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## A NEW ADMINISTRATION

Major Edmund B．Edmondson Jr． moved into the Presidency of the United States Chess Federation to head a slate of new leadership elected for a three－ year term at Chicago．Edmondson，pre－ viously Vice－President，hails originally from Rochester，New York and is now stationed near Sacramento，California． His election to the Presidency climaxes a nation－wide career of chess organizing which included activities in New York， Florida，Louisiana，California，and as President of the Texas Chess Associa－ tion．

Elected Vice－President was David Hoffmann，New York City attorney and previously first vice－president of the im－ portant Region II，encompassing New York and New Jersey．Hoffmann has handled major metropolitan responsibil－ ities for the Federation．Marshall Roh－ land of Milwaukee was reelected to his seventh consecutive term as Secretary．
New Regional Vice－Presidents were elected，one in each of the eight USCF Regions．These new leaders are Stanley W．D．King，Groton，Connecticut；Don－ ald Schultz，Poughkeepsie，New York； Fred Townsend，West Lawn，Pennsyl－ vania；Dr．Robert L．Froemke，Tallahas－ see，Florida；Norbert Matthews，Indian－ apolis，Indiana；John Osness，Waterloo， Iowa；Kenneth R．Smith，Dallas，Texas； and Gordon Barrett，Los Angeles，Cali－ fornia．

Edmondson succeeds Fred Cramer of Milwaukee．During Cramer＇s adminis－
tration，membership expanded from 4,000 to 7,500 and activity broadened on all fronts．A full report appeared in the August CHESS LIFE on page 181.

The annual meetings were exceptional－ ly well attended at Chicago，as were a number of special committee meetings， including those on the rating system， various new award proposals，and inter－ national affairs（headed by former USCF President Jerry Spann）．Minutes of these meetings，together with the for－ ward－looking plans of the new adminis－ tration，will run in CHESS LIFE next month．


THE NEWLY－ELECTED USCF PRESI－ DENT，Maior E．B．Edmondson Jr．， addresses the Chicago meeting．The new National V－P，David Hoffmann， is seated on the extreme left and Secretary Marshall Rohland is on the far right．
－Photo by Fred Cramer
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## Lombardy Wins U. S. Open Championship

Grandmaster William Lombardy won the United States Open Championship played in Chicago, August 11-24. Both Lombardy and grandmaster-candidate Robert Byrne of Indianapolis, Indiana, were undefeated in the 13 -round Swiss, finishing with scores of $11-2$-nine wins and four draws. The two players split the first and second place money, but the U. S. Open title and trophy were awarded to Lombardy on tie-breaking points.

## 266 Players!

The 1963 Open was the largest chess tournament ever held in the United States. Registration was heavy all day Sunday, August 11, and by early afternoon it was obvious that the tournament would break all previous records. When the entries were finally closed, a few hours before the start of round one, a total of 266 players had signed up for the event. This not only smashed the previous attendance mark for U. S. Opens (San Francisco, 1961: 198) but also broke the record set at the Eastern Open over the Fourth of July weekend. Exactly half the players-133-were from Illinois; the other half came from all over the U.S. and Canada-and from as far away as Belgrade, Yugoslavia.

## Two from Piatigorsky Cup

Th Yugoslav representative, International Grandmaster Svetozar Gligoric, arrived in Chicago by way of Los Angeles, since he had recently taken part in the Piatigorsky Cup Tournament. He finished in third place, tying in game points ( $101 / 2$ ) with another Piatigorsky competi-tor-Pal Benko of New York City. Gligoric started off with seven straight wins but was soundly beaten by Robert Byrne in round eight. He then drew with Lombardy, registered two more wins, but lost his chance for first prize by losing to Benko in the next-to-last round Benko was also twice-defeated, losing to Byrne in round seven and to Lombardy in round ten.

## A First for Lombardy

William Lombardy, the new U. S. Open Champion, is a student at St. Joseph's Seminary in Yonkers, N. Y. A former


SCENES FROM CHICAGO. The players include Benko and Byrne (upper left), new U. S. Open Women's Champion Kate Sillars (facing camera, upper right) and USCF President Ed Edmondson. Photo, lower left, shows the action at the record-breaking pre-tournament registration. Chairman Frank Skoff (in white shirt) directs operations.

World Junior Champion, he has compiled a fine record in both American and international competition. Lombardy arrived in Chicago shortly after playing top board for the U. S. Student Team at Budva, Yugoslavia. His victory in the Open is all the more impressive since his studies have left him with little time to devote to chess. In addition to winning the U.S. Open title for the first time, Lombardy won the speed tournament held on August 19 by winning every game in both the preliminaries and the finals. Second place in the tensecond event went to Benko, third to Bisguier.

## Byrne in Fine Form

Robert Byrne, always a tough man to beat, (he's gone through two U. S. Championships without losing a game!) was in excellent form throughout the tournament. After winning his first five games he drew with Lombardy and then defeated Benko and Gligoric in consecutive rounds to take the lead. After nine rounds he had $81 / 2$ points and seemed on his way to a clear victory. However, in the tenth round he drew with Bis-
guier while Lombardy was beating Benko, and from that point on the Lombar-dy-Byrne tie lasted until the very end of the tournament.

## A Job Well Done

The Chicago organizers and tournament director Ernest Olfe deserve great credit for the success of the 1963 Open. Under the leadership of Frank Skoff, several local committees worked to obtain maximum newspaper and TV coverage both before and during the tournament. It is quite likely that no U. S. chess event has ever received as much publicity in the nowspapers and on television as the Chicago Open. Crowds of spectators attended each session and followed the moves of the top games on large wallboards.

The USCF business meetings, held in conjunction with the Open, were also well attended and a full report will appear in our October issue. Also in the October issue will be the full tournament crosstable and a complete list of the prize winners.

GRUENFELD DEFENSE


QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED
V. Palciauskas
R. Byrne

| 1. | P-Q4 |  | P-Q4 | 14. N-K4 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | B-K2


|  | BLACKMAR | GAMBIT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. Tiers |  | G. | Johnson |
| 1. N-QB3 | P-Q4 | 12. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | N/3xBP |
| 2. P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 13. O-O-O | N×B |
| 3. P-K4 | PxP | 14. $R \times N$ | Q-R4 |
| 4. P-B3 | B-B4 | 15. Q-N5ch | QxQ |
| 5. P-KN4 | B-N3 | 16. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{ch}$ | K-K2 |
| 6. P-N5 | N-Q4 | 17. N-B3 | K×P |
| 7. NXP | P-K3 | 18. P-B4 | P.QR3 |
| 8. B-Q2 | QN-Q2 | 19. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | N-B3 |
| 9. P-QB4 | KN-N3 | 20. B-N4ch | B-B4 |
| 10. Q-K2 | P-QB4 | 21. R-K2 Ch | Resigns |
| 11. P.Q5 | N-K4 |  |  |



KING'S INDIAN
s. Gligoric

| s. Gligoric <br> 1. P.K4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | P.Q4 |
| 3. | N -QB3 |
| 4. | N×P |
| 5. | N - 3 |
| 6. | P-KR4 |
| 7. | N-B3 |
| 8. | B-Q3 |
| 9. | QxB |
| 10. | B-Q2 |
| 11. | 0.0.0 |
| 12. | K-N1 |
| 13. | N-K4 |
| 14. | QxN |
| 15. | Q-K2 |
| 16. | B-K1 |
| 17. | P-B4 |
| 18. | B-B3 |
| 19. | N-K5 |
| 20. | PxB |
| 21. | P.R5 |
|  | R-Q3 |

R. Verber

1. P.K4
2. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$

B-N5
4. N-B3
6. $\underset{N \times P}{\mathrm{NaxP}}$
7. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$
8. P-QB4
10. NxPch

1. N-NS
2. N-B3
3. P.Q4
4. R-K1ch
R. Walker




CARO-KANN

|  | J. | Schmit? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.QB3 | 23. R/1-Q1 | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{B1}$ | A. Bisguier |
| P-Q4 | 24. R/2-Q2 | N-K2 |  |
| PxP | 25. P-KN4 | K-N1 | 3. P-Q4 |
| B-B4 | 26. R-Q6 | N - B 1 | 4. NXP |
| B-N3 | 27. Q-Q3 | N×R | 5. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| P-KR3 | 28. PxN | Q-Q2 | 6. N.ME |
| N-Q2 | 29. BXP | R-N1 | 7. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{B} 3$ |
| BxB | 30. B-B6 | QR-K1 | 8. 0.0 |
| Q-B2 | 31. P-B3 | P-K4 | 9. B-KN5 |
| KN.B3 | 32. Q-Q5 | P-K5 | 10. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| P-K3 | 33. P-N5 | KPxP | 11. N-Q5 |
| B.Q3 | 34. $\mathrm{QxP}(3)$ | PxP | 12. Q.Q2 |



| A. Bisguier |  | W. | Lombardy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. P-K4 | P.QB4 | 13. P-B3 | R-B4 |
| 2. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | P-Q3 | 14. P-QN4 | R-B1 |
| 3. P-Q4 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | 15. P-QR4 | B-K2 |
| 4. NXP | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{K} \mathbf{B} 3$ | 16. KR-Q1 | B×N |
| 5. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{QB3}$ | P-QR3 | 17. $\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{\times B}$ | Q-B2 |
| 6. B-K2 | P-K4 | 18. QR-B1 | N-B3 |
| 7. N -83 | B-K2 | 19. Q-Q3 | P-Q4 |
| 8. 0.0 | 0.0 | 20. N-Q2 | KR-Q1 |
| 9. B-KN5 | B-K3 | 21. Q-NS | PXP |
| 10. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | B×B | 22. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | N×N |
| 11. N-Q5 | N-Q2 | 23. QxN | P.KN3 |
| 12. Q-Q2 | QR-B1 | 23. Drawn | P.KN3 |

SICILIAN DEFENSE


## ENGLISH OPENING

| P-K4 | 13. B-B5 | P. Benko |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-KB3 | 14. NXKP | N×N |
| P. Q4 | 15. BXN | P.QB3 |
| NxP | 16. B-N6 | PxN |
| N-N3 | 17. BxR | R×B |
| N-B3 | 18. B-N3 | PxP |
| B-K2 | 19. BxP | P.QN4 |
| 0.0 | 20. B-N3 | P.R5 |
| B-KN5 | 21. B-R2 | B-KR6 |
| P.QR4 | 22. R-K1 | Q.N2 |
| Q.Q2 | Resigns |  |

U. S. OPEN CHAMPIONS

1941 $\qquad$ 1942 .....................Herman Steiner and D. A. Yanofsky

1943
1944 ..........................Samuel Reshevsky
1945 ..............................A. E. Santasiere
1946 ..............................Herman Steiner
1947 .................................Isaac Kashdan
1948 ...............................Weaver Adams
1949 ................................Albert Sandrin
1950 ..............................Arthur Bisguier
1951
....................................Larry Evans
1952
..................................Larry Evans
1953 ..................................Donald Byrne
1954 ...................................Larry Evans
1955 ......................Nicholas Rossolimo
1956 .............................Arthur Bisguier
1957
.................................Bobby Fischer
1958 .............................E. Cobo-Arteaga
1959
..............................Arthur Bisguier
1960
.................................Robert Byrne
1962
..............................Antonio Medina
1963 $\qquad$

SICILIAN DEFENSE

Angelo Sandrin

| O-O.O | 38. R-K1 | R $\times$ N6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

K-N1 39. QxNP Q-B4ch
$\begin{array}{rlr}\text { BxN } & \text { 41. P-Q7ch } & \text { K-R2 } \\ \text { N-Q2 } & \text { 42. Q-R7 } & \text { Q-B7 } \\ \text { P-QB4 } & \text { 43. R-Q1 } & \text { Resigns }\end{array}$
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$
RUY LOPEZ

| P.K4 | 16. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | J. Foster BxB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-QB3 | 17. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | QR-Q1 |
| P.B4 | 18. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | KR-K1 |
| $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 19. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{K} 4$ | Q-Q5 |
| P-Q4 | 20. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| PxN | 21. $P \times R$ | Q×N |
| Q-Q4 | 22. K-B2 | K-K3 |
| Q-Q3 | 23. Q×Qch | K XQ |
| B-Q2 | 24. R-KB1 | R-QR1 |
| Q×B | 25. K-K1ch | K-K3 |
| N-B3 | 26. P-QR3 | R-R5 |
| P-B3 | 27. R-B4 | K-K4 |
| B-B4 | 28. K-Q2 | P.KN4 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { P×Pe.p. } \\ \text { K-B2 } \end{array}$ | 29. R-Q4 | Resigns |



MARSHAL ROHLAND, perennial secretary, keeps a knowing eye on

## ON THE SCENE

by

## Major E. B. Edmondson

(These notes were made during the last three days of Piatigorsky Cup activity, which included the final round of play and the Awards Banquet.)

Sunday, July 29th-the final round.
Thirty minutes before game time, the huge, mirrored Embassy Room began filling with spectators. The air was charged with an electric expectancy as the chess aficionados gathered for this ultimate effort by eight of the world's greatest Grandmasters. The First Piatigorsky Cup Tournament-the most successful and memorable "first" in modern chess history-was about to come to its exciting conclusion.

As we waited for the fourteenth and final round to begin, four contestants still had a chance to share top honors. Petrosian led with an 8-5 score, Keres was next with $71 / 2-51 / 2$, Najdorf and Olafsson had 7-6. The pairings were Re-shevsky-Petrosian, Gligoric-Keres, Naj-dorf-Benko, and Olafsson-Panno.

Reshevsky was first to arrive in the playing room. As he calmly chatted with Jerry Spann, Mrs. Piatigorsky, and his wife, I asked how he felt. "Fine," was the reply. "No trace of the illness which bothered me throughout much of the tournament." Sammy seemed fit and ready as he waited for the game which was to give him a definite plus score against the Russians. (He won twice from Keres, drew both games against Petrosian, for 3-1.)

Next came Olafsson, pleasant and personable as always. He too seemed physically and mentally ready for his critical last-round encounter with Panno. Then Keres and Petrosian came in, looking quite fresh after a just-completed constitutional down Wilshire Boulevard. Meantime, Najdorf and Panno had quietly taken their seats at the playing tables.

Benko hastily made his entrance at 11:30 A.M., time for the games to begin. As Tournament Director Isaac Kashdan spoke his final instructions to players and spectators, everyone wondered what had happened to Gligoric. He arrived just a few minutes later, however, and we learned that it was his turn for the "bug" which had unfortunately infected several of the players in the earlier rounds. He had stopped for medicine on his way to the playing room. Judging from his loss to Keres in this game, the medicine (whatever it was) didn't help much.
"Tigran" may not mean "tiger" in either Russian or Armenian, but World Champion Petrosian does pace the area like a tiger once play begins. Otherwise, he is outwardly cool as a cucumber-but that pacing might help to work off the tension which is building inwardly. Certainly tension built for the audience as the Reshevsky-Petrosian game progressed. Reshevsky gave up a pawn on the eighteenth move, then Petrosian gave up the exchange in hopes of push-
ing through a passed pawn. After an exciting struggle, Reshevsky gave the exchange back for a pawn and the game was drawn. This meant that Petrosian could do no worse than tie for first place (theirs was the earliest completed game of the evening).

The ever-popular Paul Keres was up to the challenge which he faced this night. Needing a full point to tie for top honors, he played dynamic chess against Gligoric (who was minus his usual verve) to win and become co-holder of the magnificent Piatigorsky Cup.

Speaking of tension (as we were earlier), Keres had shown little evidence of it the night before the finale. We carried on a conversation with him that evening as he played rapid transit against Najdorf. Asked whether or not his son played chess, Keres laughingly replied "Not very well-but he's good enough to beat Najdorf." You guessed it-Keres won that rapid transit game.
During a sociable evening, Najdorf came through, too, as a lively and witty individual. Among other things, he predicted that Benko would play the Gruenfeld. He was right in his prediction, but nevertheless had to settle for a draw against Benko and a tie with Olafsson in the final standings.

Olafsson was undoubtedly the dark horse of the tournament. As late as the twelfth round, he was tied with Petrosian for first place. Up against Panno, the other likable "youngster," he got into a terrific time scramble in the fourteenth round. With about fifteen moves to go and perhaps one minute left on each man's clock, several moves were made at rapid transit pace before a draw was agreed upon after 29 moves. In the final position, Olafsson could have won a piece if he had made the time limit. Winning the game would have given him a clear third in the standings; losing on time would have dropped him into a tie for fifth and
sixth. Taking the draw left him tied with Nadorf for third-fourth-a most creditable finish.

During the last round of play, we truly enjoyed the enlightening and well-delivered commentary by Irving Rivise. This took place in the Analysis or Lecture Room, not far from the playing room. Moves were communicated from the playing room by walkie-talkie, then made on display boards set up across the front of the Lecture Room. Rivise, Bill Addison, Bob Jacobs, Carl Pilnick, and Saul Yarmak alternately manned the platform throughout the entire month of play, discussing the games in progress, answering questions from the audience, and adding a great deal to the many pleasures of this event.

Speaking of communications, Jerry Hanken and his crew of young local players deserve every credit for the fine way in which they kept the wall display boards in both rooms current with the Grandmasters' moves.

While we're bouqueting, Executive Secretary Lina Grumette, Mrs. Piatigorsky, and all who assisted them deserve the fullest credit. I've never seen a major event which came off so smoothly, met with such whole-hearted audience approval, nor took place in more glamorous surroundings. Coconut Grove Ballroom served as either playing or lecture room on several occasions. Shades of Freddy Martin and his orchestra!

The morning after play was completed, we obtained the following reactions from the top finishers.

Petrosian: "A very difficult tournament, and I am satisfied with the outcome. Some may have thought that I should take undisputed first, but actually I am delighted to be able to split with Keres, who may have lost at least two games because of illness."

Keres: "It is always easy to explain or to alibi losses, but my opponents played very well and I think that I may


PIATIGORSKY BANQUET at the Beverly Hills Hotel brought to a close the most successful international tournament ever held in the United States.
have lost these games without any illness. Playing in the First Piatigorsky Cup Tournament and sharing top place with the World Champion is a wonderful experience. I enjoyed not only the fighting chess but especially the friendly atmosphere and the extremely well-organized event.
"Many fine and famous players will win and have their names engraved upon this Cup in years to come. I am deeply happy to share this honor with the winners to follow."

Naidorf: "One of the most beautifullyorganized tournaments of all time. The Piatigorskys and Mr. Kashdan have the sincerest thanks of every player.
"As for the outcome, Petrosian and Keres played fine chess all the way and deserved to win. I am very happy to have tied for third."

Olafsson: "I enjoyed playing and I am, naturally, quite happy with my showing. Everyone has been very kind to us here, and the warmth of this reception makes me hope that I may be able to return two years from now."

## THE AWARDS BANQUET

Held at the Beverly Hills Hotel under the auspices of the International Chess Federation (F.I.D.E.), the awards banquet was presided over by Jerry Spann, our F.I.D.E. Vice-President. Mr. and Mrs. Gregor Piatigorsky, sponsors of the tournament, were honored guests, as were the Grandmaster contestants. More than 260 persons enjoyed cocktails and dinner, the awards speeches, and the dancing which followed.

Mr. Spann, on behalf of F.I.D.E. and in accordance with the final cross tables turned over to him by Tournament Director Isaac Kashdan, recognized World Champion Tigran Petrosian and Grandmaster Paul Keres as co-winners of the First Piatigorsky Cup Tournament. In so doing, he paid tribute not only to these champions but to all the contestants and to all of those who worked so diligently to make the event such a remarkable success. Wonderful news for all of us was Mr. Spann's announcement that the Second Piatigorsky Cup Tournament is tentatively scheduled for 1965 and that F.I.D.E. will be asked to approve this as a regularly calendared biennial event.

Petrosian, speaking Russian (translated by world-famous cellist Gregor Piatigorsky), said that he and Keres enjoyed playing in Los Angeles because "there is great warmth not only of the sun, but also of the people who live here."

Mr. Paul Marache, speaking for Mayor Samuel W. Yorty, said that "The real victor in this tournament is the cause of international good will. In furthering the interest of the game of chess, Mr. and Mrs. Piatigorsky have done much to help understanding among nations."

# - MY BEST GAME - 

From the Piatigorsky Cup Tournament

In this exclusive CHESS LIFE feature, each contestant has selected and annotated his most exciting and meaningful game for your enjoyment.

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

| Round 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Notes |  | Grandm | Oscar Panno) |
| Panno |  |  | Reshevsky |
|  | 1. | P.Q4 | N-KB3 |
|  | 2. | P-QB4 | P-KN3 |
|  | 3. | N-QB3 | B-N2 |
|  | 4. | P-K4 | P-Q3 |
|  |  | P-B3 | ....... |

Planning the Saemisch Attack, a sharp and very popular variant, characterized by opposite rooks and eventual attacks with pawns.
5.

The more elastic move, alert to White's development.
6. B-K3

The better move to avoid the natural reaction based on 6. ......... P-B4, which would be made to face any other way of development. World Champion Petrosian played 6. KN-K2 against Reshevsky and Gligoric in this same tournament, to move next B-N5, but Black can get a good game against this line.
6.
P-K4

More popular is 6 .
N-B3, created by Grandmaster Taimanov, based on Black's continuing with B-Q2, P-QR3, R-N, and P-QN4, without permitting the closed center which occurs in the text variant.

## 7. P-Q5

More in accordance with the spirit of the projected system. With this move, White assures himself of more space and helps the operations on the sides.
7. ........

Against all principles of pure technique, which say that the chain of pawns shall not be attacked at the front but near the base (with P-KB4). The text, however, is the best move because it opens files on the Queen's side (where White plans to hide his King).

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\text { 8. } & \text { Q-Q2 } & \text { PxP } \\
\text { 9. } & \text { BPXP } & P-Q R 3
\end{array}
$$

Following the model of the games Polugayevsky-Panno, Mar del Plata 1962, and Najdorf-Gligoric, Havana 1962. We shall mention, however, that in the third round Gligoric moved 9. ........, N-R3 against Najdorf, equalizing easily.
10. O-0.O N-K

Black's concept is very interesting. He wants to react with P-B4 in front of the advance of White's pawns. The known line at this point is 10. ........, P-QN4; 11. K-N, QN-Q2; with better prospects for White.

## 11. B-Q3?

A too quiet move, which places the initiative in the hands of the second player. White did not like such variants as 11. P-KN4, P-B4; 12. NPxP, PxP; 13.

B-R3, P-B5; 14, B-B2, BxB; 15. NxB, P-R3! with a good game for Black. But, in the opinion of Grandmaster Najdorf, 11. P-K.N4 is the only move to maintain the initiative, playing as follows: 11. $\qquad$ P-B4; 12. NPxP, PxP; 13. PxP, BxP; 14. P-KR4, P-QN4; 15. KN-K2, with a very sharp game.
11. K-N....
N-Q2
13. R-QB
P-QN4

White is still securing his King's situation before organizing any serious demonstrations on the opposite side.

> 13. ...... 14. B-QB2

This forcing back of the White Bishop, with the consequent loss of time, demonstrates that the way chosen by the first player has not been correct. Najdorf's idea was preferable.

$$
14 .
$$

$\qquad$

## R-N

In my opinion, an inexactitude by Black. The game followed a very unusual pattern. White has more space and aspires to dominate the QB file as a first step to future attack on the other flank. On the other hand, the Knight at B4 is unwelcome to him, as is the projected expansion P-N5. He feels that he has inevitably to move P-QN4, prior to which Black should have moved B-Q2 to prepare for N-R5 at the proper moment.
15. P-QN4


This move has been commented upon recently, but I wish to call attention to the total change of strategy. White has already decided to play exclusively on the QB file, taking advantage of his space and abandoning any attack on the King's side, since the very open position of his own King denies him success on the other wing.
15. ........ N-Q2

It can be appreciated that with 14. ........, B-Q2 and 15. ........, N-R5 Black's prospects were excellent; however, his idea of N-Q2-N3-B5 is also good.
16. KN-K2
N-N3
17. B-Q3
P-B4

Black correctly attacks the chain of pawns and looks to open the game on the KB file.

## 18. P.QR3

The White King shall logically run away from the QN file, but he cannot go to QR1 because of the potential action of Black's KB; so, he looks to QR2.
18.

N-B3
19. P-R3

An obliged precaution, for otherwise 19. ........, PxP; 20. PxP, N-N5! with a great advantage.

| 19. ..... | B-Q2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 20. K-R2 | PxP |
| 21. PxP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 5!?$ |

An unjustified rush which liberates White from his problems. Proper may be 21. BxN, NxKP!!; 24. Q-Q3, PxB; with a decisive advantage. If $25 . \mathrm{QxN}$ ?, B-B4!

## 22. BxN <br> 23. R-QN

PxB
An odd move, which however is obliged by the position. P-QR4 by Black must be avoided and, at the same time, White plans to win more space with the majority of pawns in line.
23.
N-R4

A necessary demonstration to weaken White's KB file. If 24. P-N3, R-B6!

## 24. KR-QB

White does not wish to move his pawns from the King's side, but breaks the menace of Black's threatened N-B5 by defending the QN, which could otherwise be captured after the exchanges on KB4.
24. .....

Q-K2
A very necessary move which defends the second rank, plans to double Rooks on the QN file, and clears the square QB1 for the Knight.
25.
R-B2
26. P-QR4
R-B2

This move gives strength to the pawn majority and debilitates Black's pawn at QB5, which White plans to capture.
26. .......
N-B5
27. N-B1

The unique and only defense planned, as said earlier. White now cannot exchange on KB4 because his pawn at QR4 and the situation of his King would be endangered.
27. $\qquad$ Q-K!
An apparent mistake because it obliges White's King to make a necessary move; but the idea is to clear the access of Black's KB to the Queen's side. It is even possible that Black has already in mind the sacrifice he makes on move 34 .

```
28. K-R3
B-KB3
29. \(\mathbf{R}(2)-\mathrm{N} 2\)
B-Q
30. P-N5
```

Necessary against Black's strategic threat B-N3.
30.

PxP
Under ever-harder pressure from the clock, it was difficult to calculate 30 . ........, B-R4; 31. PxP, which apparently is better for White.
31. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$
32. Q-QB2
$\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{R}$

White must eliminate the Black pawn, which generates a mating possibility against his King.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 32. } \ldots \ldots . & \text { B-R4 } \\
\text { 33. } \mathrm{QxP} & \text { R-QB } \\
\text { 34. } \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3 & \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{NP}
\end{array}
$$

This sacrifice is justified by Black's anxiety to penetrate the KB file and, in part, by the double pressure of time, which makes it more difficult to find the right defense.
35. RxN
R-KB6
36. R-K2


Not good was 36. ........, BxN; 37. QxB, QxQ; 38. PxQ, B-N3; 39. R-N3, RxB; 40. RxR, BxR; 41. RxB, RxN; 42. P-N6! Winning for White.
36. N-R2
RxP
38. $\mathbf{R}(2)-K$


Giving the exchange, but for Black's powerful KB.

| 38. $\ldots . . .$. | $B \times R$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 39. RxB | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q2}$ |
| 40. $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B}$ |

With this move the time control was met. The game is favorable for White, with two Knights for Rook plus pawn, principally active men, and a powerful Bishop which controls black squares and neutralizes the passed pawns at KR2 and KN3. The only weak point is the White King, without adequate pawn protection; the next moves consolidate its situation.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 41. Q-N4 } & \text { Q-B3 } \\
\text { 42. K-N3 } & \text {........ }
\end{array}
$$

This one was the secret move in the adjournment of the game.

## 42. <br> Q-R5

With intent to increase the pressure of the Rook on the sixth rank. 42. BxN; 43. PxB and 42. ........, R.N; 43. Q-R5 were favorable for White.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 43. R-K2 B-N5 } \\
\text { 44. } Q \times P & \text {........ }
\end{array}
$$

A combination based on the activity of the White Queen and the tight situation of the Black King.


A move which I had not forseen during play and which has its favorable points, especially in defending the KP and preventing some winning variants. Let's see other possible continuations:

1) 44. 

BxR; 45. Q-K6ch, K-N2; 46. Q-Q7ch, K-B3; 47. QxR(1), RxB; 48. Q-Q8ch and wins the Queen.
2) 44 . $\qquad$ , RxNch; 45. NxR, BxR; 46. Q-K6ch, K-B1; 47. Q-B8ch, K-B2; 48. QQ7ch, K-N1; 49. Q-K8ch, K-N2; 50. QxKPch, K-N1 (if 50. ........, Q-B3; 51. Q-

B7ch, Q-B2; 52. B-Q4ch, K-N1; 53. Q-B8ch, winning); 51. Q-K8ch, K-N2; 52. Q-Q7ch, K-N1; 53. B-Q4, RxNch; 54. BxR, B-Q8ch; 55. K-R3, Q-R3; 56. Q-K6ch, K-B; 57. B-N4ch, K-N2; 58. Q-K7ch, K-N1; 59. QK8ch, K-N2; 60. Q-KB8 mate.
3) 44 . ........, R-Q; 45. QxKP, BxR; 46. B-Q4, Q-R3 (if 46. ........, K-B; 47. Q-N7ch, K-K; 48. N-B7 mate); 47. Q-K6ch, K-B; 48. B-B5ch, K-N2; 49. Q-K7ch, K-N; 50. QxR ch and mates in three.

Maybe the better defense would be 44. ........, R-K; 45. B-B2, Q-N4; 46. R-R2, etc.

## 45. K-N4

A difficult decision which removes the King from the threat on the third rank and menaces B-B2.
45. $\qquad$ RxN
Again 45. ........, BxR does not succeed: 46. Q-K6ch, K-N2; 47. Q-Q7ch, K-B3; 48. P-Q6, R-B5ch; 49. K-R5, P-N4; 50. N-Q5ch, K-N3; 51. Q-K8ch, K-R3; 52. Q-B8ch, KR4; 53. Q-B7ch, K-R3; 54. N-K7, winning.
46. Q-Q8ch
47. Q-K7ch
K-N2
48. Q-K8ch
K-N
K-N2
49. R-KB2

Would win too: 49. Q-K7ch, K-N; 50. N-Q6, R-B; 51. Q-B7ch, K-R; 52. NxR.
49.

B-B4
The only one, because 49 . ........, B-B6 permits the comfortable 50 . NxR, winning and if 49. ........, R-N6ch; 50. K-R5 (50. K-B4?, B-K7ch!), menacing B-B5 which wins.

| 50. Q-K7ch | K-N |
| :--- | ---: |
| 51. Q-K8ch | K-N2 |
| 52. Q-K7ch | K-N |
| 53. N-Q6 |  |

The series of checks is to eat up moves until the time control. With this move, direct threats are made.

| 53. | 54..... |
| :--- | ---: |
| 55. K R 6 Ch | R-B7ch |
| 56. Q-B6ch | R-N |
| 57. Q-B7ch | K-N |
| 58. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 8$ | K-R |

It only remains to avoid the Rook checks to win.


If 59. ........, R-Nch; 60. K-B6, R-Bch; 61. K-N7.

| 60. QxRch | K-N2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 61. Q-K7ch | K-N |
| 62. B-B5 | Q-N6ch |
| 63. B-N4 | Resigns |

In fact, the Bishop is lost and the mate menaces persist. If 63 . ........., Q Q6ch; 64. K-R5, P-R4; 65. Q-B8ch, K-R2; 66. Q-B7ch, K-R3; 67. PxB.

A game as interesting as it was difficult.

## MERAN DEFENSE

## Round 6

(Notes by Grandmaster Svetozar Gligoric) Gligoric

Panno

| 1. | P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | P-QB4 | P-QB3 |
| 3. | N-KB3 | N-B3 |
| 4. | N-B3 | P-K3 |
| 5. | P-K3 | QN-Q2 |
| 6. | B-Q3 | PxP |
| 7. | BxBP | P-QN4 |

8. B-Q3

P-QR3
9. P-K4

Less energetic is 9. O.O, P-QB4 and Black has comfortable play.
10. P.Q5

In the period between the two World Wars, it was generally accepted that 10. P-K5, PxP; 11. NxP, etc. was the best line. Strangely enough, the move in the game became popular only several years ago, as it represents the most logical reply to Black's intention to destroy White's pawn center.
10. ........ P-B5

The continuation 10. ........, PxP; 11. PK5, N-N5; 12. QB-N5 gives White a very strong attack. The variation 10. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ has been tried many times, too.

## 11. PxP

PxP
After 11. ........, PxB; 12. PxNch, QxP; 13. O-O, Black has to count on the loss of his QP ; but this line comes into consideration, too, and has been played by Dr. Trifunovic against Portisch in the Sarajewo tournament in Yugoslavia this year.
12. B-B2

Q-B2
The threat was 13 . P-K5, opening the lines for the attack on Black's weakened King's wing. Now, the moment has come for White to make up his mind. If he castles, Black will develop his pieces comfortably and have under control the vital central squares, and no penetration by the White pieces would be in sight.

## 13. N-N5!

Perhaps the most important move in the game. White immediately exploits the weaknesses in his opponent's position and is ready to give life to his pawn majority on the King's side, which was without efficiency the move before.
13.
Q-B3
14. 0.0
P-K4

Black was already in difficulties because of the menace that the White central pawn might advance. 14. ...., P-R3; 15. N-B3 was not attractive for Black, either.

## 15. N-Q5 <br> B-B4

Black overlooks the next move of White; but he had, anyway, no especially good choice of moves at his disposal.

## 16. N-K6 <br> K-B2

There is no other way to escape the material losses.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 17. } \mathrm{NxB} & \mathrm{~N} / 2 \times \mathrm{N} \\
\text { 18. } \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \ldots \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$

White plays a little bit carelessly. 18. PxN and then 19. P-B4 would be even more effective.

| 18. ...... | B-N5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 19. Q-K1 | N×N |
| 20. PxPch | K-K1 |

20. much shorter life to Black.

| 21. PxN | QxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 22. B-K3 | N-Q6 |
| 23. Q-N3 | B-K7 |
| 24. KR-K1 | ....... |

If White wants to exploit the position of the Black King, which has remained in the center of the board, he has to make the sacrifice of the exchange and to avoid the Bishops of different colors,
which would give Black additional possibilities for successful defense.

| 24. | $\ldots . .$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| 25. | NxN |
| 26. | B-Q1 |
| B-Q6 |  |
| P-N3 |  |

Black had to reckon with 27. QxP, KRN1; 28. B-R5ch, but still it was much better to try 26. ........, KR-B1 and, if 27. QxP, then 27. ........, Q-B2, menacing mate at KB8 at the same time; or if 27. B-B3, RxB with much better chances to save the position than in the game as played.

> 27. B-B3
> 28. $B \times B$

B-K5
Now, when the last active minor piece of Black has disappeared from the board, White's task is becoming easier.
28.
QxB

Black would offer better resistance with 29. ........, QR-B1, not allowing the immediate penetration of the White Queen, though the White KP would still mean big trouble for Black.

> 30. Q-B7

Q-Q4
30. ........, R-Q1; 31. QxRch! would also lose the game for Black.
31. B-B5 Resigns

## ENGLISH OPENING:

ROUND SIX

## (Notes by Grandmaster Samuel Reshevsky)

## S. RESHEVSKY

P. KERES

| 1. | P-QB4 | P-K4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | N-QB3 | N-KB3 |
| 3. | P-KN3 | P-B3 |

This is Mr. Keres's favorite defense against the English opening. He's had many successes with it. Black's obvious aim is to get in P-Q4 as quickly as possible.

## 4. N-B3

The only way to attempt to obtain any opening advantage. If 4. B-N2, B-B4; 5. N-B3, P-K5; 6. N-KN5, P-Q4 (another possibility is 6 . $\qquad$ BxPch; 7. KxB, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, etc.) followed by P-KR3 and the knight has to retreat to KR3, where it's badly misplaced. On 4. P-Q4 black can reply with 4. ........, PxP; 5. QxP, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ;$ 6. PxP. PxP; 7. B-N2, N-B3; 8. Q-Q, P-Q5 with ample compensation for the isolated pawn.

| 4. | N.... | P-K5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5. | N-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 6. | PxP | Q-N3 |

The main idea of black's set-up. He wins a tempo.
7. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$

Unsatisfactory for white is 7. P-K3, PxP; 8. B-N2, N-B3; 9. NxN, PxN, with a strong center pawn formation for black. 7. N-B2 allows 7. ........, B-QB4.
7.
8. B-N2
PxP

White is threatening 9. P-Q3, PxP; 10. QxP and black's QP would become vulnerable.
8. ........ B-KB4

Meeting the threat of P-Q3 the best possible way. 8. ........, P-QR4 is satisfactorily met by 9 . P-QR4.

Otherwise, 9. ........, P-Q5 would be unpleasant.
9. .......
B-QN5 BxN

Virtually forced. For if $10 . . . . . . . ., \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$; 11. PxP, PxP; 12. N-Q5, NxN; 13. QxN, winning a pawn.

| 11. PxB | 0.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12. B-K3 | Q-B2 |
| 13. R-B1 | N-B3 |
| 14. P-QB4 | ….... |



I was of course, trying to open the bishop file for the rook. Without getting it into action, white has little hope of making any headway.

> 14. ........ QR-Q1
14. ........, KPxP? 15. BPxP wins a piece. 14. ........, QPxP; 15. RxP, PxP (15.

KR-Q; 16. N-Q4) 16. PxP with the serious threat of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q4}$.

## 15. N-Q4

Little is accomplished by 15 . BPxP, RxP; 16. BxRP, R-R, recovering the pawn with a satisfactory position.
15. ........
NxN
16. BxN
KPxP

There is nothing better. Against 16. Q-K2 white would have replied 17. BxN, QxB; 18. BPxP, RxP; 19. Q-N3, R-Q5; 20. PxP, BxP; 21. BxB, RxB; 22. QxNP, RxP; 23. QxRP and should win.

## 17. $B P x P$

Q-Q2
Best. If 17. ........, Q-K2; 18. $\mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{QxB}$; 19. P-K4 followed by QxP, or 18. B-B5, PxP; 19. BxQ, PxQ; 20. KRxQ.
18. BxN
PxP
19. $\mathbf{Q x P}$
PxB


At this point, white obviously has the better chances. Black's king is exposed; white has a strong passed-pawn. Nevertheless, it requires some patient tactical maneuvering in order to make visible progress. White is faced with the difficult decision whether to attack, since
black's king-position is somewhat precarious, or to attempt to advance his passed pawn.
20. Q-N2
K-N2
21. Q-Q4
B-R6

Best. If 21. ........, P-QN3; 22. Q-KB4 (threatening R-B7) R-B; 23. R-B6. If 21. ........, P-QR3; 22. Q-KB4, R-B; 23. P-Q6 (threatening R-B7) RxR; 24. RxR, R-B; 25. RxR, QxR; 26. BxP.
22. KR-Q1
23. $K \times B$
BxB
P-QR3

Weaker would have been 24. Q-N6. This would have enabled black to get his both rooks into play. 24. ........, R-B; 25. P-Q6, RxR! 26. RxR, R-Q; 27. R-Q, R-QB, followed by R-B3.

# 24. <br> . ........ <br> R-B1 <br> White was threatening R-B5-KN5ch. 25. Q-Q5 



The move that gives black the most problems. There is no satisfactory defense. If 25. ........, RxR; 26. RxR, R-Q (if 26. ........, R-B; 27. RxR, QxR; 28. P-Q7, Q-Q; 29. QxNP) 27. R-B7 and wins. If 25. ........, KR-Q; 26. RxR, RxR; 27. R-Q4 and white has good attacking chances against the exposed king. White could continue with P-KR3, threatening R-KN 4 ch , etc. Black would not be able to continue with 27. ........, Q-B3, because of 28. QxQ, PxQ; 29. P-Q7, R-Q; 30. R-Q6, P-QB4; 31. K-B3, etc.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 25...... } & \text { R-B3 } \\
\text { 26. } R \times R & \text { P } \times \text { R }
\end{array}
$$

26. ........, QxR loses, because of 27 . QxQ, PxQ; 28. P-Q7, R-Q; 29. R-Q6, P-QB4; 30. K-B3, etc. White simply continues with K-K4-Q5, and black is helpless, because if he plays $K-B$, white captures the KBP and the QRP.

## 27. Q-QR5 R-QR1 <br> 28. R-Q4

Black's pieces being tied up, white prepares an assault against the king.
28.
........
R-R2
With the intention of freeing the queen, and possibly getting his rook in front of the pawn, Q2.

> 29. Q-R5

Q-K3


## 30. Q-N4ch

Other moves like 30 . R-N4ch probably were sufficient. There could have followed: 30. ........, K-B (30. ........, K-R; 31. R-KR4, Q-Q4ch; 32. QxQ, PxQ; 33. R-Q4) 31. Q-R6ch (31. Q-QB5, R-Q2) K-K; 32. R-N8ch, K-Q2; 33. Q-B8 with serious threats. If 33. ........, Q-Q4ch; 34. P-B3, Q-Q7ch; 35. K-R3 and there are no more checks. The text move is, however, more forceful, and gives black no chances, whatever.

| 30. | QxQ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 31. $R \times Q c h$ | $K \cdot B 1$ |
| 32. $R-Q B 4$ | $K-K 1$ |
| 33. $R \times P$ | $R-R 1$ |

Black was afraid to play 33. K-Q2, because of 33 . R-B7ch, and wins also 34 . R-N6 would have sufficed.

## 34. P-QR4

The immediate 34. P-Q7 was more precise.

| 34. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 35. R-N6 | P-QR4 |
| 36. P-Q7ch | R-B1 |
| 37. RxP | K-K2 |
| 38. R-B5 | R-QR1 |
| 39. R-KR5 | K-K3 |
| 40. RxKRP | R-QN1 |
| 41. R-R5 | P-B4 |
| 42. R-R6ch | Resigns |

## OLD INDIAN DEFENSE Round 9

(Notes by World Champion Tigran Petrosian)
So that you can clearly imagine the psychological encounter during this game, it is necessary to remember that Gligoric, then the leader of the tournament, was meeting a participant whose position in the table of standings was at that time far from shining. It should be added that in our sharp first-half struggle Gligoric was the victor. Because of these things, from the first move I tried to complicate the game, to get a position with a predominance of tactical possibilities.

## Petrosian

| 1. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1. | P-QB4 | P-KN3 |
| 3. | N-Q4 | N-KB3 |
| 4. | P-K4 | B-N2 |
| 5. | P-B3 | P-Q3 |
| 6. | KN-K2 | O- |
|  | ....... |  |

Gligoric

An elastic continuation giving White a variety of plans for development, depending upon the deployment of the Black forces. As usual, Gligoric (to whom my order of moves came as no surpise)
was prepared and resolved this problem very easily.
7. P-Q5
P-B4

Better is B-K3, but then 7. ........, QN-Q2 would lead to a well-known position.
7.
8.
8. B-N5
P-K3

Black invites his opponent to make up his mind on the position of the Bishop.

> 9. B-K3
> 10. $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$

PxP
Stronger might be KPxP, but the pawn balance on both sides would then give Black the choice between a sharp fight or a quiet tactical continuation, because he could concentrate on the King file and eventually exchange the heavy pieces. After the text move, the situation becomes suddenly acute in character.

$$
10 .
$$

11. P-KN4

P-N3
P-KR4
Gligoric has a soft spot for this method of defense against White's standard pawn push in the Saemisch Attack. By the way, in the present situation this is quite a good move.

## 12. P-KN5

P-KR3 was asking to be moved, but then 12. ........, QN-Q2 would give Black a good game.
12. .......

KN-Q2
This appears to be extremely risky, and White is really playing with fire. He is insufficiently developed to painlessly permit such a dangerous-on first look-pawn storm.

## 13. <br> 14. P-QR4

## B-QR3

It was no fun to make such a prophylactic move, but it was absolutely necessary. Black created the threat of P-QN4, N5 and, if the possibility arises, P-QB5 followed by N-B4. If Black succeeds in this, White's entire center will collapse like a house of cards.
14. ........ B-B5!

Black had many natural moves at his disposal, but Gligoric finds a most interesting continuation. This Bishop move frees the QR3 square for the Knight, which can then be posted at N5 in an incontestable position.

$$
\text { 15. B-R3 } \quad \text { R-K }
$$

It appears that White is in trouble. His KP is attacked and there is no satisfactory defense. But White did not start this game with defense in mind.
16. $0-0!?$


Black has the choice of:

1) 16 .
, $\mathrm{BxN}(\mathrm{K} 2)$; 17. QxB, BxN;
18. PxB, RxP. Black wins a pawn, but White has counterplay. Possible, for example, are 19. P-KB5, QxP; 20. BxQ, RxQ; 21. PxP; or 19. P-B5, N-K4; 20. PxP, NxP; 21. B-B5, QxP; 22. BxQ, RxQ; 23. BxN, PxB; 24. R-B6. These variations certainly don't cover all possibilities, but they do show the presence of several tactical chances for White. In any case, White has enough compensation for the pawn.

It is much more difficult after 2) 16. ........, KBxN; then, obviously unsatisfactory, 17. PxB, RxKP. If 17. NxB, BxR; 18. QxB. Black has the exchange, and after 18. ........, N-KB1, White is forced to play 19. P-K5, offering a pawn. However, in spite of his heavy loss of material, White will have long initiative. Gligoric prefers another continuation.
16. ........
N-R3

This move demonstrates the possibilities of White's pawn chain. After 17. ........, PxP; 18. P-B5, White has a good attack. But with 17. ........, N-QB2 it was possible to create a deadly threat for White's position.

Gligoric decided to treat the position by sacrificing a Knight for two pawns and taking over the initiative. This possibility I did foresee, but did not consider dangerous for White.
17.
NxKP
18. $\mathrm{PxN} \quad \mathrm{BXKP}$
19. R-B3 N-B2

Better would be N-N5.

```
20. Q-Q2 Q-K2
21. B-N2
........
```

I foresaw danger if 21. ........, P-R3, then P-N4 and 5, which would lead to the loss of my QP-and that is why I defended.

| 21. $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | P-R3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 22. R-N1 | P-N4 |
| 23. $\mathbf{P x P}$ | $\ldots+\ldots$ |

This exchange is unavoidable. If 23. P-N3, QBxN; 24. NxB, PxRP; 25. PxP, R-QN1 gives Black good chances.

| 23. | PxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | QBxN |
| 25. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5$ |

Perhaps it would be better to preserve the elasticity of the pawns, but Gligoric is hurrying to activate his Knight. From QN4 it could be placed on QB6 or Q5 and become an uninvited guest in the middle of the enemy camp.

## 26. $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{N} 1)-\mathrm{KB} \quad \mathrm{R}$-R8 <br> 27. N-B!

The only weak spot in Black's position is his KB2, and therefore it is not profitable for White to exchange Rooks.
27.

N-N4
After 27. ........, R-KB; 28. B-R3 (or Q-Q3) and White's advantage would be unquestionable.

Gligoric makes the maneuver of his Knight at the price of Queen and pawn for two Rooks.

| 28. RxP | QxR |
| :--- | :--- |
| 29. R×Q | KxR |
| 30. B-B! | $\ldots \ldots .$. |

In spite of his great material advantage, White should play very carefully. True, this is not difficult-the possibilities for Black are quite obvious. But curiously enough, after the game was
over I found that Gligoric was very optimistic about his chances whenever I was certain of victory.

| 30. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 31. K-N2 | N-Q5 |
| 32. B-Q3! | N-B4 |

Demonstrating a small but important tactical point. If 32. ........, B-B6; 33. Q-KB2, RxB; 34. BxN with an easy win.

| 32. $\ldots \times 1$. | K-N2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 33. BxN | PxB |
| 34. Q-Q3 | R-KB |
| 35. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q2}$ | P-KB5 |
| 36. K-B3 | R-R2 |
| 37. Q-K4 | R-R6 |
| 38. Q-B4 | R-R2 |
| 39. Q-B2 | R-K2 |
| 40. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | B-Q5 |

Time pressure has passed, and White must carefully weigh his move. There is no point in taking the KB pawn and then looking for a win in complicated variations. The sealed move:

## 41. Q-QB4

White's Queen becomes active, and the hopelessness of Black's position becomes obvious.


But not 47. QxRch, KxQ; 48. NxKBPch, K-B4; 49. NxR, K-K4 and a win for White would be very doubtful.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 47. ...... } & \text { R-B3 } \\
\text { 48. K-N2 } & \text { Resigns }
\end{array}
$$

## FRENCH DEFENSE

## Round 9

(Notes by Grandmaster Paul Keres) Keres

Benko

| 1. | P-K4 | P-K3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 3. | N-Q2 | P-QB4 |
| 4. | KN-B3 | BPxP |

Here 4. ........, N-KB3 is considered best. The text leads to a somewhat difficult game for Black.

| 5. | PxP | QxP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6. | B-B4 | Q-Q3 |
| 7. | 0.0 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB3}$ |
| 8. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 9. | QNxP | $\ldots . .$. |

Also a good idea here is 9 . R-K to wait for further plans by the opponent. Not quite so good is 9 . Q-K2, B-K2; 10. R-Q1 because of 10. ........, P-K4.

| 9. $1 . .$. | NxN |
| :--- | ---: |
| 10. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | P-QR3 |
| 11. R-K1 | B-K2 |

Better seems to be the usual idea here, 11. ...., Q-B2, followed by 12. ........., B-Q3.

## 12. P-QB3

P-K4?
This leads to a very bad endgame for Black, but also after 12. ........, O-O; 13. Q-N3 White has the better of it. Black's 11th move was too passive.

## 13. N-B3!

The simplest. Complications after 13. Q-K2, PxN; 14. B-B4, P-Q6! 15. Q-K3, Q-Q1 would be quite acceptable for Black.
13. .......
QxQ
14. RxQ P-K5
14. ...., B-KN5; 15. R-K1, BxN; 16. PxB (Contd. on p. 217)


## Fischer Talks Chess mmunnun <br> by Robert J. Fischer

The Western Open was a model of a tournament compared to some of the foreign ones I've been in. They should all be run with the same organizational ability and player consideration that this one was. You would be hard pressed to find fault with it.

This was my first weekend tournament in six years and I had some second thoughts about the tight schedule. Actually, though, I knew before hand that I wouldn't mind two rounds each day because I don't approach a tournament with the same attitude I approach a match. A tournament is not a test of strength -, only a match demonstrates this-but its more like a horse race where the order of finish is not always according to form.

The players at the Open were surprisingly strong. I was expecting twenty move-crushers but it didn't happen. In fact, the opposition was keen enough that I consider 5 or 6 out of 8 of my games played there to be superior to any games played in the Piatigorsky Tournament with the exception of Najdorf's win over Keres.

I'll show you what I mean in the following two games from the Western Open. I didn't have to choose these to make my point. There were at least three others. Compare them with the games of the Piatigorsky Tournament.

Berliner has been called "the man on the flying-trapeze" because he leaves his pieces "up in the air." Like a good trapeze artist, his is a calculated risk. He's a stylist and a crowd pleaser.

## 1963 WESTERN OPEN

## QUEEN PAWN OPENING

Berliner
Fischer

1. P-Q4
N-KB3
2. P-QB4
P-K3

No doubt a surprise for Hans. This game was played in the last round of the tournament and Berliner was most likely expecting a double-edged opening like the Gruenfeld or the King's Indian. At this stage we were tied for first place; a draw would do neither of us any good. Complicated play was indicated but I was reluctant to get entangled in any of Berliner's 35 move-deep analysis in either of these openings. Also, it seemed to me, in watching some of Berliner's games in the last few U.S. Championships, that he has a marked dislike for "simple" positions. Consequently, he does not play them as dynamically as he does the sharp, complex games. Of course all this had to be weighed against the possibility of the draw.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 3. } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \\ \text { 4. } & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}\end{array}$
(c)Copyright, 1963 by Robert J. Fischer
4. ........, PxP may be best, but it leads to a stagnant pawn structure with which Black has little chance to win unless there is a serious mistake by White.

## 5. P-K4

This move suggests itself since White forces either a retreat or the exchange on B3, strengthening White's center. And, incidently, it is recommended by many opening authorities, for after this, White seems to have a powerful center and excellent attacking chances. The flaw is this: Black is allowed to trade off two pieces, after which White's attack is minimized and his center is a mark for Black's rook attack. White would be better to play 5. N-B3, P-QB4; 6. P-K3, .......; as Reshevsky-Fischer on several occasions. As such, White maintains the tension in the center and can prepare for P-K4 after castling, and Black is denied trading off king bishops as he was able to do in this game. Normally, in this type of position, Black should be restricted from trading off more than one piece. The more pieces that are exchanged, the less valuable a pawn center is. The Steinitz Defense is a good example of this theme.
5.
6. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$
NxN
7. N-B3
P-QB4
7. R-N1, preventing the check on QN5 is also a possibility to be considered.

| 7. | $\ldots$ | PxP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 8. | P×P | B-N5ch |
| 9. | B-Q2 | BxBch |
| 10. | QxB | 0.0 |
| 11. | B-Q3 | $\ldots . .$. |

The usual move is B-QB4 but Berliner is apparently trying to catch me in some of his private analysis.


The only correct move- After the book, 11, ........, N-B3; 12. P-K5!, Q-R4; 13. B-K4, QxQ ch; 14. KxQ, Black, as Berliner pointed out, will have difficulties in the end game as White will develop pressure on the QN and QB files.

## 12. 0.0

It would be foolhardy to play 12. P-K5 in the face of 12. ........, B-N2; 13. N-N5, P-KR3; 14. N-R7, QxP!; if 15. O-0, R-Q1; and if 15 . N-B6 ch, K-R1 wins.


It is difficult to suggest a constructive plan for White. One thing is clearWhite's bishop on Q3 is badly placed since it blocks the defense of the QP. White should have continued with 13. KR-K1, relieving the bishop's defense of the KP and allowing it to retreat to B1. Then White could play QR-Q1 and have a very solid defensive set-up with the bishop defending against the incursion of Black's knight at Q-B5.

## 13. <br> 14. Q-N2

N-QB3

White obstinately refuses to admit the folly of his earlier ideas and continues with his imaginary attack. He now threatens P-Q5, but he has sacrificed his position so much for this move that if he can't make it, he is positionally lost. Berliner should have known better, and better was $14 . \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1$, and if 14. ........, N-QR4; 15. Q-K3.

## 14. ........

Q-B3
The simple refutation and very possibly the winning move. Black has neutralized White's over-expansion. Now he has to demonstrate his own winning resources. So far this is a difficult game to analyze, i.e., in the usual sense of examining its constituents, because it is loaded with strategic ideas and the tactical considerations remain in the footnotes. The trapeze artist's act has been cancelled, but he's still flexing his muscles.


## 15. QR-B1

15. P-K5 is out of the question. 15. Q-B5 makes the QP hopelessly backward, allows the unpleasant N-K2 and invites the everpresent P-KN4, N5 etc.

| 15. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16. | KR-N5 |
| 17. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | QR-B1 |

Played after deep thought. Black's threat of P-N4, N5 drives White into buying exchanges at the cost of a weakened pawn structure.

| 17. | N×.... |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18. | N×N |
| 19. $R \times R$ | Q-B5 |
| 20. $Q-Q 4$ | R×R |

You can't blame a man for trying! But the immediate P-B3 was preferable.
20. ........ P-N4!

The demonstration of Black's positional win after his fourteenth move. White will be forced to defend his pawn on K4 with P-B3. Defending it with a piece is too passive and will allow Black to win the pawn on K5. But now, after P. N5, White's queen will have to retreat to the second rank to defend her monarch. Then White's advanced KP will fall and the good guys will triumph.
21. P- ${ }^{2} 3$
P-N5
22. B-K2
PxP
23. PxP
K-R1
23. ........, R-B7 was tempting, but after 24. K-R1, RxB 25. R-N1 ch and Berliner is Western Open Champion.
24. K-R1 B-R3!

Black's tactical point! BxB?, Black mates in two.

25. Q-B2

Berliner, no doubt discouraged by his passive role with the White pieces, concedes too easily. 25. Q-Q2 was indicated for after 25. ........, QxQ; 26. RxQ, BxB; 27. RxB, R-B6; 28. K-N2, R-R6; while Black would have good winning chances by advancing his Q -side pawns, possibly supported by his king's march to the queen-side, the issue is not yet decided. If White counters immediately with P . KB4, the Black king marches to the KN5 instead. White's best play revolved around the timeliness of P-KB4. The point is that the material would have been even in a rook and pawn ending and since winning this type of a position is hard enough even when a pawn ahead, White should have entered this line.

| 25. $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $B \times B$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 26. $Q \times B$ | Q×KP/5 |
| 27. $R-K N 1$ | $P-B 4$ |
| 28. $Q-Q 3$ | $P \times P$ |
| 29. $P \times P$ | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ |

Apparently Black is not ready to win the game as yet, but there is no rush about it either since White is all tied up. The rest is just technique. Black's plan is simply to advance his queen-side pawns, force a passed pawn on QN6 and on its capture by the White queen, Black mates by QxKPch and R-B8. It doesn't happen in the game, but because of its evolvement, an alternate winning procedure presents itself.

| 29. $\ldots \ldots .$. | R-B1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 30. $Q-B 2$ | Q-B3 |
| 31. R-N2 | Q-Q5 |
| 32. P-KR3 | $Q-R 8 c h$ |
| 33. $R-\Gamma 1$ | $Q-K 4$ |
| 34. $Q-K 2$ | $P-N 4$ |

The beginning of the end.

| 35. Q-QB2 | P-N5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 36. Q-Q3 | P-QR4 |
| 37. Q-B2 | Q-B3 |

37. ........, P-R5 is quicker. It's immune.
38. Q-B4
Q-B6ch
39. K-R2
R-Q1
40. Q-B2

White's only hope is to restrict Black's advance by the threats of mate either on the long diagonal or on the eighth rank, but hope is only as strong as the position. The following move shows its futility.
40. ........

Q-B6
Forcing the exchange of queens, for any queen move on the second rank brings her capture by R-B7.

| 41. $Q \times Q$ | P×Q |
| :--- | ---: |
| 42. $R-Q B 1$ | $R-Q 6$ |
| 43. $R-Q N 1$ | K-N2 |



White's position is hopeless. The Black king marches to his QBP and the White king is committed to his sad king-side.

| 44. R-N5 | P-R5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 45. R-QB5 | P-R6 |
| 46. K-N2 | R-K6 |

Threatening, 47. ........, RxKP; 48. RxP, R-K7 ch; 49. K-N3, RxP followed by RR8; ......... P-R7; and then the King pawn marches.

| 47. R-B4 | K-B3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 48. P-R4 | K-K4 |
| 49. K-B2 | R-R6 |
| 50. K-N2 | R-Q6 |
| 51. P-R5 | K-B5 |
| 52. P-R6 | K-K6 |
| 53. R-B7 | K-Q7 |
| 54. Resigns |  |

It is difficult to find one particular game that is typical of my "style." This comes close.

Early in this game, White is lost but it is no discredit to Mr. Reinhard's ability that his game collapses. Rather, the game points up, once again, that solid opening theory logically determines the course of play.

## Reinhard

Fischer

| 1. | N-KB3 | N-KB3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | P-KN3 | P-KN3 |
| 3. | B-N2 | B-N2 |
| 4. | O-O | O-O |
| 5. | P-Q3 | P-Q3 |


"Believe it or not," Black stands better! Now whatever White does, Black will vary it and get an asymmetrical position and have the superior position due to his better pawn structure! In the FilipFischer game, Varna 1962, similarly, Black gets the upper hand. 1. P-Q4, NKB3; 2. P-QB4, P-KN3; 3. P-KN3, P-B3; 4. B-N2, P-Q4; 5. PxP, PxP; 6. N-KB3, B-N2; 7. O-O, O-O; 8. N-B3, ........; and Black, breaking the symmetry at the proper time, gets the advantage by 8 . ........, N-K5.

Meanwhile, back at the Western ranch-
6. P-K4
P.B4
7. N-B3
P.B4

More usual is QN-Q2, and Black's best line is: 7. ........, N-B3; 8. P-QR4, R-N1; 9. N-B4, P-QR3; 10. P-R5, B-K3; 11. KNQ2, P-Q4; 12. PxP, BxP; with the better game for Black (Fischer-Popel, Oklahoma Open, 1956).

| 7. | 7..... | N-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8. | P-KR3 | R-N1 |
| 9. | B-K3 | ....... |

If instead, 9. P-Q4 with the idea of gaining a tempo on Black's QRP by 9 . PxP; 10. NxP, NxN; 11. QxN, fails because instead of 10 . ........, NxN; 10. ........, NxP; 11. NxQN, NxN; 12. NxQ, NxQ; and Black wins a pawn.

## 9. <br> 10. P-K5

Nine out of ten Grandmasters, including Petrosian, Botvinnik, Keres and Smyslov, would have played this move, yet, it loses by force.
10.

$$
\mathbf{P x P}
$$

PxP
11. BxP

$$
\mathrm{BxP}
$$

P.QN4
......

10
With a weak and doubled King pawns, and a weakened queen-side position, one could assume that Black is lost. This position occurred in Mednis-Fischer, 1958 59 U.S. Championship, with QRP's traded off, which is actually an improvement for White but it is not enough to save the game.

Now Black's plan becomes clear. By forcing the QN to leave B3, Black gains control of Q4 for his own knight, after which the bishop on B5 will be left stranded. Mednis played the knight to R4, but here, after ........, N-Q4, White has a lost game.

## 12. N-K4

But 12. N-QR4 is worse because of QR4 forcing 13. P-N3, or 13. P-B3. Now, after N-K4, as played, Black need not to continue quietly as he can win by developing all his pieces with tempi.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 12. } \ldots \ldots . & \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N} \\
\text { 13. PxN } & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4
\end{array}
$$

The point. Black develops an astounding initiative.

