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## PLATZ TRIUMPHS IN W MASS OPEN

Dr. Joseph Platz tallied $5-1$ to win the Western Massachusetts and Connecticut Valley Open at Springfield, losing no games but drawing with Daniel Levin and Eli Bourdon. Second and third with equal $4-1 \frac{1}{2}$ scores were Levin and Bourdon. Levin drew with Platz, Bourdon, and Philip Pinkham; Bourdon drew with Platz, Levin and Robert Lane. Fourth to sixth in the 18 -player Swiss with 42 each were Henry Martel, Jack Owen, and Francis Keller.

Ralph Guertin tallied 6:0 to win the 15 -player Class B event. Jeff Baker and Jack Stern were second and third with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ each, while Robert Loomis was fourth with 42. In the Class C event victory went to Maj. Harold Skinner with $51 / 2-1 / 2$, a draw with Murray Powell. Albert Paul was second with $5-1$, and Murray Powell was third with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ in the 20 -player Swiss.

## COMMITTEE SET FOR NOMINATION

The USCF Nominating Committee for 1957 has been appointed, consisting of Gerald Spann, 314 Colcord Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla., chairman; Anthony E. Santasiere, 620 Trinity Ave., New York 55, N.Y.; Dr. Vincent S. Hayward, 1128 Ninth Ave., Huntington, W.Va.; William Trinks, 2714 Cleveland, Hammond, Ind.; Lewis E. Wood, 1425 Sycamore St., Haddon Heights, N.J.

## U. S. JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP

July 8-14, 1957.
San Francisco, Calif.

## GROSS CAPTURES MISSOURI OPEN

Ronald Gross of Compton, Calif. tallied $51 / 2-1 / 2$ to win the Missouri Open Championship at St. Louis, drawing one game with John V. Ragan. Ragan, who also scored $51 / 2-$ $1 / 2$ but placed second on Solkoff points, was declared Missouri Champion. Frederic S. Anderson scored $4 \frac{1}{2}-11 / 2$ for third place, losing no games but drawing with J. Donald Define, John Sarar, and John R. Beitling. Fourth to ninth in the 34 -player Swiss with equal 42 scores were J. Donald Define, John Sarar, John R. Beitling, Harold Branch, Carl Spies, and Dr. W. A. Perkins.

This year the event drew players from California, Arkansas, Kansas, Illinois and Tennessee as well as Missouri. It was held at Downtown YMCA and credit for most of the promotion of the event goes to J. Donald Define. Veteran C. M. Burton was tournament director; James Darrough, president of the Downtown $Y$ Chess Club was responsible for the tournament arrangements with the YMCA.

## USSR TITLE WON BY RIGA STUDENT

Mikhial Tal, 20-year-old student from Riga, with $14-7$ won the XXIV USSR Championship at Moscow. Bronstein and Keres tied for second with $131 / 2 \cdot 71 / 2$, while Spasky and Tolush tied for fourth with 13-8. The other scores were: Kholmov $121 / 2-81 / 2$; Korchnoi and Petrosian 12-9; Boleslavsky $111 / 2$. $91 / 2$; Aronin and Taimanov 11-10; Furman 10-11; Bannick, Klaman and Nezhmetdinov $91 / 2-111 / 2$; Antoshin 9-12; Stolar 81/2-121/2; Mikenas 8-13; Aronson, Gurgenidze, Tarasov, and Khasin $71 / 2-131 / 2$. Tal was awarded the title of USSR Grand-

## USCF Translation of Laws Approved by FIDE

## By KENNETH HARKNESS

Author of "The Olficial Blue Book and Encyclopedia of Chess"

THE World Chess Federation (FIDE) has finally approved the USCF's translation of the Laws of Chess. Henceforth, the USCF's rendition of the Laws will be known as the "Authorized American Translation." The approval of the FIDE is subject to minor changes in the translation of Articles 13 and Article 17A. These modifications are being made in our official text, which now reads as follows:

## Article 13

Recording of Games

1. In the course of play, each player is required to record the game (his own moves and those of his opponent), move after move, as clearly and legibly as possible, on the score sheet prescribed for the contest.
2. If, extremely pressed for time, a player obviously is unable to meet the requirements of section No. 1 above, he should nevertheless endeavor to indicate on his score sheet the number of moves made. As soon as his time trouble is over, he must complete immediately his record of the game by recording the omitted moves. However, he will not have the right to claim a draw, on the basis of Article 12(3), if the moves in question were not recorded in conformity with the stipulations of section No. 1 above.

## Article 17A

## The Drawn Game

1. An offer of a draw under the provisions of Article 12 (2) can be made by a player before or after he has made his move on the board, but in both cases only when his clock is running.
2. If a player claims a draw under the provisions of Article 12 (3), his clock must continue to run until the Director has verified the legitimacy of the claim.

If the claim is found to be correct, the game will be declared drawn, even if the claimant, in the interval, has over stepped the time limit.
If the claim is found to be incorrect, the game will continue, unless the claimant has, in the interval, overstepped the time limit, in which case the game will be declared lost by the claimant.
The complete American Translation of the Laws of Chess appears in the "Official Blue Book and Encyclopedia of Chess." However, Article 13 is now changed to read as given above. Article 17A is a new addition to the text. Furthermore, the wording of the Knight's move (Article 6) has been changed, as explained in the January 5th issue of Chess Life.

We are still endeavoring to frame new USCF Tournament

Rules to interpret the new Article 13. International Judge Hans Kmoch, Secretary of the Manhattan Chess Club, informs us that the Argentine Chess Federation has interpreted Article 13 to mean that a player should be forfeited for not keeping score if he has failed to record more than the last three moves of the game when his time limit expires. This writer believes that this interpretation is somewhat harsh, for it is apparently the intention of the FIDE to be lenient to a player in time-trouble. Nevertheless, it seems necessary to specify the number of moves a player may indicate by checkmarks without being forfeited. If no limit is imposed, or if the limit is too lenient, a player in time-trouble is being given an advantage in time over his opponent if the latter is attempting to keep score. The opinion of readers on this point is solicited.

## DAHLBERGS TOPS IN PUGET SOUND

Ivars Dalbergs of Portland, sole Oregonian in the 17 -player Swiss, won the Puget Sound Open with a perfect 7-0 score. Dan Wade of Seattle was second with $5-2$. Third and fourth on Median points with $4^{1 / 2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ each were Howard Weaver and Dr. A. A. Murray, while fifth to eighth with 4.3 each were Viktors Pupols, Hugh Noland, James McCormick, and John Gell. Wade lost to Dalbergs and drew with Weaver. Weaver lost to Dalbergs and Murray while drawing with Wade. Murray lost to Pupols and drew with Noland, Charles Griffiths, and Lt. Eugene Leininger. MeCormick in 7th place was the winner of the recent Long Island (N.Y.) Amateur. The event was held in Seattle and directed by a committee of players.

58TH U. S. OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP

August 5-17, 1957 Cleveland, Ohio

# ALL'S WELL THAT ENDS WELL 

Mastering the End Game By W ALTER KORN, Editor of MCO

## Preamble, Execution, Annihilation

DLAGRAM No. 39 represents one of my studies of which I am proud as it contains a number of elements that in the eyes of the purist "Bohemian" school of study composers are considered essential, namely: 1) It is a "likely position"-it could easily derive from or be applied to an actual game position.

2) It is "difficult", as the winning demand seems improbable and the winning procedure evolves slowly at a later stage. 3) Every piece on the board, and that includes the pawns, has an essential and clearly defined function and the piece disappears from the board after its function has been fulfilled; the only remaining force is that determining the final jugment. 4) The position from which the win commences has to be first created by a "preamble", thus:
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The Preamble: 1. P-R6 threatening to queen. 1. .....,P-R6! an ingenious way of countering the threat. 1. , RxP; 2. P-R7 would allow White several ways to win with N and P vs. P. 2. P×P, R-QR5; 3. $P-R 7, R \times P(R 6)$. What now? If White queens the RP, the Rook simply takes and after...., KxP the game is a draw. This plan does not seem to alter after White's apparently useless (?) maneuvering 4. N-Q8 (protects the second pawn and therefore threatens again to queen the RP). 4.

R-N6 ch; 5. N-N7, R-QR6 (again, if 6. PQR8(Q), Black draws); 6. N-Q6! (protecting the BP again, this time from Q6, instead of Q8-so what's the difference?), $6 . \quad . \quad$, R-N6 ch; 7. K-B7, R-QR6; 8. K-N7, R-N6 ch (still the same maneuverings, always with the same unchanged purpose. But only now the effect of the first three-move preamble begins to tell. In the original position, the Black Rook was on his fifth rank-the preamble shifted the Rook to the sixth rank and the overwhelming result now follows): 9. N-N5! The Rook cannot go back to the QR-file!! If 9....... R-QR6; 10. NxR. 9. , R xN ch; 10. K-R6!, R-N8 (if 11. P-R8(Q), R-R8 ch; 11. P-B8()Q) ch!! White's surplus pawn annihilates himself with final force. 11 . $K \times Q$; 12. $P$. $R 8(Q)$ ch and White wins with the remaining power of Queen versus Rook.

## 薥

 to 14th:Dear Chess Friend: all youngsters up to the age of twenty (20). the title of Champion of California! pleas
too.

## TO THE MEMBERS OF THE USCg

This year, more than any other, it is important that we send a STU. DENT TEAM abroad to compete in the WORLD STUDENTS TEAM CHAMPIONSHIP.
Our team is the strongest ever and this would be a fine opportunit to gain the coveted title of WORLD STUDENTS TEAM CHAMPIONS for the UNITED STATES of AMERICA.
BUT, we cannot send this team of six masters to Iceland this July, unless we are given the necessary financial assistance. For this reason I ask each member to contribute ONE DOLLAR or MORE so that YOU can make this event possible for America to participate. All donations may be sent to:

William Lombardy
\% Student Traveling Fund
61 Fable Street
Bronx 59, New York
Sincerely,
WILLIAM LOMBARDY

## CHESS LIFE FUND CONTRIBUTIONS

Further contributions to the CHESS LIFE Printing Fund have now increased the total to $\$ 568.16$ as of February 28, 1957. Most recent donations were received from: Previously acknowledged ............ $\$ 527.21$ Anonymous 10.00

Harry Lyman
Richard A. Sanford
Charles S. Jacobs*
Walter \& Elsie Unterberg
A. L. Prindle

Douglas Gunno
Wm. Curtin
Edgar Holladay
Jackie Mayer
N. E. Dudek

Roman Filipovich
Total
$\$ 568.16$

- Represents the "n"th donation from Mr. Jacobs who is following his published suggestion of a monthly controlbution to the Fund.

