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## JOIN THE UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION

USCF is a non-profit democratic organization, the officlal governing body and FIDE unit for chess in the USA. Anyone interested in advancing American chess is eligible for membership.

Membership, including CHESS LIFE subscription, ellgibility for USCF-rating, and all privileges: 1 yr.: $\$ 5.00 ; 2$ yrs.: $\$ 9.50 ; 3$ yrs.: $\$ 13.50$; Sustaining: $\$ 10.00$ (becomes life Membership after 10 payments); Life: $\$ 100.00$. Famlly Membership (two or more family members at same address, only one CHESS LIFE subscription): rates as above for first familly member, plus following for each additional member: 1 yr.: $\$ 2.50 ; 2$ yrs.: $\$ 4.75 ; 3$ yrs.: $\$ 6.75$.

CHESS LIFE is published monthly by USCF and entered as second-class matter at Dubuque, Iowa. Non-member 1-yr. subscription: $\$ 4.00$ ( $\$ 5.00$ outside USA); single copy: 40 c ( 50 c outside USA). Change of address: Allow four weeks notice; please give us both the new address and the old address, including the numbers and dates on the top line of your stencil.

Address all commumcations. and make all checks payable to:
UNITED STATES CHESS FEDERATION, so East ith Street, NEW YORK 3, N.Y.

## Record Turnout in New York City Interscholastic

New York's Interscholastic Chess League was prepared for a large entry this year, but 206 youngsters representing 57 schools presented a logistical problem that taxed the combined talents of Dircctor Milton L. Hanauer, Assistant Director William Hanauer and host John Fursa of the Chess and Checker Club of New York. When registration was completed and the players had settled down to their games, credit for the huge turnout could be given to the attractive prizes donated by Dr. Harry Bakwin and the publicity work of John Fursa.

Eight weeks of play ensued and eventually involved a total of 250 players. Teams consisted of two or four members, plus substitutes. The first two boards of
four-man teams counted in the two-man category also.
In the Senior High School division a bitter battle involved six teams: Bronx Science, Stuyvesant, Midwood, Abraham Lincoln, Far Rockaway and Francis Lewis. In the end, Bronx Science, sparked by Robin Spital's $8-0$ on top board, surged to victory with the impressive score of $251 / 2 \cdot 6^{1 / 2}$. Stuyvesant finished a strong second with $24-8$. Bronx Science also took the two-man competition and Stuyvesant was again runner-up.
The Junior High School award was won by Ryan JHS 216 (Queens). The competition involved 27 senior high high schools and 30 junior high schools. In all, $\$ 500$ in cash prizes and well over $\$ 100$ in books were awarded.

## BISGUIER TAKES SOUTHERN

Eighty players, including International Grandmaster Arthur Bisguier, converged on Huntsville, Alabama over the 4th of July week-end to play in the three divisions of the 43rd Annual Southern Open.

The night before the tournament Bisguier played a 39 -board simultaneous with early birds and Huntsville players. In $41 / 2$ hours of play he won 36 games, drew 2, and lost one.

On the afternoon of July 2nd a speed tournament was held to get things rolling. First place was taken by Bisguier who went undefeated, drawing once. USCF Master Milan Momic (2279) of Florence, Alabama and USCF Expert Ted Mercer (2023) of Dayton, Tennessee finished second and third.

First place in the Championship Division, with 31 players, was won by Bisguier with a clear $61 / 2-1 / 2$. In the early rounds he defeated Mark Gilley (1924), Richard Baldock (1979), Bob Coveyou (2053), Jerry Sullivan (2228), Jude F. Acers (2054), and Mercer; and in the last round he gave up a draw to Momic. Jerry Sullivan with a $6-1$ took a clear second, losing only to Bisguier. Momic lost to fourth place Acers in the fourth round and placed third with a $51 / 2 \cdot 11 / 2$. With a $5 \cdot 2$ Acers beat Dale Ruth of Huntsville on tie-breakers and finished fourth while Ruth finished fifth. Ken Williamson of Huntsville finished next with a $41 / 2-21 / 2$ followed by no less than nine 4-3's. Of these nine $4-3$ scores four were scored by a group of young New Orleans players who will be making themselves heard in Southern chess circles in the next few years.

Thirty-four players competed in the Amateur Division (cut-off 1900), which was won by Dave Burris of Knoxville, Tennessee with a $61 / 2-1 / 2$; a full point ahead of second place finisher Tom Heintschel of Huntsville.

The 15 -player Reserve Division ( 1600 cut-off) was won by Ralph Akin of Huntsville with a 51/2-11/2.

Southern Champions of recent years are Ned Hardy of Florida (1963) and Kenneth R. Smith (1960, 1961, 1962) of Texas.
C. M. Crull

## LATE REPORTS

As of July 18, the Editor has received news reports on only one of the many July 4th tournaments played throughout the nation. This, the Southern Open, is reported elsewhere on this page.

In order to assure prompt coverage of important events, we urge tournament officials everywhere to send in their reports as soon as possible after the tournament is concluded. News items should not be delayed until the crosstables are sent in for rating purposes; rather they should be mailed separately as soon as the tournament is over so that they can get into CHESS LIFE without delay. Remember: we can't get your results to our readers any faster than you send them in!

CORAL GABLES OPEN
A total of 52 players turned out for the three sections of the Coral Gables Open, played in Coral Gables, Florida on June $5-7$. Winner of the 25 -player top division was Dr. Jose Fernandez-Leon, formerly of Cuba and now a resident of Miami, who scored a $5-0$ sweep that included victories over runner-up Michael Valvo of New York City and third place Eugene Sadowski of Miami. Valvo and Sadowski finished with 4-1.

The Amateur Division, with twelve players, was won by Marvin E. Bloom, Miami and the Booster Division, with fifteen players, was won by Homer L. Abel of Bradenton, Florida.

## ADDISON WINS STAMER MEMORIAL

A tournament held on May $9-10$ in memory of the late Arthur B. Stamer, for many years director of the Mechanics' Institute chess room in San Francisco, was won by William Addison who scored $41 / 2 \cdot 1 / 2$ in a field of 52 players. No tiebreaking was used, and Duncan Suttles, Earl Pruner, Roy Hoppe, John Blackstone, Robert E. Burger, and Neil E. Falconer all followed the winner with scores of 4-1.

A total of $\$ 895$ was distributed in cash prizes, the prize fund being based on the entry fee of $\$ 10$ plus $\$ 405$ donated by thirty friends of A. B. Stamer. The Mechanics' Institute Chess Club was the sponsor and Gutherie McClain directed.

## IT'S UP TO YOU

to tell us that you're moving. Copies of CHESS LIFE are not forwarded by the postoffice. We need six weeks notice of any change of address.


PART OF A RECORD FIELD of New York City high school students compete in the NYC Interscholastic Championship at John Fursa's Chess and Checker Club, just off Times Square. (Story above) -Photo by Cedric Jackson

## Fischer Talks Chess

In our April issue (P. 84), Mr. Fischer presented the first game of the match between Steinitz and Dubois, played in 1862 in the early days of Steinitz's career. The second game of this little-known match is presented below and the series will be continued in future issues of CHESS LIFE.

## Game Two

## Evans Gambit Declined

Steinitz

| 1. | P-K4 | P-K4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | N-KB3 | N-QB3 |
| 3. | B-B4 | B-B4 |
| 4. | P-QN4 | ....... |

Dubois

Steinitz's favorite opening weapon in his younger days. Many years later he began to experiment with 4. P-B3, N-B3; 5. P-Q4, PxP; 6. P-K5, P-Q4; 7. B-QN5 with varying success. His attempt to improve on an old line of Greco's failed completely: 6. PxP, B-N5ch; 7. N-B3, NxKP; 8. O-O, BxN; 9. PxB, P-Q4; 10. BR3? (Steinitz's new idea), PxB; 11. R-K1, B-K3! (The bust. If Black tries to hold on to his Knight with P-B4 he gets into serious trouble after $12 . \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ ); $12 . \mathrm{RxN}$, Q-Q4 followed by O-O-O and White has zero compensation for his lost pawn.

## 4. <br> $\qquad$ <br> B-N3

Many players who are anxious to avoid the myriad complications of the Evans Gambit Accepted prefer this retreat. Unfortunately, the variations of the Evans Declined are hardly fewer in number-and Black does not have an extra pawn with which to comfort himself.

## 5. P-N5

Correct is 5. P-QR4!, P-QR3; 6. N-B3 (6. B-N2 might be stronger), N-B3; 7. N-Q5!?, NxKP (safer is 7. ........, NxN; 8. PxN, P-K5; 9. PxN, O-O! with equality) 8. O-O, N-B3! (but not 8. ........, O-O? 9. P-Q3, N-B3; 12. B-KN5, P-Q3; 13. N-Q2, B-N5?; 14. BxN and wins-Kan-Botvinnik, Soviet Championship, 1929); 9. P-Q4! and White has fair attacking chances for his pawn(s).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 5. } & & \ldots . . \\
\text { 6. } & \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{R} 4 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Having said "A" White must now say "B". If 6. B-K2, P-Q4! and White has nothing better than 7. P-Q3 which leads to an inferior ending.
6. ........ N-R3

The only correct defense. 6. Q-B3, Q-N4, B-Q5 should all lose.

| 7. | P-Q4 | P-Q3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 8. | BxN | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ |

Best.
9. BxP

$\begin{array}{cc}\text { 9. } \\ \text { Bilguier's..... } & \\ \text { R-KN1 } \\ \text { recommends } 9 .\end{array}$ QxP and gives 10. QxQ, BxQ; 11. P-QB3!, R-KN1; 12. PxB, RxB and calls the position equal, but after 13. B-Q3, PxP; 14. P-N3, I like White's position because of his compact pawn formation. Gligoric, for example, has practically made a career of winning this type of ending.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 10. BxPch } & \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} \\
\text { 11. BxP } & \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{~N} 4
\end{array}
$$



If Steinitz considered this position to be in White's favor, or even satisfactory for the first player (as his repeated adoption of this line in later games of the match would seem to indicate) then he badly misjudged his chances. White, to be sure, will have three pawns for his piece-theoretically almost an equivalent and in an endgame often an advantage, especially if the pawns are far advanced. Also, sometimes in the middlegame the pawns will outweigh the piece if they are well protected and obstruct the opponent's game. But these cases are few and far between and certainly this position is not one of them. White is far behind in development, his pawns are weak and are targets for Black's attack instead of means of restricting Black's development. In addition, White's King is exposed and in the center of the board and will, under present circumstances, have to remain there. Black's King is also slightly exposed but White will not have time to build up an attacking formation.

12. N-B3
N-B5
13. Q-B3ch
........
13. B-N3 is hopeless after 13. B-N5; 14. Q-Q3, N-N7; 15. Q-K3, QR-Q1; 16. $\mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{RxQ}$; 17. B-R4, BxP-and White got creamed. (Reti-Perlis, Vienna 1913)

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 13. } \ldots \ldots . . & \text { K-K1 } \\
\text { 14. N-Q5 } & \text { B-R4ch }
\end{array}
$$

This check is unnecessary. Black should have played 14. ........, R-B1 and then the following seems a likely continuation: 15. N-B6ch, (if 15 . B-B6, Q-N3) K-Q1; 16. R-Q1 (best), P-QR3! 17. NxP, RxQ; 18. NxQ, R-B6; 19. P-KR4, B-N5; 20. P-B3, RxQBP and if 21 . PxB, B-R4ch. If 21. B-B6ch, K-K1; 22. O-O, N-K6!; 23. PxB, RxPch; 24. K-R1, RxNP and wins.

Of course 14. ........, NxB; 15. PxN, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$; 16. N-B6ch is bad for Black. For example, 16. ........, K-K2; 17. Q-R3ch!, K-K3 (........, K-B2; 18. QxRch!); 18. QxR, QxKP; 19. N-Q5!!, QxRch; 20. K-K2 wins.
15. P-B3 NxB
15. ........, R-B1 was still very strong. If $15 . \ldots \ldots . ., \mathrm{QxP}$, White would proceed with 16. Q-R5ch as in the actual game. Black, though a piece ahead, is too anxious to reach the endgame, but this is another case where the natural move is the wrong one.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 16. P×N } & \text { QxNP } \\
\text { 17. } Q-R 5 c h & Q-N 3
\end{array}
$$

Again overanxious to exchange. After 17. ........, R-N3; 18. O-O-O, B-K3 (18. ........, Q-R6; 19. QxQ, BxQ; 20. P-KB4 followed by P-B5 is bad for Black, as is 18. Q-N4ch; 19. QxQ, RxQ; 20. P-KB4); 19. QxP, BxN; 20. PxB, Q-N4ch; 21. K-B2 (best!), Q-R3 (21. ........, R-R3, followed by 22. $\qquad$ , K-Q2 also looks satisfactory for Black); 22. QxQ, RxQ - and, despite White's ominous looking center, Black should have little difficulty in holding the ending.

Going back a few moves, after 17.
RN3; 18. O-O-O, B-K3; 19. N-B4 is complication that leads only to a drawish endgame after 19. ........, Q-N5; 20. QxQ, BxQ; 21. QR-N1, B-B6; 22. NxR, PxN; 23. RxP, BxR; 24. R-N8ch, K-B2; 25. RxR, B-N3! etc.
18. Q-R4
R-N2
19. K-Q2?
.

Better is 19. O-O-O, Q-N4ch; 20. QxQ, RxQ; 21. P-KB4, R-N7; 22. P-B5, P-B3; 23. N-K3, RxQRP; 24. K-N1, R-K7; 25. N-B4, B-B2; 26. KR-N1, K-B2; 27. N-Q6ch, with a probable draw.
19.
20. $Q \times Q$
Q-N4ch
RxQ
21. KR-N1
........

Again Steinitz should have gotten his pawns rolling with 21. P-B4, though at this stage (after 19. K-Q2?) Black gains a tempo with R-N7ch.
21. ........
R×R
22. RxR
K-B2

Of course Black does not allow White's Rook to penetrate to the seventh rank. If 23. P-KB4, B-K3; 24. P-B5? BxN; 25. PxB, R-Q1, etc. This ending should now be easily won for Black with his two Bishops, since White's pawns are too slow-footed.

| 23. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | B-K3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24. NxP | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| 25. N-N5ch | K-K2 |
| 26. P-KR3 | B-N3 |
| 27. R-N2 | R-KB1 |
| 28. P-B3 | BxQRP |
| 29. R-N4 | R-Q1ch |
| 30. K-B2 | B-K6 |

Black has neatly prevented White's pawns from advancing. The rest should be easy.

## 31. R-N2

## B-KB5

Since White's pawns were now completely blockaded, it was a waste of time bothering to win them; 31. ........., B-QB5, followed by the march of the QRP, would have reduced White to utter despair. From now on, Black fails to put the quietus to his opponent though he has several good opportunities for it. The way Black botches this ending is a crime.

| 32. P-R4 | B-B5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 33. N-R3 | B×KP |
| 34. P-B4 | B-B8 |

Or 34. ........, R-Q6; 35. PxB, RxN; 36. R-N7ch, B-B2; 37. R-R7, R-K6; 38. P-R5, RxP; 39. P-R6, RxP; 40. R-N7, R-R4, etc.

| 35. R-N5 | B-B3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 36. R-N3 | BxRP |
| 37. R-N7ch | K-B3 |
| 38. RxBP! | ........ |

An amazing resource, but it should not have saved White. Steinitz's never-say-die spirit is to be commended.
38. ........

B-Q6ch
If 38 . ........, BxN; 39. R-R7 regains the piece.
39. K-N3
BxKP
40. K-N4 K-K3

With two dynamic Bishops, Black should move in for the kill: e.g., 40. ........, B-B4!; 41. RxP (forced; otherwise 41 . ........, R-Q2), B-K8!; 42. N-N5, R-QB1; 43. RxP, RxP and the two Bishops win easily in spite of the reduced material.

| 41. N-N5ch | BxN |
| :--- | ---: |
| 42. PxB | R-Q2 |
| 43. R-B8 | P-N3 |
| 44. P-B4 | R-Q8 |

This, of course, is a blunder which throws away his last winning line: 44. ........, R-Q5. For example, 45. R-B7, K-Q3; 46. RxP, B-Q4; etc. or 45. K-B3, R-Q6ch; 46. K-N4, K-Q2; 47. R-KN8, K-B2, etc.
45. R-K8ch
K-B4
46. P-N6!
46.
47. P-B.....
R-Q2
R-Q5ch

Alert play!

This is a blunder since White now regains his piece (after 37 moves!) but it was a drawn game by now, anyway.

| 48. K-B3 | PxP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 49. P-N7 | B-Q4 |
| 50. P-N8(Q) | $B \times Q$ |
| 51. RxB | ........ |



FROM FISCHER'S

## N.Y. SIMULTANEOUS



## WOMEN'S CHESS MEETING

The USCF's Committee on Women's Chess met in New York City during the U.S. Women's Championship in a series of meetings presided over by its Chairman, Mrs. Eva Aronson. Some major policy decisions were made:

1. The Women's Championship shall be held annually, provided that sufficient funds are pledged or otherwise raised.
2. The number of players competing shall be eleven, thus assuring that all players will have an equal number of whites and blacks and leaving room for a bye in every round.
3. The first round of the championship shall begin on a Saturday.
4. A playing period of $21 / 2$ weeks was decided on for the Zonal Championship (held every three years; the next to take place in 1966). Otherwise, the championships should occupy a period of 15 days.
5. The time of year for the Zonal was changed to the latter part of July to make it easier for students and other participants to compete.
6. The site of the next Zonal was set, tentatively, for Los Angeles.
7. If any participant leaves the Championship Tournament without notifying the Director or another authority connected with the tournament, and without giving a good and acceptable reason for withdrawing, she shall be disqualified from playing in the next Championship.

