## What's The Best Move?

## Conducted by IRWIN SIGMOND

Send solutions to Position No. 236 to reach Irwin Sigmond, 5200 Williamsburg Blvd., Arlington 7, Va., by August 20, 1958. With your solution, please send analysis or reasons supporting your choice of "Best Move" or moves.
Solution to Position No. 236 will appear in the September 5, 1958 issue.
NOTE: Do not place solutions to two positions on one card; be sure to indicate correct number of position being solved, and give the full name and address of the solver to assist in proper crediting of solution.


## WORLD STUDENT TEAM TOURNAMENT

The United States Student Team swept through the three qualifying rounds of the international tournament at Varna, Bulgaria, defeating Albania, Iceland, and Bulgaria, to enter the finals with scores of $3-0$ in matches, and $91 / 2-21 / 2$ in games. They defeated Albania $31 / 2+1 / 2$, Iceland $3-1$, and Bulgaria 3-1.

With three completed rounds of the finals in the scorebooks, the U.S. Team has lost to Argentina $21 / 2-11 / 2$, to Hungary $3-1$, and has won from East Germany $31 / 2-1 / 2$, to stand tied with Argentina for 3rd place with a game score of $6-6$, behind USSR with $9-3$, and Bulgaria with $7-5$. The other teams in the finals are Yugoslavia $5^{1 / 2}-6 \frac{1 / 2}{2}$, East Germany $5-7$, Hungary 5-7, and Czechoslovaka 41/2-71/2.

With international grandmasters Mikhail Tahl and Boris Spassky leading the USSR team, and masters Gurgenidse, Nikitin, Gipslis, and Nikoleavsky rotating over the 3rd and 4 th boards, the defending champions are, of course, favored to retain their title. The battle for the remaining places is, however, one which will probably not be decided until the last game of the last round is played.

The Argentine team, led by Grandmaster Oscar Panno, contains two masters who finished high in the Mar del Plata scoring a few months ago-Raul Sanguinetti, and Jaime Emma.

## USCF BULLETIN BOARD

Captain E. B. Edmondson, USCF member, and enthusiastic player and chess promoter, both inside and outside the armed services, writes: "Of utmost importance today is this appeal. We have had a teen-age blind girl join our club rcently, and we are desperately trying to locate any Braille chess literature." Will any reader who knows where such material may be obtained please write immediately and directly to Capt. Edmondson, Box 1055, Mather Air Force Base, California.

## CALIFORNIA JUNIOR TAKES S.C.A. TITLE

Sixteen-year-old David Krause from Palo Alto, California scored 6-1 in the seven-round Major J. B. Holt Memorial and 37 th Southern Chess Association Championship tournament at Sarasota, Florida, to take first place on tie-breaking points over Dr. Jose Fernandez of Las Tunas, Oriente, Cuba, who also scored 6-1. The 1957 winner, Dr. Steven J. Shaw of Columbia, S. Carolina, was third, and Glenn Hartleb of Tampa was fourth, after their $51 / 2-11 / 2$ tie had been broken.

Each of the following scored 5-2: Dr. R. A. Carlyle of St. Petersburg, Florida-the 1956 champion; Frank Rose, the Fort Lauderdale chess columnist, and top fund-raiser for the Olympic Team; A. C. Otten of Miami, and Robert Dickinson, Redwood City, California.

More details in the next issue.

## WEINSTEIN WINS U.S. JUNIOR

Raymond Weinstein, 17-year-old Brooklyn College student went undefeated through the nine rounds of play at Homestead, Florida, winning seven games and drawing two for a score of $8-1$, winning the title of Junior Champion of the United States for 1958.

Larry Remlinger, the 16-year-old master from Long Beach, California, also scored $8-1$, winning eight games but losing his fourth-round game to Weinstein, and finishing in second place on tie-breaking points.

Stephen Jones of Austin, Texas, (See page 5, CHESS LIFE, June 20, 1958) who accounted for one of Weinstein's two draws, took third place with 6-3. Another Texan, John Freeman of El Paso, accounted for Weinstein's other draw.

More details of this important event will be given in the next issue of CHESS LIFE.

## PAL BENKO 2nd WESTERN OPEN CHAMP

Pal Benko, Cleveland's Hungarian-refugee International Master, won six and drew two games, to top the 119 -entry field of the 2nd Western Open at Milwaukee, with a $7-1$ score. In view of Benko's consistently brilliant performances in recent Ohio events, reported elsewhere in this issue, the result was not unexpected. The great surprise was furnished by USCF Expert, Milton Otteson, of Minneapolis, who also scored 7-1, to capture second place on tie-breaking points, in front of at least eight USCF rated masters. Donald Byrne, who won the 1st Western Open in 1957, finisised in third place, Lajos Szedlacsek of Cleveland was fourth, and Dr. Paul Poschel of Royal Oak, Michigan finished fifth, after their three-way $61 / 2-11 / 2$ tie had been broken.

The sixth-place spot, which was the best which Bobby Fischer could achieve in 1957, was gained by Dr. Stephan A. Popel of Detroit, who topped a five-way tie for 6th-10th. The others, listed in tie-breaking order, were Martin Harrow, Bloomington, Ind., Geza Fuster and 1. Theodorovich of Toronto, Canada, and Charles Henin of Chicago.

More details in the next issue of CHESS LIFE.

## MILLER MISSISSIPPI STATE CHAMP

W. Troy Miller of Natchez completed the 7 round Swiss at Jackson undefeated with a $61 / 2-1 / 2$ score to win the Mississippi State Championship for 1958. The second spot was taken by the Mississippi Chess Association's new president, L. Peyton Crowder, with a 6-1 score. He also directed the tournament, themeby qualifying for membership in CHESS LIFE'S group of unsung heroes who specialize in doing at least three things at the same time-all for the advancement of chess. Fenner Parham, Jr., of Natchez took third place by $1 / 2$ a median point over Frank Chavez of Biloxi, and $21 / 2$ median points over Dr. Claude Jackson of Kosciusko, after the three had tied in game scores of 5-2.

The Class B Trophy was won by John R. Poole of Jackson, who scored $41 / 2-21 / 2$, and the Class C Trophy went to Earl Jones, also of Jackson, with a $4-3$ score. The State Junior Championship was captured by Ed Felts of Jackson, who scored 3-4 in the 26 player event.

## MINNESOTA MAULS MANITOBA

Although salvaging only a thin half-point from the first four boards, on Curt Brasket's draw with Grandmaster D. A. Yanofsky, Minnesota's strength on the next twelve boards, from which the U. S. team gained $111 / 2$ points, told the story in the 24th match between these perennial chess rivals. Minnesota won by $20-10$ (including 3 wins by forfeited games) on thirty boards in the 1958 match played at Detroit Lake, Minnesota. Harry Yanofsky, S. Szabo, and J. Woodbury, at Boards 2, 3, and 4, respectively, defeated $H$. Otteson, G. Barnes, and S. Sorenson of Minnesota. Then the Yankee strength-in-depth took over, with Ronning, Gueydan, Tiers, Gleason, Smith, Rein, Pedersen, Fruchtman, Gove, Narveson, and Simmer scoring full points, with Kaiser at Board 7 adding a half-point. On the last fourteen boards full points were scored by Knapp, Knieval, Burger, Riley, Stein, Kruse and Elmquist for Minnesota, while Boxer, Silverburg, Collie, -Haber and Olin added five points to the Canadian total.

# ALL'S WELL THAT ENDS WELL 

Mastering the End Game By W ALTER KORN, Editor of MCO

## AGAIN THE VICIOUS CIRCLE

Readers will remember Diagram 50, Keres-Eliskases, Nordwijk 1938. Here is its counterpart and forerunner in the field of Endgame Study. It is a replica of the practical game position drawn by Eliskases, with the difference that one position shows a "clockwise," the other position an "anti-clockwise" movement!
The solution is not difficult, but the idea and the harmony are pleasing to the eye.

1. R-R1ch, K-N1; 2. R-Nich, K-B1; 3. R-QR1, K.Q1; 4.; K.Q6, K-K1; 5. K-K6, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B1}$; 6. K-B6, K-N1; 7 . R-R8Ch, K-R2;
 K-BS, K-RS; 11. K-B4, drawn.

Diagram 54
Study by J. Moravec, 1924


White to move and draw

## POPEL STILL

 TOPS IN MICHIGANStefan Popel, former champion of France, retained his Michigan State title in the 7 round Swiss recently played at Jackson. He won seven in a row, his 70 score topping the nearest contestants by $11 / 2$ points. Six players tied for 2nd7th places with $51 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$, tie breaking points placing them in the following order: Leonide Dreibergs of Saginaw; George Eastman of Detroit; Ronald Finegold of Detroit; Geza Fuster of Toronto; Phil LeCornu of Highland Park; and Dr. Paul Poschel of Royal Oak. Mr. Finegold's score won the Class A Trophy for him.

Home-towner Robert Harvey scored $41 / 2-21 / 2$ to win the Class B Trophy, while Latvis Celmins of Grand Rapids won in Class C. with $31 / 2-31 / 2$. Wesley Burgar of Detroit retained his title as State Junior Championship by scoring $41 / 2-21 / 2$. The women's championship was won by Miss Lucille Kellner.

The 76 contestants were treated to addresses, following a tournament luncheon, by CHESS LIFE columnist Walter Korn, and USCF President Jerry Spann. Another tournament feature was that furnished by the fact that it was directed by Mrs. A. H. Palmi of Springport. We hope that she didn't have to forfeit any of her husband's games on time; she is the wife of Michigan's veteran player and promoter, Andrew H . Palmi.

Are You a Member?
Is Your Friend a Member?

