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## What's Conducted by

 RUSSELL CHAUVENETSEND solutions to Position No. 174 to Russell Chauvenet, 721 Gist Avenue, Silver Spring, Md., by January 5,1956 . With your solution, please send analysis or reasons supporting your choice as "Best Move" or moves.
Solution to Position No. 174 will appear in the January 20th, 1956 issue.
NOTE: Do not place solutions to two positions on one card; be sure to indicate correct number of position being solved, and give the full name and address of the solver to assist in proper crediting of solution.

## WRIGHT WINS ALABAMA OPEN

James Wright of Millington, Tenn. won the Alabama Open Championship at Birmingham $51 / 2$ $11 / 2$ on Coons points, losing one game to Kenneth Vines and drawing with G. W. Sweets. Second to fourth, also with $5^{1 / 2-11 / 2}$ each, were Lt. Edmund Godbold, who became Alabama Champion, of Ft. McClellan, G. W. Sweets of Chattanooga, Tenn., and R. S. Scrivener of Memphis. Godbold lost no games but drew with Sweets, Scrivener, and Hunter Weaks. Sweets drew with Wright, Godbold, and Weaks. Scrivener drew with Godbold, Clyde Baker, and Fred Kemp. Fifth to seventh with 5-2 were Hunter Weaks of Memphis, Tony Janes of Demopolis, and Clyde D. Baker of Huntsville. 40 players participated in the Swiss event, sponsored by the USCF Affiliated Birmingham Chess Club, and held at the Birmingham YMCA.

## WHITLOCK WINS MARYLAND JUNIOR

Vietory in the first annual Maryland Junior Championship went to Howard Whitlock, 19, student at the University of Maryland. Milton Goldinger, 19, of Baltimore Junior College was second; and Rolf Benzinger, 19, of John Hopkins University was third. In the event for players under age of 16 , first place went to Billy Adams, 14, of Bethesda; George Cunningham, 13 , also of Bethesda, was second, and Charles McIntyre, 12, of Salisbury, was third. Gold, silver and bronze medals were awarded the winners in both groups and chess book awards were given to all 24 entries in both events. The tournament was conducted by the USCF Affiliated Maryland Chess Federation at the Maryland Chess Club in Baltimore with Michael Schulman as tournament director.

## Kalme Wins Tri-State Title,

## Burdick Takes Tri-State Open

The annual Tri-State Championship, consisting of a round-robin contest between champion and runner-up for Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Ohio, ended in the $41 / 2-1 / 2$ victory of Charles Kalme of Philadelphia who drew one game with Herman V. Hesse of Bethlehem. Hesse placed second with $4-1$, drawing also with Richard Ling of Fairborn, Ohio. Tied for third with $21 / 2-2 \frac{1}{2}$ were Ling and Charles T. Morgan of Huntington, W. Va., while Dr. Siegfried Werthammer of Huntington was fifth with $11 / 2-31 / 2$ and Charles Heising of Hamilton, Ohio sixth with $0-5$.

The 35 -player Swiss Open event, held in conjunction with the TriState Championship, was won by Donald Burdick of Huntington, W. Va. with a perfect 5-0 score; Burdick was also declared Tri-State Junior Champion as ranking junior player in the event. Second to fifth with 4-1 each on weighted scores were Robert Bernholz of Pittsburgh, Pa., Elliott Stearns of Cleveland, Ohio, Richard Kause of Cleveland, and William Granger of Cleveland. Sixth and seventh with $31 / 2$ $11 / 2$ each were Howard Fleat of Dayton, Ohio and James R. Schroeder of Columbus, Ghio.
The event was sponsored this year by the USCF Affiliated Pennsylvania State Chess Federation and held at the Downtown YMCA, Pittsburgh, Pa. with William M. Byland serving as tournament director. Participation in the Open event was almost evenly divided between players from Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

## LEVIN WINS SO CALIF TITLE

Dr. Eugene Levin scored $71 / 2-21 / 2$ to win the Southern California Championship, conducted by the USCF Affiliated Southern California Chess League, losing games to Morris Gordon and G. B. Schain while drawing with Robert Jacobs. Second to fifth on "Inglewood" points with 7-3 each were G. B. Schain, Morris Gordon, Robert Jacobs, and Irving Rivise. Schain lost to Gene Rubin and drew with LeRoy Johnson, A. Deres, Dr. S. Weinbaum, and I. Rivise. Gordon lost games to Jacobs, Schain, and Rivise. Jacobs lost to Schain and Dr. Weinbaum while drawing with Hyman Gordon and Levin. Rivise lost to LeRoy Johnson and drew with R. Lorber, L. Domanski, Peter Meyer, and Schain. Sixth and seventh in the 31 -player Swiss were Gene Rubin and Dr. S. Weinbaum with $61 / 2-31 / 2$ each. Qualified from this event for participation in the California State Championship event were Levin, Schain, M. Gordon and Jacobs. Charles E. Kodil

## SANDRIN TAKES ILLINOIS STATE

Former U. S. Open Champion Albert Sandrin of Chicago tallied 5-1 to win the Illinois State Championship on S-B points, drawing with Povilas Tautvaisas and Karl Wiegmann. Tautvaisas, also with $5-1$ was second, drawing with Wiegmann and Sandrin. Third to eighth with $4-2$ each in the 24 -player event were John Nowak, R. Golla, Karl Wiegmann, M. Gottesman, Angelo Sandrin, and D. Fischheimer. Miroslav Turiansky was ninth with $31 / 2$ $2^{21 / 2}$. Special prize for ranking B Class player went to M. Gottesman, while prize for ranking C. Class went to W. Shanahan who scored 2-4. The event was sponsored locally by the Peoria Chess Association and held at the Peoria YMCA.

## U. S. INTERCOLLEGIATE INDIVIDUAL CHAMPIONSHIP December 26-30, 1955

Place: John Jay Hall, Columbia University, Amsterdam Ave. and 114th St., New York City, N.Y.
Eligibility: Open only to college (not Extension) undergraduates from any college in No. America; every any college in No. America, ever entry must be prepared to show
credentials proving himself to be a credentials proving himself to be a
student in good standing, eligible student in good standing, eligible
to represent his school. No limit to to represent his school. No limit to entries but every contestant must
be a USCF member or become one.
be a USCF member or become one.
Type of Tourney: Seven round Swiss, conducted under USCF Tourn ament Rules; Eliot Hearst, T.D.
Entry Fee: $\$ 5$ to USCF members holding membership cards expiring after Dec. 25, 1955. Non-members may compete by paying $\$ 5$ USCF dues in addition to $\$ 5$ entry fee.
Registration: At John Jay Hall not later than 10 a.m. on Dec. 26, 1955.
Clocks: If possible, please bring clocks and chess sets.
Prizes: Fifteen prizes, from $\$ 100$ Life Membership in USCF and \$25 Windsor Castle Chess set plus twoyear custody of H. Arthur Nabel Trophy for first place winner to book prizes for seventh to fifteenth places, plus Brilliancy and BestPlayed Game prizes (see page four). Played Game prizes (see page four)
Advance Registrations may be Advance

UNITED STATES CHESS
FEDERATION
81 Bedford St. New York 14, N.Y.


MIKHAIL I. Chigorin (1850-1908) was the greatest Russian chess player before Alexander Alekhine. He played Steinitz two matches for the world championship (in 1889 and 1892) and came close to winning (the score was tied after 21 games, and Steinitz won the 22nd and 23rd to retain his title). Chigorin also played a match with Tarrasch in 1893 which ended in a 11 to 11 tie. In the famous Hastings Tournament of 1895 he finished second, a half-point behind Pillsbury, and ahead of Lasker, Tarrasch, and Steinitz.

In Position No. 165, from the third match game, three moves leave Black in despair. According to the 1952 Russian book of Chigorin's games, many contemporaries considered the endgame leading to the finale above to be the best endgame ever played (the game lasted 83 moves).

In' Position/ No. 166, a three-move combination decides.
For solutions, please turn to $\mathbf{P}$ age eight.
Send all contributions for this column to Edmund Nash, 1530 28th Place, S.E. Washington 20, D. c .

Earl Pruner scored $11 / 2-21 / 2$ to win the Mechanics' Institute Centennial Tournament in San Fran cisco, allowing five draws and no losses. Charles Bagby finished second with $10-4$, also losing no games

## HAVE YOUR TOURNAMENTS OFFICIALLY RATED New Regulations Effective March 1, 1955

 Tournaments, matches (individual or feath; round robin or Swiss) are rateable when sponsored by USCF affiliated organizations, if played under FIDE Laws, directed by a comperent official, and played at time limit of not more than 30 moves per hour.The annual championship tournament of an USCF Club Chapter and the annual championship tournament of any USCF affiliate whose ey-Laws provide that all its members musi be USCF members also are rafed without charge.
All other eligible events are rated only if official report of event is accompanied by a remittance covering a rating fee of 10 c per game ering a rating fee of 10 c per game
for all games actually played in the for an games actually played in the
contest. (In a Swiss one-half the confest. (In a Swiss one-half the
number of players times the numnumber of players times the num
ber of rounds represents total ber of rounds represents total
games played if no byes or forfeits.)

Note that 10c Kating fee per game is collected from all players, whether USCF members or not.
Semimannually ratings will be published of all participants in all USCF-Rated events.
Official rating forms should
be secured in advance from:-

## Montgomery Major

123 No. Humphrev Avenue Oak Park, Illinois
Do not write to other USCF
officials for these rating forms.

Monday, Page 2
December 5, 1955
but drawing eight. William Addison, Mechanics' Club champion, and Henry Gross tied for third with $91 / 2-41 / 2$ each. Tied for fifth with 9-5 each were James Schmitt, Gilbert Ramirez and Walter Pafnutieff.