Presidio (Monterey) Chess Club: With election of officers and a set of ByLaws in the making the club is now formally organized, publishing a club bulletin and holding a three-section tournament. Bruce Price won the recent Speed event $6-1$, losing one game to Jadan. Jadan and Karch tied for second with 5-2, and Olson was fourth with 4-3.

## Funds Sought by Youth Committee For U. S. Junior Championship Event

The Chess For Youth Committee of San Francisco, Calif. is making the following appeal for funds to cover the expenses of the U.S. Junior Championship Tournament, to be held in San Francisco from July 8th

Send your contributions to CHESS FOR YOUTH COMMITTEE,
286 Fourth Street, San Francisco 3, Calif.
The Junior Chess Championship of the United States will be held in San Francisco the week of July 8 to 14, 1957. This event is being sponsored by the United States Chess Federation and Chess Friends of Northern California. It is open to

Many young people from all parts of the country will be coming to San Francisco to attend this tournament and we particularly expect a record turnout from Northern California where chess plays an important role in all the schools. Almost every school in Northern California has a chess club and last year we saw a 17 -year-old high school lad, Gilbert Ramirez of San Francisco, win the Championship of Chess Friends of Northern California, Open Championship of California, and

To make this chess tournament successful, funds are needed so that these youngsters coming to San Francisco will be adequately housed and their sojourn in youngsters coming to San Francisco will be adequately housed and their sojourn in pleasant experience. The all-important funds for prizes must be made available,

The Chess for Youth Committee's first nationwide effort is to support this tournament for youth. It is the youth who will put the United States chess movemont back in the winning line against all leading nations of today.

We appeal to all chess lovers to help us in promoting this worthwhile cause. Every donation, no matter how small, is welcomed and will be acknowledged.

Yours for more Chess,
TOM TRIPODES
President,
Chess for Youth Committee

All college clubs and players are urged to send news 1 items to Frederick H. Kerr, Nittany 32.13, Box 277, Penn sylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania.
$T$ HE American Chess Foundation has proved that it has not just been giving lip service to an interest in collegiate chess. Late in February, the Foundation president, Mr. Rosser Reeves, announced small cash grants to the following colleges and universities to encourage chess among students: Amherst College, Bethany College, University of Colorado, Columbia University, University of Connectcut, University of North Carolina, Pennsylvania State University, Southern Illinois University, and Saint Peter's College. There is a possibility that additional grants will be made.

You have already read an appeal for funds to send an American team to the Fourth World Student Chess Championship, which was written by USCF Master William Lombardy. Your reporter would like to add his voice to that plea. This annual event is co-sponsore by FIDE and the International Union of Students. The IUS is the main organization of Commonist youth in the World. In nations such as Iceland, where the tournament will be held, the national student union is affiliated with the IUS but is not necessarily Communist. In fact, the Icelandic National Union of Students has engaged in a fight with the IUS over the Hungarian genocide by the Soviet Union. Under the circumstances, we have an excellent opportunity to give outstanding chess players from inside the Iron Curtain an opportunity to meet American students through a common interest in chess. Who will gain from such an exchange? I assure you that the eastern Europeans will carry away a better understanding of the West. The De partment of State has assured the ICLA that the United States Government has no objection.

The proposed team of William Lombardy, Edmar Mednis, Anthony Saidy, and Arthur Feuerstein (all masters) is the best ever. These four men will be aided by an alternate or two with the possibility of another master going along as second. Each and every member of this proposed team has the chess ability, experience, and character to give other peoples a good impression of the United States.

STOP! Before you turn the page, get out your check book and do your part. It is your duty as an American chess supporter to see that this team is sent to Iceland. It is understood that the ACF will contribute to this fund. However, the Foundation will not be able to donate the entire amount required. You can give something-even a dollar. Do it now! Send contribu tons either to Mr. William Lom bardy, 961 Faile Street, Bronx 59, New York or to your reporter at the address given atop this colump.


## On the Rapture of Ambuscade

T
HERE was an unusual lurking trace of approval in Aristides Kleinerteufel's manner as we sank into our seats at the chess board and I signalled the waitress for coffee and doughnuts.
"This afternoon," said Kleinerteufel in a voice denoting surprise, "you almost played like a chess player. That Knight sacrifice was worthy of a more intelligent player. Is it possible that you do have some dim, unnutured seeds of chess ability that are now gradually stirring into life under the sunlight of my instruction?"

I was too astonished by this faint praise to answer, and taking advantage of my momentarily dazed condition, Kleinerteufel possessed himself of my package of cigarettes, lit one and carefully placed the package in his pocket.
"What made you sacrifice the Knight?"
"I think," I said slowly, "that it was the leer upon your face as you stood looking at the position."

Aristides shook his head sadly. "That is the wrong reason for the right move. I perceive that you require even more diligent instruction than I had deemed necessary. But you have at last shown a faint glimmering of talent. Let us see if we can fan it into a flame. Let us see if we can bring to you an appreciation of the raptures of an ambuscade, the trapping of an adversary into an unexpected morass of bristling deadfalls."
"You mean," I suggested, "that I am at last to be permitted a view of your Hokus-Pokus Gambit?"

Kleinerteufel turned his soft brown eyes upon me with the gentle reproach of a sick St. Bernard. "You are not worthy of it yet, you know-but why be technical?"
Having finished his doughnut, he possesed himself of the one on my plate and munched it thoughtfully for a moment.
"I will never forget my first round tournament game at Sitz bad," said Kleinerteufel reminiscently. "I was seated as an alternate for a master who failed to ar rive, finding at the moment a red headed baroness more tempting than wooden queens. Several players doubted my qualification to be included, and some continued to doubt them even after I won the event. My self-reliant confidence was described as 'brash effrontry' and my superior tactical skill and understanding of the art of psychological chess as 'insolent audacity that blundered into success.' The referee was a fat, sober-minded pedant who was in a state of perpetual shock at what he considered my violations of tournament procedure and chess strategy-they tell me that he spent a month in a sanitarium recuperating after the tournament was over.
"The master most firmly convinced of my unworthiness to compete in such august company was a Herr Peder Lutfisck, an eminent Scandanavian exponent of stodgy chess. So it was against him that I introduced the Hokus-Pokus Gambit, which cannot be called stodgy, whatever else it may be called. It was the one opening to which I knew that he would not have a dozen drawing variations stored in his phenominal memory.
"Let me hasten to anticipate your infantile objections-the HokusPokus Gambit is probably not sound, and even I would never have the audacity to attempt it
against a Reshevsky or a Botvinnik. My point is that I wasn't playing Reshevsky-I was playing Herr Lutfisck, which is a different story.

HOKUS-POKUS GAMBIT Sitzbad, 1932
A. Khite

Black
A. KLEINERTEUFEL

1. P.K4
2. PR4
P. LUTFISCK 1. P-K4

P-K4
PxP
3. P-KB4
"To this point, Herr Lutfisck has been unperturbed. The Center Gambit favors Black in all the variations stored in his memory. Now he hestates momentarily, but in the encyclopedia of his mind he has a memory of Marshall's analysis of this never-played variation (MCO, 5th Edition)."
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 3. } & \ldots . . . . \\ \text { 4. } & K+K B 3 \\ \text { 5. } & \text { B.Q3 } \\ \text { 6. } & 0.01 ? ?\end{array}$

| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B4} 4$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB3}$ |

$\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3}$

"Here the Hokus-Pokus really begins. Marshall recommended 6. Kt-Q2. Herr Lutfisck a little confused by now at the course of the game, probably remembered that after 6. QKt-Q2, Kt-KKt5; 7. Kt-B1, P-Q3; 8. Q-K2, Marshall credited White with the edge. With this in mind he overlooked the fact that White had played 6. O-O instead of 6. QKt-Q2, and refrained therefore from 6 .
KKt5 (an interesting and exciting variation which is not so unfavorable to White as it may look-but since I intend to use it in the club tournament, I don't think P'll discuss it with yout),"
Much better is 6. ........, P-Q3; 7. Q-K1 which may produce a lively game, as in 7. ......, O.O; 8. P.K5, R-K1; 9. Q.R4,
12. B-KKt5, Q-Q4 (12, ......., B-K2 is much
safer); 13. BxKt, R-R4 (if 13. ........, PxB; 14. QxRP ch, K-B1; 15. Q-R8 ch, K-K2 13. QxP eh and 14. Kt-Q2); 14. Q-KKt3 etc., Kleinerteufel-Sourberry, Chicago, 1928). White's line has been improved since this game, but why should I spoil the variation for future use? But with castling Black has walked blindly into the Harum-Scarum Variation and is soon gasping for breath."
7. P-K5

Kt-KKł5
"7. ........, Kt-Q4 has been tried. There follows 7. BxP ch. K-R1 (not, of course P-B5 ch. K.... KxB; 8. Kt-Kt5 ch, K-Kt3; 10 , $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { P-B5 ch, } \\ \text { P-KKt3; } & 9 . & \text { Q-Kt4, } & \text { K-Kt2; } & 10 . & \text { KtxBP }\end{array}$ P-KKt3; 9. Q-Kt4, K-Kt2; 10. KtxBP RxKt (best); 11. QxP ch, K-B1; 12: P-B5, PxP; 14. P-B6!); 14. Q-Kt8 ch, K-K2; 15. PxP; 14. P-B6!); 14. Q-Kt8 ch, K-K2; 15.
B-Kt5 ch, Kt-B3; 16. BxKt ch, RxB; 17. B-Kt5 ch, Kt-B3; 16. BxKt ch, RxB; 17. Q-Kt7 ch, K-Q3; 18. Kt-B3, Q-K2; 19. Kt-
K4 ch, K-B3; 20. QxR ch, QxQ; 21. KtxQ, K4ch, K-B3; 20. QxR ch, QxQ; 21. KtxQ P-Q7; 22, QR-Q1, B-K6; 23. B-KtB, P-Q3; 24. B-Q5 ch, K-B4; 25. P-KR3, B-Q2; 26.
P-B4, R-KB1; 27. Kt-K4 ch, etc., Kleiner-P-B4, R-KB1; 27. Kt-K4 ch, etc., Kle,
teufel-Chitlins, New Orleans, 1929 ,
B. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5$ !