All college clubs and players are urged to send news items to Frederick H. Kerr, 1776 Sample Road, Allison Park, Pennsylvania.
To close out the school year Yeshiva University played four matches with colleges in the New York Metropolitan Area. The team won from St. Peter's College $8-0$ and from both St. Peter's College 8-0 and from both
Brooklyn Polytechnic Institute and Brooklyn Polytechnic Institute and
Newark College of Engineering
$3-2$. Newark College of Engineering 3-2. Powerful Fordham University was too
much for Yeshiva, however, and the Bronx school won $31 / 2-1 / 2$.

Pitt did it again! This time they beat a strong Bethany College team beat a strong Bethany College leam
4-1. Luther Henry, Virgil Rizzo, Lester 4-1. Luther Henry, Virgil Rizzo, Lester Shapiro, and Reed Powers scored the lone winner for Bethany. In other news from the University of Pittsburgh, Virgil Rizzo won the club championship. In the process of his victory, he upset Luther Henry and held grad student Jerry Orner to a draw.

John M. Yates has organized a new club at the University of South Carolina. Up north the same was done at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology by Larry Wagner. South Carolina is a newcomer to college chess, but M.I.T. has had a powerful team from time to time.
Several hundred dollars remain to be raised for the United States team in the World Student Tournament at Golden Sands, near Varna, Bulgaria. Anthony Saidy paid these bills from personal funds in order to put the team on the road. Please send your token support to the Intercollegiate Chess League of America at the address given atop this column.

College students, would you like to be a guest columnist in Chess Life? If you have something of interest to say to college players, write a column and send it to this reporter. The best send it to this reporter. The best
essays received will be printed in this
spot. Your subjiect can concern any spot. Your subject can concern any aspect of student chess such as methods used to increase local interest, the value of chess as a college activity, new Ideas for competition, the type of assistance given chess by your college administration, or ways for raising money on the campus.

## NATIONAL CAPITAL $30-30$ TOURNAMENT <br> LABOR DAY WEEK-END

 7 Round Swiss4 rounds Sundey ${ }_{3}$ August 31 .
3 rounds Monday, September 1 FIRST PRIZE $\$ 150$ Entry Fee $\$ 5.00$
Locale of the event will be determined later depending on number of entries.
See or call George Thomas, Tournament Director, for further details. (Burtonsville, Md. SPring 4-6872 or at Washington Chess Divan). Pass the news around among your team members and chess playing friends.

ON THE ROAD TO THE WORLD TITLE
by PAUL LEITH
Fifteen year old Robert (Bobby) Fischer and James T. Sherwin, about ten years his senior, will represent us in the Interzonal Tournament at Portoroz, Yugoslavia, Aug. 5th to Sept. 15th. If they wind up in the qualifying group of five, they can then play in next year's Candidates Tournament, the winner of which will become the challenger in 1960 for the world title in a match of 24 games.

Prior to 1948, nelther Fischer, nor Sherwin, nor anyone else, could earn across-the-board the right to play for the world title. The world chess champion picked the challenger; some laid down stiff financial conditions, which all too often ruled out rightful contenders. Some champions even refused a return match to the former title-holder.

We chess players owe a great debt, therefore, to the International Chess Federation for organizing three-year competitive cycles to select the world chess champion. These cycles started after the 1948 Match-Tournament (at The Hague and Moscow), won by Botvinnik, with Reshevsky tying for third place.

The coming Interzonal is the fourth. The first, held in Stockholm, Sweden (1948) was won by the then 24 year old David Bronstein (USSR). He tied with Isaac Boleslavsky in the Candidates Tournament at Budapest, Hungary (1950) and won the playoff. Bronstein drew with Botvinnik in the title match in Moscow (1951), the latter retaining the title.

At the second Interzonal (1952) at Stockholm, Alexander Kotov won by a record three point margin, but in the 1953 Candidates Tournament at Zurich, Switzerland, the victor was Vassily Smyslov. The 1954 Botvinnik-Smyslov title match at Moscow ended in a 12-12 draw.

Bxonstein won a second time at the third Interzonal at Gothenberg, Sweden in 1955. In the 1956 Amsterdam Candidates Tournament, Smyslov won for the second straight time, and became World Champion in 1957 by defeating Botvinnik at Moscow $12 \frac{1}{2}-91 / 2$. But Botvinnik regained his title this year by taking the return match at Moscow $121 / 2-101 / 2$. This was the first time that the International Chess Federation (FIDE) authorized such a return mateh.

With Smyslov and Paul Keres (USSR) seeded into the 1959 Candidates Tournament (1st and 2nd at the preceding 1956 Amsterdam Candidates Tournament), only two of the four USSR entries at Portoroz can qualify for 1959, even should all four land in the first five. The rules state that no country may have more than four players in the 1959 Candidates Tournament. This insures the presence of at least three players from outside of the Soviet Union.

A wholesome trend in the Interzonals has been a reduction by FIDE of the number of places allotted to the Soviet Union. In 1948, it had seven out of twenty, Out of twenty-one players, it had five in 1952 and six in 1955; but it will have only four at Portoroz. This gives players from other countries a better chance of qualifying for the Candidates Tournament.

The Interzonals show a steady influx of new blood. For example: 1948-24 year old Bronstein; 1952-also from the Soviet Union-Yefim Geller, Tigran Petrosian, Mark Talmanov and Yuri Averbach; and from West Germany, Wolfgang Unzicker; 1955-Oscar Panno from Argentina. Portoroz will present a formidable group of youthful newcomers: Bobby Fischer; 21-year-old, and twice USSR Champion, Mikhail Tahl; Bent Larsen of Denmark; Fridrik Olafsson of Iceland; and A. Matanovich of Yugoslavia. It is quite possible that at least two of this latest crop of stars will qualify for the 1959 Candidates Tournament.

Witness to FIDE's untiring efforts to extend chess throughout the world was the appearance for the first time of Asia, the birthplace of chess, as a new Zone (9), whose representative will play at Portoroz.

USA chess players can be proud of the dact that for the first time at any Interzonal, we will be represented by two players. The late Herman Stelner was at Stockholm 1952 (tied for 11th-13th places) and Arthur B: Bisguier at Gothenberg 1955 (tied for 17th-18th places).

We can also be proud because we will have a team in Munich, Germany for the 13th World Team Tournament starting Sept. 30th. And because Mrs. Gresser and Mrs. Stevenson will take part in the World Women's Candidates Tournament in Paris in September. And because our students are now in Varnu, Butgaria, playing in the World Students' Tournament.

Yes, it's a great year for USA chess players, and for the USCF.

## U.S. TOURNAMENT REMINDERS

July 31-Aug. 1-2-Carolina Open, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. (CL 6-5-58)
August 1-2-Seattle Sea Fair Open, Seattle, Washington.
August 9-10-Cincinnati Open, Central YMCA, Cincinnati, Ohio (CL 6-20-58)
August 23-24-Sixth Annual Panhandle Open, Borger, Texas.
Aug. 23-Sept. 1-New York State Championship, Cazenovia Junior College, Caze-
novia, N. Y.
Aug. 29-Sept. 1-New Jersey Open Championship, East Orange, N. J. (CL 7-5-58)
Aug. 30-Sept. 1-Alabama Open, Birmingham, Alabama. (CL 7.5-58)
Aug. 30-Sept. 1-Ohio Chess Championship, Seneca Hotel, Columbus, Ohio. (CL 6-5-58)
Aug. 30-Sept. 1-Ohto Juntor Championship, Seneca Hotel, Columbus, Ohio. (CL 6-5-58)
Aug. 30-Sept. 1-Southwestern Open Championship, Shamrock-Hilton Hotel, Houston, Texas. (CL 6-20-58)
Obess Life Sunday, Page 2
July 20, 1958
Are You a Member?
Is Your Friend a Member?

# LARRY EVANS ON CHESS 

By International Grandmaster LARRY EVANS

## A READER'S SUGGESTION

Dear Sir:
I am writing about Larry Evans' very interesting article, "On Offering Draws," CHESS LIFE, May 5, 1958, p. 5.

1. I strongly urge that the procedure for offering draws be clarified in the official rules.
2. You are technically correct concerning Evans' use of the words "properly" and "proper." However, while reading the article, I did not interpret the words as casting aspersions or belittling. I thought Evans simply meant that a proper result was a result that experienced players would agree in expecting with unlimited time available to each player.
3. Evans showed very clearly that there is a logical dilemna. This follows naturally since verbal negotiation is inapproiate to a situation where time is always "running out" for one of the players.
It seems to me that the only solution is to use a visible signal and avoiding discussion of the matter.
If all time clocks were equipped with a "flag" or similar device, any player willing to take a draw could drop the flag or "set" the device. Then at any time when both draw indicators were showing, the game would be terminated as a draw.
This act could be done at any time and would not disturb the opponent.
Since clocks do not have such a device, simple expedients, as agreed in advance, could be used; for example, putting a coin on the clock, or putting a playing card under the corner of the clock, etc.
4. In the above suggestion I assumed that the draw willingness could be revoked by "unsetting" the signal at any time. However, it might be interesting to get reaction to the suggestion that signalling willingness to draw be irrevocable. In fact the offer might then be less used-a result which has been urged by Chess Life.

## Carl E. Diesen <br> Tonawanda, N. Y.

## MY REACTION

1. Yes, the procedure for offering draws should be covered by the ground rules.
2. The use of the words "proper" and "properly" were not mine, but a quotation from Jack Spence's tournament book. However I take them to mean exactly what reader Diesen suggests.
3. Yes, a visible signal would avoid the danger of "disturbing or distracting" an opponent in time pressure with a verbal offer. It is an ideal solution of how to offer draws,
but not of the question when.
4. This last point is where difficulty sets in.
I agree that this method would make the offer less used, for then a player's intention would be clearly visible to all-spectators and opponent.
I am a hundred per cent against the offer being irrevocable. As I tried to point out, the offer of a draw is not always made in the hope that it will be accepted. It has the psychological effect of fostering overconfidence. If the offer were irrevocable, the opponent could continue the game and commit umpteen errors without danger of being punished, since he could claim the standing offer of a draw at anytime.
Once the signal were adopted, the only valid remaining issue would be on whose move the offer should be tendered, and how long it should last.
The answer must of necessity be arbitrary. My own feeling is that an offer should be allowed at any time and instantly terminated with any change in the position, such as the making of a move, or the pushing of the time clock.
The advantage of a visual signal is that it obviates all dispute. A player cannot offer a draw on his opponent's move, walk away from the board, return, then deny he ever made the offer when his opponent accepts it.
When a player offers a draw on his own time, it is automatically cancelled when he makes a move. This disposes of those "make-yourmove first" replies.
The verbal element should and could be removed. The offer of a draw is as much a part of chess as moving a piece. When we make a move which can be seen clearly on the board we don't then launch into a verbal repetition of it. So why offer a draw when we can signal it?
I can foresee cases arising in time-pressure when players forget to "unset" the signal. But this is strictly their responsibility, just as is punching the clock and recording the moves. Someday all these functions will be rendered purely mechanical. The punching of the time-clock will automatically "unset" the draw device. Until then, let the responsible authorities set the method by which a draw offer may be signalled, and its limits.
Congratulations reader Diesen! (Kindly forward all reader reaction and suggestions to the editor.)

## GET BEHIND AMERICAN CHESS * * * EVERY MEMBER GET A MEMBER

All chessplayers and chess clubs in any of the Armed Forces are invited to send in news items for publication to Robert A. Karch, 1502 North 57th, Seattle 3, Washington.

Since the appointment of a Chairman last December, the USCF Armed Forces Chess Committee has expanded to include the following members:

## Donald O. Halgren, Germany

Sp3 Eugene B. Hoeflin, Fort Huachuca, Arizona
Capt. E. B. Edmondson, Mather AFB, California
Capt. Edwin F. O'Brien, Korea (enroute)
The job of this committee is to bring order out of chaos and to eventually coordinate all widespread effort into a cohereat, meaningful program. One of our ested in contributing ideas or information are invited to correspond with this ested in co

In the Vogelweh Army Housing Area, Kaiserslautern, Gerimany, Don Halgren reports a full round of activities for the chess club there. Members include military and civilian plus . . . . a Frenchwoman!

The first Vogelweh club champion is Mr. Marry and the first bi-monthly speed king is Art Wooten, who is also an NRA master pistol shooter!

Both McClellan Air Force Base and Mather Air Force Base, two large installations in the Sacramento, California area, have formed chess groups, according to a report received from Capt. E. B. Edmondson, USAF. At each base, these chess enthusiast.s hold weekly meetings at the Base 81, 51\%. Scoring players on both teams are listed below: $81 / 2-5^{1 / 2}$. Scoring players on both teams are listed below:
 Forissant, Missouri (who recently him a resident of Ohio on one mage and of Missouri on another of the same issue) we get the suggestion for same issue) we get
this WHODUNIT.

Don has found the following game in several bits of chess literature. As it appears below, it is supposed to have been played in 1922. In another place he found the identical moves of his game plus two more, ending with is supposed to have this longer game is supposed to have been played in 1917, by two different players.
This problem, therefore, presents a double task-identifying the players and dates of both games, and telling us of any possible previous publication of this curious coincidence. Since the game, itself, with its thrilling kinghunt, is fairly well-known, we have to remind readers that the names of one pair of players and the date of their game are not enough-we have to have two to give credit for a solution. White

Black

| 1. P-K4 | P-Q4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | N-KB3 |
| 3. N-QB3 | NxP |
| 4. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B4}$ | N-N3 |
| 5. B-N3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 6. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P-K4 |
| 7. P-Q3 | B-KN5 |
| 8. P-KR4 | B-R4 |
| 9. $\mathbf{N \times P !}!$ | BXQ |
| 10. BxPch | K-K2 |
| 11. B-N5ch | K-Q3 |
| 12. N-K4ch | K×N |
| 13. P-B4ch | K-Q5 |
| 14. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | K-K6 |
| 15. 0.0 | N-Q5 |
| 16. QR-K1ch | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 17. R×Neh | KxR |
| 18. B-R5ch | K-K6 |
| 19. R-B3ch | K-Q5 |
| 20. B-B7 | Resigns |

## Mississippi State Officers

The following officers were elected by the Mississippi Chess Association in President-L meeting at Jackson: President-L. Peyton Crowder; Vice President-Lous A. Miazza; Secretary-Treasurer-John R. Poole; Tournament Director-Aaron s. Condon; Editor of MCA "NEWSLETTER"-David Harris. USCF en masse, and to conduct offlcially rated tournaments in the

We slipped on something in our first edition in the last issue. After announcing that the rolls were closed to players rated 1900 or more, we pub lished the Stetzer-Raich game, only to learn that although Don Stetzer was not included in the latest USCF rating Inst, he was rated 1996 in the list of May 5, 1957. In order to legitimate thi tactical error, as well as to make possible the future publication of some fine games in which one of the players was in the $1900-2000$ range, we hereby raise our sights to that de gree. From now on, everything goes up to 2000. Here's one from the North Florida Open, 1958-the game which decided which player would take the title. Seventeen-year-old pawn grabber Dover may take solace from a game which we will try to dig out for this column, played in 1932, in which your editor, six pawns up, and pieces even, managed to get himself mated in a Buffalo Chess Club tournament.
WM. SCOTT (1913) Black

| 15. K-B2 | Q-K7ch |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16. K-N3 | B-K3 |
| 17. N-R3 | P-N4 |
| 18. QxBP | P-KR4 |
| 19. P-R3 | P-N5! |
| 20. RPXP | P-R5! |
| 21. K-R3 | QR-KN1 |
| 22. R-KN1 | RxP |
| 23. QxBch | Kxa |
| 24. PxR? | Q-Qoch |
| 25. K-R2 | Q-N6ch |
| 26. K-R1 | P-R6! |
| 27. Resigns |  |

SAM MILLER White (1948) (Unrated) Black

| 1. | P.K4 | P.QB4 | 13. Q-K1 | -K2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB3}$ | P-Q3 | 14. Q-N3 | -K1 |
| 3. | P-C4 | PxP | 15. P-B5 | KPx |
| 4. | NxP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB3}$ | 16. KPXP | B-K |
| 5. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB3}$ | N -B3 | 17. Q-R3 |  |
| 6. | B-K2 | P-KN3 | 18. PxP | K-R |
| 7. | B-K3 | P-QR4 | 19. B-Q3 | Nich |
| 8. | 0.0 | B.N2 | 20. K-B2 | P.B3 |
| 9. | P-QR4 | O-O | 21. R-KN1 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ |
| 10. | . $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{\times N}$ | PxN | 22. R-N6 |  |
| 11. | P-B4 | Q-82 | 23. QxPch! |  |
| 12. | P.KN4 | P.K3 |  | esi |
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## Sackcloth and Ashes $D_{\text {epartment }}$

When we wrote that enthusiastic editorial in the July 5 issue about our USCF CHESS BY MAIL deal, we felt that a position had been reached in organizational and operational planning which justified our optimism. Unfortunately, we had only reached the position at which the master annotators write, "The win is clear, and the rest is simply a matter of technique." And, like so many chess-players who have at tained a winning position, but who have been unable to demonstrate the required technique for the win, we find ourselves having to admit that we were a bit too previous.

A telegram from President Jerry Spann, just before we were ready to go to press says, "Hold all material as written and set up for later publication. There will be discussion and action in Rochester on Postal Chess and on all the ramifications." We know that the machinery for the venture is just waiting for the finger on the starter button. We know that many USCF members want their own Postal Chess Department. We know that Jerry Spann is one of the plan's greatest boosters. We know that Norman Hornstein is rarin' to go. We believe that a workable plan will emerge from the deliberations at Rochester. And we have almost a full page of USCF CHESS BY MAIL material all set up in type, waiting for the green light. The game, therefore, is not lost-it's just adjourned And the final moves fall into the "pianola" classification; they play themselves; just a matter of technique!

$$
O_{n} J_{o} R_{o c h e s t e r}-\mathscr{L}_{\alpha s t} \mathcal{C}_{a l l}
$$

This issue of CHESS LIFE is the last one which will appear before the opening date of the USCF tournament high-spot of the year-The United States Open, which begins at Rochester, Minnesota on August 4 To the Minnesota organizers, and the IBM sponsors, our thanks for their usually thankless work, and best wishes for a successful event. To all participants, the old Pier 9 Fight Club's exhortation, "May the more efficient adversary emerge victorious!'

## DI CAMILLO PHILLY METRO CHAMP

USCF Master Attilio DiCamillo topped a 45 -player six-round Swiss, to win the 1958 Philadelphia Metropolitan Championship with a $51 / 2-1 / 2$ score. Fred Turim, also undefeated, and the player who took the half point from DiCamillo, placed second, at the top of a three way tie for 2 nd-4th places with Gordon Marcus and Anthony Koppany, who finished third and fourth, respectively, after the 5 -2 ties were broken. Turim and Koppany each won 4 and drew 2, while Marcus won 5 and lost 1. Larry Snyder took fifth place with $41 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$. The next seven places were decided by S-B points, after the following players had scored 4-2. Dr. Max Cohen; Anthony Cantone; Anatole Kotloby; Lisa Lane; Vernon Smith; Charles Smith; and Herbert Fisher. Six other players posted plus scores, and places as follows after their $31 / 2-21 / 2$ ties had been broken: Miroslav Santa; Arnold Rubin; Frank Brauner; John Davies; Howard Silverman; and Leland Quindry.

