-Q4;
B1; 13, B-R6, resigned.

## September 3-5

Louisiana State Championship

## Shreveport, La.

Restricted to Louisiana and Mississippi residents; at Eleanor Room of $P$ \& $S$ Hotel, 725 Jordan St.; entry fee $\$ 2.00$ to USCF members, $\$ 7.00$ to non-members; registration 9 a.m. Sept. 3, play bers; registration 9 a.m. Sept. 3, play
begins 10 a.m.; trophies; TD Newton Grant, A. Wyatt Jones assisting.
Grant, A. Wyatt Jones ass

Send to CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, III. for applicafion form for announcing tournament in this column.

Untess otherwise specified, all tournaments announced in this column are $100 \%$ USCF rated. Rating fees, if any, are included in specified entry fee; no additional rating fee for non-members USCF.

## August 27-28

Panhandle Open Championship Lubbock, Tex.
Open; 5 rd Swiss; entry fee $\$ 3.00$; 1st, 2nd and 3 rd place trophies plus $50 \%, 30 \%$ and $20 \%$ of net entrance fees as cash prizes; TD George Koltanowski; for details, write: Fred B. Harrell, 3411 20th Street, Lubbock, Tex.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## August 26-28

## Heart of America Open

 Kansas City, Mo.Open; 3rd annual Heart of America, at Downtown YMCA, 10th and Oak, Kansas City; 7 rd Swiss; Entry fee $\$ 7.00$; 1st prize $\$ 100.00$, total prizes $\$ 322.00$, also $\$ 112.00$ in Class prizes; 1st $\$ 322.00$, also $\$ 112.00$ in
rd starts 2 p.m. Aug. 26th; for details, rd starts 2 p.m. Aug. 26th; for details,
write: Mildred Morrell, Sec'y, YMCA write: Mildred Morrell, Sec'y, YMCA
Chess Club, 10th and Oak, Kansas City 6, Mo.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## September 3-5

## Pennsylvania State Championship

 Hazleton, Penna.Restricted to residents of Pennsylvania or members of Pennsylvania Chess Clubs; 7-rd Swiss, 45 moves in two hours; entry fee $\$ 2.00$; prizes in eash and merchandise, trophy to Champion; TD Wm. A. Ruth; for details, write: A. W. Fey, 187 No. Church St., Hazleton, Penna.
100\% USCF rated event.

## September 2-5

New England Championship Newburyport, Mass.
At YMCA; open; 7 rd Swiss; entry deadline August 31; 1st rd 8:00 p.m. Sept. 2; $\$ 10$ entry fee; $\$ 100$ 1st prize plus others; bring clocks, boards, sets send entry fees or inquiries to: Bart lett Gould, 15 Walnut Street, Nowburyport, Mass.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## September 2-5

New Jersey Open Championship Plainfield, N. J.
Open! 7 rd Swiss; entry deadline 7 p.m. Sept. 2nd, Rd 1 begins 8 p.m.; at Janet Sobel Estate, 1171 Woodland Ave., Plainfield, N. J.; entry fee: $\$ 7.00$ plus USCF membership, $\$ 5.00$ for Juniors; cash prizes and trophy, TD: Ken neth Harkness; bring chess clocks and sets; for details, write: Edwin M. Faust, 1426 Myrtle Ave., Plainfield, N. J.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
September 3.5
Iowa Open Championship Davenport, la.
Open; 7 rd Swiss; entry deadline $1: 30$ p.m. (CST), rd 1 begins $2: 00$ p.m. at Tri-City Chess \& Checker Club; entry fee $\$ 7$ ( $\$ 2$ refunded to entrants com pleting all rds); Engraved trophy to winner, Class B and C players only are eligible for all other prizes; for de tails, write: John Warren \% Moline Dialy Dispatch, Moline, III.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## September 3-5

Florida State Championship
Open to State residents and visiting tourists; 7 rd Swiss, time limit 20 moves per hr.; entry fee $\$ 4.35$; Trophics and cash prizes with $25 \%$ of entrants us ualy awarded prizes; for details write: Major J. B. Holt, Long Beach via Sara sota, Fla.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
September $3-5$
North Carolina Championship Southern Pines, N.C.
Restricted to North Carolina residents; 6 rd Swiss, time limit 5 hrs per round; entry fee $\$ 3.50$; Trophy and cash prizes; at Southland Hotel, South ern Pines, N.C.; for details write Wm E. Cox, Box 936, Southern Pines, N.C.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## Washington Woodpushers

Seattle, Wash.
Restricted to Washington players under USCF and WCF expert and master ratings; at Seattle Chess Club, 616 Madi son St.; 6 rd Swiss, 30 moves per hr.; entry feef $\$ 1.00 ; 1$ st rd at $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. Sat.; 1st place trophy and other prizes; TD Viktors Pupols; for details write: Oliver W. LaFreniere, 2807 W. Yakima Ave., Yakima, Wash.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## October 1-2

## Washington State Open

## Seattle, Wash.

Open; at Seattle Chess Club, 616 Madison St.; 6 rd Swiss, 40 moves in 2 hrs .; entry fee $\$ 3.00$; 1st place choice of cash or trophy, other prizes; 4 ranking Wash. residents qualify for State Invitational; TD Viktors Pupols; -st rd at $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. Sat.; for details write: Oliver W. LaFreniere, 2807 W. Yakima Ave., Yakima, Wash.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
October 15-16

## Fort Wayne Open Tournament

Fort Wayne, Ind.
Open; at World Friendship Hall, Ft. Wayne YMCA, 226 E . Washington Blvd.; 5 rd Swiss, 25 moves per hr; entry fee: $\$ 5.00$; prizes: $\$ 3.00$ of fee to prize fund, divided $50 \%, 30 \%, 20 \%$ for 1 st, 2 nd and 3rd; for details, write: willard H. Wilson; $\mathrm{Jr}_{i ;} 1019$ Golerick St:, Fort Wayne, son,
$100 \%$ USCF rated event

## Book-Ends For Sale

Bookends made from hardwood with cast Chess \& Bridge ornaments. Ask for Folder.
E \& M Mfg. Co., P.O., Tillson, N.Y.

CHESS CLOCK


ONLY s17.95

Including
Federal Tax

At last! A thoroughly dependable chess clock with famous Swiss mechanical movements-at a price you can afford to payl Light, compact, easy to carry around to tournaments. Overall size: $55 / 16^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime} \times 21 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. Dial diameter: $13 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. Tilted at slight angle for easier reading of time during play. Equipped with red flags to indicate expiration of each hour. Big red "tickers" to show which clock is running. Push-buttons on top start one clock, stop the other. Nickelled winders and timesetters permanently attached at back; no separate keys needed. Beautifully constructed by expert Swiss clockmakers. Imported for USCF exclusively by RFD Distributors. Satisfaction guaranteed or your money back! Note that price of only $\$ 17.95$ includes $10 \%$ Federal tax. No discounts.

## Mail your order to

UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION

