## START OF USCF PLAYERS' FUND

By USCF Business Manager Frank R. Brady

As every chessplayer knows, there are always insurmountable problems when money is needed to send a team abroad or to subsidize a player who is competing in an international event and this has very often resulted in the United States not being represented in important international events at all.

Many sources have been tapped and ideas used to help secure representation of our players in individual and team matches abroad so that we could guarantee them an opportunity to participate in various events in the past. This year, the American Chess Foundation and the United States Chess Federation made arrangements to raise funds co-jointly so that the entire expenses of Bobby Fischer and his second would be covered and that the travelling expenses of Pal Benko would also be met, so that they would be enabled to participate, as is their right, in the 1959 Candidates Tournament in Yugoslavia from September 6th to October 31st. (See minutes of the USCF Annual Meeting, Omaha, 1959.) Since Bobby is legally a minor and since a large sum of money was involved, the USCF and the ACF, drew up a release for their own protection and presented it to Bobby and Mrs. Fischer for their signatures. Mrs. Fischer, feeling that certain stipulations in the release were unfair, would not sign it and sent a letter to the New York Herald Tribune which was published in the "Letters to the Editor" department (August 6 th, 1959), informing the public of the fact that Bobby "has not yet been provided with any funds at all to cover his expenses" and asking anyone who wanted to contribute, to send their donation to the U. S. Chess Federation. Even though Mrs. Fischer used the name of the USCF without its authorization, the response that was awakened in the American public was completely overwhelming and unprecedented. SPORTS ILLUSTRATED magazine (see Aug. 17th, 1959) immediately contributed $\$ 2,000.00$. Dimes, dollars and large checks from every possible source poured in from all over the nation. United Press picked up the story and ran it in many publications throughout the country; Eleanor Roosevelt devoted her entire syndicated column to the subject; phone calls and letters of good will streamed into the USCF Business Office, wishing Bobby all the success possible in his Yugoslavian venture. Because of this unprecedented interest in Chess, Mrs. Fischer then wrote a second letter to the Tribune, thanking those people who had shown their support and stating: "Bobby does not want the money to be set aside for him alone. He does not want special consideration. He wants just the same for himself as for any qualified player going abroad to play for the U.S.A.-namely backing for all. Therefore, I would like to turn over to the United States Chess Federation whatever funds come in. The money to be used not only to pay Bobby's and a second's expenses for this one tournament but the expenses of any who qualify to play abroad in future tournaments. All grants to be openly and publicly made in accordance with whatever procedure is decided on in advance by all the chessplayers, in a democratic and fair manner, and to apply to all equally, including Bobby. The U.S. Chess Federation to assume future responsibility for thus administering and securing contributions."

At that stage, USCF President Jerry Spann gave permission to incorporate a USCF Players Fund to be administered by the officials of the USCF and thereby drew up a resolution of the scope and objectives of the Fund. (See copy of the resolution at right) As to date, over $\$ 3,600.00$ has already been deposited into the Fund, with more money coming in every day. $\$ 500.00$ was given to Pal Benko for his travelling expenses and $\$ 250$ Swiss Francs $(\$ 59.65)$ has been sent to FIDE for the entry fees of both Benko and Fischer. Checks amounting to $\$ 2,000.00$ have been made out to cover Bobby's and his second's, Bent Larsen, expenses, leaving a balance of slightly over $\$ 1,000.00$, but have not, as yet been accepted by Mrs. Fischer. Because of the stress, strain and great financial burden that Mrs. Fischer has gone through in the past in trying to secure support for Bobby, she is now "waiting" to see how the Fund will be administered and what the USCF intends to do about raising Funds in the future. President Spann clearly and emphatically states the intentions of the USCF in raising funds and aiding teams in his "Resolution of Purpose"-it is both the privilege and the obligation of all Americans and all USCF members to get behind this Fund and to keep it both alive and growing with a display of both moral and financial backing to all our Masters who represent us abroad.
(The \$1,000.00 balance in the USCF Players Fund will be considered as a running start for the 1960 Leipzig Olympiad scheduled in that city for November of next year.)

## USCF PLAYERS' FUND RESOLUTION OF PURPOSE

## By Jerry G. Spann, Pres., USCF

The U. S. Chess Federation Players' Fund, endowed entirely by contributions from chess enthusiasts and the public at large, shall be used solely to underwrite the direct expenses of players representing the United States in important International Chess Events. All monies received are to be acknowledged in writing and deposited in a separate and special account, to be designated U. S. CHESS FEDERATION PLAYERS' FUND. All disbursements therefrom are to be made specifically for purpose set forth above. It should be clearly understood that every penny received shall be expended wholly for the purpose intended, and that there will be no administrative or promotional expenses charged to this account.

Administration of the USCF Players' Fund shall be the sole responsibility of the U. S. Chess Federation under direct supervision of a Board of Trustees consisting of the USCF President, Treasurer, and Business Manager. A quarterly report of all contributions and disbursements shall be published in CHESS LIFE, said report to be prepared by a certified public accountant.

All players, representing the United States in the international event categories outlined below, shall receive equal assistance. It is not known, of course, how large this fund will be or the peaks and valleys it will encounter, so it is necessary that three international event pri-ority-categories be set up which shall guide the trustees in disbursement of expense grants to players. Number one priority shall be expense grants to U. S. players and seconds representing the U. S. in FIDE Interzonal, Candidates and Challenger tournaments and/or matches. Number two priority shall be expense grants to U. S. Olympic Team members. Number three priority shall be expense grants to U. S. players or teams invited to other top-flight International Events in Europe and South America.

To summarize, the establishment of the Players' Fund is an all-out attempt to guarantee to the accomplished American chess player that he will not be denied, because of the direct expenses involved, his absolute right, earned over-the-board, to represent the U. S. in International Events. There are thousands of chess enthusiasts all over the United States who feel very strongly about this, and who will contribute to the single-purpose Players' Fund though heretofore having ignored most appeals for assistance on broader projects. This permanent channel of direct aid to U. S. players in international play is herewith and hereby established. I urge American chess players, friends, and the sports-loving public to avail themselves of this opportunity to give direct support.


## TRADITION BROKEN

After page 1 had been laid out and printed in accordance with tradition since 1952 we received urgent instructions from President Jerry Spann and Frank Brady that the material appearing at left and above must appear in this issue of Chess Life.

The regular page 1 features, including several important news items will appear in the October 5 issue.

We hope you will excuse us this time.

## TOURNAMENT REMINDERS

Oct. 9-11-North Florida Open, Univ. of Florida, Gainesville, Fla. (CL $9 / 5 / 59$ )
17-18—Lake Erie Open, Hotel Touraine, Buffalo, N.Y. (CL 9/5/59)

## FLASH NEWS AT PRESS TIME

Robert Durkin of Lyons, N.J. Wins D.C. Amateur Golden Kings Tournament.

C. Bielicki of Argentine Wins World Junior Title in Switzerland.<br>USCF and Log Cabin C.C. to Sponsor US Women's and Interzonal Nov. 30-Dec. 12.

## BUSINESS MGR. HARKNESS' REPORT AT OMAHA -

## (Continued from last issue)

3. Conduct tournaments for national, regional and other titles. In the distant past, the USCF conducted the bi-ennial $U$. $S$. Championship, and the U. S. Open Championship each year. Actually, the Federation did little or no "conducting" of these events. And still doesn't. The raising of prize funds and other expenses has always been left to local organizations. (The recent Rosenwald-U.S. Championship tournaments were exceptional. The prize fund was raised jointly by the American Chess Foundation and by the donations of USCF members.) In recent years, the increased strength of the U.S. Chess Federation has enabled us to exert a far greater supervisory influence on the conduct of these two tournaments. The USCF has the sole right to confer the titles involved and is now strong enough to insist that the playing conditions, the seedings, the tournament rules, etc. comply with our requirements. Needless to say, we should all be immensely grateful to the local organizations that have shouldered the responsibility for conducting the national championships, but we hope that it will not be too long in the future when the Federation itself really conducts these national events.

In the meantime, we have made great progress in conducting other tournaments. The United States Amateur Championship was revived in 1955 and since held annually. This year we had 163 en-trants-the third largest tournament ever held in the United States. And it should be emphasized that no cash prizes were paid to the players in this event. If further proof were needed, this event demonstrates that it is not necessary to award cash prizes to amateur chessplayers in tournaments. Titles, trophies, and national ratings, are sufficient inducement.

In addition to the U.S. Amateure Championship, the New York headquarters of the USCF has also conducted many amateur events under the general heading of GOLDEN KINGS tournaments. When cash prizes are not required, it is comparatively easy to organize and conduct chess tournaments. During the past fiscal year, these amateur events have been held at Philadelphia, Asbury Park, Pittsburgh, Brooklyn, and New York. Each month, from January through May, we have also con-
ducted a "rating improvement" tournament in New York City. In June, we ran the second Greater New York Open Championship at the West Side YMCA in Manhattan. This event was open to masters, and $\$ 500.00$ in cash prizes was offered. No less than 107 players competed, including 17 masters.

We are glad to report, too, that the tournaments conducted by the New York headquarters of the USCF have encouraged other organizations, in various sections of the country, to run tournaments of the same type. Patterned after our amateur contests, these events have proved highly successful. They are too numerous for us to give details in this report.
4. Formulate tournament rules and standardize conditions of play in contests, especially Swiss System tournaments.

Prior to 1956, most tournaments were conducted under rules and regulations adopted by the players, or enforced by individual tournament directors according to their own conceptions of what the rules should be. In particular, Swiss System tournaments were run in various ways, with all kinds of pairing systems, methods of breaking ties, etc.
After the publication of our "Official Blue Book and Encyclopedia of Chess" in 1956, competitive conditions have become more and more standardized. When a player goes to a USCF tournament, or a contest conducted under USCF rules, he knows what to expect. And the tasks of tournament directors have been greatly simplified.

The translation into English of the international rules, the formulation of USCF tournament rules, and full explanations of the conduct of Round Robin and Swiss System tournaments, as contained in the Blue Book, have helped greatly to increase tournament competition.

A great deal remains to be done in the field of tournament rules. Last year, we were asked to head a committee to revise certain rules, but we have been unable to work on this. It still remains to be done.
5. Perform some of our duties in the international field.

Unfortunately, most of the great progress that has been made in this field has been financed by other sources than the income received from members $\because \mathrm{d}$ affiliates for services raudered. It is
to the great credit of our President, Mr. Jerry Spann, and the American Chess Foundation, that funds were found to send a team to Europe and take part in other international affairs. Approximately half of the expenses were met by the donations of USCF members, but these expenses could not be met out of regular income. All we were able to do in the business department was to pay our annual dues to the F.I.D.E. and pay most of the entrance fees for U.S. players to compete in international events.

In the above five categories, we have attempted to call attention to the important duties of the Federation that are now being performed as a result of the increased income received from members and affiliates. Great progress has been made, but we have just made a good start. There are millions of chessplayers in the United States, and future years should see many more thousands of these players become members of the Federation so that we can do much more for the game than is now being done.

Since we are dependent on revenue for the performance of our duties, let us take a quick look at the statement for fiscal 1959, and see where our income comes from.

The biggest item is individual membership dues. This year, members paid $\$ 18,506.81$ in dues. Obviously, then, the way to increase our income is to continue to increase our membership. Much has been accomplished in this field during the past fiscal year. On June 5th, 1958, we had 2,522 members (and 146 affiliates). On July 5th, 1959 (thirteen months later) we had 3,628 members and 151 affiliates. This is an encouraging increase.

The next most important item is the revenue from sales of books and equipment to our members and affiliates. During the last fiscal year, our members and chapters purchased books and supplies in the staggering amount of $\$ 16,398.16$ - almost as much as they paid in membership dues.

It would seem that our members appreciate this service rendered by the Federation. In fact, it seems obvious that members regard the privilege of buying books and supplies at discounts as one of the most important reasons for belonging to the USCF.

Of course, the income from sales is by no means net income. The books and supplies have to be purchased and paid for, and there are (some) expenses involved in printing catalogs, shipping supplies, etc. Actually, if we operated the sales department at little or no profit, its importance as a means of obtaining and retaining memberships, would justify its continuance. It is one of the main reasons why chessplayers join the USCF and pay dues. Furthermore, it enables us to distribute to our members and affiliates, the necessary supplies for conducting tournaments (pairing cards, tournament charts, etc.) and copies of

[^0]our official Blue Book, so that in this respect the sales department also makes it possible for the USCF to popularize chess and chess competition.

Fortunately, however; the sales department shows a handsome profit. This year, after the cost of purchases has been deducted, the gross profit amounted to $\$ 6,295.34$. Out of this gross profit, we have to take the selling and shipping expenses of $\$ 2,956.17$. So the net income produced by the sales department amounts to $\$ 3,439.17$.

As there seems to be some misunderstanding on this subject, we may point out that all the other expenses listed in the annual statement would have to be met if there were no sales department at all.

The third most important item in the listed income of the Federation is the income from tournament fees. In fiscal 1959, this amounted to $\$ 4,170.00-\mathrm{a}$ big increase over the 1958 figure of $\$ 1,927.49$. The reason for this increase was explained earlier. The New York office has conducted a great many tournaments which were not run in 1958. There is, of course, very little immediate financial profit in conducting tournaments. As shown in the financial statement, it cost us $\$ 3,952.82$ to operate the tournaments we ran. This includes the cost of printing programs, mailing them out; books and trophies and cash prizes; directors' fees and expenses; etc. Some tournaments were conducted at a loss; others showed a profit. On the whole, our income was greater than our expenses, which is satisfactory. We regard the running of tournaments as a promotional activity of the Federation and should be satisfied if the expenses are met.

The fourth item is rating fees, amounting to $\$ 1,274.85$, a fair increase over last year's $\$ 1,044.25$. We are delighted to see this figure go up at all. When we adopted the regulation requiring $100 \%$ USCF membership in rated tournaments, some people felt that this would "kill the rating system." As usual, these dire predictions were not fulfilled. The number of rated tournaments continues to increase, and the income from this source goes up. One day, consideration will have to be given to raising the amount of rating fees. They are still at bargain rates.

The fifth item is affiliation dues, paid by our Chapters. These amounted to $\$ 1,816.00$, as compared to $\$ 1,355.00$ a year ago.

The only big item that has gone down this year is the revenue from non-member subscriptions to CHESS LIFE. But this decrease, from $\$ 1,279.11$ in 1958 to $\$ 541.21$ in 1959, is due to the fact that we did not advertise for trial subscriptions in 1959. As soon as possible, advertising should be resumed as one of the best ways of gaining members.

The other sources of revenue are not large. They consist of donations, advertising in Chess Life, and foreign magazine subscriptions.
(Continued on page 6, col. 4)


# TIDBBTS OF MASTER PLAY 

By International MASTER WILLIAM LOMBARDY
World Junior Champion-1957-1958

## "TAKE NOTE OF THE SICILIAN"

Leading all other defenses to the King Pawn opening, The Sicilian Defense has become the favorite tool of the modern tournament player. I would venture to guess that in current tournament practice, P-QB4 accounts for $50 \%$ or more of all games played in defense of the King Pawn Opening.

Yet the majority of players, including some of our leading experts, (a glaring example is the game Fischer vs. Reshevsky, U.S. Championship 1959 which went: 1. P-K4, P-QB4, 2. N-KB3 N-QB3, 3. P-Q4 PxP, 4. NxP P-KN3, 5. B-K3 B-N2, 6. B-QB4 N-KB3, 7. N-QB3 O-O, 8. B-N3 N-QR4,?? 9. P-K5 N-K1, 10. BxP KxB, 11. N-K6! PxN, 12. QxQ and White won in a routine manner though the game actually did last some 40 moves) have little knowledge as to the theory of the Opening with its numerous traps and pitfalls always there to ensnare the unwary.

The purpose of this writing is to give the reader some indirect experience in handling both sides of the defense by giving two examples which, I expect, will serve that end. Naturally, the management does not guarantee results, but we shall take credit for all games won, while assuming that those lost will have been the result of the player's trying too hard for the win.

| Sicilian Defense |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Greater New Yerk Open |  |
| Championship, 1959 |  |
| Lombardy | Sveikauskas |
| White | Black |
| 1. P-K4 | P-QB4 |
| 2. N-KB3 | P.Q3 |
| 3. P-Q4 | PXP |
| 4. N×P | N-KB3 |
| S. N-QB3 | P.QR3 |
| 6. B-QB4 | -...... |

Against this move Black seems to have the greatest difficulty in handling the defense.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 6. } & \text { B-N3 } & \text { Q-B2 } \\
\text { 7. } & \text { B-N3 } & \text { P-K3 } \\
\text { 8. } & \text { O-O } & \text { B-K2 } \\
\text { 9. } & \text { P-B4 } & -\ldots . . .
\end{array}
$$

The idea is clear. White wishes to foree a weakening of the light squares with P-B5 so that he may gain control over the vital Q5.

N-B3
10. P-B5, NXN; 11. QXN, P-Q4:

| 10. $\ldots . . .$. | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 11. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | O |
| 12. $\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4$ |
| 13. $\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

Most modern tournament masters prefer Q-B3 but this is purely a matter of individual taste, temperament, and judgment.

| 13. ...... | P-K4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 14. B-B2 | B-N2 |
| 15. P-QR3 | B-B3 |
| 16. B-KR4 | QR-Q1 |



Position after 16. ........, QR-Q1 An interesting possibility is 16 . $\mathrm{NxP} ;$ 17. BxB, NxN; 18. Q-N4, QxB; 19. P-B6, Q-R2ch; 20. K-R1, P-N3; 21. PxN after which White's attack seems sufficiently strong for the sacrificed pawn.

## 18. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ <br> 19. B.Q5!

After this Black can obtain little play One wonders: where did Black go wrong?
Black is able to achieve complete equality only if he can avoid the weakening of the White squares along the KN1-QR7 diagonal. Black's one chance to avoid this weakening in the game was 10 ........., N-QR4 in order to eliminate or block White's Bishop when necessary.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 19. } \\
& \text { 20. } Q \cdot N 4
\end{aligned}
$$


21. ${ }^{20 .}$ Bx
21. BxB
22. N-Q5
23. P-B3

Q-K2
$R \times B$
$Q-Q 1$
$K-R 1$
On account of Black's "Useless Bishop", resulting from the aforementioned weak square complex, White's attack soon becomes irresistible.
25. R-Q3
P.QR4

Threat: RxPch, KxR; 27. Q-R5 mate.

$$
\text { 27. R(1)-B3 } \quad \text { B.N4? }
$$

This loses immediately. But there was little to do against KR-N3, Q-R5 and QxPch.
28. P-B6! Resigns

No comment necessary.

## - LOOKING AHEAD

The Oct. 5 issue will be the big one we had hoped for this time12 pages with cross-scores from US Open, US Junior, and reports from USCF Membership and Directors annual meetings, new list of USCF officials, etc.

| Sicilian Defense |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York OpenChampionship, 1959 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Aliman |  | Lom |
| White |  |  |
| 1. | P-K4 | P.QB4 |
| 2. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB3}$ | P-Q3 |
| 3. | P.Q4 | PxP |
| 4. | N4PP | N -KB3 |
| 5. | N-QB3 | P-QR3 |
|  | P-KN3 | .... |

This move is looked upon with disfavour by present day masters and has fallen into disuse. Although White's position remains in theory quite sound he seems to lose the initiative offered by other moves: 6. B-B4 - P-B4 - BKN5. It is also well known that Black has won the great majority of games in recent years against 6. P-KN3. I do not say however, that the move is not playable, but merely that its characteristics are not sufficiently aggressive to suit White's needs against the Sicllian.

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\text { 6. } & & \\
\text { 7. } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 \\
\text { 8. } & \text { B-N2 } & \text { QN-Q2 } \\
\text { 9. } & \text { P-QR3 } & \text { P-QN4 } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

A complete loss of time. Black does not threaten P-N5 for the White Knight would gladiy entrench itself at Q5 if the peasant pawn should dare to prod it.

| 9. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 10. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| 11. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 12. Q-K2 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |

If White hopes to achieve any sort of counter play he must begin his tactles counter play he must begin his tactics build up Queen-side pressure. Better build up Queen-side pressure. Better
is P-B4 followed by an eventual P-B5 is P-B4 followed by an eventual P-B5
$-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{N} 5$ and the resulting attack - N4 - N5 and the resulti

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 12. ...... } & \text { R-B1 } \\
\text { 13. QR-Q1 } & \text { Q-B2 } \\
\text { 14. R-Q3 } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3 \\
\text { 15. KR-Q1 } & \text { KR-Q1 }
\end{array}
$$

White's pressure against Black's Queen Pawn is imaginary. Black is building towards the imminent and almost always decisive P-Q4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17. P-RS } \\
& \text { 17. P-QN? }
\end{aligned}
$$

B-R1


White's idea is obvious. He intends after Q-N1, 18. BxN, QxB; 19. NxQ5, NxN; 20. PxN and the resulting occupation of QB6 with his Knight.

Black avoids the above mentioned threat and enforces his plan of P-Q4 by maintaining a powerful bind along the Queen Bishop file.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 18. } \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N} \\
\text { 19. } \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QB1} & \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{~K} 3
\end{array}
$$

Black's intended R-B5 and KR-QB1 would leave White in a strangle hold from which it would be very difficult to escape.

Forcing P-B5 after which White loses all influence in the center.
21. P-B5
22. P-KN4

Q-B5
22. P-KN4

This comes too little and too late. Black is already fully prepared for his breakthrough.
22. ........

P-Q4!


A temporary pawn sacrifice typical of these types of positions.
23. NXQP
23. PxP, P-K5; 24. R-K3 (24. NxKP, NXN; 25. BxN, B-R5 and Black wins.) QxQ; 25. NxQ, RxP leaves Black a dominating position.

$\underset{\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{K} 5!}{\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}}$

This loses the exchange immediately. Necessary was $25 . \mathrm{R}(3)-\mathrm{Q} 2$ but after BxP ; 26. QxQ, BxQ (27. BxP, B-N4; 28. R-Q4, B-KB3!) Black should still win with the rapid advance of his King Pawn.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { awn. } \\ & 25 . \end{aligned}$ | B-N4! |
| :---: | :---: |
| 26. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | QXQ |
| 27. $R \times Q$ | R×R |
| 28. $B \times B$ | P-B3 |
| 29. B-B4 | $\mathrm{R}(7) \times \mathrm{P}$ |

Black has the exchange for a Pawn; quite sufficient for the win.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 30. P-KR4 } & \text { R(7)-B5 } \\
\text { 31. R-KB1 } & \text { R-Q5 }
\end{array}
$$

The rest is simple technique R

| est is simple technique. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 32. P-Q6 | BxB |
| 33. K×B | R-B7ch |
| 34. K-B3 | R-B6ch |
| 35. K-K2 | R(6)-B5 |
| 36. K-K3 | K-B2 |
| 37. P-N5 | R-K5ch |

Now Black can safely gain a piece with his King in reach of the QP.

送

## ARKANSAS OPEN CHESS TOURNAMENT

For the third successive year, John Ragan, Cahokia, Ill., won the Arkansas Open Chess Tournament at Hot Springs, July 25-26. Ragan had 5 wins in the 5 round Swiss. Gerald Gross, Milton, Fla., was second with $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Third to fifth, with $4-1$, were Steve Balsai, Hot Springs; Richard Long, Fort Smith; and Byron Douglas, Dallas, Texas.

Other awards were: Class A, George Smith, Houston, Tex.; Class B, Orval Allbritton, Pine Bluff; and Class C, John Scott, Little Rock.
The winner of the reserve division was Savery, Memphis, Tenn., 5.0; second, Spiegel, Memphis, 4-1.
L. Peyton Crowder, Greenville, Miss., directed the tournament, in which 40 players from 8 states participated.
Balsai is a Hungarian refugee, who arrived in the U.S. 8 months ago, and was playing in his first serious game since arrival.
(fbess Cife
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## How Ultimate?

Perhaps some of our younger readers have not heard of the legendary king who commanded his wise men to come up with a short statement which he could use anywhere at any time, and which would always be appropriate to the occasion and the circumstances. One variation of the legend tells us that the wise men failed, and that the court jester won the jackpot with "And this, too, shall pass away."

Another terrifying reminder of the impermanence of the works of mortal men which always impressed me is that little poem by Shelley which describes a broken statue found in a desert, half hidden by sand, on whose pedestal the following words were carved:
"My name is Ozymandias, king of kings:
Look on my works, ye mighty, and despair?"
The poet goes on to say:
"Nothing else remains. Round the decay
Of that colossal wreck, boundless and bare,
The lone and level sands stretch far away."
What has all this to do with chess? Not much. But I was looking over some old magazines the other day, trying to find something in one of the chess columns of 1909 which would be appropriate for CHESS LIFE, fifty years later. I didn't find what I was looking for (I'm still looking) but I found many interesting claims set forth in the advertisements of the day. One, for a brand of coffee of which I had never heard, announced that coffee research need go no further-the "ultimate blend" had been discovered, and the coffee-lovers of the world could now relax and enjoy this wonder-product, secure in the knowledge that nowhere in the world was there, nor could there ever be, a finer brew. On the page facing this advertisement another "ultimate" product was both pictured and described: an automobile. It was advertised as the safest car made; as the sturdiest car made; (why not?, since "we spend $\$ 40,000$ a year on our experimental department-seeking to get our parts better and stronger.")

It was advertised as one of the most powerful cars made ( $30-60 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$.) "with the reserve power that more than meets every requirement." Commenting on the fact that automotive styles and mechanisms had changed from year to year, the ad assures the reader that "Those days are over. Engineers scarcely dream of anything better than the

## as made today. It is the ultimate car!"

Since the car was not manufactured after World War I, it is doubtful if many of our younger readers have ever heard of it. The name of it does not matter. All that matters is the lesson to be drawn from these advertisements: not to take ourselves, or the products of our hands or minds, too seriously; for when our names, or our brain-children, are mentioned fifty years hence, it is quite probable that both of them will be quite unknown. Unless, of course, you succeed in producing a chess brilliancy, worthy of inclusion in the 2009 edition of "The Golden Treasury of Chess." Otherwise, the hot-shot of today is likely to be the Ozymandias of the future.

## Howdy, Podnuh!

If anyone questions the spelling above, we refer him to the editor of the new chess publication "TEXAS KNIGHTS" which is hereby heartily welcomed into the fold. In Volume 1, No. 1 of this quarterly sponsored by the Texas Chess Association, the editor uses that spelling, and we learned long ago not to try to make something of what we considered to be Texas solecisms. This new four-pager newspaper devotes about two pages to news items of Texas chess and chess personages, one page to four games nicely annotated by Editor George R. Smith of Houston, while the editorial page presents, among other interesting material, eight problems (seven 2 -movers and one 3 -mover). All in all, lots of chess enjoyment for the members of the Texas Chess Association, who will henceforth receive this paper regularly. Good luck, podnuh!
USCF Membership Dues, including subscription to Chess Life, periodical publlcation of national chess rating, and all other privileges:
ONE YEAR: $\$ 5.00$ TWO YEARS: $\$ 9.50$ THREE YEARS: $\$ 13.50$ LIFE: $\$ 100.00$
SUSTAINING: $\$ 10.00$ (Becomes Life Membership after 10 payments)
A new membership starts on 21 st day of month of enrollment, expires at the end of the period for which dues are paid. Family Dues for two or more members of one family living at same address, including only one subscription to
CHESS LIFE, are at regular rates (see above) for first membership, at the following rates for each additional membership: One year $\$ 2.50$; two years $\$ 4.75$; three years $\$ 6.75$. Subscription rate of Chess Life to non-mambers ia $\$ 3.0 n$ per year.

# Imagination in Chess 

by<br>DAVID SPIRO

This is the first of a series of articles which we hope will be of interest and value to all readers of "CHESS LIFE." Alternating with this series will be another by the same contributor, "Continental Quickies." Although there may be an occasional thematic overlapping, the basic criteria for the selection of these games, as outlined in the columnist's own words, are:
"CONTINENTAL QUICKIES"-"These will be master games mostly played in Europe, heavy on combinations and 'conventional good chess' with positional sacrifices if I can find them"
"IMAGINATION IN CHESS"-"These will be games which have a strong strategical concept or central plan running through the game-almost like a composition. The "idea here will be that the strategical motif is stronger than the tactics."

## IMAGINATION IN CHESS

The reason for the popularity of Alekhine's games with amateur enthusiasts, or as the Maestro himself put it: "di minores," (see page 141, "My Best Games of Chess, 1924-37" by A. A. Alekhine) was simply his inspired handling of the King-side assault. Often enough, the brilliancy-prize game and coffee-house attack are sisters under the skin; and at Vienna in 1922 "Old Triple A" really produced an attacking masterpiece against Hans Kmoch:

International Tournament at Vienna, 1922
Queens Gambit Declined
P.C.O. P. 214, Col. 162 (c)
H. Kmoch A. A. Alekhine

White

|  |  | Black |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1. | P.Q4 | N-KB3 |
| 2. | N-KB3 | P-Q4 |
| 3. | P-B4 | P-B3 |
| 4. | PK3 | B-B4 |
| 5. | QN-Q2 | P-K3 |
| 6. | B-K2 | QN-Q2 |
| 7. | O. | B-Q3 |
| 8. | P-B5 | B-B2 |
| 9. | PQN4 | N-K5 |
| 10. | N $\times N$ | - |



At this point Alekhine mentions that in an analogous position, which occurred a few weeks before the Vienna 1922 Tournament, Reti-Spielmann, TeplitzSchonau, continued with the recapture by the Bishop. However, Alekhine must have subjected the Reti-Spielmann have subjected the Reti-Spielmann
game to searching scrutiny and with an improvement, missed by Spielmann, realized that Black now has excellent attacking possibilities.
This is it-and it looks PxN1
This is it-and it looks like an antipositional move . . . the idea was selected by an Alekhine, but would never occur to the tradition-seeped Beckmessers of chess. Perhaps this was the very first time this motif was played? Suddenly the tame positional played? Suddenly the tame positional game has become tritical, anstrate.
11. N-Q2
12. P-B4

Otherwise Alekhine will play 12
Fred M. Howard, 5940 36th Avenue S. W., Seattle 6, Washington writes:
On Sunday, July 26th, 1959 the Annual International Chess Match between British Columbia and Washington State was held on the border by the Peace Arch at Blaine, Washington.

It was a beautiful sunny day and the match was held outside on the lawn.
The Washington team was weak in strong players and took a terrific licking. B. C. won the A section 20 to 10 , and the $B$ section $7-3$.

Only one game was played at the rate of 40 moves in two hours. Eighty players participated.
CHANGE OF ADDRESS: Four weeks' notice required. When ordering change please furnish an address stencil impression from recent issue or exact repro. duction, including numbers and dates on top line.
Send membership dues, subscriptions, tournament reports for rating, and changes of address to FRANK BRADY, Business Manager, 80 East llth., New York 3, N. Y.
Send only news items and communications re CHESS LIFE editorial matters to FRED M. WREN, Editor, Gove House, Perry, Maine.
Make all checks payable to: THE UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION


# LARRY EVANS ON CHESS 

By International Grandmaster LARRY EVANS

## PETROFF'S DEFENSE

## 1. P-K4, P-K4; 2. N-KB3, N-KB3

## Counterattack-Limiting White's Opfions

The Petroff, although an excellent symmetrical method of obtaining early equality, is rarely adopted. Somewhat limited in perspective, the Petroff is theoretically sound. It is generally held that the opening is slightly in White's favor; but no distinct advantage has been established by analysis. Most of the main variations are cut-and-dried. If Black is playing for a draw, this is an ideal defense. The outlook is entirely different if Black is playing for a win: Black, in essaying this defense, tacitly acquiesces to a virtual draw, as outlined in the note to White's fifth move.


## White <br> 1. P.K4 <br> 2. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$



This is typical of the way White's initiative evaporates once Queens are exchanged. White's only chance to increase his prospects is with 7. B-Q3 (instead of 7. B-N5).


White's range of replies is seriously restricted by the attack against his King Pawn. For 3. P-Q4, PxP see game No. 1. For 3. P-Q4, NxP; 4. B-Q3, P-Q4; 5. NxP, B-K2; see game No. 3. For 3. N-B3 refer to the Four Knights' Game.
3. ........
P.Q3

An important interpolation. Not 3. ........, NxP?; 4. Q-K2, P-Q4; 4. P-Q3, N-KB3 6. N-B6 dis. ch., winning the Queen!

## 4. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{KB} 3$ 5. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$

For an atlempt to vary with 5. P-B4 see game No. 2. White has a pusillanimous method of forcing a quick draw here with 5. Q-K2; 6. P-Q3, N-KB3; 7. QxQch, etc. That is why the Petroff may not be adopted by a player seeking to win at all costs with the Black pieces.


P-Q4
B-Q3
Black's Knight on K5 may be shaky (White can undermine it by P-QB4), but he is a move ahead in development. A likely continuation may be found in game 4. The Petroff constitutes a real challenge to those who believe that the first move is a big advantage.