"The flustered Scandanavian master thought for an hour on this move. Perhaps he saw that 8 . ........, Kt-K6 was an illusion after 9. BxP ch, K-R1; 10. Q-R5 Kt-Kt5 (White scorns to win this plece: 11. B-Q3 ch, Kt-R3; 12. P-B5, KtxKP; 13 P-B6, KtxB; 14. PxP ch, KxP; 14. Kit K6 ch!, BPxKt; 16. BxKt ch and mate K6 ch!, BPxKt; 16. BxKt ch and mate follows shortiy, Klelnerteufel-Pomme dererre, Paris, 1930. But an immediate 8. there follows is improvement, for $\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{P}$ - 6 ch ; 11. K-R1, PxP; 12. BxP, P-Q3; 13. P-B5, KtxKP; 14, P-B6, B-KKt5 15. PxP ch, KxP; 16. Kt-K6 ch, PxKt 17. QxKt ch and 18. Q-R7 mate, Kleiner teufel-Vermicelli, Naples, 1931. He may also have considered 8. ........, P-Q3 which leads to 9. P-KR3, Kt-R3 (best); 10. BxP ch, K-R1; 11. Q-R5, P-Q6 ch; 12. K-R1 P×BP; 13. BxP, PxP; 14. P-B5, Q-B3 (not 14. ........, P-KB3; 15. Q-Kt6!, PxKt; 16. P-B6!); 15. Kt-K4, Q-K2; 16, P-B6! as in Klelnterteufel-Helados, Madrid, 1931."

$$
\text { 9. } \mathrm{BXBP} \text { ! }
$$

R×B
"It is probable that our thoroughly befuddled Scandanavian master saw that he could not dare the winning of the exchange by 9 . ......., P-Q6 ch; 10. K-R1, Kt-B7 ch; 11. RXKt, BxR, because of 12. BxRP ch, K-RI; 13. Q-R5 and mate is unavoidable. He may not have con is unavoidable. He may not have con-
sidered the variation of 9 . ........, P-Q6 ch; 10. K-R1, Kt-B7 ch; 1I. R×Kt, RxB 12. QxP, P-Q3; 13. B-K3 which leads to an interesting but less rapturous game." (See diagram next column)

Gare again Herr Lutfisch pondered long with palpable agitation. Best probably is 10 . ......, Q.B1 immediately. And 10. ........, P-KKt3 has been trled, continuing 11. Q-R4, Q-K2; 12. P-KKt4, R B1; 13. R-B3, P-Q6 ch; 14. K-Kt2, PxP; 15. Kt-B3, P-Q3; 16. Kt-Q5, Q-Kt2; 17. Kt-B6 ch, K-R1; 18. Kt(5)xRP and Black resigned, Kleinerteufel-Bortsch, Prague 1932. But, as I had anticipated, by adversary was eager to develop his QB being behind in development-a sacred camon to such pedantic players."

## Ohess Life

# Chase dife In $\eta_{\text {au }} y_{o r k}$ <br> By Allen Kaufman 

IN BRIEF: Bill Lombardy left by plane for Mar del Plata. The nineteen year old CCNY student was invited to participate in this famous event as a result of his many tournament and match successes in recent years. Rumors that Bronstein may be of his rivals persist.
A match between former World Champion Dr. Max Euwe and future World Champion Bobby Fischer was arranged at the Manhattan Chess Club. The good doctor won the first game.
The Marshall Championship is drawing to a close, but the final standings are far from clear. Bernstein, with one game to go, has a score of $91 / 2-2 \frac{1}{2}$. Wachs has $9-3$, and Levy $81 / 2-3^{1 / 2}$. The final round game, Levy-Bernstein, has to be postponed because Bernstein is ill. Other high scores are Lasker, $71 / 2$ 41/2; Halper and Rankis, 7-5.

Larry Evans is once again active in local chess circles. He plans a simultaneous exhibition and a series of classes at the Marshall Chess Club.

I urge every member of the Federation to contribute at least one dollar, as suggested by Lombardy, towards the fund to send a team representing this country in the World Students Team Championship. The Feuerstein-Lombardy-Mednis-Saidy team will be augmented by another high-rated collegian or two, depending on how much money is raised. This is probably the strongest team ever organized among college students; it deserves the support of every chess enthusiast. Send contributions to William Lombardy, Student Traveling Fund, 961 Faile St., Bronx 59, N.Y.

${ }^{\text {rWith }}$ With sigh of rellef, Hert Lutfisck now thinks it safe at last to drive away the invading Knight. Alas, for such fond illusions!"

"My opponent was enchanted by the thought of utilizing his passed pawn on B7 as a weapon of destruction.

20. Q-R5
21. Q-K 46 ch $\qquad$
Q.KKt4
QXKP
K-B1
(Please furn to page 7, col. 1)
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## Editor: MONTGOMERY MAJOR

## 

## The Kibitzer Has His Day

## Are Nation-wide Small Contributions The Answer?

Dear Mr. Major:
I would like to make some comments on Larry Evans' article on chess entitled, "Professionalism" that appeared on page 5 of Chess Life, February 5, 1957.

Some of the points brought ont by Mr. Evans appeared to me to have merit, while other points are, in my opinion, without merit. Mr, Evans seems to bring out the following points:

That the United States could afford to subsidize "professional" players, at least to a certain extent.
2. That we could, for example, have raised the money not only to send a team to the last two Olympies, in Amsterdam in 1954 and in Moscow in 1956, but we could have raised the money necessary to pay the so-called "professionals" of the American Team, and to quote Evans correctly, "There is no reason money could not have been raised-and I definitely mean over and above expenses-to send a first rate representation to both Amsterdam and Moscow'
3. Evans states also, "It takes five years of solid study and practice to produce a good master-and he is begrudged the slightest penny he makes from his art". Evans states that if American chess is to stand on its own feet, "It must be financed by a broad base of amateurs rather than the same handful of patrons time and time again".
There is no doubt the problems and points that Evans has brought out could be resolved if American chess could raise the money-but there is more involved than money,

Many of the chess elders and patrons, like myself, would be taking upon themselves an awful responsibility if they advised or encouraged any American youngster, no matter how good, to become a chess professional and rely solely on chess for his livelihood.

Speaking for myself, if a youngster even with promise of being a Paul Morphy should come to me and ask my advice as to how far he should go in pursuing his chess activities, I would advise him as follows:

I presume, young man, that you intend to pursue a healthy, satisfying life that will be a credit to you and your fellow men. I presume, also, that
you are mindful of the fact, just as your mother and father and others made you are mindful of the fact, just as your mother and father and others made sacrifices to bring you up and to educate you and care for you otherwise, that some day you will have to take responsibilities upon yourself to care for others. Undoubtedly, you will have a wife and family of your own and you will have the same obligations to your family and your fellow men as other normal, responsible people have.

I have had a world of experience in the field of chess and I wish to state emphatically that under no circumstances should you ever depend on chess for a living or even a substantial part of a living. The first thing you training in some profession, trade, or other occupation, you can make a living for yourself and your ultimate family. Now, having solved that problem for yourself and your ultimate family. Now, having solved that problem and subject to never neglecting the fundamental thesis that you have to make a Inving outside of chess, you can go ahead, if you have the ability, and be-
come a Paul Morphy, a Dr. Emanuel Lasker, a Max Euwe, or any of the chess immortals".
Chess is not, neve
to be subsidized ber has been, and probably never will be self supporting. It has to be subsidized by Individuals who are willing to contribute money for its advancement. Unfortunately, chess does not have a large appeal either to the
public as a whole, or to monied men, groups, foundations or organizations, who public as a whole, or to monied men
have the means to help subsidize it.

I see no prospect of the United States, chesswise, to compete successfully with those totalitarian countries like Russia, Yugoslavia, and some of the other Russian Satellites as well as Argentina, where the government itself subsidizes chess players and makes it possible for a chess player to devote all of his time at chess and not worry about making a living for himself and his family.

Chess in the United States must always be an "avocation" as distinguished from a "vocation" and we have got to do the best that we can under such circumstances.

I do not agree with points 1 and 2 of Mr . Evans' article. I do agree with the things that he says in point 3, except that, "he is begrudged the slightest penny he makes from his art". He is not begrudged but merely, the money is unavailable. However, I agree very, very much with Evans' position when he states, "It must be financed by a broad base of amateurs rather than the same handful of patrons time and time again".

However, I have never heard of chess experts like Evans, Bisquier and Denker, just to name a few, ever raising a single finger to come up with a plan or to do anyone else.

The late Maurice Wertheim, who used to subsidize chess to a large extent and who was President of the Manhattan Chess Club, always look the position that he was willing to spend money to subsidize something and get it started, but after It was started going if those that were interested couldn't keep it going through their own financing or otherwise, he was through subsidizing it. To a large extent, I would say that the position of Lessing Rosenwald, Morris Kasper, Rosser Reeves, Mrs. Wertheim, myself, and a few others who have liberally contributed to the subsidation of chess is the same, and it has turned out to be a very disappointing thing that those who benefit most by raising of funds for chess, those who are complaining loudest about the lack of financing, do not raise a finger to come
with a constructive thought as to how the funds should be raised.
Personally, I think that adequate funds can be raised to
Personally, I think that adequate funds can be raised to keep American chess on a high amateur status, where we will always have fine chess players and where we will be able to give vigorous competition to the best teams in the world, even though we may not be able to beat them. This money can be raised not by talking, discussing and arguing, but only by hard planning and hard work.

Here is my idea as to how it can be done and it makes little difference wheth ex it is done under the auspices of the U. S. Chess Federation, U. S. Chess Foundation or any other responsible Chess Group.