In addition to Mrs. Aronson, the following are members of the Women's Chess Committee and were present at the meetings:

Miss Mona Karff
Mrs. Gisela K. Gresser
Mrs. Jacqueline Piatigorsky
Mrs. Kathryn Slater.

> FISCHER IN ACTION in a simultaneous exhibition at the Chess and Checker Club of New York. Two games from this exhibition are given above.

-Photo by Paul Eisenberg

## The Selfmate of Bobby Fischer

The "selfmate" theme of the chess problemist-a position in which one player compels his opponent to checkmate himsymbolizes suicide on the chess board. The chessplayer who prefers tournament competition to problem solving usually finds this kind of composition more amusing than artistic, and his amusement is probably due to the utter impracticality of the situation; tournament players are known to maintain a firm belief in the dictum that it is better to give checkmate than to receive it. Very few U.S. chessplayers, however, are amused by Bobby Fischer's equally impractical and unrealistic decision not to compete in the world championship qualification series and thus to surrender all of his rights to a world title match until at least 1969. The same mind that has produced some of the best chess combinations and positional gems of the past decade has also proved responsible for one of the most disappointing moments in American chess. As veteran chess analyst Hans Kmoch, who has observed and written about the games of world champions from Lasker to Petrosian, said in New York recently: "Finally the U.S.A. produces its greatest chess genius and he turns out to be just a stubborn boy."

The reasons for Bobby's decision are as complicated as some of his longest mating attacks. Over the course of the last few months he has changed his public summary of these reasons almost daily; it may be that Bobby himself doesn't know precisely why he made the decision not to participate. He is such a complex person-one minute shy and the next arrogant, for a time trusting and then suddenly suspicious, often friendly and then rude-that anyone who has come to know him well must characterize his behavior as essentially unpredictable. This is why no one could be sure up to the very last minute whether or not he would change his mind and decide to participate after all in the American Interzonal.

It was back in 1962 that Bobby first began to declare publicly that he would not take part in any more of the qualification tournaments for the world championship. These declarations started soon after the last Challengers' Tourney in Curacao, a tragic event for Bobby in which he had to put on a strong finish in order to capture fourth place behind Russian grandmasters Petrosian, Keres, and Geller. Bobby never seems to have recovered completely from the sting of that reversal. In many ways it was the turning point in his career; ever since that time he has avoided competition in international tourneys, although he has been invited to many, including the Piatigorsky tournament of 1963 at Los Angeles, in which both Petrosian, the current world champion, and Keres competed.

After the Curacao setback, Bobby wrote articles for Life and Sports Illustrated in which he claimed that the Russians had "fixed world chess" by agreeing beforehand to draw with each other and to throw games, if necessary, to ensure a Russian victory. He then stated that he would never again compete with the Russians in tourneys of this kind. In his articles charging Russian collusion Bobby neglected to mention that he personally had achieved a minus score against the Russians in the tourney and that if he had been able to defeat then individually it would have been almost impossible for the Russians to "fix" the touranment. If Bobby weren't America's only hope for the world title, readers of his articles
might have been less sympathetic and some might even have called him a sore loser. Soon after Curacao I remember suggesting to Bobby that his final showing might have been influenced by the fact that he played below his best form. His only answer was, "What? Are you a Communist, too?"

Later, at the Varna Olympiad in 1962, at the moment when it became apparent that Bobby had thrown away his winning position against world champion Botvinnik, he asked the U.S. team captain to make a formal protest that Botvinnik was receiving help during the game from Russian non-playing captain Abramov-who had been seen smiling after an exchange of a few words with Botvinnik. The idea that Botvinnik would even listen to advice from a player so vastly inferior to himself was inconceivable and no protest was lodged. After this incident several players on the U.S. team, who had previously been willing to accept Bobby's arguments regarding Russian cheating at Curacao, expressed skepticism about his claims. It seemed that whenever Bobby suffered a reversal at the hands of a Russian he blamed it on unethical practices.

In connection with Bobby's most recent decision not to compete at the Amsterdam Interzonal, one could point out that the last Interzonal in Stockholm (1961) was the scene of Bobby's greatest victory, ahead of four Russians including Petrosian. Thus the claim of Russian collusion does not seem to be a strong argument against his playing in interzonal events.

However much Fischer's 1962 claims were believed, they certainly had a profound effect on international chess and the system of world championship qualification. Soon after Curacao, FIDE voted to change the procedure followed at Challengers' tourneys and established a new system whereby the eight finalists would engage in a series of knockout matches, in much the same way as a knockout event in tennis or basketball is contested. Supposedly now there would be no way for any group of players to fix world chess, because in individual match-combat such collusion would be imin individual match combat such collusion would be impossible.

Bobby's first negative comment on the new system, which was invoked mainly to answer his vehement objections to the prior system, was that the matches themselves were too shortonly 10 or 12 games with an individual opponent. Later on Bobby added that the match-procedure was no good because once a player gained the lead he could just continue to play for a draw and "nurse" his lead until the 10 or 12 games were completed. Bobby expressed a strong preference for a "real" match, one in which the first player to win ten games outright (draws not counting) would win the match. The fact that such a match could easily last three or four months, and a complete challengers' tourney could drag on continuously for more than a year, of course renders Bobby's suggestion very impractical. But, as of this writing, Bobby maintains that the new match system is not a true test of skill and that this is the most important reason why he is not competing in the current world championship series.

Bobby's other reasons are many and varied. Last December he stated that the prize money in the Interzonal (approximately $\$ 200$ for first place) was too small a recompense for the five weeks of work and 23 games it entails; but more recently he has declared that money had nothing to do with his -Continued on page 183

## Larsen, Three Russians Tie in Amsterdam

Bent Larsen, international grandmaster from Denmark, tied for first place with three Soviet players in the 1964 Interzonal in Amsterdam.

Larsen's score was $17-6$, a tally that was matched by former world champions Vassily Smyslov and Mikhail Tal and by former world junior champion Boris Spassky. In his games against the Soviet players Larsen scored 3-2, defeating Bronstein and Spassky, drawing with Tal and Smyslov, and losing to Stein. In all, he won 13 games, drew eight, and lost two. His performance was the sensation of the Interzonal and constituted the finest showing by a West European player in many years.
U.S. representative Samuel Reshevsky, after a long and bitter struggle, tied with Lajos Portisch of Hungary for the final qualifying spot, only to lose every. thing in the play-off match. Reshevsky, the oldest player in the tournament, tied with the Hungarian for eighth and ninth, scoring $141 / 2-81 / 2$ and having the higher Sonnenborn-Berger total. Thus, under the rules in effect, Reshevsky needed to score only two points in a four-game match while Portisch needed two and a half. The surprising victory by the Hungarian player required only three games: he won the first (when Reshevsky overstepped the time limit), drew the second, and won the third.

Six players from the Interzonal will now advance into the Candidates group: Larsen, Smyslov, Spassky, Tal, Ivkov, and Portisch. They will be joined, if all goes according to schedule, by Botvinnik and Keres in a series of elimination matches to determine a challenger for World Champion Tigran Petrosian.

Leonid Stein and David Bronstein, though they finished fifth and sixth
respectively, did not qualify since a FIDE rule sets a limit of three players from any one country. This rule is a carry-over from the time when the Candidates was held as a round robin tournament and the charge was made that the Russians, by sheer weight of numbers, had an advantage over the other contestants. Now that the Candi-
dates is being held as a series of matches, it would seem that the restriction is not only unnecessary but unfair and illogical. Bronstein was naturally quite unhappy about the way things turned out and his proposal for a radical change in the Candidates set-up (see below) was officially presented to FIDE President Folke Rogard.

## Bronstein Proposes Candidates' Changes

Grandmaster David Bronstein of the USSR has proposed to FIDE that major changes be made in the method of selecting players for the 1965 Candidates' Matches. Bronstein's suggestions, if adopted by the world chess organization, would lead to a reorganization of the Candidates' event, with both Robert Fischer and Samuel Reshevsky, as well as Bronstein himself, Stein, Geller, Gligoric, Darga and Lengyel, eligible to compete in next year's big event.

In a letter to all affiliated FIDE organizations, FIDE President Folke Rogard outlined Bronstein's proposal:
" . . . Mr. Bronstein asked me to inform the national federations that
"1. He feels that the limitation of the number of Soviet players that may proceed from the Interzonal to the Candidates' matches is not fair;
"2. He proposes to raise the total number of players in the Candidates' Matches from eight to sixteen-four from the 1962 Candidates' and twelve from the 1964 Interzonal. That would mean that in the Candidates' Matches 1965 should participate:

(From 1964:)
Larsen
Smyslov
Spassky
Tal
Stein
Bronstein

Ivkov
Portisch
Reshevsky
Gligoric
Darga
Lengyel
"According to the FIDE statutes (3.5) a federation which supports the proposition by Mr. Bronstein or which wants another change in the present rules for the Candidates' Matches has to inform me in writing not later than two months before the opening of the General Assembly 1964, which means not later than September 19, 1964. If no such proposition reaches me in the time just mentioned, Mr. Bronstein's proposition cannot be taken up at the Congress."

FIDE Vice President for Zone 5, Jerry G. Spann, who is also Chairman of the USCF International Affairs Committee, has stated that Bronstein's proposal is "interesting and that "it will certainly have to be one of the things we discuss at the USCF Business Meetings in Boston." Mr. Spann requests that CHESS LIFE readers write in to express their opinions on this important issue.

## INTERZONAL TOURNAMENT-1964

| Player's Names | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Smyslov (USSR) | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17.6 |
| 2. Larsen (Denmark) | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17-6 |
| 3. Spassky (USSR) ..... |  | 0 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17-6 |
| 4. Tal (USSR) ...... |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $x$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $17 \cdot 6$ |
| 5. Stein (USSR) |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{7}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $16 \frac{1}{2} \cdot 6 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 6. Bronstein (USSR) | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | X | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16.7 |
| 7. Ivkov (Yugoslavia) |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | $\frac{5}{2}$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15-8 |
| 8. Portisch (Hungary) | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 142. $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 9. Reshevsky (USA) | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $x$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 142, $8 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 10. Gligorich (Yugoslavia) | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | X | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14.9 |
| 11. Darga (West Germany) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $x$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{2}{4}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 132, 92 |
| 12. Lengyel (Hungary) ......... | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $13 \cdot 10$ |
| 13. Pachman (Czechosiovakia) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1212-101 |
| 14. Evans (USA) | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $x$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $10 \cdot 13$ |
| 15. Tringov (Bulgaria) | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | X | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 91.131 |
| 16. Benko (USA) ......... |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 9.14 |
| 17. Rosetto (Argentina) |  | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | $8-15$ |
| 18. Foguelman (Argentina) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $8-15$ |
| 19. Bilek (Hungary) . | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $8-15$ |
| 20. Quinones (Peru) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 7-16 |
| 21. Porath (Israel) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | x | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}-17 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 22. Perez (Cuba) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | x | 1 | 1 | $5-18$ |
| 23. Berger (Australia) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | x | 0 | $4 \frac{1}{2}-18 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 24. Vranesic (Canada) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | X | $4-19$ |

# TWO FROM THE INIERZONAL 

# Annotated specially for CHESS LIFE by Grandmaster BENT LARSEN 

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

## White: Larsen <br> Black: Perez <br> 1. P-K4 <br> P.QB4 <br> 2. P.KB4

15. 
16. R-KR3
P.B3
16...N-N4, P-B4; 17. N-R6ch, BxN; 18. QxB, PxP is all right for Black. But the text costs a Rook!


After 16. ........, P-R4; 17. NxP, NxN; 18. QxRP, N-K2; 19. R-KB1, White gets an overwhelming attack, but there is no clear refutation of 16 . ........, P-R3; e.g., 17. N-N4, P-R4; 18. N-B2, P-B4.
17. QxPch
K-B2
18. P-B5!

After 18. PxP,
The only continuation. After 18.
R-R1; 19. R-B1ch, N-B4, Black wins.

| 18. | KP×P |
| :--- | ---: |
| 19. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R6}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KN1}$ |
| 20. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 21. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 1$ | BxP |

The best defense: bringing this Bishop into play and depriving the White Knight of an entry via Q5.
Against 21. ........, Q-Q2, the simplest would be 22. R-Q3 (to avoid Black's QQ5ch), followed by P-KN4.

## 22. R-N3

After 22. RxNch, PxR; 23. QxPch, K-K1 the attack peters out. After 24. N-K4, R-Q1, Black already has the counterthreat of R-Q8ch.
22. ........
BxR
23. QxPch
K-K2??

Very few players can remain calm under such an attack. A player like Perez likes to be the attacker himself and feels uncomfortable in the defender's chair, which in many cases has an unfortunate effect upon his exact calculations of the possibilities.

Corect was 23. ........., K-B1, after which best play for both sides seems to be 24 . QxNch. Q-B2; 25. Q-K4, R-Q1; 26. R-B3, BxP; 27. RxQch, KxR; 28. KxB, BxBch; 29. K-B3. This leads to a position where White's Queen and Knight will be able to cooperate very efficiently, and I am convinced that the winning chances lie with White.

If this had been a correspondence
game, perhaps White would have been studying this position-among othersbefore making his 15 th or even his 11th move! Over the board this is, of course, impossible and there is good reason to let psychological factors weigh heavily in making one's decisions.

## 24. B-N5ch

K-B1
He cannot seek shelter on the Q -side: e.g., 24. ........, K-Q2; 25. QxNch, K-B2; 26. Q-B7ch, K-B3; 27. Q-K6ch, K-B2; 28. NQ5ch winning.

| 25. QxNch | Q-B2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26. $Q-K 4$ | $R-B 1$ |
| 27. $R-B 3$ | B-B5 |

The fight is over. After 27. QR3; 7 B. RxQch, KxR; 29. Q-B5ch, it is mate in two.
28. QxB
QxR
29. PxQ
Resigns

## VIENNA OPENING

White: Larsen
Black: Lengyel

1. P-K4 P.K4
2. B-B4

N-KB3
3. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$

N-B3
4. P-Q3

B-N5
Players come to major tournaments very well prepared to play the most common openings, but who analyses the Vienna?

Maybe my choice of opening was not a shock in this case, since I had already played the Vienna against Gligoric in Round 3. Lengyel follows in Gligoric's footsteps, but in my opinion the text move is not as good as 4. ........, B-B4, which Quinones played against me in a later round.

| 5. | N-B3 | P-Q3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6. | O-O | B×N |
| 7. | P×B | N-QR4 |
| 8. | B-N3 | N×B |
| 9. | RP×N | $O-O$ |
| 10. | P-B4 | Q-K2 |

Here Gligoric played 10. ........, P-QN3. In my opinion the position is not fully satisfactory for Black because of White's stronger position in the center.


Black forces the exchange of Queens. but this does not solve his main problem: the strong White center.

| 15. $Q \times Q$ | $P \times Q$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16. $R-B 2$ | $N-K 3$ |
| 17. $N-B 3$ | $P-K B 3$ |


| 18. B-K3 | P-QR3 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 19. N-R4 | B-Q2 |
| 20. N-B5 | QR-K1 |
| 21. P-R3 | R-B2 |
| 22. K-R2 | N-B1 |
| 23. P-KN4 | N-N3 |

White's beautiful build-up on the Kside probably makes the reader expect a "minority attack" with P-KN5. This is, of course, one of the plans in the position, but carried out quickly it would probably lead to nothing but a lot of exchanges and a draw.

Instead, White brings the N to the Q side, trying to provoke weaknesses there. Since his strong position on the K-side makes a Black attack there impossible, Black is condemned to passivity.

| 24. $N$-N3 | N-K2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 25. QR-KB1 | B-K3 |
| 26. N-K2 | N-B3 |
| 27. K-N3 | N-N1 |
| 28. N-B3 | P-B3 |

Allowing White to play N-Q5 would increase Black's difficulties and make the eventual break-through on the K-side much stronger.

| 29. P-B5 | N-Q2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 30. N-R4 | R-Q1 |
| 31. P-R4 | QR-KB1 |
| 32. N-N2 | R-B1 |
| 33. R-QR1 | ....... |

I found 33. N-B4 very tempting; after 33. $\qquad$ BxN both NPxB and QPxB seemed good. I spent quite a bit of time studying these possibilities, but I didn't find any convincing plan for a decisive breakthrough. The text move initiates a very interesting and logical plan. For a long time White has felt very happy about his center pawns: well, why not get them moving?

| 33. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 34. R-R4 | K-B1 |
| 35. R-N4 | K-K1 |
| 36. P-B3 | R-B2 |
|  | ....... |

I had about five minutes left and spent most of this time on this move. I felt that this was the right moment for the advance, but perhaps it was wiser to keep the position until the adjournment?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 36. } & \text { P-KN3 } \\
\text { 37. P-Q4 } & \text { P-KR4 }
\end{array}
$$

This does not improve Black's position, but it is understandable that he doesn't care for passive play and 37. ........, P-B4 simply Ioses a pawn after 38. NPxP, NPxP; 39. QPxP.