Game No. 1 :argy


If now 8. $B x Q$ ?, $N x Q$; 9. $N x N$, $K x B$; Black has the two Bishops and better endgame prospects. 7. generally a move to be avoided in such positions since it loosens too many squares. After 8. Q-B3, P-B3, however, is good, since White's Queen is on a bad square.
8. Q-K3ch
9. B×B
10. QXQch

Qx
QxB
$\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{Q}$

| 9. | B-N5 | P×P |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 10. | B×P | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |
| 11. | B-N3 | $\mathrm{KN}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ ? |

But here 11. ........, P-B3 is absolutely necessary.

| 12. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | NxB |
| :---: | :---: |
| 13. Q-B3 | B-K3 |
| 14. BxB | PxB |
| 15. QxP | QxP |
| 16. QxBP | N(2)-Q4 |
| 17. Q-Q6 | R-B3 |
| 18. QR-Q1 | Q-KR5 |
| 19. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | PxN |
| 20. Q-K7 | Q-R4 |
| 21. N-Q3 | QR-KB1 |

If now 22. $\mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 3$ threatening RxPch with a draw by perpetual to follow.

| 22. Q-K5 | Q-NS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23. P.KR3 | Q-QR5 |
| 24. P-R3 | R-K1 |
| 25. Q-B7 | R-QB1 |
| 26. Q-K7 | R-K1 |
| 27. Q-N4 | Q-B7 |
| 28. Q-Q2 | Q-N6 |
| 29. N-B5 | Q-N4 |
| 30. Q-Q4 | R-K7 |
| 31. P-QN4 | P-QR4 |
| 32. R-Q3 | P×P |
| 33. R-KN3! | R-B2 |
| was threatening | 34. QxR. |
| 34. PxP | Q-B5 |
| 35. Q-Q1 | R-B7 |
| 36. R-N4 | Q-R7 |
| 37. Q-Q4 | R-Q7 |
| 38. Q-K3 | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{B5}$ (?) |

The most tenacious defense is 38 . ........ R-K7; if 39. Q-KN3, N-B5. Black is prob ably lost in any event: he is a Pawn behind and his King is unsafe.

## 39. Q-K8ch <br> 40. Q-K6ch <br> 41. Q-K7 <br> R-B1 <br> and BLACK RESIGNS

The simplest win is 41. N-K6, Q-N7; 42. N-N5, P-R3; 43. R-R4, Q-B3; 44, RxPch!, QxR (44. ........ PxR; 45. Q-R7 mate); 45. N-B7ch, K-R2; 46. NxQ, etc.

Postal Game, U.S., 1946
Rather
White
A. Sandrin

Black

## 2. N-KB3 <br> 3. $N \times P$ <br> 4. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{KB} 3$ <br> . P-Q4 <br> B-Q3 <br> 8. P.B4

P-K4
P-Q3 P-Q3
$\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$
arsha
The Marshall counter-attack 8. ........ O.O; 9. PxP, P-KB4; 10. P-KR3, B-R4; 11. N-B3, N-Q2 has been refuted by 12. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ !, PxN ; 13. BxP, N-B3; 14. B-B5!, K-R1; 15, P-KN4, NxQP; 16. B-K6, B-B2; 17. $\mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{RxB}$; 18. N-N5.
9. QN-Q2 $\mathbf{N} \times \mathrm{N}$

White gains an advantage after 9. ........, P-KB4, 10. PxP, PxP; 11. Q-N3

| 10. $\mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}!$ | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{K} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 11. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ ? |

Correct is 11. ......., O-O; 11. PxP, PxP; 12. B-B2 (BxP, BxPch), $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12. RxPch } \\
& \text { 13. R.N5: }
\end{aligned}
$$

B.K3

Not 13. P-Q5?, O-O!; 14. PxB?, BxPch 15. $K \times B, Q \times Q$ winning easily for Black

| 13. | P.B3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 14. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B2}$ |
| 15. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5!$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 16. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}!!$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

16. ........, KxR fails to 17. Q-Q5ch, K-K2; 18. R-Kich, K-B1; 19. PxP. And if 16. ........ BxPch; 17. KxB, QxQ; 18. NxPch wins.
17. RxB
o.R4
18. P-B5! BLACK RESIGNS The threat of Q-N3 or NxPch is devas tating.

The following items are reprinted from the June issue of "TEXAS KNIGHTS" (See editorial on page 4.)
Grab Your Guns, Boys
The Woods is Full Of 'Em
One of the two Texans who attended the Mississippi-Louislana Open in Natchez, Miss., early this spring, relates the following story:
At the end of the second round the tournament director was making arrangements to have a number of games ajudicated. Upon arriving at the table where a fine southern gen tleman Jonn R Poole, was playing leman, Jom R. Poole, was playing he informed John and his opponent act as judge in their behalf at the act as jud
ajudication.
The southern gentleman immediately posed this question to the director: "Who shall Ah select?"
"Look, around and find one of your friends," the director replied.
John very slowly looked over the room full of chess players, turned to the director and remarked: "Ah can't - everywhere Ah looks is enemies!"
The following poem on the ageold game of chess was written by a veteran Houston player:

SOLILOQUY
By N. D. Naman
A decision made,
An act performed;
No backward glance
Of fear, no thought prolonged.
Forward marches a stalwart pawn.
Come bishops, knights,
And now a queen.
Who fain would join the fray.
These but vassals be.
Upon a king to fawn
Who dreams of safety,
"Tween castles" walls.
But these, no guard,
But these, no gu
They also fall;
${ }^{\circ}$ Tis check, for scientists and seers,
Philosophers and peers,
The call is mate for you,
and me, and all,
Forward moves a pawn.

## FINE PRIZES AT NEW JERSEY JUNIOR

Although the U.S. Junior championship for 1959 will have been decided by the time this item is read in CHESS LIFE, organizers and promoters of such events will be able to learn something which may be of value to them as a 1960 target.

Three round-trip bus fares to Omaha were offered as prizes for the three highest-scoring juniors in the recent tournament at Atlantic City, co-sponsored by the New Jersey State Chess Federation, the Atlantic City Optimist Club, and the Atlantic City Greater Chamber of Commerce. Winner Robin Ault and fifth-place William Lukowiak accepted two of these prized roundtrip tickets, while the third had to be regretfully declined by second-place Roger Pitasky, thirdplace Leslie Ault, and fourth-place Glenn Reitze, due to previous summer commitments.
The New Jersey Federation is fortunate to have had the substantial support of their co-sponsors from the Optimist Club and the Chamber of Commerce, while these organizations are to be congratulated for having entered the chess promotional arena in such a worthy cause, a cause with which any civic-minded individuals, firms, or service organizations might well be proud to be associated.
(Since Ault and Lokowiak took 1st and 1Ith places in a forty player field of the nation's top juniors, New Jersey may well be proud. Ed.)


USCF MEMBERS: Submit your best games for this department to JOHN W. COLLINS, Stuyvesant Town, 521 East 14th St., New York 9, N. Y. Space being limited, Mr. Collins will select the most interesting and instructive for publication. Unless otherwise stated notes to games are by Mr. Collins.

## UPSET

The greatest upset at the 1959 U.S. Open was former U.S. Junior Champion Raymond Weinstein's win against Grandmaster Pal Benko in the final round. The result gave Arthur Bisguier (Raymond's cousin) the title and put Benko (who had been leading) and Weinstein in a tie for second and third! Raymond's entire performance stamps him as second only to Grandmaster Bobby Fischer among the nation's junior players.

Raymond's deep and objective notes to the game represent his first effort in this field and give "CHESS LIFE" another first! JWC.

## RUY LOPEZ

MCO 9: page 32
U.S. Open

Omaha, 1959
Notes by U. S. Master
Raymond Weinstein

## White

R. WEINSTEIN

| 1. | P-K4 | P-K4 | 7. | B-N3 | P-Q4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2. | N-KB3 | N-QB3 | 8. | PxP | B-K3 |
| 3. | B-N5 | P-QR3 | 9. | Q-K2 | N-B4 |
| 4. | B-R4 | N-B3 | 10. R-Q1 | P-N5 |  |
| 5. | O-O | NXP | 11. | B-N5 | ........ |
| 5. | P-Q4 | P-QN4 |  |  |  |

"Book" is 11. B-K3, NxB; 12. RPxN, Q-B1; 13. P-B4, with the better game for White.
Better is $11 . . . . . .$. , B-K2.

P-R3
N-R4
If 13 . ......., B-K2, then $14 . \mathrm{BXN}, \mathrm{BxB}$;
15.B-R4, increases White's pressure. 14. N-Q4
15. P-KB4 and P-KB3 were also feasible, but White wished to exploit the possibility of increasing the scope of the Rook at Q1.
16. $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{\times P}$

P×Pe.p.
QN×B
If 16. ......., $\mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{O} ; 17$. B-B2 followed by N/2-N3 gives White the better of it Black's Knight on QB4 cannot move without first defending against Q-Q3. If $17 . \mathrm{N} / 2 \times \mathrm{N}$ then N-R5; 18 . QR-B1, P-QB4.

$$
\text { 17. ....... } \begin{gathered}
0.0 \\
\text { D.QR4 may have been }
\end{gathered}
$$

17. $\quad$ 18...., P-QR4 may have been better, but 18. P-QN4, N-N2 (18. ......., N-R5; 19,
NxB, PxN; 20. Q-R5 ch); 19. Q-N5, maintains the edge. White had not decided what to play, but he felt there was some way to take advantage of Black's loose pieces.
18. R-R5

Threatening, of course, 19. RxN, BxR; 20. NxB, BxB; 21. NxR. The Knight cannot move because of the loose QRP.