The North Jersey Chess League plans a "New Jersey 1880 Open for $1956^{\prime \prime}$ which will be open to all USCF members rated at 1880 or less, and will begin early in 1956. Plans call for preliminary round robins between members of sections, with each section to be limited to six to ten players. Winner and runner-up of each section will be eligible to compete in finals held at Log Cabin Chess Club. Entry fee will be $\$ 3.00$ plus $\$ 2.00$ deposit for forfeits-all money to be used for prizes. Time limit 40 moves in 2 hours. Committee consists of Faust, Laucks, Walbrecht, and Brown.

Committee member Brown states that: "The idea is to bar masters, experts, Class A, and let the earnest 'patzers' slug it out in a leisurely round robin on even terms. No more than one game a week! The preliminary interest has been terrific, and I believe we may be starting something big in the Chess World." Further details will be published later.

## 䨋变

Maurice Kasper was elected president of the Manhattan Chess Club, succeeding Alexander Bisno, whose resignation was reluctantly accepted by the club's board of directors.

## SYMSLOV TOPS ZAGREB EVENT

Vassily Smyslov, as expected, emerged as victor in the international tourney at Zagreb, winning by a margin of two points with $141 / 2-41 / 2$; he lost no games but had nine draws. Ivkov and Matano vic tied for second with $121 / 2-6 \frac{1}{2}$ each. Ivkov lost one game to Gligoric and drew eleven; Matanovic lost games to Gligoric and Porreca and drew five. Geller and Gligoric tied for fourth place with $12-7$ each; and U. S. Champion Arthur B. Bisguier held undisputed sixth place with $111 / 2-71 / 2$, losing games to Pirc and O'Kelly de Galway while drawing eleven. O'Kelly and Trifunovic tied for seventh with 11-8.
Bisguier recovered splendidly after a disappointing start which saw him with only two wins, four draws and two losses at the end of eight rounds. In the remaining eleven rounds, he won four more, drew seven and lost none.

## final standings

1..V. Smyslov (USSR) ............... 141/2.41/2 2. B. Ivkov (Yugoslavia) ..............121/2-61/2 3. A. Matanovic (Yugoslavia) ....121/2-61/2 4. E. Geller (USSR)
5. S. Gligoric (Yugoslavia) ....................12-7 6. A. B. Bisguier (USA) ................111/2-71/2 7. A. O'Kelley (Belglum) ...................11-8 8. P. Tritunovic (Yugoslavia) ..........11-8 9. M. Filip (Czechoslovakia) ......101/2-81/2 10. B. Rabar (Yugoslovia) .................91/2.91/2 11. G. Barcza (Hungary) . $\qquad$ 12. A. Duckstein (Austria) .......... 81/2-101/2 13. B. Milic (Yugoslavia)
$\qquad$ . $1 / 2 \cdot 12$ 14. A. Fuderer (Yugoslavia) 3-11 15. N. Minev (Bulgaria) $\qquad$ $.8-11$ 16. N. Karaklajic (Yugoslavia)...........7-12 17. G. Perreca (Italy) ...... 6-13
19. M. Udovic (Yugoslavia) ......6-13

# Chess Life <br> On $\eta_{\text {ew }} Y_{\text {ork }}$ <br> By Allen Kaufman 

I N BRIEF: The championship of New York's powerful Marshall Chess Club is about to begin. Players in the finals are Hearst, Pilnick, Santasiere, Howard, Collins, Seidman (all former club champions), Levy, Mednis, Burger, Saidy (USCF masters), and the preliminary qualifiers (from the recently concluded Swiss), Dunst, Drakert, Donovan, Kaufman, Gore, and Bernstein. The higher prizes have lured several players out of retirement. . . . The Rosenwald Tournament is scheduled to be repeated again this Christmas. Reshev-
 sky, Evans, and Bisguier will participate again this year, and D. Byrne, Sherwin, and Kramer will be replaced by Horowitz, Shipman, and Lombardy. The last mentioned has developed tremendously in the past few years, as witnessed by his frequent victory in the weekly Manhattan Rapids. He won last week's ahead of Pomar, Sherwin, Hearst, Shipman, Radiocic, Saxon, etc.

Maurice J. Kasper has been elected president of the Manhattan C.C., succeeding Al Bisno. Mr. Kasper is perhaps the bestliked of all the local chess patrons. He respects all players, weak or strong, and never uses the power he has unfairly. He is a frequent and generous contributor to local chess causes, always remaining humble and friendly. . . . Arthur Bisguier is due to arrive here shortly, following his tournament and exhibition tour of western Europe. Presumably he returns just in time for his club's championship.

Latest kibitzes-Turner: "Does Shakespeare tell Gimbel's?" Pomar "My Gosht", Goodman (upon checkmating her opponent): "Always mate, it might be check." . . . Her friends will, be glad to hear that Mary Bain has recovered completely after her operation. . . Qualified for the Manhattan finals are Owens, Harrow, Reiter, Feuerstein. Four more places are still open.
$30-30$ chess is proving its popularity in North Carolina. The secannual event, held at Raleigh on November 20 th, drew 17 entrants. The winner on Solkoff points was Kit Crittenden of Chapel Hill with $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Crittenden $w$ on all his games except for a draw with Dr. Norman Hornstein of Southport who also scored $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Jan Pinney of Chapel Hill placed third with 4-1, and Paul Newton of Raleigh was fourth with $31 / 2-11 / 2$. Albert Margolis of Chapel. Hill won the Junior prize with 3-2. The event was sponsored by the North Carolina Chess Ass'n, a USCF State Affiliate.

# UNDER THE CHESS-NUT TREE 

By Irrepressable Woodpusher WILLIAM ROJAM

## A Code of Ethics for Chess

$\mathbf{F}^{\text {ACH }}$ generation needs a code of ethics to govern its conduct; and E the ethics of one generation do not necessarily serve another. If they did, there would be no progress in man's thinking and no conscious striving for that unattainable perfection which in itself most distinguishes man from beast.

Chess, in common with all other compartments of man's diverse activities, needs its own code of conduct, as Mr. Alexander Bisno has recently suggested in a letter. It may be protested that in the "Morals of Chess" by Benjamin Franklin we have such a code, but it is doubtful if Franklin can speak with the same authority to this generation as he undoubtedly did to his own. Each age needs its own statement of principle, couched in the idiom of its age, if only to emphasize the fact that moral values do change as man's thinking progresses. We have, after all, discarded the solemn advice of the worthy Bishop Lopez who recommended that one place the chessboard so that the sunlight would strike the eyes of one's opponent and thus hamper his play -the ethics of the XVIth Century are not those of today.
But the Laws of Chess, even in the revised FIDE Code, have been very cautious in expressing any specific admonition regarding the conduct of players in match or tournament play - the generality that a player must not annoy his opponent is scarcely adequate, for what constitutes annoyance? When a player mates his opponent in a game, that might be defined as annoying his opponent, although obviously it is a permissable annoyance, since otherwise we could have no matches or tournaments.

But was Nimzovitch justified in claiming that his opponent was annoying him by merely looking like he wanted to smoke? Was an American player justified in complaining to the referee because his opponent proclaimed loudly in German that he had a won position? Was another tournament player correct in declaring that his opponent was unjustifiably annoying him during time-pressure by leaning over constantly to check the chessclock and thereby obscuring his view of the board as he attempted to plan his strategy? Does whistling, humming, doodling, performing gymnastic poses on the chair, etc. constitute annoyance of an opponent? Finally, is it altogether fair or reasonable to leave it to the judgment and discretion of a tournament director to determine what constitutes annoyance, what constitutes disturbance, what constitutes illegal consultation, illegal collusion, etc.?

The Laws of Chess have always proceeded on the general assumption that all chess players are gentlemen, but it has never been shown that gentlemen are invariably above acting in a manner that can be defined as ungentlemanly upon occasion. Therefore, it does not appear that it would be any insult to the chess playing fraternity to recognize this fact and define in definite terms what constitutes improper conduct'for a player in match or tournament play.

What constitutes collusion, for example? This is an offense that is difficult to prove, even when grave suspicion of it exists. It is also an offense that is too frequently sus-

pected on insufficient grounds by disgruntled or mistrustful players -and the hint of such suspicion has damaged the reputation of more than one completely innocent player. But cannot some attempt be made to control collusion, or the suspicion of collusion, by setting up a code of conduct for players at a tournament and ruling that violations of this code of conduct, however innocent each incident may be in itself, constitute per se evidence of collusion. There is no injustice in such an assumption (which is not uncommon in law), provided that a clear code of conduct has been first established with which all players have been made familiar. Those wishing to avoid any suspicion will simply so conduct themselves as to provide no suspicious incidents; those who refuse to abide by such a code in their conduct must accept the suspicion that their conduct arouses and the penalties attached.
The player, for example, who brings written chess notes or chess books into a tournament room must accept the charge of bringing them for purposes of consultation, whether he refers to them or not. The player who analyses the position of an adjourned game in the company of other players must accept the penalty of consulting with others on his game, whether he heeds the advice given and even if no advice is given him. Players who insist on analysing a game in progress in the tournament rooms must accept the suspicion of attempting to advise one of the players of the game concerning his future strategy, whether the player in question sees their analysis or not.

We feel that such a code of ethiss for tournament and match play is greatly needed, as much to protect the innocently indiscrete from the dire consequences of such
thoughtless indiscretions, as to hamper the strategy of any player wilfully intent upon violating the basic ethics of fair play in chess. But who will be the Hummurabi for such a code?

It is our suggestion that the tournament players themselves should frame this code from their own hard experience in tournament play. Let each player remember the annoyances, doubts and susspicions that have upon occasion assailed him and then define the con ditions which gave birth to such annoyances and suspicions.

If this suggestion strikes a sympathetic chord in the minds of any players, we will welcome their ideas on the subject and even undertake to codify the suggestions submitted into a proposed text, which can at some future date be submitted to the USCF Board of Directors for discussion and possible adoption.

