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## DREIBERGS WINS MICHIGAN TITLE

Leonids Dreibergs of Bay City did a repeat performance, retaining the Michigan title $6-1$ with no defeats and draws with Heinrich Kalmins and Mark Surgies. Second to fourth with $61 / 2-11 / 2$ were H. Kalmins of Kalamazoo, Maurice Weidenbaum of Detroit, and Jack O'Keefe of Ann Arbor. Fifth to seventh with 6 -2 were Mark Surgies of Detroit, Henry Meifert of Kalamazoo, and James Schroeder of Columbus, Ohio, while Robert Uhlmann of Grand Rapids was eighth with $51 / 2-21 / 2$ in the 64 -player Swiss, largest state tournament ever held in Michigan.

Miss Lucille Kellner of Detroit won the Woman's title with $3-5$ score; Alan Strelzoff, Jr. of Lansing took the Junior title with $5-3$, while novice prizes for players who had never won the MCA event went to Sylvan Zaft of Detroit and John Harvey of Mainistee. Prize for the biggest upset went to Evert VanderRoest of Kalamazoo, while prize for shortest mate went to R. M. Ballenger of Kalamazoo for a nine-move brevity. In the speed event of 17 players, David L. Clark of Detroit tallied a surprise 5-0 for victory; Miss Kellner was second with 4-1, and Robert J. Henry of Toledo was third with $31 / 2-11 / 2$. MCA president V. A. Vandenburg was tournament director, assisted by Arthur Fitzgerald.

## HUDSON WINS IN DELAWARE

Lt. John Hudson won the Delaware title $41 / 2-1 / 2$, with J. Norman Cotter second with 4-1. Third and fourth with $3-2$ each were 1953 Champion Wm. Bergman and Martin Paris. Defending Champ M. R. Paul was fifth with $21 / 2-21 / 2$. Hudson previously won the Texas and Louisiana titles.

## BISGUIER PLAYS AT ZAGREB MEET

U. S. Champion Arthur B. Bisguier began auspiciously in the twenty player international tournament at Zagreb in Yugoslavia by defeating Svetozar Gligoric of Yugoslavia in the first round. Nine countries are represented in the event with Arthur Bisguier (USA), Gedeon Bareza (Hungary), Andrea Duckstein (Austria), Dr. Miroslay Filip (Czechoslovakia), Ewfim Geller (USSR), Nikolaj Minev (Bulgaria), Alberic O'Kelly de Galway (Belgium), Georgio Porreca (Italy), Vassily Smyslov (USSR), and the following Yugoslav masters: Mario Bertok, Andrija Fuderer, Svetozar Gligoric, Boris Ivkov, Nicolas Karaklajic, Alexander Matanovic, Borislac Milic, Vasja Pire, Braslav Rabar, Dr. Petar Trifunovic, and Mijo Udovic.
After eight rounds, Smyslov leads with $6-2$, followed by Trifunovic, $51 / 2-21 / 2$, Duckstein, 5-2, O'Kelly, $5-3$, and Ivkov, $41 / 2-31 / 2$. U. S. Champion Arthur Bisguier is 4.4, having lost games to Pirc and O'Kelly, won two and drawn four.

## NORTH CENTRAL DRAWS ENTRIES

Early entries in the North Central Open, August 25-27 include Russell Chauvenet, Silver Spring, Md.; Leslie Boyette, San Francisco; Dr. I. Schwartz, Durand, Ill.; Hugh Myers, Wisconsin titleholder from Racine; and Michigan Champion Leonids Dreibergs, Kalamazoo. Curt Brasket, Minneapolis, last year's winner is expected to defend his title.

A prize fund exceeding $\$ 500$ appears one reason for accelerated interest. The winner, in addition to $\$ 200$ in cash, will have custody of one of the most beautiful of chess trophies.

## Woman's Title Remains In USSR <br> Rubtsova Wins Women's Candidates <br> By WILLIAM ROJAM <br> Staff Writer

Scoring a needed victory in the final 19th round, Mme. Olga Rubtsova, several times Woman's Champion of the USSR, tallied 15-4 to outpoint her nearest rival by one-half point and earn the right to chal lenge the present Women's World Champion Mme. Elizaveta Bykova of Moscow to a title match. The challenger is 46 , mother of four grown children, and learned chess from her father, a professor at the Moscow Technical Institute.

In winning the tournament, Mme. Rubtsova lost games to Frau Edith Keller-Herrmann of Germany and Mme. Larissa Volpert of the USSR. She drew with Mlle. Fannie Heemskerk of Holland, Mme. Vera Jovanovic-Nedeljkovic of Yugoslavia, and Mme. Olga Ignatieva and Mme. Valentina Belova of the USSR.
Second place with $141 / 2-41 / 2$ went to Mme. Larissa Volpert of the USSR who had been tied for the lead but drew her last round game with Senora Celia de Moschini of Argentina. Frau Edith Keller-Herrmann of Germany was third with 14-5.

Of the three U. S. representatives in the Candidates tournament, Mrs. Gisela Kahn Gresser and Mrs. Sonja Graf-Stevenson tied for 11th place at $9^{1 / 2}-9^{1 / 2}$ with Mme. Chantel Chaude de Silans of France. Miss Mona May Karff, the third U. S. representative, finished in 17th place with $5^{1 / 2}-13^{1 / 2}$, never quite overcoming a bad start in the early rounds.

While the scores of the U. S. representatives this year were not phenominal, there was a very marked improvement over the two previous showings in 1949 and 1952 . Mrs. Gresser in particular evinced the results of her constant participation in U.S. events by tallying $91 / 2-91 / 2$ as compared to $5-10$ in 1949. Miss Karff only slightly bettered her $5-10$ result in 1949 and did not equal her $7-8$ score in 1952 . The composite results of the U. S. Women players in the three World

## MARING TAKES MID-WEST OPEN

Oscar Maring of Middletown, Ky. won the Mid-West Open tournament at Louisville, Ky. with a $41 / 2$ $1 / 2$ score, drawing with James Roark. Second place went to Richard Shields of Louisville with 4-1, losing one game to Maring. James Roark of Lexington was third with $31 / 2-1^{1 / 2}$, losing a game to Shields and drawing with Maring. Fourth to sixth with 3-2 each were Jack Mayer of Louisville, William Batchelder of Bloomington, Ind. and John Blacksheas of Millersburg, Ky.

The tournament was sponsored by the USCF Affiliated Louisville Chess Club and drew a field of sixteen players.

Championship events for women have been: Miss Karff $1 \overline{7} 1 / 2-3 \overline{1} 1 / 2$ (1949, 1952, 1955); Mrs. Gresser $14^{1 / 2-191 / 2}$ (1949, 1955); Mrs. GrafStevenson $91 / 2-91 / 2$ (1955); and Mrs. Bain $31 / 2-111 / 2$ (1952).

## WOMAN'S WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP <br> CANDIDATES TOURINEY

## Final standings

1. Olga Rubtsova (USSR) ..................15-4 2. Larissa Volpert (USSR) ....................141/2-41/2 3. Edith Keller-Herrmann many)
...........14-5
2. Kira Zvorkina (USSR) .............................................51/2
3. Valentina Belova (USSR) ..............13-6
4. Vera Jovanovic-Nedeljkovic (Yugoslavia)
....... 121/2-61/2 7. Milunka Lazarevic (Yugoslavia) .,12-7 8. Antonia Ivanovna (Bulygaria) ....11-8 9. Fannie Heemskerk (Holland) $101 / 2-81 / 2$ 10. Olga Ignatieva (USSR) .....................10-9 11. Chantel Chaude de Silans

(France) ..........................................91/2-91/2 12. Gisela Kahn Gresser (USA) ......91/2-91/2 13. Sonja Graf-Stevenson (USA) $\quad .91 / 2 \cdot 91 / 2$ \begin{tabular}{l}
13. Sonja Graf-Stevenson (USA) <br>
14. Yusela Gurfinkel (USSR) <br>
\hline $1 . . . .6^{1 / 2}-121 / 2$

 14. Yusela Gurfinkel (USSR) ......61/2-121/2 

15. Kristina Holuj (Poland) <br>
16. Eva Kesertz (Hungary) <br>
..........61/2 $612-121 / 2$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} 17. Mona May Karff (USA) ..........51/2-131/2 18. Celia de Moschini

(Argentina)
$.41 / 2-141 / 2$
19. Rosa Sucha (Czechoslovakia) .......4-15 20. Berna Carrasco de Budinich (Chile)2-17

## KALME TRIUMPHS AT PHILADELPHIA

U. S. Junior Champion Charles Kalme added the Philadelphia Metropolitan title to his list by scoring $51 / 2-1 / 2$ in a 27 -player event, drawing with A. Dicamillo in the final round. Second and third were Gordon Marcus and A. Dicamillo with 5-1; Marcus drew with E. R. Glover and DiCamillo. Fourth and fifth with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ were Arthur $N$. Mease and Gordon Blizard, while sixth to eighth with $4-2$ were Philip B. Driver, D. Sciarretta, and N. A. Springer.

The tournament was jointly sponsored by the USCF Affiliated Franklin Chess Club and Mercantile Library Chess Ass'n, cooperating with the Philadelphia Chess Ass'n.

# ALL'S WELL THAT ENDS WELL 

## Mastering the End Game By W ALTER KORN, Editor of MCO

## BLIND TO THE MOST OBVIOUS

Max Pavey drew attention to a flaw on page 296 of BCE* where in No. 314 the move 1. ......., K-R5! is given as drawing the position shown here in diagram No. 24, with White to move.

BCE now gives 2 . K-K4 with a long analysis proving a mere draw, whereas a win results right here after 2. P-Q5! The pawn is inviolate because of the threat of winning a Rook or mating after 2. ........, RxP; 3. K-B4. After 2. P-Q5 White has the needed space to maneuver his King down to the 7th rank.
I can testify that Max found this flaw independently, although I cannot give him sole priority, as Cheron gives the same move on page 211 of his recent book on endings.
-Fine's Basic Chess Endings.