Against quiet moves White would probably have played 38. N-Q3, reviving the old plan of P-N5. Also good was 38. N-B4, BxN; 39. RxB.
38. P-N5 BPxP

Or 38. ........, P-B4; 39. PxKP.

| 39. $B \times P$ | $P \times P$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 40. $R \times R$ | $K \times R$ |
| 41. $P \times P$ | $N-B 3$ |

The sealed move. White has a very clear advantage now, his center pawns having developed from "promising" to "menacing".


It is difficult to find another move. 42. ......... K-N2 would be a blunder because of 43 . K-K5!

White's plan was $43 . \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{BxN} ; 44$. PxB after which it should be rather easy to prepare the advance P-Q5.

| 43. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | BxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 44. RxP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ |
| 45. R-R3 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| 46. N -Q3 | K -N2 |
| 47. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |  |

The exchange of Knights gives the White King more possibilities and the White center pawns are so strong that the Bishops of opposite colors give Black no real drawing chances as long as the Rooks are on the board.

| 47. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 48. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 7$ | R-B1 |
| 49. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q6}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| 50. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Nch}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 51. K-N5 | K-B2 |
| 52. R-R1 | B-N5 |
| 53. R-QN1 | R-K3 |
| 54. R-B1ch | R-K2 |
| 55. KxP | K-K1 |
| 5..... |  |

This pawn was not very important, but still it was worth taking. Black's RP is weak now.

| 55. ....... | K-Q2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 56. R-B4 | B-K7 |
| 57. B-Q6 | R-K3ch |

Adjourned again. The next day I won more quickly than I expected.

## 58. K-N5

B-Q6
Here the Black QNP had the chance of a lifetime, and after 58. ........, P-N4; 59. P-Q5, PxP; 60. PxP, RxB! Black probably saves the game. But White can play much better: 59. R-B7ch, K-K1; 60. R-B8ch, K-Q2; 61. K-B5! B-N5ch; 62. K-B4, after which P-Q5 will soon be decisive.
59. B-K5
B-K7
60. R-B2
B-Q6
60. ........, B-N5 is no better. The following variation shows how helpless the Black Rook is in this position; 61. R-QN2, K-B1; 62. R-QR2, R-K1; 63. R-R8ch, K-Q2; 64. R-R7, K-B1; 65. K-B6, B-B6; 66. K-B7, R-Q1; 67. B-B6, R-Q2ch; 68. K-K8 and wins.

Black's strongest piece suffers terribly from lack of space!

| 61. K-B4 | R-N3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 62. K-K3 | B-B5 |
| 63. R-B5 | R-N8 |

Black's Rook gets out in the open, but it doesn't help him very much since his RP falls.
64. RxP
R-K8ch
65. K-B4 B-Q6
66. R-R7ch

This allows a nice combinative finish, but after 66. ........, K-K1; RxP, RxPch: 68. K-N5 White should also win easily.


If 69 . $\qquad$ K-B5; 70. R-Q4ch, K-B6; 71. R-K4ch, K-Q7; 72. B-B3ch! To the majority of the spectators this may have looked like a rather uneventful game, but I consider it my best game in the tournament.
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# MORE INTERZONAL GAMES 

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

## White: Tal <br> Black: Bilek

 (Annotated exclusively for CHESS LIFE by former World Champion Mikhail Tal)| 1. | P-K4 | P-QB4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | N-KB3 | P-Q3 |
| 3. | P-Q4 | PxP |
| 4. | NxP | N-KB3 |
| 5. | N-QB3 | P-QR3 |

This system is not so often seen in Bilek's games. Usually he likes his Bishop on KN2. To play the Najdorf Variation requires immense knowledge of the many forced variations involved and the Hungarian grandmaster does not seem to have learned them.

## 5. B-KN5

The principal and, in my opinion, the best possibility for White.
5.
QN-Q2
6. B-QB4
P-R3
7. BxN

In this sort of position it isn't necessary to have two Bishops. I believe this move was played for the first time in Bronstein-Milic, Belgrade 1954.
7. ….... $\quad \mathrm{NxB}$
8. Q-K2 P-K3


Milic defended by 8. ........, P-K4; 9. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ and perhaps this is better. In the diagram position, White has good chances for working up a king-side attack.

## 9. $0.0-0$ <br> 10. P-B4 <br> Q-B2

If now, for example, 10 P-QN4; 11. B-N3, B-K2 White has the very interesting piece sacrifice 12. P-K5, PxP; 13. PxP, N-R2; 14. NxKP!, BxN; 15. BxB, B-N4ch; 16. K-N1, PxB; 17. Q-R5ch, K-K2; 18. KR-B1 with a very imposing position for White. Bilek apparently didn't like to let himself in for this line, but badtasting medicine is better than sickness.

## 11. N-Q5!

The most active. If $11 . \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ and Black can defend the position. Now, after the K-file is opened, the White Knight can without much trouble get to K6.


After 12. ........, P-KN3, White can also continue 13. PxP, PxP; 14. KR-B1, B-N2; 15. N-K6 and it is hard to believe that Black has a defense.

> 12. PxP
> 14. $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{K} 6$ !

Of course!
14. ........ Q-Q3

If Black is obliged to make such a move, it would be better to resign. After 14. ......... PxN; 15. Q-R5ch, K-Q2 (15. ........ K-B1; 16. PxP, B-B3; 17. R-Q8ch); 16. Q-N4, K-Q3! White could not give mate but would continue with the simple 17. B-N3.

| 15. NxPch | K-B1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16. N-K6ch | K-K1 |
| 17. KR-B1 | B-N4ch |
| 18. K-N1 | P-N4 |
| 19. Q-R5 | ....... |

Of course White now has many winning methods. I expected here: 19. ........, R-QR2; 20. NxB, Q-KN3; 21. QxQ, PxQ; 22. N.K4, PxB; 23. N-Q6ch.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 19. } & \text { B-B5 } \\
\text { 20. B-N3 } & \text { P-R4 }
\end{array}
$$

And now the audacious White Knight delivers the knock out

## 21. N-B7ch <br> 22. P-Q6

QxN
Resigns
There is no defense against RxB and Q-K5ch.

## ENGLISH OPENING

| Evans |  |  | Tringov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. P-Q84 | P-QB4 | 21. B-R6 | BxB |
| 2. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | N-QB3 | 22. $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 3. P-KN3 | P.KN3 | 23. N -B4 | Q-Q1 |
| 4. B-N2 | B-N2 | 24. P-N4 | NXQP |
| 5. P-QR3 | P-K3 | 25. QR-Q1 | Q-B3 |
| 6. P-K4 | KN-K2 | 26. R-K3 | N-B7 |
| 7. $\mathrm{KN}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | 27. N-R5 | Q-R1 |
| 8. $0-0$ | P-N3 | 28. R-KB3 | PxN |
| 9. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NT}$ | B-N2 | 29. $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{\times P}$ | Q-K4 |
| 10. P-QN4 | P.Q3 | 30. Q-Q2 | $\mathbf{N \times P}$ |
| 11. P.Q3 | N-Q5 | 31. QxN | KR-K1 |
| 12. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | BxN | 32. P-R6 | R-K3 |
| 13. N -K2 | B-N2 | 33. Q-Q2 | R-KN3 |
| 14. B-K3 | Q-Q2 | 34. R-KN3 | R-Q1 |
| 15. P-Q4 | PxNP | 35. P.B6 | Q-Q3 |
| 16. PxP | P.Q4 | 36. B-K4 | QxP |
| 17. KP×P | KPxP | And Black over-stepped the time |  |
| 18. P-B5 | B-R3 |  |  |
| 19. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K1}$ | B-B5 | limit. |  |
| 20. Q-Q2 | P-QN4 |  |  |



Mikhail Tal
ENGLISH OPENING



RESHEVSKY, his game over, is an interested spectator of the Bronstein-Larsen encounter. This, and the other photos of the Interzonal appearing in this issue, were taken by Dr. Richard Cantwell.

|  | ruy Lopez |  | Reshevsky | Rossetto | KING's Indian |  | Reshevsky |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. P-K4 |  | 19. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ Res |  |  |  | R |  |
| N-KB3 | N -Q | 20. R $^{\text {P-91 }}$ | N3 |  | N-KB3 | 19. P-KN3 |  |
| B.N5 | P.QR3 | 21. B-K3 | Q.N2 | 3. N.OB3 | - $\mathrm{K}_{\text {- }}$ (2) | 2. ${ }^{\text {P- }}$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | QR-Q1 |
| B.R4 | N-83 | 22. N-B5 | KR-K1 | 4. P.K4 | P.Q3 | 22. PxP | Q.R4 |
| 0.0 | B-K2 | 23. B-Q4 | B-81 | 5. B-K2 | 0.0 | 23. N/3-R2 | O.B2 |
| 6. R -K1 | P-QN4 | 24. P.QN3 | P-N3 | 6. N -83 | P.K4 | 24. Q-B2 | P.B4 |
| 7. B-N3 | 0.0 | 25. N-K3 | B-N2 | 0.0 | P.- | P.QN4 | N/4 |
| P.83 | P.Q3 | 26. N-Q5 | P.83 | R-K1 | QN-Q2 |  |  |
| P-KR3 | N-Qr4 | 27. B-NI | Q-KB2 | B-81 | Pxp | N-B3 |  |
| B-82 | P.84 | 28. B-N6 | P.B4 |  |  | 28. PxP |  |
| P-Q4 | N-Q2 | 29. PxP | PxP | B-K3 | N-B4 | Q-Q2 |  |
| QN-Q2 | Px | 30. B-B7 | QR.B1 | P.83 | Q-K2 | 30. B.Q4 |  |
| Pxp | N-QB3 | 31. BXQP | B. | N - 3 |  | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| N-N3 | P.QR4 | 32. $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | BxN | Q-B2 | R-K1 |  |  |
| B.Q3 | - 1 | 33. BxN | R×B | QR-Q |  |  |  |
| Q-K2 | B-R3 | 34. RxR | BxR | N-81 | N-B1 | 34. K-B3 | Q-N8 |
| B.Q2 | PxP |  |  | Q-Q2 |  | 35. Resigns |  |
| 18. QNXQP | NxN |  |  | B-N5 | 3 |  |  |
| Tal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. ${ }_{\text {¢.K-KB3 }}$ | P- ${ }_{\text {P-K3 }}$ | 16. QR-K1 | B-KB4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8-N5 | N -83 | 18. $0 \times B$ | N.B4 | The World Chess |  |  |  |
| 4. 0.0 | B.84 | 19. B-N5 | Q-B2 | Championship, 1963 |  |  |  |
| P.83 |  | 20. R-K2 | Q.N2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{\times P}$ | KN×P | 22. BxN | ${ }_{\text {N- }}^{\substack{\text { ReB }}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Q.Q 05 | N -84 | 23. N-N4 | R-B2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.NS | N-K2 | 24. R-K6 | R-Q1 | Botvinnik v. Petrosian |  |  |  |
| Q-Q1 | N-K5 | 25. R/1-K2 | N-B4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.) QN.Q2 | P.Q83 | 26. N -B3 | R.a7 | Edited and Commented on by |  |  |  |
| 13. B.Q3 | P-KB4 | 28. $R \times R / 7$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pxpe. | N/5×P/3 |  | Resigns |  | R. G | Wade |  |
| Q.B2 |  | SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vranesic |  | 2. R-R5 Reshevsky |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. P-QB4 | P.B4 |  |  | All the games of the match, fully |  |  |  |
| 3. P.QS | P-K3 | 24. P-KR3 | K-R1 | annotated, with photos, back- |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {PxP }}^{\text {N-QB }}$ | $\underset{\text { P. } \times 3}{ }$ | 25. K 261 R ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P.K4 | P-KN3 | 27. RxB | K×R | ground material, biographical information, etc. |  |  |  |
|  | - ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | R×N |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0 | QN-Q2 | 30. P-N4 | R-K1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10. N-Q2 | N-K1 | 31. Q-B3 | B-N3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. N-K3 |  | 32. P-B5 | N-K4 | List Price . . \$4.50 |  |  |  |
| 13. P.84 | N-B2 | 34. B-K1 | PxN |  | Price | . . \$4.50 |  |
| 14. PxP 15. B.Q3 | Pxp | 35. R - $\mathrm{N}^{\text {36 }}$ | Q.B3 | Price to USCF Members: |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {B. }}^{\text {PR }}$ | 37. B -R 5 | - ${ }_{\text {QPP }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. $\mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{B} 3$ |  |  | ¢ | \$3.95 |  |  |  |
| 19. Q-K2 | N-QB2 | 40. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | Q-B2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.Q2 | con4 | 41. Resigns |  |  |  |  |  |


| Pcrath |  | BENONI DEFENSE |  | Stein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | P-Q4 | P-QB4 | 13. P-R5 | P.B5 |
| 2. | P-Q5 | N-KB3 | 14. $P \times P$ | BPXP |
| 3. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | P-Q3 | 15. B-B1 | N-K4 |
| 4. | P.K4 | P-KN3 | 16. B-R3 | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{Pch}$ |
| 5. | N-B3 | B-N2 | 17. K-Q1 | Q-N3 |
| 6. | B-KB4 | 0.0 | 18. K-K2 | P-N5 |
| 7. | Q-Q2 | Q-R4 | 19. B-K6ch | K-R1 |
| 8. | B-Q3 | B-N5 | 20. P-K5 | N-R4 |
| 9. | B-R6 | BxB | 21. N-K4 | Q-Q5 |
| 10. | QxB | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | 22. Q-K3 | N-B5ch |
|  | P×B | QN-Q2 | 23. Resigns |  |
| 12. | P-KR4 | P-QN4 |  |  |
| BIRD'S OPENING |  |  |  |  |
|  | rger |  |  | Tringov |
| 1. | P.KB4 | P-QB4 | 11. B-N5 | P-B3 |
| 2. | N-KB3 | N-QB3 | 12. B-Q2 | BXQNP |
| 3. | P-KN3 | P-Q4 | 13. R-N1 | B-K4 |
| 4. | B-N2 | P-KN3 | 14. P-KR3 | P-K3 |
| 5. | O.O | B-N2 | 15. P-B4 | $\mathbf{P \times N}$ |
| 6. | P-Q3 | N-B3 | 16. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{F} \mathrm{ch}$ | K-N2 |
| 7. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 0.0 | 17. PXN | R-QN1 |
| 8. | N-K5 | NxN | 18. K-N2 | QBXP |
| 9. | PxN | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | 19. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Q-Q2 |
| 10. | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | BXP | 20. Resigns |  |
| QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED |  |  |  |  |
|  | onstein |  |  | Berger |
| 1. | P-QB4 | P-K3 | 11. B-N3 | B-Q2 |
| 2. | N-QB3 | P-Q4 | 12. N-K5 | R-B1 |
| 3. | P.Q4 | N-KB3 | 13. Q-Q3 | QN-Q4 |
| 4. | B.N5 | B-K2 | 14. KR-K1 | B-B3 |
| 5. | P-K3 | QN-Q2 | 15. Q-R3 | P-QR3 |
| 6. | N-B3 | 0.0 | 16. QR-Q1 | P-N4 |
| 7. | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | PxP | 17. B-B2 | Q-Q3 |
| 8. | BXBP | P.B4 | 18. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 9. | O-O | P×P | 19. BxN | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 10. | P×P | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 20. N-K4 | Resigns |
| Tal |  | CARO-KANN DEFENSE |  |  |
|  |  | Benko |  |
| 1. | P.K4 |  |  | P-QB3 | 12. 0.0-0 | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 2. | $\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{Q4}$ | P-Q4 | 13. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | Q-B2 |
| 3. | N-QB3 | PxP | 14. N-K5 | P.R3 |
| 4. | NxP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | 15. P-N4 | B-Q3 |
| 5. | B-QB4 | KN-B3 | 16. P-N5 | RPXP |
| 6. | N-N5 | P-K3 | 17. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{NP}$ | BXN |
| 7. | Q-K2 | N-N3 | 18. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | QxP |
| 8. | A-N3 | P-KR3 | 19. R-Q8ch | K-K2 |
|  | N/5-B3 | B-K2 | 20. R×R | QxN |
| 10. | N-R3 | P-B4 | 21. Q-Q2 | Resigns |
| 11. B.K3 |  | QN-Q4 |  | Resigns |
|  |  | FRENCH DEFENSE |  |  |
| Stein |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | P-K4 | P-K3 | 11. B-QN5 | QXRP |
| 2. | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 12. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 0.0 |
| 3. | N-QB3 | B-N5 | 13. R-N3 | Q-R7 |
| 4. | P-K5 | P-QB4 | 14. Q-B1 | P.QR3 |
| 5. | P-QR3 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Nch}$ | 15. B-Q3 | N-B4 |
| 6. | P×B | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | 16. R-R3 | QxR |
| 7. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Q-R4 | 17. $Q \times Q$ | $\mathrm{N} / 4 \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| R. | B-Q2 | QN-B3 | 18. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 9. | QR-N1 | Q-R5 | 19. BxPch | Resigns |
| 10 |  | PXP |  |  |
| RUY LOPEZ |  |  |  |  |
| Porez |  |  |  | Spassky |
| 1. | P.K4 | P-K4 | 11. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | O.O |
| 2. | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{KB3} 3$ | N-QB3 | 12. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | B-Q2 |
| 3. | B-N5 | P-QR3 | 13. N-B5 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 4. | B-R1 | N-B3 | 14. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | P.R6 |
| 5. | P-Q4 | P×P | 15. P-QN3 | Q-Q2 |
| 6. | $0 \cdot 0$ | B-K2 | 16. Q-B3 | QR-K1 |
| 7. | R-K1 | P-QN4 | 17. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | P.Q4 |
| 9. | P-K5 | NXP | 18. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 9. | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N}$ | P-Q3 | 19. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N}$ | B-N7 |
| 10. | R-N5 | PxB | 20. Resigns |  |

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

## BONFIRE

BONFIRE, a newsletter, requests your letter for publication discussing any aspect of chess. Letters should be written as open letters to BONFIRE's readers and signed with the writer's address included. Subseription rates of BONFIRE are $\$ 1.30$ for twelve issues.