## $\eta_{\text {eu }}$ and $R_{\text {enewed }}$ USCI Affiliates <br> ${ }^{0}$ Renewals of Charters

MASSACHUSETTS

- Massachusetts State Chess Ass'n Inc

Holds annual Massachusetts Cham pionship and other chess events. Pres Dr. Julian Kelson; Secy: Miss Lillian Alden, 23 Lawrence Ave., Roxbury Mass.; Treas: Joseph H. Hurvitz. NEW JERSEY
${ }^{*}$ New Jersey State Chess Federation
Holds annual New Jersey State Cham pionship. President: Charles A. Keyser; Secy: Wm. Walbrecht, 6 Webster Ave., Jersey City, N.J.; Treas: Marcus Wolff. OHIO

Columbus Y Chess Club
Meets at Metropolitan YMCA, 40 W. Long Street, Columbus, Ohio on Thursdays at 7:30 p.m. to midnight. President: Gerard Platau; Vice-Pres.: Kurt Liening; Sec'y-Treas.: Charles McCracken, Jr ; Tournament Director: Ja mes Schroeder; Editor, Caissa: Ervin E. Underwood.

## derwood

*San Antonio Chess Club
Meets at Jewish Community Center 112 E. Quincy St., San Antonio 5, Tex on Thursdays at 7 to 11 p.m. President Blake W. Stevens; sec'y: Allen H. Blk er, Jr. 1311 Edison Dr., San Antonio.
${ }^{*}$ South Texas Chess Association
Conducts South Texas Open President: Henry Youngman, P.O. Box 844, Corpus Christi, Tex.; 1st vice-pres John DiVine; and vice-pres: James Creighton; secty-treas: Jack Randolph.

Austin Chess and Checker Club (Chi cago): Annual election of officers re suited in John A. Nowak president James Warren vice-president; Frank Haubold secretary, and Charles C. Bro kaski treasurer. The club conducts the annual Great Lakes Open Tournament annual Great Lakes Open Tournament and is the current team champion of the Greater Chicago Chess League. Meet tings are held every Thursday evening and Saturday afternoon at Austin Town Hall, Lake and Central, Chicago. A USCF Club Affiliate.

## Book-Ends For Sale

Bookends made from hardwood with cast Chess \& Bridge ornamints. Ask for Folder.

E \& M Mfg. Co., P.O., Tillson, N.Y.

## College Chess Life <br> Conducted by

Frederick H. Kerr

All college clubs and players are urged to send news items to: Frederick H. Kerr, Hamilton Hall, Box 448, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania.

THE H. Arthur Nobel trophy, at present held by Albert Weissman of New York University, will be awarded to the winner of the 1955 United States Intercollegiate Championship. Any college undergraduate may enter this, the most important event in the collegiate chess calendar. There is no restricdion on the number of entrants from any one school. The sevenround Swiss will be held on Deember $26-30$. A rapid-transit tourney will also be held as an added featyre. Although the location had not been confirmed at the time of this writing, it is expected that Columbia University will be the place. The entry fee will be only $\$ 5$ plus $\$ 5$ USCF dues for non-members. Our readers are assured that the tournament will run like a fine watch because Eliot Hearst will again be the director. My predecessor, Rhys W. Hays, USCF vicepresident for college chess, will also help to lubricate the event. College chess players, here is your chance to compete for an important national title-one of you will be the new United States Intercollegiate Champion!

College Park, Maryland was the scene of a 2-2 draw between the University of Virginia and the University of Maryland on October 29.


Leigh Ribble, president of the Southern Intercollegiate Chess Association, reports that the southern tournament will be held at the University of Virginia this spring. This is a team event open to all collegiate chess clubs in the South. Any club interested should write to: W. Leigh Ribble, Jr., Box 798, Emmet House P.O., Charlottesville, Virginia.

Congratulations to Howard Whitlock, of the University of Maryland, Junior Championship.

Lieutenant John T. Hamilton of the United States Air Force is now playing for Oxford University. As many of you remember, he held down first board for West Point last year. He reports that competiion in England is considerably rougher than in "The States."
New officers have been elected at Bethany College. They are: Harry Marinoe, president; Dominic Sorranno, secretary; and Bruce Bear, treasurer. As college champs in West Virginia, they would like to hear from other clubs interested in matches. Contact: Dominic Soranno, Box 433, Bethany College, Bethany, West Virginia.
(Please turn to page 7, col. 3)
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## Co-Champions?

> Strange all this difference should be ${ }^{T} T$ vixt $T$ weedledrm and $T$ weedledec.

JOHN BYROM-On the Feuds between Handel and Brononcini

RECENTLY several correspondents have asked the question: What is the matter with having Co-Champions? It is a question that former U. S. Champion Larry Evans has also asked upon several occasions.

In our obinion there is no objection to Co-Championships. But there are several practical difficulties in the acceptance of the idea for a week-end Swiss. When a State holds a week-end event for the State title, there is a human urge to have a definite decision in favor of one player for the titleholder. Since such week-end Swiss events do not usually provide sufficient time for a play-off match between those players tied for first, we have adopted the expedient of various tie-breaking systems. These systems are admittedly not perfect, but on the whole in varying degree according to the care with which they have been devised they serve the purpose for which they were intended, namely: to proclaim a single player as champion as equitably as possible.

But there is no law that says a tie-breaking system must be applied in any State or City tournament; each organization has the right to proclaim co-champions if it prefers. Of course, some-
 times the co-championship can be spread rather thin where no tie-breaking system is used-West Virginia has five Co-Champions-but this is rare.

In round-robin events, it is not uncommon to have co-champions where those players tied for first place decide against a play-off match. We have two Co-Champions holding the U. S. Women's title. In U. S. Open events Reshevksy and Fine shared the title in 1934, Kashdan and Horowitz in 1938, Steiner and Yanofsky in 1942. Even the U. S. Championship tournament of 1942 ended in a first-place tie between Kashdan and Reshevsky, and they would have been co-champions had they not agreed to a play-off match which Reshevsky won.
Thus tradition places no ban on the idea of Co-Championships; it is merely a subject upon which each organization must make its own decision according to the wishes and views of the majority of its membership. Where a play-off is feasible in a first-place tie, there should always be a play-off match. Where conditions prevent the planning of a play-off match, it is equally acceptable to have co-champions or a decision on tic-breaking points as the majority desire.

The only question unsolved on Co-Championships is who shall have custody of any traveling trophy. This can be resolved by agreement between the co-champions to share custody. And definitcly, as USCF tournament rules now provide, cash prizes should be shared equally among those with equal seores-tie-breaking points may determine titles; they should never govern division of cash prizes.

## HERMAN STEINER

UNEXPECTEDLY after playing a tournament game in the California State Championship, Herman Steiner died of a heart attack on Friday, November 25, 1955 at Los Angeles. The Tournament was immediately cancelled in honor of his memory.

Born in Hungary, Steiner came to the USA at an early age and his chess career is identified with the United States of which he was national champion from 1948 to 1951. His tournament honors were many. He was first in the Premier Reserves at Hastings in 1929, New York State Champion 1929-30, shared the U. S. Open Championship with D. A. Yanofsky in 1942, won first place in Section A of the London International Master Tournament in 1946 and in the same year became U. S. Open Champion. He was a member of the U. S. team in the International Team Matches at The Hague, Hamburg, and Prague, and captained the U. S. team at Dubrovnik; he also played on the U. S. team that journeyed to Moscow last year as well as the team that visited Moscow in 1946. He
USCF Membership Dues, including subscription to Chess Life, semi-annual publi-
cation of national chess rating, and all other privileges: cation of national chess rating, and all other privileges:
ONE YEAR: $\$ 5.00$ TWO YEARS: $\$ 9.50$ THREE YEARS:
A new memberin THE YEARS: $\$ 9.50$, THREE YEARS: $\$ 13.50$ LIFE: $\$ 100.00$ end of the period for which dues are paid. Family Dues for two expires at the bers of one family living at same address. including onlv one subscription to Chess Life, are at regular rates (see above) for first membership, at the followIng rates for each additional mernbership; One ycar $\$ 2.50$; two vears $\$ 4.75$; three
years $\$ 6.75$, Subscription rate of Chess years $\$ 6.75$. Subscription rate of Chess Life to non-members is $\$ 3.00$ per year.
Single coples 15 c each,
also competed in many other international events in Europe, including the Interzonal Tourney at Saltsjobaden.

But brilliant as he was as a player (and no one could be more brilliant upon occasion than Herman Steiner), it is not as a player but as an organizer, teacher and promoter of chess that he should be remembered. The two great Pan-American Tournaments in Hollywood were fitting tribute to his organizing genius, and his authoritative chess column in the Los Angeles Times speaks for his ability as a publicist of chess. The testimony of his gift as a teacher of the game lives on in such players as James Cross, Larry Remlinger, and Mrs. Jacqueline Piatigorsky, to name but three gifted pupils. Excelling as a simultaneous exhibitor, in his many trips across the country, Steiner did as much as any man (and more than most) to make chess popular and attractive to the public, for he was both showman and master. Not the least of his debtors is the U. S. Chess Federation to whose benefit he diverted many hours of his time in promotion, advice and assistance, both while a USCF VicePresident and while only a member.

His untimely passing at the early age of 50 is a grievous blow to chess, for few could match his own exuberant optimism which by its breezy fervor often accomplished what originally had seemed impossible.

We know that all chess players in America (and many in lands beyond the seas) will join us in expressing our grief and our most sincere
sympathy to his widow, Selma Steiner, and his two sons, Eugene and Armin. Their loss is greater than ours, but ours has not been less grievous.

We will miss you, Herman.

## The Reader's Road Jo Chess <br> By Kester Svendsen

FRANK J. MARSHALL-J. R. CAPABLANCA MATCH 1909. By Imre Konig. The California Chess Reporter Supplement No. 3. Guthrie McClain, 244 Kearny Street, San Francisco 8, Calif. 31 pp., electrooffset. \$1.

