# (liness Life <br> Wednesday, November 5, 1958 

## What's The Best Move?

Conducted by<br>IRWIN SIGMOND<br>Send solutions to Position No 243 to reach Irwin Sigmond, 5200 Williamsburg Blvd., Arlington ${ }^{\circ} 7$, Va., by Dec. 1, 1958. With your solution, please send analysis or reasons supporting your choice of "Best Move" or moves.<br>Solution to Position No. 243 will appear in the Dec. 20, 1958 issue.<br>NOTE: Do not place solutions to two positions on one card; be sure to indicate correct number of position being solved, and give the full name and address of the solver to assist in proper crediting of solution.



## Operation $] \sqrt{=\begin{array}{l}\text { NEW } \\ \text { NEMBERS }\end{array}}$

## "These States Will Set a Fast Pace!"

"Enlisting your friends into USCF is the best way every chess player can help his game-and his friends," according to Tom Jenkins, past Vice-President of USCF, who hails from Michigan, the birth-place of OPERATION M (under the Tom and Jerry influence). "The north central states have a very fine group of State Membership Chairmen," Tom- states, "who are well into the task of intra-state organizing. This part of the country is going to set fast pace."

Membership figures for these states, released by Fred Cramer, General Membership Chairman, show state totals on June 5 and Sept. 5, as well as the state targets set for June 5, 1959:

| State population |  |  |  | Membership |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Pop |  | pt, |  |  |
| Ohio ................ 8,555,000 | 174 | 155 | 200 | Steven Markows |
| Illinois .............. 9,174,000 | 142 | 145 | 200 | John Nowak |
| Michigan ........... 7,070,000 | 131 | 143 | 200 | Ed Dickerson |
| Wisconsin ......... 3,590,000 | 67 | 79 | 100 | Frank S. Inbusch |
| Minnesota ........ 3,106,000 | 39 | 58 | 100 | Sheldon Rein |
| Indiana ............ 4,280,000 | 45 | 49 | 100 | Mildred Morrell |
| Missouri ......... 4,155,000 | 33 | 38 | 75 | J. Donald Define |
| Iowa ................. 2,643,000 | 14 | 15 | 25 | William Goetz Jr |
| 42,573,000 | 645 | 682 | 1000 |  |

A quick run-down on state-by-state activity reflects the soundness of Tom Jenkins' optimism:
OHIO: State Membership Chairman Steve Markowski doubles in brass as President of the active Ohio Chess Association, whose membership he has tripled, while also doubling its dues! Between June and September, Ohio suffered some drop-outs from those who joined for the USCF Open in Cleveland in August, 1957. To make that up, Steve has put none other than Willa White Owens into Cleveland as Local Membership Chairman. Other appointments to follow.
MICHIGAN: State Membership Chairman Ed Dickerson was the first to name a complete slate of local chairmen, the first to publish his own OPERATION M bulletin, and the first to send in a new member in this drive! "We'll be first next June, too," Ed challenges. "We have plans to leave other states in our dust, but if any other states have trouble, let us know and we'll show them how it's done!" His Committee includes Don Bohley, Grand Rapids; Art Derouin, Jackson; Don Napoli, Lansing; Tom Jenkins, Huntington Woods; Al Baptist, Ypsilanti; Tom Limperis, Inkster; and Lucille Kellner, Detroit.
WISCONSIN: State Membership Chairman Frank Inbusch is the man who built membership in the Milwaukee Municipal Chess Association from 38 to 72. "100 Wisconsin USCF members will be a breeze," he states. He has named Richard Kujoth Local Membership Chairman at Madison.
(Continued on Page 2)

## U.S. TEAM FOURTH AT MUNICH

The power-packed USSR Olympic Chess Team lived up to pre-tournament predictions, and swept through the eleven-round finals without losing a match or a game, wipning eight matches, conceding drawn matches to Yugoslavia, Argentina, and the USA, and taking $341 / 2$ points from a possible 44 . Yugoslavia, with 29 points, placed second. Argentina scored $251 / 2$ to take third place. The United States placed a close fourth, with 24 points, and a record of four matches won, six drawn, and a single loss to Yugoslavia.

Thirty-six nations competed in the international team event, held in the beautiful Deutsches Museum in Munich, Germany. Divided for qualification purposes into four sections of nine teams each, a complete round-robin was played in each section in the preliminaries, with the top three teams qualifying for the twelve-team finals. The next three teams in each section qualified for another twelve-team battle for Consolation A honors, while the last three teams of each preliminary section went into Consolation B competition.

Final standings in all events were:

## FINALS



| 1. | Hungary .................... $31-13$ | 7. Poland | $22^{1 / 2}-211 / 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Netherlands .............. $281 / 2-151 / 2$ | 8. Sweden | ..................... $17-27$ |
| 3. | Canada .....................241/2-191/2 | 9. Iceland | ......................161/2-271/2 |
| 4. | Colombia ...................241/2-191/2 | 10. Finland | .....................151/2-281/2 |
| 5. | Israel ....................... $2311 / 2-201 / 2$ | 11. France | .141/2-291/2 |
| 6. | Denmark ................... 23 -21 | 12. Belgium | ................... 11 -33 |

## CONSOLATION B



With an encouraging record in the preliminary rounds, and a brilliant drawn match with the USSR in the first round of the finals, the American team seemed on its way to a place in the sun. But unexpected draws and losses to theoretically weaker opponents in the finals cost them their chances as serious title contenders. The following comparative individual scores, compiled against the same opponents tell the story.

| USA | GAMES IN FINALS |  |  | USSR | GAMES IN FINALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | Won | Lost | Drawn |  | Won | Lost | Drawn |
| Reshevsky | ........ 2 | 1 | 5 | Botvinnik | .......... 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Lombardy | 2 | 1 | 6 | Smyslov | ......... 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Bisguier | .. 3 | 4 | 3 | Keres .... | ......... 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Evans | ...... 2 | 1 | 4 | Bronstein | ........ 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Rossolimo | ......... 3 | 1 | 6 | Tal ..... | ... 7 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | Petrosian | . 4 | 0 | 3 |

The overwhelming USSR victory was about the only pre-tournament prediction which was fulfilled, with upsets coming frequently in preliminaries and finals. Hungary, a favorite to finish in the first five, failed to qualify for the finals. Netherlands, Poland, Iceland, and Norway were similarly disappointed. England, Switzerland and Spain played sharply in the preliminaries and were serious threats throughout the finals. Unzicker of West Germany defeated Reshevsky in the preliminary round, while Duckstein of Austria handed World Champion Botvinnik his only defeat of the event. Tal continued his winning streak from Portoroz, convincing many of the experts that he is the strongest, most imaginative, and most daring player in the world today. Relegated to sixth or seventh place by the majority of the pre-tournament forecasters, the United States Team confounded the experts by drawing its. matches with the USSR and Argentina, and by its strong fourth-place finish ahead of Czechoslovakia, East and West German teams, Bulgaria, Hungary, etc.

Finish It The Clever Way! by Edmund Nash

No. 213
Pachmann vs. Petrosian Portoroz, 1958


Black to play and win

No. 214
Robert Brieger San Diego, California


White to play and draw

In position No. 213, Black made one move and White resigned.
In position No. 214, White can force a draw in four moves. The tempting 1. RxB ch(?) fails after 1. ........, KxR; 2. R-Q1, K-B7(!); 3. K-R2, RxP(!); 4. K-R3, KxR; 5. P-N7, RxP; 6. P-N8(Q), R-R4 ch; 7. K-N4, R-N4 ch; 8. QxR, PxQ; 9. KxP, P-R4 and wins.

For solutions, please turn to page 8.
Send all contributions for this column to Edmund Nash, 1530 28th Place S.E., Washington 20, D. C.

## (OPERATION M-Continued from Page 1)

(Wisconsin USCF Director Fred Cramer is also General Chairman of OPERATION M, and Inbusch does not suffer from lack of supervision.)

MINNESOTA: State Membership Chairman Sheldon Rein also serves as President of the Minnesota Chess Association. "We will be mailing out 450 announcements of our state tourney," he writes, "and I want 450 USCF brochures. I believe we easily ought to double our present 50 or 60 members."

IOWA: State Membership Chairman William F. Goetz Jr. agrees with Rein, saying "We'll double Iowa USCF membership!" His Local Membership Chairmen, making him the second state organized down to the local level, are: Lloyd Gayman, Dubuuqe; Wayne Wild, Storm Lake; Kenneth Grant, Cedar Rapids; Dr. Julius Weingait, Des Moines; and John Ossness, who also is Secretary-Treasurer of the Iowa State Chess Association, Waterloo.

MISSOURI: State Membership Chairman J. Donald Define, who is on six months temporary duty at Ohio State University in Columbus, Ohio, has named four of Missouri's best-known chess supporters to carry on as Co-Chairmen: Jim Darrough and Charlie Burton, St. Louis; and Bob Beitling and Gerald Banker, Kansas City. "I'm positive we will increase USCF membership considerably in Missouri," Define writes. "These four men are enthusiastic supporters, and you'll find them eager and capable." What state is in better hands?

## On Losing Gracefully

After three rounds of an important U. S. Tournament two U. S. masters or experts were comparing notes. One said (in effect) "Three games, and three draws against stupid jerks no one ever heard of!" The other said (in effect) "My score is the same. But the three gentlemen I played produced some good chess. Anyone good enough to draw with me can't be stupid."