Charles S. Jacobs of Winchester, Mass. recently had a heart attack and is confined to the Winchester Hospital. Mr. Jacobs, who has passed his 70th birthday, is one of America's foremost composers and edited a world-famous chess column in the Dubuque Journal back in the 80s.

Another hospitalized veteran composer is Dr. P. G. Keeney at the U. S. Veterans Hospital, Fort Tiomas, Ky. Dr. Keeney conducted a famous chess column in the Cincinnati Enquirer for many years and more recently conducted a


CUBAN TEAM

## VISITS N Y

A six-man team from the Capablanca Chess Club of Havana, Cuba arrived in New York City for a match with the Marshall Chess Club. Heading the team is Dr. Juan Gonzales, Champion of Cuba, who is no stranger since he won the U. S. Speed Championship several years ago while studying medicine in New York. Other team members are Carlos Calero, Miguel Aleman, Kaul Cardenas, Rogelio Ortega, club champion, and Rosendo Carponell, the junior champion. Carlos raiacio, secretary of the Capablanca Club, accompanied the team as reserve player and the non-playing team captain is Dr. Jose R. Capablanca, the only son of the former world champion. Dr. Capablanca is the chess advisor on the sports committee of the Cuban Min-istry of Education.

On board one Franklin Howard defeated Dr. Juan Gonzales; on board two John W. Collins drew with Carlos Calero. Eliot Hearst, Carl Pilnick, Anthony E. Santasiere, and Edmar Mednis defeated respectivelyl Miguel Aleman, Raul Cardenas, Rogelio Ortega, and Rosendo Carbonell.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

## Marshall C. C. vs. Capablan

F. HOW
(Marshal!)

1. P-K4
2. P-K4 P-QB4
3. Kt-KB3

Kt-KB3 Kt-QB3

## 5. Kt-QB3

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 6. } & \text { B-Kt5 } & \text { P-K3 } & 20 \\ \text { 7. } & \text { KtxKt } & \text { PxKt } & 21 \\ \text { 8. } & \text { P-K5 } & \text { Q-R4 } & 22\end{array}$ ers may address him at 22 Fletcher St., Winchester, Mass.

The second annual North American Estonian Championship at Montreal was won by A. Shilov of Montreal with 5-0. E. Rose of Toronto was second with $3-2$, and K . Tullus of Patterson, N. J. was third with $21 / 2-21 / 2$ in the 6 -player event. R. Meritis won the Major Reserves $5 \cdot 0$, with H. Paabo second with 4-1 in the 10-player Swiss. The Junior title was taken by 12 -year old J. Riga with $5-0$, with J. Lattik second with 4-1. K. Tullus won the Speed event $20-2$, while E . Rose was second with $191 / 2-21 / 2$, and E. Viires third with $181 / 2-31 / 2$ in a 12 -player double round robin event.

Geza Fuster of Toronto won the Canadian Speed Championship and custody of the Abe Helman trophy, first won by D. A. Yanofsky in 1953. Second and third, also with $51 / 2-11 / 2$ scores were F. R. Anderson and H. Matthai, while W. Jursevskis and G. Raletich were fourth and fifth with 5-2 each in the 16 player event in Ottawa.

Francois Jobin won the Quebec Provincial Junior event 4-1, losing one game to C. Gaulin. J. Girard was second with $31 / 2-11 / 2$, and $D$. Laurin third with $3-2$ in the 6 -player round robin.

## Chess Life <br> In $\eta_{\text {ew }} Y_{\text {ork }}$ <br> By Allen Kaufman

DURING the first week in November several members of the Capablanca Club of Havana, Cuba, spent several days in New York City. They visited local clubs and participated in many activities here.

One evening they arrived at the Manhattan C. C. after dinner and played a few off-hand games with some of the members present. Then Hans Kmoch, the club secretary, asked them if they would like to play in a rapid transit tournament. When they agreed, one was organized on the spot and held that very evening. The Cubans and several Manhattanites participated; and victory went to Abe Turner of New York. Second prize was captured by Dr. Juan Gonzales, first board of the visitors' team. Dr. Gonzales spent some time in New York City a few years ago when he studied medicine here. At that time he won the U, S. Rapid Championship. Dr. Blasi of the Manhattan Club was third.
The following night the visitors played a six game match with the Marshall Club team. Louis Wolff of that club welcomed the Cubans and presented them with a beautiful plaque and several chess ties as remembrances of the occasion. There were many spectators, and the Marshallites proved to be excellent hosts in all respects but one: the visitors were defeated $51 / 2-1 / 2$.

In an astounding feat of blindfold wizardry, George Koltanowski scored $61 / 2-11 / 2$ in an eight board simultaneous display. The opposition was quite strong, and Kolty had to work very hard to do so well. The former Belgian champion will remain here for several days more, during which time he will give exihibitions at the chess clubs in town. He puts on a wonderful show: sitting in a corner and referring to no board or any notes, he puffs away on a cigarette and calls out the moves in clear voice. Every club should give its members a chance to see this extraordinary man in action.
In line with the Federation's policy to increase tournament activity, it will hold an open tournament right here in New York City. Watch CHESS LIFE for further details.

Greater Miami vs. Capablanca

| A. C. Otten | $1 / 2$ | ${ }_{\text {Dr }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. Kalenian | 1/2 |  |
| A. Goldman | 1/2 | M. Al |
| H. Pardo |  | R. Cardenas |
| c. Wisch | 0 | R. Orte |
| F. Borges | 1 | C. Pala |
| A. Aguero |  | R. |
| 8. ???? | 1 | $\mathrm{Ar}^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |

North Jersey Independents (Orange): In a match at the Mercantile Library Chess Ass'n in Phlladelphia the Independents tallied a $71 / 2-51 / 2$ vietory over pendents talled a for
their hosts. Scoring for No. Jersey were: their hosts. Scoring for No. Jersey were:
E. Howard, w. Adams, E. MeCormlek, F. Howard, W. Adams, E. McCormick, N. Hurttlen, W. Jones, R. Coughlin, and E. F. Laucks while R. Huttlen drew. For Mercantile C. Kalme, Mrs. M. D. Selensky, E. Abber, and A. Agre satvaged points while I. Cohen drew. Both are USCF Affiliated Clubs.

## A Chess Cross Word Puzzle Composed by MOSES R. CARTER


(From CHESS CROSS WORD PUZZLE BOOK published by Carter $\&$ Carter, P.O. Box 4, Homer, La. Used by permission.)

1. Old Brahmin Game akin to Modern Chess.
. Nicholas 2nd was Russia's last one.
2. Former Spanish Champ who lost 1954 U. S. Open to Evans.
3. Relationship of David to Donald Byrne. (Abbr.)
To ensnare.
4. Cambridge Springs Star of 1904
5. Was Ruler of Israel and Judah. (Intls).
6. Chess may be considered to be this too many.
7. A Counter Gambit under Q's Gambit Declined.
8. This Counter Game, 1. P-K4 P-Q4.

Euwe was World's Champ this num ber of times.
27. 1 st $1 / 2$ of this named Opening, 1. P-K4 P-QB3.
29. Inventor of Navigation Lights and a certain Gambit.
31. Denoting two.
32. World's Champ from 1894 to 1921. (Intls.)
Knights. (Modern Indian).
A phase of a game.
Gunsberg's initials. (Reversed) Pablo. (Spanish). A great name in Chess.
41. King. (Italian).

Christian name indicating "Most Noble."
3. Publications occasionally earrying items on Chess. (Intls).
At No. 1 Board, USA vs. USSR 19.5 (1st name initials).

1st word in preamble to U. S. Constitution.
A fabled water equine. Could be a pregeniter of our Knights.
Knight. (Hungarian)
A Unit of work.
Something a Blindfold Player cannot do while playing.
Chess is one of this Creature's endowments.
It was his honor to be President of W.C.F. (World Chess Federation). An affirmative vote
A 19th Century Hungarian Player. A Tie Breaking System. (Intls). 40 Cwts makes two of them. A Wing.
Often occuring in Bridge. (Page Mr. Harkness).
Pertaining to punishment. To Fraud. Ike's Mother's initials. Lima is it's principal city.
Chess may not be played by such a fixed course.
71. Initials of Argentina's Outstanding player. (Reversed).
Solution to this puzzle will
72. Revisions of M.C.O. are some of his works.
73. This, you must well be to play outstanding Chess.
Middle and last name of a Great American Chess Player. (Intls).
An article of dress.
3. To drink in honor of
4. A Judge in tournaments and matches.
6. Bisguler did a "hitch" in it
7. Gathers by degrees.
8. A passage-way.
10. A Chess Master and author of many Chess books. (Intls).
11. Chess was not played on this Bibll cal Craft.
12. Former French Champ's Chess abll ity recognized in U. S. recently.
15. First appearance. (Abbr).
17. He corners or places his opponent in difficulties.
19. Name this opening, 1. P-K4 P-QB4. (P1).
21. Fine's Books on Chess Openings. (Intls).
22. Uniform in quality, pitch, tone, etc. A Continent on which 4 WorId Champions have died. (Intls).
26. Philidor's first name.
28. Like a King.
30. An Indian Defense bears his name. (Intls).

1. A strong Black Tea. (Perhaps the kind Staunton drank).
2. Winner of the 1st International Chess Tournament.
3. A Pawn beyond adverse Pawns and safe from their capture. (Intls).
4. He defeated Botvinnik $21 / 2-11 / 2$ recently. (Abbr).
5. Kotov is a subject of this country. 44. A plot for a lype of Chess fans to solve.
6. Reshevsky is Champion of this $1 / 2$ of this Orb.
7. An outstanding player of the Eastern Hemisphere.
8. Shares 1955 U. S. Women's Title with Mrs. Roos. (Intls).
9. Montgomery Major's position with "Chess Life." (Abbr).
10. What Hungarian player's first name is Laszle?
11. Endeavor directed to the achievement of a purpose.
12. Last three letters in the name of Morphy's native state
13. Lift up or elevate in spirits.
14. Designated.
15. An obligation or charge or burden (Latin).
16. Through or by.
17. Quite essential to that type of Chess Players. (Intls).