BONFIRE
Box 14122
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS (782-14)


CARO-KANN

| Tal | P.K4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | P-Q4 |
| 3. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 4. | NxP |
| 5. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |
| 6. | KN-K2 |
| 7. | P-KR4 |
| 8. | N-B4 |
| 9. | B-B4 |
| 10. | Q-K2 |
| 11. | 0.0 |
| 12. | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ |
| 13. | R-Q1 |
| 14. | B-Q2 |
| 15. | B-B3 |
| 16. | R-K1 |
| 17. | PxP |
| 18. | N-K6 |
| 19. | QR-Q1 |
| 20. | P-B4 |
| 21. | N×P |
| 22. | B-K6 |
| 23. | B-Q4 |
| 24. | K-B2 |
|  | BxN |

## Evans

| 1. | P-K4 | P-QB4 | 25. R-K3 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | P-QR4

## BONE EDGES BILLS IN SPACE CITY

The Space City Open, played in Houston, Texas on June 13-14, attracted a field of 53 players. When the final round ended, Eric D. Bone of Baytown, Texas and William A. Bills of Houston were on top with scores of $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Median tie-breaking gave first place to Bone. Bills was second, David M. Lees, Conally AFB , was third and $2 / \mathrm{Lt}$. Peter H. Gould, Lackland AFB was fourth.

Bills was the T.D. and Bone and Joseph A. Fliegel were his assistants. Fliegel, losing a won game in the final round, prevented the directors from making a clean sweep of the event!

## HURT CAPTURES ALA. EVENT

John Hurt's 5-0 gave him first prize in the Birmingham Open, played in Birmingham, Ala. on May 29-31. Fred Kemp, also undefeated, gave up two draws to finish second, with 4-1. Milan Momic, a hard man to beat, was beaten once and yielded a draw, finishing third with a score of $31 / 2-11 / 2$.
Seventeen players took part in the Open and twenty-four competed in the Birmingham Amateur which ran concurrently. The Birmingham Chess Club sponsored the events and John Dohne directed.

## YUGOSLAV SCORES IN DOWNEAST

Milan Vukcevich, a Yugoslav master now studing at M.I.T., topped the 28 player Downeast Open played in Portland, Maine on June 19-21. He scored $51 / 2-1 / 2$ to finish a half-point ahead of runner-up Alexander Keyes of Cambridge, Mass. Veteran Expert Harlow Daly of Portland took third with a score of 4-2.

## THEODOROVICH TAKES FALLS CITY

Canada's Ivan Theodorovich, winner of many U.S. touranments, added another first prize to his collection by journeying to Louisville, Kentucky where he scored $41 / 2-1 / 2$ to take top honors in the Falls City Open on June 13-14. Jorge Fischbarg, 4-1, was second in the 22 player field and Charles W. Rider took third. The Louisville Chess Club sponsored the tournament and Dr. Samuel Fulkerson directed.

## ZUCKERMAN RETAINS TITLE

Bernard Zuckerman, USCF Senior Master from Brooklyn, retained his title of Manhattan Chess Club Chamoion by going through the 14 -player field without a loss, scoring 10-3. Arthur Bisguier and Robert Steinmeyer tied for second and third with $91 / 2-31 / 2$.

Zuckerman defeated Mrs. Gisela Gresser, Stewart Reuben, Victor Guala, Steinmeyer, Arthur Feuerstein, Walter Murdock, and Martin Harrow, and drew with Neil McKelvie, Bisguier, Ervine Farkas, Eugene Shapiro, Brian Owens, and Asa Hoffmann. His score of 10.3 was identical with his winning performance of last year.

Plan NOW<br>to PLAY IN THE<br>U.S. OPEN IN BOSTON

AUGUST 16-29

BIRD'S OPENING

|  | rsen |  |  | Spassky |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | P-KB4 | P-Q4 | 31. N-K6 | Q-R1 |
| 2. | N-KB3 | N-KB3 | 32. P-R5 | P-KR3 |
| 3. | P-K3 | P-KN3 | 33. R-N2 | NPXP |
| 4. | P-QN4 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 34. R-R2 | PxP |
| 5. | B-N2 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 35. NxPch | K-K1 |
| 6. | B-K2 | B-N5 | 36. P-B5 | $\mathbf{R \times P}$ |
| 7. | O-O | P-B3 | 37. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | PXR |
| 8. | P-QR4 | QN-Q2 | 38. Q-R4ch | K-B1 |
| 9. | N-R3 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | 39. R-KN2 | R-K1 |
| 10. | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | R-KT | 40. Q-Q7 | Q-R3 |
| 11. | P-Q4 | N-K5 | 41. QXRP | Q-R1 |
| 12. | BxN | P×B | 42. Q-Q7 | Q-R3 |
| 13. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | N-N3 | 43. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-B2 |
| 14. | N-R5 | N-Q4 | 44. N-N5ch | K-B1 |
| 15. | Q-K1 | Q-Q2 | 45. K-R2 | P-R5 |
| 16. | P-B4 | N-B3 | 46. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-B2 |
| 17. | P-N5 | Q-B2 | 47. N-N5ch | K-B1 |
| 18. | R-N1 | N-N5 | 48. K-R3 | P-B5 |
| 19. | PxP | P-N3 | 49. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-B2 |
| 20. | Q-K2 | P-B4 | 50. N-N5ch | K-B1 |
| 21. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | QXQBP | 51. R-N1 | P-B6 |
| 22. | P-Q5 | QXRP | 52. Q-K6 | Q $\times$ Q |
| 23. | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | K×B | 53. PXQ | K-N2 |
| 24. | N-Q4 | KR-QB1 | 54. $\mathrm{N} \times$ Pch | K-R3 |
| 25. | P-R3 | N-B3 | 55. $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | N-K5 |
| 26. | KR-B1 | Q-Q2 | 56. NXN | PxN |
| 27. | P-N4 | K-B2 | 57. K×P | R-QR1 |
| 28. | P-N5 | N-K1 | 58. P-B5 | R-R7 |
| 29. | Q-QR2 | N-Q3 | 59. R-N8 | R-KB7 |
| 30. | P-R4 | Q-K1 | 60. R-KB8 | Resigns |
|  |  | K-FIANCHETTO | DEFENSE |  |
| T |  |  |  | Tringov |
| 1. | P-K4 | P-KN3 | 10. KR-K1 | P-GR3 |
| 2. | P-Q4 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 11. B-B4 | P.K4 |
| 3. | N-QB3 | P-Q3 | 12. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 4. | N-B3 | P-QB3 | 13. Q-Q6 | QxN |
| 5. | B-KN5 | Q-N3 | 14. QR-Q1 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 6. | Q-Q2 | QxNP | 15. BxPch | K×B |
| 7. | R-N1 | Q-R6 | 16. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-K1 |
| 8. | B-QB4 | Q-R4 | 17. Q-K6ch | Resigns |
| 9. | O-O | P-K3 |  |  |
| NIMZO-INDIAN |  |  |  |  |
|  | shevsky |  |  | Porath |
| 1. | P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 18. P-K4 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ |
| 2. | P-QB4 | P-K3 | 19. $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| 3. | N-QB3 | B-N5 | 20. B-KN5 | NxB |
| 4. | P-K3 | P-B4 | 21. $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 5. | N-B3 | P-Q4 | 22. $B \times R$ | QxB |
| 6. | B-Q3 | O-0 | 23. QXBP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| 7. | O-O | N-B3 | 24. Q-B3 | QxN |
| 8. | P-QR3 | BXN | 25. QXP | N-B4 |
| 9. | P×B | QPXP | 26. QR-Q1 | QxKP |
| 10. | BxBP | Q-B2 | 27. Q-B3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q}$ ? |
| 11. | B-N5 | P-QR3 | 28. KR-K1 | Q-N3 |
| 12. | B-K2 | P-K4 | 29. R-K3 | Q-Q3 |
| 13. | P-R3 | B-B4 | 30. Q-B6 | Q-B3 |
| 14. | P-Q5 | QR-Q1 | 31. $\mathrm{R} / 1-\mathrm{K} 1$ | Q-Q1 |
| 15. | P-B4 | P-QN4 | 32. R-N1 | R-K1 |
| 16. | N-R4 | B-B1 | 33. $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Rch}$ | QxR |
| 17. | Q-B2 | N-QR4 | 34. R-QB1 | Resigns |

## DUCHARME TOPS WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS

A total of thirty players turned out for the 13th Annual Western Massachusetts Tournament, played in Easthampton, Mass. on May 17 and 24. Top honors went to a home town player, John J. Ducharme, who scored $51 / 2 \cdot 1 / 2$. Albert B. Humphrey, Great Barrington, Mass. was second with 5-1. Irving Pierce and Harvey Burger, both of Springfield, Mass. and Cecelia Rock of Hinsdale, Mass. finished in the order listed, all scoring 4-2. The tournament was sponsored by the Western Massachusetts and Connecticut Valley Chess Assn., and was directed by Francis W. Keller Jr., and Thomas M. Colthart.

BOOST AMERICAN CHESS!
TELL YOUR FRIENDS ABOUT USCF

## FINAL ANNOUNCEMENT CHESS HORIZON'S HARRY NELSON PILLSBURY COMPETITIONS-1964

Being held to commemorate the Silver Anniversary of the founding of the U.S. Chess Federation and the 65th Annual U.S. Open Chess Championship, Boston 1964.

The Competitions are divided in to two sections:

1. Two Move Problem
2. Chess Literature

TWO MOVE PROBLEM-maximum of 3 entries per composer set on diagram with full solution in duplicate and indicate theme, but with name on one diagram only. Corrections accepted until closing date. Prize Fund: \$100. (U.S. Dollars). 1st $\$ 30.00$, 2nd $\$ 25.00$, 3rd $\$ 20.00$, 4th $\$ 15.00$, 5 th $\$ 10.00$. This may be modified at the discretion of the Judge, depending on the caliber of entries. JUDGE: ERIC M. HASSBERG (U.S.A.) FIDE International Judge of Chess Problems. All participants will receive a copy of the Award.

CHESS LITERATURE: This category is established with the purpose of encouraging creativity in allied fields to the game of chess. The initial subjects for the first competition are:

Short-Short Story-All entries should be typed in double space and should not exceed 1500 words. The entries must be on chess themes. They will be judged on the basis of originality, content, treatment, interest, etc.

Poetry - subject matter should pertain to chess. Please limit work to 25 lines. Will be judged as above.
Prize fund: $\$ 100.00$ (U.S. Dollars) Short Story 1st $\$ 50.00$, 2nd $\$ 25.00$, 3rd-5th International Pocket Library. Poetry 1st $\$ 15.00$, 2nd $\$ 10.00$, 3rd-5th 1 yr. subscription to POET LORE.

Chief Judge of Chess Literature: EDMUND R. BROWN, U.S.A.-Editor-in-Chief: Bruce Humphries; Editor: the International Pocket Library; Editor: Poet Lore. General - Overseas competitors who are unable to express their Literary style in English may submit entries in German, French or Spanish.

## Send all entries to: <br> COMPETITIONS CHESS HORIZON <br> 981 Plymouth Street Bridgewater, Mass. 02324 U.S.A.

Competition entries must be submitted by September 15, 1964 (Postmarked). Receipt of all entries to be acknowledged. Only never before published material is acceptable.


## Chess Notes

## by International Grandmaster A. O'KELLY DE GALWAY

## (Translated by Beth Cassidy)

THE INTERZONAL TOURNAMENT which is presently being played in a large government building in Amsterdam is the strongest tournament ever played in Holland. Of the 24 participants, 18 are grandmasters and 6 are international masters-participation in an interzonal automatically giving the title of international master to those who did not already hold it.

To avoid eventual "combines" between players of the same nationality, the first round drawing was done in such a way that representatives of the same country were paired against each other. Some unexpected results of the early rounds were Darga's win over Spassky (Darga getting his revenge for his defeat at Varna in 1962) and Bronstein's win over Stein. Stein, in a favorable position, went in for a combinational sacrifice which was unsound and he finished up with a Rook against a Bishop and a Knightlosing the long endgame. Larsen was awakened too late by the hotel porter and arrived with three-quarters of an hour gone on his clock, but that did not stop him from beating Pachman all the same.

From the beginning of the tournament the Danish Grandmaster Larsen had his mind made up as to which way he was going. At the outset he said that he would take 6th place and now (at the end of the 11th round) it looks as if he might be right. (Actually, his prediction was far too modestl-Ed.) However, the last five rounds will be uphill work for him because he has yet to play the five Russian grandmasters. If Larsen maintains his present form, this will be his greatest success since the 1956 Olympiad in Moscow.

The second non-Russian to make sure of his place in the Candidates is Ivkov, who, without any fuss, has quietly been collecting precious points.

Reshevsky, after a series of five draws, has started to win some games, but like Ivkov, he has so far been eating the cake because he has yet to play the Soviets. The latter are still busily oc cupied in playing with each other, in keeping with the idea that players of the same nationality should meet in the early rounds.
Gligoric, one of the favorites to qualify for the Candidates, has been having a rough time of it, losing three games in a row to Stein, Spassky and Smyslov. Such a thing hasn't happened to him for many years.

The two former World Champions, Smyslov and Tal, are in good form but in the case of Smyslov fatigue makes itself felt at the end of the five-hour sessions with the result that he lost two precious half-points, drawing won games against Spassky and Pachman and taking
a draw also from Foguelman, one of the weaker players in the tournament.

Dr. Limbos, the Belgian Champion, came to visit the tournament and presented bimself to the official at the entrance. The official scratched his head and said, "Well I'll be darned-1 thought Koltanowski was the Champion of Belgium!" He was only thirty years behind the times, since Koltanowski left Belgium for the U.S. several yaars before the War.

Here is one of the liveliest games played so far in the Tournament; one that kept the spectators in suspense right down to its unexpected end.

## OLD INDIAN DEFENSE

## White: Portisch <br> Black: Tal

1. N-KB3

A quiet move; if you don't push the pawns, you create no weaknesses!

## 1. ........ $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ <br> 2. P-KN3 P-Q3

It would be wiser to continue symetrically, particularly against a well-prepared opponent.

| 3. | P.Q4 | P-KN3 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 4. | B-N2 | B-N2 |
| 5. | $0-0$ | O-O |
| 6. | P-B4 | B-N5 |

The fun begins! The usual continuation of the King's Indian is 6 . ........, P-B4; 6. ........, N-B3 or 6. ........, N-Q2 are less chancy.
7. N-B3
Q-B1
8. R - Kl
R-K1
9. Q-N3
……

Restraining the movements of the black Queen and clearing the $Q$-file.

| 9. | N..... |
| :--- | ---: |$\quad$ N-B3

Refuting Black's opening plan. P-QB4 and P-QB3 are no longer possible for Black and White threatens P-N4.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 12. } & \text { B-Q2 } \\
\text { 13. } Q 2 & P-Q B 3
\end{array}
$$

An error in calculation, which can often happen in a bad position. Better would have been 13 . $\qquad$ P-QN4.
14. P-QN4


Realizing that to retreat the Knight to N2 would lead to a completely cramped position, Tal takes the bull by the horns to avoid a passive game.


After his sacrifice on the 14th move, Tal intended playing 16. ........, N-K5, but he now sees that this does not work because of 17. NxQP. Feeling himself to be on shaky ground, he starts juggling with the pieces in the hope that Portisch, already short of time, will run into time trouble and miss the best line in the ensuing complications.

| 17. $B \times R$ | QxB |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18. $N(B 3)-N 5$ | $R-Q B 1$ |
| 19. $Q-Q 1$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |

The threat 19. ........, N-N5 fails against 20. NxP (Q4), B-R5. 21. Q-Q2. After 19. N-N5 not 20 . B-N2 because of 20 . ........., N-K6 and Black wins!
20. P-B3

Simplest, but the threats continue
20.

P-QR3
21. N×P (Q4) Q-Q4
22. B-K3 R-B6

Black makes the most of his remaining material.


## 23. N/Q4-B2

Portisch is getting nervous and short of time. He could quite easily $h$ ave played 23. PxN, Qx P; 24. B-B2 and the eventual $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ would satisfactorily cover the white squares.
23.
Q-KB4
24. P-N4

Portisch took 20 minutes on this move, leaving himself extremely short of time. The simplest move here was 24 . B-Q4.