Which reminds us of two widely-separated (by about 20 years) incidents in our own chess career. The first came after a play-off game for a club championship had been won by your editor. The crestfallen but always-conceited loser and runner-up extended congratulations by saying, "I don't know what was wrong with me tonight. I never played such a lousy game." The second incident occurred this past summer after a game in which we had clobbered a visiting fireman from New York. He introduced us to a friend as a chess-master. And when we insisted upon woodpusher rather than master status, he replied, "Nonsense. Anyone who beats me is a master, regardless of rating technicalities."

MORAL: If there is a moral, it lies in the reply which you make to the question: which of the two masters of paragraph one, or which of your editor's opponents, do you imitäte in similar circumstances?

UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 1958

## ASSETS

CURRENT ASSETS
Cash in Manufacturers Trust Company .............................. $\quad \$ 1,112.28$
Petty Cash Fund, New York ....................
Petty Cash Fund, New York
Petty Cash Fund, Perry, Maine
OTHER ASSETS
Office Furniture and Fixtures ................................................. $\$ 317.20$
Less-Reserve for Depreciation
107.25

Security Deposit ................................................................................. 115.00

TOTAL ASSETS
$\$ 1,516.29$
$\$ 3,136.24$
NET WORTH
(1,205.16) \$1,931.08
DEFICIT-July 1,1958 LOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET WORTH.......................................................... INVENTORY OF MERCHANDISE AND SUPPLIES NEED ED AT SEPTEMBER 30,1958 , TO SHOW NEITHER PROFIT NOR LOSS
$\$ 414.79$
COMMENT BY BUSINESS MANAGER: Inventories are taken on December 31st and June 30th. The last invenfory (June 30 th, 1958) was valued at $\$ 2,214.66$. There has been no substantlal change since that time. Therefore the net income for the quarter ending September 30th is approximately $\$ 1,800,00$.

## STATEMENT OF INCOME AND EXPENSES FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1958

SALES OF BOOKS AND EQUIPMENT
\$2,938.98
COST OF SALES
Merchandise Inventory-July 1, 1958................................. . $\$ 1,670.76$
Purchases
1,560.12
3,230.88
GROSS PROFIT (OR LOSS) ON SALES (Exclusive of closing inventory)
OTHER INCOME
Earmarked Donations
Earmarked Donations
Foreign Magazine Subscriptions.............
Income from Tournament Fees
Individual Membership Dues
Affiliation Dues
Chess Life Subscriptions (non-members)
Rating Fees
General Donations
Chess Life Advertising ............................................................................
TOTAL INCOME
EXPENSES:
Supplies Inventory-July 1, 1958..................................................... \$ . 543.90
Publication of Chess Life:
Editorial Fees \& Expense
Printing and Mailing ... $\$ 580.14$

Membership Promotion and Retention
Printing and Mailing

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Printing of } \\ \text { Shipping Supplies \& Expenses ...................................................................................................... } & 144.00\end{array}$
Tournament Expenses .....................
Business Manager's Commissions
Business Manager's Commis
Assistant Manager's Salary
Assistant Manager's Salary
Rating System Expenses:
Commissions
Administrative Expenses:
Printing and Mailing
Miscellaneous
82.89
160.3

Stationery Printing and Office Supplies
General Postage
Telephone and Telegraph
Accounting
Bank Charges and Miscellaneous
Travel
930.22

INVENTORY OF BOOKS, SUPPLIES, AND EQUIPMENT
NEEDED AT SEPTEMBER 30, 1958, TO SHOW NEITHER PROFIT NOR LOSS
$\$ 414.79$
(See comment by Business Manager above)
Submitted by RALPH ROSENBLATT, Certified Public Accountant, New Xork, N. Y.

## TOURNAMENT REMINDERS

Nov. 28-30-Tennessee Open Championship, James Robertson Hotel, Nashville, Tenn. (CL 9-20-58)
Dec. 26-28-Virginia Open, Hotel Warwick, Newport News. (CL 10-5.58)
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America's Number 1 Player Illustrates the Technique of Victory

By International Grandmaster SAMUEL RESHEVSKY

## Lack of Development

The principle of rapid and effective development of minor pieces in the early stages of the game can not be sufficiently stressed. Every good chess player recognizes the importance of this principle. Occasionally, however, every player becomes a victim of under-development. The usual reason for this is the selection of an inferior opening line.

In the following game my opponent chose an unusual variation of the Nimzowitsch-Indian Defense. The choice of a rare or new line is obviously to surprise one's opponent. This can often be extremely beneficial and effective, especially when the time factor is such a significant factor in modern chess competition. Extreme care is, however, recommended in the selection of new or rejected defenses.

After nine moves it was obvious that white's development was far superior to black's. By opening up the lines the strength of white's two bishops became apparent. A gradual build-up against the black king occupied my opponent's attention, preventing him from effectively developing his queen-side pieces.

## NIMZOWITSCH-INDIAN DEFENSE

MCO: Page 281, Column 85 Note ( $L$ ) Rosenwald Tournament New York, 1957-58

## White

Reshevsky

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1. } & \text { P-Q4 } \\
\text { 2. } & \text { P.QB4 } \\
\text { 3. } & \text { N-QB3 } \\
\text { 4. } & \text { P-K3 }
\end{array}
$$

## Black Kramer

$\underset{\substack{\text { N-KB3 } \\ \text { P.K3 }}}{ }$
P.K3
B-N5

N-K5
A move very rarely seen. I was surprised by it but not shocked. White preceeds to prove it to be a loss of time. Hasty action gets white nowhere. For instance: 5 . Q-N4, NxN; 6. P-QR3, B-K2; 7. PxN (7. QxNP? B-B3) O-O; 8. P-K4, P-KB4
with an excellent
5. game.
P.KB4
5. B-Q3

Giving a pawn for nothing? Not quite. If 7. $B \times N, P \times B ;$ 8. QxP, P-Q4! 9. PxP 19. Q-Q3 is no better, because of 9 ........., Q-N4; 10. P-KN3, P-QN3 with the serious threats of PxP followed by B-N2 and BQR3) P×P; 10. Q-Q3, Q-N4; 11. P-KN3, B-KB4 with more than ample compensation for the pawn.

$$
\begin{array}{lcr}
\text { 7....... } & \text { P-Q4 } \\
\text { 8. P-QR3 } & \text { BXNeh } \\
\text { 9. PxB } & \text { P-QN3 } \\
\text { A logical way of trying to devel }
\end{array}
$$

bishop way of trying to develop the bishop. As will be seen, however, this imperceptible error turns out to be the cause of black's troubles. Better was the more solid 9. ...., P-QB3.
10. PXP
11. P-QB4

PxP
$\mathbf{P \times P}$
Black has difficulty in matntaining his pawn at Q4. For example: 11.,...., P-B3; 12. PxP, PxP; 13. N-B4 threatening NxP and if black captures the knight, he loses the queen with B-B4. (13. ......., BN2; 14. N-K6. 13. ........ N-KB3; 14. BxP. N2; 14. N-K6. 13. ........ N-KB3; 14. BxP.
Finally, if 13. ......., K-R1; 14. P-KR4 with Finaly, if $13 . \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$; 14. P-KR4 with
the threat of P-B3 or immediately 14. the th
'P-B3.
12. BxPch
13. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 4$

K-RI
vent B-Q5.
14. P-QR4

Preventing P.QN4 and at the same time giving white the option of placing his queen-bishop at QR3.
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { 14. ..... } & \begin{array}{r}\text { N-Q3 } \\ \text { P.QR4 }\end{array}\end{array}$
With the intention of anchoring his queen-knight at his QN5.
16. P-KR4
(See diagram top next column)
After 16. O-O I would have had the better of it, too; but the attacking possiblities offered by the text-move were too tempting. The immediate threat is 17. P-R5 followed by N -N6ch culminating in mate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 16-KI } \\
& \text { 17. P-R5, P-R3 averts the }
\end{aligned}
$$

If now 17. P-R5, P-R3 averts the mate. The alternative 15. ........, R-B3; 17. P-R5, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$ would have also parrled the threat, but the rook would have been in an awkward position.


## Position after 28. ........, QxP

after 30. ........, PxRch; 31. K-Q1, Q-N5ch; 32. QxP, QxNPch; 33. K-B3 avoiding perpetual check. I chose, however, the textmove, because it was a more pleasant way of finishing the game.
29. ........ K-R2

If 29. ........, KxB; 30 . R-Q7 ch, K-R1 (30. K-B1; 31. simply Q-Q6 ch) 31. Q-B3ch, R-K4; 32. R-Q8ch, K-N2; 33. Q-B7ch, KN3; 34. P-R5ch, RXP; 35. R-KN8ch, etc.
30. QxPch Resigns

In the following game an inferior opening plus a premature attack led to a quick collapse of my opponent's position.

## ENGLISH OPENING

## MCO: Page 332, Column 9

Manhattan-Marshall Match New York, 1958
White
Now
Black
Black is compelled to try to get rid of one of white's bishops; otherwise he would have succombed to an irresistible attack. In devoting his time for defensive measures, black is reluctantly unable to develop his pieces.

| 18. P.Q5 | N-85 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 19. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | PxB |
| 20. R-Q1 |  |

Better than 20. QxBP, Q-N3; 21. Q-B3, Q-N5 where an ending would have resulted with some fighting chances left for black.

## 20. <br> Q.Q3

Best, under the eircumstances. If 20 . …...., PxP; 21. NxP with the nasty threat of N-B6.
21. QXQBP

Pxp
21. QXQBP
Relatively best was 21. ........,
PXP
Q 5 ch, but after 22. Q.B3 (22. QxQ, PxQ would have opened black's queen rook needlessly) QxQ; 23. BXQ and black's chances of survival would have been poor.
The threats of 22 . N-B6 and 22 . N-B7 are thnnoys
are annoying.
22. ........
is nothing better. If 22

There is nothing better. If 22. ........,
B-K3; 23. Q-B3, R-R2; 24. N-B6. If 22.
R-Q1; 23. O-O, B-R3 (23. ......., B-K3; 24 . Q-B7, too) 24. Q-B7, QxQ; 25. NXQ, R×R; 26. RxR and wins. If 22 . ........, Q -B1; 23 . N-B7, R-K5; 24. Q-B5!
Simple and sufficient:

## Q-B1

24. ........
P.B5

There is no adequate defense. If 24. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$; 25. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8$ wins. Black is making a final desperate effort to save himself.
inal desperate effort to save himself.

| 25. NXR | PXP |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26. P.B4 | P-R3 |
| 27. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{N} 6$ | N - B 3 |

Pieces have no significance at this point. 28. $\mathbf{Q \times N}$ QxP
(See diagram top next column)

## Threatening mate on the move.

29. BxPch

After 29. QxRch, K-R2 it looks off hand as if white has no defense against the threat of mate. But he does have an adequate defense with 30. R-Q2. For
$\qquad$ 12. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$
13. K-N1

Q-R6ch
P-N7
Relatively best was 13. .........N7 N-K4; 14, BPxP, NxNch; 15. RxN, QxRPch; 16. K-B1, NxN (16. ......., Q-R8ch; 17. K-B2, R-R7ch; 18. K-K3, Q-N7; 19. N-Q5 and wins. 17. B-K3, N-B4 with some fighting chances.
14. ........, $14 . \mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{KI}$ is met KNxP
14. ........', N-K4 is met by 15. ........, B-B4.

Black's attacking chances have suddenly completely disappeared.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 15. ...... } \\
& \text { 16. N-Q5 } \\
& \text { 17. B-N3 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { R-Q1 } \\
& \text { N-N3 }
\end{aligned}
$$

If 17. $\mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N} 3)-\mathrm{K} 4$ ! If 17. NxP ch , $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 18 . \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ and the threat of N-K4 could have caused annoyance.

| 17. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18. Q-N3 | P.83 |
| 19. QR-Q1 | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N} 3) \cdot \mathrm{K} 4$ |
| 20. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathbf{P \times N}$ |
| 21. $\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{\times P}$ | R-Q3 |
| 22. R-Q3 |  |

Also good was 22. QxBP, R-N3; 23. BxP.

| 22. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 23. $Q-R 8 C h$ | $R(Q 3)-R 3$ |
| 24. $R-B 3 C h$ | Resigns |

## McCORMICK WINS

## SOUTH JERSEY OPEN

Edgar McCormick of East Orange won the Third Annual South Jersey Open played at Hammonton, New Jersey by scoring 41 in a 22 entry, 5 round Swiss. Robert Durkin tied McCormick in game points (also in median and Solkoff points) but took second place when his $11 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{B}$ points just failed to match McCormick's 12. Gustave Krauhs of Trenton topped a four-player tie for 3rd-6th places ahead of Alan Spielman, Michial Rotov and Leonard Streitfeld, each scoring $31 / 2-11 / 2$. Thomas Serpico, H. A. Wright, Robert Lincoln placed in that order, 7 th to 9 th, after their 3-2 tie had been broken.

## MORAN TAKES ATLANTIC COAST AMATEUR TITLE

Dick Moran of the Jamaica Chess and Checker Club scored 5-1 to top the 34 -player entry list of the Atlantic Coast Amateur Champion: ship at Asbury Park. Rated 14th among the entries, he upset the pre-tournament calculations in winning his first tournament.
Dr. Edward Epp, research scientist from Hartsdale, N.Y. placed second with $41 / 2-11 / 2$.
Third to ninth places were taken by the following players, each of whom scored 4-2; William B. Long; Joe Richman; William Fredericks; Norman Hurtlen; Homer Jones; Gustav Krauhs; R. T. Durkin.

## UNDERWOOD PANHANDLE OPEN CHAMP

R. S. Underwood of Lubbock, Texas, scored $41 / 2$ points to take the title in the Senior class of the Panhandle Open at Borger, Texas. The event drew 31 Senior and 12 Junior entries from Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, and Kansas.
Max Burkett of Memphis, Jack Shaw and Benjamin Thurston of Albuquerque, each scored four points.
In Junior competition Neil Wilson of Plainview scored $41 / 2$ points, topping his closest competitors Garry Simms and H. P. Killough by a full two points.

## Ohess Life America: Chess $\eta_{\text {euspaper }}$

Vol. XIII, Number 5
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Published twice a month on the 5 th and 20 th by THE UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION. Entered as second class matter September 5, 1946, at the post office at Dubuque, Iowa, under the act of March 9, 1879.

Editor: FRED M. WREN
POSTMASTER: Please return undeliverable copies with Form 3579 to Kenneth Harkness, USCF Business Manager, 80 East 11th Street, New York 3, N. Y.

$$
I_{t} \leq N_{0} \text { Good! }
$$

Whenever we hear a master or an expert annotator dismiss an opening or a suggested line of play with these words, we are reminded of the story, probably apocryphal, of the aeronautical engineer examining the carefully scaled blue-prints prepared by a facetious designer, showing the wing-spread and fuselage of a bumble-bee. Thinking that the drawings represented another impractical airplane design, he threw them down with the classic remark, "It'll never get off the ground!"

Now it is generally admitted, that bumble-bees do achieve flight. And, with increasing frequency, the opening or variation in chess which has been refuted or disregarded in the past, comes to life as a practical and dangerous weapon in the hands of one of today's masters. As one example, we cite Botvinnik's success against Smyslov with the almostobsolete Caro-Kann. As a potential example, we mention Bob Durkin's 1. N-QR3, the move which constitutes the opening popularly known as the Durkin Attack. Perhaps we should have said unpopularly known, for the constantly-increasing list of masters and experts who have turned down their king in defeat after a game which Bob has opened in this fashion contains few, if any, names of players who will concede that the Durkin Attack contains any degree of merit.

Off-beat theories and bizarre openings are not new on the kaleidoscopic chess scene. Tartakower's "Orang-Outang" and "Santasiere's Folly" made no lasting impact on master chess. Franklin K. Young's attempts to interpret chess in terms of military tactics are unknown to the majority of today's players. We venture to predict that the Durkin Attack will have longer life, due principally to the ornery nature of most chess players, who, when playing Black against 1 . N-QR3, devote more time and thought to refutation of the move than they do to the game which develops from it.

Now Bob Durkin is one of the country's strongest amateurs, and he has the courage of his convictions. No matter how important the tournament, or whether his opponent is master or woodpusher, whenever Bob plays the white pieces he opens with 1. N-QR3. Within the last 14 months he has played it and won against Collins, Fuster, Turiansky -masters all-and a dozen USCF-rated experts. His games have attracted attention in the British and French chess press, to the extent that his opening will probably be included in the next edition of MCO.

As a public service to CHESS LIFE readers your editor will now tell you how to beat the Durkin Attack. Just regard 1. N-QR3 as a wasted move which gives you, as Black, a tempo and the initiative. Forget about the knight, grab the center, castle queen-side, and play chess. Then, if you are an expert or master, you should either draw or win-you certainly should not lose. If, like your editor, you are in the 1800 class, or lower, you will probably lose, exactly as you would if he played 1. P-K4 or 1. P-KR4-not because of his brilliant and mystifying opening move, but simply because he is a stronger player than you are. Don't, however, brand the opening as no good until you have demonstrated its worthlessness. You might find yourself as embarrassed as the aeronautical expert who proclaimed the bumble-bee's inability to fly.

## And A Jappy Buirthday Jo You

With every publication in the United States extending editorial congratuations to THE CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR on its 50th birthday, it seers particularly fitting for CHESS LIFE to join the chorus, since few, if any, American newspapers have carried the banner of American chess so far for so long a period. Our superficial research on the subject has not established the date on which the MONITOR began to carry a weekly chess column to its readers throughout the world, but it is believed that the first column, edited by the late George Babbitt, came into being at least forty years ago. When he died in 1929, the Sports Editor of the MONITOR-tapped a young student who had just finished four years at Board 1 for the Harvard Team, and whose accomplishments in intercollegiate chess, as reported by Mr. Babbitt, had made the name of Frederick Chevalier familiar throughout the editorial quarters. For more than twenty-nine years he has carried on. We, personally, have seen his column in chess clubs in England, France, Holland, Germany, Switzerland, and Canada, and we know that it has been highly respected in several other countries. In keeping with the policy of his USCF Membership Dues, Including subscription to Chess Life, periodical pubilcation of national chess rating, and all other privileges:
ONE YEAR: $\$ 3.00$ TWO YEARS: $\$ 9.50$ THREE YEARS: $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 . 5 0}$ LIFE: $\$ 100.00$
SUSTAINING: $\$ 10.00$ (Becomes Life Membershlp after 10 payments)
A new membership starts on 21 st day of month of enrollment, expires at the end of the period for which dues are paid. Family Dues for two or more memChess Life, are at regular rates (see above) for first membership, at the follow ing rates. for each additional membershipe One year $\$ 2.50$; two years $\$ 4.75$; three
years $\$ 6.75$. Subscription rate of Chess Life to non-members $\$ 18.00$ per year.
single eoples 15 c each.
paper, his column has always featured objective, factual, and literate coverage of the chess scene, and while congratulating the MONITOR on its birthday, we include congratulation for acquiring and retaining the services of a columnist of Mr. Chevalier's stature. And to him go our thanks for nearly thirty years of work, all of which has been beneficial to American chess.

## Kibitzer's Mailbox

Mr. S. Heinemann of Irvington, New Jersey, wrote, as follows, to our Problem Editor, Mr. Gabor:
"I just received CHESS LIFE of September 20th with the report of USCF Membership and Director meeting. There are reports about all kinds of past and coming chess affairs, but nowhere is there any mentioning of Chess Problems. Not even such an important event as the "Gamage Memorial" was found worthwhile to be mentioned in the report. Maybe it has to do with the fact, that the Business Manager and Membership Secretary Mr. Harkness is not interested at all in problems, as he told me in Asbury Park.

Such important chess papers as the Chess Review has no problem column.
In Europe nearly every important chess tournament starts with an open solving contest, where 3 to 4 different problems are given to sometimes 150 solvers and those with the shortest solving time for complete solutions get prizes.

Your problem column is in the whole very good. But it takes for a good solver 5 to 6 years to come to the top. Since 1 am already 62 years old, who knows if I live so long?

If you would in future give special prizes for such contests as the "Gamage Memorial" it would be already an improvement.

I take this opportunity to thank you, Mr. Gabor, for all you are doing for the U. S. Problem-friends!"

Don't get discouraged, Mr. Heineman. Our USCF Chess by Mail project, like Chess Problems, was discussed only in committee, and did not reach the agenda of either general meeting. If OPERATION M goes over to the extent that the USF finances reach the black-ink side of the ledger, we shall be able to satisfy the demands of several special-interest groups of members-among them the problem fans. Ed.

Oliver S. Taylor of Springfield, Ohio writes:
"I would like to take this opportunity to express my admiration for the job you are all doing. The whole tone of the USCF has changed since I was introduced to it a year ago. You have grown up and become effective at the same time. Most impressive.

Just one request-try to get Pal Benko a job in a chess town. It would be a great service to all of us who wish to nurture great chess in this country."

Since the current issue of the CLEVELAND CHESS BULLETIN states that Grandmaster Benko is due back in Cleveland in December, after various exhibition tours in Europe, and since Cleveland is definitely a "chess town," we assume that Mr . Taylor's request has been taken care of satisfactorily. If not, watch out, Cleveland; Milwaukee might grab him. Ed.
Larry Dulany of Mt. Vernon, lllinois writes:
Our town or my school does not have a chess club. I would like very much to get a chess club started. Could you send me any information pertaining to this? I would appreciate it very much.

Since this is a difficult matter to handle by remote control, we pass the buck to Illinois organizers, asking them to give Larry the information and help needed. Ed.

## TWO GOLDEN KINGS CHAMPIONSHIPS NOVEMBER 28TH, 29TH \& 30TH, 1958

1. Third Annual Long Island Amateur Championship-in cooperation with the Civic Center Chess Club-at 157 Montague Street, Brooklyn, N.Y. (Take BMT to Court St., or IRT to Boro Hall or Clark St., or IND to Boro Hall. Ample parking after 7 p.m.)
2. First Pittsburgh Amateur Championship-in cooperation with the Pittsburgh Chess Club-at Downtown YMCA, 304 Wood St., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.
Conditions and times of play are the same for both tournaments. Open to all except rated masters. Winner awarded engraved GOLDEN KINGS Trophy. Engraved GOLDEN KINGS medals to 2nd and 3rd place finishers, 1st and 2nd in Classes A, B and C. All trophy and medal winners, plus top two unrated players, awarded chess books as prizes.

Six round Swiss. All entrants play in one event; no division into groups or classes. Every player has chance to win the title. Harkness pairings. 50 moves in two hours. Unfinished games adjudicated. Performances rated by USCF. Directors: Kenneth Harkness (Pittsburgh); Frank Brady (Brooklyn).

Entry Fee $\$ 5$ to USCF members. Non-members must pay additional $\$ 5$ dues. Mail entry to address below, or register at playing site on Friday, November 28th between $6: 30$ and 8 p.m. Play starts at 8:30 p.m. sharp. Last round ends about 7 p.m. Sunday.

> UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION

80 East 11th Street
New York 3, N.Y.
CHANGE OF ADDRESS: Four weeks notice required. When ordering change please furnish an address stencll impression from recent issue or exact repro
ducton, Including numbers and dates on top line.

Send membership dues (or subscriptions) and changes of address to KENNETH HARKNESS, Business Manager, Bo East 11th Street, New York 3, N. Y.
Send Tournament rating reports (with fees, if any) and all communications regarding CHESS LIFE editorial matters to FRED M. WREN, Editor, Gove Houso, Perry, Maine.
Make all checks payable to: THE UNITED STATBS CHESS FBDERATION


# TIDBITS OF MASTER PLAY 

By International MASTER WILLIAM LOMBARDY

World Junior Champion

## WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP CHESS

The Interzonal Tournament at Portoroz, Yugoslavia did not exactly start off with a bang! All the players with a few notable exceptions seem to be in a mood of utter passivity accepting draws in ten or fifteen moves or else playing so entirely careful that they arrive at positions where there is not the slightest chance to play for the win.

In this tourney six are destined to go on to the 1959 Candidates Tournament, the winner of which shall struggle with Botvinnik in a 1960 match for the World Championship.

This being the case one would think that the participants would endeavour to keep the games lively so that the possibility of winning would be greater. However, they have adopted the famous policy of watchful waiting and while they watch and wait Tahl and Petrosyan are running off with the honor and two of the six precious qualification places.

In all fairness though I must say that Gligoric and Olafsson have been playing some of the best chess in the tourney but have met up with some bad luck which is hurting their chances. Gligoric lost winning games against Sherwin and Olafsson and Olafsson lost winning positions with Neikirch and Rossetto.

They say that chess is a difficult game but one never knows exactly how difficult it really is until he plays in a nerve-shredder such as a twenty-one round international tournament where every half point might mean the difference between qualifying or not.

Fuster for example had seven completely winning positions from ten games with the others being dead drawn. You may have an idea of his result but if you don't here it is: two draws!

I shall give some more highlights of the tourney in a later issue. Meanwhile I give you what I think is the best game up to round ten plus an example of Geza Fuster's hard luck. I would like to say en passant however that Fuster usually takes two hours and twenty-nine minutes for the first fifteen moves and is left with only sixty seconds to play the remaining twenty-five.
(Editor's Note: If the tenses seem a bit mixed in the foregoing text, it is because the article was written during the Portoroz tournament, before the article on Bobby Fischer, which we published in the October 5 issue.)

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

## White

B. Larsen

Denmark

| 1. | P-Q4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | P-QB4 |
| 3. | N-QB3 |
| 4. | P-K4 |
| 5. | B-K2 |
| 6. | N-B3 |

Of all the positions in the King's Indian this is the most infamous. White's prob lem is what to play!
(a) 7. 0-0 leads to the well known Najdorf variation where follows 7 . ......) N-B3; 8. P-Q5 (8. PxP, PxP is completely even) N-K2; 9. N-K1, N-Q2, 10. N-Q3, P-B4; 11. P-B3, P-B5. A position with equal chances but where Black's king side attack always seems to be more dangerous. (Reshevsky vs, Lombardy, 1958 U. S. Championship is a good example.)
(b) 7. B-N5 also seems to lead to nothing after 7. ......., P-KR3; 8. B-R4, QNQ2; 9. O.O, P-B3 with at least equal chances for Black.
(c) 7. $P \times P, P \times P ; 8 . Q x Q, R x Q ; 9 . N-$ Q5! NxN; 10. BPxN, P-QB3! with equality.
It appears that we still have not solved the problem. What must White do?

## 7. P-Q5!

This is considered the best of all the alternatives. True it's an old zug but Swartz has his difficulties 'against it. Let us see how Black tries to solve this problem.

## 8. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$

$\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{R}^{3}$
The well traveled route is $8.0-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$ B4; 9. Q-B2, P-QR4; 10. P-QN3, N-K1; 11. N-Q2, P-B4 with a fighting game.
9. P-QR3!

Excellent! White must open the Queen's side before Black can begin his King's attack.
9. ج-KR4
$\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{KI}$
White likes to burn the candle at both ends. More to the point is 10 . R-QN1.

| 10. ..... | P.B4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11. P-R5 | N-B3 |
| 12. $R P \times P$ | RPXP |
| 13. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | N-B2 |
| 14. N-KN5 | Q-K2 |
| 15. Q.Q3 | P.B5 |

White scems to be forcing all the play but he still has a surprise in store for him.
16. B-Q2

A preparatory move. Better was P-QN4 immediately.


## Position after 17. P-KN3?

White is under the wrong Impression that he can attack on the King's wing The point is that he can if he first settles the situation on the Queen flank with P-QN4!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17. ....... } \\
& \text { 18. N-R3 }
\end{aligned}
$$

N-N5!
e. I am going to win a pawn."

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a pawn." } \\
& 18 .
\end{aligned}
$$

P-QN4!
"I offer a gift." Grecian or Yugoslav it's all the same

KP×P
19. NPXP
20. BXN

White cannot permit N-K4. ${ }^{\ldots}$

B-N5 in his recent match with V. Smyslov. There must however still be much analysis done before we may come to any conclusion.
4. P-KR3

BxN
5. $Q \times B$
6. $P \cdot R 3$

So far Smyslov vs. Botvinnik but here Bronstein chooses to vary. The "new move" does not give Black any difficalty in equalizing.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.

White always seems to have the better of it but never quite enough to win. 11. N-N3 might have been a better alternative in order to keep pleces on the board.
11. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$

N×N

${ }^{\text {"Ha! You see? I have a clear pawn }}$ more with a strong attack!


Position after 2T. ........, R×NII
"Here, go ahead and make a pig of yourself,"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 22. } B \times R \\
& \text { 23. } Q \times P
\end{aligned}
$$

PXP
$\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{N} 4!$
The point of the various sacrifices is now clear. White King position is unsafe and he has weaknesses on both White and Black squares.
What else can he play?