Analyitical $W_{\text {rangles }}$

This column will be devoted to analytical comments submitted by readers of CHESS LIFE, preferably in discussion of various annotations or suggestions appearing in CHESS LIFE to which the reader offers dissent or elaboration Master and Amateur alike are invited to air their views.

## AN ECCENTRIC VARIATION

By U. S. Master A. DiCamillo

EVER since Dr. Harold Sussman drew attention to a certain variation I discovered and recommended, I have been besieged by many, to explain the why and wherefores.

The variation starts with the moves:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 1. P-K4 } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { 3. } & \text { Kt-QB3 } & \text { B-K+5 }\end{array}$ Actually this move deserves neither an exclamation point nor a question mark. It is a rational move discovered by a rational approach to the previous moves made. Black wishes to make a developing move without obligating himself to trade his KB and without permitting the usual K -side pressure that White begins, with QKKt4. Hence 4. $\qquad$ $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KR} 3$, a move that accomplishes all three. Summarily, Kt-KR3:

## 1. Develops a piece,

2. Prevents Q-KK14,
3. Allows for B-K2.

It can be argued then, that 4. ........., $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ is a good positional move. The question arises-Dies it hold up tactically?

The sharpest try: 5. BxKt, PxB; 6. P-QR3, BxKtch (one must not make saving the KB an obcession); 7. PxB, P-QB4; 8, Q-R5 (or Q-Q2), Q-R4 and Black has the better game. Why? Because White's doubled pawns are on an open file and are easily attacked; Black's pawns are not. Examination will also show that White's King is more exposed than Black's.
I argue that White must look elsewhere for an advantage. So, let us elsewhere.

If White plays 5. P-QR3 (Tried by Dr. Pavey against Saul Wachs in the Manhattan-Marshall Match. Wachs obliged with 5........., B-B1?) Prior to his game with Dr. Pavey, Wachs as White tried the move 5 . P-QR3 against DiCamillo in a practice game. In discussing the relative merits of this opening after the game, Wachs told me he would try it out in a future game. He did, but did not play the move discussed.
If White plays 5. P-QR3, Black can play 5. ........, $\mathrm{BxK}+\mathrm{ch}$ and transpose into the normal with 6 .
$\mathrm{K}+$-B4. Still Black can play 5.
B-K2 with play running in two directions:
Variation A) 5. P-QR3, B-K2; 6. QKt-K2, P-QB4; 7. P-QB3, Kt-B3; 8. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 9$ 9. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+3, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$; 10. KtxKt, PxKt; 11. B-Q3, P-B3; 12. O-O, PxP; 13. PxP, B-3 with an even game. Somewhere along the line White could play differently but then Black would play differently also.

Variation B) 5. P-QR3, B-K2; 6. $B \times K t, P \times B ; 7 . Q-R 5, P-Q B 4 ; 8$. $\mathrm{Kt}-$ KB3, Kt-QB3; 9. B-QKt5, B-Q2; 10.
70. This Hypermodern was born in Czechoslovakia. (Intls).

Coess life

## Collage <br> Chess Life

Conducted by
Frederick H. Kerr

All college clubs and players are urged to send news items to: Frederick H. Kerr, Niftany 32-13, Box 277, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Penna.
THE first match involving a college club this season was played in Seattle on October 23. The University of Washington decisively defeated the West Seattle Chess Club by a score of $61 / 2-31 / 2$ in the first round of the Puget Sound League Championship. The Huskies look like a good bet to retain their league title. As a look at the names in the box score will show, West Seattle is not a weak team.
U. of Washington West Seattle . of Washington Alan Clark
Roberts
O. LaFreniere 11

Ron Brown 11
Olaf Ulvestad 10 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Olaf Ulvestad } \\ \text { Ben Weisscher } & 10 \\ \text { Ben }\end{array}$ Ben Weisscher
Fred Howard
$0 \frac{1}{2}$ Fred Howard K. Seekam
Max Mage

Richard J. Hervert, former officer of the chess club at Kearney State Teachers College, has transferred to the University of Nebraska. He plans to organize the chess activity among the students at Lincoln into a formal club. Although a tournament was held at the University last year, there has been no active club there for some time. Groups seeking matches with the Cornhuskers may write to: Richard J. Hervert, 2956 "P" Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.
The New York Times reports that William Lombardy, College of the City of New York star, is developing into one of the best speed players in the country.

Plans are being made for an early December trip to Pittsburgh by the Penn State undergraduate team. A match with the Downtown "Y" Chess Club may be arranged, but the main reason for the trip is a match with Bethany College. A series was started last year between Bethany, West Virginia Intercollegiate Champions and Penn State, Pennsylvania Intercollegiate Champions. This year, as last season, the match should be a very even contest.

O-O.O, KtxQP; 11. KtxKt, PxKt; 12. $\mathrm{BxB} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{QxB}$; 13. $\mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{O} 0.0$; 14. K-K+1, KR-K+1 with Black better, I believe. Up to move nine is WachsDiCamillo, Philadelphia, 1954, and play is difficult for both sides. I think Black is better in the long run. For the KRP he might lose, he can castle Q-side and have open Rook files and open diagonals.
After 4. ........, Kt-KR3, White can play 5. Kt-KB3 or 5. B-Q3, but play can always transpose into normal variations of the French Defense.
To conclude this article, I will say that if you like to play the French Defense, you will like playing 4. $\square$ Kt-KR3. It is a sound move to the extent of my knowledge.

## Boost American Chess!

## Shess Sife <br> Anericab Chaer nempaper

Vol. X, Number 6 November 20, 1955
Published twice a month on the 5 th and 20 th by THE UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION. Entered as second class matter September 5, 1946, at the post office at Dubuque, Iowa, under the act of March 9, 1879.

## Editor: MONTGOMERY MAJOR

POSTMASTER: Please return undeliverable copies with Form 3579 to Kenneth Harkness, USCF Business Manager, 81 Bedford Street, New York 14, N. Y.

## Major Jopics $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Montgomery Maior }\end{gathered}$

## A Salute to the Ladies

I'm not denyin' the women are foolish: God Almighty made'em to match the men. $T$ is time indeed that some acknowledgment should be made of the great progress visable in the field of chess for women. A progress that is so world-wide that FIDE has acknowledged it recently by creating a special committee to explore the possibilities of greater international activity for women players in the form of team tournaments.

In the United States itself the trend for greater development of women's chess was recognized by the United States Chess Federation some time ago by the election of a woman as a USCF Vice-President and the establishment of a Woman's Division to permit the ladies to direct their own destinies rather than take orders from mere men.

The results have been most gratifying, and in the past few years the place of women in the world of chess has been definitely established as one of growing importance. It is only necessary to point to the U. S. Women's Open Championship at New Orleans and the very recent U. S. Women's Championship in New York where new names and new faces provided a definite proof of the increasing interest of the ladies in chess and their increasing capacity to play it well.

It is no disparagement to those who nurtured chess for women in New York City through the years when New York possessed the only women chess players of any importance (with only one or two rare exceptions) to point out that this was a static condition. Chess for women could not become an important factor in chess throughout the USA so long as it was confined to the environs of one city.

It has only been since women players of tournament rank have risen in other cities that chess for women has assumed that national aspect that is essential to make it an important division of our national chess activity. While we must not belittle or discount in any way the pioneer efforts of Miss Weart, Mrs. Gresser, Miss Karff, and Mrs. Bain, to name but a few of those who kept the concept of women's chess alive during rather barren years, in acknowledging the great debt that chess owes to their efforts, we must recognize that chess for women only came into its own when their efforts were reinforced by the rise of other competent players in other localities. Chess activity for women only became a national program with the participation of such new players as Mrs. Irene Vines of New Orleans, Mrs. Eva Aronson of Chicago, Miss Lucille Kellner of Detroit, Mrs. Willa White Owens of Avon Lake, and Mrs. Jacqueline Piatigorsky of Los Angeles.

That these, and many other promising young women players, are now to be found throughout the USA augurs well for the future of chess for women, and show that Miss Weart and other early pioneers did not labor in vain to promote the concept of chess for women.

## The Reader's Road Io Chess

By Kester Svendsen
AUF DEM WEGE ZUR WELTMEISTERSCHAFT 1923-1927. By A. Alekhine. 2nd printing. Berlin: Walter De Gruyter, Genthiner Strasse 13. 225 pp., 173 diags. DM 9.80 (about $\$ 1.86$ plus postage).

AS Kurt Richter says in his preface to this re-issue, every player of consequence has profited from Alekhine's books. His annotations are regarded by the masters themselves as probably the best in the world. The re-appearance of his On the Way to the World Championship suggests that we will see one or two such each year; and even a player hardly qualified to assess trends in master chess can predict that the fertile imagination of Alekhine will enrich top level chess for a long time to come. Many of the one hundred games here are available in the two volumes Alekhine published in English; but this is the only book I know to contain all 34 games of the Capablanca with Alekhine's own notes. The others gathered into six chapters extend from his $1923-$ 1924 tour of the United States through the tournaments of 1925-1926 to his match with Euwe and victory at Kecskemet 1927. New York 1924 and
USCF Membership Dues, including subscription to Chess Life, semi-annual pubHcation of national chess rating. and all other privileges:
ONE YEAR: $\mathbf{5 5 . 0 0}$ TWO YEARS: $\mathbf{5 9 . 5 0}$ THREE YEARS: $\$ 13.50$ LIFE: $\$ 100.00$ A new membership starts on 21st day of month of enrollment, expires at the end of the period for which dues are paid. Family Dues for two or more memhers of ane family living at same address, fincluding onlv one subscrtption to Chess Life, are at regular rates (see above) for first membership, at the followIng rates for each additional membership: One year \$2.50; two years \$4.75; three vears $\$ 6.75$. Subscription rate of Chess Life to non-members is $\$ 3.00$ per year. Single coples 15 c each.