THE twenty-three games of this bombshell match are at last commonly available, thanks to Master Konig and the California Chess Reporter. This was the real beginning of Capablanca's career, and his one-sided victory (8-1, with 14 draws) admitted him to his first European tourna-ment-which he won. Marshall was not temperamentally suited to match play (though he had the Indian sign on Janowski), but the defeat by the twenty-year-old Cuban was expected by no one. Konig provides a history of the match, its terms, annotations of the games, and general commentary on the styles of play, the signficance of Capablanca's triumph, and match and tournament records of both players up to 1909. The electro-type offset makes a very readable little book.

HOW TO BE A WINNER AT CHESS. By Fred Reinfeld. New York: Hanover House. 189 pp., 114 diags. $\$ 2.75$.

REINFELD has published at least three books since this one appeared last November, but it is well worth attention for its timeless theme. Each occasion that one thinks Reinfeld's last book has now exhausted the ways of teaching chess to average players (or even beginners), up comes another ingenious presentation. Here the author preserves the light touch (first chapter: "How to End It All") and yet skimps nothing. Eleven generous chapters simplify the fundamentals with new anglesfour through six deal with "the three strongest moves": checks, capturing threats, pawn promotion. Chapter nine reduces the endgame to (1) knowing the elementary mates, (2) activating the king, (3) pushing passed pawns, (4) posting rooks on the seventh rank, and swapping down when ahead. That's not all there is to it, of course, but anyone who keeps those principles in mind and applies them sedulously will not be a beginner long. Diagrams are frequent, situations are clear cut, positions are typical, instruction is easy, informal, and interesting. Chessers concerned for domestic harmony, which means (naturally) teaching their wives to play, should note the dedication: "For My Wife, who asked for a chess book that she could read."

## U. S. INTERCOLLEGIATE INDIVIDUAL CHAMPIONSHIP

## Under auspices of United States Chess Federation, Intercollegiate Chess League of America, and Columbia University

Ist Prize: U. S. Intercollegiate Champion has custody of H . Arthur Nabel Trophy, $\$ 100$ USCF Life Membership, $\$ 25$ Windsor Castle Chess set.
2nd Prize: $\$ 13.50$ 3-Year USCF mem2nd Prize: $\$ 13.50$ 3-Year USCF mem-
bership, $\$ 20$ Windsor Castle Chess set. bership, $\$ 20$ Windsor Castle Chess set.
3rd Prize: $\$ 9.50$ 2-Year USCF member3rd Prize: $\$ 9.50$ 2-Year USCF membership, $\$ 10.50$ Windsor Castle Chess set. 4th Prize: \$5 1-Year USCF membership, $\$ 10.50$ Windsor Castle Chess set.
5th Prize: $\$ 51-$ Year USCF membership, $\$ 7.50$ copy of Fine's "Practical Chess Openings" or "Middle Game in Chess."

Tournament Details and Registration.)
CHANGE OF ADDRESS: Four weeks' notice required. When ordering change olease furnish an address stencil impression from recent issue or exact reprofuction, including numbers and dates on top line.
Send membership dues (or subscriptions) and changes of address to KENNETH
HARKNESS, Business Manager, 81 Bedford Street, New York 14, N. Y.
 Make all checks payable to: THE UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION

# larry evans on openings 

By International Master LARRY EV ANS

U. S. OPEN CHAMPION, 1954

## 30 Years Ago

HAVE insomnia. Whenever that happens I either write an article or rummage through my collection and select an old tournament book at random. The other night it happened to be Moscow, 1925. Bogoljubow won it with $151 / 2$ points, followed (not so closely) by Lasker (14), Capablanca ( $13^{1 / 2}$ ), Marshall ( $12^{1 / 2}$ ), Torre and Tartakower (12). Some of the also-rans include such names as Dus-Chotimirsky, Loevenfisch, Spielmann, Subarev, Saemisch, Gotthilf, Romanovsky, Gruenfeld, Yates, Rubinstein, Rabinovitch, Iljin Genevsky (shall I stop? No-), Bogatyrchuk, Verlinsky, and Reti.

Most of the games are not sharp or energetic by present standards. Some of the openings are stuffy. Yet every now and then comes the pleasant shock of recognition, as so often happens in replaying old master games. An opening startles me. "Whatever happened to this?" I ask myself, racing to MCO in vain.

If you will take my hand and walk back with me 30 years perhaps you will be astonished at how "modern" many of the openings seem. "The more things change the more they stay the same." Most of the Russian innovations go back at least a generation for their genesis.

So let's turn the pages of "Das Internationale Schachturnier, Moskau, 1925, Bearbeitet von E. Bogoljubow."

No particular order

## SLAV DEFENSE

Capablanca-Lasker: 1. P-Q4, PQ4; 2. P-QB4, P-QB3; 3. N-QB3, NKB3; Now STOP!


Position after 3. ........, N-KB3
DON'T PLAY THAT AUTOMATIC 4. N-B3! Suppose you don't want to walk into a prepared variation after 4. ........, PxP; 5. P-QR4, B-B4;? Suppose you don't feel comfortable on the White side of the normal Slav? Well, then, play- 4. P-K3, and now Black plays a Meran whether he likes it or not. Unless, of course, he happens to be Lasker, who prefers a queen's Gambit Accepted. 4. $\qquad$ P-K3; 5. N-B3, QNQ2; 6. B-Q3, PxP; Voila! Black has lost a move by playing P-B3 and P-B4, but so has White by playing $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ and then 7. BxBP.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

Marshall-Verlinsky: 1. P-K4, PQB4; 2. P-QN4, PxP; 3. P-QR3. Now STOP!
(See diagram top next column)
okay. So you're convinced that 3. least look at 3. $\qquad$ P-K4;
$\qquad$ sk; even hough Black didn't get such a hot game. Maybe if you tinker a bit you can find an improvement for him: 4. PxP, BxP; 5. P-QB3, B-B4 (Oops! This doesn't look right. Maybe B-K2 would hold up instead); 6. N-B3, N-QB3; 7. B-B4, P-Q3; 8. P-Q4, PxP; 9. PxP, B-N3 (because it says right here in the notes that

after ........, B-N5 ch; 10. K-N1 White is Angriff); 10. O-O, B-N5; 11. QN3, BxN? (......., B-R4); 12. BxP ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; ~ 13 . \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{RxB} ; 1$ 14. QxB ch , Q-B3; 15. Q-R5, NxP (15. BxP; 16. R-R3); 16. B-R3!, R-K1; 17. N-B3. Cute, eh? I should have stopped the quoted long ago. But this is fun!

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
This game is the prototype of the Saemisch build-up which is so much in vogue today. I don't vouch for Yates' order of moves (I never knew he was a hypermodern!) but somehow they get there:

Saemisch-Yates: 1. P-Q4, N-KB3; 2. P-QB4, P-KN3; 3. N-QB3, B-N2; 4. B-N5, P-Q3; 5. N-B3, N-B3 (YEOW!!); 6. P-Q5, N-QN1; 7. P-K4, QN-Q2; 8. N-Q4, N-B4; 9. P-B3, PQR4; 10. Q-Q2, O-O; 11. B-K2, P-K4; 12. N-B2, K-R1; 13. P-KN4 (! says Herr Bogoljubow), B-Q2; 14. P-KR4; and White has the ideal attacking formation in this variation. See for yourself.
(See diagram top next column)

## DUTCH DEFENSE

This is a strange Benoni, where Black gets his Pawn to KB4 because he has not developed his Knight first to KB3. However he loses a move by P-K3 and P-K4 instead of pushing it to K4 immediately. For those of you who like the Black formation, here is an idea to tinker with. (With which to tinker?)


Position after 14. P-KR4 Gotthilf-Romanovsky: 1. P-Q4, PK3; 2. P-QB4, P-KB4; 3. P-KN3, P-B4;


Position affer 3 ........, P-QB4
P-K4; 5. N-QB3, P-Q3; 6. B-N2, NKB3; etcetera. (Whatsa matta? din't ya tink I could spell it out?)

## THE THING

You name it! It looks like an Orang-Outang reversed. I remember seeing it in Schakmainy under a discussion of "new ideas."

Torre-Dus-Chotimirsky: 1. P-Q4, N-KB3; 2. N-KB3, P-QN4;


## Poistion after 2. ........, P-QN4

Undaunted, Torre continues to play on with 3. B-B4. "I just want to develop my pieces" I can hear him saying. 3. ......., B-N2; 4. QN-Q2, P. K3; 5. P-K3, P-QR3; 6. B-Q3, P-B4; 7. P-B3, N-B3; 8. Q-K2, B-K2; My only comment is I didn't think that White intended to play a Colle Formation at the beginning of this game. However that's an interesting idea, developing the QB early
(Please furn to page 7, col. 1)

# Women's <br> Chess Life <br> By Willa White Owens 

Address news items and inquiries on Women's Chess to Mrs. Willa White Owens, 124 South Point Drive, Avon Lake, Ohio.

MISS Lillian G. Morrissey of New Rochelle, New York, is co-champion with Mr. Edward C. McGinniss of the Bell System Postal Chess Tournament. This tournament, now entering its tenth year and ninth round, has 800 players on its roster. Miss Morrissey had 5 wins and 2 draws in the final section of the seventh round, and Mr. McGinniss had 6 wins and 1 loss to share the title with her. Last year, on completion of the sixth round, Miss Morrissey was sole winner and held the first championship title awarded by the Bell System Postal Chess Tournament.
Though there are only 48 women playing in the sixth and seventh rounds of the
 ment the ladies are doing all right. The well-edited quarterly bulletin Tournament Notes had the following item on the front page before completion of the seventh round:
"Since Lil-
lian G. Morrissey demonstrated convincingly that women can win from men at chess other female winners have become more numerous. In this round we have seven female section winners: Mrs. Florence S . Power, Mrs. Alice M. Wharton, Miss Frances R. Campbell, Miss Do lores O'Brien (now Mrs. Link), Miss Doris M. Lee, Miss Lillian G. Morrissey, and Miss Stella Lawrence."
Mr. Robert Bruce of Fair Haven, New Jersey, to whom I am indebted for the information on Miss Morrissey's triumph, gives me the following interesting anecdote:
"Her slightly older brother is also quite a good chess player (winner of the Reserves section in the Massachusetts State Open contests a year ago.) When they were in high school together he was a member of the high school chess club and little sister 'wanted in.' The boys in the club took a dim view of having a mere girl in the club. However, she wangled her way in and proceeded at once to trounce all the boys."