Tal's intention was to continue with 24. ......... NxNP, but after 25. BxB there is nothing left for him but to weep. But if 24 . B-Q4, NxNP; not 25 . PxN because 25. ........, Q-R6 insures a perpetual check. After 26. B-B2, Black counters with 26. ........, B-K4 and 27. P-B4 does not work because of 27 . RxNP followed by mate.
24.
Q-K3
25. B-Q4
P.KR4

Tal does not get discouraged too easily!
26. BxB

Pocketing another piece.
26.


At this point the spectators left the other games and gathered around Portisch and Tal. A Yugoslav journalist bet Benko that Tal would not lose, but the American wisely refused the bet.

## 27. N-Q4

After 27. BxR, P-N6; 28. Q-Q4, PxPch; 29. K-R1, N-N6ch; 30. K-N2, Q-R6ch; 31. K-B2 and Black is at the end of his resources.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 27. } \\
\text { 28. } \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q4} \\
\mathrm{QXKP}
\end{array}
$$

Black is three pieces down and he is still threatening.

| 29. N-B3 | Q-Q6ch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 30. K-R1 | B-B3 |
| 31. R-KB1 | ....... |

Necessary. If 31. Q-Q4, PxN; 32. QxQ, P-B7ch; 33. Q-B3, RxQ, regaining his material with a winning advantage.

| 31. | RxN |
| :--- | :--- |
| 32. Q - | Px |
| 33. QxB | $\ldots . . .$. |

If 33. $\mathbf{Q x Q}$, PxPch and wins.

| 33. |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 34. R-KN1 | QxP |
| 35. QR-K1 | K×B |
| 36. R-Q1 | Q-K7 |
| 37. QR-K1 | Q-Q7 |
| 38. R-Q1 | Q-K7 |
| 39. QR-K1 | $\ldots . .$. |

Drawn by repetition. With 30 seconds left for thought, Portisch did not argue, believing Tal's mass of center pawns sufficient compensation for the Rook. Once more the magician pulled it off! A game such as one seldom sees.


Berlin, 1881

## FRENCH DEFENSE



| 16. K-N2 | B-Q3 | 21. P-QB4! | PxP |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 17. R-R1 | N-B1 | 22. BxBP | P-KR4 |
| 18. R-R3 | P-KN3 | 23. R-R4 | P-N4 |
| 19. QR-R1 | QR-Q1 | 24. B-N3 | N-K3 |
| 20. B-KN5 | R-Q2 | 25. B-B6 | N-B5ch |



| 26. $\mathbf{Q \times N ! !}$ | BxQ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 27. $R \times P!$ | $P \times R$ |
| 28. $R \times P$ | Resigns |



Mexico, 1928
CARO-KANN DEFENSE


| V. Smyslov |  | C. Kottnauer |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1. | P-K4 | P-QB4 | 10. R-Q1 | QN-Q2 |
| 2. | N-KB3 | P-Q3 | 11. P-QR4 | PxP |
| 3. | P-Q4 | PxP | 12. NxRP | B-N2 |
| 4. | NxP | N-KB3 | 13. P-K5! | NxP |
| 5. | N-QB3 | P-QR3 | 14. BxB | RxB |
| 6. | B-K2 | P-K3 | 15. QxP | Q-N1 |
| 7. | O.O | P-QN4 | 16. N-B6 | NxN |
| 8. | B-B3! | R-R2 | 17. QxNch | N-Q2 |
| 9. | Q-K2 | R-B2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



# USCF RATING SUPPLEMENT JULY, 1964 

## EVENTS RATED FOR THIS LIST

NATIONAL-U.S. Women's Championship-New York-April-May. U.S. Amateur Championship-New Jersey-May.

FOREIGN-April in Paris International-April.
ALABAMA-Alabama Open-both divisions-May.
ARIZONA-Phoenix Open-April.
International Rating Tournament-May.
Matches: Snider-Klein, Barber-Smith, Kelly-Leach, McInnes-Shimmons.
CALIFORNIA-Central California Chess League-September-March. Steiner C.C. Master and Reserve round roblns-January-February. Sacramento City Championship- 2 sections-April.
Riverside C.C. Championship-December-April.
California Collegiate Championship-March.
3rd Davis Rated Tournament-February-May.
4th Davis Rated Tournament-May.
Westchester C.C. Challenge Matches-May.
San Bernardino Open-May.
CFNC Invitational round robin-May.
San Bernardino C.C. Championship-September-April.
Richmond C.C. Rating Tournament-April-June.
Match: Rosenthal-Hunt.
COLORADO-Denver Rating Improvement Open-April-May,
CONNECTICUT-New London YMCA C.C. Championship-Expert and A divisions-October-April.
Connecticut State Championship-March-May.
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA-D.C. League-October-April.
D.C. Championship-April-May.

FLORIDA-Florida Experts'-Expert and A divisions-April.
Team Match-St. Petersburg C.C.-Trophy C.C.-May.
Coral Gables Open-June.
Match: Leonard-Lott.
GEORGIA-Atlanta Team Championship-April,
Atlanta C.C. Championship-April.
IDAHO-Boise Valley Rating Tournament-February-April.
ILLINOIS-Saluki C.C. Championship-October-December.
Greater Chicago Open-April.
I.I.T. Open-March-April.

Oak Park Spring Swiss-April.
Gompers Park Spring Swiss-March-May. Match: Morvay-Scheaffer.
INDIANA-Indiana Closed State Championship-May.
Notre Dame USCF Tournament-May.
IOWA-Iowa State Championship-April.
KANSAS-Match: Winters-Davidson.
KENTUCKY-Falls City Open-June.
LOUISIANA-Louisiana Chess League-1963-4.
Tri-C Championship-October-January.
New Orleans C.C. Training Tournament-January-March.
Lafayette City Championship-April.
Southwest Louisiana Open-June.
Matches: Kleinfeldt-LeBon (2), Schultz-Acers, Acers-Klingman, DeanRePass, Levin-Kleinfeldt.
MARYLAND-Maryland Open-April. Eastern Junior Championship-March-Aprll. Match: Freeman-Thurman.
MASSACHUSETTS-Lowell C.C. Championship-February-March. Western Massachusetts Tourney-May.
MICHIGAN-East Lansing Open-October-November. Huron Valley Open-April.
Central Michigan Open-April.
Match: Posner-Murray.
MINNESOTA-Twin City Chess League Team Round Robin-OctoberMarch.
St. Paul Open-January.
1st Minneapolis Chess Tornado-March.
Minnesota State Champlonship-Major, Premier and Playoff sec-tions-February-March.
Minneapolis YMCA Rating Tournament-January-April. 2nd Minneapolis Chess Tornado-May. Match: Tykwinski-Kotrich.
MISSISSIPPI-Louislana-Mississippi Open Championship-April.
MISSOURI-St. Louis District Championship-March-April. Greater Kansas City Championship-March-April. Kansas City International-April.
Graham Memorial Tournament-March-May.
Missouri Open-May.

NEBRASKA-Lincoln City Open-February-May.
NEVADA-Nevada State Tournament-April.
NEW JERSEY-Jersey City YMCA C.C. Championship-January-April. Princeton University Fall Tournament-October-January.
Monmouth Invitational-April.
New Jersey Amateur-April.
Suburban C.C. Championship-October-May.
Camden C.C. Championship-January-March.
South Jersey Chess League-September-May.
Woodbury C.C. Championship-October-May.
Haddonfleld-Ruth C.C. Tournament-1963-4.
NEW MEXICO-Team Match: Albuquerque-Los Alamos-April. Albuquerque Open-June.
NEW YORK-Marshall C.C. Championship-January-March. Rochester City Championship-January-March.
Marshall C.C. A, B and C Tournaments-February-Marcb
Clarkson College C.C. Championship-February-April.
Hornell Open-March.
Rossolimo's Every Sunday Tournament-March-April.
Team Match: Plattsburgh C.C.-Malone C.C.-April.
Cornell International Open-April.
Weatt C.C. Champlonship-January-April.
Equitable Life Assurance Society C.C. Championship-OctoberApril.
New York State Junior Championship-March.
New York State Intercollegiate-May.
Central New York Open-May.
Team Match: Kingsmen C.C.-Queens C.C.-May.
Marshall C.C. Women's Championship-February-April.
Jamaica Championship-January-April.
Marshall C.C. Junior Championship-March-May.
Tri-County Junior Amateur-May.
Manhattan C.C. Candidates and Experts Finals-January-May.
Marshall C.C. International Amateur Championship-April-May.
Marshall C.C. Monday and Thursday night tournaments-AprilJune.
Manhattan C.C. Championship-January-June.
London Terrace C.C. Championship-February-June.
Kings Knight C.C. Round Robin-November-June.
New York State Amateur Championship-June.
Matches: Wilson-Zukoff, Ellin-B. Pandolfini, Weldemaa-Pedasto, Spital-Ploss.
NORTH CAROLINA-North Carolina-Virginia Match-April.
NORTH DAKOTA-Minot International Open-June.
OHIO-Cincinnati Champlonship-Tournament and Playoff-JanuaryMarch.
Chess Center Winter Festival-January-April.
Forest City Open-April.
Queen City Open-April.
Buckeye Open-May.
Match: Lutes-Zageris.
OKLAHOMA-Norman Chess Festival-April.
Oklahoma City Open-June.
PENNSYLVANIA-Glen Hartleb Memorial-May.
Pittsburgh Chess League-October-April.
Golden Triangle Open-April.
Philadelphia Championship-May.
Franklin Mercantile Open-March
Pittsburgh C.C. Championship-February-June.
TENNESSEE-Knoxville Open-December.
Nashville Invitational-April.
Tennessee State Team Tournament-Aprll.
TEXAS-Southwest Intercollegiate Tournament-April.
Odessa C.C. Fall Rating Tournament-November.
Corpus Christi Clty Championship-February-March.
VERMONT-Vermont Open-June.
VIRGINIA-Arlington Experts Invitational-January-March.
Arlington Ladder-Rounds 301-310-February-April.
Match: Flowers-Massinger.
WASHINGTON-Washington State Championship Match-March. Puget Sound Open-May.
WEST VIRGINIA-Huntington YMCA C.C. Spring Open-April.
Greater Wheeling Rating Tournament-April.
Huntington Open-June.
WISCONSIN-Milwaukee Team Tournament-Captain's Section-Febru-ary-March.
Wisconsin Championship-April.
( 2400 and above)


## MASTERS <br> (2200-2399)

| Avram, Herbert (Md.) $\qquad$ |
| :---: |
| Bornholz, Robert (Pa.)...................................... 2210 |
| Brandts, Paul (N.Y.)............................................ 2276 |
| Brasket, Curt (Minn.)....................................... 2367 |
| Braude, Alfred (N.Y.).................................. 22 |
| Crittenden, Charles C. (N |
| Cunningham, Walter R. (Cal.)..................... 2231 |
| Currie, Robert G. (Cal.).............................. 2244 |
| Fernandez, Dr. Jose (Fla.)........................... 2312 |
| Feverstein, Arthur (N.Y.)........................... 2367 |
| Finegold, Ronald (Mich.)............................ 2259 |
| Gilden, Lawrence C. (Md.)............................ 2390 |
| Harrow, Martin (N.Y.).................................. 2305 |
| Hoffmann, Asa (N.Y.)................................. 2370 |
| Jacobs, Robert M. (Cal.)............................... 2267 |
| Jones, Stephen (Tex.)....................................... $22 .$. |
| Kalenian, Clarence (Pa.).............................. 2200 |
| Kause, Richard (Ohio)...................................... 2249 |
| Kirby, Robion C. (III.)..................................... 2260 |
| Kostic. Vasa (Ind.)........................................ 2204 |
| Kovacs, Zoltan (Cal.)................................... 2315 |
| Kupersmith, Leo (Cal.)................................. 2214 |
| Lazos, James R. (Cal.).................................. 2245 |
| Levy, Louis (N.J.)........................................ 23 |
| Loftsson, Julius H. (Cal.)............................. 22 |
| Lyman, Harry (Mass.).................................. 223 |
| Lyman, Shelby (N.Y.)..................................... 2378 |
| MeCormick, Edgar T. (N.J.)........................ 2228 |
| McCormick, James H. (Ore.)........................ 2231 |
| McKelvie, Neil (N,Y.)....................... 2288 |
| Marchand, Dr. Erich W. (N.Y.)................. 2212 |
| Martx, William (Wis.)................................... 2252 |
| Momic, Milan (Ala.).......................................... 2248 |
| Mondragon, Jose (Cal.)................................2259* |
| Mouzon, Harold A. (Va.) ,............................ 2245 |
| Mugridge, Donald H. (D.C.)........................ 23 |
| Nedved, Kimball (N.J.)................................ 2213 |
| Osbun, Erik (Cal.)........................................ 2209 |
| Owens, Brian E. (N.Y.)................................ 2292 |
| Popovych, Orest (N.J.).................................... 2250 |
| Poschel, Dr. Paul (Mich.).................................. 2232 |
| Pundy, Joseph (III.)........................................ 2225 |
| Ragan, John V. (III.)....................................... 2216 |
| Rivera, Donato (III.)............................................. 2344 |
| Sandrin, Albert N. (III.)................................... 2273 |
| Shapiro, Eugene (N.Y.)...................................... 2309 |
| Sigmond, Irwin (Va.)....................................... 2214 |
| Skema, Kazys (Mich.).......................................... 2232 |
| Smith, Kenneth R. (Tex.).............................. 2336 |
| Suttles, Duncan (Nev.)................................ 2364 |
| Sweig, Mitchell (III.)..................................... 2296 |
| Tautvaisas, Povilas (III.)............................... 2285 |
| Theodorovich, Ivan (Canada)...................... 2295 |
| Tums, John M. (III.)...................................... 2223 |
| Valvo, Michael (N.Y.)..................................... 2340 |
| Vano, Edward (Ind.) .................................... 2215 |
| Verber, Richard (III, )............................... 2288 |
| Weldon, Charles (Wis. |

## MASTERS EMERITI

Ivins, Walfer S. (Ariz.)
Ruth, William (N.J.)
Scrivener, R. S. (Miss.)
EXPERTS AND CLASSES A, B AND C
Expert: 2000-2199
Class A: 1800-1999
Class B: $1600-1799$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Class C: } & 1400-1599 \\ \text { Class D: } & \text { Below } 1400\end{array}$

- indicates provisional rating \# indicates provisional rating based on less than 10 games


## NOTE-

This list includes only those players who participated in events listed on poge 176.

Abel, Rick (Pa.).........1200 Abeles, N. (Mich.)..... 1576 Abrams, R. (Wis.)........ 1879 Abrams, Rich. (Pa.).... 2170 Acers, Jude (La.)........ 2096 Ackerman, F. (D.C.)..1886\# Ackerman, R. (D.C.).1990\# Ackerman, T. (III.)....1398* Adam, Gil (Pa.).........., 1721 Adams, Paul (Md.)....19791/2 Adams, P. E. (Colo.).. 1469 Adams, W. B. (Md.).... 1944 Adams, W. T. (Cal.).... 1906 Adamson, R. (La.)...... 1585 Adelberg, A. (la.)........1863* Adelman, S. J.
Adrian, H. (Mass.)..............1670\# Agnew, J. (Tex.)..........1200* Agnew, A. (N.Y.)..........2120** Ahistrom, M. (iii.) ..... $1623^{*}$ Albert, 'Paul (Pa.)......1694* Alberts, W. (III.)........... 1739 Albertson, B. (Pa.).....1998* Aldama, A. (D.C.)...... 1433 Alden, C. (Minn.)........ $14766^{*}$ Aldex, F. (Mich.)......1724* Alexander, J. (D.C.)..1953\# Aley, E. (Pa.)..........1863
Allen, David (Wis.)...1781 Allen, Robt. (N.Y.)...1573\# Alman, K. (Pa.) Al........1488* Almgren, S. (Cal.)..... 2087 Alpiser, F. M. (Utah).. 1813 Alpose, F. (Miss.) ......1472\#\# Amarine, M. (N.M.)...1496 Amort, A. (III.)......... 1946 Amory, C. (N.Y.)......1805\# Andersón, E.E.
(Ariz.)
….......1200\#\#
Anderson,
Anderson,
G. (Pa.)......1379*
(N.M.). 1773 Anderson, J. (Ga.)....1394\# Anderson, M. (S.D.)....1866* Anderson, P. (Pa.)...... 1471 Anderson, R. D.
(La.) $\ldots$ nde...............1915\# Anderson, R. E. (III.)..1581
Anderson, R. (O.)......1829*
(Neb.)

