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## Mexican International Tournament

It is an interesting coincidence that the conclusion of the second International Masters Tournament staged in Mexico (just as the Hastings Tournament in England) was marked by a triple tie for first place. Arthur W. Dake, Reuben Fine, and Herman Steiner each scored 11 victories and 1 loss. Dake defeated Fine. Fine defeated Steiner, and Steiner defeated Dake. Each of the leaders made a clean sweep against the rest of the field. Fourth place went to Capt. J. J. Araiza the Mexican Champion, whose score was 8-4.

## Rio Grande Valley Tournament

During the month of December the second annual tournament for the championship of the lower Rio Grande Valley was staged in Brownsville, Texas (the southernmost city in the United States). Although a number of participants were from Mexico, the championship remained in this country. John H. Hunter of Brownsville was the winner and received a beautifully inlaid chess board bearing the inscription "Rio Grande Valley Chess Champion —1934."

Mr. Hunter is now playing a five game match with Mr. Landin, the 1933 champion, who did not defend his title this year.

## Marshall Chess Club Championship

As the players enter the homestretch, the tournament for the championship of the Marshall Chess Club looms up more and more as a struggle between Fred Reinfeld and Milton Hanauer, both former New York State Champions. At present they are tied for the lead with scores of $101 / 2-21 / 2$. The complete results of the tournament will appear in our next issue.

## Jersey City Chess Club Championship

By defeating Vincent P. Fitzgerald, the defending champion, in the final round, Norman Malzberg of Brooklyn, New York, attained the championship of the Jersey City C. C. by the score of $71 / 2-11 / 2$. Meyer Shapiro, former N. Y. U. star, placed a very close second 7.2. Fitzgerald finished third $51 / 2-31 / 2$.

## The Hastings Tournament

The fine showing made by Sir George A. Thomas in the recent Hastings Tournament has aroused more comment in the chess world than any other event since Dr. Alekhine wrested the World's Championship from Jose R. Capablanca in 1927.

Had it not been for a final round upset in which he lost to his fellow countryman, R. P. Michell, Sir Thomas would have taken undisputed possession of first prize. Today, all Eng. land mourns his missed opportunity. He played magnificent chess-defeating Capablanca, Botwinnik and Lilienthal; drawing with Flohr; and losing only to Euwe of the "Big Five". To score $31 / 2$ points out of 5 against such opposi-
tion as the former world champion, the recognized contender for the next world's championship match, the Champion of Russia and two of the Crown Princes of Chessdom, is a feat wortily of recording in Caissa's Hall of Fame.
Sharing honors with the Baronet, Salo Flohr, of Czechoslovakia, and Dr. Max Euwe of Holland, also distinguished themselves. Next in order were Capablanca, Botwinnik and Lilienthal of Hungary. The young Hungarian master made an impressive showing by drawing with Euwe, Flohr, and Botwinnik, and particularly by defeating Capablanca in a short, spectacular game.

The Christmas tournament at Hastings will go down into history as the turning point in the career of many of the participants.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 8 | 9 |  | $0$ | 荷 |  |  | Total Score | Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Thomas |  | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \| 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 16 | 2 | 1 |  | 61/2.21/2 | I, II, \& III |
| 2 Flohr | 1/2 | . | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 5 |  | $61 / 2-21 / 2$ | I, II, \& III |
| 3 Euwe | 1 | 1/2 | . | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 124 | 0 | 5 |  | $61 / 2-21 / 2$ | I, II, \& III |
| 4 Capablanca | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 1/2 | . | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 2 |  |  | 51/2-31/2 | IV |
| 5 Botwinnik | 0 | 1/2 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | . | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 14 |  | $5-4$ | V \& VI |
| 6 Lilienthal | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 1/2 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | . | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 21 / 2$ | $1 / 22$ | 1 | 6 |  | 5 5-4 | V \& VI |
| 7 Michell | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 |  | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 13 | 4 |  |  | 4 -5 |  |
| 8 Menchik | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 21 / 2$ | , | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 21$ | 4 |  |  | 3.6 |  |
| 9 Barry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 0 |  | 1 | 11 | 7 |  |  | 11/2-71/2 |  |
| 10 Norman | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/2\| | $1 / 0$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 |  | $11 / 2$-71/2 |  |

## Steiner Exhibits

On his way to participate in the International Masters Tournament in Mexico City, Herman Steiner stopped off at Tucson, Arizona, and gave a simultaneous display against 23 players -one of them without sight of the board. He won all his games.

## North Jersey Chess Association

The New Jersey Chess League has voted to change its name to the North Jersey Chess Associacion. This change was made to clarify its sphere of influence. It is planned to hold yearly matches between the winner of the North Jersey title and the winner of the South Jersey title for the State Championship. Six clubs are entered in the annual winter competition of the North Jersey Chess Association and at the close of 1934 the Newark Rice Chess Club and Elizabeth Chess Club were tied for first place with 2 victories apiece.

## Boston Championship

Harlow B. Daly won first prize in the City of Boston Championship Tournament with a score of 11 wins, 1 loss and 2 draws. In the Class A tournament which was run simultaneously Wm. H. Hodges finished in first place with a perfect score of 10 consecutive victories.

## Metropolitan Chess League Schedule

At a meeting of the Metropolitan Chess League the start of this year's inter-club competition was set for February 2nd. Six clubs will participate in the Class A Section, and the first round pairings are Marshall vs. Queens, Empire City vs. Caissa, and Manhattan vs. International. Nine clubs will participate in the Class B Section and they are: Borough Park C. C., Bronx C. C., Empire City C. C., Hawthorne C. C., Richmond Hill C. C., Seth Low, Staten Island C. C., West Side Y. M. C. A., and Universal C. C.

## Breslau Tourney, 1912

# Miniature Games 

FRENCH DEFENSE

By Arnold S. Denker

On January 6th, the United States Champion celebrated his 30 th wedding anniversary. We therefore think it fitting to devote a column to some of his brilliancies.

KING'S GAMBIT

| Marshall White |  |  | Maroczy Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-K4 | P.K4 | Then would follow 12 |
| 2 | P.KB4 | PxP | .. QxQ ; $13 \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{Bx}-$ |
| 3 | Kt-KB3 | P-KKt4 | B; $14 \mathrm{Kt-Kt7ch}, \mathrm{K-Q1}$ |
| 4 | B-B4 | P-Kt5 | (forced) ; 15 BxP |
| 5 | Kt-B3 | PxKt | (threatening Kt-K6- |
| 6 | QxP | P-Q4 | mate), Kt - $\mathrm{Bl}_{1}$; 16 RxB , |
| 7 | KtxP | P-QB3 | and White has three |
| 8 | KtxP | Q-B3 | Pawns and a fine posi- |
| 9 | P.B3 | B-R3 | tion for his piece. |

11 O.O 0.0

Here $11 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-Q2 is forced; then if 12 Kt QS, PxKt; 13 QxQ, KtxQ and Black remains a piece ahead through all ensuing combinations. After 11 . . . Kt-Q2, however, White would have at his disposal 12 Kt-Rs!
$12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ ! KtxKt 13 QxQ KtxQ 14 BxB QKt.Q2 $15 \mathrm{BxR} \quad \mathrm{KxB}$ 16 P-K5 Resigns For if $16 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; $17 \mathrm{BxKt}, \mathrm{PxB}$; 18 P . K6, Kt-Kt3; 19 RxPch, K-Kt1; 20 R-B6 and Black is in a position where he must wait for the axe to fall.

## St. Louis Chess League Notes

After a spirited contest the St. Louis Y. M. C. A. team won the championship of the St. Louis Chess League retaining possession of the Wheaton C. Ferris Chess Trophy. Next month will mark the beginning of the individual championship competition. The title is now held by Hyman Gordon.

## Empire City Chess ClubChampionship

The championship tournament of the Empire City C. C. got under way with nine contestants striving for premier honors. In the first round Thomas McDermott defeated Samuel Silberman, Joseph Richman defeated Benjamin Ackerman, Nathan Beckhart defeated Gustave Littman, Sidney Goodman drew with Walter Jacobs, and Harry Fajans had a bye.

First Brilliancy Prize
Ostend Tourney, 1905
GIUOCO PIANO

| Marshall White |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-K4 | P-K4 |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 3 | B-B4 | B-B4 |
| 4 | P-B3 | Kt-B3 |
| 5 | P-Q4 | PxP |
| 6 | PxP | B-Kt5ch |
| 7 | K.B1 | KtxKP |
| 8 | P-Q5 | Kt-K2 |
| 9 | Q-Q4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 10 | B-KKt5 | Kt-Kt3 |
| 11 | QKt-Q2 | P.KR3 |

If $11 \ldots$ O-O, White gets a very strong attack by means of P KR4, etc.
12 R-K1ch
K-B1
13 B-Q3
B-K2

## Marshall

Black
20 Q-R5 QR-KB1
If $20 \ldots$....-KKt3; 21 Q-Ks!

| 21 R-K5 | R-R3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 22 Q-Kt5 | RxB |

23 R.QB5
The threat is $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$, followed by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$, or Q-K7, but Marshall has taken everything into consideration.
23.... Q-KKt6 Resigns
This is by far the finest and most artistic Queen sacrifice that I have ever seen, and for this reason I take the permission to publish it despite the fact that the game exceeds 20 moves. If 24 BP or $\mathrm{RPxQ}, \mathrm{Kt}$-K7ch, forces mate. If $24 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{Kt}$ K7ch; 25 K-R1, KtxQch; 26 K-Kt1, KtxR and wins.

19 . . . Kt.Q5

## LAJOS STEINER DEFEATS ANDREAS LILIENTHAL

Prior to participating in the Hastings Tournament, Andreas Lilienthal, the talented young Hungarian master, played a short match of six games with Lajos Steiner in Budapest and the result was a win for Steiner by the score of 3 to 1 and 2 draws. This only confirms the fact that L. Steiner, despite his shy and retiring nature, which prevents him from securing the limelight as often as others, is one of the world's leading players. Readers of The Chess Review are well acquainted with the fine quality of his analytical comments. We are privileged to present exclusively to our readers the scores of all six games-the first two annotated by Mr. Steiner.


The success of White's play in this form of the French Defense depends on whether he can counter Black's thrust of . . P-QB4 with P-QS. Control of the square QS is absolutely essential, and that is the reason for fianchettoing the KB. A better way to accomplish this purpose was demonstrated in the fifth game of our match when I played $7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$, P. Kt3; 8 B-B4.

|  |  | P-KB4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 Kt -QB3 | B-B3 |
|  | 9 KKt -K2 | Kt-B3! |

A complete surprise! Instead of hindering White's apparent threat of P-Q5, Black forces it. The usual $9 .$. P-B3; 10 B-Kt2, P-Kt3; 11 Q-Q2 would lead to a better game for White.

$$
10 \text { P-Q5 Kt-Kt5 }
$$

Better than $10 \ldots \mathrm{PxP}^{2} 11 \mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{QxQ} ; 12$ KtxQ, BxP; 13 KtxPch, K-Q1; 14 R-QKtl etc.

```
1 1 \text { B-Kt2}
12 BxKt
```

12 KtxKt falls short because of 12
PxKt; 13 $\mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2, \mathrm{QxQch} ; 15 \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{BxP}$, etc.

| 12 |  | PxB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | QxP | BxKtch |
| 14 | KtxB | Q-K2ch |
|  | Kt-K2 |  |

$15 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ ( $15 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ ?, B-K3!) would be too danger-
ous; e. g. 15 . . . B-K3; 16 QxKtP, O-O and the Black Rooks and Bishop are quite mobile.

## 15 . . O-O <br> 16 Q-Q2 <br> R-K1

From the 9th move on the moves for both sides have clearly been forced. Now for example, Black must at all cost prevent White from castling Q side. Otherwise, with the White King in safety, and his own exposed, the game must go against him. Black's choice was also limited. 16 . . R-Q1 would be met by 17 Q-K3 and again Black could not very well afford to exchange as he would be left with the inferior endgame.

| 17 O-0.O | QxKt |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18 Q-Kt5ch | K-B1 |
| 19 Q-R6ch | K-Kt1 |
| 20 Q-Kt5ch | Drawn |

## Second Game of Match QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED <br> (Notes by Lajos Steiner)

| A. Lilienthal | Lajos Steiner |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-QB3 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 4 P-K3 | P-K3 |
| 5 Kt-B3 | B-K2 |
| 6 B-Q3 | O-O |
| 7 O-O | PxP |
| 8 BxP | P-QKt4 |
| 9 B-Q3 | P-Kt5 |
| 10 Kt-K4 | B-R3 |
| 11 KtxKtch | BxKt |
| 12 BxB | KtxB |
| 13 | Q-R4 |
| 14 | P-K4 |

Up to this point the game is identical with that of Pirc-L. Steiner, at Maribor. Pirc played here 14 B-Q2, and the prospects then were about even.