## USCF Membership Dues, including subscription to Chess Life, periodical publi-

## cation of national chess rating, and all other privileges

ONE YEAR: $\$ 5.00$ TWO YEARS: $\$ 9.50$ THREE YEARS: $\$ 13.50$ LIFE: $\$ 100.00$
SUSTAINING: $\$ 10.00$ (Becomes Life Membership after 10 payments)
A new membershlp starts on 21 st day of month of enrollment, expires at the
end of the period for which dues are paid. Family Dues for two or more mem. end of the period for which dues are paid. Family Dues for two or more mem-
bers of one famlly Ilving at same address, including only one subscription to Ilving at same address, including only one subscription to

My ideas embrace the following: That there are probably 500,000 chess players in the United States and
probably about 500 chess clubs or chess organizations of some kind or other. 2. That to enlist aid in raising funds, cooperation must be gotten primarily from these 500 chess clubs or organizations.
3. In addition to these 500 chess clubs and organizations, there are probably 500 individuals in different parts of the United States who have shown enough interest in chess to be willing to cooperate in the financing program.
4. The meat of my thesis is that by enlisting the aid of these organizations and these individuals, and probably others that I have not mentioned, about 100,000 persons, mostly chess players, including a small number of sympathi
annually.
5. Each individual or each organization should be asked to assume the obllgation of getting a certain number of individuals each year who would pledge 50 c annually, with a view of getting a minimum 100,000 pledgors or $\$ 50,000$. There might be some expenses attached to it but probably not
over $\$ 5,000$ or $\$ 10,000$, so that the net amount avallable would be $\$ 40,000$ or $\$ 45,000$ per annum.
6. There are some persons like myself, who could easily get 50 to 100 persons per year to agree to put up 50 c each for chess and, undoubtedly, almost any chess player could be induced to solicit his friends and others so that he could get at least 2 or 3 people to agree to subsidize chess to the extent of 50 c per year.
7. The goal of $\$ 50,000$ per year by contributions of 50 c per year by 100,000 chess players, or chess sympathizers, or friends of chess players, who might want to help them out in reaching their goal, is, in my opinion, a minimum amount that could be collected and if the chess fraternity were lucky enough to get a first class organizer with push, ability, ambition and fertile ideas, it is not at all improbable that double that amount or $\$ 100,000$ a year could be supplied by the "broad base" that Larry Evans is talking about.
On Thursday, February 21, at 7 P.M. at Romanoff's in Beverly Hilis, 78 persons paid $\$ 3.00$ each to play simultancous chess with Samuel Reshevs
80 persons paid $\$ 1.00$ admission each, just to watch the exhibition

1 would say that each of those 150 persons could be induced to get 5,10 , or 15 pledgors a year to contribute 50 c each for the goal we are discussing.

Now, Mr. Major, as Editor of the Chess Life, I request that you be good enough to publish this communication in the "Chess Life" with the hope that my thoughts will afford at least the basis for thought. and discussion now.

ALEXANDER BISNO
Beverly Hills, Calif.
Editorial comment: We publish Mr. Bisno's suggestions without further comment because we believe that they deserve thoughtful consideration and we would prefer to have them discussed by the chess players. But in commenting upon Mr. Bisno's ideas, please make your letters brief so that all who wish may have space for remarks and suggestions.

## Wanted-An Advertising Solicitor!

Dear Mr. Major
Has any effort ever been made to get businesses to advertise in Chess Life or at tournaments? I realize that Chess Life circulation is small, and a small fraction of the population turns up at a chess tournament, but Tobacco, for instance, advertises in almost every publication, including college papers with small circulations

Has there ever been any effort to arrange a three-cornered deal in which our printing fund and one of our "professional" players could each pick up a few nickels in return for, "when the going gets tough I light up a Floop"

We hear a lot about the Russian government financing chess, and sweeping the boards, and boasting that it has the best system because it can win more chess games. It has rightly been pointed out that the American alternative to Russian governmental sponsorship is private enterprise. But the true American alternative is private payments in anticipation of profits, not mere private donations. Nobody in America ever took up a collection to build a steel mill. The Russian system may have turned out the world's best chess players, but it has never competed against the present charity system.

What about Candy, Gum, Soft Drinks, Coffee, etc., etc.

## allan b. calhamer

Blue Island, Ill.
Editorial comment: There will probably come the time when a chess publication like CHESS LIFE can command revenue from advertising, but several changes must occur first in the chess world. First, chess players must unite sufficiently behind the USCF by joining it to make it represent a segment of the buying public sufficiently large to be courted by the advertiser. Secondly, some enthusiastic promoter must voluntect to act as an advertising solicitor for the publication. Advertising is not a gift. its appearance in a publication must be sold to the advertiscr. Neither the Business Manager (who is also Rating Statistician and Membership Secretary of the USCF) nor the Editor (who edits the paper evenings after a full day's occupation at making a living) have time nor energy to spare in selling advertising. When CHESS LIFE can afford the laxury of an Advertising Manager, it will possibly have more advertising.

A correspondent of International Master George Koltanowski recently asked him for one of his best blindfold games that had never been published. After some searching through his files, Koltanowski responded with the following sprightly game which he published in his daily chess column in the San Francisco Chronicle:

## 㬂

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED MCO: page 187, column 31 (b) Blindfold Simultaneous

Rotterdamn, 1924

| Rotterdam |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| White |  |  |
| G. | KOLTANOWSK1 |  |
| 1. | P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 2. | P-QB4 | P-QB3 |
| 3. | P-K3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 4. | Kt-QB3 | P-K3 |
| 5. | Kt-B3 | B-K2 |
| 6. | B+Q3 | QKt-Q2 |
| 7. | O-O | O-O |
| 8. | P-K4 | PxKP |
| 9. | KtxP | KtxKt |
| 10. | BxKt | Kt-B3 |

$\qquad$

CHANGE OF ADDRESS: Four weeks notice required. When ordering change please furnish an address stencil impression from
Send membershlp dues (or subseriptions) and changes of address, to KIRNETH
Harkness, Business Manager, 80 East 1ith Street, New York $3, \mathrm{~N}$. Y.
Send Tournament rating reports (with fees, If any) and all eommunleations re:
garding CHESS LIFE editorial matters to MONTGOMERY MAJOR, Editor,
North Humphrey Avenue, Oak Park, III.

# CHESS TACTICS FOR BEGINNERS 

By U. S. Expert DR. ERICH W. MARCHAND

Dr. Marchand will answer beginners' questions on thls page, if of sufficient gerieral interest. Those wishing a personal reply should enclose stamped, solfaddressed envelope. Address: Dr. Erich W. Marchand, 192 Seville Drive, Rochester
17, N.Y.

## 1. Answers to Readers' Questions

Michael Smoron, Chicago, Ilinois, asks about the Nimze-Rubinstein branch of the Sicilian Defense as given in Modern Chess Openings (8th Ed.), p. 290, col. 127, note (d), (A): The variation runs as follows: 1. P-K4, P-QB4; 2. Kt-KB3, Kt-KB3; 3. P-K5, Kt-Q4; 4. Kt-B3, KtxKt; 5. QPxKt, P-Q4; 6. PxP e.p., QxP; 7. QxQ, PxQ; 8. B-KB4, B-Kt5; 9. 0-0-0, Kt-Q2; 10. BxP, BxB; 11. RxB, O-0-O+. Mr. Smoron wonders why Black is given a plus here. Answer: In my opinion this is a mistake in the "book." Probably the plus should be given to White who is a Pawn ahead with no troubles.

This question brings out an important point for beginners and intermediate players to keep in mind. The opening books are not infallible. Preparation of such books is an enormous task. The mere routine task of sorting, arranging and editing the thousands of variations in an opening book is tremendous, and occasional clerical errors are unavoidable. But the problem of analyzing all of the variations from the viewpoint of soundness is beyond the powers of any one person or even any small group of persons. Much must be taken on faith from other sources. Furthermore new discoveries are constantly being made even in some of the oldest opening variations.

## 2. How to Use an Opening Book

The simplest use of an opening book consists of merely memorizing moves and following them slavishly. This is usually better than using no book at all but has obvious drawbacks. A better procedure is to study the opening variations given in the book before adopting them. This means to try to understand the reasons behind the moves and also to check on their correctness as far as possible. Try to consider what your reply would be at any stage if the opponent varied from the "book" move.

An important point to keep in mind when selecting openings and opening variations is that of style. Choose variations where you feel at home. Are you a combinational, attacking type of player? Or do you prefer slow positional maneuvering?
Another thought to keep in mind is that some variations are technically sound but so complex that in practice one can very easily go astray. Such variations, in practice, usually favor the player who has the initiative.
Some openings have traps. It is well to become familiar with these regardless of which way they are pointed. Also there is an obvious practical advantage to selecting variations where the opponent can fall into one or more traps if he wants to. However, better players seldom sacrifice positional or other values to lay a trap which an opponent might fall into but also might avoid.

## 3. A Brevity of Interest to Absolute Beginners

The following brief encounter shows how neglect of opening principles can be quickly punished. In defense of Black it may be said firstly that she is a female, and secondly she was burdened with the task of keeping score, no mean undertaking when you are only seven years old,

## 7. P-Q3

White was so intent on the standard freeing procedure he overlooked the possibility mentioned in the preceding note-a typical example of how important alertness can be. How often do we realize right after we move that we should have done something else?

## 7. is P. PB3

necessary to to say whether this is tions beginning with $\mathrm{Kt-Q5}$ or Kt -Kt5 tions beginning with Kt-Q5 or Kt-Kt5.
Otherwise 7. ......., P-K3 or 7 . ....... P-K4 without loss of time would be in ${ }_{8}$ order
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 8. } & \text { B-K3 Q-Q3 } & \text { 9. P-Q4 }\end{array}$ An important move ensuring white of his share of the center. White can spare the time, being ahead in development. He has two developing moves
still to make, whereas Black has four. still to make, whereas Black has four.
9. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 10. B-K2 } & \text { P-KK+3 } & \text { 12. P-B3 }\end{array}$
A strong positional move. It puts added control on the center and rather permanently discourages Black's B or Kt from using the squares K4 and KKt4.
12. 12. attempt to at
An

An attempt to attack White's K. But Black must eventually worry about his own K's safety, so the text-move is double-edged. Perferable was 12. 0.0.
13. Q-K+3

In some respects a psychological move. If 13. ........, O-O; 14 QxKtP, KR-Kt1; 15. Q-R6, RxP, Black's game would be very promising. White's real intention was simply to bring his R's to the center files.
13. ......
This is dubious on
14. KR-K1 Preer counts (1) thise is of the essence in Black's development (castling!) (2) Black's $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{B} 4)$ has now almost no mobility and may easily become trapped (3) this move helps White force open lines of at tack against Black's K .
15. P.Q5
of course not 15. P-Kt4, PxP; 16. PxP, ${ }_{15}$ Qxch.
15. ....... KPXP

This move and the next are inadvisable since they expose Black's K more


Of course not 18. ......., B-Q2; 19. B. 19. QR-B1 Q-R4 20. QxP P-R3 Hoping for 21. B-QB4, QxQ; 22. BxQ, Kt6ch.
21. B-B5Ch K-K+1 22. B-K8 K-R2 Not 22. ......., B-K3; 23. RxB, PxR; 24. QxPch, K-R2; 25. QxPch, K-Kt1; 26. B-B7 Mate. Also 22. ........, Q-B2; 23. RK 7 , B-K3; 24. RxB wins easily

| 23. QxBP | Q-Q7 | 26. QR-B1 | Q-Q6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 24. QR-Q1 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B7}$ | 27. B-B3 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 25. B-Q4 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK}+1$ | 28. QxP |  | The quickest win is probably obtained by 28. R-K7. But 28. ......., QxR; 29. QxQ, QRxB would have given Black some play for his lost material. The ext-move gains a second $P$ while maintaining a strong grip on the position.