## 18. p-B3

B-N5
B-R4
Now 20. KR-R1, B-N3; 21. P-RN4, B-Q6; 22. Q-B2, N-N2 would be good for Black. White's pressure along the QR file has disappeared and his Rooks are misplaced. However, White has another possibility based on the shakey position of the Knight on QB4.
Now $20 . \ldots \ldots ., \mathrm{QxN} ; 21 . \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{BxB} ; 22$. RxB, gives White the better game because of the black-square weakensses on the $Q$-side.
 21. NxBch
Black seems to 23. R-Q4
2.
Rave escaped from most of his difficulties. If now 24 . N-B4, Q.QN4 seems to hold. But 24. 24. P-KN44 24. P-KN41
25. P-KB4

## GAMES BY USCF MEMBERS

Annotated by Chess Master JOHN W. COLLINS

If $25 . . . . . .$. , Q-B2, then $26 . \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 2$; 27. N-B4, and now if 27. ........, KR-Q1 28 , B-B4, Q-B1 29. RxR ch and Q-K8 mate, B-B4, Q-B1 29. RxR ch and Q-K8 mate,
or 27 . ......., QR-Q1 $28 . \operatorname{B-B4,~Q-B1~} 29$. or
N -N6 and the Queen is lost. If 25 . .................. N-N6 and the Queen is lost. If 25 . .......,
Q-QR4 26. P-N4 leads to similar varia-Q-QR4 26. P-N4. leads to similar varia-
tions after 26 ......., Q-B2, or if the tions after 26 ......., Q-B2, or if the
Queen remains on the $q$-side, Black is Qractically a Queen down.

## 26. $Q \times Q$

## BP×Q

During the game both players thought capturing with the BP was best-to force White to lose a move preventing the entry of the Knight via QR4 and B3. From the way things turned out, however, it would seem that capture with the RP, opening the file, for the QR would have been better.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 27. P.B5 } \\
& \text { 28. K-B2 }
\end{aligned}
$$

KR-K1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 28. K-B2 } \\
& \text { 29. P-N4! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ANOTHER

And here is another of Wein stein's convincing positional bests from the same event.
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
MCO 9: page 192
R. WEINSTEIN
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { White } & \text { J. DONOVAN } \\ \text { Wlack }\end{array}$


## MARK OF MOBILITY FRENCH DEFENSE

MCO 9: p 99, c. 21 (c) (b)
U.S. Amateur Championship Asbury Park, 1959
Notes by U.S. Expert Don Define J. ROSENSTEIN White H. EVANS

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { hite } & \\
\text { 1. } & \text { P-K4 } \\
\text { 2. } & \text { P-Q4 } \\
\text { 3. } & \text { N.QB3 } \\
\text { 4. } & \text { B-N5 } \\
\text { 5. } & \text { P-K5 } \\
\text { 6. } & \text { B.Q2 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Black
........, N-Q1 30. N-B3, P-N3 then White can win a Pawn with 31. PxP, BxP 32. BxP, but this variation is not too convincing since Black's pieces come into play. During the game, White decided to play 31. R-Q5, maintaining the BP and keeping Black's Bishop locked in. The KBP also leaves the Black Knight only QB3 and QN2 as possible flight squares, and if
QB3 then B-B4, R-Q6, and R/1-Q5 is only one of the ways White can increase the pressure.



Position after 32. BxP!
 NxR ch, RxN 35. R-Q7, R-QN1 36. RQ5, any 37. RxNP, and $38 . \mathrm{RxN}$. If 32 , 35. R-Q7. Now White is threatening BxNP. This explains Black's last move. 33. R-Q7

White is perfectly willing to go in for 33. ......., RxN 34. RxP ch, K-R1 35. R/1-Q7, B-N1 36. RxN.

Better is 33 .
P-P5 Px
Better is 33. ........, P-R5, but Black at this point had approximately 20 seconds for 18 moves and did not have time to think.
 36. B-K3 B-NI

White's previous moves were made quickly in an attempt to put Black over the time limit. He now gets the right Idea.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 39. P-R4 } & \text { K-B2 } \\
\text { 40. P-N5 } & \text { P×P } \\
\text { 41. P×P } & \text { K-K1 }
\end{array}
$$

If 41. ........, P-N3 42. P-B6, R-K1 43.
R-Q7 ch.
42. P-N6 B-N6

Black forfelted on time. If 43.
PxP 44. NxP ch, K-B1 45. B-R6 ch. And if 43. ......., R-K3 44. P-B7 ch, K-B1 (or K-K2) 45, R-Q7 (ch).
(Bravo! Fine game, fine notes!).
33. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B3}$
34. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 3$
34. B-R3
35. R-B4
35. R-B4
36. $Q \times P$

B-N2
P-Q5

Black's trap baiting finally gets a bite which
36.
37. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q2}$
38. $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$
39. $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{N}$

## Q-N8ch R-Q1

is more effective in terms of
mobility than of material.


The pa
Q-N8ch.


## HARKNESS REPORT-

(Continued from page 2, col. 4)
The various expenses required to conduct the affairs of the USCF are outlined in the financial statement, as audited by our accountant. So far as it is possible to do so in such a relatively small organization, the expenses have been classified under the headings to which they belong.

As we continue to grow, our income goes up, but it is also true that it costs more to conduct our affairs. Although your Business Manager gets his salary, there are only a few people in the world who are willing to work for practically nothing. The amount of work involved in running the USCF office has increased so greatly that assistants have to be paid cash for their services. This is the main reason why the Federation does not show a larger net income this year than might be expected from past records. Other reasons are the increased cost of publishing CHESS LIFE, higher taxes, higher costs of printing catalogs, promotional folders, letters, etc.; the payment of $\$ 250.00$ to the lawyer who defended the suit brought by Mr. Whitaker in Chicago, higher F.I.D.E. dues, etc. etc.

Being in an inflationary period, everything costs more. So far, we have not raised our dues. They are the same as they were in 1952. We hope it will not be necessary to raise dues to make up for increased costs. We believe the solution is to get more members.

This will be the last report submitted by this Business Manager, Membership Secretary and Rating Statistician. Working for the USCF for seven years has cost us practically everything we own, in order to keep alive, and has now cost us our health. At least temporarily, we cannot continue to work as hard as we have in the past. Perhaps, if our health improves, and you still want our services, we may come back later in some other capacity. But goodbye now-and good luck.


Are You a Member? September 20, 1959 Is Your Friend a Member?

## PRE-PUBLICATION OPPORTUNITY

## TARRASCH-THREE HUNDRED CHESS GAMES

Translated by Robin Ault and John Kirwan

## Published by Robert T. Durkin

NOW, for the first time, this great classic is being made available to English-speaking chess players all over the world.

First published in German in 1895, this monumental work has received high praise from Reti, Nimzovich, Fine, and many other grandmasters. It not only explains chess theory through example, but also through its simple, precise language, meaningful to master and beginner alike. Now, thanks to the translators, Robin Ault (he is the new U.S. Junior Champion) and John Kirwan, the language barrier has been removed. They have undertaken to present it, complete, in English, in the same clear style used by Tarrasch, retaining the flavor which makes it so much more than a dry technical treatise. They are convinced that this book will take its place in American chess libraries alongside "MY SYSTEM" and "MASTERS OF THE CHESSBOARD" and other classics of translation.

Robert T. (1. N-QR3) Durkin, author and publisher of "KNIGHTMARE 1, A New Chess Opening" will arrange for the mimeographing on good quality paper, and loose-leaf binding between sturdy covers. Because of size, the work will be presented in three volumes, the first of which contains Games 1-112, with all of Tarrasch's prefatory remarks. After publication (Nov. 15, 1959) Volume 1 will sell for $\$ 3.00$, but by ordering now you can get your copy for $\$ 2.50$. Orders filled in the order received-first one gets No. 1.

Mail orders and inquiries-address Robin Ault, 22 Munsee Drive, Cranford, N.J.

## COLLEGE CHESS LIFE

Conducted by William F. Goetz, Jr.
All college clubs and players are urged to send news items to William F. Goetz, Jr., Box 733, Wartburg College, Waverly, Iowa.