14. B-K3
14. Q-Q5 would lose outright to 14. R-N4; 15. QxN, RxB; 16. Q-R8, B-K3; 17. Q-N7, R-B2; winning the queen.

| 14. $\ldots \ldots .$. | $B-R 3$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 15. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Ki}$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ |
| 16. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B1}$ | $\ldots . .$. |

Unpleasant as it is, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 1$ was probably the best move.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 16. ...... } \\
\text { 17. K-R2 }
\end{array}
$$

After this it is all over but for the demonstration. What White should have played is 17. NxN, PxN; 18. B-Q2, relying on the threat of P-QR3. However, Black's course would have been Q-N3; and if P-R3, P-N6; or if not P-R3, then ........, P-Q6; etc.
17. ........
QR-B1
18. NxN
........

A bitter pill to swallow. Black's strategy, beginning on the tenth move, has triumphed. White is left with only bad alternatives. Since there was no way to defend the QBP, White was forced to undouble Black's king pawns and unleash the full fury of his position.

| 18. | P-N.... |
| :--- | :--- |$\quad$| 19. | P-Q6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20. P-R3 | - |

White plays the last part of the game ingeniously.

| $2^{n} . \ldots . . .$. | $R \times P$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 21. | R×P |

Far stronger than $R x Q ; P x Q$, giving White chances to hold out.
22. Q-K3

If $22 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ wins a piece.

| 22. | B-Q5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 23. $\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{B3}$ | RXBP |
| 24. $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ |

For after R-Q1; B-K7.

KERES-(Contd. from p. 214)
is naturally bad for Black.

## 15. N-K5!

R-B1
After 15. ........, O-O; 16. B-KN5, Black's troubles would only increase.

| 16. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 17. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | BxN |
| 18. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | .......4 |



## 18. ........

P-KN3!
Black defends himself very well in a difficult position. Either 18. ........., P-B3; 19. B-Q5, B-QB3; 20. BxBch, PxB; 21. R-K1 or 18. ........, R-KN1; 19. R-K1, B-QB3; 20. P-B3 would have led to loss of a pawn.

With the text, Black speculates on 19. R-K1, B-QB3; 20. P-B3, which would be answered by 20. ........, P-B4!! After White wins the exchange by 21. B-N7, Black would come to good counterplay by 21. ........, PxP' 22. BxR, KxB; etc. White
therefore does not take the exchange and intends first to strengthen his position still more.
19. P-QN4
R-B1
P-B4?

Black's position was difficult, but he could have put up a much better fight by playing 20 . $\qquad$ B-N4. The sacrifice of the exchange as played is hopeless for Black.
21. B-N7
22. BxR
R-KB3
23. QR-B
BxB
RxP

A bit better was 23 .
B-B3 to keep more pieces on the board. But the ending should be in any case hopeless for Black.

| 24. B-Q5 | P-N3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 25. B-N8 | RxR |
| 26. RxR | B-K4 |
| 27. $B-B 4$ | ....... |

There was not any reason to calculate in time trouble the possibilities after 27. BxP, K-B7 etc.

| 27. | B-Q3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 28. R-N1 | B-QB1 |
| 29. P-QR3 | P-QR4 |

It was probably better to wait yet a bit with this move. Now White's Rook invades the opponent's position.
30. PxP
31. P-QR4
PxP
B-N5
32. B-N5ch
K-Q1

Or 32. ........, K-K2; 33. R-QB1 with the same effect.
33. R-Qch K-B2
(Contd. on p. 225).


PETER IRWIN, second from left, won the 1963 John W. Collins Tournament for the U. S. Junior Championship. Others in the picture are Russell Rapport, winner of the under-12-years-of-age trophy; Myron Zelitch, 2nd place winner, and Jeffrey Harris, 3rd place winner and winner of the under-16 trophy. The full story will appear in our October issue.

| W35 | L 13 | W55 | L9 | L53 | L61 | 4.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W114 | L34 | W89 | L60 | L71 | W171 | 4.4 |
| W121 | L20 | W200 | L21 | W125 | L65 | 4.4 |
| W130 | L7 | W168 | W90 | L17 | L34 | 4.4 |
| W200 | L.30 | W36 | W123 | L35 | L70 | 4.4 |
| W125 | W119 | L29 | L20 | LI08 | W161 | 4.4 |
| D105 | L57 | W112 | L66 | W113 | L59 | 4.4 |
| L10 | D108 | L84 | W133 | L33 | D102 | 4.4 |
| D24 | W147 | L52 | L. 56 | W161 | 167 | 4.4 |
| W154 | L21 | W106 | L35 | W124 | L55 | 4.4 |
| W66 | L54 | W145 | L. 32 | L76 | D99 | -4 |
| W67 | W35 | L. 59 | L63 | W148 | 169 | 4 |
| L14 | 176 | D191 | W135 | L49 | D118 | -4 |
| D98 | 16 | D30 | W159 | L15 |  | . 4 |
| W138 | L.19 | L101 | 169 | W203 | W166 | -4 |
| L89 | W71 | 2.115 | W192 | 1.114 | W162 | . 4 |
| L1 | D99 | L25 | D118 | W97 | L37 | . 4 |
| W197 | W215 | W124 | D33 | L27 | D144 | -4 |
| W192 | L41 | W162 | W111 | L36 | L46 | 4.4 |
| W182 | L16 | W113 | L110 | W186 | L51 | 4.4 |
| W193 | L64 | 1.98 | W164 | W158 | L60 | -4 |
| 174 | W120 | 1111 | W127 | L.93 | W158 | -4 |
| L93 | W219 | W70 | L39 | W107 | L56 | -4 |
| D133 | W179 | W107 | L55 | W165 | 1.58 | . 4 |
| L31 | W141 | L35 | L117 | W209 | W186 | . 4 |
| -45 | L130 | W194 | W116 | L58 | W175 | , |
| D202 | L84 | W151 | D108 | D143 | D104 | 4.4 |
| 12 | 197 | L136 | L67 | W174 | W188 | . |
| L59 | L113 | W205 | W147 | L64 | W165 | . 4 |
| L94 | L189 | W126 | L87 | W164 | W159 | . 4 |
| W175 | L.33 | D214 | D91 | L.87 | W178 | . |
| W205 | W206 | W181 | L96 | W130 | 171 | . |
| L135 | W148 | L109 | W177 | L101 | W185 | 4 |
| L97 | W177 | L159 | W144 | L.94 | W170 | . 4 |
| L150 | W203 | L. 121 | W205 | W169 | W176 | - |
| L201 | W216 | W134 | L113 | D138 | W181 | . 4 |
| W188* | D190 | L140 | D208 | W177 | W160 | -4 |
| W60 | L15 | W87 | $\underline{L 28}$ | D80 | L86 | 31-41 |
| L95 | W117 | W86 | L15 | L123 | D153 | 32.41 |
| L29 | W134 | L32 | L132 | W167 | L79 | 31.41 |
| 165 | W175 | L82 | W131 | D86 | L88 | 31.41 |
| D115 | W223* | D182 | L99 | L90 | W19 | 31.41 |
| D61 | L131 | 1.127 | W176 | W135 | L81 | $3 \frac{1}{2} \cdot 41$ |
| W124 | D63 | L62 | L104 | L134 | W190 | 31.41 |
| W217 | W211 | W119 | D43 | L84 | 168 | 3 ${ }_{2}^{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| W149 | L65 | W178 | L36 | L91 | D139 | 31.41 |
| L106 | L56 | W182 | D154 | D127 | D157 | 31-41 |
| L28 | 125 | D190 | L160 | W163 | D137 | 31-42 |
| L30 | W198 | W128 | L49 | W173 | L73 | 31.41 |
| W184 | 1116 | W163 | W201 | D82 | L90 | 312.43 |
| L11 | 190 | D144 | W169 | L89 | D148 | 31-41 |
| 153 | D208 | D149 | L31 | D118 | D154 | 31-412 |
| D84 | L74 | D142 | L125 | W201 | D109 | $31.4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| D214 | W170 | 1102 | W150 | 131 | L83 | 31.412 |
| W222 | 160 | W183 | L71 | D147 | W192 | 31.412 |
| L54 | L100 | L68 | L120 | D146 | W191 | 31.42 |
| D173 | 1.124 | W211 | W151 | L103 | D142 | 31.41 |
| L137 | W193 | D143 | L88 | W191 | L80 | 31-41 |
| W126 | D196 | W171 | L145 | W189 | L85 | 3k.41 |
| L171 | W163 | L118 | L148 | W210 | W19 | $31.4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| L211 | W207 | W216 | W193 | D85 | L82 | 31.41 |
| L50 | L168 | D206 | D172 | W180 | D130 | 31.41 |
| L101 | L166 | W210 | D138 | D171 | D153 | 3 ${ }^{2}-4 \frac{1}{1}$ |
| D216 | W213 | D196 | L58 | D172 | W194 | 31-41 |
| L195 | W224* | W212 | L89 | D193 | W189* | $31.4 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| L210 | L212 | W21 | W215 | W204 | D138 | 31.41 |
| W57 | L14 | L19 | L34 | L112 | L113 | 3-5 |
| W183 | L52 | W125 | L105 | 1.67 | L121 | . 4 |
| L21 | L. 181 | W221 | W139 | L.68 | L128 |  |
| L76 | L191 | W175 | W196 | L. 100 | L97 | 3.5 |
| W179 | L36 | L110 | W178 | L65 | L107 | 3.5 |
| L20 | L151 | L141 | W179 | L139 | W209 | 3.5 |
| D62 | L66 | D201 | L112 | L121 | W203 | 3-5 |
| 186 | W176 | L80 | W170 | $\underline{L 115}$ | L120 | 3-5 |
| W221 | W154 | W169 | L61 | L70 | L106 | . 5 |
| W191 | L53 | 177 | L81 | L131 | D182 | 3.5 |
| W218 | W153 | L95 | L86 | 1770 | W207 | 3.5 |
| W181 | W186 | L166 | L142 | L126 | W201 | 3.5 |
| W172 | L145 | D202 | L165 | W168 | L. 125 | 3.5 |
| W151 | L51 | L. 150 | W198 | D154 | 1.93 | 3.5 |
| L170 | 177 | W213 | D153 | D155 | D173 | 3.5 |
| D148 | L67 | W195 | W206 | L140 | D172 | . 5 |
| 185 | L87 | L186 | W199 | L119 | W204 | 3.5 |
| L. 122 | L132 | L161 | W197 | W208 | L117 | 3.5 |
| L64 | L165 | W215 | L134 | W184 | L126 | 3.5 |
| L36 | L125 | W204 | 1124 | L. 128 | W213 | 3.5 |
| W223 | L45 | $\underline{L 137}$ | L162 | W196* | L122 | 3-5 |
| L162 | $\underline{L 115}$ | L193 | L. 163 | W222 | W200* | . 5 |
| L75 | L73 | L81 | W221 | L153 | W202 | 3.5 |
| L169 | W160 | L. 123 | L185 | W200 | L127 | 3.5 |
| L111 | 1192 | L138 | W212 | D190 | D167 | 3.5 |
| L159 | L83 | L146 | L203 | W205 | W206 | 3-5 |
| L141 | W217 | L198* | W211 | L176 | W212 | 3.5 |
| 1215 | W220 | W197 | W181 | L69 | L124 | 3.5 |
| W203 | L169 | W174 | W190 | L111 | L116 | 3.5 |
| L206 | L194 | L207 | Bye* | W221 | W208 | 3.5 |
| L128* | L221* | W217 | W207 | W202 | L119 | 3.5 |
| L78 | L121 | D133 | L51 | L150 | L156* | 21-5 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| L48 | D128 | D139 | L186 | D182 | L135 | 21.5 |

## SOLID CATALIN LIFETIME SET



No. 825 Red and Ivory
No. 826 Black and Ivory

Hand-carved of solid catalin, Staunton Design, the King is $33 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ high. Artistic craftsmanship, a superb set for lifetime enjoyment. The carrying case is velvet-lined with felt padded compartments. ( $16^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime} \times 21 / 22^{\prime \prime}$ ). List Price $\$ 30.00$.

Members $\$ \mathbf{2 7 . 0 0}$
Order From USCF

80 E. 11th St.
New York 3, N.Y.


THE EASTERN OPEN - 1963
Washington, D. C.
July 4-7


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3. Licht |  |
|  | Buczko, H. W128 |  |
|  | 5. Bog | L23 |
| 96. | . Schara, E. ...................................W $\mathbf{W}$ | L13 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Erk |  |
|  | Naylor |  |
|  | 0. Boldt, A. .......................................W204 | D |
|  |  | L44 |
|  | . Marston, H. | W19 |
|  | 3. Pozarek, |  |
| 104. | 4. Urrutia, Carlos S. .......................W84 | W12 |
|  | Shaw, Stephen ...............................W37 |  |
| 106. | 6. Strenzwilk, D. ...............................D59 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 3. Hutaff, O. (Jr.) .............................W208 | W19 |
|  | , Howe, Richard ..............................L2 |  |
|  | Cromelin, | N16 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 2. Ganong, R. ...................................W69 | L41 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 4. Staknys, E. ....................................W218 | 6 |
|  | 5. Hemphill, C. ..................................D142 |  |
|  | 6. Mednick, H. .................................L6 |  |
|  | 7. Bundick, W. ...................................L23 |  |
|  | 8. Meola, T. ....................................W126 | L92 |
|  | Stetzer, D. |  |
| 120. | O. Derring, H. ......................................l9 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | . Bart, G. ........................................L5 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 4. Saavedra, |  |
|  | 5. Schuster, Charles ...........................L131 |  |
| 126. | 6. Yeager, J. ..................................L118 | L67 |
|  | 7. Westing, E. .................................. 124 |  |
|  | 8. Kaufman, Sara ................................L94 | L163 |
|  | 9. Smith, Mark ...................................L49 |  |
|  | . Doran, James | L22 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 2. Kundin, S. ....................................L55 |  |
|  | 3. Merritt, Roy ..................................D64 | L105 |
| 134. | 4. Lohrman, R. ...................................D171 |  |
|  | Sperling, G. ..................................D167 |  |
| 136. | 6. Ream, J. ...........................................L35 |  |
|  | 7. Vallee, T. ...................................... $\mathbf{L 4 2}^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | 8. Baer, K. .....................................W209 | L12 |
|  | 9. Fournier, A. ................................W103 |  |
| 140. | O. Burkhardt, R. ...............................L47 | D20 |
|  | 1. Parr, Steven ...................................... ${ }^{\text {L98 }}$ | L5 |
|  | 2mith, Vine ................................ $D 115$ |  |
|  | 3. McKenzie, Duncan ........................W211 |  |
|  | 4. Smith, R. F. ................................. L31 $^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Callaghan, R. S. ...........................W194 | L42 |
|  | 6. Volkman, P. ..................................L54 |  |
|  | 7. Cooper, Jonathan .......................W173 |  |
|  | 8. Weissman, s. ................................D17 |  |
|  | Dale, R. ............................................. | W13 |
|  | O Graves, P. ....................................L15 | L97 |
|  | I. Centofanti, B. ............................... $\mathrm{D}^{\text {a }}$ | L1 |
|  | 2. Sampson, D. ...................................L39 | L65 |
|  | 3. Marvin, John .................................W187 | L |
|  | 4. Allen, Julian ..................................L29 |  |
|  | 5. Wingard, c. ..................................... L32 $^{\text {a }}$ | L1 |
| 156. | 6. Sweeney, Thomas ........................L26 |  |
| 157. | 7. Fuchs, Greta ..................................... ${ }^{\text {L33 }}$ | L1 |
| 158. | 8. Goregliad, s. ................................W224 |  |
|  | 9. Hockensmith, D. ...........................W165 | L1 |
|  | O Sieweke, C. .................................W48 |  |
|  | 1. Kucherov, S. ..................................W36 |  |
| 162. | 2. Bindman, F. .................................. 12 |  |
|  | 3. Pennington, C. ............................... L21 $^{\text {a }}$ | w |
|  | 4. Turetsky, R. .................................l7 |  |
|  | 5. Huber, Zenaida ...........................L159 | w |
|  | 6. King, Lawrence G. ........................L182 | L |
|  | 7. Rogg, D. .......................................\|35 | W |
|  | 8. Crowell, Lloyd ...............................43 | L |
|  | 9. Tischtschenko, M. .........................L38 | L30 |
|  | O. Peretti, F. .......................................62 |  |
|  | 1. MacNamara, Charles ......................D134 | L1 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 3. Suraci, A. ...................................L147 |  |
|  | 4. Lehmann, Elsa ................................L27 |  |
|  | 5. Stansill, T. .....................................L90 |  |
|  | 6. Gillies, R. ......................................L57 |  |
|  | 7. DeBritto, S. .................................L44 |  |
|  | 8. Ross, Howard ...............................L11 |  |
|  | 9. Post, Alfred .................................W71 |  |
|  | 0. Thurman, D. ..................................L119 |  |
|  | 1. Ellin, Morgan .............................W198 |  |
|  | 2. Feldstein, Gilbert ........................W166 |  |
|  | 3. Kleszczewsk, N. ...........................L80 | W15 |
|  | 4. Laucks, E. ......................................L72 |  |
|  | 5. Yaffa, Irving .................................L52 | L20 |
|  | 6. Lourie, W. ...................................L191 | L200 |
|  | 7. Stein, Jed ..................................L153 |  |
|  | 8. Lofthus, O. ...................................L20 | L17 |
|  | 9. Efird, J. .......................................w202 |  |
|  |  |  |

## Upset of a Champion

by U. S. Senior Master<br>EDMAR MEDNIS

Soviet Grandmaster Geller was the leading scorer on board 5 at the last Olympies at Varna. When the following game was played he had won his first nine games in succession. But Rumanian Master Soos, employing the sharp attacking style for which his famous opponent is noted, convincingly stopped this streak.

## World Chess Olympics Varna, 1962 <br> KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

## Soos <br> (Rumania)

Geller

1. P.Q4 N-KB3
2. P-QB4 P-KN3
3. P-K4 P-Q3
4. N-QB3 B-N2

The Four Pawn Attack which a long time ( $25-30$ years!) ago was thought to be the refutation of the King's Indian Defense. It is still dangerous in the hands of an attacking player.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 5. } & \text { P..... } & \text { P-B4 } \\
\text { 6. } & \text { P- } &
\end{array}
$$

The other possibility 6. PxP le
a game with even chances after 6. ........, Q-R4; 7. B-Q3, QxP; 8. N-B3, O.O; 9. Q-K2, N-B3; 10. B-K3, Q-QR4.

| 6. | ........ | 0.0 | 9. B-K2 | PP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | N-B3 | P-QR3 | 10. KPxP |  |
| 8. | P.QR4 | P-K3 |  |  |

8. P-QR4 P-K3
9. BPxP? is weak because of 10 ........, R-K1.
10. ........ P.QR4?


An innovation by Geller, but not a very lucky one. The two usual (and better) ideas for Black are to play ........, N-K5 or ........, N-KN5. An example from each (in both cases the moves P-QR4 for White and ........, P-QR3 for Black had been ommited):
10. ........, B-B4; 11. O-O, N-K5; 12. NxN, BxN; 13. N-N5, B-B4; 14. B-Q3, BxB; 15. QxB, P-KR3; 16. N-K4, P-B4 with even chances. (Mititelu - Dittman, Leipzig, 1960).
10........, R-K1; 11. O-O, N-N5; 12. R-K1, N-K6; 13. BxN, RxB; 14. Q-Q2, R-K1; 15. B-Q3, RxRch; 16. RxR, N-Q2; 17. P-KN4, N-B3 again with a good game for Black, (Wade - Reshevsky, Haifa, 1959).
11. 0.0
12. B-Q3
13. B-NI

## N-R3 <br> N-QM5

White now has an ideal attack ng position.

## 13. ........

## R-K1?

The rook can do nothing on the king file and should have remained at home to protect the KBP. Better was 13 . $\qquad$ B-B4, even though White would have the better chances after 14. BxB, PxB; 15. B-Q2!

## 14. P-B5!

## PxP

After this, all of White's pieces come into play with devastating effect. Comparitively better would have been passive defense with 14. ........, P-QN3 with the plan of getting the $Q R$ into play via QR2. 15. B-N5 could have been met by 15. ........, R-B1.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 15. N-R4 } & \text { N-K5 } \\
\text { 16. NxN! } & \text {....... }
\end{array}
$$



Simple and strong. 16. NxBP would give Black some chances after 16. ........, QBxN; 17. RxB, NxN!; 18. PxN, BxP.

| 16. ....... | PxN |
| :--- | :--- |
| 17. Q 5 | Q-K2 |
| 18. R-R3! | P-B4 |

A slightly longer defense might have been possible with 18. ......... N-Q6.

| 19. R-KN3 | R-B1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20. B-R6 |  |
| 21. $\mathrm{RxP!}$ | R-B2 |
|  | $\ldots+\cdots$ |



## CHESS

# KALEIDOSCOPE 

by U. S. Senior Master ELIOT HEARST

## Book Moves and Chess Libraries

A few months ago readers of this column were invited to tell us which chess books they have found most useful. Some contributors apparently evaluated a book's utility by the number of USCF points it added to their national rating, whereas others listed the books which increased their understanding of the history and current status of chess theory and practice, regardless of any effects on their USCF ratings. Somewhat surprisingly, there was a large diversity of opinion in the replies. Almost as many different books were mentioned as there were letters expressing an opinion. Nevertheless, certain books appeared more than just once or twice and CL readers may be interested in the title of these works. In my opinion, too, all the following books are indispensable for the serious player; unless these books stand alongside his trophies on the mantelpiece, no chess devotee can pride himself on having a real chess "library."