## TEEN-AGER TAKES TEXAS TITLE

Fifteen-year-old Byron Douglas of Dallas won five and drew one for a $5 \frac{1}{2}-1 / 2$ score, to top a twentyeight entry Texas Open played at San Antonio over the Memorial Day weekend. Second place was captured by C. Fred Tears, also of Dallas, with four wins and two draws, and a $5-1$ score. Both median and Solkoff points were required to give Rev. Gordon Winrod the third place over another teen-ager, Henry Davis of San Antonio, after each had scored $51 / 2$. $11 / 2$, with four wins, a loss, and a draw. Fifth to eighth places went to the following in the order listed after their 4-2 ties had been broken: Bob Miller, Jr.; Robert Hux; Cliff Jewell; and Eric Bone. Henry Youngman, F. M. Durio and Wm. Jarnagin placed in that order after tieing with $31 / 2-21 / 2$ for the only other plus scores.
bOOST AMERICAN CHESS
Join the USCFI is is always a sound opening move.

USCF Membership Dues, Including subscription to Chess LIfe, periodical publication of national chess rating, and all other privileges:
ONE YEAR: $\$ 5.00$ TWO YEARS: $\$ 9.50$ THREE YEARS: $\$ 13.50$ LIFE: $\$ 100.00$ SUSTAINING: $\$ 10.00$ (Becomes Life Membership after 10 payments) A new membership starts on 21st day of month of enrollment, expires at the
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## With The Clubs

The Cincinnati Parkway Chess Club ran off a rapid transit ( 10 seconds a move) tournament recently. Twentytwo entrants were divided into three sections, with the winner in each section quallifying for the finals. Eugene Warner took Section A with a 5.1 score, as did Greenspan, against tough opposition in Section B. Conrad Levy position in Section $B$. Conrad Levy
won Section C, with $5-2$. Warner then Won Section C, with $5-2$. Warner then
made a clean sweep of the finals, with made a clean sweep of the finals, with Greenspan coming second, and Levy third. Among the amusing incidents developed by the fast and furious play was that in which Heising, in Section B, checked Rea Hayes' King, and Hayes moved out of check without either of them noticing until after the game's conclusion that Heising's King was standing in check at the time.
The championship (1958) of the London Terrace Chess Club went to Marcel Duchamp who won 9 and lost 1 for a fine $9-1$ score in the eleven-player round robin event. Rhys Hays, who handed Duchamp his single defeat, won 7, drew 2, and lost one to take second place with 8-2. USCF PastPresident and Master Emeritus H. M. Phillips, tied with John Wallan for 3 rd and 4th places, with $7-3$, each with 6 wins, 2 draws, and 2 losses. Manuel Gonzales posted $61 / 2-31 / 2$ for the only other plus score.

Chess is booming in the high schools f Minneapolis and St. Paul. Bloom ington High School won the champi onship of the Metropolitan High School Chess Conference in the Twin Cities area, winning four matches, drawing one, with an individual record of 19 wins and 6 losses. There were six teams in the league including Columbia Heights, St. Louis Park, Minneapolis North, Edina, Hopkins and Blooming on. St Louis Park was runnerwith 3-2 match record In with a $3-2$ mattch record. In addition ment was held in which three of the ment was held in which three of the top players from each school, except the two that finished at the bottom from which 2 players were chosen competed in a Spring Tournament. There were sixteen players in this event and they played a four round Swiss, Reynolds of Bloomington won the title. Bloomington High School apparently the power in high school chess, held a tournament in which they had 32 entries, again Wayne Reynolds won this eight round Swiss tournament with a score of $71 / 2$ points.
The advisor and spark plug behind the Bloomington Chess and the Metro politan High School Conference is politan High Sch
Steven St. Martin.

One of our dreams is for a tourna ment which would bring together the many boys and giris who are outstanding in high school chess, to play for the title of United States High School Chess Champion. With the high school hot-shots who have already won prominence in New Jersey, Texas, California, Utah, Minnesota, Florida, and other states, an imposing entry list could be made up, and the brand of chess played would surprise and delight adult chess-players everywhere. Ed.)

The Tidewater Chess Championship an 8 round Swiss played at the Cen an 8 round Swiss played at the Cen
tral YMCA in Norfolk, Va., was won tral YMCA in Norfolk, Va., was won 15 player event with $6-2$, winning on 15 player event with 6-2, winning on tle-breaking points from George Mass inger who had also scored 6-2, and who took second place. Andrew Schoene was third and Charles Ride fourth on Solkoff points after their $51 / 2-21 / 2$ tie had been broken. George Trefzer was fifth, with 5-3, while Dr Angel Acevedo obtained the only other plus score with $41 / 2-31 / 2$. An 11-playe Class A event, conducted concurrently saw Jack Cunningham, Jr. win the first spot on Solkoff points, after the $7-1$ tie with second-place Robert Efird was broken. E. A. Cook with $61 / 2-11 / 2$, was third, while Jon Carlson with $41 / 2-31 / 2$ took fourth with the only other plus score.

The Newport News Invitational, a 6 player event in which 4 games were played by each entrant against every other contestant, saw David Shook with $141 / 2-51 / 2$, nose out George Trefzer who took second place with 14-6 George Massinger took third with $131 / 2-61 / 2$, a full three points ahead of fourth-place Sam Mason with $101 / 91 / 2$ fourth-place Sam Mason, with $101 / 2-91 / 2$ Played at the Newport News YMCA the event was directed by USCF Di played in it tring fifth place with played in it, taking fifth place with a 5-15 score.

The winner of the above tournament, David Shook, (last USCF rating 1600 ), then won a six-game USCF rated match with Norman Cantor, who took the Ist and 3rd games. Shook took the 2nd and 4th. They drew the 5 th. Shook then won the last game, and the match, $31 / 2-21 / 2$. This match, played at the Newport News YMCA was also directed by Mr . Bloodgood, who re ported this and the two preceding items for CHESS LIFE.
The newly-formed Bay Region C.C. of Dundalk, Maryland (one of "Armed Forces Chess" Bob Karch's successful organizational projects) sponsored an impressive regional tournament before the Club had been in existence for six months. The 1st Annual Bay Region 30-30 Open drew 24 entries from al over Maryland, Virginia, and Washington, D.C. Robert S. Raven of Catons ville, Md., won the 7 -round event with a 6-1 score, his 22 median points topping the 18 points of Norman T. Whita ker of Shady Side, Md., who also had a 6-1 game score, having lost only to Raven. Dr. Richard Rigler of Balti more, who won five, losing to both Raven and Whitaker, took third place on median points, while George Trefzer of Newport News, Va, with an almost Identical score (five wins, and losses to Raven and Whitaker) was fourth Robert Grande of Washington and Michael Tischtschenko of D.C more placed firth Balti tively, placed fifth and sixth, respec tively, after their $41 / 2-21 / 2$ tie had been Md en. Herbert Avram of Adelphi, finish who handed Raven his only loss, for 7 th 10 th The fther places had been broken. The others with $4-3$ scores, in the order of their final placing, were: Russell Lerch, James C. Williams, and Jim Clark.

## PEHNEC INDIANAPOLIS OPEN CHAMP

Top honors in the 1958 Indianapolis Open Championship, played at and sponsored by the Indianapolis Open Championship, played at and sponsored by the Indianapolis YMCA Chess and Checker Club, were taken by B. Pehnec of Elkhart, who won five in a row to take the 5 round Swiss with a perfect $5-0$ score.

Three players tied with 4-1, and placed as follows on S-B points: second, Bert Edwards of Indianapolis, Ind.; third, David Harrison of Seattle, Wash.; fourth, Kuzay Jankauskas of Chicago, Ill.

Herbert Bent of Wabash, Ind., was fifth, with $31 / 2-11 / 2$.

The following players finished in an unbroken seven-way tie with $3-2$, for the next seven places: John Feld, Wendell Lutes, Ray Felts, Howard Donnelly, William Jones, Rudolph Zwicky, and Homer Peterson. Prize-winners Jankauskas and Harrison were the only out-of-Indiana players among the 26 entrants.
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# CHESS TACTICS FOR BEGINNERS 

By U. S. Expert DR. ERICH W. MARCHAND
(1958 U.S. Amateur Champion)

Dr. Marchand will answer beginners' questions on this page, if of sufficionf general interest. Those wishing a personal reply should enclose stamped, selfaddressed
N.Y.

## 1. Answers to Readers' Questions

In the first game of the World Championship Match, 1958, Botvinnik, with black played 19 . $\qquad$ 19 Q-B
$\qquad$ son, Houston, Texas, wonders why he failed to play 19.
$\qquad$ P-N5 since it seems to win a Pawn in every variation. Answer: The moves up to this point were 1. P-K4, P-QB3; 2. N-QB3, P-Q4; 3. N-B3, B-N5; 4. P-KR3, BxN; 5. QxB, N-B3; 6. P-Q3, P-K3; 7. B-K2, QN-Q2; 8. Q-N3, P-KN3; 9. O-O, B-N2; 10. B-B4, Q-N3; 11. QR-N1, O-O; 12. B-B7, Q-Q5; 13. B-B3, P-K4; 14. B-Q6, KR-K1; 15. B-R3, PxP; 16. PxP, P-QN4; 17. KR-Q1, Q-N3; 18. P-N3, N-B4; 19. B-B1. Reader Patteson's suggestion has its points. For instance, 19. P-N5; 20. N-R4 (20. N-K2, QNxKP); 21. PxN, Q-R4; 22. P-R3 (22. B-Q2, QxP; 23. RxP, QxBP (or RP), QxRP; 23. PxP, QxBP;-24. B-N2, N-Q2! Likewise consider 19. P-N5; 20. B-K3!, PxN; 21. P-N4, N(4)xP!
Thomas Feeney, Detroit, Michigan, asks about the following lively variation of the Vienna Opening. I. P-K4, P-K4; 2. N-QB3, N-KB3; 3. B-B4, NxP; 4. Q-R5, N-Q3; 5. B-N3, N-B3; 6. N-N5, P-KN3; 7. Q-B3, P-B4; 8. Q.Q5, Q-K2; 9. N×Pch, K-Q1; 10. N×R, P.QN3; 11. Q-B3, B.QN2; 12. N-K2, N.Q5; 13. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q}$; 14. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K5}$; 15. N.Q4, P-K6; 16. QPxP, Q-K5; 17. B-Q2, QxN(1) with chances about even.