## (Neb.) .................1757*

Andina, P. T. (N.Y.)..1728
Andrews, K. (Ger.)....1529* Andrews, Ken (Pa.).1730\# Andreyev, P. (N.Y.) ...1758 Anema, S. (D.C.)......2070\# Aragon, G. (D.C.)......1529 Arbuckle, J. (D.C.)....1755
Argaver,
Bob (N.J.)
1862 Arguijo, J. (Cal.) ......1558* Armour, L. (Mich.)... 1908 Armstrong, J. (Pa.).... 1917 Arnstein, P. (Cal.) 14...10\# Aronson, Eva (Fla.).... 2001 Aronson, M. (Ariz.).... 1678 Aroumi, $\mathbf{T}$. (N, Y)..... 1582 Arthur, $F$. ${ }^{(N, F e x)}$. 1369 Arthur, Lyle (N.Y.)...1594 Ashby, T. (Tex.).......1527\# Ashford, R. (Minn.)....1607 $\underset{(P a .)}{\text { Asfon-Reese, }} \mathbf{~ G .}$
Atchison, N.............1584*
Aument
1735 Aument (III.).............1200\# Austin, J. (Mo.).........1642 Austin, N. (Cal. ....... 1884
Avery, P. (Canada)...1915 Avery, Robt. (O.).......1801* Ayers, G. (Va.)...........1805\# Aykroyd, C.
(Canada) Bacardi, J. (D.C.) $\quad 1816$ Bacho, Paul (O.) Bacone, E. (III.)........... 1360
Bacone, J. Baer, Kari (D.C.)........12078 Bagamery, B. (O.) .....1776\# Bailey, Kath. (III.) 1339 Bain, Mary (N.Y.)........ 1915 Baisley, A. (Pa.).........2014\#\#
Baitz, $T$. Baitz, T. (Minn.)........1615*
Baker, A. D. (Kans.).1704* Baldoćk, R. (La.)......... 1985 Baldwin, J. F.
(Ariz.)
Ballard, D. (Okla.)....... 1851
Ballard, Jas, (Ga.).....1602*
Ballient, H. (N.Y.). $1566^{*}$
Bandat,' R. (N.Y.)
Banffy, F. (Ga.)........... 2052
Baptisf, A. (Mich.)...... 1736

Baptist, T. (Mich.)......1200\# Barber, R. A. (Ariz.).. 1780 Bargen, D. (Ariz.)...... 1773 Barham, T. (Mass.).... 1921 Barker, Joe (W.Va.)....1595* Barkmeyer, E. (O.)......1737* Barlow, J. H. (Cal.)....1865* Barnard, T. (Tex.)......1783* Barnetski, A. (Kans.)..1723 Baron, Don (Minn.)....1908* Baron, Sam (N.Y.)...... 2095 Barres, P. (N.Y.)........1324\# Barres, R. (N.Y.)........1200\#\# Barrett, C. (Pa.).......... 1592 Barrett, R. (Cal.)........1569* Barry, Herb (Pa.)........ 1681 Bart, George (N.H.).... 1863 Barteck, A. (D.C.)...... 1849 Barton, Har. (W.Va.)..1670 Basset, Ray (Pa.) .......2015* Bassin, C. (Mich.).....1718\# Batchelder, C.
(N.J.)
(D.C.) (Md.)
 Bates, D. H. (Wis.) 1855
Bates, G. C. (Ala.)...... 1802 Batfen, R. (MO.)..........1838\# Battes, Lee (O.).........1712
Baver, Hans (Wis. Baumán, J. T. (N.D.)..1864* Bean, J! L. (La.)......1547* Beck, Jas. (La.) Beck, John W. La. 1252 Becker, C. (Ci.)....... 1666 Becker, Don (Md.)......1740 Beckhám, R. (O.).......1856 Beckman, Tom (Cal.)..1650* Bedford, M. (Cal.)......2000* Beifling, J. (Mo.)....... 1928 ,
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(Pa.) ........................1436\% Van Metre, D. (III.). $1568^{*}$ Vanous, R. (Minn.)...1384\# Van Riper, J. (N.Y.)..1365 Vargas, H. (N.Y.) ...... 1488 Vassar, R. (Va.)......... 1980
Veffer, J. (Ohio)........1561* Veffer, J. (Ohio).........1561*
Veirosta, V. (III.)....1887 Vejrosta, V. (III.)....... 1613 Venesaar, K. (III.).....1613 Verrett, J. (La.) 1639 Vertress, W. (Minn.)..1694\# Vidmar, $P$. (Ohio)....... 1794 Vilutis, ( Vilutis, A. (Ga.)..........1221\# Vinje, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$ (Md.)......... 1802 Vinson, W. (Md.).....1827\# Visconti, Robt. (N.Y.)..1859* Visconti, Ron (N.Y.)..1755* Vitanyi, L. (Canada).. 1831 Vivanco, J. (Fla.)......1880* Vogel, A. (lowa) …...1769\# Vogel, R. (N.Y.).......1949* Vokey, Roy (Tex.) 1570 Vokey, Roy (Dex.) 1866 Voikman, P. (D.C.).... 1866
Vollmar, R. (Mo.).... 1954 Von Oettingen, $\mathbf{S}$. (Cal.)
..... 2147 Vorpagel, $R$ R. (N.Y.). 1819
Voth, John

Waas, Sam (Mo.)....... 1647 Wach'tel, R. (N.J.)...... 1949 Wagenhals, w iili. 1956 Wagner, Carl ( $\mathrm{N},{ }^{2} 1078$ Wagner, Carl (N.J.)... 2078 Wagner, Larry (N.J.). 2076 Waid, C. (La.) .......... 1933 Waldrip, T. (Mo.).....1200\# Walinski, W. (Minn.).. 1870 Walker, Chas. (Cal.).. 2058 Walker, Don (Cal.)...... 1822 Walker, Robt. (Neb.). 2173 Walker, Rod (Pa.).... 1557

Wallace, L, (La.)......1719* Wallach, Hy (N.Y.)...... 1909 Walling, W. (III.)....... 1200\# Walrath, Ed (N.Y.)......1956 Waish, F, X. (N.Y.)....1429* Walters, J. K. (Cal.)..1941 ${ }^{\text {* }}$ Wamsganz, V. (N.Y.).1471*
Wang, S. ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Ware, L. (Tex.)....... 1852 Waring, R. (Neb.)...1579\# Warner, H. (N.J.).....1711\# Warnock, A. (Wis.) ....1746* Warren, Ed (N.Y) 1978 Warren, Helen (III.).. 1470 Warren, Jas. (III.)...... 2087 Wasserman, J.
(Mich.)
. 2123 * Waterman, ${ }^{\text {V }}$ (Ida.)..1424*
Watrous, $E$. (Ct.).......1902 Watson, Joe (Tex.).... 1615 Watson, M. (III.) .......1394\# Watts, A. (Ind.)........... 1623 Weaver, E. (Tex.)..... 1823 Webb, Geo. (Iowa)....1711
Webb, Wm. (Ala) Weber, Alex. (N.J.)....1872* Weber, W. (Mass.)......1544** Weber, W. (Mass.)......1544*
Webster,
F (III.)
1835* Webster, F. (III.).....1835* $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Webster, } & \text { P. (Wis.).... } 1914 \\ \text { Webster, } & \text { R. } & \text { (D.C.)... } 1732\end{array}$ Webster, R. (D.C.).... 1732
Weeks, H. M. (Tex.)... 1974 Wehrley, O. (Wis.).... 1859 Weikel, J. (Tex.).........1569* Weinberg, F. (Cal.)...1736\# Weiner, Leon (TeX.). 1696 Weinstein, (Va) 1560 Weiss, Stánley (Md.)..1909* Welch, Don (N.M.).1465* Welch, John (Colo) 1637 Welch, Ray (Fla.)...1284\# Weidémaa, A. (N.Y.).. 2076 Weller, Wm. (Fla.).... 1740 Wells, Mark (N.M.).... 1994 Wells, R. L. (Pa.) Welsh, W. (France)...1499\# Wennstrom, M. (Ida.).. 1807 WenzI, L. (Neb.).....1532* West, Jas. (La.) ....... 1899 Westbrock, J. (N.Y.).. 2142 Westing, Ed (N.Y.).... 1815 Wetterer, W. (N.Y.).. $1509{ }^{*}$ Whaley, R, (Mass.)...1772* Whatley, G. (Ala.)..... 1627 Whateley, G. (Ala.).....1627 Wheeler, Ray (Nev.).. 1692 Wheeler, Wm. (Tex.).. 1935 White, J. W. (La.)...1629 Whitwill, C. (France)..1465\# Wicher, A. (Cal.)..... 1666 Wicher, Enos (Cal.).... 2164

Wickstrom, C. (Mo.)..1365\# Widham, E. (Ct.)......1315* Wiener, J. (Ariz.)........ 2094 Wiener, M. H. (N.Y.). 2051 Wier, R. (Ariz.)......... 1628 Wigínton, R. (Iowa)..1698\# Wilcock, Wm. (N,Y.)..1774 Wilcock, Wm. (N,Y.)..1774
Wild, Wayne (lowa)... 1756 Wildenberg, G. (N.Y.) Wildschultz, D. (Cal.)
Wilke, Jas. (Wis.)......1803* Wilkenson, (CaI.)........1200\# Wilkerson, M. (Cal.). 2001 Wilkerson, S. (Mo.).. 1657 Wilkins, W. (Pa.)..... 1488 Willard, F. (N.J.)......1475\# Williams, A. (N.Y.)...1800\# Williams, C. (Mass.).. 1670 Williams, G. (N.Y.).....1861* Williams, L. (Minn.)..2049* Williams, M. (Va.)..1699* Williams,
Williams, (Vt.)..... $1780^{*}$
W. Williams, W. (Wis.). 1974 Willis Wills, A. B. (La.) 2111 Wilson, Don (N.M.)... 1845 Wilson, Don (Md.)..... 1700 \# Wilson, Fred (N.Y.)....1897* Wilson, G. (N.Y.).....1504\# Wisson, Ken (N.M.).... 1668 Wilson, L. (Okla.).......1643* Wilson, Max (La.)......1452* Wilson, M. (Tex.)......1610* Wilson, R. J. (Ariz.). 1477 Wilson, R. (N.Y.)..... 1612 Wilson, Walter (Ga.)..2027* Wilson, Wm. (N.J.).... 1772 Winikaitis, S. (Minn.). 2004 Winston, C. (Tex.)......1573* Winters, E. (Wis.).....1602\# Winters, Jack (Kans.).. 2056 Winters, John (Mo.).1483\# Wise, W. (Cal.)..........1978\# Wishnek, A. (Ohio).... 1712 Wiszynski, E. (Ger.). 1703 Witeczek, J. (Ohio).. 2125 Witkoski, M. (Pa.)...1430\# Witryk, P. (Minn.).....1811\# Witte, Wm. (III.)....... 1666 Wittman, M. (D.C.)...1200\# Woelfinger, J.
Woicik, Ron (ili.)..........1715\# Woicott, G. (N.M.).....1800\# Wolf, Edwin (Pa.)......1636* Wolf, Peter (III.)...... 2122 Wolfson, L. (Cal.(.... 1858 Wolk, Barry (N.Y.).....1819* Wolk, Elliot (Ct.)...... 2008 Wood, Lew (N.J.)......... 1969

Wood, Robt. (Mich.)..1980\# Woods, A. F. (Mass.).. 1782 Worrall, J. (N.J.).......... 1788 Worsteli, ©. (Cal.)......1947\# Wozney, T, (Ohio)........ 2149 Wright, J. A. (Tenn.)... 1932 Wright, J. A. (Tenn.).. 1932
Wright, Ron (Ariz.)... 1932 Wuntschek, F.
(Canada) '...................2069*
Yaffa, I. (Va.)............. 1808 Yeager, J. (Md.).......... 1781 Yen, John (N.J.)....... $1818{ }^{*}$ Yergin, C. (Cal.)........2042\# Yeseling, D. (III.)........ 1200 \# Yevuta, J, (W. Va.)....1200\# Yochem, R. (Colo.) ..... 1881\# Yoffie, M. (N.Y.) ${ }^{(N . . . .1855}$
Yorgan, A. (N.Y.)..... 1898 \# Yost, Dan (Minn.)......1824* Young, H. (Cal,).........1455* Young, J. H. (Minn.).. 1973 Young, J. A. (Pa.)....... 1933 Youngman, R. (Neb.).1229\#

Zacharchuk, W.
(N.J.) .......................1584* Zachery, W. (N.Y.)...1869* Zadravetz, A. (OII.)....1523* Zageris, A. (Ohio) ........ 1563 Zaldivar, J. (D.C.)....2072* Zarse, Fred (Wis.).... 2033 Zavanelli, M. (W.Va.)..1594* Zegar, C. (N.Y.)......1702\# Zelitch, M. (Pa.) 2022 Zemeske, W. (III.)........1853\# Zes, Dean (Fla.)........ 1969 Zims, H. (Minn.).....1200\# Zing, Robł. (N.M.)...... 1763 Zrinscak, ${ }_{\text {Z }}$ S. (N.Y.)..... 2158 Zsolnay, G. (III.)......... 2014 Zubrzycki, C, (N.J.)....1281* Zuius, A. (III.).......... 1955 Zukoff, L. (N.Y.)...... 1910 Zunker, R. (Tex.).......1484* Zvargulis, J. (W.Va.)..1687\#
Zyra, M. J. (Mass.)....1485*

## OUR NEXT RATING LIST IN THE

 SEPTEMBER ISSUE
## Minnesota Downs Manitoba

The 28th Minnesota-Manitoba Match was played at Detroit Lakes, Minn. on Sunday, June 21, and was won by the U.S. team by a score of $151 / 2 \cdot 91 / 2$. The results were the exact reverse of last year's triumph by the Canadians.

The first match in this series was played in 1935 and it has been held annually ever since, except for two years during World War II.


| Monitoba | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| D. A. Yanofsky |  |
| H. Yanofsky |  |
| M. Schulman |  |
| J. Burstow |  |
| J. Woodbury |  |
| E. Bemme |  |
| D. Jacobson |  |
| A. Boxer |  |
| J. Filkow |  |
| Wm. Wiebe |  |
| A. Wood |  |
| K. Kaglik ... |  |
| K. Oliver |  |
| B. Wolk |  |
| A. De Jersey |  |
| H. Mida |  |
| G. Lantos |  |
| M. Garfinkel |  |
| S. Rome |  |
| A. Heywood |  |
| E. Meyer |  |
| G. Lagodkin |  |
| H. Podszuck |  |
| B. Collen |  |
| N. Klassen |  |
| Manitoba | $9 \frac{1}{2}$ |

Howard, K. S.
THE
ENJOYMENT OF CHESS PROBLEMS
A classic treatise on the fundamentals of problem composition, together with 200 time-tested problems. 3rd revised edition. Index. 220 pp .

Paperbound $\$ \mathbf{1 . 2 5}$

## Howard, Kenneth S.

HOW TO SOLVE CHESS PROBLEMS 58 two-movers, 46 three-movers, and 8 fourmovers by 27 of America's foremost problemists. 112 problems. Index. 177 pp.

Paperbound $\$ 1.00$
Mansfield and Harley 101 CHESS PUZ-ZLES-AND HOW TO SOLVE THEM The problems are the work of Comins Mansfield, one of the most distinguished men in the field of chess composition; the introduction and explanations are by Brian Harley, an outstanding authority on problems. 101 diagrams. 124 pp .

List Price $\$ 2.50$ Members $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 2 5}$
USCF
80 E. 11th St.
New York 3, N.Y.

HEARST
-Continued from page 166
decision. When visiting Washington to give a 65 -board exhibition early in March, Bobby was of course often queried about his Interzonal plans. He replied that it would be impossible for him to play because his exhibition tour extended beyond the start of the Amsterdam tourney and he was unwilling to revise his tour schedule at that late date. (Because this was such a weak reason we all thought that he had finally decided to play at Amsterdam!). In a recent discussion at the USCF office Bobby contended that the odds in favor of any one player's surviving the Interzonal and Challengers' Tourneys to play Petrosian for the world title were exceptionally small, too small to merit the time and preparation required. This procedure would involve more than fifty games and "that's about one-half the games that Capablanca played in his entire life!"

All these explanations certainly indicate that former world champion Smyslov was wrong when he said that Fischer would play at Amsterdam because "he wants to be world champion more than anything else". Apparently it is more important to Fischer to show his "independence" (he always expresses admiration for those who never "give in") and to maintain his former claims of Russian collusion than to try for the world title. A large majority of the chess fans and masters in New York and Washington who were asked their views about Bobby's absence from the Interzonal found it necessary, for want of a better phrase, to use the word "chicken" in describing his actions. One U.S. Senior Master told me that he even considered mailing Bobby a replica of a chicken in order to properly demonstrate his views on Bobby's withdrawal.

Fischer's future in chess now seems strangly uncertain. If he doesn't intend to compete against Russians in individual tourneys there is little purpose in his continuing to play
serious chess. Maybe, as one of his fans bitterly remarked Bobby wants to become "simultaneous exhibition champion of the world." Fischer has stated that he hopes to challenge Petrosian directly to a high-stakes match for the world title, but this hope seems to reflect wishful thinking more than anything else. Even if Petrosian were willing to play, the Russian chess federation woud probably not allow him to do so. And the FIDE rules have been modified so radically in the last two years, mostly for Bobby's benefit, that it is unlikely that FIDE would make additional changes and agree to sanction a match between Petrosian and Fischer. Unless he changes his mind when the next world championship sequence begins in 1967, Bobby may have to surrender serious chess ambitions -which would be somehow reminiscent of Paul Miorphy's withdrawal from chess after proving himself one of the best in the world. That great American champion of a century ago stopped playing serious chess before he was 25 years old.