1927, treated elsewhere by Alekhine, are omitted here. About a third of the book is devoted to the match with Capablanca; even the quick draws are annotated. Alekhine's preliminary remarks to the chapters reveal the tenacity with which he pursued his man and the many difficulties he had to overcome in meeting Capablanca's terms. And each time a new Alekhine book appears, one realizes again that while he may not have been the greatest genius chess has seen (Capablanca was that), he was certainly its greatest player as well as by far its most active. Richter estimates that he must have played more han 50,000 games, 3,000 of them against players of master or near master strength. His winning margin, according to Chalupetzsky's figures, is 74 percent over 35 years of tournament and match play. He won more first prizes than any other player in the history of the game. These considerations assure a welcome to the present volume which indeed it merits on its own account as a handsome addition to the bio-bibliography of chess.

## That Last Round at Long Beach

By KENNETH HARKNESS

USCF Rating Statistician

PLAYERS who attended the U. S. Open at Long Beach last August are still talking about the dramatic last round when Larry Evans lost to Rossolimo and thereby produced a photo finish between the two FIDE Grandmasters Reshevsky and Rossolimo. The two leaders scored 10 game points each, and remained deadlocked under the primary and secondary systems of breaking tie (Median and Solkoff). It took the tertiary system to give the edge to Rossolimo by the narrowest possible marginjust one-quarter of an S-B point.

Since the details of this tie-break were published (Chess Life, September 20 issue), some readers have asked what would have happened if Larry Evans had defeated Rossolimo in the final round, Would Reshevsky's tie-break points have placed him ahead of Evans, or would the younger player have won the title and the Buick automobile?

As shown in the table below, Larry Evans would have won the tournament without any question, if he had beaten Rossolimo. He would have been credited with $62 \frac{1}{2}$ Median points against 62 for Reshevsky. It would not have been necessary to resort to the secondary or tertiary tie-breaking systems, although it is interesting to note that the S-B system, using adjusted scores for both players, would also have broken the tie in favor of Evans.

It is obvious, then, that Larry Evans was fully justified in playing for a win in the last round, even though he risked the loss of a game he could have drawn with ease. If he had been content with a draw, Larry would have won $\$ 783.33$, sharing second, third and fourth prizes with Rossolimo and Byrne. By playing for a win, he stood to gain $\$ 2,717.00$-the difference between $\$ 783.00$ and the value of the first prize. Against this, he stood to lose only $\$ 232.66$-the difference between $\$ 783.33$ and the prize money of $\$ 550.00$ he actually received after losing to Rossolimo. Presumably, Larry felt that odds of about $111 / 2$ to one were worth taking.

| Opponents of Larry Evans | Tournament Scores | Adjusted Scores | Median Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Samuel Reshevsky | ......... 10 | 10 |  |
| Donald Byrne ........ | 91/2 | $91 / 2$ |  |
| Nicholas Rossolimo* | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Herbert Seidman | .. $81 / 2$ | $81 / 2$ | $81 / 2$ |
| Arthur W. Dake | .. 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Albert Sandrin | - 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Allen Kaufman | .... $71 / 2$ | 71/2 | $71 / 2$ |
| William Addison | ..... 71/2 | $71 / 2$ | $71 / 2$ |
| Robert Cross | ...... $71 / 2$ | $71 / 2$ | $71 / 2$ |
| Saul Yarmak | -... $61 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ |
| Robert Jacobs | ... $61 / 2$ | $61 / 2$ |  |
| Sam Geller | 3 | 5 |  |

Total Median Tie-Break Points
$\overline{621 / 2}$
-If Rosolimo had lost to Evans, his score would have been 9 points, as shown in this table. Actually, Rossolimo won the game and scored 10 points.

## ROJAM RETURNS FROM THE GRAVE

Following the example of Sherlock Holmes and other literary characters whose imaginary corpses would not rest comfortably in their graves, William Rojam is returning to life for the sole purpose of providing the Editor with an alter ego to sign various articles so that the Editor's own name will not be displaved with immodest frequency.

Since various illiterate critics in the past have confused the concept
of a criminal alias and a literary pseudonym, it might be well to explain that an "alias" such as "the Fox" is used to conceal identity for the criminal purposes of escaping arrest, defrauding the unwary, or other illegitimate purposes; a pseudonym is used by writers merely for practical business reasons without intent to defraud. For example Erle Stanley Gardner is also A. A. Fair; Leslie Ford is David Frome as well; and for convenience at times Montgomery Major will be William Rojam.

CHANGE OF ADDRESS: Four weeks' notice required. When ordering change slease furnish an address stencil impression from recent issue or exact reprofuction, including numbers and dates on top line.
Send membership dues (or subscriptions) and changes of address to KENNETH HARKNESS, Business Manager, 81 Bedford Street, New York 14, N. Y.
Send tournament rating reports (with fees, if any) and all communications re-
garding CHESS LIFE editorial matters to MONTGOMERY MAJOR, Edifor, IV North Humphrey Avenue, Oak Park, III.
Make all checks payable to: THE UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION

## CHESS TACTICS FOR BEGINNERS

By U. S. Expert DR. ERICH W. MARCHAND

Dr. Marchand will answer beginners' questions on this page, if of sufficient general interest. Those wishing a personal reply should enclose stamped, self17, N.Y.

## 1. Readers' Questions

David Wilkinson, Westwood, N. J., has sent a barrage of questions about certain variations of the French Defense and of the Tarrasch Defense to the Queen's Gambit. Some of his questions go beyond the realm of a "beginners' column," especially when they question the conclusions arrived at in the "Bible," Modern Chess Openings, 8th Edition. To be sure an advanced player must not accept the book moves as gospel truth.

We shall not attempt here to answer the various questions one by one but will give a general discussion of the French Defense variations in question and in a later column a similar treatment of the Tarrasch Defense.

This reader has evidently been following the plan suggested some time ago in this column, namely to study-thoroughly (1) a good defense to 1. P-K4 and (2) a good defense to 1 . P-Q4. This study can be speeded up and made easier to remember if one concentrates on the point of view of Black-deciding, in advance of actual play, what basic variations and even subvariations one will adopt when occasion arises.

## 2. The French Defense

The defense beginning 1. P-K4, P-K3 has long been known as one of the best all-round methods of resistance for Black. It is an example of a "closed" game, where in the early stages dangerous open lines and critical sharp variations are somewhat avoided. Black suffers a rather cramped game but gradually unwraps his position; and, if White over-extends himself, Black can often win by successful counter2. P-Q4 ${ }_{\text {p.Q4 }}$ (tacks.

Here White has three main choices: 3. PxP (the Exchange Variation) is reputed to be somewhat drawish in character. However, if one or both players castle on the Q-side the game can berather common, Black getting his share of action in the center by 3........, P-QB4. The classical variation is

## 3. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{KB} 3$ 4. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+5$

White plans on P-K5 to dislocate Black's KKt; but plays the text-move first since, difter 4. P-K5, KKt-Q2, White cannot develop his B at KKt5. The usual reply to the text-move is 4 . ........, B-K2; 5. P-K5, KKt-Q2 with an early P-QB4 by Black. Wilkinson has asked especially about the so-called MeCutcheon Variation:

At first glance this appears to lose a piece, but this is only an illusion as a piece, but this is only an
the main variation shows.
the main variation shows.
5. P-K5
P-KR3. 6. B-Q2
No better would be 6. B-R4, P-KKt4 or 6. PxKt, PxB; 7. PxP, R-Kt1 since analysis shows that Black will recover his $P$ with a satisfactory game.

## 7. $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{\times B} \quad \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{K} 5$

This breaks one of the basic rules of opening play about not developing the $Q$ too far too soon. The present situacase in view of Black's undeveloped $Q$ side and exposed K -side. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 8. and exposed K-side. } \\ \text { 8. } & & \text { P-KK3 } \\ \text { 9. }\end{array}$
8. curious sacrificial move which is attributed to Duras. Other moves, such appear to give White no advantage. The text-move prevents the exchange of White's powerful QB. A plausible continuation would be
This is one of those times when it would be foolish to try to hold the extra Pawn. Development of Black's pieces and the attack on White's center are more important. Besides, after White plays 11. PxP, his Pawn formation is shaky.

## 11. PxP <br> 12. Kt - $\mathrm{B} 3 \quad \begin{array}{r}\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3} \\ \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B}_{2}\end{array}$

With a slight edge for White because of Black's cramped QB and ly open position in which to use them. If now 13. ......., KtxP?, then 14. Q-Q4

## 3. The Winawer Variation

In recent years both the classical variation of the French Defense, 3. KtQB3, Kt-KB3; 4. B-Kt5, B-K2, and the MeCutcheon Variation 4. ......., B-Kt5 have gone temporarily out of style, the former because it gives White too is more of a fighting datter, though $1 t$ seems to give White a slight advantage in all varlations. Furthermore, the popularity of the alternative Winawer variation has fncreased because of the successful use of it by the present World cessful use of it by the
Champion, M. Botvinnik.
Champion, M. Botvinnik,
The Winawer Variation is characterized by the moves
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 1. } & \text { P.K4 } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { 3. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 & \text { B-Kts }\end{array}$ 2. Py this Pin P4

By this pin Black threatens 4. ......
PxP. But this is not the real point since Black could hardly hope to hold his $P$ on K5 without wrecking his own position. He does intend BxKt to double White's Pawns. Also the B move has left Black's K2 square free for his KKt. The move does weaken Black's P(KKt2). We recall that Dr. Emmanuel Lasker used to say that Kts should not be developed before Bs. The weakness of this Pawn is often used by White as a point of attack. The most common variation now is
4. P-K5 P-QB4 5. P-QR3
4. P-Ks P-QB4 5. P-QR3 QxKtP, R-Kt1; 7. Q-R6, PxP; 8. P-QR3, PxKt and 5. B-Q2, PxP; 6. Kt-Kt5, Bx PxKt and 5. B-Q2, PxP; 6. Kt-Kt5, Bx
$\mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch} ; 7$. QxB, Kt-QB3, the reply 8. Kt Bch; 7. QxB, Kt-QB3, the reply
Q6 ch not being bad for Black.
5. The co.... BxKtch

The complexities following 5. .......,
PXP or 5 . ......., B-R4; 6. P-QKt4! are at PxP or 5. ....... B-R4; 6. P-QKt4!
present thought to favor White. 6. PxB Q-B2


White
Position after 6. ......., Q-B2
This innocent-looking move is not the type which the average player would find for himself. If now 7 . Q-Kt4, then the KKtP. Also 8. PxP Black Q protects tempo by attacking the White Q. Also

Black threatens 7. ......., PxP. For in stance 7. B-Q3, PxP; 8. PxP, Q-B6 ch; 9. B-Q2, QxQP.