Or 28 . R....... R-Kt1, 29. Q-R7. Not 28. QR×B; 29. R×R, R×R; 30. $\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{\times B}$ Mate.

| 29. $K-R 1$ | $R-R 2$ | 33. $R(3)-K 2$ | $Q-R 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30. $Q-K+3$ | $B \times B$ | 34. $Q-K 3$ | $Q R-K 2$ |
| 31. $R \times B$ | $Q-B 7$ | 35. $Q \times R$ |  |

## 3. R(3)-K3 KR.K+2

White is happy about thls exchange. It simplifies the ending. Besldes 2 R's are usually considerably better than a are
Q.
35.

## 36. RXRCh K-R3

White plans to nail down the $Q$-side $P$ 's before proceeding to further action. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 37. P.OK Q-QK+5 } & \text { 40. R-KB2 } & \text { Q-B4 } \\ \text { 38. }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 38. P-QKt3 } & \text { Q-R6 } & \text { 41. R-Q2 } & \text { Q-B6 }\end{array}$ Not 42. R(1)-Q1, B-B7 winning the Exchange. White must be very careful since his B is out of play
(Please furn to page 7, col. 2)

The Chess Morgue
Conducted by
Ray Kooyman
Questions on game scores, chess his tory and chess miscellania will be answered in this column by Mr. Kooyman in so far as he can find the answers. Informal discussion on chess is also invited. Address your communications to: Ray Kooyman, P.O. Box 103, Salf Lake City, Utah.

WHAT about Orang-Utan? The opening 1. P-QN4 has one small column in MCO 8, branching off Tartakower-Colle, Barfeld 1926 in a previous edition. A plus for White on the seventh move with no further comment leaves a player on his own very early. With dozens of new examples from tournament play it will be interesting to see the new MCO's treatment. Since Schiffler's 2nd edition of "Orang Utan Eroffnung" many lines have been developed, and the opening seems to be here to stay whether taken seri ously or not. Schiffler gives the following breakdown. 1. P-QN4 (1. ........ P-K4, the Gambit Varia tion), (1. ...... P-Q4, P-Q3, or N KB3, the Struggle for the Center) A third group of Black replies he calls Miscellaneous (1. ........, P-KB4 P-QN3, P-QB3, P-QR3, and P-QR4)

At the 1924 New York Tournament Tartakower played it against Maroczy to get him out of his preparation. The game was sensational ending in a draw. The players had been to the Bronx Zoo the previous day, and Tartakower let the reporters present know he had received his inspiration for the new opening at the Oran-Utan cage. Maroczy went along with the gag and called the game a Hippopotamus variation of the Orang-Utan.

When Black plays 1.
we get the Polish Defense in QP Opening. From this the name Polish was devised for the "irregular" White 1. P-QN4. Early examples by B. Englisch list it as Englisch Opening

For those who play chess for entertainment this is THE opening. The writer has had good and sad results, but no dull games . . Send in your comments pro and con for further discussion on Orang-Utan.

Ohio Chess Association: Arrange ments have been completed for an Inter-City Chess League with Cleve land, Toledo, Columbus, and Youngstown participating in the first season Six-man teams will compete and games will be played at rate of fifty moves in two and one-half hours. Team captains are: Hart H. Fleming, Cleveland Chess Center; James Schroeder, Columbus YMCA Chess Club; Dr. Mark E Pence, Toledo YMCA Chess Club; W. E. Shelley, Mahoning Valley Chess Club, Toledo. A USCF State Affiliate.
Puëblo (Colo.) Chess Club recently celebrated two events-the joining of its 100th member and the playing of its first match by short-wave radto. The Iatter was an encounter with the Denver Chess Club, won by Denver $31 / 2-1 / 2$, but the event was a great success, with 25 Pueblo "ham" radio operators kibitzing the moves. The Pueblo team consisted of Lloyd Villers, Roy Thomas, Donald E. Klock, and Hyman Zag who drew his game against Phillip Rehberger of Denver. Warren D. Barter was the Pueblo team captain. The event received much publicity in the Pueblo Chleftan and the Denver Post.

# GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS 

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N. Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## SUPERIOR TO

Tim Anderson, the winner of the fol lowing attacking game, writes be "noticed in the Jan. 5, 1957, issue a NimzoIndian between Philip D. Smith and L. Talcott. Having seen the annotation of the game, $I$ am convinced that my move 13. B-Kt2 is superior to those given in the notes" (by Smith). Maybe we have the makings for a productive theoretical wrangle!

## NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE MCO: page 107, column 3 Intercollegiate Team Tournament Philadelphia, 1956

White
Black
r. ANDERSON

Ohio State) (Harvard U.) - P-Q4 Kt-KB3 3. Kt-KB3 P.Q4
 ense ........, P-B4, a Semi-Tarrasch Defense is established.
5. Q-R4ch, Kt-B3; 6. PxP, PxP; 7. B-Kt5, P-KR3; 8. BxKt, QxB; 9. P-K3, is a line in the Ragozin.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 5. } & & \text { O-O } & \text { 8. } & \text { P-QR3 } & \text { BXKt } \\ \text { 6. } & \text { B-Q3 } & \text { P-B4 } & \text { 9. } & \mathrm{PXB} & \mathrm{QPXP}\end{array}$
 11. Kt-K5! Q-B2; 12. KtxKt, QxKt; 13. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$, is strong.
This is the Normal Position in the Rubinstein Variation of the NimzoIndian Defense.
Currently, this move is considered to be the best, but there are a number of be the best,
other tries.
11. ......
referable is 12 . ........, Q-K2
Rabar-Janoshevich, Belgrade, 1954, and Smith-Talcott, Oakland, 1956, continued with 13. R-K1, which leads to approximate equality. But 13. P-R3! P-QKt3; 14. P-K4, B-Kt2; 15. P-Q5, Kt-K2; 16. P-B4, Kt-Kt3; 17. P-Kt3, B-B1; 18. KKt2, Kt-K1; (Tolush-O'Kelly, Hastings, 1953-54) 19. Kt-Kt1, gives white a slight edge. Anderson's 13. B-Kt2 has the tactical threat of 14. KtxP, KtxKt; 15. PxKt, QxP; 16. P-QB4, Q moves; 17. BxKt, winning the KRP or doubling the KBP's; and the strategical idea of opening the lines for the two Bishops and advancing the KP and KBP with a king-side attack.
With 14. P-KR3 14. P-R3 Q-K2 tempo, but appears to run into much the same problems he faces in the game. Example: 14. ......., P-QKt3; 15. KtxP, KtxKt; 16. PxKt,' QxP; 17. P. QB4, Q-K2; 18. QR-K1, B-Kt2; 19. P-K4, QR-B1; 20. P-B4, and the further advance of the White KP and KBP will provide a powerful attack.
15. K $\ddagger \times \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{KtxKt} \quad$ 17. P.QB4
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 16. } \mathrm{PxKt} & \begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{Kf} \times \mathrm{Kt} \\ \mathrm{QxP} & \text { 17. P-QB4 } & \text { Q-K2 } \\ \text { 18. } \mathrm{QR} \cdot \mathrm{KI} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K1}\end{array}\end{array}$ Come what will, Black should develop with 18. 19. P. .K. B-Q2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 19. P-K4 } \\
& \text { 20. P-K5 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Kt - $\mathrm{B}_{2}$
If 20. ........, Kt-K3; 21. P-B4, Kt-Q5; 22. BxKt, (or 22. Q-B2) RxB; 23, P-B5, and the threat of 24. P-B6 is very strong.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 21. R-Q1 } \\
& \text { 22. P-B4 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Else 23. P-B5 shuts in the Ki-K3

> 23. P-B5

## PERSONAL SERVICE

The Editor of this Department will play you a game by mail, comment on same analysis. fee give yo
Mr. Collins will also annotate any one of your games for a fee of $\$ 5$.
(It)ess Life
Wednesday, Page 6
March 20, 1957
as well as Black and a joy for the combinational player. On the other hand Raut's move is the most solld for the type of player who likes tight defensive play
10. ........, P-QR4 and Q-R4 are also good. Euwe suggests the following line as best for Black: 10. ........, P-QR3; 11 R-K1, Q-K2; 12. Q-B2, P-QKt4; 13. P-Q5 BPxP. While the text move is good, it seems to the annotator that in this and many other variations of the King's Indian, Black must either exchange in the center or advance the Q-side pawns to escape stagnation
11. Q . B 2 Kt . B 1

Raut's move, a novelty, should be tried again as it has fine possibilities,
A. mistake which

A mistake which turns the tide in PxP; 13. KtxP, Kt-K3 and White only has a small space advantage.
13. 13 BS KPXP

If 13. ........ QPxP; 14. PxKP wins the 14. PxP! Q-B1

If. 14........, QxP; 15. P-K5 wins a piece. The pawns are evenly divided, but the passed pawn in the center is an ever$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 16. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 1 & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 & \text { 18. Q-R4 } \\ \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+5\end{array}$ 17. P-K5 B-B4

The base of the center pawns must be attacked-in accordance with the Prin cipia Nimzowitchiana.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 19. R-K1 } & \text { Kt-K3 } & \text { 20. QR-Q1 }\end{array}$


Fine strategy. It is not possible to play 20. Kt-R4, KtxB; 21. QxKt with the aim of P-B4, due to 21. ........, P-B3! So now Popel overprotects his advanced pawn at Q6, perhaps making another bow to the Venerable Aron.
20. Ki..... KłB 21. QxKt QR-Q1 And now Black will suffer for ignoring the precepts of the author of My Sys tem. 'Always attack a pawn chain at its base', thus spake Nimzowitch. And here 21 . ........ P-B3 reduces White's advantage to a minimum.