A. Lilienthal

18 PxP

This harmless looking move gives Black the upper hand. But White was in a quandary as to the best method of meeting the threat of . . . P-B5. Instead 18 R-B4!, PxP; 19 BxP, Q-Kt2; 20 KR-B1, RxR; $21 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{Kt}$-B2; 22 P-KR3 was a better line.

| $18 \underset{\mathrm{BX} \times \mathrm{B}}{ }$ | BxP |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\cdots$ |  |

Forced as now 19 Q-B2 fails because of $\mathrm{BxB}!20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ and the Queen is imprisoned.
${ }^{19} \quad \underset{Q}{\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2}$.

KtxB
P-Kt6!
A bad mistake would have been $20 \ldots$ Q-R3; 21 KR-Q1, Kt-Q6; 22 RxKt, QxR; 23 QxR, and White has gained a piece.

$$
21 \mathrm{PxP}
$$

21 Q-B4 might have been met favorably by 21 . PxP; 22 P-QKz4, P-R8(Q)!; $23 \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$; 24 Q-R6, QxQ; 25 RxQ, R-Q2; 26 P-Kts, R-Kt1, etc.

| 21 | QxP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 22 Q-B3 | QxQ |
| 23 RxQ | Kt.Q6 |
| 24 R-R3 | R-Q2 |
| 25 R-Kt3 |  |

25 R-R2 would be answered by $25 . .$. R-B7; 26 KR-R1, $\mathrm{Kt}_{\mathrm{t}}$-B8, etc., and $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ by . . . RB4; 26 P-R3, P-QR4; $27 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{R1}, \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Q} 4$; and after 28 ... P-R3 (not 28 . . KixKP; 29 P. QKt4!) 29 . . . KtxKP, etc.

| 25 | $\cdots-\dot{1}$ | P-KR3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 26 | R-Kt5 | R-B7 |
| 27 | P.QKt3 | R-B4 |
| 28 | R-Kt8ch | $\ldots$ |

If $28 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{KtxR} ; 29$ P.QKt4, Kt-R3; 30 P.Kts, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2$, the Pawn is lost.

29... QR-Q4; 30 P.Kts, KtxKP; 31 KtxKt, RxKt; 32 P-Kt6, would grant too many drawing chances.

| 30 | P-Kt5 | R-Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 31 | R×R | R×R |
| 32 | R-Kt1 | K-Kt3 |
| 33 | R-Kt3! | $\ldots$. |

33 P-Kıt would unnecessarily weaken White's Pawn chain and could be refuted with . . . R-Q2. It would give Black good winning chances despite the rook ending. The textmove prevents the King from approaching the center in a novel manner.


This is the critical stage of the game. Black must now strive to centralize his forces and weaken the White Pawn chain.

| 39 | K-B1 | Kt-Q6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 40 | K-K2 | Kt-B5ch |
| 41 | K-B3 | P-K4 |
| 42 | Kt-Q6 | P-R4 |
| 43 | Kt-B4 | K-B3 |

Better than 43
K-B4; 44 P-Kt4ch, exchang. ing a Pawn, which Black must try to avoid.

44 P-R4
To prevent
P.RS, which would fix all the Pawns. $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; $45 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Rs}$ lead to nowhere, as White would soon be short of moves.

| 44 | $\cdots .+3$ | K-B4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 45 | P.Kt3 | Kt-Q4 |
| 46 | Kt-Q6ch | K-K3 |
| 47 | Kt-K4 | P-B4 |
| 48 | Kt-Q2 | Kt-B3 |
| 49 | K-K2 | K-Q4 |
| 50 | Kt-B3 | Kt-K5 |
| 51 | Kt-K1 | Kt-B4 |

Black's aim is to play . . . P-BS or . . . P-Ks followed immediately by P-BS.

| 52 | K-K3 | K-K3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 53 | Kt-B2 | Kt-K5 |
| 54 | Kt-K1 | Kt-B3 |
| 55 | Kt-B2 | Kt-Q4ch |
| 56 | K-K2 | $\ldots$ |

White is now unable to parry one of the threats. 56 K-B3 would be met by . . P-K5ch; 57 K-K2, K-K4 followed by . . . P.B5. If 56 K-Q3, P-K5ch; 57 K-Q4, Kt-B3; $28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5 \mathrm{ch}$; $59 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, K-K4, etc.


Third Game of Match
FRENCH DEFENSE

| Lajos Steiner White |  |  | A. Lillienthal Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-K4 | P.K3 | 22 | B.K4 | PxBP |
| 2 | P.Q4 | P-Q4 | 23 | K-B2 | PxP |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 | 24 | BxKt | P.B7 |
| 4 | B-KKt5 | B-K2 | 25 | R-Q2 | R×B |
| 5 | P-K5 | KKt-Q2 | 26 | PxP | R-B2 |
| 6 | 6 BxB | QxB | 27 | R-QB1 | K-B1 |
|  | Q-Q2 | P-QR3 | 28 | R(B) $\times$ P | K-K2 |
| 8 | 3 P-KB4 | P-QB4 | 29 | Kt-K4 | KR-QB1 |
| 9 | Kt-B3 | Kt-QB3 | 30 | RxR | RxR |
| 10 | P.KKt3 | PxP | 31 | Kt -Q6 | B-R5 |
| 11 | KtxP | KtxKt | 32 | K-K3 | B-Kt6 |
| 12 | QxKt | Kt-Kt1 | 33 | K-Q4 | B-Q4 |
| 13 | Kt-R4 | Kt-B3 | 34 | K-Q3 | B.Kt6 |
| 14 | Q-Kt6 | 0.0 | 35 | R-QKt2 | B.R5 |
| 15 | B-Q3 | B-Q2 | 36 | R-R2 | B.Kt6 |
| 16 | Q-B5 | QR-B1 | 37 | R-R8 | P-R3 |
| 17 | P-QKt4 | Q XQ | 38 | R-QKt8 | B.Q4 |
| 18 | KtxQ | R-B2 | 39 | R-K8ch | K-Q2 |
| 19 | P-QR3 | P-QR4 | 40 | R-KKt8 | K-K2 |
| 20 | P-B3 | P.Q5 | 41 | R×P | K.B1 |
| 21 | 1 R-Q1 | R-Q1 | 42 | R-R7 | Realgna |

Fourth Game of Match QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED

| A. LilionthalWhite |  |  | Lajos Steiner |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Black |  |  |
| 1 | P.Q4 | P. Q 4 | 17 | KtxB | PxKt |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 18 | R-B1 | KR-K1 |
| 3 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-KB3 | 19 | Q-B3 | Q-Q2 |
| 4 | Kt -B3 | Pxp | 20 | B-Kt5 | Kt-B3 |
| 5 | P-QR4 | B-B4 | 21 | R-B5 | R-K5 |
| 6 | P-K3 | P.K3 | 22 | KR-B1 | Q-K3 |
| 7 | BxP | B-QKt5 | 23 | B.K3 K | Kt (B3)-K2 |
| 8 | O.O | 0.0 | 24 | B-Q3 | P-QKt3 |
| 9 | Q-K2 | P-B4 | 25 | R(B5)-B4 | 4 R-Kt5 |
| 10 | Kt-R2 | Kt-B3 | 26 | BxP | KtxB |
| 11 | Ktx ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | KtxKt | 27 | QxR | $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q4}) \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 12 | B-Q2 | Kt-87 | 28 | PxKt | QxPch |
| 13 | QR-Q1 | PxP | 29 | K-R1 | P-Kt3 |
| 14 | PxP | Kt-Q4 | 30 | Q-B3 | Q-K1 |
| 15 | B-KKt5 | Q-B2 | 31 | QxR | Resigns |
| 16 | Kt-R4 | (B7)-K*5 |  |  |  |

Fifth Game of Match
FRENCH DEFENSE

| Lajos Steiner |  |  | A. Lilienthal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  | Black |  |  |
| 1 | P-K4 | P-K3 | 11 | K-Kt1 | Kt.Q2 |
| 2 | P.Q4 | P.Q4 | 12 | B-R6 | O-0.0 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 | 13 | BxBCh | K×B |
| 4 | B-KKt5 | PxP | 14 | P-B4 | Kt-B1 |
| 5 | KtxP | B-K2 | 15 | P.Q5 | P-KB4 |
| 6 | BxKt | PxB | 16 | Kt-B3 | Kt-Kt3 |
| 7 | Kt-KB3 | P-Kt3 | 17 | Pxpch | K-Kt1 |
| 8 | B-B4 | B.Kt2 | 18 | Kt-Q4 | P-QR3 |
| 9 | Q-K2 | P-B3 | 19 | P-B5 | P-Kt4 |
| 10 | 0.0.0 | Q-B2 | 20 | Kt(B3) $\times$ P | Resigns |

Sixth Game of Match
A very exciting game! QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| A. Lilienthal White |  |  | Lajos Steiner Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P.Q4 | P-Q4 | 21 | Q-K+3 | Kt-K1 |
| 2 | P.QB4 | P.QB3 | 22 | KR-K1!! | R-K2 |
| 3 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-B3 | 23 | RxR | BxR |
| 4 | P-K3 | P.K3 | 24 | R-K1 | B-B1 |
| 5 | QKt-Q2 | QKt-Q2 | 25 | Kt.Kt5! | PxP |
| 6 | B-Q3 | B.K2 | 26 | B-R7ch | K-R1 |
| 7 | 0.0 | $0-\mathrm{O}$ | 27 | B-B5 | R-B2 |
| 8 | P-QKt3 | P.B4 | 28 | KtxPch | RxKt |
| 9 | B-Kt2 | PXQP | 29 | Q-Kt6 | R×B |
| 10 | KPxP | P-QKt3 | 30 | RxKt | QxR |
| 11 | Q-B2 | B-Kt2 | 31 | QxQ | K-R2 |
| 12 | Kt-K5 | R-B1 | 32 | K-B1 | B-B4 |
| 13 | KR-K1 | P.KR3 | 33 | P-B3 | BxP |
| 14 | QR-Q1 | R-B2 | 34 | PxB | RxPch |
| 15 | QKt-B3 | Q-R1 | 35 | K-Kt2 | R.B7ch |
| 16 | Q-K2 | B-Kt5 | 36 | K-R3 | RxB |
| 17 | R-KB1 | PxP | 37 | Q-K4ch | K.R1 |
| 18 | PxP | KtxKt | 38 | QxP | P.QR4 |
| 19 | QxKt | KR-B1 | 39 | Q-K6 | R-KB7 |
| 20 | P-Q5 | PxP | 40 | K-Kt3 | Drawn |



By Irving Chernev
In 1850 an old passion for chess awoke in Szechenyi (founder of the Magyar academy) and took an insane character. It became neces. sary to pay a poor student to play with him for ten or twelve hours at a time. The unfortunate student went mad, but Szechenyi slowly became sane. (Authority Lombrosos' "The Man of Genius.")

Two of Morphy's greatest critics died on his birthday, June 22. They were Howard Staunton and William Steinitz.

In the 11 th game of the World's Championship match between Capablanca and Alekhine, a position occurred wherein there were four Queens on the board. Needless to state, that condition of affairs didn't last long.

Harry Pillsbury was able to play blindfold simultaneously ten chess and ten checker games, meanwhile taking part in a game of whist.

The present U.S. Match Champion at Checkers has been known to duplicate this remarkable feat substituting billiards for whist.

In a game played at Ostend 1907, Dr. Tar. rasch forced a smothered mate of Burn's Queen although all of Burn's pieces were still on the board.

Maroczy playing simultaneously in Europe from June 1927 to March 1928 compiled the almost unbelievable score (from 943 games) of 825 wins, 113 draws and only five losses!

Dr. Vidmar, one of the world's grand masters, played in tournaments for 28 years before winning a major first prize at Sliac, 1932, and that one was shared with Flohr.

The Carlsbad tournament of 1929 is full of curious incidents, as witness these:

A spectator offered 500 crowns in the 14 th round to the master first winning his game in that round. Strangely enough the (Continued on Page 34)

Quoting from the August, 1934, issue of The Chess Review: " We stand second to none in our respect and admiration for Frank Marshall who has so long and so capably upheld the honor of American chess, and for Isaac Kashdan, whose sterling performances both at home and abroad have earned him the right to be considered the foremost challenger for the title. But over and above any individual chess player, or group of chess players, stands the great chess public. They want action! They are entitled to it."

What has been done since then to bring the negotiations for a Kashdan-Marshall match to a close? A review of the entire proceedings should cast some light on the question.

Prior to January 1st, 1934, there were several conferences between Frank J. Marshall and I. Kashdan as a result of which it was agreed that they were to play a championship match. Mr. Harold M. Phillips was requested to form a committee for the purpose of securing the required fund, the match to be held under the conditions promulgated by the National Chess Federation and with the sanction of that Federation.

On January 4th, 1934, a trust account was started in the Chemical Bank and Trust Company, 320 Broadway, New York City, in the name of Fritz Brieger, Treasurer and Trustee of the U. S. Chess Championship Committee and an initial deposit was made of $\$ 500$ representing a contribution from Mr. Fritz Brieger of \$250 and a like sum from Mr. Harold M. Phillips. Thereafter through various newspaper notices small contributions totalling $\$ 15$ in all were deposited to the credit of this account.