No one can speak with much authority on Bobby's current motivations or future plans. We have to admit that the present world championship system is rather cumbersome and long. winded-there is no need, for example, to insist that the top grandmasters in the world compete in a 24 -man "endurance" tourney that includes a large number of players who are not even of grandmaster strength (as is the case in the interzonal events)-but Bobby's refusal to compete has been a disappointing blow to both U.S. chess and chess throughout the world. Bobby is the only non-Russian who is ordinarily included among the world's top ten players and by ramoving himself from the scene he has made it ridiculously easv for the Russians to retain the world title ad infinitum. One doesn't want to accuse Bobby of collusion with the Russians, but the practical effect of his selfmate is exactly that.
(Send all material or comments for this column to Eliot Hearst, 401 N. Armistead St., Alexandria, Va. 22312.)

## COLLEGE CHESS

by Owen Harris and Peter Berlow
The major event of April was the Region 7 Intercollegiate event, run at Texas A \& M by ICLA booster Bob Dudley. National Co-champions Texas edged out the home team to take first place with 14 points, followed by $T$ A \& M with $121 / 2$, and Rice University with 10. Oklahoma and North Texas also competed with smaller teams.

Early in May, the Cornell club ran a similar event, named the N.Y. State Intercollegiate, but actually open to everyone. The powerful host team took first place easily with $161 / 2$ out of a possible 20 points, led by Stan Tomchin with $5-0$, and Carl Bender and Steve Grant with 4-1. The varsity Penn State team coached by USCF Senior Master Donald Byrne took second, far ahead of Fredonia State College and St. John Fisher College. Rochester Institute of Technology, LeMoyne College and Syracuse U. also participated. The score would probably have been much closer had not Penn State's top player been stricken with appendicitis right after his first game! Claims of foul play have been denied by the Cornell team.

Walt Cunningham of L.A. State and John Blackstone of San Jose tied for first in the 6th annual California State Intercollegiate, held at Cal Poly. Rich Castle of San Diego State was third.

Rumors from the Midwest indicate that the next National Intercollegiate Team event will be held approximately 3,000
miles from New York, just to make things tough for defending champions Brooklyn and Columbia. The California players are said to be studying their M.C.O. with fervor, so buy your plane tickets early.

The Midwest led college chess activity in May with two team tournaments. The Illinois State Intercollegiates were held at Western Illinois U., and won by the Southern Illinois team captained by ICLA prexy Owen Harris. Bruce Dawson and Frank Flenning led their team to an impressive $181 / 2-1 \frac{1}{2}$ score. Bradley and WIU "A" Team tied for second, followed by Eastern Illinois, Illinois State at Normal, and WIU "B". Dave Helfrey directed.

The First Annual Invitational event at Hamline Universit yof St. Paul, Minnesota was also held in May. The host team took first place with $141 / 2$ points, ahead of St. Thomas College and the University of Minnesota. The experienced U. of M. team had only three players, but managed to take first place individually as Ron Lifson rolled up a 5.0 score. Fourth and fifth were taken by high school teams (Moundsview and Hopkins) followed by Concordia College, Rochester Junior College, Carleton College and three other high schools. Perhaps other areas should try such "student team" events open to high schools.

The ICLA membership drive this Spring has shown good results, with a record high of 58 college affiliates at the end of the term. The present mem-

## bership of the ICLA:

Region I: Boston College, Boston U., Brown, Dartmouth;

Region II: Brooklyn, Clarkson, Columbia, Cornell, Fairleigh Dickinson, Fredonia State, Iona, LeMoyne, Brooklyn Poly, Princeton, Rochester Institute of Tech., St. John Fisher, Stevens Institute, Syracuse U;

Region III: Bloomsburg State, U. of Delaware, Edinboro State, Lafayette, LaSalle, Penn State, Penn, Pittsburgh, Villanova;

Region IV: Florida State U., U. of Puerto Rico;

Region V: U. of Detroit, Eastern Michigan, Notre Dame, Ohio State, U. of Toledo;

Region VI: Bradley, Illinois State (Normal), U. Illinois (Chicago), U. Minnesota, Southern Illinois, Western Illinois, Wilson Junior, U. of Wisconsin, Wright Junior;
Region VII: U. of Kansas, Louisiana State, Northeast Louisiana State, U. of Oklahoma, Rice Univ., Texas A \& M, Texas; U. of Wichita;
Region VIII: California Polytech, U. Cal. Berkeley, Los Angeles State, U. of Oregon, U. of Washington.
Canada: McMaster University, University of Toronto.
Have your club join as an ICLA-USCF affiliate now, and prepare for the coming National Intercollegiate Championships. Watch for an announcement. Now that the term is over, send all your club results and news of college events to: Owen Harris, ICLA President, 300 S. University, Carbondale, Illinois.

Chess Life

## Here and There . . .

The First Vermont Open was played in Rutland, Vermont on June 6-7 and attracted a turnout of 18 players. Top honors went to Alan Morrill of Hartford, Conn. (5) while Dr. Joseph Platz, also of Hartford, took second ( $41 / 2$ ). The tournament was directed by Ralph K. Williams of West Rutland, who also play-ed-finishing sixth with a score of 42 .

The Richmond Chess Club of Richmond, Calif. renewed its activities last November after five years of suspension and has been conducting "Chess for Fun" Tournaments and other events on a regular basis. The club now has a membership of 46 and 19 of them have joined the USCF.

Latest event to be concluded was a Swiss Tournament which ran from April 30 to June 12 to determine the club championship. Winner, in a field of 16, was Kent McGillicuddy who posted a convincing $61 / 2-1 / 2$. Second place went to Oscar Manney ( $5^{1 / 2}$ ).

A close contest developed in the Queen City International Open played in Cincinnati on April 25-26. Bert Edwards, Mickey Lawless, and Dick Ling all turned in 4-1 scores in a field of 20 players, with tie-breaking putting them in the above order. The Parkway Chess Club was the sponsoring USCF affiliate and Don Taylor directed.

The Suburban Chess Club of Camden County (N.J.) completed its 1964 club championship in May, with noted tournament director Lewis E. Wood scoring an impressive 11.0 sweep. (Shades of Bobby Fischer!) Second place went to Mrs. Mildred Morrell ( $91 / 2$ ) and third place (with 9 points) went to husband Philip Morrell.

Speaking of clean sweeps, Douglas Ginsberg turned in a $10-0$ score to capture the Junior Championship of the famed Marshall Chess Club in New York City. Runner-up, with $71 / 2$, was Andrew Soltis.

On Sunday, May 24, a 15 -man team from the Pittsburgh Chess Club defeated a combined Sharon-Youngstown team in Youngstown, Ohio. The match was played in two sections, Pittsburgh winning both by scores of $6-2$ and $5-2$. Ralph Clayton captained the Pittsburgh team, Roger Johnson the Sharon-Youngstown.

A USCF Open at the University of Notre Dame on May 14-16 was won by Joseph McCarty with a score of $4^{1 / 2}-1 / 2$. Paul Vidmar (4) took second in a field of eleven players.

The California Rapid Transit Championship, played in Fresno, was won by Allan Troy, undefeated all the way. In the preliminary section he posted an 11-1 score, yielding two draws, in the finals he came up with a 70 sweep.

Still in Fresno: California's annual North-South Match, played this year on 48 boards, was won by the North to the convincing tune of $29-19$. For the first time in history this event will be USCF-Rated.

The Golden Gate Division A Team took top honors in the San Francisco Bay Area Chess League, followed closely by a team from the University of California. The two tied in league play, Golden Gate scoring $41 / 2-21 / 2$ in a playoff match. Roy Hoppe of the Golden Gate team won the individual prize with a score of 6-1.

The 1964 Championship of the London Terrace Chess Club in New York City ended on June 10 with victory going to to USCF Master Asa Hoffmann, after a 2 -game playoff with runner-up Alfred Braude. Both finished the 7 -player round robin tournament with scores of $51 / 2-1 / 2$; Hoffmann downing Braude in the playoff, $11 / 22^{*}$. The noted artist and long. time chess enthusiast Marcel Duchamp $w$ is the Tournament Director.

Hugh Noland edged out Jack Shaw for first place in the Albuquerque Open, played on June 13-14. Both had scores of $4-1$, with Noland enjoying a $1 / 2$-point margin in the tie-break column. Shaw, however, regained his title of Albuquerque City Champion. The Albuquerque C.C. was the sponsoring USCF affiliate and Don Wilson directed.

Seymour Samet and Edward Walrath tied for first in the New York State Amateur Championship, held in Buffalo on June 13-14. Each gave up two draws finishing with $4-1$ in a field of 15 players. George Mauer directed on behalf of the sponsoring NYS Chess Association.

Bruce Dawson and Frank Blenning posted perfect 50 scores to tie for to honors in the Illinois Collegiate Championship played at Western Illinois University on May 16-17. A total of 23 players took part in the contest, sponsored by the ICLA and directed by David Helfrey.

The 2nd Annual Graham Memorial Tournament, held at the YMCA Chess Club in Kansas City, Mo. was won by Bill Kenny with a score of $8 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$. John R. Beitling was runner-up with 7-3.

Ralph Clayton and John Hobbs each scored $71 / 2-11 / 2$, remaining undefeated while yielding three draws, to tie for the Pittsburgh Chess Club Championship, a 10 -player round robin, concluded on June 11.

Roger Ilsley and Clarence Martin posted scores of $61 / 2 \cdot 11 / 2$ to take the top two places in the Pittsburgh Chess Club Open, a 9 -player round robin completed on June 7.

Dr. I. Schwartz scored $71 / 2-1 / 2$ to take first place in the annual Rockford (ill.) 30/30 Tournament. Second place went to club president and T.D. Frank B. Mathews. The 8 -round Swiss was played at the rate of two rounds an evening each Wednesday night through the month of May.

Minnesota champion Curt Brasket breezed through the 2nd Chess Tornado played at the Minneapolis YMCA on May 23. Brasket swept the event with a perfect 4.0 ; runner-up was Dr. George Tiers, 3-1.

A Spring Rating Tournament was held from May 11-June 22 at the Santa Monica Bay Chess Club and attracted thirty players. Winner in the 6 -round Swiss was E. Gardos, $5^{1 / 2}-1 / 1 / 2$. Franklin Mc Reynolds, 5-1, was second.
The Huntington Open, played in Huntington, W. Va., June 13.14, was won by Dr. Alex J. Darbes with a score of $41 / 2-1 / 2$. William N. Payne, Paul A. Sayre and Dr. Cornelio Nolasco were next in the 16 player field, all scoring $3^{1 / 2}$.
A novel tournament was held by the Chess Friends of Denton (Texas) in May: a 5 -round Swiss Speed Tournament with the tempo of play accelerating round by round: $30,25,20,15$, and 10 minutes per player. First place went to club president Tibor Rekey.

The Harvard University Chess Club edged the Cambridge " Y " team to win the Boston Metropolitan League Championship. The teams were tied $10-2$ in matches so that game points decided. Harvard had the edge in that department by $42 \frac{1}{2} \cdot-40^{1 / 2}$.

Forty players participated in the Spring Tournament of the Kolty Chess Club, San Francisco. Winners in the first section, USCF rated, were James Gough, San Francisco, Keith Walters, Berkeley, Dr. Larry Jew, San Francisco. Fifty players are now taking part in the club's Summer Tournament.

The 1964 "Vernal Tournament" of the Riverside Chess Club, Riverside, Calif., was won by Tim De Laney. The tournament ended on June 29 and had ten players.

The Mississippi Open, played in Jackson on June 19-21, was won by Adrian L. Mc Auley of New Orleans with a
score of $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Runner-up Peyton Crowder ( $31 / 2$ ) was the highest-scoring Mississippian and was awarded the state title. A total of 16 players competed in the tournament.

Serge von Oettingen was the winner of two rating tournaments played at the Davis Chess Club, University of California. A total of thirty-four players took part in the two events, the first played as a 5 -round Swiss, the second as a round robin in groups of four with one preliminary round.

The Minot International Open, played in Minot, N.D. on June 6 and 7 was won by Dr. Ekrem Gozum of the home city with a score of $41 / 2-1 / 2$. Tournament Director Capt. Judson T. Bauman, 41, was runner-up in the 16 -player event, edging out Nestor Kohut of Jamestown, N.D. on Solkoff points.

A Public Works Chess Tournament was played in Sacramento, Calif. from February to June and ended in a tie between Evan Evans and Robert Riser, both 7-1.

Eric Bone won the Houston City Championship by scoring $5-1$ in a 12 player Swiss that ran from April to June. William Bills, 4-2, was runner-up. Robert Chalker won in the Reserve Section. The event was sponsored by the Houston Chess Club and Bone directed.

## SUTTLES SCORES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Duncan Suttles, a Canadian now attending school in Reno, Nevada, scored $5^{1 / 2}$ points in 6 rounds to win the Southern California Open, played in Santa Monica on June 26-28. Suttles, whose pre-tournament rating of 2346 was the highest in a 76 -player field, was touched for a draw in the second round but won every other game. Eleven masters and fifteen experts competed in this event, sponsored by the Santa Monica Bay Chess Club and directed by Herbert T. Abel.

Leslie Simon, Los Angeles; Arthur Spiller, Santa Monica; Allan Troy, Ventura; Robert W. Walker, Casta-Mesa; and R. V. Wilcox, Salinas, all scored 5-1 to trail the touranment winner by half a point.

## CHESS LIFE ANNUALS 1961-1962-1963

Each volume contains Hundreds of games Photos Articles by the World's leading chess authorities
$\$ 6.00$ postpaid each volume Available only from USC F 80 E. 11th St. New York 3, N.Y.

## SACRAMENTO INTERNATIONAL HANDICAP

The Sacramento International Handicap, played from March 11 through May 27 at the Wednesday evening meetings of the Capital City Chess Club, incorporated three unusual ideas in one very unique event. Two of these ideas are evident from the title: the 53 entrants contributed \$106 to USCF's International Fund, and one set of prizes was awarded under the Handicap Scoring System (as described in CHESS LIFE, May 1962). Prizes were also given on the more regular basis of game points scored.

The third unusual idea was to schedule all games in advance by using a modified version of the New Haven Pairing System. With 24 (more than half) of the players previously unrated, some rather strange results occurred. The highest rated player in the tournament lost his first two games, but still acted as a "spoiler" in the remaining four rounds by continuing to play toprated contestants. Meantime, several of the unrated players turned out to be quite strong-but they never did have to play a top-rated opponent. This rather thwarted the Handicap System, which is based on the premise that a winning low or unrated player gets a high Handicap Score by virtus of playing ever farther over his starting position. It also made hash of game point scores, as the
top-rated contestants knocked each other out of the running while a previously unrated player went through with six straight victories.

Actually, these topsy-turvey aspects added considerable interest to the tournament and a good time was had bv all.

Top finishers on Handicap Scores were:

## Score

1. Bill Svrague .............................. 2202
2. Ed Edmondson ........................ 2170
3. Dr. A. Tanushkowsky .............. 2143
4. M. Mattingly ........................... 214 ,
5. Charles Parcells ...................... 2063

On game point scores:

1. Jerry Butler .......................... 6-0
2. Bill Sprague ..........................51/2-1/2
3. Oiars Celle ...........................51/2-1/2
4. Ed Edmondson ..................... 5-1
5. Dr. A. Janushkowsky .......... 5-1
6. Guy Manhart ........................ 5-1
7. James Brown ........................ 5-1

USCF President Ed Edmondson directed the event: also scored 5-1 and finished second on Handicap Score. fourth on game point score. 24 USCF memberships were submitted, bringing the Prexy's total since last August's U.S. Open to 99. If everyone on the USCF's Board of Directors did this well, more than 20,000 memberships would have been submitted in a nine-month period!

## HEARST DOWNS BERLINER

Eliot Hearst defeated Han Berliner by a score of $2^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$ in a match to decide the District of Columbia Chess Championship. The two players had previously tied for first and second in the annual tournament concluded in May.

Hearst, who has consistently had the "Indian sign" on Berliner, took the first two games and drew the third, making the final game of the match unnecessary. Hearst previously won the D. C. title in 1958, 1959, 1962, and again last year. He is the first player ever to have won the championship three years in succession since the I.S. Turover Trophy was placed in competition in 1930 . Berliner won the title in $1949,1950,1956$ and 1957.

## MARSHALL "INTERNATIONAL"

The Marshall Chess Club's "International Amateur" Championship ended on May 17, with victory going to USCF Rating Statistician William Goichberg who posted a score of 6-1. Malcolm Wiener edged out Arnold Agree for second, each scoring $5 \frac{1}{2}$. A total of thirty-one players competed in the event, thus donating $\$ 62.00$ to the USCF's International Fund. The International Fund, announced by USCF President Ed Edmondson last November, is designed to assist the Federation and the American Chess Foundation in their financing of U. S. participation in international chess events. Since the original "International Month" has been extended until the autumn (making it easily the longest month on record!) clubs and other affiliates who still desire to hold such events can write for futher details to J. F. Reinhardt, USCF Business Manager, 80 E. 11th St., New York. (Or simply read all about it in CHESS LIFE, November 1963, p. 276).

## HUDSON VALLEY REVISITED

This year's Hudson Valley Open, played in Woodstock, N.Y. on June 12-14, ended in a result almost identical with that of a year ago. Donald Schultz tied Paul Steiner for first and second with $41 / 2-1 / 2$ and John Meyer was third with 4-1. Once again, Schultz and Steiner will play a match for the championship. A total of 27 players took part in this event, sponsored by the Hudson Valley Chess League. Previous title holders:

1961-Richard Meyerson
1962—John Meyer
1963-Donald Schultz
\$100
MAKES YOU A USCF MEMBERFOR LIFE!