## 22. P-R4

Momentarily stymied in the center, Popel advances his Q-side pawns to weaken Black on the KR1-QR8 diagon-al-as one point; and securing his QB5 for the QKt-as the other
22. .......P-KR3? 23. P.R5! R-K3 The ghost of Nimzowitch shudders and emits a low moaning ' Oy '-To wit a passed pawn should be blocked with a minor-not a major piece-wherever possible'. Black's position is bad, al most deplorable, but K-R2 and P-B3 ook more hopeful-saving K3 for the Bishop and not the Rook.
24. Kt-QR4

The threat of Kt-QB5 cannot be contalned.
24. K+… KKP

If 24. ........, KR-K1; 25. Kt-B5, Q-B1; 26. P-Q7.

Q-K1 26. P-B4
25. KłxKt is Q-KI 26. P-B4 While he is a piece up, the rest of While he is a piece up, the rest of
the game is played by Popel with the hand of the master and is therefore still Interesting.

| 26. | R/3xP | 30. $\mathrm{R} / 1-\mathrm{Q1}$ | K-R2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27. QxRI | R×Q | 31. P-R6! | xP |
| 28. $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{\times R}$ | P.83 | 32. R×Q8P | Q-K | 28. R×R P.B3 32, RXQBP Q-K1 of course, if 32 ........., QxR; 31. Kt-Kts ch wins the Queen.

33. R-K1 QXR/3

He decides on suicide rather than slow
torture. In real life, the pleasures of felo de se are often quite impractical This is one of the reasons that chess is such a popular game. Black's chances of a perpetual check are nil and there are several good ways for White to bring his superior armament into the attack on the exposed Black King.

## 34. Kt-K+5ch

Resigns

## HROMADKA DEFENSE

Independent Tournament
West Orange, 1957
Notes by U.S. Master A. E. Santasiere White Black
A. E. SANTASIERE
E. HEARST

1. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$

Intending "Santasiere's Folly" (P-QKt/ in reply to P-Q4), but my opponents often prefer to ayoid nonsens.

This logical thrust transposes into one of those deferred Benonts in which Eliot admits he is a speciallst. In my ignorance 1 tried only for common sense, especially since this was the sixth hour of chess that day.
PxP
8.
B-Q3
 preventing
 $\begin{array}{llrr}\text { 13. P-R5 } & \text { P-QK+4 16. B-K3 Kt-Q2 } \\ \text { Somewhat } & \text { Cramped, } & \text { he is skillfully }\end{array}$ probing for strong squares for his Knights, but White does likewise.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 17. } \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{Q} 2 & \mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{K4} 4 & \text { 23. } \mathrm{KR} \cdot \mathrm{B1} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B4} \\ \text { 18. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B1} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q5} & \text { 24. } \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{Kt} & \mathrm{PxKt}\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { 21. P-B4 } & \text { Kt-Q2 } & \text { 27. KT-R5 } & \text { Q-R1 } \\ \text { 22. Kt-B4 } & Q-B 2 & \text { 2B. P-QKt3 } & \text { Black }\end{array}$
This consolidating move forces Black to play trumps, especially since he is passive play could only lose.
$28 . \quad$ P.Q6
He's trying desperately to break a road open to the Whtie K , when his 2 Bishops will be very dangerous.
29. BxP

White accepts the challenge. The more cautious Q-Q2 would meet with P-Kt4!)



The threatened R -sacrifice is sound and would win. The struggle is at a dramatic peak.
31. Kt - B 6

This only move not only cuts the Bishop's diagonal, but as will be seen creates a subtle resource of great preates
31. B-R3 32. R-B2 RXP

Apparently successfully crashing through. But White has a gem of a zwischenzug in reserve.
33. B-B5 PxB 34. PXR
Now if he wishes to clear the Queen, he must in turn block the Bishop (P-B5).
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 34. } \\ \text { 35. } \mathrm{RXP} & \substack{\text { K-R1 } \\ \text { P-B5 }} & \text { 36. P.K6 }\end{array}$
A symphony on this White diagonal!
A symphony on this white diagonal!

## GUEST ANNOTATORS

Dr. Norman Hornstein
A. E. Santasiere

## 36.

## R-K+1ch

In extreme time pressure. If PxP, R-R7 wins; if QxP, Q-K5 ch. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 37. R-Kt2 } & \text { Q-B1 } & \text { 39. } K \times R \quad \text { QxR }\end{array}$ 38. P-Q7 RXRCh Black resigns.

## \&

ENGLISH OPENING
MCO: page 32, column 8
Vancouver Chess League Vancouver, 1957

| White |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J. M. TAYLOR(City C.C.) $\begin{array}{r}\text { J. PATTY } \\ \text { (UBC. C.C.) }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1. P-QB4 | P-K4 | 19. Q-K +4 | B-KB1 |
| 2. Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 | 20. P-B5 | BxR |
| 3. P-KK 13 | P-Q4 | 21. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | K-R2 |
| 4. PXP | K4xP | 22. R-K1 | QR-B1 |
| 5. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K}+2$ | B-K3 | 23. Q-R5 | R-Q2 |
| 6. $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | Kt-QB3 | 24. P-K5 | P.KK+3 |
| 7. 0.0 | B-K2 | 25. Q-B3 | R-K2 |
| 8. P-Q3 | 0.0 | 26. B-Q3 | R/1-K1 |
| 9. P-QR3 | P-KR3 | 28. B-K4 | R-Q2 |
| 10. KtxKt | BxKt | 27. P-KR4 | P-85 |
| 11. P-K4 | B-K3 | 29. P×Pch | P×P |
| 12. B-K3 | Q-Q2 | 30. Q-B6 | R-K¢2 |
| 13. P-Q4 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | 31. P-K6 | Q-Kı4 |
| 14. KtxP | KtxKt | 32. BxPch | K-K+1 |
| 15. BxKt | P-QB4 | 33. Q-87ch! | K-R1 |
| 16. B-QB3 | KR-Q1 | 34. BxRch | BxB |
| 17. Q-K2 | Q-R5 | 35. QxRch | Resigns |
| 18. P-B4 | B-B5 | 35. QxRch | Resigns |

## SACCHIC DIALOGS

(Continued from page 3, col. 4) 22. $\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{K}+7 \mathrm{ch}$
23. $\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{B7} \mathrm{ch}$

Q×P

"If Instead 23. ........, K-Q2; 24. P-B88 (Kt) ch wins; and if $23 . . . . . . ., \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q1} ; 24$.
$\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{B} 8(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{ch}$ mates in three." P-B8(Q) ch mates in three."
24. Kt B -B6 ch
25. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{RBCh}$
Resigns
"Neater than 25. QxQ."
In his daily chess column in the San Francisco Chronicle, George Koltanowski is currently offering a free copy of "Fifty Pitfalls in the Chess Opening Everybody Should Know" to everyone who sends a stamped self-addressed envelop to: George Koltanowski, San Francisco Chronicle, San Francisco, Calif. The number of copies available is limited.

## 画

Providence (R.I.) Chess Club: The club championship of this club, formerly known as the Greater Providence YMCA Chess Club, saw the title go to Donald F. Stetzer, a former Austin Chess \& Checker Club player now setled in Rhode Island, with a score of $61 / 2-1 / 2$, drawing with Otto Hoffer. Walter B. Suesman placed second with $51 / 2-11 / 2$, losing a game to Stetzer and drawing with Hoffer. Hoffer was third with $5-2$, losing a game to Richard Pierson while drawing with Stetzer and Suesman. A USCF Club Affiliate.
Highland Park (Brooklyn) Chess Club: Victory in the Class A club event went to Gunars Znotins with 8-1 score. John Pamiljens was second with $71 / 2 \cdot 11 / 2$, and Mario Pedrotti third with $7-2$. William Buchin placed fourth with $5-4$, In the Class B event Walter Hunt scored first with 8-1, Frank DiAngelo was second with 7-2, while William Schnelder was third with $61 / 2-21 / 2$ and Fred Nussber ger fourth with 6-3. A USCF Club Af filiate.

## CHESS TACTICS

## Continued from page 5, col. 3)

 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 42. } & \text { B-B7 } & \text { 44. B-B7 } & \text { B-B4 }\end{array}$ Not 44 B)Not 44. ........, QxRP; 45. R-K2 winning the B .
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 45. P-QR4 } & \text { P-R5 } & \text { 47. B-K6 }\end{array}$
Black threatened 47 .
…...., BxP; 48. PxB, QxPch with a draw by perpetual check. The text-move prevents this and also starts a combination to force the exchange of B's thus clarlfying the ending.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 47. } & & \text { B-Q6 } & \text { 50. B-K6 }\end{array}$ B-Q6 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 49. R-Kt } & \text { Q-Kt6 } & \text { 52. R(1)-Q1 } & \text { Q-K4 }\end{array}$ The sealed move, 52 . ........, B-B8; 53 , R-B2 (not 53. RxB, QxR or 53. K-Kt1, BxP ; 54. R×B, Q-K6ch; 55. K-R2, QxB), Q-K6; 54. $\mathrm{R}(2) \times \mathrm{B}$ would accomplish White's purpose of exchanging E.'s.
53. B-K $\$ 4$ 53. B-K $\uparrow 4$

This enables White to weaken Black's P's while also forcing the exchange of B's.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 53. } & \text { B-B4 } & \text { 57. P-QK } 14 & \text { Q-K }+6 \\ \text { 54. R-Q5 } & \text { Q-K7 } & \text { 58. R-Q4 } & \text { Q PRP }\end{array}$ 54. R-QS

PxB 59. RxPch
White is happy to trade off this RP which has been cramping White's K side and offering Black some hopes of a perpetual check.
59. helps White to wind up the game quickly.
61. R-QB1 Q-K1 62. R-B5ch K-K $\ddagger 3$ Not 62. K-R5; 63, K-R2! with ther 64. RxP Mate or 64. P-Kt3 Mate to follow.
$63 . \operatorname{RxP}$
63. R×P Q.K8ch 66. R-B6ch
64. K-R2 Q.K

Resigns
Black's last P goes by the board.
After a nip and tuck race all the way, Albert Sandrin edged out John Tums in a photo-finish for the Chicago City Championship. Each scored 7-1 (6 wins and 2 draws), but Sandrin was awarded the title on S-B tie-breaking points, thus repeating their tie-breaking finish in the 1956 North Central Championship. In addition to their own draw in the 7th round, Sand rin drew with Dan Fischheimer in the 4th and Tums drew with Paul Tautvaisas in the 5th.