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 24. } & \text { R-KB1 } \\
\text { 25. B-K3 } & \text { B-B6! } \\
\text { 26. KR-KN1 } & \text { N×N } \\
\text { 27. PXN } & \text { BXKP } \\
\text { 28. QR-K1 } &
\end{array}
$$

Does White think of winning? I don't think so. He is only looking for an opportunity to return the exchange so he may have drawing chances.


Positlon after 29. ........, P-B5t 30. Q×P

R-B1
Black's pleces are too active. White is helpless also hopeless. 31. Q-B4
32. K-K2

Q-N2!!
Q-N2I!
"Oh how can I end my misery?" says the White monarch.

| 32. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 33. K-B4 | Q-N4ch |
| 34. K-K2 | Q×Pch |
| resigns, Mate is unavolda |  |

White resigns. Mate is unavoldable, as they say.

## CARO-KAN DEFENSE


12. PxN

Gaining time for development. White must take time to defend his QNP.
13. P-K5

A simple R -QN1 immediately is better. A 13. ........ K-R1
There was a threat.
14. P-QB3

Now R.QNI followed by P-QN3-B-N2 cannot be played because Q.B4 is threatened.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14. } \\
& \text { 15. R-QNI }
\end{aligned}
$$

QR-Q1
Now White has nothing else to do so he does it!
16. B.KB4

Q-84
An interesting Idea which begins the complications. It is necessary for Black to keep counter-play against the White king pawn as long as possible.
king pawn as long as possible.
Who sees the trap?
18. B-Q4
19. B-R5

R-KNI
20. QR-KI
Q.B2

White still must worry about his king pawn.
21. R-K4
22. R-K2
23. B-K3

N-B41
N-N6
23. B-K3

N-N6
R-Q4
N-B4 is better.
R(4)-Q1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 24. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B3} \\
& \text { 25. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K4} \\
& 26 . \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

N-B4
Now White does this because he envisions an attack along the White squares, Hê might simply have retreated his White-squared Beer-shop.
26........

BxB
Now Black (Fuster) as usual has to make umpteen moves in less than a minute. We know what happens don't we?

|  | 28. B-B2 | K-R1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 29. P-KB4 | PxP |
|  | 30. QxP | QR-KN1 |
|  | 31. P-QN4 | B-K2 |
|  | 32. Q-R6 | B-N4 |
|  | 33. Q-R5 | Q-K2 |
|  | 34. P-KN3? |  |
| Thirty | minutes left! |  |
|  | 34. ....... | P-KB4! |
|  | 35. PxP e.p. | RxP |
|  | 36. $\mathrm{R}(2) \cdot \mathrm{KB} 2$ | R-N4?? |


36. ........, RxP; 37. RxB, Q-N2! 38. B-N6, RxB; 39. RxR, QxR; 40. QxQ, RxQ; 41. R-B7, R-N2 with a draw! Ah C'est la vle! 37. G-R6

Too late for tears.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 38. } \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} \\
& \text { 39. B-K. }
\end{aligned}
$$

R-6-N2
39. B-K4 P.K4

And Black resigns. He still had five seconds to do so!

## GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS

USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, 91 Lenox Road, Brooklyn 26, N. Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless othervise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## LOUIS J. WOLFF

Louis J. Wolff, a prominent New York attorney, former professor at New York Law School, and a governor of the Marshall Chess Club, was captain and second board for the 1906 Columbia University Chess Team in its Cable and InterCollegiate Matches-when the immortal Capablanca handled its first board! With the same combinative skill which marked his play in those days, the Columbian sacrificed his Queen and two Rooks to win this little gem a few months ago.

## MAX LANGE ATTACK

MCO 9: page 19
Metropolitan League New York, 1958
Notes by John W. Collins White
J. SANDOW
L. J. WOLFF (Queens C. C.)
(Marshall C. C.)

| 1. |
| :--- |
| 2. |
| P-K4 |

P-K4
The opening runs through the Center Game, the Scotch Game, and then settles on a version of the Max Lange Attack.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 2. } & \text { N..... } & \text { PxP } \\
\text { 3. } & \text { N-KB3 } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \\
\text { 4. } & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QB4} 4 & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3!
\end{array}
$$

On 4. ......., B-N4 ch, White obtains good play after both 5 . P-B3, PxP 6. O-0, PxP 7. BxP, and 4. ........, B-N5 ch 5. P-B3, PxP 6. O-O, P-Q3 7. P-QR3, B-R4 8. P-QN4, B-N3 9. Q-N3 and 10. NxP. The main line of the Max Lange Attack continues 5. ........, B-B4 6. P-K5, P.Q4 7. PxB.

This ambitious move turns out badly. White should be content with 6. NxP.
7. B-N3

N-K4
Better is 7. QxP, for if 7. ........., P-B4 8. Q-B3, P-KR3 9. N-B3 (9. P-B4? NxB 10. QxN4 PxN 11. PxP, B-K3 12. Q-N5 ch, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ wins).
8. P-KB4

P-KR3
If 8. N-KB3, B-N5 and Black remains with a material and positional advantage.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 8. ....... } \\
\text { 9. } & \text { PXN } \\
\text { 10. P-B3 }
\end{array}
$$

White's aversion to regaining Pawns deters 10. BxP, B-K2 11. Q-B3.
Black threatens 11 . ........, P×P ch and deploys the KB for the coming mating attack.

If 13 .

| 11. K-RI | Q-Q3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12. BxP |  |
| 13. BxP | eh |
| B-KN5 |  |
| K-Q2 |  |

K-Q2
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { 14. Q.K1 } & \text { QR.KBT } \\ \text { 15. B.N6 } & \text { P.Q6 }\end{array}$
Threatening 16. ........, B-K7 17. R-B5, NN5.
16. P-N4

If $16 . \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{BxB}$ 17. RxB, N-N5 18. P KR3, RxR 19. PxR, P-K5 forces mate. And lf 16. BxN, RxB 17. RxR (17. B-B5 ch, BxB 18. RxB, RxR 19. PxR, P-K5 20. P-KR3, P-K6 wins) Q×R 18. B-B5 ch, BxB 19. PxB, QxP wins.
17. N.Q....
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 3$
$\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 7$
or 17. ......... K-B1 - to avoid the line in the next note.
18. N-B4
(h)ess Life
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Position after 19. PxB-
And now Black's position has suddenly become desperate. If, for example, the plausible move $19 \ldots . . . . . \mathrm{Q}$-Kt3, 20. QR-K1, R-B2; 21. P-R5, R-KR1; 22. PxP, BPxP; 23. R-K6 with a tremendous attack. Black must find some answer to the threat of P-R5.
19. ....... P-R5 with P-B3
 20. QR-K1

R-B5
Q. Q 4 in
Presumably to prevent Q-Q4 in some variation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lr}
\text { 21. P-Kł3 } & \text { R-B4 } \\
\text { 22. P-Kł5 } & \text { PxP }
\end{array} \\
& \text { If } 22 \text {.........P-B4, 23. P-R5. }
\end{aligned}
$$

And now the threat is 24. Q-Q4ch, K-Kt1, and 25. RxRP leading to a rapid win.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

MCO 9; Page 13 4, Column 67
S. California Championship 1957
Notes by U.S. Expert Neil Falconer (Reprinted from, and with permission of, CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER)

## White

G. RAMIREZ

1. P-K4
J. CROSS
2. P-K4

P-QB4
2. Kt-KB

P-Q3
3. P-Q4

Kt-KB3
5. $\mathrm{Kt}+\mathrm{QB} 3$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 6. } & \text { B-K3 } \\ \text { 7. } & \text { P-B3 }\end{array}$
7. P-B3
8. Q-Q2
9. B-B4
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}+3$
$\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
Ktrest
KtxKt
P-QR3
Now White can play 11. P-QR4 and 12. 0.0 with a fine game. But White correctly estimates he can keep the Q -side under control after 11. 0-0-0 while pressing his own attack on the K-side.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 11. } O-Q-O & P-Q K+4 \\
\text { 12. } B-K+3 & \text { B-Q2 } \\
\text { 13. } P-K t 4 & \text { P-QR4 } \\
\text { 14. } & \text { K } t-Q 5
\end{array}
$$

White relieves the pressure on his $Q$ side by advancing in the center. White threatens 15. KtxKtch and Black's Pawn position will be ruined.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 14. } & \text { Kt×K } \\
\text { 15. } \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B} \\
\text { 16. } \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt} & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{By}
\end{array}
$$

This and the next move are the decisive mistake. Black is already threatening to win the White B by $17 \ldots . . . . \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ and 18. ........, $P$-R5. Had Black played 16. ....... R-KQt1, then after 17. P-QR3 (to provide a hole for the B) 17 ........P-Kt5 is very strong (18. PxP, PxP and 19........Q-R4 and if 20. K-Kt1, R-QR1). If instead White plays 17. P-K5 (to answer $17 \ldots \ldots .$. PxP by 18. Q-B3, regaining the $P$ by
threat of 19 . $B-B 6$, then $17 \ldots . . . P^{-K 3}$; threat of 19. B-B6), then 17........P-K3; 18, B-K4, P-Q4; and 19........P-Kt5, 20........ Q-Kt3, 21,......P-R5, 22........R-B1 and an eventual P-Kt6 give Black a threatening attack. As Black plays it, he has no pressure on the $Q$-side to counter White's on the K-side.
17. P-QR3 B-K3
18........R-QKt1 is still the right move, although White might now try the odd looking 19. P-Kt4.
18. P-KR4
19. P×B

BXB

This move costs at least a plece. The strongest continuation is 18 . B-B5 ch, K-Q1 19. N-B4, Q-K2 20. NxB, RPxN 21. R-B2.