1. Masters of the Chessboard (Richard Reti). Although written in 1929, this book still has a great deal to offer the player of 1963. In discussing the best games of the leading grandmasters since Adolf Anderssen, Reti stressed principles and ideas rather than specific moves and variations. Because it represents the first attempt to analyze the evolution and refinement of chess strategy and tactics, the book is much more than just a collection of master games. Another book of Reti's, Modern Ideas in Chess, has a similar theme and is well worth reading also.

I should mention parenthetically that, among contemporary authors, R. N. Coles' Dynamic Chess and I. Konig's Chess From Morphy To Botvinnik try to bring up to date the development of chess theory since Reti's discussions. Both these books are very valuable in themselves. Unfortunately neither book has received the attention it deserves, probably because the authors are not world-renowned masters.
2. Ideas Behind the Chess Openings and Basic Chess Endings (Reuben Fine). Fine's opening work has no rival in the English language so far as clarity of exposition and depth of understanding are concerned. Most opening books merely reflect the author's ability to collect and organize recent tournament practice
in the openings; the writer of the typical opening book does not take the trouble to delve deeply into the reasons behind the different openings nor does he provide details concerning the middle game goals that underlie each opening. The only negative aspect of Fine's book is that it is sadly out of date (I used the current edition when learning the openings back in 1944!); modernized version is badly needed. With Fine now a retired chess-writer as well as player, one wonders whether a new edition will ever be available.

Fine's work on the Endings is popular throughout the chess world and has been translated into several other languages. Over the course of the years surprisingly few errors have been found in it and that is one of the true tests of the worth of an endgame manual. More recently Soviet grandmaster Yuri Averbach's series on the endgame (available in Russian and German) and A. Cheron's volumes (Handbook of the Endgame) have appeared. Both are excellent works also.
3. Alekhine's Best Games of Chess (Alexander Alekhine). The two volumes of Alekhine's annotated games always seem to make the list of any chess expert's "ten best." Alekhine had a rare combination of qualities: he could be objective and yet personal at the same time. His almost compulsive desire to analyze to a convincing conclusion just about every variation and idea that occurred to him during a game is part of the reason why his annotations are so valuable to the chess student.
4. My System (Aron Nimzovich). Most serious chessplayers go through a phase in wihch Nimzovich's book is the one true Chess Bible. Chess students can respect Fine's clarity, become absorbed in Alekhine's variations, or admire Reti's chess character analysis, but only Nimzovich can be unreservedly worshipped! Inexperienced players have often succumbed to the lure of the Nimzovichian concepts of 'overprotection' or 'blockade' only to discover themselves checkmated by the much less profound "concept" of a rook mate on the back rank. Used with proper caution, Nimzovich's book has certainly a wealth of material for the student and is frequently the first book that gives a tyro some "feel" for the strategic principles that guide the master's play.

Incidentally, while working at the Walter Reed Institute of Research in Washington a few years ago I was surprised
and pleased to discover that one of our experimental monkeys had been dubbed "Nimzo" by some nameless previous researcher. If only this monkey had been chosen as the first primate astronaut, perhaps chess would now be experienc ing a real boom in the USA. Could Madison Avenue think of any better way of popularizing the chess group's image?
5. Pawn Power in Chess (Hans Kmoch). The most recent of our readers' top choices, Kmoch's book is the first to codify as well as explain the variety of pawn formations and maneuveurs that are possible in chess. Learning the new nomenclature is quite a task for the reader-perhaps a needlessly detailed one-but, once this obstacle is passed, there is an exceptional amount of information and useful insights in this work. I'm sure this book will still be studied 35 years from its date of publication, just as Reti's and Nimzovich's books are today.

A few individual comments of other correspondents might interest our readers. "The best chess book ever written for the sub-expert group is Chernev's 'Logical Chess Move By Move'" (E. M. Butler, Joliet, Ill.); "The most important book in my chessplaying career was I. A. Horowitz's "How to Think Ahead in Chess" (U. S. Master Arthur Feuerstein); "The most useful books are in the vein of "Pawn Power in Chess." General strategy is usually neglected or immature. Other than Nimzovich few great players are working in general strategy but rather in tactics analysis. Most writers are chronologers of chess moves (B. Goodman, Baltimore).

If the Kaleidoscope may be permitted an entry of its own, we recommend highly Czech Grandmaster Ludek Pachman's series of volumes: Modern Chess Strategy (in three volumes), Modern Chess Theory (openings analysis and evaluation; in three volumes) and Modern Chess Tactics (there are some incredible combinations depicted in this two-volume work). These volumes have been on the foreign market for several years, available only in German and some Eastern European languages. Abridged editions will soon be published in English but we suggest that anyone with a knowledge of German obtain the original fulllength versions. Even those without a knowledge of German ought to be able to understand many of the lessons in
(Contd. on p. 224)

#  

is a new magazine devoted to making men happy. It does so through the exploration of the enjoyment of chess. An entirely new concept in a bi-monthly chess magazine, CHESSWORLD will be designed and edited for chessplayers of all strengths and persuasions, of all interests and abilities . . . yet it can be read by the man on the street, the non-chessplayer, and be enjoyed. A Grandmaster will find CHESSWORLD provocative; a beginner, exciting. CHESSWORLD will unfold the drama of the game, the color and excitement of chess and the rich heritage of its culture. It will be contemporary without being commonplace. It will be classical without being dull. Nontechnical in attitude, CHESSWORLD will, by its very approach of generating enjoyment, filter instruction to the serious student.

CHESSWORLD will be an elegant magazine, profusely illustrated, painstakingly researched and elaborately printed. Many of the illustrations will appear in color. It will be produced as no chess periodical has ever been produced before. Anyone with an interest in chess, no matter how peripheral, or how serious, must be interested in CHESSWORLD.

The contributors to CHESSWORLD will consist of many talented chessmasters and players, professional writers and theoreticians. Its editor is Frank Brady, chess writer, former editor of CHESS LIFE, and former USCF Business Manager. The Art Director of CHESSWORLD is Leonard Lowy, noted graphics designer.

Perhaps the best way to describe CHESSWORLD is to give you a glimpse of its contents. Planned for publication in its first few issues are such features as the following:


DO THE RUSSIANS CHEAT AT CHESS? An internationally famous tournament director discusses whether it is possible for collusion to exist in chess and whether, in his opinion, the charge that Russians "fix" games in international tournaments is a correct one.

## CHESSWORLD GOES TO A TOURNAMENT

The editors of CHESSWORLD take you behind the scenes of an international tournament where you will not only meet the world's most famous chess champions but will learn how they prepare before each game; how they "suffer" when they lose, and some of the unique ways in which they lessen their tensions.

## THE PSYCHOANALYSIS OF A CHESSPLAYER

A well-known psychiatrist discusses the many strange and often bizarre unconscious reasons why people play chess.

## THE PAST VS. THE PRESENT

If the chess champion of the world in 1850 were alive today and played a match with the current world champion, who would win? Many $c$ hess experts claim that, because of a great increase in theoretical knowledge over the past 100 years, the modern day champion would win with ease. A leading chess authority disputes this idea with a provocative treatise.

## THE THREE "R's" AND CHESS

A grammar school principal discusses why he has included chess as a part of his regular academic curriculum!

## BLINDFOLD CHESS

An attempt at the explanation of how certain chess masters can perform the amazing mental feat of playing up to 50 chess players simultaneously and without sight of the board!

## CHESS IN HOLLYWOOD

CHESSWORLD will, from time to time, delve into the chessplaying segments of the lives of the following personalities: John Wayne, Anthony Quinn, Tony Curtis, Jose Ferrer, Gregory Peck, Charles Boyer, Walter Slezak and others.

## WHERE TO PLAY CHESS

A comprehensive directory, listing over 1,000 chess clubs in the U. S., will be published once each year in CHESS'WORLD. Included will be names and addresses of each club, evenings that they meet and full details of membership.


PORTRAIT OF A REBEL
An intimate profile of young and vivacious Lisa Lane, International Woman's Master, portraying the difficulties and prejudices she encounters within the chess world and without.

## HOW TO TEACH A CHILD TO PLAY CHESS

A school teacher and a chessmaster outline the methods by which even a preschool youngster of normal intelligence can learn the game.

## THE BATTLE OF TWO SYSTEMS

The full record of a game between a leading correspondence player and a national "over the board" player will be presented, with comments by both players.

## THE ROLE OF MEMORY IN FLAYING CHESS

One of the country's leading memory experts shows how chessplayers can, with little effort, improve their memories and consequently their games.

## PICTORIAL STUDIES OF CHESSMEN

Illustrations of the most beautiful and hitorical chess pieces from every corner of the globe and from all ages will be presented as a regular feature of CHESSWORLD. Included will be the fascinating stories and anecdotes surrounding each set.
"I PLAY CHESS BECAUSE . . ." The results of a national survey of why people play chess, culled from the 15 million Americans who reportedly play the game.

## HOW TO SUCCEED AT CHESS

## WITHOUT REALLY TRYING

A well-known humorist describes how to become a chess champion two weeks after learning the maves and without ever studying the game!


## "1984" REVISITED

Can an IBM machine be programmed to defeat a human player? One of the country's top mathematicians explains why it will never be possible for a "mechanical brain" to achieve championship stature.

## A New Dimension in Chess Literature

## CHESS IN NEW YORK

 A photographic essay delving into the myriad of clubs, parks, restaurants, coffee houses and chess parlors, in the city where a game of chess can always be found in progress.$$
\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{m} \text { chess }^{2}
$$

A recollection and appraisal of Emanuel Lasker as both world chess champion and philosopher, written by his close friend, the late Dr. Albert Einstein.

## CHESS ON THE CAMPUS

A university professor compares the antiintellectualism found in colleges to the absurd status that chess holds in relationship to other sports.

## HOW TO LOSE A CHAMPIONSHIP

A former world champion describes in a pungent and provocative article how and why he was dethroned.


THE HISTORY AND EVOLUTION OF THE CHESS CLOCK
One of the most comprehensively pictorial and textual documentations of the tournament chess clock ever to appear in print.

## CHESS BLINDNESS

Even the world's greatest players have on occasion overlooked the most obvious moves. This article illustrates some of the most glaring blunders in history, together with on interesting description of chess "blindness," and how to avoid falling into blindspots.


THE PRODIGY MATURES
This artcile will discuss and document Bobby Fischer's style of play in relationship to his personality, in considering his phenomenal rise as the youngest International Grandmaster of all time.

## CHESS MOVIES

From time to time, CHESSWORLD will present interesting and instructive games that can be enjoyed, studied and "read" without the aid of the chessboard, each move of the entire game illustrated with a separate chess diagram.

## REMARKABLE GAMES AND THEIR STORIES

A collection of games, some of which have affected world history, together with full accounts of who played them, the stories of how they came to be played, and their surprising results.

## A LONG PLAYING RECORD

Two renowned American Grandmasters discuss their personal philosophies of chess, and this conversation is recorded on a long-playing record that will be bound into on issue of CHESSWORLD.

CHESS IN LITERATURE
CHESSWORLD will, from time to time, present extracts from Dante, Melville, Bacon, Voltaire, Chaucer, Browning, Tennyson, Hardy, Ibsen and Shakespeare containing their comments and opinions on chess.

## CHESS IN RUSSIA

An insight into the reasons why there are millions of chess enthusiasts in the Soviet Union, with glimpses of chess being played in factories, homes and schools. This article also delves deeply into why the Russians treat their chess stars with the same "adoration" that Americans reserve for their idols.


THE CHESSMEN
OF THE ISLE OF LEWIS
A remarkable discovery of Scandinavian chessmen found on a Scottish isle raises important questions as to the country of origin and the chronology of the discovery of the game. Pictures of the chessmen are reproduced.

## CHESS TO THE DEATH

A strange and macabre account of how chessplayers often met with death during the Dark Ages in England.
n summation, CHESSWORLD will be the mirror reflecting chess as it appears in history, art, humor, literature, philosophy, education, psychology and virtually every field of human endeavor.

CHESSWORLD will be an elegant periodical. A magazine of considerable thickness, it will contain photographs, line-cuts and etchings. It will be printed on carefully selected stocks and typographical selections will be made by a prominent artist. Its durable binding will enable you to make each issue a permanent addition to your library.

Magazines like CHESSWORLD are expensive to produce but if you subscribe now, before publication this Fall, you can do so at a considerable saving. After publication, a subscription to CHESSWORLD will sell for \$15.00 a year.

The Special Intrductory Subscription Price, however, is \$9.95, a saving of over $\$ 5.00$ from the standard price. This price is so low it can never be offered again!

If you subscribe now, you will also have the right to renew your subscription at the same special price of only $\$ 9.95$, ad infinitum, despite any subsequent price increases.

This special offer is being made to introduce you to CHESSWORLD, with the belief that once you have seen the magazine you will want to subscribe year after year. If you are not satisfied with the first issue, simply return it within ten days and the publisher guarantees to return your full subscription price.

To enter your subscription, simply fill out the coupon below and mail it today with your check or money order for $\$ 9.95$ to CHESSWORLD, 505 Fifth Avenue, New York 17, N.Y. We suggest you do it now.

Once CHESSWORLD is published, this offer is automatically cancelled, and so is your opportunity to subscribe at such a reduced rate.

505 FIFTH AVENUE

HEARST-(Contd. from p. 221)
these volumes since the algebraic chess notation is very easy to follow.

One sidelight of our poll of chessbook readers was the absence of books by Fred Reinfeld, the most prolific of chess authors, from almost all the lists. Some of Reinfeld's earlier works (for example, the collections $r i$ Keres', Capablanca's, and Botvinnils s games) deserve and have received much praise, but his more recent works all seem to have the same plot and cast of characters. I guess they must all se. 1 well for what other reason could there be for publishing so many of $t^{7}, m$ ?

Furthe reader opinion on book preferences is welcome. Have we omitted any val wable works from our list? Where are all the Reinfeld supporters?

## History Republished

H. J. R. Murray's "History of Chess," written in 1913, has just been republished after a decade on the out-of-print lists. A scholarly and exhaustive work, yet exceptionally interesting reading, it would make a great Christmas present for any chess fan (if you're thinking about that so early in the year). Its list price is $\$ 16.80$. While reading through it for the first time, I folded back the corners of many pages- a way of denoting passages of possible use in this column. Here are a few excerpts from these folded pages in the early chapters of the book:
"In Sumatra it is usual to make fresh chessmen on each occasion of playing. This occupies only about 10 minutes. A piece of bamboo or the midrib of a palm leaf is obtained and the pieces are quickly cut after a conventional pattern. The two sides are distinguished by slight variations in shape."
"At the conclusion of a game in Burma it is usual for the winner to give the loser a dab on the cheek with the soft powdered lime that Burmans always carry with them in order to prepare the betel for chewing. In this way the score of a succession of games at a sitting may be kept. Some players give a dab for every check in the game."
"Chessplayers employ different kinds of pleasantry and jests designed to astound. Many maintain that these incite people to play, and add to the flow of resource and accurate deliberation. They have been compared to the short improvised verses which warriors employ when encountering the enemy, or which camel-drivers compose during the slow movements of the camels, or the draw. ers of water during the raising of the bucket. They are as much a part of the apparatus of the player, as the song and improvised verse is of the warrior. Many verses describing this have been composed; e.g. the following by a player: "Hotter than the glow of charcoal glows the player's timely jest, Think how many a weaker player it has helped against the best!" (From an early Muslim work by al-Masudi)"
"The early Arabic and Persian literature mentions such witticisms as: the activity of prominent person in town was referred to by the remark, 'There is a Rook on the board'; and the assertiveness of an upstart was silenced by the inquiry,' Hullo, Pawn, when did you queen?"

Please send all material for this column to Eliot Hearst, 401 N. Armistead St., Apt. 412, Alexandria 12, Va.

## WOMEN'S OLYMPIAD SET

Split, Yugoslavia has been chosen as the site of the II Women's Olympiad. With a seventeen century old tradition, historic buildings, mediterranean climate and salt-water sports, Split boasts of an up-to-date, luxuriously furnished hotel which will house the chess teams of fourteen F.I.D.E. federations in the coming Women's Olympiad.

Gisela K. Gresser, the U.S. Women's Champion and Mary Bain will be the United States banner carriers in this team tournament.

There has been a note of contention as to the choice of representatives in this tournament. Unlike the Women's Candidate Tournament, where the two participants are determined by the placing in the Women's Zonal, this is a team tournament, like Varna, 1962 and like the student team of this year, where the players representing the U.S. are designated by the U.S. International Affairs committec.

Fortunately for the U.S.C.F. budget both Mrs. Gresser and Mrs. Bain are going on their private sojourns to Europe and will include the Olympiad as part of their tours. Otherwise, we might not have a team representing us. Our funds are committed to the Candidates and we are very, very reluctant to issue any appeals to the membership for funds.

Mrs. Gresser, the present U.S. Women's Champion, is well known through the pages of CHESS LIFE, and Mrs. Bain has a chess biography second to none. A former U.S. Women's Champion, she possesses an incisive style as the following game, from the 1962 Women's Championship attests:

## 1962 National Women's Championship alekhine defense



NICOLAS ROSSOLIMO, who recently refurned from a European tour, de-
livers a snappy mate on board the S. S. "Guadalupe" en route to Spain.
The well-known grandmaster also gave exhibitions on board the "Queen
Mary" on his return trip to the U.S.

|  |  | MARY | BAIN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-KB3 | 19. | R-K2 | P-QN4 |
| N-Q4 | 20. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q1}$ | N-N3 |
| P-Q3 | 21. | B-K3 | N-Q2 |
| N-N3 | 22. | R-QB2 | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ |
| BPXP | 23. | QxR | R-B1 |
| P-N3 | 24. | R-B1 | N-B3 |
| B-N5 | 25. | Q-N3 | Q-R1 |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 26. | R-B6 | R-B1 |
| $\mathbf{B \times N}$ | 27. | N-B3 | K-B1 |
| N-B3 | 28. | R-N6 | N-Q2 |
| N-K4 | 29. | R-B6 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{BCh}$ | 30. | $\mathbf{P \times R}$ | $\mathbf{Q \times P}$ |
| R-QB1 | 31. | Q-K6 | $\mathbf{B \times N}$ |
| NxBP | 32. | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | N-B4 |
| 0.0 | 33. | $\mathbf{B \times N}$ | QxB |
| P-B4 | 34. | Q-Q7 | QXP |
| R-KB2 | 35. | Resigns |  |
| P-QR3 |  |  |  |

## Want To Get Published?

Bobby Fischer is compiling his early games, $1955,56 \& 57$, for publication. He is missing quite a fewand he was on the losing side of many of these. If you have any of the scores, send them to CHESS LIFE, c/o J. F. Reinhardt, 80 E. 11th Street, N.Y. 3, N.Y.

KERES-(Contd. from p. 217)
34. R-Bch
K-Q1
35. R-B6
11.
12. N-N3!

B-K3

With this move the issue is practically decided.
35. ......
B-N2
37. P-N3
B-K2

Black was in a kind of Zugzwang.

| 38. R-K8ch | K-B2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 39. R-KR8 | P-R4 |
| 40. R-R7ch | K-N1 |
| 41. R-R8ch | Resigns |

Black was convinced, after home analysis, that after 41. $\qquad$ K-B2; 42. K-B1, P-K6; 43. P-B4! his position very soon will grow totally hopeless.

## KERES SYSTEM <br> Round 12 <br> "Strategy in Chess" <br> (Notes by Grandmaster Miguel Najdorf) Naidorf <br> Keres <br> 1. P-Q4 <br> P-K3 <br> 2. P-QB4 <br> B.N5ch

An old idea of Keres. He hopes to exchange black Bishops, play N-KB3, and castle quickly.

## 3. N-B3

## P-KB4

Now the game changes to the Dutch Defense. I believe, after $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$, that the Dutch is not best because Black's KB is normally his best piece and should be preserved at K2 or Q3.

It was my first time to play this system, and I believed that next I should not play the normal P-KN3, but rather P-K3 and castle quickly.
4. P-K3
5. B-Q3
N-KB3
6. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$
0.0

White has achieved quick development. His Knight at K2 is better than at KB3, because if Black plays P-Q3, P-K4, and P-K5, now White goes N-KB4.
6.