Other top finishers included third-prize winner Paul Tautvaisas and fourth-prize winner Kazys Jakstas, each with 6-2. Fifth through ninth with $51 / 2-21 / 2$ each were Angelo Sandrin (fifth-prize winner), Mitchell Sweig (first Class A prize-winner), Sam Cohen, Daniel Fischheimer, and Edward Buerger in that order. The second and third Class A prizes were awarded to Robert Ilderton and Walter Grombacher respectively, both with $5-3$. Also at $5-3$ was Robion Kirby who won the prize for highest scoring Junior. The three Class B prizes were taken by William Butler, Robert Stein, and Edward Vasco in that order, all with $31 / 2-41 / 2$. Fifty-two players participated in this 8-rd Swiss held at International House on the University of Chicago campus. USCF Director John Nowak was tournament director.

## 篤

The finals of the New Haven Open Championship conducted by the New Haven Chess and Checker Center was won by Stanley Wysowski with a $4-1$ score, losing no games but drawing with James Bolton and William Mills. Bolton was second with $3-2$, a loss to Mills and draws with Wysowski and D. Stauvers. Tied for third were Stauvers and Mills with $21 / 2-21 / 2$ each.

# Mate The Subtle Way! 

by Nicholas Gabor

All communications concerning this problem-column, including solutions as well as original compositions for publication (two and three-mover direct mates Cincinnatif 6, Ohio.

Problem No. 759
By Charles S. Jacobs
Winchester, Mass.
Original for Chess Life

Problem No. 760
By P. Fastosky
Mendoza, Argentina Original for Chess Life


Problem No. 761
By W. E. Frank Fillery Vancouver, B.C., Canada Original for Chess Life


Mate in three


Problem No. 762
By Dr. Laszlo Lindner Budapest, Hungary 1940

b) put Wh K to Q4- same;
c) fut Wh K to KKt squ- same.

A GAIN, three original contributions to our column constitute today's offerings. No. 762 is a Helpmate in two, in our opinion another sample of "Miracles on the Chessboard". White's mating procedure is set without Black's help: 1. B-K1 and 2. B-Kt4 mate. But Black must make two moves! Try it! But to be fair to those of our solvers for whom helpmates are a bewildering novelty, we decided to discontinue crediting the Solvers' Ladder with points for the solution of helpmates and will revise our Ladder's scoring accordingly.

We repeat here the outstanding points of CHESS LIFE's "Gamage Memorial International Composing Contest", announced in our previous column:

The two-movers and one three-mover per composer; orthodox style with no limitation on themes. Entries should be on diagrams printed or drawn, with intended key and main variations given. Prizes: a total of $\$ 100$ in cash, which may be increased later. Book prizes for honored works. Entries should be in the hands of the Problem Editor, postmarked not later than August 31, 1957. Judges: Two-movers-Messrs. Eaton and Hassberg; Three-movers-Messrs. Anderson and Holladay.

## Solutions- Mate the Subtlo Way!

No. 749 Ravenscroft-Hawes: Before key: 1. ........, QxRch! 2. KtxQ. 1. ........, BK4; 2. QxB. Key 1. P-Q6 threat 2. R-K4 mate. No. 750 Morra: key 1. Q-R, threat 2, Q-B6 ch! K-Q5, 3. Q-B3 mate. Some very subtle plays after 1 . ........., KB4, KQ5, PB6, BQ4 etc. No. 751 Aizikowitz: This problem is the work of Samuel Loyd published first in Chess Monthly in the year of 1859 and quoted as a classic at in numerable times. Our column is helpless against such acts of brazen plagiarism and counts on the smartness of our solvers to discover them. No. 752 Holladay: 1. P-R8 rook, KxKt ; 2. R-R2, QB8 mate. 1. P-Kt8 rook, Q-QB4, 2. R-Ktsq. Q-R6 mate. 1. P-Bsq. rook, KxPB7; 2. R-B6, Q-R4 mate.

North Jersey Chess League: After seven rounds of play, Orange leads with $41 / 2-11 / 2$ in match points. Plainfield is second with 4-2, while Elizabeth and Philldor have $31 / 2 \cdot 11 / 2$ each. Following are: Irvington with $3-2$, Jersey Clty with $2-3$, Northern Valley with $11 / 2-31 / 2$, and Montclalr with 0-7. A USCF League Affiliate.

## BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!

Join the USCFI it is always a sound opening move.

Thess Rife

# Solution Io <br> What' Tho Bat Mour? 

## Position No. 201

Lissitzin-Cholmov, Kiev 1954
Lissitzin played 1. Q-KN4, N-KN4, N-K3; 2. BxB, QxB; 3. Q-N4ch, K-Rsq (K-B2 also loses); and now, as Lissitzen himself later pointed out, White can win at once by 4. Q-QR4! Instead, he played 4. B-K3 and won only after a long and difficult ending in which Black did not always find the best defense. As Lissitzin also pointed out, 1. ........, B-K3 is even worse for Black because White forces a quick win by 2. Q-Q4!

Although no solver has submitted a wholly adequate analysis of this position, several solvers have shown that Black can put up a stiff resistance with 1. ......., BxN. If now 2. QBxB, BxB; 3.
Q-Q7, Q-B5; 4. PxB, K-N2; and White Q-Q7, Q-B5; 4. PxB, K-N2; and White
has no real winning prospects. Better has no real winning prospects. Better
is 2. PxN, N-K3; but, though White is 2 . PxN, N-k3; but, though white
then has some advantage, the position then has some advantage, the position
is not clear. 3. BxB is met by 3 . ........, is not clear. 3. BxB is met by 3. ........,
QxB; and 3. Q-QR4, by 3 . ......., BxB. QxB; and 3. Q-QR4, by BxB; 4. PxB, K-N2; Black is holding his own. Best seems 3. B-K3, but we have been unable to establish that the endgame advantage is sufficient to win by force
Several solvers attempted to improve on 1. Q-KN4. The best other try seems to be 1 . Q-Ksq. An interesitng variation in this line is 1. ......., BxN ; 2. PxB, N-K3; 3. Q-R5, NxB; 4. Q-B7ch, K-Rsq; 5. Q-B8ch, Q-Nsq; 6. BxBch, N-N2; BxN mate. Black, however, defends himself adequately by 1. ........, BxB; 2. Q-R5, PQR3! Tries such as 1. Q-Q4 and 1. Q-KB4 are also met by 1. ......., BxB.

Above all else, we try to avoid selecting unclear positions for this column, but occasionally we slip, and then we have the impossible problem of making equitable awards of credit. In this case, 1. Q-KN4 seems to be the "best" move, and solutions including it are being allowed a full point. A half point is being awarded for 1. Q-Qsq, the solvers who did not submit solutions because the position was unclear or whose solutions did not receive full credit, we will continue, until April 20 , 1957, to accept and credit analysis on this position. Such analysis may either support or refute the lines shown above. Solvers who desire comments on any analysis submitted should enclose a self-addressed stamped envelope.

One point goes to: Gerald Blair, Milton D. Blumenthal, Abel R. Bomberault, Carl E. Diesen, Rea B. Hayes, John Krueger, Edmund Nash, George W. Payne, Jan Pinney, William B. Wilson, and Neil P. Witting. The following receive point: Curtin, Thomas W. Cusick, M. Milstein, I. Schwartz, and W. E. Stevens.

> Join the USCF! it is always a sound opening move.

## 需

Subscriptions Aocepted for
THE BRITISH CHESS MAGAZINE Founded in 1881 and now the oldest thes periodical extant. Games Editor:
H. Golomoek-Problem World: S.

Sedgwick

- $\$ 4.00$ per year ( 12 issues)-
specimen copy 2ic
ipecial thln-paper efiftion, sent by Alrmail $\$ 5.70$ per year.

CANADIAN CHESS CHAT
Official Organ of the Only publication with nationsl covarage: Events, Games, Articles and persorralities-Canadian Chess News!
Annual Subscription: $\$ 3.00$
CHESS WORLD tomprehensive Australian chess maga
rine edited by C. J. S. Purdy. Articles,

300 garnē̃, problems, news.
\$3.00 per year- 12 issues Sample copy 90 c Order From
CHESS LIFE, 123 No, Hu Hak Park. III.

## Tournament Life

Send to CHESS LIFE, 123 No. Humphrey Ave., Oak Park, III. for application form for announcing tournament in this column.

Unless otherwise specified, all tournaments announced in this column are 100\% USCF rated. Rating fees, if any, are included in specified entry fee; no additional rating fee for non-members USCF.