Threatening 19. ........, RxP mate 19 QxB.

Q-B2
The only way to try to save the K-side Pawns was by 24........Q-K1 (to meet 25. Q-B2) but White can then play 25. RxRP!, KxR; 26. Q-R2ch, K-KtI; 27. Q-R6.
Not 25. R-B2; 26 . RxPch.
26. QxPch
27. QxKtPch
28. Q-K4
29. R-K1
30. RxP

K-82
31. P-QKt4 forcing Black's $Q$ away from the $P$ at Q3, wins at once.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 31. } & \text { K-B2 } \\
\text { 32. Q-K7ch } & \text { K-K+3 } \\
\text { 33. RXR } & \text { QXRPch } \\
\text { 34. K-Q2 } & \text {-....... }
\end{array}
$$ perpetual check.

| ual check. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 34. | K-B2 |
| 35. K-K2 | R×R |
| 36. QxR | Q-KSch |
| 37. K-B2 | Q-R5ch |
| 38. K-B3 | ....... |

White must return to $R$ to avoid perpetual check. And now it looks like a long tough game for white to winbut he wins it quickly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 38. } \mathrm{QxPch} \\
& \text { 40. Q.B6ch } \\
& \text { 41. Q.Q7ch } \\
& \text { 42. Q×P } \\
& \text { 43. K-K3 }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ${ }^{\text {Q }}{ }_{K-K+2}$

by simply marching his K to QKt6.

| 43. ...... | Q-Kł8ch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 44. K-K4 | Q-Kt7ch |
| 45. K-K5 | QxKłPch |
| 46. K-Q6 | Q-Kł3ch |
| 47. K-B5 | QxPch |
| 48. K-Ki6 | Q-B7ch |
| 49. Q-B5 | Resigns. |

## ROCKET RIDE!

## ENGLISH OPENING

MCO: Page 334, Col. 17
7th Midwest Open,
Omaha, Nebraska, 1957
Notes by U.S. Expert Don Define

## JOHN RAGAN JOHN ALLEN

 WhiteBlack
This modest move goes by the name of Van't Kruys Opening. It usually transposes into an English or Queen
10. ........ P-K5; 11. N-Q2, P-B4 would
10. ......., P-K5; 11. N-Q2, P-B4 would probably lead to a premature opening
of the position (for Black) after 12. P-B3 (Q-N4; 13. N-B4). P-B3 (Q-N4; 13. N-B4).
11. KPXP

Typically Ragan. Most players possibly would shun the Isolani by 11. BPxP PxP; 12. NxP(NxN?; 13. QxN, B-K2; 14. R-N1 hitting both Knight Pawns) B-K2; 13. B-B3 but this would eliminate the attacking atmosphere.

| 11. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12. PxP | P×P |
| 13. B-Q3 | B-Q3 |
| 14. R-K1ch | B-KN5 |
| 15. B-NS | N-K2 |
| 16. Q-K2 | Q-Q2 |
| 17. B-Q2 | P-B3 |
| has | O-O |

Allen has successfully coped with the open King file and its resultant pins. Since stage one has burned out, Raga ignites stage two-seizure of the King file.
18. B-B4ch
19. P-KR3
20. B-K6
21. Q-K4
22. P-G5
23. B-B4
K-R1
B-R4
Q-K1
Q-B3
Q-K1
$\ldots . . .$.

White has made much progress crampIng Black's game. Now he hopes to destroy the blockade on his passed Pawn.

| 23. | Q-N3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24. Q-Q4 | Q-N3 |
| 25. N.R4 | Q-RB2 |
| 26. QBXB | QXB |
| 27. QR-Q1 | QR-Q1 |

Stage two has gone as far as possible and a successful outcome appears dubiand a successful outcome appears dubi-
ous since Black's game begins to look ous since Black's game begins to
menacing. Stage three-A diversion. menacing. Stage three-A diversion.
$28, Q-R 7$
B-R4? 29. P-N4 B-B2
Compare this position with that after Black's 27th. White has been given two tempi which he uses to advantage.

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { 30. } \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} & \text { R×B } \\
\text { 31. } \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{NP} & \mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{Q} 2 \\
\text { 32. } \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 5 H & \ldots . . .
\end{array}
$$



Position after 32. N-B5I
SHAZAM!! A kicker and a half (with TCP)
32. 32. RxQ sets up a knight fork with 33. NxQ. Or 32. .......... QxP; 33. QxQ wins and on 32. ......., Q-B2 (coverIng the Rook) 33. QxQ, RxQ; 34. P-Q6 heads for paydirt.
For 33. ........, R-B1; 34. QxR. Another Ragan victory using his tactical shock treatment method.

## PILLSBURY: CHESS IMMORTAL

PAUL LEITH
December 5th marks the 86th anniversary of the birth in Somerville, Massachusetts of Harry Nelson Pillsbury, one of the three greatest chess players of our American tradition. He was one of that marvelous trinity-Morphy, Pillsbury, Marshall.

Tartakower and Du Mont wrote: "Amongst the attacking players of all time, Pillsbury occupies a place of honor." Said Reti: "Pillsbury's will to victory and his power of combination were expressed in such beautiful playing as will never be forgotten." Reuben Fine affirmed: "He became one of the monumental figures in the game, a towering and distinct personality." Frank Marshall called him "a marvelous genius."

Pillsbury learned the game when he'was sixteen; yet, only seven years later, in his first international experience, at the Hastings 1895 International Tournament, he won first prize, ahead of the best players in the world (World Champion Emanuel Lasker, former World Champion Wilhelm Steinitz, Mikhail Tchigorin and Dr. Siegbert Tarrasch). Fine called it "the most sensational event since Morphy's triumphal tour of Europe."

Our memorable forerunner lived and breathed chess, giving his occupation in Vol. 1 of "Who's Who in America" as "chess player." His play showed deep positional understanding, rich imagination and swift, exact calculation. He was a master at producing and exploiting weaknesses in his opponent's position.

Pillsbury, was remarkable, too, at simultaneous blindfold exhibitions, establishing at Moscow in 1902 a world's record of 22 games, which lasted until Reti raised it to 24 games in 1919. At exhibitions, Pillsbury would play blindfold at the same time, twelve games of chess and six games of checkers; and a game of duplicate whist, besides.

His poor health was worsened by the mental strain of blindfold playing, and he died on June 17, 1906 at Frankford, Pa, at the early age of 34 .

One of his best games was the following win over World Champion Lasker at the 1904 International Tournament at Cambridge Springs, Pa.

| White |  | Black |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pillsbury |  | Em. Lasker |  |
| 1. P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 4. | N-B3 | $\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { 1. } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { 4. } & \text { N-B3 } & \text { P-B4 } \\ \text { 2. } & \text { P-QB4 } & \text { P-K3 } & \text { 5. } & \text { B-N5 } & \text { BPXP } \\ \text { 3. } & \text { N-QB3 } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB3} & \text { 6. } & \mathbf{Q x P} & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3\end{array}$ This was the position in the PillsburyLasker game at St. Petersburg, 1896 when-Pillsbury played 7. Q-R4 and lost. Pillsbury said he waited eight years for a similar position against Lasker. This time, he played:


| 7. BXN ! |  | , |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. Q-R4 | PxP | 20. Q-Q4 | - B |
| R | B-Q | 21. Q×BP | 4 |
| 10. P-K3 | N-K | 22. N-K | 1 |
| 11. $\mathrm{N} x$ | PXN | 23. N-N | - |
| 12. QXBP | Q-N | 24. Q-R6c |  |
| 13. B-K2 | XN | 25. B-B4! |  |
| 14. | R-B | 26. RxPch |  |
| 15. Q-Q3 | R-B2 | 27. R-KB | RR |
| 6. N-K4 | B-K2 | 28. $K \times Q$ | 2 |
| 17. N-Q6c | -B1 | 29. Q-R |  |
| 18. | Q-N4 | 30. | Res |
| artakower and Du Mont call this "a |  |  |  |
| lendid victory ("500 Master Games of |  |  |  |
| Chess," Game No. 385, pp. 490-1). Wellmuth ("The Golden Treasury of Chess," |  |  |  |
| Game No. 269, pp. 150-1) states: "This |  |  |  |
| istoric game, played in the grand man- |  |  |  |
| ner by Pillsbury, created a sensation at |  |  |  |
| the time. He had waited elght years for |  |  |  |
| e 'sweet | evenge |  |  |

## WITH THE CLUBS

The Pittsfield (Mass,) Chess Club has had an active summer. Pittsfield YMCA upset Schenectady YMCA by holding Cup champions to and Susquehanna Cup champions to a 3-3 stalemate. Joe Weininger, Mike Valvo, and Steve Anderson won the top three boards for Schenectady but John Semeniw, Ed Kotski and Henry Rock emerged victorious for Pittsfield on the lower three boards.
Fred Townsend won the Pittsfield Open Ten-Second Rapid Transit with a 9-1 tally. Dr. Kurt Hirshman was second with $8.5-1.5$, losing to Townsend. Henry Fock was third with 8-2, while Peter White and John Semeniw also registered positive scores.
Dr. Kurt Hirshman topped a field of eight players for the Pittsfield City Championship with $31 / 2-1 / 2$. Runner-up was Henry NHedzienski, with Fred soored a terrific 13-1 to finish fownsend scored a terrific 13-1 to finish first in
the Club's Summer Rating-Point Tourthe Club'