I take a dim view of girls and women having to "wangle" their way into chess clubs, but with more trail-blazers like Miss Morrissey, the necessity of "wrangling" may lessen.

Miss Morrissey has never played in any over-the-board tournaments, but her admirers are gently nudging her in that direction. She may appear as a bright new star in some future national tournament.
(Please turn to page 8, col. 1)

# GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS 

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS, Marshall Chess Club Champion, 1954

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best ganes for this department to JOHN W COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N.Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless othervise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## SAIDY ON THE HRMODKA

Anthony F. Saidy of Fordham University and the Marshall C. C. brings out the intricate nature of the modern Hrmodka System in his game with fifth prize winner, A. Turner.

## HRMODKA SYSTEM

## MCO: page 207, note g:D

U. S. Open Championship Long Beach, 1955
Notes by U. S. Expert A. F. Saidy White A. TUKNER A. F. SAIDY . P-Q4 $\mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{KB} 3{ }^{2}$ 2. P-QB4 P-B4 - This aggressive and intricate defense has surged to the fore in recent years. 3. P-QS

Only in this way can white strive to
hold the initiative which is hold the initiative which is his birthright. In the game Saldy-Reshevsky from a later round of the same tournament, White chose the comparatively passive 3. Kt-KB3, PxP; 4. KtxP, P-K3, and promptly plunged into hot water with 5. P-KKt3?, B-Kt5 ch.

This modern move is more challenging than the old 3. P-KKt3.

## 4. Kt -QB3

33 PXP
PXP 5. P The worthlessness of the superficially been demonstrated by the 6. QxKt has row-Sherwin, New Orleans 1954 Har-Donovan-Lombardy, Long Beach 1955 .
 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 7. B-Kt2 } & B-K+2 & \text { 10. P-QR4 QKt-Q2 }\end{array}$ All this is founded on well-known precedents, e.g., Euwe-Kotov, Zurich 1953. The dynamics of the position are as follows: Black intends a Q-side expansion, keynoted by P-QKt4 and fortified by the powerful KB. White relies on his advantage in space, the possible weakness of Black's backward QP, and certain subtle preventive measures on the $Q$ side. Present theory rather favors White. The accepted move is: 11 . Kt-Q2 (Also recommended earlier), which is not wison," e.g., 11. Kt-Q2, R-Kt1; 12, of B4s Kt-Kt3?; 13. KtxP! (13. ......., QxKt; 14. B-B4).

Premature. The QKtP is weakened and the B only becomes a target.
11. ....... Q-B2

Setting his sights on the flank. How ever, there are definite arguments for 11. P. K..., Q-K2.

Totally out of place in this system, in which there is absolutely no hope fon the corollary P-KB4-K5. Most important, the squares Q3 and Q4 are vitally weakened. However, after the consist ent continuation 12. Kt-Q2, Black makes clear with 12 ........, $\mathrm{Kt} t \mathrm{R} 4 ; 13 . \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, KtK4; that he has already usurped the initiative.
12.

Very strange. White's Q3 now becomes menaced.
13. KT-Q2 Kt/5-K4 14. Q-B2

White's malaise is apparent.
White foregoes the "stock", preventive measure 15. P-R5, P-QKt4; 16. PXP e.p.

## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on every move, and give you: a thorough postgame analysis. Fee $\$ 10$.

Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of your yames for a fee of $\$ 5$.

OOP55 Liter Monday, $\quad$ Page 6
because then the QKtP is weak and vul nerable. But now the Black pawn-roller

## sweeps forward. <br> $\begin{array}{lrr}\text { 15. } & \text { P-QKi4 } & \text { 17. B-B1 } \\ \text { 16. } P \times P & P \times P & \end{array}$

Necessary to defend Q3, but a new anxiety is born in the phantom of ........, KtB6 ch.
17. ....... P-B5 18. B-K3 Kt-B4

Feasible and inconclusive was 18. ........, Kt-Kt5; 19. B-B4, B-Q5; 20. Kt-QI, Q-Kt3; 21. Kt-KB3, B-B4; 22. P-R3, ete.
19. P-R3

Distress has succeeded discomfort. White must merely mark time while the vice tightens.
Kealizing that White is trussed up in virtual sugswang and foresecing no concrete decision in the immediate ramcrete decision in the immediate rampage of the KtP, Black elects a quiet
move which connects the Rooks and in move which connects the Rooks and in some conting
......., P-Kt5.
20. K H -K2

Hoping perhaps to oppose B-Q4, which was prohibited by the reply ........, Kt(K). Q6.

## 20. ........ Kt/K-Q6

The wages of White's ill-starred twelfth

## move. <br> 21. KR-Kt1

An embarrassing necessity.
21. ........ P-B4!

Fresh fuel for the attack arrives from an unexpected direction. Black's complete dominance of the situation is shown by his ability abruptly to shift the scene of action to the other wing and force a decision there.
22. p-B3
22. PxP?, QBx $\widetilde{P}$ is clearly suicidal, and 22. PxP?, QBxP is clearly suicidal, and 22. B-Kt2 loses a pawn to $22 . \ldots . . . .$, Kt-
Kt5; 23. Q-Q1, KtxP (24. KtxKt, PxKt; Kt5; 23. Q-Q1, KtxP (24. KtxKt, PxKt;
25. BxP, QBxP). 25. BXP, QBxP).


Black's mobilization is complete and devastating.

## 24. B-K +2

24. Kt-QB3 is hopeless: 24. ........, P-Kt5; I) 24. KtxP?, PxKt; 26. KBxKt, KtxB 27. QxKt, B-Kt4; II) 25. Kt-Q1, B-Kt4!; 26. KBxKt (26. KtxP?, P-Kt6), KtxB, and, although

P-Kt6 is momentarily forestalled, there is no salvation for White; III) 25 . Kt-K2, KtxKtP; 26. RxKt, White; III 25 . Kt-K2, KtxKtP; 26. RxKt,
B-B6; 28. KtxP, PxKt; 29 . R×R; 27. QxB, P-B6; 28. KtxP, PxKt; 29. QxP, R-B1 seals White's fate, for if
30 . P-K5, then 30 . ....... PxP; 31. R-B1, 30. P-K
Kt-K3.
24. ....... $\quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5}$

Starting a combination which simplifies to a clear winning position.
25, Q-Q1 $K+x Q P$
$26 . ~ K+x P$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 25. Q-Q1 K†XQP } & \text { 26. K†XP }\end{array}$
Thus White manages temporarily to retain the pawn. But now the threads which have been holding his position begin to fray rapidly.
26. Ki.... KłxB 28. Kt-Q5 ....... Qr 28. Kt-B3, BxKt; 29. PxB, KtxP. 28, ....... $\quad$ Q-B2 $\quad$ 29. $\mathrm{Kt} / \mathbf{2 - B 3}$
White loses a piece after 29. Q-KB1, BxKt; 30. PxB, Q-K2; 31. Kt-B4, P-Kt4, Nothing helps. The rest of the game, a
mere mopping up exerciso, requires no comment.
29. .......
30. K-R2
31. $Q-K+4$
32. Q-R4

Q-B7c
B-K
B-Q
R-B6
33. K-R1 R×P
34. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K7} \mathrm{ch}$ RxKt
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { 35. R-R8ch } & \text { R-K1 } \\ \text { 36. R×Rch } & \text { BXR }\end{array}$
.

## RADIO CHESS

Whether in person, by mail, by telegraph, one way or another, chess devotees contrive to get together. This one was by radio.

SICILIAN DEFENSE

MCO: page 266 , column 7 (d) Baton Rouge vs. Natchez Radio Match, Lovisiana, 1954

## White

F. PARHAM, JR, W. F. GLADNEY (Natchez)
and J. GWIN
(Baton Rouge)

1. P-K4
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 2. } & \text { P-Q4 } \\ \text { 3. } & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB}\end{array}$
Whate heads back to orthodoxy. The Morra Gambit, 3 P-QB3, offers interesting, unplumbed, possibilities.
Back on the track. If 3. ......., P-K4? 4. P-B3, PxP; 5. QKtxP, Kt-QB3; 6. B-QB4, and White has good play-a variation of the Morra.
$\begin{array}{llrllr}\text { 4. } & \text { KtxP } & \text { Kt-B3 } & \text { 8. } & \text { B-K3 } & 0-0 \\ \text { 5. } & \text { KtQB3 } & \text { P-Q3 } & \text { 9. } & \text { Kt-K+3 } & \text { B-K3 } \\ \text { 6. } & \text { B-K2 } & \text { P-KKt3 } & \text { 10. } & \text { P-B4 } & \text { Q-Q2? }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llr}\text { 6. } & \text { B-K2 } & \text { P-KKł3 } \\ \text { 7. } & \text { O-O } & \text { B-Kł2 }\end{array}$
As contrasted to 10 ........, $Q-B 1$ !, the wholly sound Reti-Tartakover Variation, this crowds the QB, takes away Q2 from the KKt and substitutes Queen for preferable Rook action on the $Q$-file. preferable Rook
2. B-B3?
White ignores the threat. Correct are 11. P-KR3 and 11. Q-K1.
3. B-B1

A serious loss of time. Better is 12 . BxKt, B×B; 13. Q-K1.
12. P-B4!