However, there is a nice trap here which Black must avoid: on 9. Q-Q2! QxR (if 9. ....... QxQP; 10. B-Kt5 ch wins the Q); 10. P-B3 and Black's Q will probably not get out alive. Hence 9. ........, QxQ ch was best.

Anyone playing the French Defense (on either side) should be familiar with the following device which can arise from the diagrammed position after 7 . from
P-B4, PxP; 8. QxP, Kt-QB3; 9. B-Kt5, P-B4, PxP; 8. QxP, Kt-QB3; 9 . B-Kt5,
B-Q 20. Q-B2?, KtxP! 11. PxKt, BxB (or 11. BxB ch, KtxB).

## 4. An Illustrative Game FRENCH DEFENSE

## Lake Erie Open Tournament

 Buffalo, 1955White
R. MEIKUS E. MARCHAND $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 1. P-K4 } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { 3. Kt-QB3 } & \text { B-Kt5 } \\ \text { 2. P-Q4 } & \text { P.Q4 } & \text { 4. } & \text { P×P } & \end{array}$ 2. P-Q4

This is similar to the Exchange Variation. It is seldom played because it relieves Black of his chief difficulty, the

## shut-in QB, <br> shut-in

On 5. ........, Kt-KB3, the pin 6. B-KKt5 is effective. The textmove also prepares to counteract White's strong $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{Q} 3)$ by B-KB4.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { B-KB4. } \\ \text { 6. Kt-K2 } & \text { B-KB4 } & \text { 8. P-QR3 BXKt }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 6. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K2} & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB4} & \text { 8. } & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR3} & \mathrm{BXKR} \\ \text { 7. } & 0.0 & 0.0 & \text { 9. } & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{Q2}\end{array}$ 7. This Kt will have more future at KB3 or QKt3 than at KB3, where the KB3 or QKt3 than at KB3, where the
White Pawns would cover most his White Pawns would cover most his
field of action. Besides, if the Kt can field of action. Besides, if the Kt can
get to QKt3 in time, it. can prevent get to QKt3 in time, it. can prevent
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ by White, thus avoiding the ex-P-QB4 by White, thus avoidin
change of the doubled Pawn.
change of the dou
10 . P-QB4
After 10. ........, PxP; 11. BxP White would retain the so-called advantage of the two Bishops, Besides Black has a plan to gain an equal position in the center.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 12. } Q \times P & \mathbf{P \times P} & \text { 13. Q-Q3 }\end{array}$
12. QxP Kt-QK+3

The point of Black's manoeuver. He controls 24 and QB5, P-QB4 by White being definitely prevented. Th
14. B-B4 QR-B1
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 14. B-B4 } & \text { QR-B1 } & \text { 16. } & \text { B-K+3 } \\ \text { 15. KR-K1 } & \text { Kt-K+3 } & \text { 17. } & \text { QR-K+1 }\end{array}$ 15. KR-K the opening many beginners After the opening many beginners ask the natural question "What next?"
Notice firstly that both sides look for Notice firstly that both sides look for good places for their Rooks, elther supporting the center or commanding open files. Secondly, one tries to make headway in the center. Hence Black's last move, which is strategically indicated but which may have been premature because of White's strong reply. 18. R-K+5 Q-K3

Pinning the Kt because the white $K R$ is undefended. Black threatens RxP, but White's answer defending the KR and with a nasty threat of his own now gives him the better game.
19. P-KB4 P-B5 20. Q-Q1

Black declines to fall into the spider web: $20 . . . . . . ., \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; 21 . K-R1, QxRP; 22. P-B5 and White's attack will be very strong.
21. Kt-B3 Q-KB3

There seems to be nothing for White except $22 . \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ since 22. ......., P-Q5 is answered by 23. QxKt. However:
22. B-B2!

If now 22. ........, KtxP, then 23. Q-B3, Kt-Kt3; 24. RxP
22. ...... P-QR3 23. R-R5

To tuck the K away in a safer place Now White will try to penetrate with his -pieces while Black will try to disentangle his bottled-up position. $\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { 24. Q-B3 } & \text { R-B2 } & \text { 28. } \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \mathrm{R}(1)-\mathrm{K1} \\ \text { 25. } \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB1} & \text { 29. } \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B5} & \mathrm{KT}+\mathrm{Q} 3\end{array}$

Up to now White still had the better game, his center being superior and his pleces better posted although Black has freed his game somwhat. Th last move, however, was a mistake losing an im portant Pawn.
(Please tyrn to page 8; col. 2)

## Wome <br> Chess dife

By Willa White Owens
Address news items and inquiries on Women's Chess to Mrs. Willa White
Owens, 124 South Point Drive, Avon Lake, Ohio.

MRS. Olga Rubtsova of Russia won the Women's World Championship Candidates Tournament with a seore of 15-4. Mrs. Larissa Volpert of Russia took second place with a score of $141 / 2$ to $41 / 2$, and Mrs. Edith Keller-Herrmann of Germany finished third with 14-5.

Mrs. Gisela
 K. Gresser of New York and Mrs. Sonja Stevenson of Palm Springs placed 12 th and 13 th with scores of $91 / 2$. $91 / 2$, in a threeway tie with 11th place winner, Mrs. Chaude de Silans of France. Though finishing with an even score in the top women's tournament of the world is a splendid accomplishment, it is disappointing in view of the splendid start Mrs. Gresser had. She was tied for first place with Mrs. Milunka Lazarevic of Yugoslavia (who finished seventh) through the seventh round, losing her first game in the eighth round, with two draws in earlier rounds. Mrs. Sonja Graf Stevenson stayed in the upper half throughout most of the tournament, standing fifth at the end of the eighth round.
Miss Mona May Karff did not score at all well in this tournament -the year she spent away from chess betwen the New Orleans and New York tournaments is still taking toll. There must also have been some pretty bad luck to have placed her 17th with a score of $5 \frac{1 / 2}{}-131 / 2$.
The New York Times published the following score and comments on one of Miss Karff's games:

One of her best efforts in Moscow was against Mme. Kristina Holuz of Marshall variation in the Ruy Lopez, Miss Karff sacrificed the king's pawn Poland's representative, adhering to the gambit pawn, was hering ourly outmeneuvered and forced resign after thirty-two moves.

RUY LOPEZ

## Women's World Candidates

Moscow, 1955

| White |  |  | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mme. K. H |  | Miss M. | . Karff |
| 1. P-K4 | P-K4 | 18. P-Q4 | K3 |
| 2. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB3}$ | Kt-QB3 | 19. B-K+5 | K8ch |
| 3. B-Kł5 | P-QR3 | 20. Q×Q | R×Qch |
| 4. B-R4 | K4-B3 | 21. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K}+2$ | C3 |
| 5. $0-0$ | B-K2 | 22. BxICt | Q4ch |
| 6. R-K | P-QK14 | 23. K-R3 | PxB |
| 7. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+3$ | 0.0 | 24. BxPch | - |
| 8. P-B3 | P.Q4 | 25. P-B3 | XP |
| 9. $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | KtxP | 26. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q2}$ | R×R |
| 10. KtxP | KtxKt | 27. K $4 \times 8$ | K-K+2 |
| 11. RXKt | P-QB3 | 28. B-K4 | -R |
| 12. P-Q3(*) | B-Q3 | 29. $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | K×R |
| 13. R-K | Q-R5 | 30. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | R×P |
| 14. P-K+3 | Q-R6 | 31. B-Q5 | RxP |
| 15. R-K4 | Kt -B3 | 32. BXP | P-R4 |
| 16. R-R4 | Q-B4 | Resigns |  |
| 17. B-B2 | R-K |  |  |
| Acco | to | Ddwa | asker, |
| to | ed the | me, this m | ov |
| nov | by | y Smy |  |

# GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS 

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, Marshall Chess Club Champion, 1954

USCF MEMBERS: Submut your best games for this department to JOHN W COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP

Mrs. Nancy Roos of Los Angeles and Mrs. Giesela Gresser of New York tied at 9-2 for first and second in the USCF's Women's Championship last August. They selected the following two games among their best in the tournament.

SICILIAN DEFENSE
MCO: page 280, column 78
MCO: page 280 , column 78
USCF Women's Championship New York, 1955
White
MRS. J. PIATIGORSKY MRS Black 1. P-K4 $\quad$ P-QB4 4 4. $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{K}+-\mathrm{B3}$ 2. $\mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{KB} 3 \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \quad$ 5. $\quad$ Kt-QB3 $\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK}+3\end{array}$ 3. P-Q4 PXP

A very dubious move which dates back to Bilguer's "Handbuch." The modern move is 5 . ......., P-Q3; with the Old move is 5. .....is P-Q3; with the
5......, P-K3; still a sound option.
6. В-К3

But this is not the refutation. Correct is 6. KtxKt! KtPxKt (if 6. ......., QPxKt; 7. QxQ ch, KxQ; 8. B-QB4, K-K1; 9. PK5! and White has a distinct advantage) 7. P-K5, Kt-Kt1; 8. B-QB4, P-Q4; 9. PxP e.p., PxP; 10. Q-B3, P-Q4; 11. KtxP! and wins.
6. ........