This is the variation as given in Modern Chess Openings, 9th Edition. Mr. Feeney has two questions about the variation: (1) Why can White not improve on this line by 12 Q-R3 and (2) Why can Black not do better with 15 16 N-K2, K-QB1, etc.?
Answer: We shall not attempt to give a definite answer to these questions owing to the extreme complexity of this variation. It is of some interest to trace the treatment of this line in some of the opening books. Practical Chess Openings gives 5 ........, N-B3 a question mark. MCO (9), evidently based on subsequent analysis and experience, gives the same move an exclamation mark. It is fairly safe to say that the last word on this difficult variation has not been written.

In regard to the suggestion 12 Q R3, Barden's recently published Guide to Chess Openings gives 12 Q-R3, P-KR4; 13 B-Q5, P-KN4; 14 N-K2, BxN; 15 P-QN4 in line with Mr. Feeney's idea. The other suggested move of 15 $\qquad$ B-KN2 (in the MCO9 line) appears better on general principles than the tricky 15 ........, P-K6, which after all only helps to develop White's pieces.

## 2. Choosing Opening

## Variations

The Vienna line discussed above illustrates an important point about higher chess strategy. Would you choose such an opening if you were White? If Black, would you allow this wild line knowing that the theorists do not have all the answers to it and that the chances are about equal? The answer of course depends to some extent on one's personal style.

Most masters, however, avoid such free-for-alls since (1) it is too easy to lose control of the situation, the slightest slip often being fatal, (2) it is difficult to keep a draw-in-hand in wild posi-
tions, (3) it is difficult and exhausting to analyze all the intricacies especially when one's time-clock is ticking away, (4) the opponent may have found some new analysis or at least may be extremely familiar with the known analysis, i.e. it may be more of a prepared variation for the opponent than for him.
For these and similar reasons one is finding more and more Sicilians, and other such defences to 1 P.K4, rather than the theoretically satisfactory 1 Note the use of the Caro-Kann by Botvinnik in the recent World Championship Match (discussed above).
By way of illustrating of the same general idea we give a sample game involving a Two Knights Defense, a defence where Black gives up a Pawn for a promising attacking game, a critical type of game where combinational considerations are prominent, delicate positional maneuvering is meaningless, and an endgame will probably never be reached. The opening, by the way, is one which has long been a cornerstone in Black's defensive plans after 1 P-K4, P-K4.

## TWO KNIGHTS DEFENSE

Second Match Game

## Rochester, N. Y. 1958

J. ROSENSTEIN E. MARCHAND White

N - B
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 1. } & \text { P.K4 } & \text { P.K4 } & \text { 3. } & \text { B.B4 }\end{array}$
2. N-KB3 N-QB3

Already Whtte has had a lot of choice. 2. P.KB4 would make it a KIng's Gambit; 2. P.Q4, PxP; 3. P.QB3 would produce a Danish Gambit; 3. N-B3 gives a Four Knights Opening, 3. P-Q4 a Scotch Opening and 3. B-N5 a Ruy Lopez, On the other hand Black could determine the opening once and for all at the first move by 1. ........, P.QB4 (Sicllian), 1. ......., P-K3 (French), 1. ......., PQQB3 (Alekhine's Defense). The text move 3. ........, N-B3 makes it a Two Knights is a Guloco Plano (quiet game) which can lead to some lively complications. 4. N-N5

Breaking an opening rule by moving a plece twice. But the present case is a valid exception.
The only way to protect the KBP. The Wilkes-Barre variation 4, ........, B-B4, though considered unsound, can be very dangerous.
Two Nernatives here erable interest (1) 5 . are of consid(or 6. NxP, KxN; 7. Q-B3ch, K-K3 the so-called "Fried Liver" Variation) and (2) 5. ......... P-N4! (the Ulvestad Varia tion). Both of these are difficult and risky but may be theoretically sound The text move relinquishes a Pawn for attacking chances which in practlee have always proved to be substantial $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 6. B-N5ch } & \text { P-B3 } & \text { 8. B-K2 } & \text { P.KR3 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 6. } & \text { B-N } 5 \\ \text { 7. } & \mathbf{P X P}\end{array}$
It is import $\mathbf{P x P}$
It is important to drive this Knight away before White can play P.Q3 making a post for the Knight at K4.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 9. } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB3} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 & \text { 12. } \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} 3)\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { 10. N-K5 } & \text { B-Q3 } \\ \text { 11. P-KB4 } & \text { PXPe.p. }\end{array}$
Black is threatening NN Black is threatening N-N5 so as to compel White to loosen the Pawn position near his King. White finds the only way to avoid this
14. Q-K1

R-KI
For 14, ......, N-N5; 15. Q-R4, BxPch; 16. NxB, P-N4; 17. Q-R5 (not 17. Q-R3, Q. Nisch; 18. K-R1, N-B7ch; 19. RxN, BxQ), Q-N3ch; 18. K-R1, N-B7ch; 19. RxN (not 19. K-N1, N-R6dbleh; 20. KR1, Q-N8ch; 21. RxQ, N-B7 Mate, a combination known as Philidor's Leg. acy), QxR; 20. Q-B3 gives White an advantage in material.

## 15. N-B3

Seizing a chance to get thls plece into play.

## 16. Q-R4

N-K4
On 16. ......... NxNP; 17. BxN, Q-N3ch Black recovers his gambit Pawn. But 16. $\ldots \mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1$ ! would trap the Knight. 17. $\mathrm{NxN} \quad \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ 18. P-Q4

Black felt that this was not best since it gives up a Pawn. 18. B-B3 would be
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { strong. } & & \\ \text { 18. } \ldots-\ldots, & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3 & \text { 20. } \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} \\ \text { 19. B-K3 } & \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Pch} & \text { 21, R×N }\end{array}$
A promising sacrifice 21. RxN
A promising sacrifice which, however, 21. ....... Apparently ${ }^{22} \quad \begin{array}{ll}\text { P×R } & \text { R-KB1 Q-B2ch }\end{array}$ move here, and, if not now was the the next move, and it is then on White to keep an attack is hard for 23. K-R1 Unfortunately not sufficient to Bin 24. ........ $Q-N 2$ not sufficient to win is 24. ........, Q-N2; 25. QxBP or 24. Q-N3; 25. QxQ, PxQ; 26. R-B6, K-N2 (26. ........ R-K3; B-B4); 27. RxP with enough Pawns to draw. However, this was Black's best line at this point. $\begin{array}{llll}\text { 25. QxRP R-Q1 26. B-Q3 } & \text { P-KB4 }\end{array}$ Black had originally planned on re turning the Exchange here. But 26
, RxB; 27. N-K4! is much too em barrassing, After 26. ........; R-K8; 27 RxR, QxRch; 28, K-R2 White's threats of $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and QxR as well as $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ would be too much.
27. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 4!$


Position after 27. N-K4!
With three deadly threats. Black is


## Maryland Wins Southern Intercollegiate

## Frederick H. Kerr

The Terrapins of Maryland won the 1958 Southern Intercollegiate Tournament with 16 game points The margin of victory was just two games as the Richmond Spiders placed next with 14.

## FINAL STANDINGS

1. University of Maryland $\qquad$ 2. University of Richmond $\qquad$ 3. Duke University (A) $\qquad$ 5.6. Georgetown University ................ $10^{1 / 1}$ 5.6. Duke University (B).. $\qquad$ . U. S. Naval Academy ...................... 71/2
American University and Richmond took the lead in the first round by scoring 3 points each while Maryland drew $2-2$ with the Blue Devils of Duke. Richmond defeated the Midshipmen of the United States Naval Academy 2 $1 / 2$ $11 / 2$ to take the lead in round two American fell behind by getting just $11 / 2$ points in their match with the Blue Devils $A$ team. In the next round, the Spiders held the lead at 8 points after winning over American. At the same time, Maryland drew even with Duke A with 7. Maryland took the bye in round four as Richmond defeated Duke A to pile up a total of $101 / 2$ points. Next came American and Duke A with $81 / 2$. The roof fell in during round five as the Terrapins downed the leaders $21 / 2$ $11 / 2$. The win was not great enough for Maryland to take the lead, but it put them back in contention. Richmond still led with $111 / 2$, and Duke A tied Georgetown, the host college, to follow with $101 / 2$. When the B team from Duke held Richmond to a draw in round 6, Maryland drew even with $131 / 2$ by whipping the Midshipmen 4-0. The clock ran out on Richmond, and they were given the bye so that they could watch Maryland defeat American to win the tourna ment.
The winning team had a starting line-up of J. Partello, G. Oertel, W. Adams, and D. Hughes. In the fifth round T. Finch took fourth board and won. In the final two matches. M. Cha stepped in at second board for two wins. The bench strength paid off in a strong finish for the Terrapins. The leading scorers were James Partello of Maryland, who scored $5-1$ on first board; Donald Burdick, of Duke who beat Portello for a $41 / 2-1 / 2$; William Adams of Maryland (41/2-11/2); and J. Wright of Richmond ( $41 / 2$. $11 / 2$ ).

Are You a Member?
Is Your Friend a Member?

## GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N. Y. Space being limited, Mr. Colling will select the most inferesting and instructive for publication. Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## ANOTHER FISCHER VICTORY

Here is another of the amazing victories which earned fourteen (now fifteen) year old Bobby Fischer the U. S.. Championship and later sent him off to Europe to compete in the Interzonal Tournament in Yugoslavia.