Opening the KB-file is the quickest way to capitalize on the lead in development. Not 12. ........, BxKt? 13. BxKt! (if 13. RPxKt? B-Q5 ch; 14. K-R1, Kt-B7 ch; wins) B-K3; 14. BxB, and White has evened matters.

Kt-R3
13. P-KR3

Preferable is 14. B-K3, P×P; 15. BxKP,
Kt-B4; 16. B-B2.
14. BxP PxP

Threatening to obtain the Two Bishop game with 16 . ........, Kt-Kt6; 17. R-K1, game
KtxB.
16. K-R2

K-R1
K most logical is 16
A bit slow. The most logical is 16. .......,
R-B2; and 17. ......., QR-KB1; increasing R-B2; and 17. ......., QR-KB1;
the pressure on the KB-file.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 17. P-B3 } & \text { QR-B1 } \\
\text { 18. Q-K1 } & R-K B 2 \\
\text { 19. } P-K+4 &
\end{array}
$$

Aggressive but weakening. More prudent is 19. B-Q2, 20. Q-K2, and 21. QR-K1. 19. ....... Kt-R3 21. R-Q1 Q-B1 20, B-K3 QR-BT 22. Q-R4 Kt-K4!?


Despite the fact it is clever, and it works in the game, this combination is unsound. Correct is 22, ,......, K-Kt1. 23. PXK+?

This loses: and if 23. KtxP?? RxKt! 24 . QxR, Kt/4xP ch; 25. PxKt, KtxP ch; wins. 23. R-KKt1, is a safe move. Bu the move which refutes the sacrifice is 23. P-Kt5!. For example, 23. ......., Kt/3Kt5 ch; 24. PxKt. KtxP ch; 25. K-Kt3, Kxt-Kt1; 24. PxKt, R×R; 25. R×R, R×R; 26. BxKtP! BxP ch; 27. B-B4! BxB ch; 28. KtxB, K-Kt2; 29. QxP ch, K-B1; 30 . Kt-R5! and wins. Or 23 . ......., Kt-B4; 24. Bxukt, Bxik BxP ch. 26 K-Kt1, Ktxp wins. All quite delicate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 23. ....... } \\
& \text { BxKK, } \mathrm{BxP} \text { ch; wins. }
\end{aligned}
$$

24. 25. BXK t

If 25. K-Kt2, Q-B1; wins.
25. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K}+2$ BXP ch

If 26. B-B4, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch; 27. K-Kt2, $Q$-R1 wins. 26. .......
Threatening 27. $\begin{gathered}\text { Q-B5 } \\ \text { Q. }\end{gathered}$

Q-B ch; and 27. …...., BxKt. Perhaps White did not see this move when he played 23. PxKt. Turn off the radio! $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 27. Kt-B1 RXKt! } & \text { 30. Q-B2 } & \text { Q-R8ch }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 28. BxR } & \text { QxBch } & \text { 31. Q-K2 } \\ \text { 28. }\end{array}$ 29. K-B1
If $31 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{QxB} ;$
2 wins.
31. ....... $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+7 \mathrm{ch}$

If 31. K-B2, B-Kt6 ch; wins the Queen.

## RETI OPENING

MCO: page 216, column 18
Marshall c.c. vs. Capablanca C.c. New York, 1955

## Notes by U. S. Master

Anthony E. Santasiere
White
A. E. SANTASIERE
(Marshall c.c.)

1. Kt-KB3 P-Q4
2. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK+3} \mathrm{Kt}$ P.KB3 $\quad$ 5. P-K+3 $\quad$ C. 2. B-K+2 $\mathrm{Kt-KB3}$ 6. B-K+2 QKt-Q2
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 3. } & \text { B-K K+2 } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { 7. } & \text { P-B4 } & \text { P-QKł3 } \\ \text { 4. } & 0-0 & \text { B-K2 } & \text { 8. } & \text { P } \times P & \ldots . . .\end{array}$
In order to make KB5 available for the following original Kt move.
$8 . \quad$ PXP $\quad$ 9. $\quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}$
Threatening invasion at both QB 6 and KB5-a problem which Black solves best with his retreat of the Kt.
3. ....... Kt-Kt1 11. P-K3

After long thought white resorted to this conservative move which shuts the this conservative move whing a retreat file to the Rook, providing after ........
for the Kt. 11. Kt-B6 fails after for the Kt. 11 . Kt.B6
KtxKt; 12. QxKt, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$.

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 11. } & \text { Kt-QB3 } & \text { B-B1 } & \text { 15. } \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{B4} 4 \\ \text { 16. } \mathrm{KR} 4 & \mathrm{Kt} \text {-QR4 } & \text { R-B1 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 13. } \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{K2} & \mathrm{Kt} \text {-B3 } & \text { 17. } \mathrm{QKt}+\mathrm{K} 2\end{array}$
14. P-QR3 B-Kł2

White's last three moves' were prophylactic, designed to frustrate any enemy pawn advance to Q5 or B5.
17. ........ Kt -K5

Loss of time and a confession of impotence with regard to his QP which can neither advance nor rest content in any security. If 17. ........, P.Q5; 18. PxP, P×P; 19. Q-Q3, winning a pawn. 18. P-Q3 $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ 19. $\mathrm{BXKt} \quad \mathrm{PxB}$ Forced if he does not wish to lose his QP. But now he has a fatal weakness in QP. But now he has a fatal weakness
his P-structure which White exploits.
his P-structure which White ex
$\begin{array}{lll}20 . \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 5 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 4 & 22 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q1}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 20. } \mathrm{Kt} \text {-R5 } & \text { R-K4 } & \text { 22. Q-Q1 } \\ \text { 21. } \mathrm{Kt} / 2-\mathrm{B4} & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3 & \end{array}$
21. $\mathrm{Kt} / 2-\mathrm{B4}$
Threatening Q-Kt4 Ch with an eye to the $K$ and $R$.
 Played sharply but dangerously, for the Q's moves are limited.
25. ....... Kt-K2 26. Kt-R3
(See diagram top next column)
The game's climax, for an attack on the White $Q$ seems to win a piece for Black. However, White intends the winning reply of KtxR.
26. Q-B4 R-K+3 28. Q-B3

Because of the forking threat (N-B4). Note that (strategically) the Black B has been driven from the aggressive K4 post to the innocuous one at R3.

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 29. } \mathrm{Kt} / \mathrm{3}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \mathrm{QQ} & \text { 31. PxP }\end{array}$
30. P-Q4 P-B5 32, R-K+3

White views with distaste the loss of his QRP in view of Black's two passed pawns on the $Q$-side.
To enlarge the scope of his KR,
33. Kt-Q3 B-B1

In time pressure he overlooks the strength of White's reply. P-B3 was necessary.
34. Kt-K5 B-K3 35. KtxR

Though White wins the exchange, the problem of Black's passed $Q$-side pawns is a serious one.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 35. ...... } & \text { PxKt } \\ \text { 36. } & \text { R-B3 } \\ \text { P-Kt4 }\end{array}$
Not P-R4 because Q -R8 wins 38. Q-R8 $\quad$ K-K+2 42. P-QR4 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 38. Q-R8 } & \text { K-K+2 } & \text { 42. P-QR4 Adi. } \\ \text { 39. B-K } 77 & \mathrm{P} \text { P4 } & \text { a }\end{array}$ 40. QxP B-Q2 A. Pomar
41. Q-R8 Kt -B3

Breaking up the dangerous Black $P$. structure, for if ........, Q-Kt5; 43. Kt-Q5. With dissolution of the connected pawns, the white road to victory is clear.

## DECISIVE GAME

The following game decided the outcome of the tournament. It is an uncharted devilaion from a variation recently advocated by Euwe.

## NIMZOINDIAN DEFENSE <br> MCO: page 103, column 11 Cape Fear Championship Wilmington, 1955

Notes by Norman M. Hornstein, M.D. White

## 7. B-Q6!?

. P-QR3 BXKtch
Black could have played 8. ........, BxBP offsetting White's powerful $Q$-side pawns by a slight developmental lead. In the text, obviously 9. PxB is followed by the powerful Q-R4
9. ....... Kt-K5!
11. P.Kt4 KtxKtP 10. Q-Q4 Q-R4ch

Black initiates a King Hunt sacrifice, hoping to emulate the great Spielmann. 12. P×Kt QxPch 13. K-Q1 Kt-B6ch Black can now play 13. ........, KtxKBP ch instead. Acceptance of the second Kt sacrifice leads to draw by/ perpetual check. Refusal leads to a maintenance of Black's positional advantage plus temporary value of the exchange. However, Black is excessively sanguinated and charges on with a snub for the draw

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. K-B2 | Kt-K+4 | 22. Q-QB3 | KR-QB1 |
| 15. Q-Q3 | $\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{xB}$ | 23. P-K3 | P-B3 |
| 16. PxKt | P-QK14? | 24. Kt-Q3 | QXQP |
| 17. R-K+1 | Q-R4 | 25. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+2$ | Q-B3 |
| 18. RXP | Q-B3 | 26. P-B3 | P-Q4 |
| 19. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | B-R3 | 27. B-Q3 | Q-Q3 |
| 20. Kt-Ks | Q-BI | 28. P-K+3 | R-B2 |
| 21. R-Kt4 | Q-B4 | 29. R-QK+1 |  |



White, as often happens after a Spielmann sacrifice, is under heavy time pressure. If 29. R-QB1, QR-QB1; 30. KKt1, White saves the piece. However, his King is still subject to many threats. $\begin{array}{lccr}\text { 29. } & \text { QR-QB1 } & \text { 32. BxB } & \text { Q-Q4 } \\ \text { 30. } \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K}+3 & \text { PxP } & \text { 33. R-Q1 } & \text { R×Bch }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 31. } \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P} & \text { PxKP } & \text { 34. R-Q } & \text { R×R } \\ \text { 34. } & \text { R×Rch }\end{array}$ Black avoids the exchange of Queens as his opponent will have excellent drawing chances in spite of the passed pawn with a Rook apiece.
35. K-K+2 Q-K4ch 36. K-Kt

R-B6 was much better.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 37. R-Q8ch K-B2 } & \text { 39. R-K†7 R-R4 }\end{array}$ 38. R-K7ch K-K1? 40. K-B2 Q-KB4ch Hoping for P-K4.
41. K-Kt2 Q-K4ch 43. P-R4? Q-R4ch $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 42. K-B2 } & \text { P-QR3 } & \text { 44. K-K†2 } & \text { R-K14 }\end{array}$ Forcing the exchange of the major pieces. Black now has an easy win and White resigned eight moves later.