B-K+2
Black should try for the regular line with 6. ........, P-Q3. Then if 7. KtxKt, PxKt; 8, P-K5, Kt-Q2!
7. Q-Q2

The refitation is still 7. KtaKt, KtPxKt; 8. P-K5.
7.

Now Black is all right: the threat is to double White's KPs $m$ with 8........., KtxB.

> wintes 8. KtxKt 9. B-Q4
$\underset{\mathrm{Kt}}{\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B} 3}$
Also playable are 9 $\qquad$ $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$; and 9. ......., BxB. But not 9. ........, P-K4? 10. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5$, and Black is prevented from castling.

If 10. P-K5, Kt-Q4! 11. KtxKt, PxKt; 12. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6, \mathrm{BxB} ; 13, \mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 14 . \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$, 0.0 ; is to Black's advantage.
11. P-B.....

Better is 11. 0-0.0.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11. ....... } \\
& \text { 12. } \mathrm{P} \text { K4 } \\
& \text { 13. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+3
\end{aligned}
$$

Q-R4
If 13. P-KR? RxP! 14. B-Kt3, P-B4; 15. B-K3, KtxKtP! 16. Kt-Q25, QxQ ch; 17. B×Q, RxB! 18. RPxR, BxR; 19. KtxP ch K-Kt2; 20. PxKt, B-B3; 21. KitxB, RxKt; and Black wins. And if 13. O-O-O? Q-Kt5; 14. B-Kt3, P-B4; 15. B-K3, P-B5; wins. 14. $\mathrm{BxK}+$

P-B4
White should not part with this Bishop. Superior is 14. B-K3, and if 14. ......... P-B5; 15. BxBP, RxP; 16. B-Q4!
14. ${ }^{\text {14 }}$ R-K+1
(Sce diagram top next column)
This loses a piece. Best is 15 . Kt-Q5, QxQ ch; 16. KxQ , and if 16 . ........, $\operatorname{BxP}$; 17. KtxP ch, K-Kt2; 18. QR-Kt1, B-B3; 19. KtxB, KRxKt; 20. B-R4, with a prob able draw.
15. .......

QB-K!2
The piece can be won with 15. ........,

## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you a thorough postgame analysis. Fee \$10.
Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of vour games for a fee of $\$ 5$.

Ohe $\$ 5$ hife $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sunday, } \quad \text { Page } 6 \\ & \text { November 20, } 1955\end{aligned}$

## QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

MCO: page 118, column 6 (a)
USCF Women's Championship New York, 1955
White
MISS M. KARFF MRA
Black $\begin{array}{lr}\text { 1. KARFF } & \text { MRS. G. GR } \\ \text { 1. } & \text { K-Q4 } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { PT-QB4 } 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 2. } & \text { P-QB4 } & \text { QB4 } \\ \text { 3. } & \mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{KB} 3 & P-Q K+3\end{array}$
This is the Queen's Indian Defensethe usual response to $3 . \mathrm{Kt}$-KB3.
4. P-K3, B-Kt2; 5. B-Q3, has been seen some lately, but the text is still the classical treatment.

Or 5. ......., B-Kt5 ch; 6. B-Q2, BxB ch; 7. QxB, O-O; with even chances
6. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 8$. Q-B2, KtxKt; 9. QxKt, is the line most often played.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 6. } & \text { Kta.... } \mathrm{Kt} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K5} & \text { 8. } \\ \text { 7. } & 0\end{array}$
7. $\mathrm{KtxKt} \quad \mathrm{BxKt}$ 9. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KI} \quad 0.0$ Another sound variation is 9. B-B4, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; 10. Q-Q2, Kt-Q2; 11. KR-Q1, KtB3; 12. QR-B1.

$$
\text { 9. } 10 . . . \times B
$$

BxB
P-Q4
With the White Queen at Q1, as contrasted to QB3 in the usual line (see note to White's 6th move), this can be essayed more expeditiously.
11. PxP, PxP; 12. Q-Kt3, followed by $K t-B 4$ and perhaps KR-Q1, appears to
be stronger.
Full liberation.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 12. PXBP } & \text { BXP } & \text { 14. R-Q1 } & \text { Q-K+2 } \\ \text { 13. } P \times P & \text { QxP } & \text { 15. } P \text { P-K+3 } & \text { Kt-Q2 }\end{array}$ 'This is the move that causes all Black's troubles."-Mrs. Gresser. Better are 15 . troubles."--Mrs. Gresser. Better
$\ldots . . ., \mathrm{Kt} 3$; and 15......, Kt-R3.

Kt-R3; and 15......., Kt-B3.
16, B-K +2
Threatening 17. ........, BxP ch; 18. KxB,
RxQ.
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { 17. Q-Q2 } & \text { KR-Q1 } \\ \text { 18. } \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K}+5 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B1} \\ \text { 19. Kt-K3 } & \mathrm{Q} \text { K }\end{array}$
"Just bluff, but White believed it."Mrs. Gresser.
To contend with White's pressure on KKt7, Q7, and Q8 is rather awkward. A try is 19. ........ P-B3; 20. Q-Kt4, R-B3; followed by the doubling of Rooks on the QB file, a different post for the Knight, and eventually P-K4.
20. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$


This is not best. With 20. R-Q4! White obtains an all but decisive pin on the Q file. E.g., $20 . \ldots . . .$, Q-Kt3; 21. QxQ, RPXQ; 22. QR-Q1, R-B2; 23. Kt-B4, P-B3; 24. Kt-R3, BxKt; (24. ......., P-R3? 25. Kt-B4, wins) 25. B×B, K-B2; 26. R-Q6! P-K4; 27. P-R4.
Not $20.20 . . . . . . . .$. QxP? 21, R-Q2, Q-K5; 22. Kt-Q6! and White wins.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 21. Q-KR5 } \\
& \text { 22. QR-B1 } \\
& \text { 23. Kt-K3 }
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result of 20 . Kt-B4, Black now has the initiative and more space.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 24. Q-R3 } & \text { P-B4 } \\
\text { 25. B-R3 } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3
\end{array}
$$

And this is not best. Black keeps the advantage with $25 . . . . . . .$, RxR ch; 26. RxR, P.eKt4! The text leads to changes which foreshadow a draw.

## FROM CANADA

Here is one of the wins that gave first place to Frank Anderson in a reecnt tournament in Ontario. More than half, of it is a king-hunt.

## CENTER COUNTER DEFENSE

MCO: page 130, column 4
Toronto Training Tournament Toronto, 1955
White
Black
F. R. ANDERSON
G. FUSTER

Anderson is a confirmed KPer.
This, the Center Counter Defense, loses time and control of the center, and is rarely seen in modern play.
2. PxP

Reinfeld prefers 2. .,... Ktrp3
White also gets a good game against 3. ........, Q-QR4; with the standard 4. P-Q4, or the sacrifice 4. P-QKt4.

> 4. P-Q4 5. $\mathrm{K} t-\mathrm{B} 3$

Or 5. B-K3, P-B3; 6. B-Q3, $\overline{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{Kt5} ; \overline{7}$. KKt-K2.
5.

B-K45
An original idea is 5. ........ P-KKt3; with a Gruenfeld Defense pattern in which White's QKt is blocking the QBP.
Correct is 6 . ......., BxKt; 7 B. Q Q ${ }^{\text {6. }}$, P-B3; (7. ........, QxP? 8. QxP, wins).

Black's $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7. P-KK } \\ & \text { is made the butt of aggres- }\end{aligned}$ sion.
7. $\mathrm{Kt-1.K5} \quad \begin{gathered}\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+3 \\ \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{K} 3\end{gathered}$

If 8. ......., QKt-Q2; 9. Q-K2!, threatening 10. P-KR4. Then if 9 . ........, KtxKt; 10 . PxKt, Kt-Q4; 11. Q-Kt5 ch, P-B3; 12. QxP, and White wins.
$\begin{array}{llr}\text { 9. } & \text { B-K+2 } & \text { P-B3 } \\ \text { 10. P-KR4 } & \text { B-K+5 }\end{array}$
In order to answer the piece winning threat of 11. P-R5 with 11 . ........, B-K5. threat of 11. P-R5 with 11. ........, B-K5. On 11. ........, BxKt ch; 12. PxB (threatening 13. P-R5, B-K5; 14. P-B3, B-Q4; 15. P-QB4, and wins), P-KR3; 13. KtxB, PxKt; 14. Q-Q3, K-B2; Q-B3, White has
a distinct advantage.
12. P-Kt4
13. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$$\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { B-K+3 } \\ \text { P-KR4? }\end{array}$


This results in a lost position. Relatively best is 13. ......., Kt-Q4! 14. KtxKt, KPxKt; 15. P-R5, B-K5; 16. P-KB3, P-B3! (a life saver) 17. Kt-Q3, BxKt; 18. QxB, with only a slight advantage for White.
14. K+xB
15. Q-Q3

The hunt begins.
15. $\mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{K} 4$ !