March 30.31

## Heart of America Open

Kansas City, Mo.
Open; at YMCA, 10 th \& Oak, Kansas City; 5 rd Swiss, 45 moves in 2 hrs entry fee $\$ 2$ plus $\$ 5$ USCF membership for non-members; trophies, medals and book prizes; TD George Koltanowski; for details, write: Donald R. Seifert, 3022 Cissna, Kansas City, Kans
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
March 30.31

## Capital City Open Tournament

## Columbus, Ohio

Open; at Seneca Hotel, Columbus; 5 rd Swiss, 44 moves in 1 hr and 45 mins., adjud. after $31 / 2 \mathrm{hrs}$ of play; entry fee: non-Ohioans and OCA mem bers \$3, Ohioans not OCA members $\$ 5$ (including OCA dues); regsitration 8 to $9: 30$ a.m. Sat. March 30, play begins $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m} . ;$ 1st prize trophy and \$25, other cash prizes; for details, write:
James Schroeder, 439 Deshler Ave., James Schro
Columbus, 0.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## April 6.7

## 12th Kentucky State Open

Louisville, Ky.
Open; at Louisville YMCA Chess Club, 231 West Broadway, Louisville begins $1: 00$ p.m. Sat., April 6 CST, last rd 7:00 p.m. April 7; two divisions entry fees: $\$ 3$ and 32 ; 1st prize major div. $\$ 25$ and Courier-Journal Showalte Trophy, 1 st prize minor div, $\$ 10$ plus trophy; 4 rd Swiss; TD R. W. Shields;
for details, write: Bob Courtney, 231 For details, write: Bob Courtn
W. Broadway, Louisville 2 , Ky.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## April 27.28

## Western Massachusetts Championship <br> Greenfield, Mass.

Restricted to residents of Western Massachusetts; held at the YMCA, 451 Main St., Greenfield, by Conn. Valley and West Mass. Chess Assn.; 6 rd Swiss, 25 moves per hour; entry fee \$4; trophies only for 1st, 2nd \& 3rd in Class A, B, \& C; victor is Western Mas sachusetts Champion; TD Vernon Hume; for details, write: Vernon Hume, 19 School, Shelburne Falls, Mass.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
April 27-28 © May 4.5
Maryland Open Championship Baltimore, Md.
Open; at Maryland Chess Club, 1216 St. Paul St., Baltimore; 6 rd Swiss, 5 moves in 2 hrs ., 4 hr . playing ses sions; entry fee $\$ 7$ including $\$ 2$ return able deposit; cash awards- $40 \%$, $30 \%$, $20 \%, 10 \%$ to first four places; Mary land State and Women's title restrict ed to highest ranking Md. players; en tries accepted until 12 noon, April 27 TD William C. Koenig; bring chessmen and clocks; for details, write: Willlam C. Koenig, 810 Braeside Rd, Baltimore 29 , Md.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## May 3.5

Wisconsin State Championship LaCrosse, Wis.
Restricted to state residents and out-of-state members of state chess clubs; at Stoddard Hotel, LaCrosse; 7 rd Swiss; entry fee; $\$ 5$ with $\$ 3$ for Jun-
iors; trophies for first 6 positions, best iors; trophies for first 6 positions, best
junior and best women's score; winner Wisconsin State Champion; TD Ernest Olfe; for details, write: Hugh Gauper 626 Pine Street, La Crosse, Wis.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## June 28-30

## Carolinas Open Championship

 Greensboro, No. Car.Open; at Municipal Office Bldg., Greensboro; 6 rd Swiss; register: 12:30 p.m., June 28; entry fee: $\$ 5$ and $\$ 2$ NCCA or SCCA dues; 1st prize $\$ 100$ and trophy; Women's, Junior, and other cash prizes; for details, write: Norman M. Hornstein, M.D., Southport, N.C.

New Western Open Championship Milwaukee, Wis.
Open; at Venetian Room, Astor Hotel, 924 E. Juneau Ave.; sponsored by Mwiss, 50 Chess Foundation; 8 ra 50 moves in $21 / 2$ hrs. last 5 games; 50 moves in $21 / 2$ hrs. last 5 games; for non-members; play members, $\$ 11$ for non-members; play begins $10: 00$ a.m. CST i, July 4, last round 2:00 p.m., July 7; 1st prize $\$ 300$, 2nd $\$ 200$, 3rd
$\$ 100$, also $\$ 400$ to be awarded on game $\$ 100$, also $\$ 400$ to be awarded on game
and tie-breaking points with guaranteed and tie-breaking points with guaranteed
minimum prize fund of $\$ 1,000$; lightminimum prize fund of $\$ 1,000$; lightning play tournament with separate prize fund Friday, ${ }^{12}$ noon, entry fee
$\$ 1$; TD Ernest olfe; for details, write \$1; TD Ernest Olfe; for details, Write
Miss Pearle Mann, 1218 Rallway ExMiss Pearle Mann, 1218 Rallway
change Bldg., Milwaukee 2, Wis. $100 \%$ USCF rated event.

Say you saw it in CHESS LIFE.

May 4-5

## Indiana State Championship

 Logansport, Ind.Restricted to State residents and out-of-state members of a recognized Indiana Chess Club; at Barnes Hotel, Logansport starting at $1: 30$ p.m. Saturday, May 4; 6-rd Swiss, S-B tie-breaking; prizes of $\$ 25, \$ 15$, and $\$ 10$ for 1st three places are contemplated with a probable brilliancy prize for a non-prize-winner; entry fee: \$3; no advance registration necessary; bring boards, sets, and clocks if possible; for further details, write: D. E. Rhead, 2715 Green St., Gary, Ind. TD Emil Bersbach.
$100 \%$ USCF rated event.
May 30, June 1-2

## Albuquerque Open Championship

 Albuquerque, N.M.Open; at Hilton Hotel, Albuquerque; 7 rd Swiss, 45 moves 1st two hours and 24 moves per hr thereafter; starts 8:30 a.m. to midnight Thursday, May 30; 1 p.m. to 11 p.m. June 1; 8 a.m. to 6 p.m., June 2; Harkness pairing system; entry fee: $\$ 3$ with no extras; prizes include 2 cups and 3 medals for seniors, cup and book for juniors and oth${ }_{2}$ er items; for details: write W. A. Muff, 2717 Espanola, N.E., Albuquerque, N.M. $100 \%$ USCF rated event.

## BOOST AMERICAN CHESS

By Joining the U.S.C.F.

## CHESS BOOKS

## at money-saving prices to USCF members*

## NEW BOOKS

MODERN CHESS OPENINGS, 9TH EDITION by Korn \& Collins. About 400 pages. To be published about June. Special pre-publication price,
S4.98. \$4.98.
MY BEST GAMES OF CHESS, 1905 1930 by S. G. Tartakower. Just pub-
lished. 268 pp. 55.00 less. $12 \%$, $\$ 4.40$. HOW TO WIN IN THE CHESS ENDINGS by Horowitz. Scheduled for May publication, $\$ 3.36$.
PAUL MORPHY AND THE GOLDEN AGE OF CHESS by Napier. Scheduled for May publication, s4.25.

## CHESS OPENINGS

PRACTICAL CHESS OPENINGS by Reuben Fine, 467 pages. $\$ 6.30$ IDEAS BEHIND CHESS OPENINGS by Reuben Fine. 240 pages. 53.40
HOW TO PLAY THE CHESS OPENINGS
$\$ 2.98$
HOW TO WIN IN THE CHESS OPEN INGS by Horowitz. 200 pp. $\$ 2.96$ WINNING CHESS TRAPS by Irving CHESS TRAPS, PITFALLS, SWIN DLES by Horowitz \& Reinfeld. 246 pp . $\$ 3.36$ MODERN IDEAS IN CHESS OPEN GAMBITS ACCEPTED by L. E. Flotcher. 264 pages. $\$ 3.96$

## MASTER GAMES

ALEKHINE'S
By Alexander. 120 pp.
GAMES 500 MASTER GAMES OF CHESS by Tartakower \& Dumont. 100 GAMES OF MODERN MASTER ${ }_{\$ 3}$ CHESS by Tartakower \& Dumont. $\$ 3.55$
1000
1000 BEST SHORT GAMES OF CHESS by Shernev. 570 pp .54 .25
EPIC BATTLES OF THE CHESSBOARD by Coles. 176 pp . $\$ 2.40$ CHESS: MORE MINIATURE GAMES by DuMont. 240 pages. $\$ 2.98$
TROPHY CHESS by Larry Evans. 128 pp. $\$ 2.65$
THE WORLD'S A CHESSBOARD by Reuben Fine. 336 pp. $\$ 1.98$
RETI'S BEST GAMES OF CHESS by
Golombek. 182 TARRASCH'S BEST GAMES by Reln.
feld. 400 pp .52 .96
fld. 40 p.

THE FIRESIDE BOOK OF CHESS by
Chernev \& Reinfeld. 400 pp . $\$ 4.25$
KERES BEST GAMES by Relnfeld. 264 pp. $\$ 2.98$
THE UNKNOWN ALEKHINE by ReInreld. 286 pages. 53.40
IMMORTAL GAMES OF CAPABLAN CA by Reinfeld. 239 pp. $\$ 3.37$ RESHEVSKY ON CHESS by Reshevsky. $272 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 2.98$
CHESS AND CHESSMASTERS by
GUIDES TO BETTER PLAY AN INVITATION TO CHESS by Hark-
ness and Chernev. 234 pp . $\$ 2.98$ CHESS THE EASY WAY by Reuben Fine. 386 pp. $\$ 2.49$
${ }_{196}$ Dp. 60 diagrams. $\$ 3.36$ R. Coles. MY SYSTEM by A. Nimzovioh. 372 pp.
275 diagrams. $\$ 3.96$ WINNING CHESS by Chernev and
Reinfeld. 250 pD. $\$ 2.98$ THE NEXT MOVE IS
Ingley, 250 combinations. $98_{0}$ by CordTHE BASIS OF COMBINATION IN JUDGMENT AND PLANNING IN CHESS by Dr. Euwe. 200 pp. $\$ 3.15$
THE MIDDLE GAME IN CHESS by Reuben Fine. 442 pp. $\$ 6.30$ THE MIDDLE GAME IN CHESS by BASIC CHESS ENDINGS by Reuben Fine. 573 pp. $\$ 4.98$
HOW TO THINK AHEAD IN CHESS THE BPILIANT TOUCH ${ }_{240}^{\text {THE BRILLIANT TOUCH }}$ by Korn. MODERN CHESS STRATEGY by Edward Lasker. $438 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 4.25$
MY SYSTEM by A. Nimzovich. Fam ous classio. 3R
THE COMPLETE CHESSPLAYER by Reinfeld. 292 pp . $\$ 4.28$.
WHY YOU LOSE AT CHESS by Rein-
feld. 250 DD. $\$ 3.36$ feld. 250 pp. 33.36
THE ART OF THE CHECKMATE by
Renaud \& Kahn. 216 pD. $\$ 2.98$ Renaud \& Kahn. 216 pp . $\$ 9.98$
THE ART OF SACRIFICE IN CHESS
by Spislmann. $208 \mathrm{pp} . \$ 2.98$

## MISCELLANEOUS

OFFICIAL BLUE BOOK AND EN CYCLOPEDIA OF CHESS by Hark ness. 400 pp . $\$ 5.93$
THE PLEASURES OF CHESS by As slac. 200 pages. $\$ 2.98$