## SAGE WORDS FROM SOUTH

The following item, lifted from Frank Rose's LET'S PLAY CHESS column in the Fort Lauderdale Daily News (Sept. 29, 1958) impressed us. The ideas are not new-we'se heard them beforeand we've expressed them verbally and in print. But we never saw them expressed so clearly and so completely, in so few words. We, personally, used over two thousand words to get across the same idea several years ago. Truly here is the "Gettysburg Address" of chess writing. The only jarring note is struck in the last sentence. Do you really think, Frank, that chess will outlive the hula hoop?
"The main fascination of chess lies in the fact that it is not an exact science. True, ${ }^{\text {it }}$ is based upon scientific principles, but as yet no one knows all the answers. Chess is sfill a great uncharted wilderness like the far-flung galaxies. This is its immense appeal. This is also the reason why the majority does not play it.
Most people have never been taught to think for themselves. They have accepted the pat answers of parents, teachers, ministers, politicians, editors and other leaders. They parrot the thinking of others and fool themselves into believing that the thoughts are their own.
Naturally these people dislike chess. For the first time in their lives they discover that the pat little answers are no substitute for logic, imaginafion and creative thinking. They are bewildered by their inability to cope with the royal game; so they strike back by saying, "Well, after all chess is only a game. 1t's really not important." Ah, but they are wrong. Chess is important. It teaches people to put their minds in order, to think clearly and logically.
You can read all the chess books ever written and still there comes a time within each game when you are on your own. Then no friend or teacher can come to your aid and hand you the magic answer; you must figure it out for yourself. That is why chess is be pascinating. And that is why it will most of our present activities have faded away to dim memories."
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# Mate The Subtle Way! 

by Nicholas Gabor
All communications concerning this problem-column, Including solutions as well as original compositions for publication (two- and three-mover direet mates),
from composers anywhere should be sent to Nicholas Gabor, Hotel Kempar Lane, from composers an
Cincinnati 6, Ohio.

Problem No. 953
By H. Hermanson Unbyn, Sweden Original for Chess Life


Problem No. 955
By J. E. Driver Kettering, England Original for Chess Life


All contributors presented above have participated in our "Gamage Memorial Contest." The works published today are all "out of contest" entries.

## Solutions to "Mate the Subtle Way!"

No. 941 Barclay: key move 1. B-N4 threatening 2. B-B3. If 1. ......., K-N8, 2. N-B3 dbl. ch! If 1. ........, N-K4, 2. N-B2; if 1. ......, NB3-Q5, 2. N-B2; if 1. ......., NN4-Q5; 2. N-Q3; if 1. ......... R-B3, 2. N-KiB3. No. 942 Brown: set play: 1. ........, N any, 2, N-K3; 1. ........, B any, 2. QxP. Keymove 1. Q-R waiting. Complete block with 2 added mates: 1. ......., P-N7, 2, Q-R3; 1. ......., B-N4, 2. N-K5! 1. ......., B others, 2. QxP. No. 943 Fillery: keymove 1. $\urcorner$ QxP with multiple threats (4). Black moves force 1 only! (Fleck mechanism.) The 4 threats are: Q-B5, Q-K6, Q-Q5 and Q-QB4. No. 944 Fillery: amended version of No. 848 for the contest. Key 1. N-N4, threat 2. R-K5 short mate. Six good variations, as most solvers found them.

## GAME FROM MUNICH

 RUY LOPEZ

WOODPUSHER'S SCOREBOX
Game from Panhandle Open, 1958 White-Thurston Black-Milburn

## (1839)



## Soletion Jo

What' Sho But Mour?

## Position No. 240

Bronstein-Uszi, Moscow 1956 Bronstein played 1. RxBPeh!, KxR; 2. B-N5ch, KxB; 3. QxRch, and Black resigned. If 3....., K-B5; 4. Q-B6 mate. If 3. N.. K-R4; 4. RxPch. If 3., ….,
 PxP; 5. Q-K6ch, K-N4; 6. R-K5ch.
Most of our solvers chose Bron-
stein's steln's contfnuation, but some showed that White can also win with 1 . Q-Q6. The maln threat is 2 . QxBPch, K -Ksq; 3. R -Qsq. The prettiest variation is 1. Q-Q6, QR-Ksq; 2. QxBPch, K-Nsq ${ }^{\text {B }}$, R-K6! and not R-BB because of R-KB2. Now R-KB2 1 leads to a quick mate after
OXKPI
Q.Q2; 4 . either RxKP, etc. QxKP!), Q-Q2; 4. either RxKP, etc. There are a number of otper defensive seems to be sufficient. For thts reason, we are allowing full credit for 1. Q-Q6. Since, however, we do not consider this as good a move as 1 . RxBPch, we are not allowing double credit for both solutions.
Correct solutions are acknowledged from: Phllip Albert, Leslie H. Ault, Robin Ault, Harry Bakwin, George w. Baylor, M. D. Blumenthal, Joe Bohac, Abel Bomberault, Marshall Brooks, Al. fred Carter, Armstron Chinn $J \mathrm{Ir}_{\text {., }}$ Ramon Cook, Thomas W. Cusick, K.sA. Czerniecki, Henry Davis, Carl Dover, A. A. Eggleston Jr.", Ed Gault, Richard Giblan, O. E. Goddard, Bob Hardin', Rea Hayes, Bob Hewes, Herbert W. Hickman, Viktors Ikauniks, John E. Ishkan, Donald W. Johnson, Andy Kafko, Frank J. Kohilla, David Kornreich, E. J. Korpanty, R. Leonard, F. D. Lynch, Jack Matheson, Hravey B. McClellan, Jack Miller, M. Mllstein, Ed Nash, Vincent D. Noga, George W. Payne, Oran Perry, Kent Pullen, Edmund Roman, Frank Rose, George Ross, Frank C. Ruys, D. W. Rystron, Max Schlosser, 1. Schwartz, David Silver, Claude Sink ${ }^{*}$, Larry Snyder, Bob Stefnmeyer, W. E. Stevens, Richard Strassburger, G. V. D. Tiers, Francis Trask, George Trefzer, Alexis Valueff, $F$. $J$, Halvo, M. Walson, Neil P . Wittine, wiHam B. Wuson, Nell P. Witting, L. E. Wood, Herbert A. Wright, and R. G.
Wright. The selvers score a complete Wright. The
shutout, $67-0$.
shutcome to new solvers.

## Solutions Jo Finish it the Clever Way:

Position No. 213: 1. ........, R(1)-K3! and White resigned as he cannot meet the threat of 2. ........, $R(6)-K 4 \mathrm{ch}$ which enables Black to win the Queen by dis covered check.

Position No. 214: 1. R-Q1! BxR; 2. P N7, B-N6; 3. RxBch, RxR; 4. P-N8(Q) RxQ; stalemate.

## Journament Life

Tournament organizers wishing announcements of their forthcoming USCF rated events to appear in this column should make application at least seven weeks in advance, on speclal forms which may be obtained from USCF Business Manager, Kenneth Harkness, 80 East 11th St., New York 3, N. Y. or from Editor CHESS LIFE, Gove House, Perry, Maine.

## November 22 and 23

## Dallas Open

Baker Hotel, Dallas, Texas. Open to USCF members. Two sections. Section
1: Four trophies, entry fee $\$ 3$. Section 2: Cash prizes according to receipts from entry fees at $\$ 10$ each, $\$ 7$ of which goes to prize fund. Five round Swiss beginning 9 A.M. Saturday. Entries accepted at Baker Hotel 8-8:30 A.M. Saturday. (Concurrent unrated Amateur Open).

## CHESS BOOKS

## at money-saving prices to USCF members*

## NEW BOOKS

NOTE: Books under this heading are recent publications now in stock. MODERN CHESS OPENINGS, 9th EdItion, by Karn and Collins. Completely evised. 54.98
NEW IDEAS IN CHESS by Larry Evans. Dynamic, youthful princlples of modern chess fully explained. $\$ 3.36$ LOGICAL APPROACH TO CHESS by Dr. Max Euwe. Positional play, for fairly advanced players. $\$ 4.98$
LESSONS FROM MY GAMES by Dr. Reuben Fine. Fifty masterpieces, annotated: entertaining introductions. $\$ 4.25$
MY BEST GAMES OF CHESS by V. Smyslov. 67 games with former world ohampion's annotations. Translated from Russian. $\$ 3.83$
MY BEST GAMES OF CHESS, 1908 1923, by Alexander Alekhine. 100 of late world champion's mas
MASTERS OF THE CHESSBOARD by Richard Reti; Famous classio reprinted. $\$ 2.98$
LOGICAL CHESS, MOVE BY MOVE, by Irving Chernev. Step-by-step anal by Irving Chernev. Step
HOW GOOD IS YOUR CHESS? by $L$ Barden. Self-teacher. $\$ 3.19$

CHESS OPENINGS
A GUIDE TO CHESS OPENINGS by Leonard Barden. $\$ 3.99$
PRACTICAL CHESS OPENINGS by Reuben Fine. $\$ 6.30$
IDEAS BEHIND CHESS OPENINGS by Reuben Fine. $\$ 3.83$
HOW TO WIN IN THE CHESS OP ENINGS by Horowitz. $\$ 3.36$
MODERN IDEAS IN CHESS OPEN
INGS by Horowitz. $\$ 3.36$
HOW TO PLAY THE CHESS OPEN INGS by Znosko-Borovsky. $\$ 3.36$ WINNING CHESS TRAPS by Irving Chernev. \$3.40
CHESS TRAPS, PITFALLS, SWIN DLES by Horowitz-Reinfeld. $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 3 6}$
GUIDES TO BETTER PLAY AN INVITATION TO CHESS by Harkness and Chernev. World's leading best-seller. $\$ 2.98$
CHESS THE EASY WAY by Reuben Fine, $\$ 2.98$
WINNING CHESS by Chernev and Reinfeld. $\$ 2.98$
MY SYSTEM by
MY SYSTEM by A. Nimzovich. Fa mous classic. $\$ 4.25$ IN CHESS by Dr Reuben Fine. $\$ 6.30$
JUDGMENT AND PLANNING IN CHESS by Dr. Euwe. $\$ 3.55$
HOW TO THINK AHEAD IN CHESS by Horowitz-Reinfeld, $\$ 2.98$
DYNAMIC CHESS by R. N. Coles Basic concepts. $\$ 3.36$
THE BRILLIANT TOUCH by W. Korn. Combinations. $\$ 2.48$