On June 1st, 1934, Mr. Phillips visited the Century of Progress Exposition at Chicago with a view to discussing personally with various firms and concessionaires the possibility of arranging for the playing of this match at Chicago, but he met with no success.

Thereafter and during the month of June, 1934, Mr. Phillips entered into a long correspondence with some seventeen firms and concessionaires at the Century of Progress in an attempt to have one of these firms sponsor the match. The names of the firms referred to include The Ford Motor Co., the Standard Oil Co., Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., the General Motors Co. and other gigantic corporations.

To all of his letters the Chairman received responses to the effect that these firms "were not interested."

In September of 1934 Mr. Phillips was again in Chicago at which time he discussed this match with the officials of the National Chess Federation.

On the 25 th of September, 1934, Mr. Phillips conferred with both Mr. Marshall and Mr. Kashdan as a result of which an agreement was entered into in writing whereby after this match the winner would permit the title of Chess Champion of the United States to be played for in a national tournament to be arranged by the National Chess Federation at any time after two years from the date of the completion of this match with the understanding that the first prize would not be less than $\$ 750$ together with a reasonable expense fund for the champion.

Letters were sent to the presidents of the Chess Clubs in the metropolitan area inviting them to be members of the Committee to be formed for the pur-
pose of promoting this match. Among those invited was Mr. Charles E. Kelley, President of the Marshall Chess Club. Several letters went back and forth between Chairman Phillips and Mr. Kelley as a result of which Mr. Kelley refused to be a member of this Committee. Nevertheless, a Committee was formed consisting of the following: Prof. E. B. Adams, Pres., Brooklyn Institute Chess Club; Dr. B. Bloch, Pres., Empire City Chess Club; Walter Ekeroth, Pres., Edison Inter-Company Chess \& Checkers Committee; Harry A. Herisse, Pres., West Side Chess Club; F. Norris Monzert, Pres., Brooklyn Chess League; Thomas Robinson, Pres., Queens Chess Club; Conrad Totten, Pres., New York Edison Co. Chess Club; A. C. Wilcox, Pres., Commercial Chess League; H. R. Bigelow, New York Post; I. A. Horowitz, The Chess Review; H. Helms, American Chess Bulletin; and Col. G. P. Northrup, Newark Evening News.

October 9th, 1934.
Mr. Harold M. Phillips,
2 Lafayette Street,
New York City.
My dear Mr. Phillips:
Replying to your letter of October 3rd, I do not want to serve on the Committee being formed by you to raise the $\$ 5,000$. purse for the proposed Marshall-Kashdan match. Such is my personal wish.

Last evening at a mecting of the Board of Governors of the Marshall Chess Club, I so advised the Board and the Board agreed. Therefore please do not include my name in your Committee.
The whole matter of the Marshall-Kashdan proposed match was discussed at the meeting of the Board of Governors of the Marshall Chess Club last evening, and I am instructed in their behalf, and in behalf of Frank Marshall, to write you as follows regarding the proposed match:

Mr . Marshall personally and the Board of Governors of the Marshall Chess Club both heartily approve of the proposed match and will do everything they can to bring it about. However, we think that there has been already too much delay. The challenge was received by Mr. Marshall from Mr. Kashdan in October of $1933 . \mathrm{Mr}$. Kashdan did not deposit his forfeit of $\$ 250$ as required by Paragraph 11 of the United States Championship Rules of the National Chess Federation of the United States of America. In January, 1934, the Marshall Chess Club wrote you, as sponsor for Mr. Kashdan, that it was ready and willing to post, in behalf of Mr. Marshall, the $\$ 250$. required to be posted by the champion under the latter part of Paragraph 11 of the said rules.

Up to the present time Mr. Kashdan has not posted the \$2so forfeit so required. We think that the next thing to be done, and to be done promptly, is for Mr. Kashdan, or someone in his behalf, to post ${ }^{2} \$ 250$. forfeit as required by the rules. Mr. Marshall will immediately thereafter post his \$250. forfeit.

The rules state that the stakeholder shall be appointed by mutual consent of the players. It is perfectly agreeable to Mr. Marshall that Mr. Fritz Brieger shall be the stakeholder, if he is agreeable to Mr. Kashdan. Will you not please do your best to see that the $\$ 250$. forfeit is promptly deposited by Mr. Kashdan or in his behalf with Mr. Brieger and that Mr. Brieger writes Mr. Marshall an acknowledgement that he has received the forfeit and will hold it as stakeholder to be disposed of under the United States Championship rules. The procedure of posting the later forfeits by both the challenger and the champlon, and of depositing the full amount of the purse money should then be carried out within the limits of time stated in the United States Championship rules. I am authorized and directed by the Board of Governors of the Marshall Chess Club to advise you that in each instance they will see that any sum required by Mr. Marshall to be posted will be posted promptly.

I cannot impress upon you too strongly the importance, from our viewpoint, of this proposed championship match being proceeded with promptly and in accordance with the United States Championshio rules. There has already been a year of delay, and in behalf of Mr. Marshall, we do not want to have the chess playing public get the impression that he, Mr. Marshall, is not willing and anxious to defend
his championship. You know and I know that Mr. Marshall is willing and anxious to defend his championship and that the delays in bringing this proposed match to a head have not been delays on his part. Indeed I think that, under the present circumstances, if the United States Championship rules cannot be now complied with promptly and fully, we, in behalf of Mr. Marshall, will have to consider Mr. Kashdan's challenge abandoned.

As positive proof to you and to the chess playing public that Mr. Marshall and his sponsors are not only willing but anxious to have the proposed match for the championship played, I am authorized and directed by the Board of Governors of the Marshall Chess Club to advise you that we will contribute the last $\$ 1,000$. of the required $\$ \$, 000$. purse providing the remainder of the purse and the necessary expenses of the players, both as provided for in the United States Championship rules, are raised and deposited by Mr. Kashdan with the stakeholder in accordance with the terms of the United States Championship rules.

Very truly yours,
CEK:H
(Signed) CHARLES E. KELLEY.

## October 11th, 1934.

Charles E. Kelley, Esq.,
400 Madison Avenue.
New York City.

## My dear Mr. Kelley:

Of course, as it is your wish not to serve on the Committee that is being formed for the Marshall-Kashdan match. naturally, your wish will be honored, and to my personal regret and, no doubt, to the regret of the rest of the Committee your name will be missing from the list.

This is unfortunate because to have other Chess Clubs represented by their presidents and the name of the President of the Marshalf Chess Club omitted will, no doubt, cause considerable astonishment in Chess circles. 1 do hope that the Chess world will not blame the Chairman of the Committee for this omission but I fear that it will be very difficult to avoid such an impression. To avoid such mis. understanding on the part of the Chess world, it might be necessary to give your letter or portions of it to the press. Do you see any objection to such publicity?

As for the attitude of the Board of Governors of the Marshall Chess Club and the whole question of the forfeit, etc., may I be permitted to say this:

In the first place, there seems to be considerable misunderstanding as to the work of this Committee, its hopes, ambitions. and labor in the cause of Chess. You say that the Marshall Chess Club will put up the last thousand dollars. Permit me to draw your attention to the fact that Mr. Fritz Brieger and myself have already put up the first five hundred dollars as far back as January 4th, 1934. This proposed match was written up in the Chess columns of the news. papers and Chess periodicals suggesting that contributions are required and how they can be made and only fifteen dollars was collected in three contributions of five dollars each, the first one from Mr. Robert S. Goerlich of Bethlehem, Pa., is far back as March 6th, 1934.

My recollection is that it was sometime this year about February or March that a meeting took place between Marshall and Kashdan at the rooms of the Marshall Chess Club at which the match was decided upon and the terms tentatively agreed upon; and with the summer coming on, it looked like a hopeless task to endeavor to raise the funds. It was only when the National Chess Federation began to insist that a match be held or else that it would formulate new rules to determine the championship in a tournament, that I realized the necessity in the interests of Mr. Marshall to go to work intensively in an endeavor to raise the necessary moneys, so that the match can take place for substantial stakes.

My secretary is constantly busy; I too have spent hours and hours on this work and there is postage and printing and not a penny of the fund has been touched for these purposes, and it is my intention and hope that I shall be enabled to bear the financial burden as well as the clerical and secretarial work necessary to raise this fund without touching the trust moneys. Do you or your Board of Governors happen to know that I made special trips to Chicago at my own expense (I am not talking about the last ones when 1 passed through Chicago on my vacation) in the interests of this match? Do you or your Board of Governors know that I had personal interviews with some concessionaires at the World's Fair in the hope of inducing such companies as Ford, Swift, and Armour to put up all of the moneys required? Do you know that I have lengthy correspondence with eighteen different other concessionaires in the World's Fair in the hope of securing this fund?

Has it occurred to you or your Board that in consenting to undertake this work at the request of the National Chess Federation, I am doing this wholly in the interests of Chess
sport and I really had hopes that all lovers of Chess, parsport and 1 really had hopes that all lovers of Chess, par-
ticularly, those strongly affiliated with Mr. Marshall will see the situation in $\mathbf{a}$ different light from what heretofore was regarded as a private affair between two players, namely, the champion and the challenger.

The challenger cannot possibly raise the sum of $\$ 5,000$ required and I presume neither can Mr. Marshall. It is the eeneral Chess world that has to contribute the huge sum (by present day standards) that 1 am endeavoring to collect. I was in hopes that the personal friends of Mr. Marshall. particularly, the Club bearing his name would rally to the banner and instead of promising the last thousand dollars would make an immediate contribution so that the Committee could announce the possession of such a sum as would encourage expectant contributors to come forth in large numbers and speedily so that the whole money can be placed at the disposal of the Trustee of the fund or any other stakeholder who may be selected by both players and their sponsors as soon as the Committee has announced the possession of the full sum required.

It is certain that if this match be abandoned the National Chess Federation will change its rules, arrange a tournament at which Mr. Marshall will be invited to defend his title and the winner declared the champion. I am too good a friend of Mr. Marshall to have this come about without an effort to avoid it. And all of his friends, if they would feel the same way, would realize the necessity of co-operating in the work of raising this fund.

I hope I am not mistaken, but somehow or other I feel that your letter was written as though it were addressed to someone promoting some event for personal gain or profit. It is very painful to have that feeling especially when one is endeavoring at a great sacrifice to himsel to do something in the cause of a game that has so many lovers and so few practical votaries.

The rules of the National Chess Federation requiring a deposit or even an agreement between the parties for a deposit seems to me entirely beside the point. Does anybody doubt that Mr. Kashdan is anxious to play for the title and the money? And I assure you that I have no doubt that Mr. Marshall is willing to play for the money and to defend his title to the utmost of his ability.
When so much difficulty is experienced raising the funds for this match, and when so powerful a Club financially like the Marshall Chess Club offers to put up only $\$ 1,000$ at the end after $\$ 4,000$ has been collected, it requires great courage to go on. And you will realize this when you learn that sometimes several letters are required in order to secure a $\$ 5$ or $\$ 10$ contribution. It is nearly two weeks since I wrote nearly all the individuals (that is I omitted letters to the Clubs and organizations) who contributed to the expenses of the Folkestone Team. I signed each letter personally, enclosed a self-addressed envelope requiring no postage, and the net result of it is $\$ 95$. I have drafted another letter to be sent out to over one thousand Chessists throughout the United States. The draft is complete and ready to go to the printer. I have ordered special letterheads waiting to be completed upon the formation of the full Committee.

Suppose I took $\$ 250$ out of my pocket in addition to the money already contributed by me and made the deposit and then the Chess world refuses to put up the fund requiredhow will that help anybody? What I am striving for is to raise the money and when a sum near the amount required is on hand. place the fund at the disposal of the two players by a stakeholder of their own choosing and let them play for it under such rules and regulations, hours of play, days of play, which they may both agree to and to which, no doubt, the National Chess Federation will give its sanction.
It may well be that once the required fund is collected an amount of $\$ 250$ should be set aside to belong to the player who is on hand on the date agreed upon for the beginning of the match if the other defaults. But I don't believe that would be a problem at all because I am certain that barring death or unforeseen serious illness or some other catastrophe, once the fund is on hand both players will be eager for the fray.
Won't the Marshall Chess Club help me bring this match about? I realize and the whole Chess world realizes that Mr. Marshall is the champion and the challenged party and can place the onus of raising the fund, etc., upon the challenger, and, yet, such an altitude on his part confirmed by his friends is not conducive to success in raising the necessary funds. The sentiment of the Chess world is for a match. At the request of the National Chess Federation I assumed the task of converting that sentiment into practical results by securing contributions. I relied upon the greatest possible co-operation from the Marshall Chess Club. With. out such co-operation I fear very much that I shall have to acknowledge failure in my efforts.
Will you, therefore, please to reconsider the question of joining the Committee and if the amount that the Marshall Chess Club will contribute is $\$ 1.000$, place it in the hands of the Trustee, let me announce that fact to the Chess world. and the stimulus thus gained would almost insure the col-
lection of the balance of the moneys. Please to let me hear from you.
With assurances of my kind personal regards to yourself and to the fellow members of the Club, I remain

Yours very truly,
(Signed) H. M. Phillilps.
P. S. You understand that any moneys in the hands of the Trustec will be returned to the contributors in full with the possible exception of a pro rata share of the $\$ 250$ forfeit money if for any teason the match does not take place.