P-Q3

White could play P-QR3, obligating the exchange of Black's Bishop. However, why lose a tempo for this when Black's KB is not strong here. You must never have fear of poorly placed pieces. Don't force your opponent to make a good move!
P-B4
7. P .QN3!

To bring the QB, my last undeveloped piece. into play on the long diagonal.
8.
N-B3
9. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$
P-K4?

A strategic error. Why? Because Keres has opened the game too early; White has better freedom of movement. Don't open the position when you are less developed than your opponent. Black should have played for a closed position. The right move was 9 . ........, B-R4 or Q-K2, to improve the KB and prepare for P-K4 later.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10. PxKP PxP } \\
& \text { 11. } \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 5!
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is clear that White is better. Black's KB at N5 looks like a big pawn, and White's pieces are more actively placed.


Whenever the opponent has two or three pawns advancing together, you must prevent their advance. Now Black must make the sad move P-KN3 and weaken his King's position by increasing the scope of White's QB. Because after 12. ......... NxN; 13. PxN, QxP; 14. B-QB4 wins Black's QB. If 13. ........, BxP; 14. BxBP gives a winning position.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 12. ...... } \\
\text { 13. P-B4! } & \text {........ }
\end{array}
$$

A good move and very easy, because every time you want to utilize a better position, you must open the position even if you have to sacrifice.
13. NxN is not good. 13. ........, RxN; 14. P-KB4, R-B2; threatening R-Q2 and Black has a good game.

Black cannot respond to the text move with 13. ........, P-K5. 14. NxNch, RxN; 15. BxP (not 15. BxR, QxB; 16. B-B2, QR-Q with a good and maybe best position for Black), PxB; 16. QxQ, RxQ; 17. BxR with a winning game.

## 13. <br> $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ <br> 14. PxN <br> BxP

Not QxP, because then 15 . B-B4 wins a piece.

## 15. $P x P$

Also very interesting was 15. P-K4, BPxP; 16. NxP. Very strong; White has a good position, but he gives a square to Black's Knight.
15. ........

```
B-K3
```

Because White has many possibilities to sacrifice a piece at B5.

## 16. N-K2

The Knight has accomplished its purpose at N3 and is now looking for a better strategic square. White's play is very clear. He must remove the QB and weaken the defense of Black's King. Black's KB is useless.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 16. } \ldots \ldots . & \text { Q-K2 } \\
\text { 17. } \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 4
\end{array}
$$

No special moves by White are neces-sary-only the logical ones.

## 17.

N.@̣

If 17. ........, B-B1' 18. P-K6.

## 18. Q-B3

Now is the time for the heavy artillery.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 18. } \ldots+\cdots \\
\text { 19. QR-Q } & \text { R-QB } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

White could play 19. Q-N3, then P-R4 and 5 , or even $20 . \mathrm{NxB}, \mathrm{NxN} ; 21$. BxP
winning a pawn. But these alternatives are not enough in such a fine position.
19.

## P-QR3

Black clearly wants to play P-QN4 and inactivate White's KB.
20. B-B4!

It is the moment to dispose of Black's best piece. Without this QB, Black's game collapses.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 20. } \ldots \ldots & \text { BxB } \\
\text { 21. } \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~B} 2
\end{array}
$$

If 21. ........, N-K3; 22. R-Q6 winning very easily.
22. P-K6
N-N4
23. Q-Q5


The difference in action of the two Bishops must now be compared.

If 23. ......... Q-QB2; 24. P-K7ch, R-B2; 25. Q-Q8ch, winning.

If 23. ........, N-K5; 24. Q-K5, N-B3; 25. N-Q5 or R-Q7 wins.

| R-Q7 wins. | R-QB3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 23. $\ldots$-K5 | NxP |
| 24. Q-KR | K-B2 |
| 25. Q-R8ch | K-K1 |
| 26. QxPch | 27. QxQch |

Very simple. The exchange and two pawns is enough to win.

| 27. ........ | KxQ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 28. NxPch | K-K |
| 29. NxR | NxN |
| 30. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | P-N4 |
| 31. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | PxP |
| 32. P-KR4 | R-QR3 |
| 33. P-R3 | BxP |
| 34. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | RxB |
| 35. RxP | Resigns |

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

## Round 13

(Notes by Grandmaster Pal Benko)
Benko
Gligoric

| 1. | P-Q4 | N-KB3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | P-QB4 | P-KN3 |
| 3. | N-QB3 | B-N2 |
| 4. | P-K4 | P-Q3 |
| 5. | B-K2 | 0.0 |
| 6. | P.B4 | $\ldots . . .$. |

This is the latest way of playing the Four Pawns Attack, which has become popular again since the Pomar-Geller game, Stockholm, 1962.
6.
7. N-B3
P-B4

In this way, White keeps the tension, rather than locking the center. Recent Russian games show that Black gets good play after 7. P-Q5 by P-K3; 8. N-B3, PxP; 9. KPxP, N-R4!, with the surprising plan of exchanging the Bishop on KN2 for the Knight on QB3 and doubling White's
pawns, which is difficult for White to avoid.

| 7. | 7..... | PxP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 8. | NxP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 9. | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\ldots . .$. |

The position now resembles a Sicilian. From this point, many tries have been made to strengthen Black's defense. 9. ........ N-KN5;! 10. BxN, BxN; 11. BxB(Q4), BxB ; and now 12. QxB, NxB; 13. Q-Q1! The line is analysis by Fischer, and was first tried by Pomar in tournament practice with success against Geller.

A second way of handling this line, used lately by Reshevsky, has been for Black to capture the White Knight on Q5 with his Knight, preserving his black Bishop and preparing the center-counterattack, P-KB4. The game is considered about even in this line.
9. ........, P-K4 has also been tried, and in Fischer-Uhlmann, Varna Olympiad, 10. NxN, PxN; 11. PxP, PxP; 12. B-B5, R-K1; 13. $\mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{RxQ}$, and the game was quickly drawn. However, White should not avoid the fight so early.

| 9. $\ldots \ldots$. | P-K4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 10. NxN | PxN |
| 11. PxP | PxP |
| 12. 0.0 | ....... |

Probably best in the position. Black's Queen has to move, but where?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12. ........ Q-B2 } \\
& \text { If 12. ........, Q-K2; 13. Q-R4! } \\
& \text { 13. Q-K1! }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now White switches to the king's side as the Black Queen has been committed to the queen's side.
13. ........ P-QR4

Obviously, Black fears P-QN4-5.
14. Q-R4 N-K1?

With the mounting threats, Gligoric errs. He should play N-Q2, but White has good chances. For example: 15. Q-K7, Q-N2; 16. P-QB5, QxNP; 17. B-QB4, QxN; 18. RxP! and White wins. Of course, this is not a forced variation, but one of the interesting possibilities for White's attack.
15. B-B5
N-Q3
16. QR-Q
N-N2

Black tries to give up the exchange in the best way. 16. ........, R-Q1 is no defense because of $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$, with the double threat of $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 6$ and $\mathrm{BxN} . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ is the move Gligoric overlooked when he played 14. ........, N-K1?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17. } \text { BxR } \\
& \text { 18. } \text { N-R4 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Challenging the square QB5 and hindering Black's piece play.

| 18. ...... | B-K3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 19. P-QN3 | N-B4 |
| 20. N×N | BxNch |
| 21. K-R | R-KB |

Of course, Black tries to avoid the exchange of the white Bishops (by 22. B-N4), but did he?

## 22. B-N4 <br> P-B4

The next logical step in Black's plan; it fails because Black lacks the resources to save the game.
23. PxP
24. BxP!


The start of the combination which finally leads to a simple King and Pawn end game.
24. $\qquad$ RxB
Naturally, if 24. ........, BxB; 25. RxB, RxR; 26. Q-N4ch.

| 25. Q-N4ch | Q-N2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 26. $R-Q 8 c h$ | B-B |
| 27. $Q \times R$ | ....... |

The fin

| 27. $\ldots \ldots \ldots$..... | $\mathbf{B \times Q}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 28. $\mathbf{R \times B}(B 5)$ | $\mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

The tragedy of the position is that Black has to give back his material advantage because the Queen has no good square. If 28. ........, Q-R3; 29. R(8)xBch, K-N2; 30. R(B5)-B7ch, K-N3; 31. R-B6ch.
29. $R(8) \times B c h$
K-N2
30. $R(5)-B 7 c h$
QxR
31. R×Qch
32. K-N
KxR

White's advantage in material and pawn structure make Black's game hopeless. The rest is technically easy.

| 32. | K- |
| :--- | ---: |
| 33. K-B2 | K-B4 |
| 34. K-K3 | P-R4 |
| 35. P-B5 | P-K5 |
| 36. P-QR3 | K-K4 |
| 37. P-N3 | K-Q4 |
| 38. P-QN4 | PxP |
| 39. PxP | K-K4 |
| 40. P-R3 | K-Q4 |
| 41. P-N4 | PxP |
| 42. PxP | K-K4 |
| 43. P-KN5 | K-B4 |
| 44. $P-N 5$ | Resigns |

(Notes by Grandmaster F. Olafsson)
F. Olafsson S. Reshevsky KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

| 1. | P-QB4 | N-KB3 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2. | P-Q4 | P-KN3 |
| 3. | P-KN3 | $\ldots . . .$. |

The set-up with the white pawns on QB4, Q4, K4, and KB3 (Samisch Variation) was adopted several times in this tournament but without much success for White. Black equalized easily every time.

| 3. | ….. | B-N2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 4. | B-N2 | $0-\mathrm{O}$ |
| 5. | N-KB3 | P-Q3 |
| 6. | O-O | QN-Q2 |

Reshevsky seems to favor this line which is the oldest method of playing the King's Indian. More usual in tournament play today is the Panno Variation: 6. $\qquad$ N-B3, or the Yugoslav Variation: 6 $\qquad$ P-B4.
7. Q-B2

P-K4

## 8. R-Q1

A mistake would be 8 . N-B3 because of ........, PxP; 9. NxP, N-N3; 10. P-N3, P-B4; 11. N/4-N5, P-QR3; 12. N-R3, and now Black gets the superior game by playing either 12. ........, P-Q4 or 12. B-B4.
8.
R-K1
9. P-K4
........

White wants to prevent Black's P-K5 once and for all.

| 9. | 10.... |
| :--- | :--- |$\quad$ P-B3

Black decides to relieve the tension in the center. In order to maintain the tension an interesting continuation would be 10........., P-QR4; 11. P-KR3, Pr R5; 12. B-K3, Q-R4.

$$
\text { 11. NxP } \quad \text { P-QR4 }
$$

In the game Olafsson-Geller, Bled 1961, Black tried 11. ........, Q-R4 followed by 12 . $\qquad$ P-QR3, but this plan turned out to be strategically incorrect. Reshevsky's move is much better and more in harmony with the position.

## 12. P-KR3

A standard move in this opening. From a realistic point of view 12. P-B3 might be better as White then no longer need worry about his king pawn, but somehow this move did not comply with my conception of the position.
12. $\qquad$ Q-K2
A good move which puts pressure on the King pawn.

## 13. B-B4

13. B-K3 would be inaccurate: 13 .

N-B4; 14. P-B3, P-Q4 with the better game for Black.
13. ........

R-Q1?


Reshevsky was clearly under the impression here that 13. N-B4 would fail against either 14. N/4-N5 or B5, followed by 15. BxP. So he prepares for this move by moving his rook over to Q1, but in doing so reduces his counterplay to an absolute minimum. He should instead have concentrated his forces on his K5 and played for example: 13.
N-R4; 14. B-K3, N/4-B3, against threatening ........, N-B4. This would at least create some counterplay.

Now let us examine 13. ........, N-B4, the move Reshevsky refrained from playing, and which is in fact the best move he had at his disposal. If White is going to exploit the weakness on Q6 he can do so only by playing his knight on Q4 to either N5 or B5 as 14. NxBP fails against ......... PxN; 15. BxP, Q-R2. First we take a look at the variation arising
from 14. N/4-N5:
a) 14. ........, N/4xP; 15. NxP, NxN/Q; 16. BxN, Q-K3, with a slightly freer game for White.
b) 14. ........, N/3xP; 15. NxP, NxN/B; 16. NxR, NxR; 17. NxB, NxBP; 18. QxN , KxN. White's control of the long diagonal (White's QR1-KR8) is compensated by Black's win of a pawn.
c) If Black accepts the knight sacrifice on the 14th move 14. ........, PxN there follows 15. BxP, Q-K3; 16. P-K5, N/3-Q2; 17. N-Q5 with a tremendous position for White.

The variation starting with 14 . N-B5 does not seem to offer any hopes for an advantage either. After ........, PxN; 15. BxP, Q-K3; 16. BxN, QxBP White is certainly not on top, and 16. PxP, Qx QBP; 17. P-N3, Q-QN5; 18. N-R4, P-N3; 19. BxP, B-Q2 is alright for Black as the white rook on R1 is hanging after 20. BxN, PxB; 21. BxB, NxB. The most White can get in this line is a rook and two pawns for two pieces.

As it is doubtful for White to go in for the exploitation of Black's weakness on White's Q6 the best course of action may be 14. R-K1 which prevents Black from freeing his game. Black can keep up his pressure on the king pawn by 14. ........, N-R4; 15. B-K3, N-B3; but after 16. QR-Q1 the pawn can hardly be taken: 16. QxB; 19 N/3xP, 17. NxN, NxN, 18. BxN $\mathrm{QxB} ; 19$. B-Q2 etc. In this line even 17. B-B4 threatening P-B3 later on seems to favor White. By playing 14. R-K1 White maintains the superior game but Black has much better chances than in the actual game.

All these painstaking variations go to show that Black's game was alright until he played 13. $\qquad$ R-Q1. After that his development becomes hopelessly backward and White can build up a dominating position without any interference.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 14. R-Q2 } & \text { N-B4 } \\
\text { 15. QR-Q1 } & \text { N-K1 }
\end{array}
$$

15. ......... N/3-Q2 would be a more desirable move here but it fails against 16. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 5$, etc.
16. B-K3

Black was threatening 16. ........, BxN; 17. RxB, N-K3.

> 16. ....... B-Q2

To parry off the threat 17 . NxP, PxN; 18. BxN.

> 17. R-K2

White prepares for P-B4, which if played at once would allow Black to free his position a little with ........, P-B4.
17. ........

N-B2
17. ......... N-B3 looks more solid but Black was possibly preparing for a coun-
ter-attack on the Queen's side beginning with
18. P-B4
QR-B1
19. B-B2
B-K1

If Reshevsky had in mind P-QN4, he may not have liked the consequences of 20. PxP, PxP; 21. N-Q5, etc.

## 20. K-R2

Q-B1
There is nothing that Black can do here so he decides to wait.
21. Q.Q2

N/2-R3
Black is not too happy about his pieces as this move may show.

## 22. N-B3

Now everything is ready for an assault on the king side starting with 23 . P-KN4, 24. B-N3, 25. P-B5, etc. Black can only counter this by playing 22. ........, P-B4, dangerously weakening his own King's position. It is no exaggeration to say that Black's position is strategically lost at this stage.
22. ........

## P-B4

Reshevsky had to make a rash decision as his time was running out.

## 23. B-Q4!

Weakening the Black position by exchanging off the Black King's best defender.

| 23. | PxP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24. BxB | QxB |
| 25. NxP | NxN |
| 26. RxN | P-Q4 |

Black's Queen pawn was doomed and Reshevsky tries to save it by tactical means.

## 27. R-Q4 <br> N-N5 <br> 28. N-N5

Not at once 28. P-R3 because of ........, P-B4.

## 28. ........ <br> 29. NxB <br> B-B2 <br> 30. P-R3 <br> QxB

What else?

| 31. RxP | NxR |
| :--- | ---: |
| 32. $\mathbf{B x N}$ | R×B |
| 33. PxR | R-K1 |
| 34. P-Q6 | Q-Q2 |
| 35. P-KN4 | ...... |

35. Q-Q5ch, K-N2; 36. R-Q2 was also quite good.

| 35. | P-....... |
| :--- | ---: |
| 36. Q-Q5ch <br> 37. R-Q2 | K-N2 |
| R-K3??? |  |

Being very short on time Reshevsky overlooks a simple answer. His position was lost in any case.

## 38. $\mathbf{Q x R}$

Black resigns as he has only a few checks after 38. ........, QxQ; 39. P-Q7, Q-K6; 40. P-Q8=Q, QxPch; 41. K-N2, QK5ch; 42. K-B2, Q-B5ch; 43. K-K1! etc.
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KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
Reshevsky
This was a well-fought game between the two Americans, Benko had a strongly posted knight, but Reshevsky had enough compensation in open lines. After the rooks were traded, the queens began to roam.

The game was adjourned after 40 moves, but the draw was agreed on resumption. Benko could force perpetual check. If he tried to win, Reshevsky could have started his own series of checks


## Panno

## TARRASCH DEFENSE

Time pressure was critical here. Pan seconds for his last few moves, Najdorf not much more time. After 37 moves Panno claimed a draw on the basis that 38. N-Q7 would repeat a position for the third time, Najdorf protested, but the score verified the claim. The same position had occurred after Panno's 34th and 36th moves.
Either player can claim a draw in such a situation, but only while he is on the move. Panno called the director to make the claim before making the 38th move. Had he made this move, and if Najdorf then wanted to claim the draw, he would state that the position on the board had been repeated, again without making a move.
Najdrof felt he had better prospects in the final position, but nothing at all decisive was in sight.

| 1. P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 20. PxP | NXRP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-K3 | 21. B-R4 | Q-N2 |
| 3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | P-Q4 | 22. Q-Q2 | QR-B1 |
| 4. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P.84 | 23. R-QBI | KR-QI |
| 5. $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | NxP | 24. $R \times R$ | R×R |
| 6. P-K3 | N-QB3 | 25. R-QB1 | $\mathbf{B \times N}$ |
| 7. B-B4 | PxP | 26. $R \times R$ | BxB |
| 8. PxP | B-K2 | 27. RxBch | KxR |
| 9. 0.0 | N-83 | 28. Q-N4ch | K-N1 |
| 10. P-QR3 | O-O | 29. $Q \times B$ | Q-K5 |
| 11. R-K1 | P-QN3 | 30. Q-Q1 | N-Q4 |
| 12. P-QN4 | B-N2 | 31. P-N3 | P-B3 |
| 13. B-N2 | R-B1 | 32. Q-R4 | K-B1 |
| 14. B-R2 | R-B2 | 33. N-Q7ch | K-B2 |
| 15. Q-K2 | R-KI | 34. Q-N5 | K-N3 |
| 16. QR-Q1 | B-KB1 | 35. N-B8ch | K-B2 |
| 17. N-K5 | Q-B1 | 36. N-Q7 | K-N3 |
| 18. B-N3 | P.QR4 | 37. N-B8ch | K-B2 |
| 19. N-N5 | B-R3 | 38. N-Q7 | Draw |


| ROUND NINE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TARRASCH DEFENSE |  |  |  |
| Reshevsky |  |  |  |
| This was | the first | ne finish | Despit |
| the few moves, Reshevsky took more than |  |  |  |
| two hours of his allotted time, evidently look- |  |  |  |
| ing for favorable prospects. The game was quite even, however, and the players agreed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| to draw. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3. $\begin{array}{lll}\text { N-B3 } & \text { P-B4 } & \text { 12. } \\ \text { P-K3 } \\ \text { P- }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5. P-Q4 N-B3 14. O-O |  |  |  |
| 6. P-QR3 P-QR3 15. B-KB4 B-KB |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 8. B-K2 PXP 17. Q-Q2 |  |  |  |
| 9. PxP N-K5 18. R-B3 |  |  |  |

## ROUND NINE

Reshevsky
This was the first game finished. Despite the few moves, Reshevsky took more than wo hours of his allotted time, evidently looking for favorable prospects. The game was quite even, however, and the players agreed to draw.

Naidorf
Olafsson
Najdorf had the initiative and the freer game in the early part. He allowed his king side pawns to be broken up, which made for later problems.

Time pressure set in, with Olafsson being closer to the limit. In a series of queen and rook maneuvers Olafsson outplayed his opponent, picking up a vital pawn. He had to guard against mating threats on the back row unti lhe found time for the important 33 P-R3.