## KING'S INDIAN REVERSED

MCO 9: page 348, column 38 (1)

## U. S. Championship

New York, 1957-58

## Notes by John W. Collins

G. KRAMER
R. FISCHER

## White

Black

1. N-KB3 N-KB3
2. $\quad$ P.Q 3

P-KN3 P-KN3
3. B-N2 B-N2

White adopts the King's Indlan Reversed. 5. P-Q4 and 5. P-B4 are the main alternatives.
6. P-K4
P.Q3
P-B4

Black has a Dragon Sicilian formation.
7. QN-Q2 and 8. R-K1 is played more
often.
7. N-K1?

N -B3
White neglects his development in favor of rather obscure king-side attacking chances.
Fischer employs one of his basic strategems agalnst the King's Indlan Re-virsed-the opening of the QN-file. 9. P-K84
10. P-B5 is more consistent with White's two previous moves.
Not 10. ........, P.QN4; 11, P-Q4, PxP. 12. PxP, Q-N3? 13. N-B2! and 14. P-Q5 Is menaced

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11. N.Q2 } \\
& \text { 12. P.K5? }
\end{aligned}
$$

P-QN4
Opening the position too soon. If not wholly satisfactory, at least better is 12. KN-B3.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 12. } & \text { Bx.... } & \text { PXP } \\ \text { 14. } & \text { RXP }\end{array}$
PXP 15. R-KB1 N-B2 Black threatened to win the exchange
with 15 ................... whth 15. ........, N-K3.
Black has outplayed his P-N5:
the opening and now opponent in beautifully coordinated for the middlegame.
16. Q-B2

If 16. BxN? BxB; 17. BxNP, RxB! 18 , $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{BxP} ; 19 . \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 20$. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q4;}{ }^{21 .} \mathrm{N} / 2-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{BxR}$ ch; 22. KxB, QXRP wins.

| 16. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17. PxP | N-N4 |
| 18. P-Q4 | R-B1! |



Position after 18. ........, R-B11
This involves the threats of 19. $\mathrm{N} / 3 \times \mathrm{P}$ and 19. ........, NxBP. Only one can be met.

If 19. R-B1, N/3xP; 20. PxN, BxP ch; 21. $\mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{NxB} ; 22$. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q3}$ (22. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{RxR}$; 23. QxR, N-K7 ch wins) RxR; 23. QxN, B-N4; 24. Q-N2 (24. QxQ, RxQ: 25. N-N3,
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 8$ wins)
Q-N3. R-N8 wins) Q-N3 ch; 25 . K-R1, BxR; 26. QxR, BxB ch; 27. NxB, R-Q1; 28 . N-N3, Q-B7 and Black wins. Against 19. N-Q3 and 19. N-K4, Black likewise wins with 19. -......, N/3xP. And on 19. BxN, RxB (threatening 20. ......, NXQP!) Black is left with a winning position. Great:

$$
\text { 20. } Q \times N
$$

If 20. BxN, N-K7 ch; 21. K-B2, NxQP
wins. wins.

$$
\text { 20. } \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{~N} 4
$$

$\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$
If 21. $\mathrm{QxN}, \mathrm{BxQ}$ ch; 22. $\mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 4$; 23. B-K3 (23. R-B4, P-K4) BxR; 24. B×B, Q-Q4 and Black wins,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 21. } \\
& \text { 22. } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Black also wins on 22 . K-B2, RxB; 23. KxN (23. QxR? B-Q5 ch) R-QN4; 24, Q moves, BxR.
Avolding 23. BxKP.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 23. } \mathrm{QxR} & \text { BXRR } & \text { 25. } \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K1} & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6\end{array}$ Or $26 . \operatorname{QxKP}, \stackrel{\text { B.N2 }}{\text { NxP. }}$ 26. QxRP
With an extra. pawn, the tw3
better plex Pawn, the two Bishops, win of the play, and the probable 27. P.QR3 Black has a su 28. Q-R5

B-Q4
Threatening to win the QRP with 29. R-R1.
Relatively best is 29. Q.N4. The text drops a plece.
${ }_{30}^{29 .}$ Q.N4 R-R1 31. P×Q BXNI Or 32. $\mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ 32. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ gets the piece.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 32. } \\
& \text { Resign. }
\end{aligned}
$$

BxBeh
There is no point to continuing with 33. KxB, BxN; 34. RxP, BxP. A tactical sparkler!

## WINNER AND RUNNER-UP MEET

Marshall Chess Club Championship

## New York, 1957-58

## VIENNA OPENING

MCO 9: Page 61, Column 7 (b) .
Notes by U.S. Masiter A. E. Santasiere

## SANTASIERE

White
BERNSTEIN $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. } & \text { P-K4 } \\ \text { 2. } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3\end{array}$

2. | 2. | BB 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| -B 4 |  |

P.K4
N-QB3
B-

I do not know the "best defense" to the Vienna; but this one is somewhat inferior.

| 4. | Q-N4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5. | $Q-B 3$ |
| 6. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| 7 |  |

P.KN3
6. N-Q5

A difficult position for Black. If B-N3; 5. N-N5, N-R3; 6. NxRP!
7. P......
8.
would

Now I would prefer 8. P-B3 to save
Now I
the B .

| 8. <br> 9. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 10. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 13. P-Q4 |  |
| aly | move |
| 13. ....... |  |
| 14. PxP |  |
| 15. B-R6 |  |

(See diagram top next colimn)
Now I expected to win but Sidney defends wonderfully well.

| 15. .... | N×P |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16. 0.0 | B-K3 |
| 17. KR-K | BXN |
| 18. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | P.KB4 |
| 19. B-N7 | R.KN |
| 20. BxP | B-N3 |



Position after 15. B-R6
After this the game is equal
22. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$

0
23. N-G

| 4 |
| :--- |

Drawn
Although this game did not come to us through the usual channels for inclusion on this page, we do not believe that Mr. Collins will object to its appearance here. CHESS LIFE readers will have to thank Mr. Donald Johnson, Vice President of the Portland, Oregon, Chess Club, and organizer and director of the Golden Rose Open, for persuading tournament winner Arthur Dake to annotate his game with his closest rival and runnerup.

## Golden Rose Open <br> Annotations by Arthur Dake

DAKE
ULVESTAD
White Black

| $-\quad$ 1. | P-Q4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | P-QB4 |
| 3. | N-KB3 |
| 4. | P-KN3 |
| 5. | B-N2 |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3 \\
\text { P-K3 } \\
\text { P-QN3 } \\
\text { B-N2 }
\end{gathered}
$$

Standard play to this move. Black's best move is 5. ........, B-K2; also, 5. ........, B-N5ch followed by 6. -...., Q-K2 is another playable variation. The move 5. ......, P-QR3 followed by 6. ......., P.
QN4 is an idea to break White's hold QN4 is an idea to break White's hold
on the center. The Russians have on the center. The Russians have
played a similar Hine in the King's
Indian Defense. As this Indian Defense. As this game unfolds It shows that this varlation loses too much time.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 6. } \\
\text { 7. } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

P-QN4
Thls-move seems to be the best move to hold the center.

| 7. | B..... | Q-B1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8. | B-N2 | P×P |
| 9. | P×P | B-K2 |
| 10. | N-B3 | O-O |
| 11. | P-Q5 |  |

With this move White takes command of the center and has the Black pleces constricted.
11. ............... is better, R-Q as moves later he is forced to move to that square.
12. B-KR3

P-Q3
13. B-KR3
rategy: pres The point of White's strategyth the threat of winning the pawn, if not more.
14. N-Q4

At this point I was tempted to play 14. PxP, PxP; 15. N-KN5. However, Black's best would be 15 . ........, B-Q1 (not 15 . $\ldots .$. B-B1, as White would play 16. N-Q5, with an overwhelming position). However, after 15. ........ B-Q1, Black would have an adequate defense: 16.

NxNch, BxN; 19. BxB, PxB; 20. Q-N4ch, K-B2; 21. Q-R5ch, K-K2; 22. Q-R7ch, K-Q1, and White's attack is finished. Also, on 17. NxKP, N.KB3; 13. N-N5, QN-Q2 and Black has ample defense. That is why White simply won a pawn with the superior position, as the combination was unclear.

## 15. Q-N3 <br> 16. PXKP

B-B1
P.B4
Ulivestad is making the best of a poor bargain.
17. PxPch
$K \times P$
18. N-B3

This move retains the pawn and position because of the threat of N-N5ch if Black endeavors to capture the King's pawn; also, in some varlations, the White Queen would play to KB3 with effect.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 18. } \\
& \text { 19. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2 \\
& \text { 20. } \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \\
& \text { 21. } \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{N} \\
& \text { 22. } \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3 \\
& \text { 23. KR-K1? }
\end{aligned}
$$



After securing a winning game, White relaxes, forgetting a won game must still be won. 23. N.Q2 is the move. If 23 . ......., Q-N3; 24. QR-N1 White would eventually play P-KB4 and P-K5. After eventually play P-KB4 and P-K5. After 23. ......... N-B5 and toughens up the Black defenses by getting the Knight into the game.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 1e game. } & \mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{~B} 5! \\
\text { 24. B-B.... } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 4 \\
\text { 25. B-B4 } & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{NI} \\
\text { 26. N-N4 } &
\end{array}
$$

Probably better is 26. NxN, PxN; 27. B-K3.