By threatening 17. Kt-Kt5 ch, an exchange of Knights is forced, the foremost Black KKtP won, and the opponent's King crowded back.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 16. ....... } & \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{XKt} & \text { 18. BxP ch } & \text { K.Kt1 }\end{array}$ 17. Bounter-attack, but far from an adequate
quate one. Q -K4
Threatening mate in two.
20.
21. P- ....
22. P-R6

White ignores Black's queen-side demonstration while prying open a file on the other wing.

$$
22 .
$$

PxKRP
If 22. PxQRP; 23. P-R7 ch, K-B1; 24. Q-B4 ch, K-K2; 25. Q-B7 ch, K-Q1; 26. Q-Kt8 ch! K-B2 (26. ......., RxQ; 27. PxR= Q ch, wins); 27. B-B4 ch, wins everything. 23. QxKtP
24. B-K8 ch
$\mathbf{R x P}$
And the final phase of the hunt is on.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 24. } \begin{array}{l}
\text { Q-K12 } \\
\text { 25. } \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P} \text { ch } \\
\text { 26. } \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B1}
\end{aligned}
$$

There are several ways to win: 26 . RxP, and 26. B-R5, are two.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 26. } \ldots . . . \\
& \text { 27. Q-K }+6!
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course White prefers to win, rather than exchange, Black's Queen.
$\qquad$
If 28. 8. BXP ch
29. $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R} \mathbf{\mathrm { ch }} \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2 \quad$ 31. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ 30. R-K1 ch K-Q2 $\quad$ 32. K-Q2 Resigns After 32. ......., KxR; 33. Q-K6 ch, and mate in three comes. A forceful game by Anderson.

## WHILE THE QUEEN'S AWAY

When the White Queen strays on move twelve the Black one gets all the play.

## IRREGULAR OPENING

MCO: page 310, column 12 (d)
Florida State Championship
Miami, 1955
white
Black C. ANDERSON

1. P-K4

P-K4
2. Kt-KB3

Kt-QB3
3. Kt-B3

White proposes the old, drawish, Four Inights Game. 3. B-N5!
But Black disposes otherwise. Here, however, 3. ........, B-Kt5; establishing the Three Knights' Defense, is best, with 3. ......., P-Q3; courting 4. B-Kt5, and the Steinitz Defense of the Ruy Lopez, for second choice.
4. KtxP! KtxKt; 5. P-Q4, is sharpest. 5. BxKKtch

A variety of moves are available- 5 .
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { P-KR3, 5. P-Q3, 5. P-Q4, } & \text { 5. O-O. } \\ \begin{array}{llll}\text { 5. } & \text { P..... } & \text { P×B } & \text { 7. } \\ \text { 6. } & \text { P.Q4P } & \text { PxP } & \text { 8. } \\ \text { B-K3 }\end{array}\end{array}$
 10. O-0-O $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ A step on the road to ruin. If 12. Q-Q2, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$; and if $12 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$, B-KKt5. Best is 12. Q-B1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12. } \\
& \text { 13. p.B4 }
\end{aligned}
$$

B-Kt3
First, a little precaution, 13. P-KR3, is in order.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13. ..... } \\
& \text { 14. R-Q3?? }
\end{aligned}
$$



Fatal. White can defend himself with 14. B-Kt1, or the return of the wayward Queen-14, Q-K2.

## 14. $Q-K+7$

KłxB
If 15. RxKt, BxKt; wins a piece anyway. So the Queen wanders further afield.
15. $1 . \ldots .$.
16. KtxP
$0-0$
K-B5

Whatever is done, White is lost, but this allows a forced mate.
The remainder of the play belongs to the Black Queen.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 18. K-Q1 } & \text { Q-K18 ch } \\
\text { 19. K-K2 } & \text { QxP ch } \\
\text { 20. K-B3 } & \ldots . . . . .
\end{array}
$$

Or 20. K-K1, B-B7 ch; 21. K-B1, B-R6 mate.
20.

Q-B7 mate

# All Chess Clubs Grow or Die! They Do Not Just Stand Still 

By WILLIAM ROJAM<br>Staff $W$ riter

$A^{\text {L }}$LL observers in the realm of chess cannot fail but note that chess clubs, like all man-made institutions, are born, grown, and sometimes die. Not all that are born grow strong, but not all that grow big die. There is no natural law that states a chess club must inevitably die-but there is a natural law that clearly states that survival is a matter of self-help, not wishful thinking. There is also a natural law with which we are all familiar which stipulates that it is less difficult to stay in a healthy state by wise precautions than to find a cure after debilitation has set in. Or, as the adage has it, an ounce of Thus, the wise chess club does ly content to be a certain size; it continues to strive for growth, for improvement, for greater life. And the wise chess club does not await the signs of inevitable decay which afflict a static organism, but does its 'promotional campaigning for new members, more members, better membership before the grim warnings of declining attendance and slackening interest are in evidence.

We cite, for example, the wisdom of the Syracuse Chess Club of New York State, a USCF Affiliated Club, which is not waiting for the day when declining attendance would compel it to drastic action for survival. While vigorous, healthy and active (and therefore a more attractive bait for new membership than a dying club), the Syracuse Chess Club is aggressively promoting new memberships by a well-organized campaign.
To a selected list of prospective new members, the Syracuse Chess

Club is mailing a complimentary membership card in the Syracuse Chess Club, good through December 15,1955 , inviting these prospective members to use the facilities of the club and to become acquainted with the members. As an added attraction the prospective members are invited to participate on equal terms with regular members in a simultaneous exhibition on November 5th against Dr. Max Herzberger.
Attached to the well-worded letter of invitation and complimentary membership card is a sheet containing the house rules of the club, data on membership dues, and an application form for membership.
This is promoting a club! And clubs that are promoted do not die!
Franklin (Philadelphia) Chess Club: The club has moved into new and more spacious quarters at 1616 Locust St., Philadelphia 3, next door to their former location. The playing area is about $60 \%$ greater and makes the Franklin Club proud prossessods of one of the best club quarters in the country. A USCF Affiliated Club.

# Mate The Subtle Way! 

by Nicholas Gabor

All communications concerning this problem-column, including solutions as well as original compositions for publication (two- and three-mover direct mates) from composers anywhere should be sent to Nicholas Gabor, Hotel Kemper Lane, Cincinnati 6, Ohio.


IANADA dominates the entire problem department today, with three contributions to our International Contest by the very able chess editor of the "Vancouver Province," Mr. W. E. Frank Fillery of Vancouver and one by Mr. John May of the same city.

## Solutions- Wate the Subtle Way!

No. 595 (Ellerman): Key 1. Q-Kt5, threat 2. Q-Q3 mate. 1. ........, KtxKt, 1. ......., Kt-B8 or $1 . \ldots . .$. , Kt-B4, defeating the threat, allow 2. P-KB3 mate. However, both these Knights have moves which, in addition to the initial threat, also defeat the so-called "Removal" threats: 1. ......., Kt(B4)xQP; 2. P-Q3. 1. ......., Kt(Kt6)xP; 2. Kt-B6. (Mari's correction effects) Others: 1. ........, KxP; 2. R-R4. 1. ........ BxP; 2.
QxKt. 1. ........, B-R3; 2. Q-Q5. 1. ........, K-B6; 2. Q-Q3. QxKt. 1. ......., B-R3; 2. Q-Q5. 1. ......., K-B6; 2. Q-Q3.

No. 596 (Ellerman): Before the key, if 1. ....... Kt(Q6)-B4; 2. Kt-Q6 and if 1., ....., $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 2)-\mathrm{B} 4$; 2. Kt-Q2 mate. After the key 1. B-Kt6, threatening 2, BxP mate, the
same interferences occur, adding: 1. ....... Kt(6)-K4; 2. R-Q4 and 1. ....... Kt(2)-K4; same interferences occur, adding: 1. ......, Kt(6)-K4; 2. R-Q4 and 1. ......, Kt(2)-K4; 2. Q -Q4. Random mo
PxB ; 2. Q-Kt8 mate.

No. 597 (Ellerman): Before the key, if 1. ........, K-K3; 2. P-Q5 mate. Try: 1. Kt-Kt5, threat 2. Q-K5 mate. Only defeat 1. ........, QxKtP! (1. ........, R-K3?; 2. Kt-B3 and 17. ......., P-Q3?; 2. Kt-B7 mate.) Keymove: 1. Kt-B5, threat 2. Q-K5. 1. ........,
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; 2. Q-Kt8. 1. ......., R-K3; 2. Kt-K3. 1. ......., RxKt; 2. Q-Q6. 1. ......., QxKtP; 2. QxQ, etc.

No. 598 (Fleck): Key 1. Kt-K4, taking-giving a flight, threatening 2. Q anywhere and 3. Kt-Q3 mate, (Brede's square-opening.) All possible Black moves leave the Queen only 1 specific square, employing in the 4 main variations what we could call "Anticipated pin" strategy. Thus: 1. ......., Kt-Kt2, intending to move to 2. ......., anticipating fortify the Black Pawn after 2. ........, PxKt, but 2. Q-K2, to anticipate pinning of fortify the Black Pawn after 2. ......., PxKt, but 2. Q-K2, to anticipate pinning of that pawn. (2. Q-K3?, B-R4ch!) 1. ......., Kt-R4; 2, Q-KKt3. There are two minor
variations not involving anticipated pin, but still affecting the Q's moves: variations not involving anticipated pin, but still affecting the Q's moves: 1.
KtxP; 2. Q-R6, KtxP; 3. Q-K6 mate, and 1. ....... Q-R1 or R?; 2. Q-KB3, ete. (? KtxP;
Q-K2?; 3. QxP(R6).) It gave us special pleasure to award the 24 points to so many solvers who sent in the complete and correct solution.

Dallas (Texas) YMCA Chess Club: Two members, W. T. Strange and Joe T. Gilbert have completed a 10 -game match resulting in a $51 / 2-41 / 2$ victory for Strange. The match will be USCF rated. A USCF Affiliated Club.

Join the USCF and get unity in American chess.

Whess Life
Sunday, Page 8
November 20, 1955

## Solution Jo

Whato Tho Bat Move?