## CORRECTION

Names of players were reversed inadvertently in the game Hurt-Crawford, advertently in the game Hurt-Crawford,
in CFESS LIFE, issue of October 20 , in CFIESS LIFE, issue of October 20,
1955. Mr. H.urt had the Black pieces and 1955. Mr. Hurt
was the victor.

## EVANS ON OPENINGS

(Continùed from page 5, col. 3)
to KB4. Remember to make a note of it!

By the way
. . . Torre is still alive . . . Somewhere in Mexico . Forbidden to play chess

Wanna see a cute combination? What dat you say? Eh? Has nuttin to do widt da openings. Whatsa want fuh ya dough, chump?
(See diagram top next column)

1. N-B6!!

Q-B1
(Or 1. ......., PxN; 2. QxP, B-R2; 3. Q-R8 ch, B-N1; 4. QxP mate. I can see that even though I'm drowsy.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lll}
\text { 2. } & \mathrm{R}-K 8 \mathrm{ch} & \mathrm{RXR} \\
\text { 3. } & \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{ch} & \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}
\end{array} \\
& \text { 4. } \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{Ch} \quad \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R} \\
& \text { 5. } Q-R 8 \mathrm{ch} \text { and wins. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Tune in next month and we'll wander back 50 years. Maybe then we'll know what innovations are to


TORRE
White to Play and Win
(Of course Torre had no one to tell bim that.)
appear in the next international tournament. Yeeow! (Larry, put your hand over your mouth!)

I'm so tired.

# Mate The Subtle Way! 

by Nicholas Gabor


#### Abstract

All communications concerning this problem-column, including solutions as well as original compositions for publication (two- and three-mover direct mates) from composers anywhere should be sent to Nicholas Gabor, Hotel Kemper Lane, Cincinnati 6, Ohio.




TODAY'S entries show some modernistic tendencies in the realm of two-mover composition. We hope our solvers and followers will find them refreshing. In Dr. Paros' No. 614 after solving the diagram, follow instructions by exchanging the B with the PB3 and you have a different solution, thematically interrelated to the first set-up. Both solutions are necessary to score. (4 points.)

The December 20th column will bring the third quarter of the Solvers' Ladder.

## Solutions- Wate the Sublle Way!

No. 599 (Beale): Key 1. B-B1, threat 2. Kt-Q2 mate. Moves of RB5 produce 3 appealing variations. After 1. ......, R/B5-B4 we have what may be the closest demonstration in a two-mover of the so-called "Plachutta Interference," a Rook interferring with another Rook. (Pieces of the same movement, in contrast to the Grimshaw interferences.)

No. 600 (Mansficld): Set: 1 $\qquad$ PxP ch!; 2. Kt-Q6 dble. ch. mat, and 1. P-B7 ch!; 2. Kt-Kt3 dbl. Ch. mate. Key 1. P-B7, threat of 2 Kt -B6 mate. All set mates change. After 1. ........, K-Q4; 2. PxQ(Q)! works. 1. R-B3 is defeated by 1. ........, Q-B2.

No. 601 (Berd): Key 1. Kt-B3, threat 2. R-Q5 mate
No. 602 (Hjelle): Tries: 1. PxP, Q-K1 or K2?. 1. P-K3, Q-R4? Key 1. P-K4, threat of 2. Q-Q2 mate.

COLLEGIATE CHESS
(Continued from page 3, col. 3)
The new Youngstown University Chess Club plans to affiliate with the USCF. Its president, William L. Tassian, intends to present a bid for university sponsorship to the student council.

Teams wishing to lock horns with the University of Buffalo in a radio, a postal, or an over-theboard match, may write to: Carl
M. Nepokroeff, 191 Brinkman Street, Buffalo 11, New York. Severals Bulls will play in the big Christmas tournament; will you?

Please be sure to use my new address in future correspondence. Mail addressed to the Nittany Postal Station may be delayed.
(hess Cife
Monday, Page 7
December 5, 1955

## Solution Jo

What' Tho Buat Mowe

## Position No. 171

Persitz vs. Z̄hidkov, 1st Category Tourn., Moscow, 1936.
Persitz continued weakly with 1. Q. K8 ch, K-N2; 2. Q-R5, QN-B3; 3. PxN ch, NxP; 4. B-K5, QxNP; and Black won. Some solvers tried 3. QxP ch, K-B2; (or 1. QxNP, QN-B3; reaching the same position in two moves less), 4. PxN, NxP; 5. B-K5??, overlooking N-K5 ch. A noble attempt to make something of 1. Q-K8 ch was the line 1. Q.KB ch, K-N2. 2. N-K6 ch, K-R3; 3. P-N4! but we find that after 3 . can draw by N-K. 5 ch; 5. K-K2, NxP ch; 6. K-Q2, N-K5 ch etc. The ingenious line 1. Q-K8 ch, K-N2; 2. Q-R5, QN-B3; 3. N-K8 ch, NxN; 4. QxNP ch, K-B2; 5. Q-R5 ch, K-N2; 6. QxN appears to fail against K-R3. But we commend M. D. Blumenthal and Abel R. Bomberault for the discovery of 1. Q-K8 ch, K-N2; 2 . $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 3 ; 3$. Q-B7!, QxNP; 4. Q. N7 ch, K-R4; 5. N-B4 ch, PxN; 6. QxQ. It is difricult to accept this as correct, since White still faces great problems, but it is the only attempt to establish 1. Q-K8 ch which appears to make much progress, and it is certainly worth $1 / 2$ a progre point
The correct solution is 1. P-K6 ch!, K-N3; 2. Q-K8 ch, K-R3; 3. PxN, N-B3; 4. B-B8 ch, RxB ; 5. QxR ch, K-R4; 6. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 5 ;$
$\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 5 ;$
$\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{B} 6 ; 10 . \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{R} 5$ R6 ch, K-N5; 9. P-R3 ch, K-B6; 10. Q-R5 $\mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{KxP} ;{ }^{*}$ 11. Q-K2 ch, K moves 12 . Qx Q ch, KxQ; 13. P-Q8(Q) and wins.
One solver proved that 1. N-Q6, KxN wins for White, but 1. N-K6, QxNP; 2. NxP ch, K-N3 seems to get White nowhere.
For the complete main line of the answer, plus other analysis, 2 points each are awarded to E. F. Schrader*, Irwin Sigmond, and William B. Wilson. $11 / 2$ points are awarded Abel R . Bomberault for a nearly complete 1. P-K6 ch solution, plus his 1. Q-K8 ch try (see above).
1 point each for 1. P-K6 ch with ade-
tuate but tuate but not complete analysis is given to Gaston Chappuis, Reo B. Hayes, Heino Kurruk, Max Milstein, Dr. Max Schlosser, and J. Weininger.
1 point also to Richard Hervert ${ }^{\text {e }}$, for correctly identifying source of the position as Soviet Chess, by Nicolai Grekov, where the entire game is given on pp. 116-7.
$1 / 2$ point each to 1. P-K6 ch solutions with less adequate supporting analysis, for Curtin, Dr. H. Gaba, Wallace Getz, Edmund Godbold, Ted Lee*, Max F. Mueller, N. Reider, W. E. Stevens, and William Winter. Also $1 / 2 \mathrm{pt}$, to M. D. Blumenthal for his 1. Q-K8 ch try (see above).
Position 171 broke a long string of
solvers' triumphs by solvers' triumphs by posting a convincing 24-16 victory. We will now relax with some easier positions for the Christmas scason.

* Welcome to new solvers.
(Note: There should have been a White parn on QNs and a Black pawn on Q4, in position 171. Fortuantely the errors did not affect the main lines of play.)


## WOMEN'S CHESS

(Continued from page 5, col. 4)
Here is a neat little quickie of Miss Morrissey's:

QUEEN'S GAMBIT
Round Six, Bell System Postal

| White | Bl |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LILLIAN | MORRI | EY | H. T. R | REEVE |
| 1. P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 12. | B-Q3 | P-QN4 |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 13. | P-K4 | P-N5 |
| 3. N-QB3 | N-KB3 | 14. | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 4. P-K3 | B-B4 |  | P-K5 | B-K2 |
| 5. $P \times P$ | PxP | 16. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | P-QR4 |
| 6. Q-N3 | B-B |  | P-B5 | B-R3 |
| 7. N-B3 | P-K3 | 18. | P-B6 | PxP |
| 8. N-K5 | B-Q3 | 19. | BxKRPch | h K-R |
| 9. B-N5ch | QN-Q2 | 20. | Q-KR3 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ |
| 10. O-O | 0.0 | 21. | Q-R6 | N-B3 |
| 11. P-B4 | P-QR3 | 22. | $\mathbf{R \times N} \quad \mathrm{R}$ | Resigns |