Black has now equallzed even though a pawn down. White has to play carefully; otherwise Black could secure a winning advantage. As the saying gocs "the best defense is a good offense."
This is White's best move because of Black's threats to White's first rank.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 36. } & \text { 37. } \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} \\
\text { 38. } \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N} \\
\text { 39. } \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{K} 4 & \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{BS} 5 \\
\text { 40. R.N1 } & \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{RP}
\end{array}
$$

40. R.QB1 would give Black good chances. After the text move the game is drawn with best play.
41. K-B1

Black should play alertly 40 . ........ B-R6 and pose a few problems for both White and Black. White would be forced to play 41. B-N2. If then 41. Black would play 41 wins. Therefore, Black would play 41 ........, B-Q2 or B-B4. If 41. ......., B-B4; 42. B-K4, K-B2; 43. B×B, PxB; 44. R-QB1, R-Q7; 45. RxP, P-R6; 46. R-QR4, P-R7; 47. K-N2. This varlation would be best for Black. There is also 41. ......., B-B4; 42. B-K4, K-Q7; 43. $B \times B, P \times B ; 44$. P-Q7 and wins. Best for Black would be 40 . ......., B-R6; 41. B-N2, B-Q2 (forced): 42. R-N8ch, K-B2; 43. R-N7, K-KI; 44. R-N8ch, K-B2; 45. R-N7 with a draw, as in the game. Black has not the time for 45. ........, P-B6; 46. RxBch, K-B3; 47. B-K4 and wins. Also, 46. ........ K-K1; 47. R-K7ch, K-Q1; 48. B-K4, R-K7; 49. K-B1 and wins.
41. R-N8Ch
42. R-N7
43. R-N8ch
44. R-N7

K-B2
K-K1
K-B2
K-K1
peaceful conclusion to a geme marred by human errors. Ulvestad must be commended for his defense when the chips were down. Each of us must be satisfied, as we took the top two prizes in the Swiss tournament.

Join the USC
opening move.
Oess life
smade,
Page 6 July 20, 1958

# (If)RSS Life Sunday, Page 7 July 20, 1958 <br> Are You a Member? Is Your Friend a Member? 

## UP AND DOWN THE FILES

From the OHIO CHESS BULLETIN we learn the final results and details of several important tournaments in that state-information which could have come to us, and which would have been printed in CHESS LIFE several weeks ago, had the tournaments been subject to USCF rating and report procedure. Even at this late date we believe that readers all over the country will be interested in the following items: International Master Pal Benko won the Cleveland Open with a perfect $8-0$ score. Observers consider the tournament the strongest open event of the kind ever held in Cleveland. U.S. Expert, and 1951 California State Champ, Arthur Spiller, commuted from Dayton Air Force Base to Cleveland- 170 miles each way-to play, and to take second place with a $61 / 2 \cdot 11 / 2$ score, losing only to Benko, and drawing with Richard Kause. The latter, losing to Benko, and conceding draws to Spiller and Szedleczek, took third place with 6-2. A three-way tie for 4th-6th places involved Lajos Szedleczek, Don Zass, and James Harkins, Jr., each with $51 / 2-21 / 2$.

The Cleveland Junior Open, an 8 round Swiss, went to the pretournament favorite, Ross Sprague, who scored $61 / 2-11 / 2$, in an 18 -player event. Sprague's victory, however, was earned the hard way, since his only loss occurred in the 1st round, and it was not until he had extracted $51 / 2$ points out of the following six rounds, that he emerged from the dog-fight being waged between the players destined to fill the next seven places below him in the final standing. John Spencer; who handed Sprague his only loss, came second, after his 6-2 tie with George Baumanis was broken, with the latter finishing third. Dick Noel and Davis Presser finished fourth and fifth, respectively, after their $5^{1 / 2}-21 / 2$ tie was broken. J. D. Brattin won from Spencer and Baumanis in successive rounds to lead the tournament at one point, but losses to DiLillo, Sprague and Presser placed him sixth, with 5-3. A terrific tournament with action all the way.

The favorite-Pal Benko of Cleveland-also came through in the Selden Trumbull Memorial Tournament at Columbus, but he had to work for this one. The International Master had to concede two draws in the 7 -round event-one to Tournament Director, Jim Schroeder, and one to James Harkins, Jr.-emerging with a 6-1 score. Schroeder, who was not intending to play and who was a last-minute entry, played superb chess-beating Kause and Morgan and drawing with Benkoto finish undefeated, and in second place, after his $51 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$ score with Ernest Bergel of Springfield, Mo., had been broken. Bergel lost to Ben-ko,-drew with Schroeder, and finished third. Charles Morgan of Philippi, W. Virginia, and J. Glenn Waltz of Pittsburgh, Pa., placed 4th and 5th respectively, after breaking their $41 / 2-21 / 2$ tie. The "strength-in-depth" of this tournament is indicated by the following names of players who tied for 6th-11th places with $4-3$ scores, placed as tie-breaking points designated: James Harkins, Jr., of Cleveland; Richard Kause, Cleveland; Arthur Spiller, Dayton; Richard Ling, Dayton; George Kellner, Lima; and Oliver Taylor, Springfield.

Jim Schroeder won the Columbus YMCA Chess Club's Expert Tournament with five wins and two draws, and a 6-1 score, topping Vladimir Mutschall, who took second place with $51 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$. John Biddle was third, with 5-2, in the 8-player round-robin.

Dr. Mark Pence won seven in a row to take the Third Annual Silver Knights Tournament at Toledo, with a $7-0$ score. Lawrence Jackson lost only to Dr. Pence and drew one to finish second with $51 / 2-11 / 2$. Max Moldawsky, James Cochrane, William Maxwell, and Asa Long finished in that order, after Solkoff was applied to their $5-2$ scores.

## TOURNAMENT ANNOUNCEMENT COMPLAINTS

We have recently received several blasts concerning improper announcement of future tournaments. Why wasn't the important New York State Championship announced in "Tournament Life" section? Because that section is limited to USCF rated tournaments, and the N.Y. State event will not be rated unless the organizers require USCF membership from each competitor-a condition which they are not prepared to meet, according to the latest information available. Incidentally, one reader mentions that although mandatory USCF membership is considered unethical and tyrannical by the NYSCA, he notices that NYSCA membership is required of all entrants in the New York State event, and he wonders at what level of chess promotion the "sound business practice" of one group becomes the "dictatorial and tyrannical practice" of the other.

Then we announced the "Panhandle Open" In the "July 5 "Tournament Life" section-only to learn that it apparently will not be USCF rated, after all. OK boys, we can only take your word for what is going to happen-we can't enforce it from here.

# Wate The Subtle Way! 

by Nicholas Gabor

All communications concerning this problem-column, Including solutions es
well as original compositions for publication (two. and three-mover direct mates),
from composers anywhere should be sent to Nicholas Gabor, Hotel Kemper hane
Cincinnati 6, Ohio.


All two-movers presented above (4) and selected at random, contain a certain characteristic, easily recognizable and common to all of them. Solvers asking themselves the question: "What can Black do before White makes the first (key) move?" (which is the proper initial question in solving every type of problem!) will quickly notice that in all 4 problems any Black move can be answered by a White mating move. (Set mates.) All White has to do is just to make a move which does not "upset the setup!" . . . But . . .! We offer 6 points for each detailed solution. (Total 24 points.)

## -Solutions to "Mate the Subtle Way."

No. 913 Georgiev: set: 1 . ....., NxP, 2. QxN; 1....., B-K4, 2. R-B4. Key I. Q.B7, threat 2. Q-KB4, No. 914 Driver: key 1. N-R4, threat 2. N-B3. 1. ........ PxN or 1. ......., P-KN4, 2. QxBP, BxR; 2. NxB, B-B4; 2. R-Q5, B-K5; 2. N-N4 etc. No. 915 Morra: 1. N-K3 threat 2, R-Q5. 1. ........, N-B7, 2, R-Q8. 1. ......., NN-B6; 2. N-B4, 1. KN-B4; 2. P-K5. 1. ......., PxP; 2. N-K8 etc. No. 916 Marysko: 1. N-Q8 waiting. 1. ........., P-B6, 2. N-Q3ch! and 3. Q-K6 mate. 1. ......., P-K3, 2. QxBP ch! and 3. N-B7 mate. 1. ......., KxN, 2. B-K3 and 3. Q-K6 mate. 1. ........, K-B4; 2. B-N3 and 3. QxKBP mate.

Solvers' Ladder-"Mate the Subtle Way!"
The list below includes credit-points ( 2 for two-movers, 4 for three-movers; double points for cooks etc. if given with the intended solution) for solutions recelved up to the 30 , June, ending with Problem No. 908 of the May 5 column. Names mariked with asterisk* have reached the top now or earlier. The 3 topsolvers of the Ust, Messrs. Dana, Payne and Salmon, having reached beyond the 1000 mark are entitled to the usual book-award. 1000 of their points are aropped and they start climbing again from the bottom. Scores of solvers, who for any and they stopped sending in solutions for some time are kept in reserve and any time they start solving again, their scores will be continued where they stopped.

We welcome our new solvers: Helmberg 104; Otten 86; Thompson 42; Wright 38; Wood 34; Bonnell 28; Byrd 22; Mitchell 20; Benson 20; Ott 16; Jersawitz 8; Kemeny 8; Lagowsky 8; Dunn 8; Mrs, Blencoe 4; Kornreich 2.


# Cbess Life <br> Standay, Page 8 <br> July 20, 1958 <br> Solution Io <br> What' The Buat Moou? 

Position No. 233
Kulis-Balik, Prague 1950

1. Q-R6ch! forces mate in six. This mate can be accomplished in several ways. The game continuation was 1 Kxas, 2. R-KR4ch, K-N4, $3 i$ B Bsq ch!, KxR; 4. R-B4ch, K-N4; 5. R B3ch, K-R5; 6. R-R3 mate.
The tries by 1. N-R5ch and 1. R-KB3 may be good enough to win the long rum, but are clearly inferior to 1. Q-R6ch. The try, 1. R-KR4, loses at once to 1. ........, QxNP mate.