At the end all the pieces were exchanged and the king and pawn ending was much too simple to justify continuing.

| 1. P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 22. R-Q6 | R-NI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-K3 | 23. R-B6 | Q.B4 |
| 3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | B-N5 | 24. R-Q1 | QR-Q1 |
| 4. P-K3 | P-B4 | 25. B-Q3 | Q-R6 |
| 5. B-Q3 | 0.0 | 26. P-B3 | KR-KI |
| 6. N-B3 | P-Q4 | 27. B-B2 | RxRC' |
| 7. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | PxBP | 28. BXR | N-Q4 |
| 8. BXBP | P-QN3 | 29. R-Q6 | N.BS |
| 9. Q-K2 | B-N2 | 30. Q-Q3 | NxB |
| 10. R-Q1 | Q-B1 | 31. $\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{\times N}$ | Q. 84 |
| 11. N-QN5 | PxP | 32. R-Q5 | Q-B3 |
| 12. QNXQP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 33. P-K4 | P-R3 |
| 13. P-QR3 | $\mathbf{N x N}$ | 34. K-RI | R-QB1 |
| 14. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | B-K2 | 35. R-Q6 | Q N 4 |
| 15. P-QN4 | P-QR4 | 36. R-QB6 | R-Q1 |
| 16. P-N5 | P-K4 | 37. Q-KN1 | QxP |
| 17. N-B3 | BxN | 38. R×NP | Q-K7 |
| 18. PxB | P-K5 | 39. R-N1 | QxBPch |
| 19. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | NxP | 40. Q-N2 | R-Q8ch |
| 20. B-N2 | B-B3 | 41. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | QxRch |
| 21. $\mathbf{B \times B}$ | NxB | Resigns |  |

## ROUND TEN

| Benko |  | Petrosian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. P-QB4 | N-KB3 | 29. Q-B1 | B-KB4 |
| 2. N-QB3 | P-K3 | 30. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | QxB |
| 3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P-Q4 | 31. Q-B3 | R-R5 |
| 4. P-Q4 | B-K2 | 32. K-N1 | Q-B1 |
| 5. B-N5 | 0.0 | 33. $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{B} 1$ | BxP |
| 6. P-K3 | P.QN3 | 34. Q-Q3 | B-Q3 |
| 7. R-B1 | B-N2 | 35. R-N1 | P.R4 |
| 8. PXP | PxP | 36. Q-K2 | Q-B4 |
| 9. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | BxB | 37. N-Q3 | R-R6 |
| 10. B-K2 | Q-K2 | 38. $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N})$ - 11 | K-N2 |
| 11. 0.0 | R-Q1 | 39. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | P-R5 |
| 12. Q-B2 | N-Q2 | 40. $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N})$-B1 | Q-K5 |
| 13. KR-Q1 | P-N3 | 41. Q-B2 | B-B2 |
| 14. Q-N1 | P-B3 | 42. N-N3 | B-Q3 |
| 15. P-QN4 | P-QR4 | 43. R-R1 | NxP |
| 16. P-QR3 | P-QN4 | 44. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | QxPch |
| 17. Q-N3 | N -N3 | 45. K-RI | RxRch |
| 18. R-R1 | R-R2 | 46. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{R}$ | QXQP |
| 19. R-R2 | KR-R1 | 47. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | Q-QB5 |
| 20. KR-R1 | B-B1 | 48. Q-N1 | Q.86 |
| 21. B-Q3 | B-N5 | 49. $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}) \cdot \mathrm{Bl}$ | P.QB4 |
| 22. N-Q2 | B-N2 | 50. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | Q-B3 |
| 23. P-R3 | B-K3 | 51. QxP | P-B5 |
| 24. Q-B2 | PxP | 52. N-NT | PxN |
| 25. PxP | R×R | 53. $Q \times P(3)$ | Q-K4 |
| 26. NXR | N-B5 | 54. P-N3 | QxP |
| 27. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | Q-N4 | 55. Q-Q4ch | Q-K4 |
| 28. K-B1 | B-KB1 | Resigns |  |


|  | NIMZO-INDIAN | DEFENSE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gligoric |  |  | Najdorf |
| 1. P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 22. Q-R3 | Q-N3 |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-K3 | 23. B-N5 | P-QR4 |
| 3. N-QB3 | B-N5 | 24. Q-R4 | Q-Q1 |
| 4. P-K3 | P.84 | 25. N-B1 | P-R5 |
| 5. B-Q3 | $0-0$ | 26. B-R2 | N-R4 |
| 6. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P-Q4 | 27. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | QxB |
| 7. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | PxBP | 28. QxQ | R×Q |
| 8. BXBP | P-QN3 | 29. P-KN3 | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{R})$ - $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ |
| 9. B-Q3 | B-N2 | 30. QN-Q3 | N-N3 |
| 10. P-QR3 | PxP | 31. R-QB1 | KR-B2 |
| 11. P×P | B-K2 | 32. R-B5 | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ |
| 12. R-K1 | QN-Q2 | 33. PxR | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N})$-Q2 |
| 13. B-B2 | P-QR3 | 34. R-QB1 | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 14. Q-Q3 | R-K1 | 35. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | B-Q4 |
| 15. B-N5 | P-N3 | 36. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | NxB |
| 16. QR-Q1 | N-Q4 | 37. K-B1 | P.B3 |
| 17. B-BI | R-Q81 | 38. N-Q3 | K-B2 |
| 18. B-N3 | N(2)-B3 | 39. N -N4 | K-K2 |
| 19. N-K5 | P-QN4 | 40. NxNch | PxN |
| 20. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | N-Q2 | 41. K-K2 | K-Q2 |
| 21. Q-N3 | $\mathbf{N}(2)-\mathrm{B} 3$ | Drawn |  |


| Panno |  |  |  | KeresR-B2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | P-QB4 | N-KB3 | 23. $R(5) \cdot Q 3$ |  |
| 2. | N-KB3 | P-K3 | 24. Q-Q4 | R-Q2 |
| 3. | N-B3 | P-QN3 | 25. N-R4 | P-QR3 |
| 4. | P-KN3 | B-N2 | 26. R-QBT | K-B2 |
| 5. | B-N2 | B-K2 | 27. Q-N2 | KR-Q1 |
| 6. | O-O | 0.0 | 28. Q-K2 | P-B3 |
| 7. | P-Q4 | N-K5 | 29. $R(1)-Q 1$ | P-QR4 |
| 8. | Q-B2 | $\mathbf{N x N}$ | 30. Q-QB2 | N-K5 |
| 9. | QxN | P-KB4 | 31. R-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 10. | P-N3 | B-KB3 | 32. PXP | $\mathbf{R \times P}$ |
| 11. | B-N2 | P-Q3 | 33. $R \times R$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| 12. | QR-Q1 | N-Q2 | 34. $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | QxR |
| 13. | N-K1 | BxB | 35. K-B1 | K-K3 |
| 14. | NxB | Q-K1 | 36. K-K1 | N-B4 |
| 15. | Q-B2 | P-KN4 | 37. K-B2 | Q-Q6 |
| 16. | P-Q5 | BxB | 38. Q-N2 | N-K5ch |
| 17. | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | Qxp | 39. K-N2 | N-B6 |
| 18. | QxB | QR-K1 | 40. Q-B1 | P-B4 |
| 19. | P-K3 | Q-R3 | 41. P-KR3 | Q-K7ch |
| 20. | P-B4 | P-N5 | 42. K-R1 | N-K5 |
| $21 .$ |  | Q-K3 | 43. Resigns |  |
| $22 .$ | KR-Q1 | N-B3 |  |  |
| ROUND ELEVEN |  |  |  |  |
| KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE |  |  |  |  |

Olafsson misplayed the opening, losing a pawn early. He also got into serious time trouble, his usual custom, and lost the exchange while trying for complications.

The game was adjourned after 40 moves. Keres demonstrated the win by precise play.
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CANADA

Petrosian
CATALAN GAME
The game was adjourned after 43 moves with Petrosian having a slight endgame advantage. His rook and knight were better placed, and his king could come in through the center.
It was close all the way, but the champion finally won a pawn and the game.


NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

| Reshevsky |  |  | Najdorf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The game |  |  | drifted |
| into a minor plece ending, and only knights |  |  |  |
| and pawns | ere on | oard when | adjourn- |
| ment time came. Reshevsky had some ideas |  |  |  |
| of playing for a win, but when the time came |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1. P-QB4 | N-KB3 | 23. KN-B6 | B.B |
| 2. P-Q4 | P.K3 | 24. Q-B2 | N-N3 |
| 3. N-QB3 | B-N5 | 25. N-R5 | Qxa |
| 4. P-K3 | P-B4 | 26. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | KN-Q2 |
| 5. B-Q3 | P-Q4 | 27. K-B | P-K4 |
| 6. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | 28. P-K4 | P. $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ |
| 7. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | PxBP | 29. K-K2 | K-B2 |
| 8. BXBP | P-QN3 | 30. K-Q3 | K-K3 |
| 9. B-Q3 | B-R3 | 31. B-B | B-Q3 |
| 10. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | NxB | 32. B-K3 | N-R5 |
| 11. Q-R4 | Q-B | 33. B-B | B-B2 |
| 12. N-QN5 | PXP | 34. N-N3 | B.N3 |
| 13. QNXQP | Q-N2 | 35. P-B3 | P.N3 |
| 14. P-QR3 | B.K2 | 36. B-K3 | P-B4 |
| 15. P-QN4 | KR-B | 37. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathbf{K N x B}$ |
| 16. B-N2 | N-B2 | 38. PxPch | PxP |
| 17. QR-B | P-QN4 | 39. P-B4 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| 18. Q-N3 | QN-Q4 | 40. PXP | $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{X P}$ |
| 19. Q-Q3 | P.QR3 | 41. K-K2 | N-B5ch |
| 20. N-K5 | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ | 42. K-B3 | N-K3 |
| 21. $R \times R$ | R-QB | 43. N -R5 | N-N3 |
| 22. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{RCh}$ | Q×R | Drawn |  |

## ROUND TWELVE

## NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

Olafsson
Petrosian
The two leaders met and drew in 25 moves. Sounds routine, but the final position was quite complex. Olafsson had very little time left, and was glad to take the draw.
The game might have gone 26. QxNP, R-KN; 27. Q-R4, NxP; 28. NxPch, QxN; 29. RxQ, RxR 30. K-B, or 27. Q-R6, NxP; 28. NxN, RxNeh; 29. R-N3. Either way Black could do no better than equalize.

| 1. P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 14. N-K5 | B-K1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-K3 | 15. QR-QT | R-B1 |
| 3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | B-N5 | 16. B-N3 | K-R1 |
| 4. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P-B4 | 17. Q-B3 | B-B3 |
| 5. P-K3 | 0.0 | 18. Q-R3 | KN-Q4 |
| 6. B-Q3 | P-Q4 | 19. BxB | QxB |
| 7. 0.0 | BPxP | 20. Q-N3 | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{B3}$ |
| 8. KPXP | PxP | 21. Q-R4 | B-Q4 |
| 9. BXBP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 22. NxB | QNXN |
| 10. B-KN5 | B-K2 | 23. R-Q3 | R-B2 |
| 11. R-K1 | P.QR3 | 24. R-KB3 | R.Q1 |
| 12. P-QR4 | B-Q2 | 25. P-N4 | P.KN4 |
| 13. Q-K2 | N-QN5 | Drawn |  |

## Panno

For the last 10 moves of the first session, both players were in real time trouble and the session ended with both flags on the verge of falling. Panno had all the better of the play, missing several opportunities to improve.
After adjournment Panno obtained $t w o$ passed pawns which had to win at least a plece. He handled the final phase perfectly.

| 1. P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 31. N-N6 | N-K3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-KN3 | 32. R-Q3 | K-B4 |
| 3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB3}$ | P.Q4 | 33. N-Q5 | K-N4 |
| 4. N-B3 | B-N2 | 34. $\mathbf{R \times R}$ | NxR |
| 5. B-B4 | 0.0 | 35. K-K4 | P.B4ch |
| 6. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B}$ | P-B4 | 36. K-Q3 | N-K3 |
| 7. PXBP | B-K3 | 37. K-B4 | P-B5 |
| 8. N-Q4 | N-B3 | 38. N-B3 | K-B4 |
| 9. P-K3 | Q-R4 | 39. K-Q5 | R-B |
| 10. N-N3 | Q-Q1 | 40. P-B6 | R-Q1ch |
| 11. N-Q4 | $\mathbf{N x N}$ | 41. K-B4 | R-Q5ch |
| 12. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | PxP | 42. K-N3 | P-K5 |
| 13. B-K5 | P-N3 | 43. P-N5 | K-K4 |
| 14. N-N5 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | 44. P-N6 | N-B4ch |
| 15. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | K×B | 45. K-R3 | K-Q3 |
| 16. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | BxB | 46. $\mathrm{N} \times$ Pch | KxP |
| 17. $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{\times B}$ | Q-Q4 | 47. NxN | KxP |
| 18. R-B3 | P-QR3 | 48. P-R5ch | K-N4 |
| 19. N-R3 | QxNP | 49. N-N3 | R-R5ch |
| 20. Q-B3 | QXQ | 50. K-N2 | R-K5 |
| 21. $R \times Q$ | PxP | 51. R-B7 | R-N5 |
| 22. $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | R-81 | 52. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | K-R5 |
| 23. R-B3 | N-B3 | 53. R-R3 | P-N4 |
| 24. K-K2 | KR-Q1 | 54. R-Q3 | P-NS |
| 25. KR-QB1 | R-B3 | 55. R-Q4 | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ |
| 26. P-N4 | N-Q4 | 56. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{R}$ | KxP |
| 27. R-QN3 | N-B5ch | 57. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | P-B6 |
| 28. K-K3 | P-K4 | 58. N - B 3 | K-N5 |
| 29. N-B4 | K-B3 | 59. N-Q5ch | Resigns |
| 20. P-QR4 | R-Q5 |  |  |
|  | RUY | EZ |  |

Gligoric
This was a placid game, with pieces exchanged whenever either player occupied what might prove a strong line. The resulting endgame was quite equal.

| 1. P-K4 | P-K4 | 18. KN-N4 | R-Q3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | N-QB3 | 19. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{Nch}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 3. B-N5 | P.QR3 | 20. N-N4 | B.N2 |
| 4. B-R4 | N-B3 | 21. B-N5 | P-B3 |
| 5. 0.0 | B-K2 | 22. B-K3 | P-B5 |
| 6. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | P-QN4 | 23. QR-Q1 | R×R |
| 7. B-N3 | P-Q3 | 24. $R \times R$ | P-KR4 |
| 8. P-B3 | O-0 | 25. N-R2 | R-Q1 |
| 9. P-KR3 | N-QR4 | 26. N-B3 | RxRCh |
| 10. B-B2 | P-B4 | 27. $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ | Q-Q1 |
| 11. P-Q4 | N-B3 | 28. Qxach | NxQ |
| 12. PXBP | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | 29. P.QR4 | N - N 2 |
| 13. QN-Q2 | Q-B2 | 30. PXP | PxP |
| 14. N-B1 | R-Q1 | 31. N-Q2 | B-KB1 |
| 15. Q-K2 | R-N1 | 32. N-NT | B-QB4 |
| 16. N-K3 | P.N3 | 33. BxB | N×B |
| 17. N-R2 | B-K3 | 34. P-R4 | Drawn |

## ROUND THIRTEEN

## QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

## Petrosian

Najdorf had been preparing to Najdorf evsky, and mildly protested the change in schedule which pitted him against the world champion. He realized of course that he had to play all his opponents at some time, and was soon reconciled.
The game went along familiar lines, with Petrosian maintaining the slight advantage of
the first move. He had a stronger center, pressure on Najdorf's backward pawns and a bishop versus knight for the ending.

Najdorf defended carefully, however, and was never in real danger. A couple of pawn exchanges simplified the game before the draw was agreed.


Panno seemed to have all the better of the early middle game. After the exchange of queens, one of his rooks got to the seventh rank, with the other ready to follow.
Gligoric clearly had no defense to the invasion. His chance, if any, was a quick counterattack. With a threat of mate at the critical juncture, he just managed to get his own rooks into a similar position.
Now either player could force perpetual check. Either could also stop to take pawns or threaten mate but could not maintain any threat long enough to do any good. Panno took almost all his time, then offered the draw.


Here too White had the better of the opening. Translating a little extra mobility into something specific is another matter.
The game went very slowly. After 30 moves the players were in serious time trouble, with less than five minutes each for the remaining ten moves.

At that point each had queen, knight and pawns. Olafsson misjudged the position when he offered an exchange of knights on his 35 th move. Instead, 35. ........, Q-KR1 would have offered good chances.

As played Benko had to win a pawn or as actually happened, force a favorable king and pawn ending.

| 1. P-QB4 2. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ | N-KB3 P-K3 | 22. R-Q3 23. QR-Q1 | R-Q3 $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | P-QN3 | 24. QxR | R-B1 |
| 4. P-KN3 | B-N5ch | 25. P-B3 | K-B1 |
| 5. B-Q2 | BxBch | 26. K-B2 | K-K1 |
| 6. QxB | B-R3 | 27. Q-R3 | R-B2 |
| 7. N-R3 | O-O | 28. Q-B3 | R-Q2 |
| 8. B-N2 | P-B3 | 29. RxR | KxR |
| 9. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | P-Q3 | 30. Q-K5 | Q-Q1 |
| 10. KR-QI | QN-Q2 | 31. P-KN4 | P-KR3 |
| 11. QR-B1 | Q-K2 | 32. P-KR4 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| 12. N -B2 | QR-B1 | 33. P-N5 | PxP |
| 13. Q-N4 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 34. PXP | N-Q3 |
| 14. Q-R3 | R-B2 | 35. Q-B4 | N-B4 |
| 15. N-K3 | P-N3 | 36. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathbf{K P X N}$ |
| 16. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | P-B4 | 37. P-K4 | K-K3 |
| 17. BxB | PXN | 38. PxPch | PxP |
| 18. B-N2 | $\mathbf{K P X P}$ | 39. Q-K3ch | K-Q2 |
| 19. $R \times P$ | N-K4 | 40. Q-Q2ch | K-K1 |
| 20. KR-Q1 | N-B3 | 41. QxQch | K×Q |
| 21. BXN | $\mathbf{R \times B}$ | 42. K-N3 | Resigns |

RUY LOPEZ
This was postponed from the 11th round because of the illness of both players.
Reshevsky was in fine form, and outplayed his opponent by beautiful positional chess. Keres had played to hold back the seemingly weak black center pawns. When these finally moved forward, the lines were in Reshevsky's favor. He won a pawn just before adjournment, with considerable advantage in position.

Reshevsky returned the extra pawn to force the exchange of queens. His outside passed pawn was the maln advantage in the resulting endgame, and it proved sufficient.

| 1. P-K4 | P.K4 | 38. K-N2 | P-QR4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | N-QB3 | 39. Q-KB3 | Q-K3 |
| 3. B-N5 | P-QR3 | 40. R-N5 | B-N5 |
| 4. B-R4 | N-B3 | 41. N-Q5 | Q-Q2 |
| 5. O-O | B-K2 | 42. Q-Q3 | Q-B3 |
| 6. R-K1 | P-Q3 | 43. P-B3 | B-Q7 |
| 7. BxNch | PxB | 44. N-K7 | Q-K1 |
| 8. P-Q4 | N-Q2 | 45. N-Q5 | P-R5 |
| 9. QN-Q2 | P.83 | 46. R-B5 | B-K6 |
| 10. N-B4 | N-N3 | 47. Q-B5 | P-Q6 |
| 11. N-R5 | B-Q2 | 48. R-B3 | B-Q5 |
| 12. Q-Q3 | 0.0 | 49. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Q-K7ch |
| 13. B-K3 | K-R1 | 50. K-R3 | P-N3 |
| 14. QR-Q1 | PxP | 51. Q-K4 | Qxa |
| 15. $N \times Q P$ | P-QB4 | 52. P×Q | B-N7 |
| 16. N-B5 | R-K1 | 53. N-N4 | RxR |
| 17. P-QN3 | B-KB1 | 54. $\mathbf{N x R}$ | K-N2 |
| 18. B-B1 | B-K3 | 55. K-N4 | K-B3 |
| 19. N-K3 | Q-Q2 | 56. K-B3 | P-R6 |
| 20. P-QR4 | P.B3 | 57. N-N4 | K-K4 |
| 21. QN-B4 | NxN | 58. K-K3 | B-Q5ch |
| 22. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | QR-Q1 | 59. K-Q3 | B-B4 |
| 23. N-N6 | Q-N2 | 60. N-R2 | B-N8 |
| 24. P-R5 | P.Q4 | 61. P-R3 | P-R4 |
| 25. B-84 | P.B5 | 62. N-N4 | B-B4 |
| 26. Q-Q2 | PXNP | 63. N-R2 | B-B7 |
| 27. PXNP | P.Q5 | 64. P-N4 | P-R5 |
| 28. P-QN4 | B-N6 | 65. N-N4 | B-B4 |
| 29. R-N1 | Q-KB2 | 66. N-R2 | P-N4 |
| 30. B-B7 | QxB | 67. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | B-R2 |
| 31. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | P.KB4 | 68. N-R2 | K-B5 |
| 32. Q-Q3 | PxP | 69. N-B3 | B-N1 |
| 33. RXP | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ | 70. K-B2 | B-K |
| 34. $\mathbf{Q \times P}$ | P-B4 | 71. N-Q5ch | K-N6 |
| 35. $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | QxBP | 72. K-N3 | KxP |
| 36. P-N3 | QxP | 73. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{K} 3$ | K.N6 |
| 37. Q-Q3 | Q.K8ch | Resigns |  |

## ROUND FOURTEEN

nIMzo-IndiAN defense

## Gligoric

Keres op long diagonal, and got through after some weak play on Gligoric's part.