With the opening of the fall term at colleges and universities throughout the U.S., college chess activities will again be resumed. Established clubs will need new playing strength and leadership to replace members who have graduated. From some schools, we hope to hear of the organization of new clubs. Working together, each of us can do his part to make the year 1959-60 a successful one for college chess.

Beginning with the opening of the new school year, this column and the following one will include a resume of news items received by your columnist during the last few months of the past school year which were not included in previous College Chess Life columns.

Joe Tamargo topped a 28 -man Swiss team to win the championship of the City College of New York by a score of $61 / 2-1 / 2$, with Sandy Greene second at 6-1. Tamargo also scored 22-2 in a simultaneous match staged at the CCNY Chess Club.

The University of Buffalo held CCNY to a $41 / 2-41 / 2$ draw in a match at New York. Greene, Birns, Gorkowicz, and Kahn were victors for City College; winners for Buffalo were Selib, Woodworth, Baranetsky, and Redberd.

The following newsworthy item is taken from the CCNY student newstaken from the CCNY student news- ${ }^{\text {chen }}$ Chet Mate, 60 , chess club paper: "Chet mate, played 32 simultaneous champion, played 32 simultaneous games yesterday in a special exhibltion unprecedented at the College. Al-
lowing himself only five seconds between moves, he astounded spectators with his unorthodox style and amazing speed. He lost all 32 matches." Your columnist will not vouch for the source of this information!

WInner of the all-Ivy League team tournament held at Yale was Penn, with Harvard, Yale, Brown, Columbla, and Dartmouth finishing in that order. Officers were elected for the newly formed Ivy League Chess Assoctation: Joseph G. Rosenstein, President; Lynn Poole, Vice-president; and Scott Lockhart, Secretary-treasurer
1959 is the year for the U.S. Individual Intercollegiate Championship. Information on it will appear in this column as soon as it becomes available.

## STEPHEN JONES REPEATS

## AS TEXAS JUNIOR CHAMP

The Texas Junior Chess Championship was held at Houston over the July 4th weekend. It was jointly sponsored by the Texas Chess Association and the Houston Junior Chamber of Commerce. The five-round Swiss System event was directed by this writer and the games were played in Oberholzer Hall on the University of Houston Campus. The event was $100 \%$ U.S. C.F. and is to be rated.

Stephen Jones, 16 -year-old Southwestern Open Champion, Texas co-champion, and last year's junior champion, successfully defended his title although he had a few anxious moments in his last round game with Steve McCleary, who finished fourth. Jones scored 41/2$1 / 2$, he drew with Eric Bene of Baytown, Texas in the fourth round.

James R. Bennett of Fort Worth finished second with 4-1 as he lost a game to Jones in the third round. Fred King of Nederland, Texas was third with $31 / 2-11 / 2$ and $91 / 2$ median points, and Steve McCleary of Houston was fourth with $31 / 2$ $11 / 2$ and 9 median points. These four all received trophies and Bennett received the additional prize of paid transportation to the United States Junior Championship when Jones was unable to accept it due to school commitments.

The youngest player present was Jimmy Stallings, 12 , of Dallas who scored $21 / 2-21 / 2$ with 1 win, three draws and 1 loss.

The "Inland Chess League" of California, after first season of play, reports team positions as follows:

## 1. San Bernardino

2. Anaheim
3. China Lake
4. Pomona
5. Riverside
6. Lancaster
7. Victorville

## Mate The Subtle Way!

by Nicholas Gabor

All communications concerning thls problem-column, including solutions as well as original compositions for publication (two- and three-mover direst mates),
from composers anywhere should be sent to Nicholas Gabor, Hotel Kemper Lane, Cincinnati 6, Ohio.

No. 1021 is another of those "Name Theme" problems we promised to present from Old Times. No. 1022 is from a new contributor, while 1023 and 1024 are from regular contestants of our column. We hope they all justify the title of this section.


Since it has been suggested that very few, if any, of the members of the USCF are greatly interested in the regular appearance of this column, it has been decided to take a vote (by correspondence) on the matter. As indicated in the coupon below only paid-up members are entitled to vote. Non-member subscribers to CHESS LIFE, who subscribe to the paper principally to receive this column regularly are, however, invited to inform the Business Manager of that fact. Individuals or organizations receiving CHESS LIFE on an exchange basis, or who receive it on a "free-list" basis, should refrain from voting.
...1. I suggest that "Mate The Subtle Way" be published only once a month. ....2. I suggest that "Mate The Subtle Way" be discontinued entirely. …...3. suggest that "Mate The Subtle Way" be continued "as is"" twice a month.
1 am a paid-up member of the USCF, and I have checked my preference above.
(Signature as name appears on USCF card) Please check 1, 2, or 3 above, sign, and return to Business Manager, USCF, 80 East Ilth St., New York 3, N.Y. Final results of the vote will be announced in CHESS LIFE.

Sunday, Page 8 September 20, 1959

## Solution Jo

What's Tho Bat Mowe?

## Posítion No. 259

Lissitzin-Ragozin, Leningrad 1934
Lissitzin played 1. B-R7ch!, K×B; 2. N-N5ch, K-Nsq; 3. NxBP, Q-Nsq; 4. N $\times$ Pch, $P \times N$; 5. Q-N4ch, K-Rsq; 6. R-B7, and Ragozin resigned since mate is unavoidable. (6. ........, R-Nsq; 7. Q-R5, etc.) Note that Black's defense cannot be improved. 2. ........, PxN is met by 3. Q-R5ch, K-Nsq; 4. QxPeh, K-Rsq; 5. Q-R5ch, K-Nsq, 6. PxP! (threatening 7. P-N6 and mate), N-K2; 7. Q-B7ch, 7. P-N6 K ; 8. K-B2!

Nor is 1. ........, K-Rsq any better because of 2. N-N5!, Q-Nsq (if PxN; 3. Q-R5 forces mate); 3. NxPch, KxB; 4. Q-Q3ch, K-Nsq (or P-N3; 5. N-N5ch, PxN; 6. R-B7ch, K-R3; 7. PxPch, KxP 8. Q-K3ch, K-R4; 9. R-R7ch, K-N5; 10. Q-B4 mate); 5. NxPch, K-Rsq (or PxN; 6. Q-N6ch, K-Rsq; R-B7); 6. N-B7ch, KNsq; 7. N-N5 ang again mate is unavoidable. If Black tries 2. ......., R-K2 in this line, White can win in several ways; the simplest is 3 . RxP.

Many solvers suggested 1. N-N5 which is clearly inferior because of 1 . ........, P-KB4. If then 2. Q-R5, Black can defend with either 2 . ......., N-Q4 or 2 . N..... N-K2. A few solvers suggested N-K5, but Black has adequate counterplay after 1. ......., NxN; 2. PxN,
B B3. If then 3. Q-R5, Black can reply B-B3. If then 3. Q-R5, Black can reply
$3 . \ldots . . ., ~ Q x B ; 4$ QxBPch, K-Rsq; 5. QxN, QxP; etc.
Correct solutions are acknowledged from: Robin Ault, Harry Bakwin, George W. Baylor, Abel Bomberault, Henry Cohen, William J. Couture, K. A. Czerniecki, Jesse Davis, J. Eisenbach, O. E. Goddard, John T. Hamilton, Rea O. E. Goddard, John T. Hamilton, Rea
Hayes, Donald Hills, F. L. Hooley, VikHayes, Donald Hills, F. L. Hooley, Vik-
tors Ikauniks, Andy Kafko, M. Ladacki, ors Ikauniks, Andy Kafko, M. Ladacki, Leonard L. Lussier, Robert MeGuigan, Kenneth Neeld, Stuart Noblin, Vincent Noga $_{4}$ Billy Patteson, Joseph Platz, John Pranter, Edmund Roman, Jack Rushing, Herbert Solinsky, W. E. Stevens, George Tiers, Francis Trask, H. C. Underwood, William B. Wilson, John E. Ishkan, and Neil P. Witting.
This position outpoints the solvers by $41-35$.

## PUPOLS WINS SEA FAIR OPEN

Victor Pupols of Tacoma, Washington scored $51 / 2-1 / 2$ to win the 62-player Sea Fair Open at Seattle recently. He conceded a single draw to junior Dave Grannis.
V. Seglins of Seattle took 2nd place, also with $5^{1 / 2}-1 / 2$, on tiebreaking. Jim McCormick of Seattle was 3rd, scoring $4 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$, after losing to Pupols and drawing with Seglins. Young Grannis was the surprise of the tourney, finishing undefeated with $41 / 2-1^{1 / 2}$ above many experienced adult players, and drawing with Pupols, Joachim, and Dr. Murray. Buz Eddy and Al Livingston directed the event, which is reported to be the largest in the chess history of the Northwest.