Correct solutions are acknowledged from: Philip Albert, Leslie H. Ault, Jim Barry, George W. Baylor, Donald Benge, Lelwin Blanchard* M. D Blumenthal. Joe Bohac, A. Bomberault Marshall E. Brokks, Alan L. Brown, Bill Bundick, Latvis Celmins, Stuart J Chagrin, Robert Cohen, A. P. Collins Jr.., Jack Comstock, Walter L. Conway* Ramon Cook, William J. Couture, C. J. Ramon Cook, Wiliam J. Cusick, K. A Cucullu, Thomas W. Cusick, K. A Carl Dover, A. Dunne, S. J. Einhorn Haig J. Eshow, Thomas W. Feeny*, Pat Forsee* J. B, Germain, Richard Giiban Edmund Godbold, Oliver Goddard, Jerry R. Goodwin, Donald W. Haney, Rea B Hayes, Rhys W. Hays, George HeimHayes, Rhys W. Hays, George Heim berg, Donald C. Hills, Dave E. Hin richsen', Viktors Kkauniks, John E Ishkan, Donald W. Johnson, Andy Kafko, Robert A. Karch, David Korn reich, Mort Luebbert, Larry Mason Jack Matheson, Harvey B. MeClellan Stephan Meyer, Charles H. Miller*, M Milstein, Don Napoli, Ed Nash, Bil Newberyy, Earl R. Nitschke, Otto H Palm* George W. Payne, Edmund Ro Man, Frank Rose, George Ross, Frank C. Ruys, D. W. Rystrom, R. M. S., I. Schwartz, Bob Steinmeyer, W. E Stevens, Gardner Thomas, Hunter Thompson*, F. W. Trask, H. C. Under wood, F. J. Valvo, A. B. Voderstrasse* L. A. Ware, Harris Wlernik, William B.
Wilson, Neil P. Witting, and L. E. Wilson.

We also have two correct solutions mailed from Wayne, N. J., and South Bend, Ind., for which the succesful solvers may have ladder credit if they will identify themselves. The solvers score a crushing victory by $83-5$.
*Welcome to new solvers.

## U. S. OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP

August 4-16, 1958

## Rochester, Minnesota

ELIGIBILITY: Open to all chess players who are (or become) USCF members.
PLACE: Ar-conditioned IBM faclil. ties, Rochester, Minn.
TYPE: 12 rd. Swiss, USCF Tournament Rules; 50 moves 1st $21 / 2$ hours, 20 moves per hour thereafter. Adfourned games played next day. ENTRY FEE: $\$ 15$. to USCF members. $\$ 20$. to others ( $\$ 5.00$ USCF dues)
REGISTRATION: Dining Room,. IBM plant in Rochester, starting 2 p.m. Sunday, Aug. 3, and ending 1 p.m. Monday, Aug. 4. Entries by mail must be postmarked not later than July 28, 1958, and submitted to Alden Riley, 635 Eighth Ave. SW, North St. Paul, Minn.
PRIZES: Constantly increasing prize fund (now $\$ 3,200$.) guarantees $\$ 1,000$. Cash for 1 st place, $\$ 500$. for 2nd, and more than 20 other cash prizes. (See Chess Life, June 5, 1958 for more detalls about prizes and trophies)
TOURNAMENT DIRECTOR: International Master George Koltanowski.

## CHESS CLOCK



ONLY $\$ 17.95$

Including
Federal Tax

At last! A thoroughly dependable chess clock with famous Swiss mechanical movements-at a price you can afford to payl Light, compact, easy to carry around to tournaments. Overall size: $55 / 16^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime} \times 21 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. Dial diameter: $13 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. Tilted at slight angle for easier reading of time during play. Equipped with red flags to indicate expiration of each hour. Big red "tickers" to show which clock is running. Push-buttons on top start one clock, stop the other. Nickelled winders and timesetters permanently attached at back; no separate keys needed. Beautifully constructed by expert Swiss clockmakers. Imported for USCF exclusively by RFD Distributors. Satisfaction guaranteed or your money back! Note that price of only $\$ 17.95$ includes $10 \%$ Federal tax. No discounts.

## Mail your order to

## UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION

80 EAST 11TH ST.
NEW YORK 3, NEW YORK

## International Composing Contest of the <br> Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR)

## in memory of the great chess-genius

Mikhail lvanovitch Tchigorine (1850-1908)
The Chess Section of the USSR, in cooperation with the International Affairs Committee of the United States Chess Federation and other similar organizations announces an International Problem-Composing Contest in memory of Tchigorine, for the following types of orthodox, original (hitherto unpublished) compositions:
Section a) end-game studies;
b) mate in two moves;
c) mate in three moves;
d) mate in four or more moves.

In each section the following prizes will be given: first prize 1500 roubles; second prize 1000 roubles; third prize 750 roubles; fourth prize 500 roubles; fifth prize 250 roubles, in addition to honor-diplomas for all winners.

Composers may send maximum 5 entries in each section. All entries should be sent in two samples: one without the composer's real name but with a "motto" or "pseudo-name" (false) and another, in a sealed envelope with the full name and address of the composer. Both copies should contain the keymove and full solution.

Entries must be postmarked not later than October 1, 1958 to the following address:

Club Central des Echecs, 14 Boulevard Gogol, Moscow. USSR. Write on the envelope: International Tchigorine Contest.
Corrections, improvements or changes to entries may be sent not later than the above-said date: October 1, 1958.
The following problemists will act as judges:
A. Gouliaev, USSR, general director;
V. Kivi, Finland, in the End-Game studies section;
E. Oumnov, USSR in the Two-mover section;
N. Petrovic, Yugoslavia in the Three-mover Section, and
V. Pachman, Tchechoslovakia in the Four-and-More Moyers Section.

Results of the Contest will be published not later than during the month of March, 1959 and every contributor will receive a copy of the Judges' Report.

## Journament dife

Send to CHESS LIFE, Gove House, Perry Malne, for application form for announcing tournament in this column.

## August 23 and 24

## SIXTH ANNUAL

 PANHANDLE OPENPlace: Public library club room, Seventh and Weatherly Streets, Borger, Texas.
Tournament: Five round Swiss, open to all entrants.
Tournament Director: International master, George Koltanowski.
Registration: Saturday, August 23, 12 noon till 1 P.M.
First Round: 1 P.M., Saturday, August 23.

Prizes: Trophies, cash and titles for Open, Panhandle and Junior Cham pions.
Entry Fee: Seniors $\$ 5.00$, Juniors $\$ 2.00$ For Entries, Inquiries, etc., contact: Mason S. Wilt, 4121 Fifth Street, Philview Camp, Borger, Texas.

## August 29-September 1

## NEW JERSEY OPEN

## CHAMPIONSHIP

Open: at Independent Chess Club, 102 N. Maple Ave,, East Orange with additional playing space at nearby Palmer House, 7 round Swiss starting 7:30 p.m. House, 7 round Swiss starting Fee $\$ 8.00$ Friday, entries ciose (under 20), USCF
seniors, $\$ 4.00$ juniors ( $\$ 5$ ) and NJSCF ( $\$ 2$, juniors $\$ 1$ ) member( $\$ 5$ and Nulp req. $\$ 400.00$ in prizes; 1st ship required. $\$ 125$, 2nd $\$ 75$, 3 rd $\$ 50,4$ th $\$ 25$, 5 th $\$ 20$, $\$ 125$, 2nd $\$ 75$, 3 rd $\$ 50,4$ th $\$ 25$, 5th $\$ 20$ with $\$ 20$ each to highest expert and Class A, $\$ 10$ each to highest Classes B and C , $\$ 20$ to highest junior under age $20, \$ 15$ under age 18, and $\$ 10$ under age 16. Prizes divided in case of tles and one prize only to a player. Play 48 moves in 2 hours and 12 moves each $1 / 2$ hour thereafter. Adjudication if necessary. Director, E. McCormick. Bring clocks and sets.

## August 30.31-September 1

FOURTH ARIZONA OPEN
Phoenix, Arizona, Colonial Room, Westward Ho Hotel. Seven round Swiss, with play starting 1:00 p.m., August 30. Fee of $\$ 5$ to USCF members. Prizes include: trophies to first and second, class C, ranking woman and junior player, and cash prizes commensurate to number of entrants. Bring sets and clocks. Address inquiries to Phillip Luks, 211 West Citrus Drive, Scottsdale, Arizona.

## August 30-September 1 <br> HEART OF AMERICA TOURNAMENT

Kansas Clty, Mo., Youth CenterYMCA, 404 E. 10 th St. Open to all. Seven round Swiss, with a time limit Seven round Swiss, with a time
of 45 moves in 2 hours and 15 min utes. Eenry fee is $\$ 8.00$. Prizes in clude, $\$ 100$ first prize guaranteed, 2nd, 3 rd , 4 th and 5 th depend upon entries. Direct entries and inquiries to Gerald M. Banker, Secretary, YMCA Chess Club, 404 E. 10th St., Kansas City 6 , Mo.

August 30-31-Sept. 1

## SOUTHWESTERN OPEN

 CHAMPIONSHIP(Corrected announcement)
OPEN: sponsored by Texas Chess Association, at Shamrock Hilton Hotel, Houston, Texas; 7 rd. SS; 45 moves in 2 hours; EF: $\$ 6.00$, plus USCF-TCA Dues of $\$ 5.00$ if not already a member of both organizations. Prizes: $\$ 150$ for first place; other prizes as entry fees per mit. Trophies for 1 st, 2 nd , 3 rd and 4th places. Separate sections for women and juniors optional. TD, George Koltanowski; address entries and inquirles to George H. Smith, 1745 Norfolk, Houston 6, Texas.

BOOST AMERICAN CHESS
soin the USCF! is is always a sound opening move.

Are You a Member?
Is Your Friend a Member?