WHY YOU LOSE AT CHESS by F. Reinfeld. $\$ 3.36$
MODERN CHESS STRATEGY by EdWard Lasker. $\$ 4.25$ by Edward Las CHer. $\$ 4.25$
HOW TO PLAY BETTER CHESS by HOW TO PLA
Reinfeld. $\$ 2.48$
Reinfeld. \$2.48
THE BASIS OF COMBINATION IN CHESS by DuMont. $\$ 3.19$
THE COMPLETE CHESS PLAYER bY Reinfeld. \$4.28
THE ART OF SACRIFICE IN CHESS by Spielman. $\$ 3.19$
CHESS FUNDAMENTALS by Capa blanca. $\$ 3.15$
THE GAME OF CHESS. Paperback by Golombek. 760

## ENDINGS AND MATES

BASIC CHESS ENDINGS by Dr. Reuben Fine. $\$ 5.53$
HOW TO WIN IN THE CHESS END INGS by Horowitz. $\$ 3.83$
HOW TO WIN ENDGAMES by D. A. Yanofsky. $\$ 2.55$
THE ART OF THE CHECKMATE by Renaud and Kahn. $\$ 2.98$

## MASTER GAMES

500 MASTER GAMES OF CHESS by Tartakower \& DuMont. $\$ 8.50$ Tartakower \& DuMont. \$8.50
100 GAMES OF MODERN MASTER 100 GAMES OF MODERN MASTE
CHESS. Tartakower-DuMont. $\$ 3.55$ CHESS. Tartakower-DuMont. \$3.5S
1000 BEST SHORT GAMES OF CHESS by Irving Cherney. $\$ 4.25$ PAUL MORPHY AND THE GOLDEN AGE OF CHESS by Napier. $\$ 4.68$ SMYSLOV AND HIS 120 BEST GAMES by Spence \& Liepnieks. $\$ 3.60$ RETI'S BEST GAMES OF CHESS oy Golombek. $\$ 3.99$
RESHEVSKY ON CHESS by Grandmaster Reshevsky. $\$ 3.83$
CHESS AND CHESSMASTERS by Stahlberg. \$2.48
THE UNKNOWN ALEKHINE by Fred Reinfeld. $\$ 3.8$
IMMORTAL GAMES OF CAPABLANCA by Reinfold. $\$ 3.83$
MY BEST GAMES OF CHESS, 1905 1930 by Tartakower. $\$ 4.40$ MY BEST GAMES OF CHESS, 19311954 by Tartakower. $\$ 4.40$ 1954 by Tartakower. \$4.40
CHESS: MORE MINIATURE GAMES by DuMont. $\$ 3.18$
by DuMont. \$3.18 $\quad$ EPIC BATTLES OF CHE CHESS. EPIC BATTLES OF T
BOARD by Coles. $\$ 2.88$
BOARD by Coles. $\$ 2.88$
TROPHY CHESS by Evans. $\$ 2.65$
MISCELLANEOUS
OFFICIAL BLUE BOOK AND ENCYCLOPEDIA OF CHESS by Harkness. $\$ 5.93$
THE PLEASURES OF CHESS by Assiac. \$2.98
THE-FIRESIDE BOOK OF CHESS by Chernev \& Reinfeld. $\$ 4.25$
THE CHESSPLAYER'S WEEKEND BOOK by Coles. $\$ 2.76$

## *Prices shown are to USCF members only. Mail your order to: UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION <br> 80 East 11th Street <br> New York 3, N. Y.

## November 28.30

## Wichita Open

Wichita YMCA, 424 East First Street Wichita, Kansas. Six round Swiss open to all, with a time limit of 45 moves In 2 hours. Entry fee is $\$ 5.50$. Special entry fee to juniors under 15 years of age is $\$ 2.50$. Prizes in the Senior Division: 6 trophies, and in the Junior Division: 2 trophies, For information write: Robert V Leewright, 1400 Fair mount Street, Wichita 14, Kansas,

## Nor. 28-29-30

FIFTH NORTH CENTRAL OPEN
Hotel Astor, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Sponsored by Wisconsin Chess Ass'n. 7 round Swiss; play begins 8 p.m., Friday the 28th. Entry fee: $\$ 10$, for USCF members; non-members must pay membershlp fee. Prize fund guaranteed: $\$ 750$. First prize, $\$ 250$. Second, $\$ 150$. Third, $\$ 100$. and $\$ 250$. in merit prizes to players scoring 5 points or better. For information write to: Arpad E. Elo, Sec. Wis. C. A., 3935 No. Fiehrantz Drive, Sec. Wis. C. A.,
Brookfleld, Wisconsin.

## Nov. 28-29-30

EASTERN STATES OPEN

## CHAMPIONSHIP

At Log Cabin Chess Club, 30 Collamore Terrace, W. Orange, N.J. and Independent Chess Club, 102 N. Maple Ave., E. Orange, N.J. 5 rd Swiss. EF \$8. (Juniors \$4.) for USCF members Non-members must pay additional $\$ 5.00$ membership fee. Prizes: 1st-\$150. 2nd$\$ 100$. 3 rd- $\$ 50$. 4th- $\$ 25$. 5 th $\$ 10$. Highest Expert-\$10. and trophy; highest Class $\mathrm{A}-\$ 10$. and trophy; highest Class B-\$10 and trophy. Trophy to highest Class C, highest unrated, and highest woman. Highest junior-\$5. and trophy. Trophy to runner-up in each class. For information, write: Weaver Adam
Orange Hotel, E. Orange, N.J.

## Are You a Member?

Is Your Friend a Member?
Join the USCF! it is always a sound opening move.

## Oct. 5 issue CHESS LIFE

Page 2, Col. 2, we omitted the name of Mrs. Mildred Morrell, who scored 4.3 in the Heart of American Open, and whose tiebreaking points placed her just above Charles Weldon in the final standings.
Page 6, Col. 2. The California Open Champ's name is Irving Ri-vise-not Revise. Sorry, Mr. Rivise.
Page 8, Col. 4. Black's 4th move should have been N-QB3 instead of QR3.

Oct. 20 issue CHESS LIFE:
Page 3, Col. 2. Diagram No. 3. The black knight should be at QR3 instead of QN1.

Mrs. Eva Aronson and husband, Ninus, of Chicago, contributed generously to Olympic Fund. Sorry their names were not in donors' list.

## GAME FROM MUNICH

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

| White <br> Ofstad <br> Norway |  | Black Lombardy USA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. P.K4 | P.QB4 | 18. Q-K4 | Q-B |
| 2. N-KB3 | P-Q3 | 19. R-N | -83 |
| 3. P.Q4 | PxP | 20. Q-KB4 | Q-B3 |
| 4. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | N-KB3 | 21. B-Q3 | Q-B2 |
| 5. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{QB} 3$ | P-QR3 | 22. R-B | 0.0 .0 |
| 6. B-N5 | P.K3 | 23. P-QR4 | KR-B |
| 7. P.B4 | B-K2 | 24. Q-K3 | P-R4 |
| 8. Q-B3 | P-R3 | 25. Q-RT | PxP |
| 9. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | BxB | 26. QxPch | Q XQ |
| 10. 0.0.0 | Q-B2 | 27. Bxach | K-B2 |
| 11. P-KN4 | N -83 | 28. B-84 | R-QR |
| 12. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | QxN | 29. P-R4 | R-R4 |
| 13. R-Q3 | P-QN4 | 30. R-B4 | RxP |
| 14. RxP | QxR | 31. B-Q3 | R-QB4 |
| 15. P-K5 | BxP | 32. K-Q2 | P-K4 |
| 16. PxB | Q-N | 33. R-B5 | P.N3 |
| 17. Q-B6ch | B-Q2 | White | Resigns |

## IT PAYS TO ADVERTISE

## Manufacturers of chess equip-

 ment, booksellers, chess clubs and anyone who wishes to reach the chess market will be surprised to find the low cost of advertising in CHESS LIFE. 3000 circulation-much higher total readership. Flat rate, higher total readership. Pgate rate,straight copy: $\$ .25$ per agate line straight copy:
(14 agate Ines per inch.) Frank R. Brady, Ass't. Bus. Mgr. USCF
80 East 11th St.
New York 3, N.Y.


## Decorate Your Walls with CHESSMEN!

here is a stimulating decor Idea for the wall of your den, game room, study, or living room. Smart in groups or scattered, exciting congroups or scattered, exciting con$8^{\prime \prime}$ tall each. Set of 6-King, Queen, Bishop, Knight, Rook, Pawn. Made Bishop, Knight, Rook, Pawn. Made
of sculptor's art casting stone in of sculptor's art casting stone in
fine detail. Ebony black ready to fine detail. Ebony blac
hang. Wonderful gift.
Complete set $\$ 7.50$ postpaid and guaranteed.
FREE: Send for catalog of other decorative ideas, statuettes, gift ifems.

Dealers write
CLEMENTS-ALLES, Dept. 14 1345 Third Ave., New York 21, New York

BOOST AMERICAN CHESS