Mr. Harold M. Phillips,
New York City.
My dear Mr. Phillips:
1 duly received your letter of October 11th. After mature consideration. and speaking for Mr. Marshall, our decision is that Mr. Kashdan must deposit his forfeit with the stakeholder without any more delay and that the purse (and expense moncy if any games are to be played outside New York) must be raised not later than November 15th. Otherwise the challenge will be considered abandoned.

Very truly yours.
(Signed) Charles e. kelley.
October 16th, 1934.
Charles E, Kelley, Esq.,
400 Madison Avenue,
New York City.
My dear Mr. Kelley:
I am very sorry that you only replicd to the least important of the points covered by my letter of October 11th.
I noted what you said about the forfeit. I shall talk with Mr. Kashdan and write you again.
I haven't in my possession the Rules of the National Chess Federation covering United States Championship Matches. Whatever the Rules are, they are useless in the absence of the fund. As I said, this is not a personal affair involving Mr. Kashdan-it is a case of the Chess world endeavoring to raise $\$ \$ .000$ for a championship match with Mr. Kashdan as the outstanding. and, in fact. the only challenger.
My recollection of the Rules is that there is nothing in those Rules which would prevent Mr. Marshall from playing anybody else who challenges him who has the $\$ 5.000$ avail. able and who will be passed upon by the National Chess Federation as of sufficient skill to iustify him to contecst for the Chess championship of the United States. Under the circumstances. 1 cannot see the force of the point that the challenge will be regarded as abandoned by Mr. Marshall.
I might add that I shall continue my labors in the hope of raising the fund as soon as possible as the Chess-loving public is anxious to have the match as soon as possible, although 1 am not too hopeful of my ability to raise the required moncys. If after the most heroic efforts I conclude that the Chess world is not interested, 1 shall so announce, return the moneys to the various contributors, and, as far as 1 am concerned. Mr. Marshall can continue to be the Chess champion of the United States for the rest of his life with a profound prayer that it be prolonged way beyond the normal span of human beings.
I might add further that I shall be delighted to continue my contribution and yicld my position as Chairman of the Committee to anybody else who will be willing to undertake the task.

## Very truly yours.

(Signed) H. M. PHILLIPS.
The Chairman then drafted a letter asking for contributions and more than a thousand such letters addressed to chess lovers were circularized throughout the United States. Contributions were small and were received slowly, in fact, in some instances two and three personal letters would be written by the Chairman only to result in a contribution of five dollars. Up to date the sum on deposit in the Trust Account is $\$ 875.50$ which includes the initial deposit of $\$ 500$. Acknowledgments were mailed to the various contributors immediately upon receipt of the respective funds. It is interesting to note that among these contributors was one, John Keeble of Norwich, England, who was kind enough to donate \$s towards this fund.
On November 21, 1934, fifty-eight personal letters signed by the Chairman were sent to Chess Clubs throughout the United States asking for contributions to this fund.

On November 22nd, 1934, personal letters signed by Mr. Phillips, were sent to nineteen persons who had contributed to the Folkestone Tournament but who had thus far failed to aid our fund.

On January 16th, 1935, the last meeting of the Committee was held at the office of the Chairman at 2 Lafayette Street, New York City, at which time on behalf of the Treasurer a report was submitted of the contributions received and now on deposit in the Trust Account and the disbursements thus far personally expended by the Chairman, of which the Treasurer, Mr. Brieger, agreed to bear one-half, in connection with this tournament. A sub-committee was appointed to interview Mr. Marshall, and propose some modifications of the conditions of the match. The following letter is the last word on the subject to date:

# UNITED STATES <br> CHESS CHAMPIONSHIP COMMITTEE 

Mr. Frank J. Marshall.
23 West 10th Street,
New York City.
Mr. 1. Kashdan,
c/o Alamac Hotel,
71 Street and Broadway, New York City.

## Dear Sirs:

I am writing to both of you to inform you that the Committee has fina!ly concluded that it is impossible to raise at this time the sum of Five thousand dollars toward the match for the Chess Championship of the United States.

A Sub-Committee was appointed at the last meeting of the Committee held on Wednesday, January 16th, to interview Mr. Marshall and to propose to modify some of the conditions of the match. This letter is written upon the sug. gestion of Mr. Marshall as reported by the Sub-Committee.

The following is a financial statement to date:

## Contributions to the Match

| 1. 4.34-Fritz Brieger | \$250.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. 4 -34-H. M. Phillips | 250.00 |
| 3. 5.3.4-Robert S. Goerlich | 5.00 |
| 3.20.34-Mrs. Michael Newland | 5.00 |
| 5.23.34-Voe Liken | 5.00 |
| 10. 3.34-Edwin Dimock | 10.00 |
| 10. 3.34-Alfred C. Klahre | 5.00 |
| 10. 6-34-Dr. Eli Moschcowitz | 10.00 |
| 10. 6-34-Arthur S. Meyer | 10.00 |
| 10.6.34-Sidney V. N. Este | 5.00 |
| 10.9.34-Joseph H. Stopford | 5.00 |
| 10-10-34-Leonard B. Meyer | 10.00 |
| 10-10-34-Bradley Martin | 25.00 |
| 10.10.34-Arthur Williams | 10.00 |
| 10.10.34-J. Gennert | 5.00 |
| 10.12.34-Stewart I.. Tatum | 5.00 |
| 10-15.3.-Dr. W/m. A. Boyd | 5.00 |
| 10.15.34-Louis A. Zimmerman | 5.00 |
| 10.15.34--Silas W. Howland | 25.00 |
| 10.22.34-Arthur Malkenson | 25.00 |
| 10-22.34-Dr. Martin Biederman | \$.00 |
| 10-25.34-Frank Francis | 5.00 |
| $10 \cdot 29.34-\mathrm{I}$. S. Turover | 50.00 |
| 11.2.34-James Quinn | 5.00 |
| 11. 2.34-James H. Morse | 10.00 |
| 11. 2.34-Roy W/akefield | 1.00 |
| 11. 7-34-Edward R. Hintz | 1.00 |
| 11. 7.34-Frank B. Walker | 5.00 |
| 11. 7.34-Paul Weiss ... | 2.50 |
| 11. 7-34-Wilmer Thompson | 1.00 |
| 11. 7.34-Geoffrey Mott Smith | 5.00 |
| 11. 7.34-Paul Sommer | 5.00 |
| 11. 8-34-Wm. N. Witt | 5.00 |
| 11. 8.34-C. E. Pester | 10.00 |
| 11-12-34-Wm. Rennaker | 5.00 |
| 11-12-34-A. G. Zimmerman | 10.00 |
| 11-12-34--Sidney Rosenbaum | 10.00 |
| 11.15-34-David Rosenbaum | 15.00 |
| 11-16.34-Grand Rapids Chess | 2.00 |
| 11-21-34-J. Keeble | 5.00 |


| 11.22-34-Henry Atlas | 10.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11-22.34-L. A. Kempf | S.00 |
| 11-28.34-Schenectady Chess Club | 5.00 |
| 11-28.34-C. W. Hewlett | 5.00 |
| 11-28.34-Stuyvesant Chess Club | 5.00 |
| 12.18-34-Eric Chess Club | 3.00 |
| 1-16.35-Thomas T. Robinson | 10.00 |
|  | 875.50 |

The whole of this sum intact is on deposit with the Chemical Bank and Trust Company, 320 Broadway, New York City, in the name of "Fritz Brieger, Trustce.

The following disbursements which are all the disbursements to date have been advanced so far by the Chairman of the Committee:

| Multigraphing 58 letters, filling in 58 letters name and amounts. | \$ 4.15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 950 letters multigraphed |  |
| 848 letters filled in |  |
| 844 envelopes addressed |  |
| 848 pen signatures |  |
| 844 enclosed and delivered. . . . . $\$ 34.00$ |  |
| Stamps used: |  |
| $1582 \mathrm{2c}$ stamps ................. 3.16 |  |
| 6863 c stamps ................ 20.58 | 57.74 |
| 1450 letterheads, 2 lots |  |
| 1500 envelopes |  |
| 1500 return envelopes |  |
| 1250 Sun Newspaper clippings and line |  |
| reproduction ... | 52.10 |
| 120 stamps used for letters to Chess |  |
| Clubs and receipts 3 C | 3.60 |
|  |  |

The Committee bearing in mind that the Marshall Chess Club stood ready to contribute One thousand dollars if Four thousand dollars is raised hopes that the Marshall Chess Club would contribute Five Hundred Dollars if the sum of Two thousand dollars be raised and if Two thousand five hundred dollars will suffice as the purse for the match.

The difference between the amount on hand and the Two thousand dollars requited would be made up by contributions from Chicago of about $\$ 200$ to $\$ 250$, and the rest from club contributions and gate receipts. The Treasurer and the Chairman will stand feady to make good any deficit should the gate receipts fall below the amount requisite to make up a total of Two thousand dollars.

Realizing that the amount is thus cut in half, the Committee feels that the division of the purse must be altered somewhat and a resolution was passed to suggest to both players that all the games be played in New York City and in the immediate vicinity; that $\$ 750$ be allowed outright to the present Champion and of the remaining $\$ 1,750, \$ 1,000$ to go to the winner, and $\$ 750$ to the loser, or any other proportions as you two players may agree upon.

If you will reply forthwith agreeing to the financial modification, leaving all other terms of the agreement unchanged, the Committee will at once write to Chicago asking the consent of the National Chess Federation to those modifications. No doubt the National Chess Federation will be delighted to acquiesce and there is no reason why the match should not proceed within a month at the latest from the date of the receipt of a favorable reply.

In this connection let it be understood that if the gate receipts total more than sufficient to make up $\$ 2.500$ and the expenses in connection with the tournament and in connection with services in the sale of tickets and in the management of the playrooms, etc., of course, any such excess in gate receipts will be divided between the contestants share and share alike or in the proportion as the $\$ 1,750$ is to be divided.

Will you please each of you reply in writing to the Committee without any delay so that this match can get under way?
I might say that I should like to invite both of you to listen to a recital of the amount of labor expended so far that resulted in a collection of $\$ 375.50$ since the initial deposit of $\$ \$ 00$ on the 4th of January, 1934.

Very truly yours,
HAROLD M. PHILLIPS,
HMPsb
Chairman.
Anyone perusing the detailed account of the proceedings of the United States Championship Match Committee, cannot fail to be impressed by the intense effort and incessant zeal with which the Chairman has labored for the success of the match.

In our next issue we hope to be able to give our readers defnite information on this subject.

## (Continued from Page 30)

 winner of the prize was Dr. Tartakower who had not won a game up to that round. To make it more surprising his opponent was the dangerous Bogoljubow.Yates, a Queen ahead in a pawn ending, allowed Marshall to escape with a draw, and yet he was the only one to win a game from the first-prize winner, Nimzowitsch.

Tartakower, who lost only three games in this tournament, could not do better than ties for 12 th, 13 th, 14 th and 15 th places.

Rubinstein, playing a rook ending against Mattison, extracted a win from such a "hopelessly drawn" position, that the editors of the tourney book united in the assertion that had this occurred 300 years ago, Rubinstein would have been burned as being in league with evil spirits.

Maroczy experienced misfortune in the 4th round against Samisch. In a Queen ending, which should have been drawn, Maroczy overstepped the time limit. This has happened before to masters, but in this instance, the players had fought 14 hours!
Dr. Zukertort and Rosenthal played a match in 1880. One of the curious articles in the agreement drawn up was that neither player must leave the other alone during the midday adjournment but must lunch and stay together until the afternoon session began. Another clause stated that Rosenthal had the right to postpone the match to Autumn should the temperature reach $67^{\circ}$ Fahrenheit.

The year of 1932 was perhaps the most un. lucky one for chess players, the world losing by death Yates, Colle, Noteboom, Takacs, Mattison and Weenink.

In Russia chess is though of so highly that it is taught in public schools, yet blindfold play is forbidden by law!

## - At Last on Broadway -

Times Square - 47th Street Strand Theatre Office Building Room 204 - One Flight Up

THE BROADWAY CHESS-CHECKERS PARLOR

You Are Invited

## The Hero of Hastings



We are particularly fortunate in being able to give a short autobiography of Sir George A. Thomas, whose recent performance at Hastings has made him the cynosure of all eyes.