## SELLING MY CHESS LIBRARY

Many new books.
Free list sent on request. W. E. Campbell, Murdock, III.

## Journament Life

Tournament organizers wishing an nouncements of their forthcoming USCF rated events to appear in this column should make application at colust seven weeks in advance on special forms which may be obtained special forms which may be obtained from USCF Business Manager York 3 N Y or from Editor CHESS LIFE, Gove, House, Perry, Maine.

## October 1

New Haven Open Championship Will be held at the New Haven YMCA, 52 Howe Street, New Haven, Conn. Play will begin at 8 p.m., Thursday, October 1, with 2 or 3 rounds being played every month. Tournament finishing in spring of 1960 . 12 round Kirk Holland, with a time limit of 40 moves in 2 hours. Entry fee of $\$ 2.00$ for USCF members. Small cash prizes will be awarded. Address entries and inquiries to William H. Mills, 288 Mc Kinley Avenue, New Haven 15, Conn.

Oct. 31-Nov. 1
Indiana Open Chess Tournament Will be held at the YMCA Central Branch, 310 N . Illinois Street, Indian apolis 4, Indiana. Five round Swiss, open to all, with a time limit of 45 moves in 2 hours. Entry fee for members of the USCF is $\$ 5.00$. $\$ 100.00$ first prize guaranteed, second through fifth depending on number of entries. For entries and inquiries write to S. Makutenas, 1727 N . Talbot St., Indianapolis 2, Indiana.

IT WASN'T THE BEST MOVE!
In the diagram we see that White has a three-pawn plus to compensate for the lost exchange. With the best move 1. B-N5, threatening B-R6ch, he should win easily. But he played.

| 1. | Q-B8ch? | Q-K1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | Q $\times R P$ | $R \times K R P c h!$ |
| 3. | $K \times R$ | $Q-K 3 c h!$ |
| 4. $Q \times Q$ | Stalemate! |  |

IT WASNT EVEN THE BEST DIAGRAM
My attempt to fill in with "It Wasn't The Best Move" during the temporary lapse of "What's The Best Move"" has not been one of the high spots of my short editorial carcer. As this is mritten, three of these little columns have ap peared, and each of them has been fouled up in one way or another. The first presented Keres as "the great Latvian." The second gave an ambiguous key-move (R-Nl instead of R-KNI). The third not only appropriated Irwin Sigmond's Position No. 258, but it gave a faulty diagram of that position, while in the text on page 1 of the Sept. 5 issue 1 indicated that Black was to move, when it should have been White to move. The white knight which appeared in my diagram at KB6 should have been a black knight. Othernise it was OK.
Explanations are varied and plentiful. Gremlins! Poltergeists! A sloppy job of proofrcading! I don't live right! Take your choice. Personally, I feel like the bass-horn player in the old German band who couldn't understand his frequent lapses while playing-"I blow it in so schyeet, but sometimes it comes out rotten."
Here's hoping No. 4, which appears in this issue, escapes whatever spell may have been placed upon its predecessors. F.M.W.

## Special Book Offer to USCF Members TROPHY CHESS

An Account of the Lessing J. Rosenwald Tournament New York, 1954-55.

## International Grandmaster Larry Evans

Complete annotations of all games.
Reshevsky, 1st; Evans, 2nd; Bisguier, 3rd.
Originally published at $\$ 2.95-240$ pages, 206 diagrams

## NOW <br> SPECIAL PRICE TO USCF MEMBERS $\$ 1.50$

Only a limited number of copies available, so order your copy now! U.S. CHESS FEDERATION

80 East 11th St., New York 3, N.Y.

|  | 2nd ANNUAL BAY REG CHESS TOURN June 13-14, | ON <br> AME <br> 959 | $\begin{aligned} & 30-30 \\ & N T \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Glenn Hartleb (Tampa, Florida) .........W2') ${ }^{\text {Rd1 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rd} 2 \\ & \mathrm{~W} 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rd} 3 \\ & \mathrm{D} 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Rd} 4 \\ & \mathrm{~W} 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rd5 } \\ & \text { W2 } \end{aligned}$ | Rd6 W6 | Score $51$ |
|  | Norman Whitaker (Shady Side, Md.)....Wll | D3 | W6 | W10 | L1 | W5 |  |
|  | S/Sgi. Rcbert Grande (Wash., D.C.)...W8 | D2 | W13 | L1 | W10 | W4 | $4 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Robert 5. Raven (Catonsville, Md.).......Wil7 | L1 | W18 | W7 | W5 | L3 |  |
|  | Michael Tischtschenko (Baltímore).......W14 | W7 | D1 | Wil | L4 | L2 |  |
|  | Dr. Joseph LaSarko (Baltimore)..........W19 | D10 | L2 | W13 | W15 | L1 |  |
|  | James C. Williams (Bethesda, Md.).......W18 | L5 | W9 | L4 | W11 | D10 | ${ }^{31}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ |
|  | Kenneth M. Benjes (Baltimore).......... $\mathrm{L}^{3}$ | W14 | L11 | W19 | W17 | D9 |  |
|  | . Thomas A. Priscilla (Baltimore) M......... ${ }^{16}$ | W12 | W15 | W18 | W13 | ${ }^{\text {D8 }}$ |  |
|  | . Theorge Thomas (Burtonsville, Md.)...W ${ }^{\text {a }} 12$ | ${ }^{\text {D6 }} 17$ | W8 | ${ }_{\text {LF }}^{\text {LF }}$ | L3 | W15 | 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 |
|  | James C. Ream (Washington, D. C.)... L10 | L9 | L14 | W21 | W20 | W17 | 3 -3 |
|  | George T. Serbinoff (Rockvilie, Md.)....D15 | W16 | L3 | 16 |  | W18 | $2{ }_{2} \cdot 3$ |
|  | Alex C. Howard (Baltimore)............... $\mathrm{LS}^{\text {L }}$ | L8 | Wi2 | L17 | W19 | D16 |  |
|  | Gregorio Borrero (Baltimore)...............D13 | W19 | L10 | W16 |  | L11 |  |
|  | Glenn Martin (Baltimore)....................W9 | L13 | L19 | L15 | W21 | D14 | 21.31 |
|  | Claude Sayre (Dundalk, Md.)...............L4 | L11 | W20 | W14 |  | 12 | 2.4 |
|  | . Ale Popodi (Glen Burnie, Md.)..............L7 | W20 | L4 | L9 | Bye | L13 |  |
|  | Raymond Gillies (Burtonsville, Md.)....L6 |  | W16 | L8 | L14 | Bye | 2.4 |
|  | . Geerge A. Feehly (Dundalk, Md.).......L1 | L18 | L17 | Bye | 12 | W21 | 2.4 |
|  | . Al Nagel (Northpoint, Village, Md.)... |  | Bye | L12 | L16 | L20 | $1-5$ |
| PLAYED: Dundalk YMCA, Dundalk, Maryland. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOURNAMENT DIRECTOR: Mr. Robert A. Karch. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out of 21 players, 11 won prizes! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | offee and donuts served free to participants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10.00 donated to prize fund by Arion Chess Club. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Windsor Castle Chessmen
Solid plastic. Authentic Staunton design. King height about $4^{\prime \prime}$. Felts cemented permanently with plastic glue. Loaded sets have blg lead "weighted" chessmen made with plastic shells. Used at leading clubs.

No. 27 (Black \& Ivory) or No. 28 (Red \& Ivory): Felted but unloaded set in leatherette-covered case, as illustrated.
Special bargain! $\$ 15.00$ less $30 \%$ to USCF members... \$10.50
(In dozen lots, without cases, $\$ 7.95$ per set)
No. 21 (Black \& Ivory) or No. 22 (Red \& Ivory): Felted and heavily loaded set in leatherette-covered case, as shown.
$\$ 20.00$ less $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ to USCF members.
(In dozen lots, without cases, $\$ 12.75$ per set)
No. 23 (Black \& Ivory) or No. 24 (Red \& Ivory): Felted and heavily loaded set in leatherette-covered de luxe case with individual felflined divisions for all pieces (not shown).
$\$ 25.00$ less $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ to USCF members.
$\$ 19.75$
Mail your order now to
UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION
80 EAST 11th STREET
NEW YORK 3, N. Y.


[^0]:    finess iif? $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sunday, } \\ & \text { Sage } 2 \\ & \text { September } 20,1959\end{aligned}$