# TOURNAMENT LIFE 

Tournament organizers wishing announcement of USCF rated events should make application af least six weeks before the publication date of CHESS LIFE. Special forms for requesting such announcements may be obtained only from U.S. Chess Federa. tion, 80 E. 11th St., New York 3, N.Y.

## August 1-2

## SEAFAIR OPEN

6 -round Swiss sponsored by Washington State Chess Federation, to be played at Seattle Center, Food Circus Bldg., World Fair Grounds, Seattle, Wash. USCF and WCF Membership required ( $\$ 5 \& \$ 3$ ) $\$ 100$ first prize guaranteed; $\$ 5$ entry fee. Entries \& inquires: Peter J. Olson, 5913 - 46 Ave. SW, Seattle, Wash.

## August 2

## 14th ANNUAL VALLEY OF THE MOON FESTIVAL

The fourteenth (14th) Annual Valley of the Moon Chess Festival sponsored by the Sonoma Valley Chamber of Commerce, Sonoma, California and directed by George Kolfanowski, International Chess Master, will take place on Sunday, August 2nd, 1964, outdoors on the historic Plaza. Main feature of the Festival is a short tournament starting at 10:00 A.M. consisting of three (3) games. Tournament will be played in groups of four (4) players. Each group will have its own prize. Classes A, B, C; also sections for women and juniors (14 years and under). Simultaneous exhibitions, problem solving competitions and many more activities will be included in the program. Trophies, book prizes and special surprise prizes donated by merchants will be awarded to players. For complete information write to George Powell, Sonoma Valley Chamber of Commerce, 461 First Street, West, Sonoma, California 95476.

## August $\mathbf{1 6} \mathbf{6 9}$

## U. S. OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP

(See p. 188)

## August 29, 30

## PANHANDLE PERMIAM BASIN OPEN

5 -round Swiss, $50 / 2$, will be played at the Lincoln Hotel, Odessa, Texas. This will be a qualifying tournament for Region One of the Texas Championship. Entry fee: $\$ 5 .+$ TCA $(\$)+$ USCF membership. Cash prizes: 1st $40 \%$ net; 2nd $20 \%$; 3rd $10 \%$; also book for highest junior and unrated. Address inquiries to Charles R. Lumpkin, 4301 N. Grandview, Odessa, Texas.

## September 4-7 NEW ENGLAND OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP

7 -round Swiss, $40 / 2$, to be held at new Hotel America, Constitution Plaza, Hartford, Conn. Firse Prize, Class A $\$ 200$, Class B $\$ 75$, books to best Class C and Unrated players. Entry fees, which include banquet ticket, Class A \$15, Class B $\$ 11$, Juniors under $18 \$ 9$. $\$ 1$ discount for advance registrations before Aug. 31. First round 8 P.M.; registration closes 6:30 September 4. Send ragistrations to Robert Mitchell, King Street, Warehouse Point, Conn. Proof of USCF membership required.

## September 4.7 FLORIDA STATE OPEN

7-round Swiss, to be played at DiLido Hotel, Miami Beach, Fla. Registration: Friday, September 4, 6 to 8 p.m.; round I starts $8: 30$ p.m. Players requested to bring sets and clocks. To be played in two sections: Championship Division, open to all who are or who become USCF and FCA members; entry fee $\$ 10$. Reserve Division, open to all rated below 1700 or unrated who are or who become FCA members. Entry Fee, $\$ 7$. Entry fees reduced $\$ 2$ for players staying at DiLido Hotel. Prizes: cash, trophies, chess books, supplies. Inquiries: Ralph L. Hall, 8971 S.W. 57 Terrace, Miami 43, Fla.

## September 4.7 <br> OHIO CHESS CONGRESS

Ohio Chess Championship, to be played at Sheraton-Cleveland Hotel, Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio. Entry fee $\$ 7.50$ plus USCF membership. Junior entrants (20 or younger) will receive a year's membership in Ohio Chess Association. 7 -round Swiss; reg's'r tion 5 to 7 p.m., September 4; round I starts at 7:30 p.m. Contestants who do not want to begin play on Friday may register between 9 and 10 a.m., September 5. Their first game will begin at 10:30 a.m.

Round Rob:n Tournaments (Sept. 5.7) USCF-rated; six players per section. Entry fee, $\$ 4$; registration: 1 to 2 p.m., September 5.

Amateur Open (Sept. 5-7) No restrictions; USCF membeship optional. Entry fee $\$ 4$; registration 1 to 2 p.m., September 5 .

For further information: Ohio Chess Assn., Box 5268, Cleveland, 0 .

## September 4-7 <br> ALABAMA OPEN \& AMATEUR

Swiss system, 7 -rounds, $45 / 2$, to be played at Thomas Jefferson Hotel, 2nd Ave. N. \& 17th St., Birmingham, Ala. Cash prizes and trophies to be an-

## THE ROCKY MOUNTAIN OPEN

Sponsored by the Phoenix Chess Club

## \$700 Guaranteed Prize Fund

\$200 First Prize, plus trophy 100 Second Prize, plus trophy<br>50 Third Prize, plus trophy<br>25 each for 1st Class A, B, C, D, Unrated, Woman, Junior*<br>10 each for 2nd Class A, B, C, D, Unrated, Woman, Junior<br>*plus trophy<br>OTHER PRIZES ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF ENTRIES

Entry fee: $\$ 10$ plus $\$ 5$ USCF dues if not a member

Place: Phoenix Adult Center 1101 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona

Registration closes 12 noon on September 5; 1st round starts at 1 p.m. Six-Round Swiss; two rounds a day For further information write or call:

```
    JIMMY ADEN
7249 E. Coronado Rd.,
    Scottsdale, Arizona
        WH-50297
```

nounced. Entry fee $\$ 6$ in Open; $\$ 4$ in Amateur; Junior under 17 (in Amateur only) $\$ 1$. Alabama resident with highest score in Open to be Alabama champion. Entries and inquiries: Charles Cleveland, 15th Floor Empire Bldg., Birmingham, Ala.

## September 4-7 <br> MICHIGAN OPEN

7 -round Swiss, $50 / 2$, to be played at Hart Hotel, 31 N. Washington, Battle Creek, Mich. $\$ 100$ for 1st place and nine other cash awards. State championship to highest scoring Michigan player; trophies and runner-up prizes in Classes A, B, C, Women's, Junior. Entry fee $\$ 7.50$; Juniors under 19, \$5. For further information: Mrs. E. R. Shafer, 117 Lamora, Battle Creek, Mich. 49017.

September 5-7
NORTH DAKOTA OPEN
To be played in Skyline Room of Clarence Parker Hotel, SE 1st St. and 1st Ave., Minot, North Dakota. 6-round Swiss, $50 / 2$. Entry fee $\$ 5$ ( $\$ 2.50$ for Juniors under 18). Title of North Dakota Champion to highest-scoring state resident. Futher details: Dr. B. Jayapathy, 9 Souris Court, Minot, N.D. Phone: TR 8-6350.

September 5-7

## COLORADO CHAMPIONSHIP \& OPEN

6 -round Swiss, $50 / 2 \frac{1}{2}$, to be played at Denver Central YMCA, E. 16th Ave. and Lincoln. First, second and third prizes guaranteed to be not less than $\$ 100, \$ 50$ and $\$ 25$ respectively. Prizes also for top Class A, B, C. Winner will be declared Colorado Open Champion and highestscoring Colorado resident will be declared State Champion. Registration until noon, September 5 th, 1st round starts 1 p.m. Entry fee $\$ 5$ plus USCF membership. For further information: John Howell, c/o Denver Central YMCA.

## September 5-7

## PENNA STATE CHAMPIONSHIP

7-round Swiss, $50 / 2$, will be held at the American Legion Bldg., Independence and Liberty St., Shamokin. It is restricted to residents of Penna. and Penna chess club members. Entry fee: $\$ 5.00$ plus USCF membership. Prizes: cash awards plus the Allen Clark Trophy. The Penna. State Federation is the sponsor. For further info: Mr. Neil H. Tasker, P.O. Box 131, Shamokin, Pa. A 10 -second rapid tourney will be held on Friday, Sept. 4.

## September 5-6

## 10TH ANNUAL IOWA OPEN

To be played at Sheraton-Montrose Hotel, 223 3rd Ave., S.E., Cedar Rapids, Iowa. 5 -round Swiss, 40 moves $/ 100 \mathrm{~min}$., $\$ 6$. entry fee. $\$ 200$ total prize fund plus $\$ 4$ additional for each player above 50 who enter. Register by $12: 30$ p.m. C.D.T. A Middle Class Division (limited to players below 1700 who are over 16 years of age) and a Junior Division (limited to players under 19 years of age) will be held concurrently with the main event. Entry fees: $\$ 5$ and $\$ 2$ respectively. Entries and inquiries: John M. Osness, 320 Columbia Circle, Waterloo, Iowa 50701.

## September 5-7

## 11 TH ANNUAL HEART OF AMERICA OPEN

7-round Swiss to be played at YMCA Youth Center, Kansas City, Missouri. Entry fee $\$ 10 ; \$ 7.50$ for Juniors (under 21) First prize $\$ 75$-more if entries permit. Additional prizes for Classes A, B, C, Unrated, Junior under 21 and Junior under 16. For details: John R. Beitling, 3533 Genesee St., Kansas City 11, Mo.

## September $\mathbf{5 . 7}$

## SOUTHWEST OPEN

7-Round Swiss, to be played at Granada Hotel, San Antonio, Texas. Register 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon, September 5 . Entry fee $\$ 10$, plus membership in USCF and TCA. $\$ 150$ guaranteed first prize. Trophies and cash prizes, as entries permit, for second and third, also Class A, B, C, Unrated, etc. Book prizes through 20th place.

Tournament Director: George Koltanow. ski. For information and advance entries: W. N. Wells, 2711 Briarfield, San Antonio, 78230.

## September 5-7 <br> NORTH DAKOTA OPEN

To be played in Minot, N.D., site to be announced. 6-round Swiss, 50/2, Entry Fee $\$ 5$ ( $\$ 2.50$ for Juniors under 18). Title of North Dakota Champion to highest-scoring state resident. Further details: Dr. B. Jayapathy, 9 Souris Court, Minot, N.D. Phone: TE 8-6530.

## September 5.7

## WEST VIRGINIA CHAMPIONSHIP

6 -round Swss, $50 / 2$, to be played at Hotel Daniel Boone, Capitol \& Washington Sts., Charleston, W. Va. Entry fee $\$ 5$ plus USCF membership; Juniors under 21, $\$ 4.00$. Title of West Virginia Champion to highest-scoring state resident. For further details: Edward M. Foy, 1563 Lewis St., Charleston 1, W. Virginia.

## September 5-7 <br> VIRGINIA STATE CHAMPIONSHIP

7-round Swiss, $50 / 2$, restricted to residents of Virginia, to be played at Richmond Hotel, Grace and 9th Sts., Richmond, Va. Trophy and cash prizes. Entry fee $\$ 5$; Juniors ( 17 and under) $\$ 3$; USCF membership required. Players are requested to bring chess sets and clocks. For further information: B. M. Molina, 7203 Stoneman Rd., Richmond, Va.

## September 5.7 <br> NEW YORK STATE OPEN

6 -round Swiss, $50 / 2$, to be played at Cenral YMCA, 100 Gibbs St., Rochester, N.Y. Entry fee $\$ 10$ ( $\$ 9$ if received one week in advance). Cash prizes: $\$ 200, \$ 100$, $\$ 50, \$ 25$. USCF and NYSCA membership (\$2) required. Entries and inquiries: Erich W. Marchand, 192 Seville Drive, Rochester, N.Y. 14617.

## September 5.7

## ROCKY MOUNTAIN OPEN

6 -round Swiss, $45 / 2$, to be played at Phoenix Adult Center, 1101 W . Washing. ton, Phoenix, Ariz. $\$ 700$ prize fund. Entry fee $\$ 10$. Advance entries and inquiries: Jimmy Aden, 7249 E. Coronado Road, Scottsdale, Arizona. (See advt. in this issue).

## September 5-7

## TENNESSEE OPEN

6-round Swiss, $50 / 2^{1 / 2}$, to be played at Albert Pick Motel, 320 Murfressboro Road (on Highway 41), Nashville, Tenn. Entry fee $\$ 7$ in Open, $\$ 5$ in Amateur (players below 1800), USCF membership required. First prize minimum $\$ 75$. For further details: Peter P. Lahde, 80 Lyle Lane, Nashville, Tenn. 37211.

## LOUISIANA OPEN AND CHAMPIONSHIP

6 -round Swiss, $45 / 2$, to be played at Jung Hotel, 1500 Canal St., New Orleans, La. Twenty trophy prizes (1st, 2nd, 3rd, State Champion, Class A, B, C, Women's, Upset, etc.) Entry fee $\$ 5$; USCF and Louisiana Chess Assn. Membership (\$2) required. Open champion will be the tournament winner; state champion will be highest scoring Louisiana player. Details: George B. Lecompte, 805 St . Ann, Apt. 4, New Orleans, La.

## September 26, 27

## CHATEAUROUX OPEN

Sponsored by the European Chess District's CHAS Chess Club, a 5 -round Swiss, $45 / 2$, will be held at the Chateau Recreation Center, Chateauroux Air Station, Chateauroux, France. Entry: $\$ 3.50$; prizes according to entries. For further details write to (Air Mail) the T.D., Walter Welsh, Box 3328, APO 10, N.Y., N.Y.

## September $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ <br> BRAZOS OPEN

5 -round Swiss, $45 / 2$, to be played at Memorial Student Center, Texas A \& M University, College Station, Texas. $\$ 50$ first prize guaranteed; more as entries permit; trophies to winner and class winners. Entry fee $\$ 5$; USCF and TCA membership required. For entries and details: B. G. Dudley, 1013 E. 23rd St., Bryan, Texas 77801.

## September 26-27

QUAKER CITY OPEN
5 -round Swiss, $50 / 2$, to be played at LaSalle College, 20th \& Olney Ave., Philadelphia, Pa. Cash prizes as income permits. Entry fee $\$ 5$; Juniors under 21, $\$ 3$. Details: Edward D. Strehle, 3480 Emerald St., Philadelphia, Pa. Players are requested to bring sets, boards, and clocks.

## October 23-24-25

## MIDWEST OPEN

5 -round Swiss (modified 1st round only: top quarter paired with second quarter; third with fourth); $50 / 2$, to be played at Lincoln Hotel, 147 North 9th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. Prizes: $\$ 15$ a point over 3 points (e.g., $31 / 2 \mathrm{pts}-\$ 7.50$ ) If entries exceed $30, \$ 50$ guaranteed first prize; other cash awards as entries permit. State championship to highest scoring Nebraska resident; merchandise prizes for biggest upset, awards for shortest win, etc. Entry fee $\$ 7.50$; Juinors ( 16 or under) $\$ 5$. Optional speed touranment Sunday afternoon. Registration starts $6: 30$ p.m., October 23, first round starts at 7:30 p.m. Entries and details: Bill Dean, 4524 Calvart St., Lincoln 6, Nebraska.

## United States Open Chess Championship

## Sheraton-Plaza Hotel BOSTON, MASS. <br> AUGUST 16-29

Tournament Director: International Master George Koltanowski Asst. Director: Robert Goodspeed

A 12-round Swiss Tournament, open to any player in the world. Entry fee: \$20

## PRIZES

| 1st Prize | $\$ 1500.00$ | Trophy + Title |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2nd Prize | 600.00 | 4th Prize | $\$ 250.00$ |
| 3rd Prize | 350.00 | 5th Prize | $\mathbf{2 0 0 . 0 0}$ |


| 6th - 10th Prizes $\$ 65.00$ | 11th | 15th Prizes $\$ 25.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expert Class | 1st- $\$ 65.00$ | 2nd- $\$ 25.00$ |
| Class A | 1st- $\$ 65.00$ | 2nd- $\$ 25.00$ |
| Class B | 1st- $\$ 65.00$ | 2nd- $\$ 25.00$ |
| Class C | 1 st- $\$ 65.00$ | 2nd- $\$ 25.00$ |
| Class D | 1st- $\$ 65.00$ | 2nd- $\$ 25.00$ |
| Unrated | 1st- $\$ 65.00$ | 2nd- $\$ 25.00$ |

Junior Class: Trophy for top placed junior under 21 years of age on August 16, 1964.
Top Under Graduate Student-LIFE MEMBERSHIP U.S.C.F. Top High School Student-LIFE MEMBERSHIP U.S.C.F.

## Women's Class: 1st-\$150.00 + Trophy + Title 2nd Place-\$75.00 3rd Place-\$25.00 <br> SPECIAL PRIZE-MIXED DOUBLES-\$50.00

This sum of money was donated by an anonymous source for the best total score for mixed pair (i.e. Father-daughter; sister-brother; husband-wife; mother-son)

Registration: Avoid confusion and send entry to:
U.S.C.F. Entries Chairman

981 Plymouth Street
Bridgewater, Mass. 02324
(Make Checks payable to "USCF)