| J. L. Wininger | 60 | M. Schlosser |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Schwartz | 58 | K. Lay |
| II. Kurruk | 57 | H. Murray |
| I. Sigmond | 521/2 | R. Dickinson |
| W. Stvens | 521/2 | J. Byrd |
| N. Witting* | 51 | J. Coachman |
| E. Roman | 50 | H. Gaba |
| G. Payne | $471 / 2$ | R. Steinmeyer |
| F. Valvo | 451/2 | L. Wood |
| E. Nash*** | 44 | R. O'Neil |
| J. Baker | 421/2 | H. Hart |
| E. Korpanty** | 411/2 | A. Staklis |
| J. Kaufman | 401/2 | R. Hayes |
| G. Chase | 40 | L. Ware |
| D. Walsdorf | 37 | D. Hills |
| A. Bomberault | 361/2 | E. Gault** |
| E. Godbold | $361 / 2$ | J. Horning |
| D. Hamburger | 34 | R. Reithel |
| F. Trask | 34 | F. Ruys |
| K. Blumberg | 32 | V. Hultman |
| M. Blumenthal | 31 | T. Sullivan |
| C. Musgrove | 291/2 | N. Raymond |
| D. Silver | 291/2 | D. Ames |
| N. Reider | 28 | S. Einhorn |
| W. Wilson**** | $271 / 2$ | R. Hocker |
| H. Wilbur | 26 | E. Gans |
| F. Knuppel | 251/2 | C. Morgan |
| P. Smith | 251/2 | F. Armstrong |
| C. Diesen | 25 | I. Besen |
| Y. Oganesov | 241/2 | G. Chappuis |
| M. Mueller | 24 | D. Taylor |
| P. Sommer | 231/2 | G. Tiers |
| R. Pinson | 23 | W. Getz |
| M. Milstein | 211/2 | J. Murray |


| 211/2 J. Comstock*** 201/2 P. Maker |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | 201/2 R. Ne |
|  | 20 B. Winkelman |
|  | 18 M. Anderson |
| 171/2 I. Frank |  |
|  | $151 / 2 \mathrm{H}$. Lee |
|  | 14 A. Anthony |
|  | 131/2 W. Bogl |
|  | 13 W. Couture |
|  | $121 / 2 \mathrm{~F}$. Gole |
| $121 / 2 \mathrm{~F}$. Golec12 G. |  |
|  | $111 / 2$ P. Muto |
| $111 / 2 \mathrm{M}$. Cha |  |
| 11 J. Ishkan |  |
| 101/2 F. Kerr |  |
|  | 101/2 J. Garhart |
| $101 / 2 \mathrm{~T}$. |  |
| 101/2 E. Luksus |  |
|  | 10 F . Morningstar |
| 10 J . Olsen |  |
| $91 / 2$99 |  |
|  |  |
|  | $81 / 2 \mathrm{~F}$. Gross |
| $81 / 2$ D. Hatch |  |
| 81/2 C. Magerkurth |  |
| $81 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$. Miller |  |
|  | 8 R . Olson |
| 8 H. Roberts |  |
| 8 D. Bogdanoff |  |
| 8 S. Hartman |  |
|  |  |
| $71 / 2 \mathrm{~J}$. Fagan |  |
|  | $71 / 2 \mathrm{~J}$. Germain |


| 7 T. Harris | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 A. Hulmes | 3 |
| 7 A. Waters | 3 |
| 7 D. Wilkinson | 3 |
| $61 / 2 \mathrm{~W}$. Winter | 3 |
| 61/2 G. Gentry | 21/2 |
| $61 / 2$ L. Harvey | 21/2 |
| 6 F . Lynch | $21 / 2$ |
| 6 J . Williamson | 21/2 |
| 6 C. Bennett | 2 |
| 6 P . Coffino | 2 |
| 6 F . Crofut | 2 |
| 6 R . Kime | 2 |
| 51/2 A. MacGilvary | 2 |
| $51 / 2 \mathrm{R}$. Smith | 2 |
| $51 / 2$ C. Thomas | 2 |
| 5 A. Valueff | 2 |
| 5 L . Anhaiser | $11 / 2$ |
| 5 M . Yosso | $11 / 2$ |
| 41/2 W. Batchelder | 1 |
| 41/2 Curtin | 1 |
| 4 J. Dick | 1 |
| 4 B. Dudley | 1 |
| 4 J. Falato | 1 |
| 4 L. Kilmer | 1 |
| 4 J. Martin | 1 |
| 4 A. Reiter | 1 |
| 4 M . Sweig | 1 |
| 4 H . Wiernik | 1 |
| $31 / 2 \mathrm{~K}$. Blake | $1 / 2$ |
| $31 / 2 \mathrm{~J}$. Campbell | 1/2 |
| 3 R . Clark | $1 / 2$ |
| 3 D. Horvath | $1 / 2$ |
| 3 M. Seidelman | $1 / 2$ |
| R. Strasburger | $1 / 2$ |

* Each asterisk equals one previous ladder win. Inactive Solvers please note; Only those who submitted a solution to one of (165-170), or who have at least 24 points, are included here, but other scores are retained in our records and you may resume where you left off at you may
any time.
The Quarterly Ladder Prize is won by J. L. Weininger; our hearty congratulaJ. L. Weininger; our hearty congratula-
tions are his for the successful completions are his for the success
tion of the perilous ascent.


## Journament Life

Send to CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, III. for application form for announcing tournament in this column.

Unless otherwise specified, all tournaments announced in this column are $100 \%$ USCF rated. Rating fees, if any, are included in specified entry fee; no additional rating fee for non-members USCF.

December 30-January 1
Tennessee Open Championship Knoxville, Tenn.
Open; at YMCA; 6 rd Swiss, time limit 40 moves 2 hrs ; entry fee $\$ 3$; trophy to winner and cash prizes based on entry fees collected; registration 7 p.m. De cember 30 , play begins $7: 30$ p.m.; mak room reservations in advance at YMCA, or Hotels Andrew Johnson or Farragut For details, write: Thomas W. Finucane, 1434 Watauga St., Kingsport, Tenn $100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## January 5 to February 5

City of Toledo Silver Knights Tournament, Toledo, Ohio Open; at Toledo YMCA, 1110 Jefferson Ave., Toledo; 7 rd Swiss or RR event, played on Thursdays and Sundays; separate events for senior and junior players (under 18); entry fee $\$ 3.00$ for senior, $\$ 1.50$ for juniors; registration 8 p.m. to 11 p.m. January 5th, play at 8 p. m. on Thursdays, $2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on Sundays, time limit two hours per game per
player at 25 moves per hour per player; player at 25 moves per hour per player;
no cash prizes, trophies and medals; no cash prizes, trophies and medals;
TD Max Moldawsky; for details, write TD Max Moldawsky; for details, write Steven L. Markowski, 609 Manhattan Bldg. Toledo 4, Ohio.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
Maryland Chess League (Baltimore): Team competition is now being organized and play will begin Friday night January 6, 1956 and every Friday thereafter until completion of a single roundafter until completion of a single roundrobin event for all teams entered. Five man teams; entry fee $\$ 2.00$ per team, to be used to purchase a trophy for the winning team. Any club or group in Maryland may enter. For details, write William C. Koenig, 810 Braeside Road, Baltimore 29 , Md.
Join the USCF1 it is always a sound

Solutions
CHESS CROSSWORD PUZZLE In CHESS LIFE
November 20, 1955


Finish It the Clever Way! Position No. 165: 1. R-Q7!, R-B3; 2. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$; 3. B-B4, RxB ch ; 4. P×R, K-B1; 5. R-Q6, Kt-B4; 6. R-B6 ch, K-Kt1; 7. R-R8 and Black resigned.

Position No. 166: 1. ......., Kt-Q6 ch! 2. BxKt (if 2. K-B1, Kt(B3)-Kt5!), PxB; 3. RxQP, R(R8)-KKt8; 4. R-KB5 ch, K-K1; 5. B-Kt5, R(Kt3)xB; and White resigned. If 5. RxP(B4), R(Kt3)-Kt7 ch; 6. K-K3, R-K8 mate.

## The 1000 best short games $\begin{gathered}\text { of Cy Cring Chemev }\end{gathered}$ The 1000 best short games $\begin{gathered}\text { of Cy Cring Chemev }\end{gathered}$ The 1000 best short games $\begin{gathered}\text { of CH C HESing Chemer }\end{gathered}$ The 1000 best short games $\begin{gathered}\text { of Cy Cring Chemev }\end{gathered}$ The 1000 best short games of CHESS <br>  

CHESS BOOKS
A treasury of masterpieces in miniature. . . Quick wins in 4 to 24 moves by masters and amateurs. Every game a lesson in chess tactics. Annotations clarify threats, explain good and bad moves. A big, enjoyable, instructive volume.
570 pp., 207 diagrams.
C-30: $\$ 5.00$ less $15 \%$
to USCF members... $\$ 4.25$
500 MASTER GAMES OF CHESS by Tartakower and Du Mont. Greatest and best compendium of masterpieces. Classified under openings, all games are fully annotated. A complete chess library in one volume. New reprint now in stock. 728 pp., 267 diagrams.
T-500: \$10 less $15 \%$ to USCF members............. $\$ 8.50$
100 GAMES OF MODERN MASTER CHESS by Tartakower and DuMont. Sequel to volume listed above. Recent masterpieces, fully annotated. 188 pp., 103 diagrams.
T-100: $\$ 3.95$ less $10 \%$ to USCF members........ $\$ 3.55$
GAMBITS ACCEPTED by L. E. Fletcher. Opening sacrifices classified. History, themes, variations, traps, 84 illustrative games. 264 pp., 119 diagrams.
F-40: $\$ 4.50$ less $12 \%$ to USCF members............ $\$ 3.96$
JUDGMENT AND PLANNING IN CHESS by Dr. Max Euwe. Excellent tuition in mid-game strategy and tactics by former world champion. 200 pp ., 170 diagrams.
E-15: $\$ 3.50$ less $10 \%$ to USCF members .......... $\$ 3.15$
HOW TO WIN IN THE MDDDLE GAME OF CHESS by I. A. Horowitz. Illustrated outline of mid-game tactics and strategy. 208 pp., 146 diagrams.
H-21: Price fixed at
$\$ 3.75$
MAIL YOUR ORDER TO UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION
81 BEDFORD STREET
NEW YORK 14, N. Y.