There was no good defense after 21. ........ QN-K4. If then 22. NxB, Q-R8ch; 23. K-K2, QxNP; 24. R-B1, N-R7; 25. B-Q2, Q-B6ch; 26. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{Q} \times R \mathrm{P}$ and mate is soon forced. Or if 22. N-K2, N-B6 wins. As played, after 22. PxN, B-Q4, if 23 . $\mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ wins the queen.


## Olafsson <br> RUY LOPEZ

Though the shortest game of the round this was the last to finish. For those who know the players, the reason was obvioustime pressure. Each had less than a minute eft at about the 25th move.
Panno's 27. ........, N-B3 was an error. It should have lost at least a pawn. The point is that in the final position Oalfsson can win a piece by the pin-30. Q-N2, N-Q4; 31. N-Q3.


## Naidorf <br> KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

Benko
Benko developed a strong game in the opening. He had won two of his last three games and was looking for more. At one point he was a pawn ahead.
Najdorf's pieces were active, however, and he found good counterplay. At the end repetition of moves is forced by 32 . Q-N8, N-Q3; 33. Q-R7, N-B, etc.

| 1. P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 17. Q-K1 | N(3)-Q2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. P-QB4 | P-KN3 | 18. P.K4 | N-N6 |
| 3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | P-Q4 | 19. BXN | BxB |
| 4. N-B3 | B-N2 | 20. N-Q5 | Q-Q1 |
| 5. P-K3 | $0-0$ | 21. R-Q2 | R-B |
| 6. $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | NxP | 22. Q-K3 | BxN |
| 7. B-B4 | N-N3 | 23. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | Q-B2 |
| 8. B-N3 | P.QB4 | 24. P.R3 | N.B3 |
| 9. 0.0 | PxP | 25. R-Q1 | Q-B7 |
| 10. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | N-R3 | 26. R-K1 | R-B5 |
| 11. Q-K2 | N-B4 | 27. QxP | NxP |
| 12. B-B2 | B-Q2 | 28. B-K3 | R-N5 |
| 13. P-QR4 | P-K4 | 29. QR-B | QxNP |
| 14. N-B3 | B-K3 | 30. R-B7 | N-Q3 |
| 15. R-Q1 | Q-K2 | 31. B-B5 | N -B1 |
| 16. P-R5 | B-B5 | Drawn |  |

Reshevsky

## ENGLISH OPENING

Petrosian
The opening was symmetrical, with nothing to choose between the players. Action began when Reshevsky started a demonstration on his king side and let Petrosian capture a pawn.
It looked safe enough, but there were a number of hidden resources for Reshevsky. For example, if 20. ........, KR-Q1 (instead of Q-Q1), 21. N-B5, B-Q4; 22. BxN, QxB; 23. N-R6 wins the exchange.

Petrosian gave up the exchange a move later and tried to make use of the passed pawn. When this threatened to win Resh evsky returned the material to equalize.

| 1. P.QB4 | P-QB4 | 19. B-R3 | Q-R4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. P-KN3 | P-KN3 | 20. R-RI | Q-Q1 |
| 3. B-N2 | B-N2 | 21. Q-Q2 | N-Q4 |
| 4. N-QB3 | N-QB3 | 22. $B \times R$ | QxB |
| 5. P-QR3 | P-QR3 | 23. KR-QB1 | N-Q5 |
| 6. R-N1 | R-N1 | 24. N-QB5 | Q-K2 |
| 7. P-QN4 | PxP | 25. K-R2 | P-N5 |
| 8. PXP | P-QN4 | 26. R-R6 | N-QB6 |
| 9. $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | PxP | 27. NxB | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 10. N-B3 | P-K4 | 28. KR-QR1 | N-B2 |
| 11. P.K4 | KN-K2 | 29. R-QB6 | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N} 4$ |
| 12. 0.0 | 0.0 | 30. R-K1 | R-Q1 |
| 13. R-K1 | P.Q3 | 31. R-B4 | N-R6 |
| 14. P-R3 | P-R3 | 32. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N}$ | PXR |
| 15. P.Q3 | B-K3 | 33. QxBP | Q-Q3 |
| 16. N-KR4 | P-Q4 | 34. Q-R5 | N-B7 |
| 17. PxP | NXQP | 35. R-QNI | N-Q5 |
| 18. N-K4 | KNXP | 36. R-N6 | rawn |

## CHARTS OF

## THE CHESS OPENINGS

Each opening statistically analysed and presented in easily read chart form so that you can determine at a glance the best move to make at any stage of the opening. Charts are based on an analysis of thousands of tournament games by the world's greatest chess players.

For example, our chart of the Sicilian Defense covers the opening moves of 6398 games; other charts in proportion.

Each chart indicates the actual percentage of wins for every single move, whether by White or by Black.

The scientific way to study the openings and to learn the lines which have been tested and proved best in actual tournament play.

Charts now available-

1. The Sicilian Defense.
2. The Ruy Lopez Opening
3. The Nimzo-Indian Defense
4. The King's Indian Defense
(includes the Gruenfeld Defense)
5. The French Defense
6. The Caro-Kann Defense

PRICE-\$3 EACH. YOUR CHOICE OF ANY FOUR FOR $\$ 10$, OR

ALL SIX FOR \$15.

If Not Satisfied, Return in 10 days For Full Refund

Order from CHESS CHARTS
3533 Central Avenue
San Diego 5, California

CROSS TABLE AND FINAL STANDINGS

| Ker |  | Pet |  | Nai |  | Ola |  | Res |  | Gli |  | Ben |  | Pan |  | W | $L$ | D | 1st | 2nd | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Keres ............................................... x | x | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 4 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Petrosian ........................................ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | x | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 31 | 5 | $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Najdorf ............................................ ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 312 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Olafsson .......................................... 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{x}$ | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 31 | 4 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Reshevsky ...................................... 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 3 | 8 | 312 | 31/ | 7 |
| Gligoric .......................................... 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 412 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| Benko ............................................. 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\times$ | x | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 3 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| Panno ................................................ ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\times$ | $\times$ | 2 | 5 | 7 | 21 | 3 | 512 |

# Chess Life 

## Here and There . . .

Blake Stevens and Henry Dove tied for the San Antonio, Texas City Championship, each with a score of $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Twen-ty-two players competed in the event, all from San Antonio. Giron and Arnold were close behind with a 4-1 score.

The Parkway Chess Club sponsored the 6th Annual Cincinnati Open held at the Central Parkway YMCA July 13-14. Rea Hayes (6-0) won with a perfect score. Edwards, Rueckert, Brand, and Berry each scored $41 / 2$ points. Thirty-four players competed in this event.

Hamilton Air Force Base was the scene of the Chickamauga Commemoration Tournament. Robert Jacobs led the 33 player field with a score of $5-1$. Nolan, Hoppe, Weaver, McCormick, and Wilcox were close behind with $41 / 2$ points. Charles Savery directed the event.

The Chateauroux Open, held at Chateauroux Air Station, France was the 2nd USCF France Rating Tournament. Frederick Bronleben won with a 4.1 point total.

Jim Tegel won the six round Ohio Junior Championship held at Cleveland, Ohio with a perfect score. George Berry was second (5-1) and John Rehberg was third $(41 / 2-1 / 2)$. The Ohio Chess Association sponsored the event and James Schroeder directed it.

The Minneapolis YMCA was host for the Region Six Championship, July 20 21, affiliated with the Minnesota State Chess Association. Charles Weldon won the event while Penquite and Dedinsky took 2 nd and 3 rd respectively by the median tie-breaking system.

The Bloomsburg Area Chess Club sponsored a very successful 2nd Annual Susquehanna Valley Open in which 46 players competed. David Daniels won the five round event with a perfect score. Manfred Kramer was second with $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Players from six different states competed in this event!

Kenneth R. Smith, USCF Master, captured the 7th Annual Arkansas Open with a score of $41 / 2$ points. Richard Schultz, expert from New Orleans tied Smith with $41 / 2$ but lost on tie breaking points. Smith, who also won the 1961 Arkansas Open, allowed his only draw to Steve Balsai of Hot Springs, Arkansas.

31 players competed in the 1963 Atlanta Open sponsored by the Atlanta Chess Club. Joel Chalifoux won with a 4-1 score. Francis Banffy directed the event.

The closely contested 1st Annual Aquatennial Open Chess Tournament, sponsored by the Minnesota State Chess Association, was won by Brendan Godfrey. John Hempel and Laszlo Ficsor were second and third, respectively. The median tie-breaking system was used. 1st Handicap Prize was won by Dr. Robert Olson, Alden Riley was director.

The 1963 USCF Futurity Tournament was held at Reading, Pa. Sponsored by the Greater Reading Chess Club, this tournament was restricted to players who had never won a USCF rated tournament. Michael Yatron won with a 5-1 score. He had competed only in U.S. Opens until last year!

The Southern California Open was a great success this year. The 100 -man event consisted of many masters, experts, and A players. The trophy and $\$ 225$ prize was won by Grandmaster Pal Benko with a $51 / 2-1 / 2$ score. Matzner, Addison, Weinberger, Lessing, and Rivise were close behind all with a 5-1 score. This tournament was the largest ever played in Southern California! The Santa Monica and H. Steiner Chess Clubs sponsored the event, with Herbert Abel as tournament director.

PFC Richard Kolbly won the Fort Leavenworth Qualification Tournament, August 1-2, finishing with a $7-1$ score. Coyle and Blust tied for 2-3rd, each with a 6-2 score.

The Commandant Fifth Naval District conducted a Chess Tournament on August 3-4 at Norfolk, Virginia. AG2 E. C. Atkinson took first place. (5-0) ENS. Di bianco was second $41 / 2-1 / 2$, and ENS. T. A. La Forge took third place by medium tie-breaking system.

The Evanston YMCA Chess Club defeated the Northwest Chess Club of Des Plaines by a $6-3$ score. Semerciyan and Youngquist drew on the first board, with Golla defeating Payne on the second board. Play was even on first six boards, but Evanston was too strong for Northwest on the last three boards.

A strong Marshall Junior team consisting of Phil Ratner, David Daniels, George Sendeckyj, Arnold Bernstein, and Paul Baroniecki swept thru the field of four other teams to win the Susquehanna Cup Matches, held on August 3-4, by a score of $4-0$. Buffalo and the Chess Promoters (a team consisting of USCF employees and tournament directors) tied for second with a score of 3-1. (1 bye)

The North Carolina and South Carolina Chess Associations sponsored the Carolinas Open Tournament in which 29 players competed. David Steele won it with $51 / 2-1 / 2$. Edmund Godbold was a close second with a 5-1 score.

The Eighth Army Chess Championships were held in August. Finalists competed in a hotly contested tournament directed by John M. Yates in Seoul, Korea. The finals were conducted as another stepping stone toward the Thomas Emery Memorial Tournament to be played in Washington, D.C. 12-19 October.
R. D. Myhro of San Fernando, Calif. won the San Fernando Valley Chess Club Rating Tournament by the median tiebreaking system over Ben Hernandez of Reseda, Calif. Bud Ross directed the five round Swiss and 24 players competed.

The Hawaii Summer Rating Tournament of 1963 was held at Kanewai Park in Honolulu, Hawaii in August. All players were from Honolulu, Hawaii and the event was won by Lloyd Kawamura ( $41 / 2$. $1 / 2$ ). Edward Ching was 2nd with 41. White and Kuwahara tied for $3-4$ with $31 / 2-11 / 2$. Fred Borges directed the tournament.

Cazenovia, New York was again the scene of the annual New York State Championship, July 27-August 4. George Mauer and Mitchell Saltzberg tied for fisrt place, each with a 7-2 score. Edward Rosenthal, Joseph Rosenstein, and Ben Greenwald finished in a tie for 3-5th place.

The 2nd Annual Fox Valley Open held at Aurora, Illinois was won by Povilas Tautvaisas with a score of 5-0. John Penquite was awarded second place by S-B time breaking system over Richard Verber, each with a score of $41 / 2-1 / 2$. $\$ 80.000$ of the entry fees was donated towards the USCF Open Fund from this tournament.

John Blackstone won the California Junior Championship played at Los Angeles by scoring $51 / 2-1 / 2$. Walter Cunningham took second 5-1 while Rod Freeman won third over Ray Bliss by tiebreaking each with $41 / 2-11 / 2$.

Andreis Donins of Grand Rapids won the Michigan Amateur Chess Tournament ( $5^{\prime \prime}-6$ ). U. Lehner, also of Grand Rapids was second (5-1) while Armour, Dean, Thackrey, Domanski and Beckwith scored $41 / 2-11 / 2$.

# TOURNAMENT LIFE 

## Sept. 21-22 <br> QUAKER CITY OPEN

5 -Round Swiss ( $50 / 2$ ), sponsored by the Philadelphia Chess Assn., will be held at LaSalle College, 20th \& Olney Ave., Philadelphia, Penna. Entry fee: $\$ 5$. Cash prizes will be announced. Please bring clocks, sets and boards. For further information contact Edward D. Strehle, 3480 Emerald St., Philadelphia, Penna.

## Sept. 28-29

## 1963 BRAZOS OPEN

Sponsored by the MSC Chess Committee of Texas A. \& M., a 5 -round Swiss (50/2) will be held at the Memorial Student Cntr, Texas A. \& M. University, College Station, Texas. Entry fee: $\$ 3$ plus USCF and TCA membership. Prize awards will consist of first and class trophies. Address entries and inquiries to B. G. Dudley, 1013 E. 23rd St., Bryan, Texas.

## Sept. 28-29

## CHAMPLAIN VALLEY OPEN

5-round Swiss ( $50 / 2$ ) will be held at the Plattsburgh Public Library, 15 Oak St., Plattsburgh, N.Y. Entry fee: \$5. plus USCF membership. Guaranteed 1 st $\$ 50$., plus trophy; 2nd., $\$ 20$.; 3rd., $\$ 10$. For further details write John N. Otis, 130 Oak St., Plattsburgh, N.Y. The Plattsburgh Chess Club is the sponsor. Please bring clocks, sets and boards.

## Oct. 4-6

## ERIEVIEW OPEN

5 -Round Swiss ( $36 / 11 / 2$ ) will be held at the Masonic Temple, 3615 Euclid Ave., Cleveland, Ohio. Entry fee: seniors $\$ 6.50$ ( $\$ 5$ for advance entry prior to Sept. 28); juniors under 21, $\$ 3.50$. Round 1-8 P.M., Oct. 4, registration 6:30 P.M. The prize awards will be $75 \%$ of entry fees. For further details contact the Ohio Chess Association, e/o Tournament Director, James Schroeder, Box 5268, Cleveland, Ohio. Please bring sets and clocks.

## Oct. 4-6

## PACIFIC SOUTHWEST OPEN

Co-sponsored by the Santa Monica and H. Steiner Chess Clubs, a 6-round Swiss ( $50 / 2$ ) will be held at Joslyn Hall, Wilshire and Lincoln Blvds., Santa Monica, Colif. Entry fee: $\$ 11.95$ plus USCF membership. Minimum awards: 1st., $\$ 300$ plus trophy; 2nd., $\$ 150 . ; 3$ rd., $\$ 75 . ;$ plus other cash awards. Address entries and inquiries to Herbert T. Abel, 4 Marine Terr., Apt. D, Santa Monica, Calif.

## 4th ANNUAL GATEWAY OPEN

The Pittsburgh Chess Club is sponsoring the 5 -round Swiss ( $50 / 2$ ) at the Golden Triangle YMCA, 304 Wood St., Pittsburgh, Penna. Entry fee is $\$ 6$. seniors, \$3. juniors ( 18 yrs.) Guaranteed first prize $\$ 100$.; cash prizes for 2 nd., 3rd.; class prizes and Junior. Address advance entries and inquiries to Wm. Byland, 1610 Bigelow Apts., Pittsburgh 19, Penna. Registration-8:30-9:30 A.M., Oct. 5.

Oct. 12-13

## ROCKET CITY OPEN

Sponsored by the Huntsville Chess Club, a 5 -round Swiss ( $45 / 2$ with adj.) will be played at the Town House Motor Hotel, 2806 Memoriai Parkway So., Huntsville, Ala. Separated into two divisions, the entry fee is $\$ 8$. for the top division and $\$ 6$. for the lower. Totel guaranteed awards amounts to $\$ 200$. with more anticipated; trophy for top in each division. Registration for the first round on Oct. 12 is 7 to 8 A.M. There will be an optional first round on Friday, Oct. 11; registration 6 to 7 P.M. For further details write Carroll Crull, 3706 Vogel Dr., N.W., Huntsville, Alabama.

## October 18-20

## 1963 BALTIMORE OPEN

A 6-Round Swiss tournament, sponsored by the Maryland Chess Association, will be held at McDonogh School, McDonogh, Maryland. Guaranteed cash awards: $\$ 100$ first prize, $\$ 50$ second, and $\$ 25$ third. Trophies to 1st, 2nd, and 3rd place winners and to high scorers in A, B, C, Jr., Unrated, and Women's classes. Entry fee: $\$ 5.00$ until October 11, $\$ 6.00$ thereafter. Entries close at 7:30 p.m., October 18. Time control: 50 moves in 2 hours. Please bring clocks, sets, and USCF membership cards. Send advance entries and inquiries to the Tournament Director: 1st Lt. Robert A. Karch, OSD, USAIC, Fort Holabird, Maryland 21219.

## October 18-20

## MIDWEST OPEN

6 -Round Swiss ( $50 / 21 / 2$ ) to be held at the Lincoln Air Force Base Service Club, Lincoln, Nebraska. Cash prizes to the first five players plus " $A$ ", "B", and "C", and Nebraska State trophies. Minimum first prize, $\$ 50$. Prizes will be $90 \%$ of net entry fees. Entry- $\$ 6$ plus U.S.C.F. membership. The first round is Friday, Oct. 18 at 2 P.M. Address entries and inquiries to Alexander Liepnieks, 1226 South 26th St., Lincoln 2, Nebraska, 68502.

## October 19-20

## 2ND ANNUAL REGION $V$ TOURNAMENT

Restricted to residents of Indiana, Ohio and Michigan, a 5 -Round Swiss will be played at the Central Y.M.C.A., 310 N.

Illinois Ave., Indianapolis 4, Ind., registration at 8 A.M. Entry fee-Seniors $\$ 6$; Juniors under 18, \$4. Prize money-75\% of the entry fees plus $\$ 75$. Address inquiries to N. L. Matthews, 238 N. 15th Ave., Beech Grove, Ind. 46107

## Oct. 19-20

## 14th LAKE ERIE OPEN

A 5 -Round Swiss will be held at the Hotel Richford, Buffalo, N.Y. with a guaranteed first prize of $\$ 100$. Entry fee is $\$ 5.00$ plus U.S.C.F. membership. First Round- 9 A.M. For further details, contact Ralph J. Nasca, 111 Whitney Pl., Buffalo, N.Y. 14201. Phone TL-6-5136

## Oct. 19-20

## CORNELL CHESS CHAMPIONSHIP

Open to all USCF members, a 5 -round Swiss to be played at Student Union Building, Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y. Entry fee: $\$ 5$. Guaranteed first prize: $\$ 50$ plus awards for class A, B, C. For details: Ira Pohl, 505 Wyckoff Rd., Ithaca, N.Y.

## October 26-27

## BIG "D" OPEN

At the Baker Hotel, Dallas, Texas, a 5 -Round Swiss with guaranteed first prize $\$ 150$.; 2nd., $\$ 50 . ; 3$ rd., $\$ 25$ plus trophies. Entry fee $\$ 5$ plus USCF \& TCA dues. Register 8 A.M. on Oct. 26. Please bring sets, boards and clocks. Inquiries and advance entry fees to Jacob M. Irvine, 5930 Palo Pinto, Dallas 6, Texas.

> Tournament organizers wishing announcement of USCF rated events should make application at least six weeks before the publication date of CHESS LIFE. Special forms for requesting sUch announcements may be obtained only from U.S. Chess Federation, 80 E. 11 th St., New York 3, N.Y.

## Last-Minute Flashes

## (Details in our next issue)

BOBBY FISCHER scored $7-0$ to take first place in the N.Y. State Open in Poughkeepsie on August 30-September 2

ARTHUR FEUERSTEIN and LARRY GILDEN took top honors in the N. J. State Open in Plainfield . . .

CHARLES WELDON captured first place in the 9th Annual lowa Open in Cedar Rapids