I was born the 14th of June, 1881. Started chess as a very small boy; but had very limited opportunities for practice in my youth, as I lived mostly in a provincial town where there were no strong players. As I expect you know, I concentrated much more on tennis, badminton and hockey so long as I was young enough. Consequently I never played in a really important tournament until 1923-when I was of course much too old to benefit by the experience. I never even played in the British Championship until 1920.

I won the British Championship in 1923; the City of London Chess Club Championship twelve times; tournaments at Deal (1907), Sevenoaks (1908), Hastings (1909), Tunbridge Wells (1912), Hastings (1913), Bromley (1920), Canterbury (1930); and was equal first at Spa (1927) and Tunbridge Wells (1927). Not much to boast of, I fear; but owing to my fondness for other games, my chess was more of the "might-have-been" order than anything else. I have captained England at three different games-badminton, chess and lawn tennis. Badminton was my best game; I won between 300 and 400 first prizes in open tournaments.

Characteristic of the warm human qualities of the Baronet is the following anecdote.

Mr. Edgar Denhaene, Editor of "De Schelde," an Antwerp newspaper, composed the following little verse in the presence of Sir Thomas.

## CHESS

My notions of the game are few That's why I'm feeling blue I do not play chess, alas! As well as Sir George Thomas.
Whereupon Sir Thomas simply added this last line.

There is then, still hope for you!

## Hastings Masters' Tournament <br> December, 1934 <br> QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

J. R. Capablanca

White


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \mathrm{P} . \\
2 & \mathrm{P} \\
3 \mathrm{Kt} \\
3 & \mathrm{~B} \\
5 & \mathrm{P}
\end{array}
$$

Kt-KB3
P-K3
P-Q4
Kt-Q2
P-B3
B-K2
O.O
R-K1
Kt -B1
PxP
11
12 Bx
13
14
16 K
17 KR-K1 QR
18 Q-Kt3

20 P-Q
21 BxP
22 P-K
23 KtxKt
24 Kt -Q2
25 RxR
26 P.QKt4

27 P

Sir G. Thomas Black

| 28 Q-Kt2 | B-R1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 29 QR-Q1 | Q-K2 |
| 30 Q-Q4 | P-KR3 |
| 31 Q-Q6 | QxQ |
| 32 RxQ | Kt-Kt3 |
| 33 P-K6 | PxP |
| 34 QRxP | K.KB2 |
| 35 R-R6 | R-B2 |
| 36 R-Kt1 | Kt-K2 |
| 37 P-KR4 | Kt-B1 |
| 38 R-Kt5 | B-Kt2 |
| 39 R-B5ch | K-Kt1 |
| 40 R-K6 | Kt.K2 |
| 41 R-B4 | B-Q4 |
| 42 R -Q6 | R-B1 |
| 43 R-R6 | R-B8ch |
| 44 K-R2 | Kt -B3 |
| 45 P-R5 | R-B7 |
| 46 R-B5 | B-K3 |
| 47 R-B4 | R-B5 |
| 48 P.Kt4 | B-B1 |
| 49 RxKt | R×R |
| 50 RxP | K-B2 |
| 51 R-R4 | R-R3 |
| 52 R-B4ch | K-K2 |
| 53 R-K4ch | K-B3 |
| Resigns |  |

## RUBBER STAMPS

 FOR CHESSMEN

Complete Set, Practical, Handsome, PLUS 2 Stamp pads and 1 pad of diagram blanks.

Postpaid $\$ 1.50$
Prices on Chess Sets upon request Victor Fliegelman

89 FOURTH AVENUE
New York, N. Y.

## Game Studies

Maribor Tournament
August, 1934
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
(Noter by V. Pirc)
(Translated from the Wiener Schach-Zeitung)

| V. Pirc | Dr. L. Astalos |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 4 B-Kt5 | B-K2 |
| 5 | P-K3 |

Bogolubow and other masters are of the opinion that this leads to a draw. I do not believe that this is necessarily so.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
9 & \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} \\
10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q}
\end{array}
$$

QxB

White gains nothing by $10 \mathrm{KtxKt}, \mathrm{PxKt}$; 11 QxP , Q-Ktsch; 12 Kt-Q2, QxKtP; 13 Q-Ktl (the Pawn sacrifice with $13 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt} 1$ is incorrect), QxQ , followed by the eventual $\qquad$ P-K4 or P-B4.

## 10

## KtxKt

This exchange was recommended by the Vienna master H. Wolf. Bogolubow continues here with 10 . . . P-KB4; 11 O-O, R-B3! with attack. But instead 11 Kt-K5! R-B3; 12 P-B4, KixKKt; 13 BPxKt, R-R3; 14 BxKt, BPxB; 15 O-O, leaves White, 1 believe with a great advantage.

## 11 QxKt

The sacrifice 11 BxPch, K-R1; 12 PxKt, P-KKt3; $13 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{PxB}$; 14 QxP is unfavorable. Black is too well developed.

| 11 B×P | P×P |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12 B. | PKt3 |
| 13 O-O | B-Kt2 |
| 14 B-K2 | $\ldots .$. |

In a similar position against Frydman I played here 14 B-Q3 which was a good alternative.

| 14 .... | KR-Q1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 15 KR-Q1 | P-QB4 |
| 16 Q-R3 | . . . |

Gerting a bind on the position.

## 16

K-B1
16. . . Q-B3 would be met by 17 P-QKt4! gaining immediate control of the QB file.

$$
17 \text { B-Kt5 }
$$

Concentrating everything on the attack against QB5.

## 17

## P-QR3?

Up to this point, Black essayed a stout defense. Of the various possibilities, he now chose the worst. If 17 . . . PxP; 18 QxQch, $K x Q ; 19 \mathrm{KexP}$, and White still maintains the upper hand by virtue of his control of QB6. e. g. 19...QR-B1; 20 RxR! Best was 17 . . BxKt; $18 \mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{PxP} ; 19$ QxQch, $\mathrm{KxQ} ; 20 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3 ; 21 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$, and even here

White has the better endgame.
18 BxKt

## QxB

18 . . . RxB; $19 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ would not alter matters. 19 Kt-K5
Q-B2

Ot 19...Q-Q4; 20 P-B3! followed by P-K4; or 19 . . Q-K2; $20 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{PxP} ; 21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and the weakness of Black's QBP must tell against him.

20 P-QKt4
P-B3
Black cannot avoid the loss of a Pawn.

| 21 | KtPxP | PxKt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | P-B6ch | Q-K2 |

And now we arrive at an endgame which is lost for Black. 22 . . . K-B2 would retain Queens, but after $23 \mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{QxP} ; 24 \mathrm{P} \times P$, White's position is overwhelming.

| 23 Q×Qch | $K \times Q$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24 P×B | QR-Kt1 |
| 25 R-B7ch | R-Q2 |
| 26 R×Rch | K×R |
| 27 P×Pch | K-K2 |
| 28 R-Q6 | $\ldots .$. |

Less enterprising but perhaps safer was 28 P-B4, followed by K-B2 and R-Q2.


Secking counterplay.

| 30 | R×KtP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 31 K-B2 | R-B8ch |
| 32 | K-B3 |

33 P-R3 was a bit more cautious, but White banks on the advance in the center to carry through. The play is now interesting.

| 33 RXRP | RxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 34 R×R | P-R4 |
| 35 K-Kt3 | R-K7 |
| 36 P-B5! | KP |

If instead $36 .$. RxPch; $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ and 38 RxPch, etc., or if $36 \ldots$ RPxP; 37 RxPch, K-B2; 38 K-B4! P-Kt6; 39 R-KKt6, R-B7ch; 40 K-K4, PKt7; 41 P.K6ch, K-Bı; $42 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 5$ followed by P.K4-, K-Q4-K3-B3, etc.

| 37 | K-B3 | R-K8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | PxBP | P-R5 |
| 39 | R-R7ch | K-B1 |
| 40 | R-R8ch | K-K2 |
| 41 | R-R8! | R.KR8 |
| 42 | R-R7 | K-B1 |
| 43 | K-Kt4! | R-K8 |
| 44 | K-B4 | R-KR8 |
| 45 | P-K4! | . . . |

So that White can defend everything with R-R.4. 45 . . P-R6
If 45 . K.Ktl ; 46 P-K6! and Black cannot afford to capture the Rook.

46 P-K6
P.R7

If 46 . . . R-B8ch; $47 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 8 \mathrm{ch} ; 48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$, R-KR8; 49 R-R4! and then K-Kt3! If 46 K. K1; 47 RxP! P-R7; 48 P-B6, R-KKt8; 49 R-QR7, R-Q1; 50 P.B7ch erc.

## 47 R-R8ch

47 K-Ks would only draw! 47 . . . K-K1! 48 R-R8ch, K-K2; 49 R-R7, K-B1.


Black is "Zugzwang".
Dr. L. Astalos

V. Pirc

Zurich Masters' Tournament
August, 1934
QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED

## (Notes by F. Reinfeld)

| G. Stahlberg | H. Grob |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P.Q4 | Kt.KB3 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P.K3 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | P.Q4 |
| 4 Kt-B3 | P×P |

Lately 4 . . P-B4; S BPxP, KtxP; 6 P.K4, KtxKt; 7 PxKt, PxP; 8 PxP, B-Ktsch has been played a great deal.

$$
5 \text { P.K3 }
$$

5 P-K4, B-Kt5; 6 B-Kts, P-B4! leads to interesting complications not unfavorable to Black. The quieter text is more likely to produce an advantage-if it is at all attainable in this opening.

| 5 | P-B4 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | BXP | P-QR3 |
| 7 | P-QR4 | $\ldots$. |

To prevent . . . P-QKt4, which, from the cumulative knowledge gained from many master games, may be said to assure Black equality.
7.... P-QKt3

An unfortunate idea which heads Black straight into difficulties. The point is, he does not want to play ... B-K2 and lose a tempo should White reply PxP. This plan could have been executed more preciscly by ${ }^{7}$. Kt-B3; 8 O-O, PxP; 9 PxP, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QK}$ !s; 10 Q-K2, B-K2 followed by castling and the franchetto of the QB. Black's execution of this plan is wretchedly timed-a circumstance which the alert Stahlberg soon turns to his advantage.

| 8 | 0.0 | B-Kt2 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 9 | Q-K2 | Kt-B3 |
| 10 | R-Q1 | P×P |

Black plays too schematically; . . . Q.B2 was safer.

| 11 PxP | Kt-QK\&5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12 B.KKt5! | B-K2 |

H. Grob

G. Stahlberg

13 BxKt! PxB P - Q and if 14 would be even worse because of
. BxKt; 15 PxP etc. 14 14 P-Q5:
In return for the Pawn, Stahlberg hopelessly compromises Black's game and makes it impossible for him to castie.
14... PxP
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Or 14 KtxP, 15 Kxxk, BxKt, 16 BxB, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}$, QR-B1 and White maintains a powerful game with Kt-BS or QR-B1.

## 15 B-Q3!

Very fine. Black is now unable to castle because of $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and Q-R5. Nor does exchanging the Bishop lighten his burdens appreciably.

| 15 | Q-Q2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16 QR-B1 | Q-K3 |
| 17 Q-Q2 | KtxB |
| 18 QxKt | R-Q1 |
| 19 R-K1 | Q-Q2 |
| 20 Q-K3! |  |

Beginning the attack in real earnest. The helplessness of the Bishops is curious.

```
2 0
21 Kt-Q4
```


## KR-Kt1

```
....
```

Threatening Kt - B5.
$21 . .$.
K-B1
. . . R-Kt4 would be no better, for then 22 P-B4, R-R4; 23 Q-K2, R-R3; 24 Kt -BS wins. The text, however, allows a deep combination which is admirably calculated.

$$
22 \text { Q-R6ch R-Kt2 }
$$

Or if 22 . . . K-K1; 23 QxBP(QxRP, Q-Kts), $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Bl}$ ( . . R-Kt3; 24 Q-R8ch); 24 Q -R6ch(KtK6ch, QxKt!), K-K1; 25 QxKtP, Q-Kts; 26 P-KKt3, R-Q2; 27 KtxP!! R-Kt3; 28 Kt -B6ch, RxKt; 29 QxR, QxKt; $30 \mathrm{RxBch}!$ and wins. Even simpler is 26 RxBch! KxB; 27 R-K1ch etc.

## 23 RxB!!

This move has an obvious look about it but the artistic sequel dispels that impression.