## Position No. 170

Joppen-Wade, W. Germany v Gt. Britain, Int. Team Tourn., 1954 The solutions submitted divided neatly into good ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{pt}$.), better ( 1 point) and BEST ( 2 points). Best is 1. ......., K-B; 2. R-N8 ch!, KxR; 3. Q-R7 ch, K-B; 4. QR6 ch, B-N2 (or K-K); 5. QxR, Q-Q5ch; 6. Q-B2 (if K moves, P-B5), QxB!!; 7. PXQ, B-Q5 with a clearly won ending. The merely "better" solution is the same, with 6. ........, QxP. We hesitate to say that Black can now no longer win, but International Master Wade played this and could in the end only draw, so it is obvious Black's task is now very difficult. The "good" solutions begin correctly, and show wins against inferior correctly, and show wins against inferior
defenses (eg. 1. ........, K-B; 2, Q-B5?, Q. R8ch; 3. B-B, Q-Q5ch; 4. K-R, R-R7 mate) but omit the critical line.
It is clear that after 1. ......., PxR?; 2. QxP ch, White either regains the Rook or draws by perpetual check.

Points (and congratulations) are awarded to Jack E. Comstock, James B. Germain, Edmund Godbold, Ed Nash, William B. Wilson, and William Winter, I Point to Milton D. Bluementhal, Abel R. Bomberault, J. E. Byrd, Francis Crofut, Sarl E. Diesen, John W. Howning, Heino Kurruk, Kenneth Lay, Harold Leef, Max Milstein, George W. Payne, Dr. Ray Pinson, N, Reider, Edmund Roman, I. Schwartz, Irving Sigmond, W. E. Stevens, G. V. Tiers, David A. Walsdorf, J. Weininger, N. P. Witting, and L. E. Wood.
$1 / 2$ Point to W. J. Couture, Thomas G. Harris, Walláce F. Getz, Simon Hartman, R. B. Hayes, John Ishkan, Col. F. D. Lynch, Howard T. Murray, Joe H. Murray, Charles Musgrove, Herbert J. Roberts, Paul H. Smith, Bob Steinmeyer, Dr. Max Schlosser, and Alexis Valueff. On points, the solvers scored by $351 / 2$. $151 / 2$.

## Tournament Life

Send to CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, III. for Humphrey Ave., Oak Park,
application form for announcing tournament in this column,

Unless otherwise specified, all tournaments announced in this column are $100 \%$ USCF rated. Rating fees, if any, are included in specified entry fee; no additional rating fee for non-members
USCF. USCF.

## November 25-27

2nd North Central Open

## Championship

Milwaukee, Wis.
Open; at Hotel Wisconsin, No. 3rd and Wisconsin Ave.; 7 rd Swiss, 45 moves in two hrs, 25 moves per hr
thereafter; entry fee: $\$ 7$ for USCF thereafter; entry fee: $\$ 7$ for USCF
members, $\$ 8$ for non-members; guarmembers, $\$ 8$ for non-members; guar-
anteed first prize of $\$ 200$ and total prize fund of $\$ 500$; TD Ernest Olfe; for details, write: Arpad E. Elo, 3935 N. Flebrantz Dr., Milwaukee 10, Wis.

100\% USCF rated event.
November 26-27

## Miami Valley Open

 Dayton, OhioOpen; at YMCA, Dayton! 6 rd Swiss, 44 moves in $31 / 2$ hrs; entry fee $\$ 2.50$ (USCF membership $\$ 5$ required); cash prizes, amount depending on number of entrants; TD Paul J. Wortman; for
details, write: Harvey B. McClellan, details, write: Harvey B. McClell
M.D., 200 Rogers St., Xenia, Ohio.

100\% USCF rated event.
November 25-27
6th Wichita Open Championship Wichita, Kans.
Open; at Central YMCA, 1st and Emporia; 6 rd Swiss, 45 moves in 2 hrs ; entry fee $\$ 5.00$; trophies for first 8 places, 1st prize guarantee $\$ 75.00$; entrics close 7:00 p.m. Friday; TD K. R. MacDonald; for details, write: Car1
Murrell, 719 No. Broadway, Wiehita, Murrel
Kans.

| 31. | Q-K1 | 36. K-B2 | K-R2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32. Q-Q4 | Ktx B | 37. Q-B4 | Q-B3 |
| 33. PxKt | $\mathbf{R x P}$ | 38. Kt-K2 | Kt-K5ch |
| 34. R-B7 | R-K2 | 39. K-B3 | P-QK+4 |
| 35. $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | QxR | 40. P-B3 | Kt-q3 |

Black rejects the win of a second Black rejects the win of a second
Pawn by 40, KtxP ch since White's Pawn by $40 . . . . . .$, KtxP ch since White's
passed QP and threats of perpetual passed $Q P$ and threats of perpetual
cheek would make the win difficult cheek would make the win difficult
if not impossible. Besides Black hapif not impossible. Besides Black hap-
pened to be very short of clock time pened to be very short of clock time
because of his earlier difficulties. There because of his earlier difficulties. There were ten more moves to make in five minutes. In such a situation the character of a game can change very quickly 41. Kt-Q4 P-Kt4 43, K-K2 Kt-K5 42. Q-K3 P-Kt5ch 44. Kt-K6 KtxPch Wbite's last move was a serious error. This time Black can safely win the RP. Furthermore White's valuable QP also goes down the river.
45. K-B1 K+xP 47. QxP
46. Q-R7ch K-Kł3

With an easy theoretical win Black has only the problem of making four moves in half a minute without blundering. Fortunately some checks are available.
48. K-B2 Q-K+7ch $\quad$ 50. K-R4
49. K-Kł3 Q-B6ch 51. K-Kt3

At this point the game was stopped for adjudication (in accordance with the rules of the Swiss System tournament in which the game was played). After some analysis by the judging committee It was decided to be a win for Black. The variations are a bit tricky. Apparently 51. ........, P-B6 as well as 51. ........, P-R4 will suffice to win and probably also the interesting variation 51 .
Q-K4 ch; $52 . \mathrm{Kt}$-B4 ch, K-B2 (not 52 .
Q-K4 ch; 52 . Kt-B4 ch, K-B2 (not 52 . .af....,
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt3}$; 53 . Q-KKt6 Mate!); 53 , Q-KKt6 ch K-Kt3; 53. Q-KKt6 Mate!); 53, Q-KKt6 ch
(not 53. QxRP, Q-K8 Mate) and Black can (not 53. QxRP, Q-K8 Mate) and
eventually escape the checks.

## 5. Swiss System Tournaments

The tournament in which the above game was played was one of the so-called Swiss System tournaments, an example of a new kind of chess tournament which has sprung up in this country in recent years. The basic idea! of the system is that after each round players of equal scores are paired together for the next round. The highest score (based if necessary on a tie-breaking system) after a set number of rounds is declared the winner.
The tremendous advantage of the Swiss System is that a large tournament can be run off in a short time. The U. S. Open Tournament can determine a winner among almost two hundred players

## December 3-4

Oklahoma Open Championship Oklahoma City, Okla.
Open; at Huckins Hotel, Main and Broadway; 5 rd Swiss, 25 moves per hour; entry fee $\$ 1.00$ plus OCA dues $\$ 2.00$; for detalls, write: Charles Ames, 2303 Gatewood, Oklahoma City, Okla. $100 \%$ USCF rated event.

Subscriptions Accepted for
THE BRITISH CHESS MAGAZINE Founded in 1881 and now the oldest ches periodical extant, Games Editor:
H. Golominek-Problem World: S. S. Godgwick
$-\$ 3.00$ per year ( 12 fssues)-
Specimen copv 25e
Special thin-paper edition, sent by
Airmail $\$ 4.70$ per year.
CANADIAN CHESS CHAT
Official Organ of the
Chass Eederation of Canada
Only publication with national coverage Events Games. Articles and personalitiesMnual Subseription: $\$ 2,7$

CHESS WORLD
Comprehensive Australian chess maga
Comprehensive Australian chess maga
titue edited by C. J. S. Purdy Articles te edited by C. J. S. Purds, Artic $\$ 3.00$ per year-12 issues Sample copy 20 C Order From
CHESS LIFE Oak Park III 123 Nomphrey Ave
playing one game a day for two weeks. Regional tournaments involving as many as one hundred players are played on three-day weekends, and somewhat smaller tournaments on two-day weekends by the simple device of using the Swiss System and having the players play two or even three rounds a day.
The above type of tournament has done much for the general advancement of the game of chess. At the same time it puts great demands on the stamina of the players. The younger players herein have a great headstart. From ten to fifteen hours of tournament chess in one day is quite an order if not an ordeal.
On top of this, in many of the weekend-type Swiss tournaments the players must help analyze their games during the adjudication between rounds, and this can be as nerve-wracking and exhausting as the game itself. In Philadelphia, after the first day's play of the Eastern States Open, the writer spent half the night trying to prove a win in his adjourned game. It didn't help his play on the next day.
In many of the weekend Swiss tournaments the stronger players are asked to help adjudicate other players' unfinished games, sometimes not even leaving enough time to eat between rounds. Another
unfortunate fact about the necessity for adjudication is that players play far fewer endgames. The players whose greatest strength lies in endgames are thereby handicapped.

These remarks are noted here not to blame any individuals but rather (1) to note a significant new trend in American chess and (2) to observe certain problems which need to be solved in connection with this trend.

## $\eta_{\text {ew and }} R_{\text {enewed }}$ USCI Affliates <br> ${ }^{\text {tR }}$ Renewals of Charters

## CALIFORNIA

## Southern California Chess League

Conducts annual team league and also individual tournament. President: Irving Rivise; Sec'y: Kyle Forrest, 1905 Elm Ave., Manhattan Beach, Callf.; Treas: Wm. Wheeler

## TEXAS

## Lubbock Chess Club

Meets at Mackenzle Terace, 407 E. Broadway, Lubbock, Tex. at 2 p.m. on 1st and 3rd Sundays of each month. President: W. C. Marshall; Vice-Pres.: President: Underwood; Sec'y-Treas.: Fred Br. Harrell, 3411 20th St., Lubbock, Tex.

## Book-Ends For Sale

Bookends made from hardwood with cast Chess \& Bridge ornaments. Ask for Folder.
E \& $\quad$ M Mfg. Co., P.O., Tillson, N.Y.