Q-Kt5
Not 23 . . . QxR; 24 Kt -BS.
24 Kt-K6ch!! KxR
Forced, for if 24 . . PxKt; 25 QxPch, K-Kt1; 26 RxRch, QxR; 27 QxRch wins easily.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
25 \text { KtxR } & \text { P.Q5 } \\
26 \text { R-K1ch } & \text { K-Q2 }
\end{array}
$$

Now how is White to retain his extra piece?!

```
27 P-B3!
BxP
28 Q-Q2!
K-B1
```

28 . . QxKt would have led to 29 QxPch, KB1; 30 Q-B4ch, K-Kt1(30... K-Q2; 31 Q-Q3ch); 31 Q-B4ch etc. or 29 . . K-B3; 30 Q-B4ch, K-Q2 ( . . . K-Kt2 leads to mate) ; 31 Q-Q3ch etc. 29 Kt -K8!
A fitting conclusion to the masterly combination begun on the 23rd move; if 29 . . PxKt; 30 QxPch followed by Q-B7ch etc.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
29 \text { KtxP } & \begin{array}{r}
\text { B-B3 } \\
\text { QxPch }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

30 ... Q-Kt3; $31 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{B} 3)-\mathrm{K} 4$ would have lengthened out the game-with no hope of a better result. The remainder is plain sailing: 31 QxQ , BxQ; $32 \mathrm{KxB}, \mathrm{PxKt} ; 33 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, R-R7; 35 R-K4, P-R3; 36 P.R4, P-Kt4; 37 PxP, PxP; 38 R-QKt4, R-R6; 39 Kt-K4, R-R4; 40 RxP! R-R8ch; $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 42 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 6, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6 ; 43 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{Q} 1$; $44 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 45 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ ? $46 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ and Black resigns as he must lose the exchange.

## Off.Hand Encounter <br> Parls, 1934

## QUEEN'S PAWN GAME

(Notes by F. Reinfeld)

Dr. O. S. Bernstein<br>White<br>1 Kt -KB3<br>2 P-Q4<br>3 B-B4<br>Dr. R. Rey-Ardid Black<br>Kt-KB3<br>P-Q4

A welcome deviation from the far more frequent P-B4.

| 3 |  | P.K3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 4 | P-K3 | P.B4 |
| 5 | P.B3 | Kt-B3 |
| 6 | QKt-Q2 | B-K2 |

Black has two other systems of development at his disposal, beginning with . . . Q-Kt3 or . . . BQ3. The text is not bad, but it requires careful handling.

## 7 Kt-K5

With this move White reveals his intention of playing for the attack, which he carries on with considerable ingenuity-while his opponent conducts the defense with equal skill!

$$
7 \ldots
$$

0.0

Capturing the Kt at once would be premature, since after 7 . . . KtxKt; 8 PxKt, Kt -Q2; $9 \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Kt} 4$ ! would be troublesome.

## 8 B-Q3 <br> KtxKt

Now this exchange is satisfactory, for the recapture with the Pawn would give Black an opportunity to break through the center later on with . . . P.Qs.
9 BxKt
B-Q2
10 Q-B3
B-B3

Black is planning to free his game with .... KtKs. White crosses this intention with a diabolical trap.
11 Q-R3
P.KKt3
Kt-Q2!

But not $12 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ ? 13 Q-R6! P-B3(13 . Kt -B3; $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kts}$ or 13 . . . B-B3; 14 BxKt , PxB; $15 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ ! and wins) ; $14 \mathrm{BxKt}, \mathrm{BPxP}(14$ QPxB; $15 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kts}$ !) ; $15 \mathrm{BxKtP}, \mathrm{PxB}$; 16 QxPch, K-R1; 17 Q-R6ch, K-Kt1; 18 QxPch, K-R2 (18 . . K-R1; 19 KtxP threatening QxKB ! in addition to Kt -B7ch) ; 19 KtxP and White has ample compensation for the missing piece.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 13 \text { Q-R6 } \\
& 14 \text { KtxKt }
\end{aligned}
$$

KtxB
Also here the recapture with the Pawn would allow Black to counter with . . . P-QS.
14... B-B3

First . . . B-KKt4 was a more cautious line of play, as it would have prevented White's next move.

| 15 P-KR4 | B-Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 16 Q-B4 | P×P |
| 17 KPXP | Q-K2 |

Intending to initiate a counter-action in the center with . . . P-B3 and . . . P-K4.

18 Q-Kt3

With a view to playing P.KB4 so as to prevent P-KKt 4 after P-RS.

| ${ }_{19}^{18} \times{ }_{\text {Qx }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | BxKt |
| :---: | :---: |
| Again, if $19 \mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QS}$ : |  |
| 19. | P.B3 |
| 20 Q-K3 | P-K4 |
| 21 P.R5 | P.KKt4 |
| 22 B-B5 |  |

Necessary, else . . . P-Ks blocks out the Bishop and prepares for . . . P-B4 with an overwhelming position for Black.

22 . . . .
QR-K1
Threatening to win a Pawn by
. . PxP.
P-K5
B-Kt4!
$\ldots$

Preparing a clever trap.

| 25 | Q-Q3 <br> 26 <br> 27 <br> R-K3 <br> P-R!! |
| :--- | ---: |
| B-Q6 |  |

Dr. R. Rey-Ardid


Dr. O. S. Bernstein
And not the plausible . . Q-R3, which would have been defeated by 28 BxPch!! K-R1; 29 Q.Q7, R-KKtl; $30 \mathrm{BxR}, \mathrm{RxB} ; 31 \mathrm{QRxB}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R} ; 32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$. Or if Black captures the Bishop, then 29 Q-BSch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Rl}(\ldots \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{Ktl}$ or . . . KxP leads to mate in two) ; 30 QRxB! PxR; 31 Q-Kt6, R-KKt1; 32 RxR and wins!

```
28 P-R3
P-R4
29 QRxB
....
```

This shows a good understanding of the position. Black's attacking prospects ( . . . P-Kts) were becoming too strong.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 29 \text {. . . . } \\
& 30 \text { RxR } \\
& \text { PxR } \\
& \text { P-Q7ch! }
\end{aligned}
$$

A finesse! If $30 \ldots \mathrm{RxR}$; 31 QxP assures White excellent chances, as he must win another Pawn, since Black could not go in for 31 . . . QB5ch; 32 K-Kt1, QxBP? 33 BxPch, K-R1 or K-B1; 34 Q.Ki6 and wins, or $33 \ldots$ K-B2; 34 B-Kt6ch winning easily.

If $31 \mathrm{KxP}, \mathrm{RxR}$ (not 31
Q.BSch because of the interposition at K3); 32 Q-Q3, Q-B5ch; 33 K-B2, QxPch; 34 K-Kt1, R-K7 and wins.

| $31 . \dot{\text { Q.Q3 }}$ | $R \times R$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 32 R-K2 |  |

Now . . . Q-Bs would not be so good because of BxPch.

## 33 QXQP

Q-K8!
The most economical method of play; . . .Q-K7; 34 B-Q7! and White wins a Pawn.

| 34 | B-Q3 | QxQch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 35 | K×Q | R-Kt1 |
| 36 | B-B5 | R-Kt3 |

After this the game is legitimately drawn. K-B2 offered some winning chances.

| 37 | P.QKt3 | R-Q3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 38 | P-KKt4 | R-Q1 |
| 39 | P-B3 | R-Q3 |
| 40 | K-Q3 | R-Kt3 |
| 41 | P.QB4? | . . . |

An ill-judged attempt to win?! By simply maintaining the status quo, White had nothing to fear.


Or 44 B-K6ch, K-B1; $45 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{RxRP}$ and the QRP must decide the issue.

$$
44.0 \quad \begin{array}{ll}
45 \times R P & R-Q 6!!
\end{array}
$$

A very pretty move which reduces the game to mere arithmetic 46 BxR , PxBch; 47 KxP , K-B2; 48 K-B4, K-K3; 49 K-Kt5, KxP; 50 KxP , K-K4; 51 K-Kt6, K-B5; $52 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{KxP} ; 53 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{KxP} ; 54$ K-K6, P-B4; 55 K-B6, P-B5; 56 K-Kt7, P-B6; 57 $\mathrm{KxP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$ and White resigns.

A great fighting game in all its phases and a convincing testimonial to Dr. Rey's abilities.
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# A Gallery of Grandmasters 

By Barnie F. Winkelman

## AKIBA RUBINSTEIN

The acknowledgment is made of our indebtedness to Dr. Hannak's introductory biography of Rubinstein in Kmoch's compilation of his games.

## Part II.

Among the millions who fell victims to the ravages of the Great War is to be numbered Rubinstein, chess genius. The post-war Rubinstein is a far different master than the triumphant hero of 1914 who was to contest with Lasker for the Championship of the World. The soul of the sensitive Rubinstein had been most delicately poised even at the height of his career, and was most sorely tried by the ravages and hardships of the war years. Gone was that inner harmony so essential for the complete fulfillment of the powers of a chess artist.

It is true that even in the last fifteen years he has garnered a long list of tournament successes, and not a few first prizes, and has played games that take their place among the gems of chess literature. But Rubinstein has lost the supreme confidence in himself that is the necessary equipment of a champion. He suffers in fact from an inferiority complex, deeming himself superfluous, no longer a necessary adjunct to every great tourney, nor indeed welcome.

Ever modest and retiring, his shyness has become an obsession to the point of a real mental aberration. Yet we can be sure that were he to overcome this fixation, and to find some degree of contentment, attaining that calm and clear vision that most distinguished his play in his earlier period, he would once more find his place in the fore-front of the masters in spite of his fifty years.

There can be little doubt that Rubinstein has added more to the present status of chess theory and technique than any master since Steinitz. More innovations in the openings and more of the lines that are today recognized as the ultimate in correctness and strength can be traced to his genius and originality than can be ascribed to any other master. The standard defense of the Ruy Lopez-B-K2, P-Q3, PQKt4, P-QB4, etc.-owes most to him. In the Four Knights both Kt-QS (leading to a draw) and Q-K2 (followed by Kt-Q), were formulated by him, and so potently aided Black, that they have all but banished this opening from serious play. In the Queen's Gambit Declined, it was Rubinstein who perfected the Fianchetto
of the King's Bishop against Tarrasch's defense of P-QB4, and sent that variation into semiretirement for many years. Indeed he most signally celebrated the triumph of this variation by resounding victories over Lasker (1909) and Capablanca (1911).

In recent years he has introduced new attacks and defenses in the most hyper-modern positions. Against Black's 3. B-Kts in the Queen's Pawn Opening, his simple P-K3 followed by $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ is especially noteworthy. Less weil known is his novel sacrificial line against the Blumenfeld Counter Attack, while his crushing treatment of the Queen's Fianchetto Defense by a solid phalanx of pawns that aimed at QBS, was classically illustrated in his game against Thomas. His 2
$\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ is in my judg. ment one of the best of Black's resources in the Sicilian.

He is a pioneer and originator who hews out new paths in the domain of chess. He is not content merely to win games, to take advantage of some lapse on the part of his adversary, to entrap his opponent into pitfalls that have been dug by others. He views himself as an artist and at each session he endeavors to add something worth while to the literature of chess and some new thought to its technique.

As a result the record of his victories constitutes the most valuable compilation of games that has been brought together by any master. As we go over these one by one we are amazed at their superiority in depth and strategy over the masterpieces of those we have long honored with the label of champion. In the opinion of the writer only the games of Capablanca reach a higher standard of perfection. But Capa built largely on the labors of others, adding merely the final mark of his own great talent. His is a great gift of selection. Rubinstein is the creator and his moves bear the imprint of his own personality.

Of Lasker it was indeed said that he played P.K4 with a view to the end game. But Lasker's chief forte was the complex struggle of the mid-game, and he added little to our knowledge of the openings, being content to trod the best paths, and to leave the blazing of new trails to some more adventurous spirits.

And be it said for Rubinstein that he stands as the greatest end-game player of all time, if not indeed, the most finished master we have known. In fact so profound an impression did
his games make upon the writer, that in a brief colloquy with Reuben Fine he ventured the thought that the Polish master ranked with Capablanca in the sheer artistry of his victories. Mr. Fine not only agreed with this appraisal, but indicated his belief that Rubinstein should be placed at the very head of the list.

Why then such disparity between his talent and his recent performances? Dr. Hannak writes:

> "Rubinstein's character is too noble for the rough and tumble of life. His colleagues know best the splendor of his personality, his considerateness of others. So solic. itous is he that his opponent be not disturbed in his reflection, that as a matter of principle, he leaves the board after each move, and only returns after his adversary has completed his play. Naturally much time is, lost thereby, and his own thinking suffers, and many a surpising loss of Rubinstein can no doubt be attributed to this factor."

He is indeed the Spinoza of chess. More geometrico, with crystal-clear, mathematical detachment, he builds up his position-grace, ease and restraint most markedly apparent in the process. Yet his game throbs with the zeal of the artist, revealing the throes and pains of the creator, all of which are held in check by a judicial appraisal, a calm logic. His games remind us of a great symphony that flows evenly and majestically, yet contains within itself deep tones of approaching storms. From time to time the wild spirit breaks forth from the confines of its Olympian repose, giving us games like his imperishable contest with Rotlewi. Yet even in his most dashing attacks there is the supreme mastery of the elements-the sacrifice of a queen (against Duras in 1908), not to overwhelm his adversary, but to win a pawn, and some twenty-five moves later, by the most refined technique, to translate the pawn advantage into victory.

This is the great feature of his play-its great strategic depth. He is never superficial, never cheap or tawdry. He is never seeking merely to win, but always to create a "Work of Art", He never plays to the score or to the weakness of his opponent, but ever to the board and to give us his best. All this is at once his great strength and his weakness. It explains his occasional lapses, when he is unable to see the obvious. Lost in the profundities of his plan, he overlooks the superficial. Hence his record, which is glorious indeed, lacks the outstanding excellence which is his due. We give a single example of his skill.

## San Sebastian, 1912

FOUR KNIGHTS' GAME

| Dr. Tarrasch |  |  | Rubinstein |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  | Black |  |  |
| 1 | P.K4 | P-K4 | 30 | PxP | B.Q5 |
| 2 | Kt.KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 31 | R.QB | R.QKt2 |
| 3 | Kt -B3 | Kt-B3 | 32 | R-B2 | K.B2 |
| 4 | B-Kt5 | B-B4 | 33 | Kt -82 | R-Kt7 |
| 5 | KtxP | Kt-Q5 | 34 | RxR | B $\times 8$ |
| 6 | B-R4? | Castles | 35 | R-Q2 | B-Q5 |
| 7 | P-Q3 | P-Q4 | 36 | Kt -R3 | K-K3 |
| 8 | B-KKt5 | P.QB3 | 37 | R-B2 | K.Q3 |
| 9 | Q-Q2 | R-K | 38 | P-B5 | R-QB |
| 10 | P-KB4 | P.QKt4 | 39 | B-Q | RxR ch |
| 11 | B-Kt3 | P-KR3 | 40 | BxR | K-K4 |
| 12 | B-R4 | KtxKP | 41 | P-KKt4 | B-K6 |
| 13 | BxQ | KtxQ | 42 | K-B3 | K-Q5 |
| 14 | KxKt | R×B | 43 | B.Kt3 | B-Kt2 |
| 15 | Kt-K2 | KtxKt | 44 | K-K2 | B-QR3 |
| 16 | KxKt | R.K | 45 | B-B2 | B-QKt4! |
| 17 | K-B | B.Kt2 | 46 | P-R4 | B-Q2 |
| 18 | P-B3 | P-B3 | 47 | K-B3 | K.B6 |
| 19 | Kt-Kt4 | P.KR4 | 48 | KxB | P-Q5 ch |
| 20 | Kt -B2 | B-K6 | 49 | K-K2 | K×B |
| 21 | B-Q | P-R5 | 50 | Kt-B4 | BxRP |
| 22 | P.KKt3 | P.R4 | 51 | Kt -K6 | B-Kt6! |
| 23 | B-B3 | P.Kt5 | 52 | KtxP ch | K-Kt7 |
| 24 | K-Kt2 | PxBP | 53 | Kt-Kt5 | P.R5 |
| 25 | PxBP | B-R3 | 54 | K-K3 | P.R6 |
| 26 | P-B4! | QR-Q | 55 | KtxP | KxKt |
| 27 | PxQP | PXQP | 56 | K-Q4 | K-Kt5! |
| 28 | KR-Q | R-K2 |  | Resigns |  |
| 29 | Kt-Kt4 | P×P |  |  |  |

## MARSHALL CHESS CLUB

Inc.
23 W. 10th St., New York City

Headquarters of:
Frank J. Marshall
U. S. Champion

## Where chess lovers meet amid congenial surroundings

## Mistakes of the Masters

By Lester W. Brand
Steinitz


Minckwitz
White only drew this game, although the diagram position was reached twice. A likely continuation:


Holl
Black to Play and Win
Black lost this game. The win:

| 1 | $-\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $K \times R$ |
| 3 | $Q-R 3$ |
| 4 | $K-K t 5$ |

RxRPch Q-R7ch
R-R1ch
QXQ wins
If 2 PxR then 2 . . Q-Kt7 mate.

## DON'T FORGET OUR

RADIO PROGRAM
W. H. N. (DIAL 1010 Kc .) SUNDAYS AT 1:45 P. M., E. S. T.

Victoria, 1922
Loughran


Australian Harrison lost this game instead of winning as follows:
1 Q-B6
Q-R6

Or 1 . . . Q-B5; 2 K-Kt2, P-QS; 3 QxKP, P-Kt 5 ; 4 Q-KB6ch, Q-Kt4; 5 P-KB4 and wins.

| 2 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 8$ |

P.Q5

P-Q6
If $3 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{B4}, 4 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{PxQ}$ and White wins. 4 Q-Kt4ch
5 Q-K7 mate
A "zugzwang" study of rare beauty.

## Contract Bridge

## Chess
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Two Spacious Floors
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# Canadian Section 

by F. W. Watson

Articles pertaining to this department will be accorded special attention if addressed to the Editor at 191 Jones Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

## Vera Menchik Determines Prize Move

Vera Menchik, the women's world champioin, honored Canada's 1934 Dominion Congress by officiating as judge in the part of selecting a best played move from the tournament gamesfor which a liberal money-prize was offered by H. W. Jordan, of Moose Jaw. The decision was made in favor of Maurice Fox, Montreal, for his game against J. B. Harvey, in which the proffered sacrifice of a Rook caught Vera's eye.

## Manitoba and Saskatchewan

The Saskatchewan-Manitoba problem solving contest (1934) is now in the past, with a victory reported for the Manitoba team by a margin of 291 points. Final scores give Manitoba 1279 points, and Saskatchewan 988 . Forty-six solvers shared the task of delving into the intricacy of twelve chess problems-two movers, three movers, four movers, sui-mates, etc., compositions of Jan Kotrc, Karel Traxler, J. Berger, J. C. J. Wainwright, K. A. L. Kubbel, A. SimayMolnar, F. M. Teed, A. Van der Ven, S. Herland, B. R. Cheney, J. J. P. A. Seilberger, and P. A. Koetsheid. One problem was selected each week and printed on diagram by four western Canadian newspapers-rhe Regina LeaderPost, the Saskatoon Star-Phoenix, the Winnipeg Tribune, and the Manitoba Free Press. Mr. A. Benson was the appointed Saskatchewan teamcaptain, with Mr. S. Hall as team-captain in opposition.

Regarding Winnipeg's city championship, the following is an extract from chess column edited by J. H. Booker in Winnipeg Tribune:
"Arrangements are under way to commence the city of Winnipeg chess championship on the first Monday in February. The objective of the Manitoba association will be 128 members, and entries will definitely close on Jan. 19th. This tournament will be run off on the knockout flight system and each entry will be guaranteed at least three games. The first round will be played en masse and the committee is arranging for a suitable hall. Each succeeding round will be played at a place to be named by the even numbers and must be played during the first four days of the week to allow for draws and
adjourned games to be played off during the latter part. Each entrant will be notified as to his opponent by the secretary. Those drawing odd numbers will have choice of White or Black. No defaults will be allowed during the first three rounds, and any player so defaulting will be ruled out of the tourney. The committee in charge of this event are: A. E. Burrows, J. H. Booker, C. B. Battley, J. Dreman, D. Greemer and E. G. Baldwinson."

## Richard or Griffin?

L. Richard, or G. F. Griffin will probably be proclaimed champion of the Montreal Chess Club for 1935. This event recently in progress was slightly in favor of the former after eight rounds by $61 / 2-1 / 2$ against $6-1$, with G. Gaudet next nearest with $51 / 2-11 / 2$, and Keller-Wolf tie with S. B. Wilson 6-2, being followed by J. B. Harvey 5-3. The club title was won by Richard in the 1934 tournament.

## Toronto News

Results from the first series in Toronto's ohess league might have justly caused a slight feeling of disappointment for the Oakwood Club-with Jordan finishing in front by onehalf point. The official standing is posted in order: Jordan 41/2, Oakwood 4, Dovercourt 21/2, Beaches 2, Gambit and Toronto 1. Oakwood is confident of winning the second series to qualify for a play-off against Jordan.
S. W. Stock, W. A. J. Case, and F. Blumberg finished in respective order of one-two-three in the recent Toronto Chess Club Handicap tournament, with prizes awarded accordingly. The event was decided on a play-off series basis, with two sections-each comprising six players-to be represented by qualified finalists. The two section winners played a sudden-death game for first and second prizes, and the two players with second standing in each section provided a similar contest for third prize. F. Blumberg (giving Pawn and move) defeated W. F. Despard, while Stock gave Pawn and two moves to Case. It is odd that the section which did not win first prize, won second and third! A late report concerning the University of Toronto club championship indicated a slow pace with

## Selected Games

Played at Zandvoort, Holland
August, 1934
QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED

| A. Lilienthal |  |  | A. W. Hamming |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| White |  | Black |  |  |  |
| 1 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 10 | O-O | O-O |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-K3 | 11 | P-B5 | Q-B2 |
| 3 | QKt-B3 | PxP | 12 | B-KKt5 | Kt-Kt3? |
| 4 | P-K4 | P-QB4 | 13 | BxKt | KtxB |
| 5 | P-Q5 | P-QR3 | 14 | Kt-KKt5! | P-R3 |
| 6 | P-QR4 | Kt-KB3 | 15 | Q-R5 | R-K1 |
| 7 | BXP | P-K4 | 16 | BxKtP | KxB |
| 8 | P-B4 | B-Q3 | 17 | P-B6ch | Resigns |
| 9 | Kt-B3 | QKt-Q2 |  |  |  |

Maribor Tournament
August, 1934
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| Prof. Stupan White |  |  | V. Pirc Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-Q4 | P.Q4 | 12 | Kt-QR4 | P-KR3 |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P.K3 | 13 | BxKt | Q×B |
| 3 | P.B4 | P-QB3 | 14 | R-K1 | R-Q1 |
| 4 | P-K3 | Kt-B3 | 15 | R-QB1 | PxP |
| 5 | B-Q3 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 16 | KtxP | Kt-K4 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | QKt-Q2 | 17 | Q-B2 | P-B4 |
| 7 | O-O | B-Q3 | 18 | Kt-Kt5 | Kt-B6ch! ! |
| 8 | Kt-B3 | 0.0 | 19 | K-B1 | KtxPch |
| 9 | P.K4 | P-K4 | 20 | K-K2 | B.Kt5ch |
| 10 | QB-Kt5 | P-Kt4 |  | Resigns |  |
| 11 | B-Kt3 | P-Kt5 |  |  |  |

only one round being played each week. The standing of leaders after nine rounds is given as: Scheffer $7-2$, Drummond $61 / 2-11 / 2$, McConnell 6-1, Hayes $51 / 2-11 / 2$, Sprott $5-3$, andA. L. Rubinoff 4-3. Adjournments unaccounted.

Toronto chess authorities have been debating whether the city championship should be billed for the latter part of January, or early in Feb-ruary-or, when? The event should now be in full sway.

Toronto boasts of a prodigy boy player in young G. Breckles, 9 years old-and recognized as Canadian boy champion, after Dominion Congress, 1934, in which he tied with K. Davies for first place in the boys' tournament and won the play.off. Breckles recently emerged a winner in a boys' rapid transit tourney at the Jordan Club; many of Toronto's lads are exceedingly good players. Davies and Breckles--. both are members of the Toronto Oakwood Club, and the boy-prodigy is a regular player on the club's team.

## Manhattan Chess Club Championship

 December, 1934Brilliancy Prize Game
ALEKHINE'S DEFENSE

| E. S. Jackson White |  |  | A. S. Denker Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P.K4 | Kt.KB3 | 15 | Kt-Kt5 | R-KB1 |
| 2 | P-K5 | Kt-Q4 | 16 | Q-Q3 | P-QB3 |
| 3 | P-Q4 | P-Q3 | 17 | KtxP(Q4) | Pxp |
| 4 | P-QB4 | Kt-Kt3 | 18 | PxP | R-B1ch |
| 5 | Kt-KB3 | B.Kt5 | 19 | K-Kt1 | R×P |
| 6 | P-KR3 | BxKt | 20 | Q-QKt3 | R-QB4 |
| 7 | Q $\times$ B | Kt-QB3 | 21 | Q-K3 | Q-B4ch |
| 8 | P-K6 | PxP | 22 | KtxQ | RxPch |
| 9 | B-K3 | Q-Q2 | 23 | K-R1 | PxKt |
| 10 | Kt-QB3 | P.KKt3 | 24 | R-Q4 | R-Kt5 |
| 11 | O-0-0 | B.Kt2 | 25 | B.Kt5ch | QRxB |
| 12 | P-KR4 | P.K4 | 26 | R-Q1 | BxRch |
| 13 | P-Q5 | Kt-Q5 | 27 | Q×B | $\mathbf{R \times Q}$ |
| 14 | BxKt | P×B |  | Resigns |  |

Hastings Masters' Tournament
December, 1934
INDIAN DEFENSE

| A. Lilienthal White |  | J. R. Capablanca Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 14 | Kt-K2 | R-Q1 |
| P-QB4 | P-K3 | 15 | 0.0 | P-QR4 |
| Kt-QB3 | B-Kt5 | 16 | Q-B2 | Q-B5 |
| P-QR3 | BxKtch | 17 | P-B4 | R-QB1 |
| P×B | P-QKt3 | 18 | P-B5 | P.K4 |
| P-B3 | P-Q4 | 19 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | QxKP |
| B-Kt5 | P.KR3 | 20 | PxKt | Q×Q |
| B-R4 | B-R3 | 21 | PxP | R-KKt1 |
| P-K4 | $B \times P$ | 22 | Kt-Q4 | Q-K5 |
| BxB | $P \times B$ | 23 | QR-K1 | Kt-B4 |
| Q-R4ch | Q-Q2 | 24 | RxQch | KtxR |
| QxBP | Q-B3 | 25 | R.K1 | R×P |
| Q-Q3 | QKt-Q2 | 26 | RxKtch | Resigns |

Marshall Chess Club Championship
December, 1934

INDIAN DEFENSE

| E. Tholfsen |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| White |  |
| P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 |
| Kt-KB3 | P-K3 |
| P-B4 | P-B4 |
| P-Q5 | P-KKt3 |
| Kt-B3 | P-Q3 |
| P-KKt3 | B-Kt2 |
| B-Kt2 | $0-0$ |
| O-O | P-K4 |
| P-KR3 | P.KR3 |
| P-K4 | Kt-K1 |
| Kt-K1 | P-B4 |
| Kt-Q3 | Kt-KB3 |
| K-R2 | P-KKt4 |
| P-B4 | KtPxP |
| KtPxP | KtxKP |


| T. A. Dunst |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Black |  |  |
| 16 | KtxKt | P×Kt |
| 17 | BxP | Q-R5 |
| 18 | Q-B3 | Kt-Q2 |
| 19 | R-KKt1 | Kt-B3 |
| 20 | Kt-B2 | PxP |
| 21 | B-Kt6 | Kt-R2 |
| 22 | BxKtch | KxB |
| 23 | Kt-K4 | B-K4 |
| 24 | B-Q2 | B-B4 |
| 25 | B-B3 | QR-K1 |
| 26 | KtxQP | BxB |
| 27 | KtxB | RxKt |
| 28 | R-Kt4 | Q-Kt6ch |
|  | Resigns |  |



# PROBLEMS 

## "The Poesy of Chess"

By Maxwell Bukofzer


#### Abstract

Problems, Problem solutions, criticisms, comments and all matters pertaining to the Problem Department, should be sent directly to the Problem Editor at 106-22 21Sth Street, Bellaire, L. I., N. Y. For personal replies and the return of unsuitable contributions, inclose a stamped self-addressed envelope; otherwise replies will be made in the correspondence column.


## Composers, Take Notice!

When a chess problem, after its publication, is found to be faulty or unsound, because it has no solution or too many of them, there is among the teeming millions of this earth's inhabitants just one person to blame-the author of the demolished work. It does not matter that he offers explanations of the why and wherefor of the mishap. To demand republishing of the problem, correction, time extension for solving, is an unjustifiable attempt to unload the consequences of personal carelessness on someone else's shoulders.

Overloaded with work, I have been unable myself to give sufficient attention to some of my problems that I have sent to other editors. A few were cooked and one had no solution. Did I write vitriolic letters to the editors and insist on having my contributions reprinted? Did I request that they should be held over until a correction would remove the flaws? Certainly not! On the contrary, I feel that I owe the much plagued editors an apology. As for myself, I take my medicine, consoled by the conviction that this planet is not going to crumble to dust because "my masterpieces" are more "pieces" than "masterly".
A publication such as The Chess Review, which brings only Originals, cannot and is not going to reprint a demolished problem, least of all one that, however dear to the heart of the composer, is not of the heroic proportions and the outstanding merit the author imagines.

Therefore, I repeat what I have urged a score of times: Test your problems before you submit them. Once they are in print and thereafter plucked to bits, it is, to use a colloquial expression, just too bad for you, because no republishing will be granted. To bombard me with threatening or cajoling letters and phone calls benefits only Uncle Sam's Postmaster and the Telephone Company.

In conjunction with this explanation, I want to mention another matter. A few readers keep on commanding me to disregard the beginners, to deny them space for their "not too perfect" problems and to eliminate their "often immature" criticisms. This will NOT be done under any circumstances. I do not criticise other chess publications that are conducted for the benefit of master composers and overflow with scientific articles. The mere fact that they endure proves that there is a field for them. But The Chess Review is ruled by other principles. We want every lover of chess, beginner, average type and expert. We try to offer something for everybody. We exclude no one. Those that disparage the beginner may well remember that a few years hence some of these identical tyros may outstrip them. At any rate, I reiterate that this department will not bar the beginner.

A few correspondents urge me to pattern the problem department of The Chess Review after some European prototype. I could present half a dozen
convincing reasons why this suggestion should be disregarded, but one is enough, that is: The Chess Review is edited and published primarily for Americans. Verbum sat est.
Problems are acknowledged with thanks to the following: G. B. Spencer (2) ; J. F. Tracy (3) ; C. Larranaga (3) ; V. L. Eaton; T. R. Dawson (6) ; C. M. Fox; C. E. Silver; E. Krisch (3) ; F. Palatz; M. Charosh (4) ; Lajos Steiner (3) ; H. Legler; Th. C. Wenzl; E. A. Young; Bill Beers (complete set of all previously sent problems).

## No Notation Problems Will Be Accepted!

## WINNER OF HONOR PRIZE FOR DECEMBER <br> Dr. Gilbert Dobbs (228)

with more votes for 228 than all the rest together!!!

## WINNER OF LADDER PRIZE FOR DECEMBER Harvey Burke Sincere congratulations to both brothers.

Problem solutions for all problems in this issue will be credited up to March 10th, incl.

Owing to the valiant support this department received from the composing members of our family, I am now fairly well supplied with every type of problem except 4 movers. Of course 2 movers are always welcome. Please do not send too many 3 movers or long selfmates. I have plenty of those on hand. Of fairy problems I desire Reflexers and Helpmates; I hold enough Maximaters. Demoters or Promoters and other conditionals are also welcome. Test your problems before sending them and inclose a return envelope, especially with helpmates.

Solvers are again cordially requested to vote for the best (and if agreeable, the second best) problem. Scant votes cause the winning on one extra vote, not a desirable margin. "Second best" votes prevent loss of vote on cooked problems.

Listen to our broadcast every Sunday 1:45 P. M., E. S. T., over W. H. N.

## MASTERPIECES

This is the first article of a new series the aim of which is to acquaint problem friends with the elite work of the world's foremost problem composers, past and present. A detailed description of the features that stamp the problem as a masterpiece will be given, naturally with the understanding that the opinion presented is individual and by no means dogmatic. For that reason no discussion with disputing correspondents will be entertained.

The problem presented as No. 1 of this series is a 4 mover by B. G. Laws of England whose death deprived that country and the entire chess world of one of the greatest problem composers of Europe. Laws is famous as Great Britain's "Bohemian". Alain C. White published 113 of Laws' finest contributions in his 1933 Christmas Book under the caption, "An English Bohemian." From that book this selection has been culled. (Page 126).

MASTERPIECE NO. 1
B. G. LAWS - England

First Prize, Chess Monthly, 1891-5


Mate in 4 Moves

The Bohemian school stresses artistry, economy and purity, sometimes called the "Three Graces of Problem Construction". Laws' problem is a cabinet piece of daintiness and beauty, called (by Mr. Keeble?) a "classic". It surely deserves that distinction, Let us see why.

In appearance it is airy and attractive, representing the "Meredith" pattern of not over 12 pieces. The key move, Qcb, is a so-called battery move, not exactly subcle but good, because it unpins the Queens Pawn and thus adds a variation to the play. The first variation is thus:

1 Qc6, Pd3. 2 Sc7ch, allowing 4 King moves, to $\mathrm{d} 4, \mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{f4}$ and the capture of the KP. If the K remains on the K's file, White continues with Scfch, forcing the black K to d 4 or f 4 . White now mates with either Sbs or es, creating two charming Modelmates that echo each other. If in reply to White's second move the K remains on the 4th row ( d 4 or f4), then the two $S$ moves are reversed and the mate occurs as before. The elegance of this variation, its accuracy and purity, are charming.

Quite as artistically perfect as the foregoing play is that following the other Pawn move. After 1 Qcb, Pf4, follows Qg6ch. There is some fringe play when the King goes to fo after a short mate, but when the King captures the Pawn the continuation is indeed beautiful. White's third move is quiet: Sb4, allowing the choice of either Pawn advance as reply. Accordingly the Sb4 gives echo mates on c 6 and d 3 , all pure models.

The balance of problem play, when the King moves instead of the Pawns, is only slightly less attractive. The mates are not perfectly pure but at least perfectly accurate. There is an enchanting unity in this prob-
lem that makes every continuation appear strictiy logical and thematic. Add to this the fact that there are only three active white men engaged that bring about six different mates and I wonder if anyone could ask for more. Truly, it needs no eulogy, this wonderful demonstration of problem artistry; it speaks for itself.

No doubt there are going to be a few that will advise me of their disapproval. There are no caveman tactics in this Laws masterpiece, no crosschecks, Schnittpunkt-interferences, Queen sacrifices and other modern thrill-contrivances; but for those that consider a chess problem a product of art there is an ocular demonstration of artistic manipulation of chess pieces of the highest order.

Laws has left us, but his artistic soul lives in his superb work, for ever and anon. Albion can be proud of his son.

## SOLUTIONS

## No. 223. Dr. G. Dobbs (2m) Qf8

A beautiful 2 mover. Clean cut and exact.-Vanwinkle. A fine problem.-Nash. Very pleasing construction.-Emery. Reminiscent of a classic Shinkman position, but it has the Doctor's usual deft touch.-Eaton. Perfect! Dr. Dobbs rates both first and second this month,-Riggin. Very pretty. -Partos. Charming, piquant, p-bs with discovered checkmate is a pleasant surprise.-Patz. Nice.-Cheney. Good, but few variations. - Ratke. Very neat, especially the cut back.-Murphy. A neat 2 mover.-Hoy. Neat and orig-inal.-Patrick. Key is fine and mates are attractive.-Tiesier. Charming 3 piece uncovery from Pawn battery.-Krisch. don't think the doctor could compose a bad problem if he wanted to do so.-Braverman. The spring-back variation is clever.-Rothenberg. "Best" in my opinion.-Tangeman. Contains a nice Switch-back.-Wenzl.

## No. 224. V. Rosado (2m) $f 4$

Black's threat Rf3ch demands attention.-Vanwinkle. Difficult and excellent.-Nash. Selfpins and unpins in excellent yariety.-Emery. A good setting of an idea which has lim-itations.-Eaton, Fine setting of this brilliant theme except for the unprovided-for check.-Dr. Dobbs. Interesting key. -Partos. Prettiest mate results after PxP e. p. Has unfortunate duals.-Patz. Excellent key--Rosado. Key poor, yariation play fine.-Ratke. Sccond best of month.-Murphy. Nice work, but the check gives the key away.-Dr. Berliner. Complex enough,-Burke. Neat work, Rosado!-Krisch. Obvious, for a check from Black must be stopped.-Rothenberg. Check indicates key but the threat is well hidden.Tangeman. Lots of fireworks.-Wenzl.

No. 225. S. Drasin (2m) Qa1
A fine key, Nash. Good alternate pins but the variety is scant.-Dr. Dobbs. Best 2 mover in this issue.--Patz. in genious and interesting.-Cheney. Variations good but scanty. -Ratke. Some nice features but too top heavy.-Murphy. Rather easy.-Patrick. Pretty self pins with a not too obvious key.-Tangeman. Pleasing.-Wenzl.

## No. 226. M. Charosh (2mi) QxP

Cooked by: 1. Qaich, 1BxSch, Sfsch and Qfi.
For the beneft of those solvers that thought I stopped a cook in this problem by a Pawn position change, I call attention to the fact that I said: "In your ( $\mathrm{Mr}_{\text {. }}$. Charosh's) Christmas problem"; not in this problem, I never change a problem without authorization except it appears in one of my articles. -Ed.

No. 227. Dr. H. M. Berliner ( 2 m ) Rel
Accurate and delightful. - Vanwinkle. Such a quiet key is bound to please.-Emery. Pretty fair.-Dr. Dobbs. Excellent! Good example of clearance theme. Congratulations to Dr. Berliner.-Patz. The key is subtle.-Cheney. Very clever key, with excellent variations.- Ratke. Very good.Murphy, "A poor thing but mine own".-Dr. Berliner, A carefully concealed threat. Burke. Neat and effective in-interferences.-Patrick. The Doctor's "second" shows a marked improvement.-Rothenberg. A first class key to an economically portrayed idea; a decided improvement over 199.Tangerian. A clean clearance.-Wenzl.
(Continued on Page 52)

## FAIRYLAND

During the last few weeks I received a tremendous number of helpmates from composers who evidently turned to that type of problem lately. Practically all of these problems were unsound. It is a well known fact that helpmates are easy to construct, hence the unreasonably high percentage of them among the fairies, but it is as well known a fact among the experienced Fairy composers that fully $90 \%$ of all helpmates are cooked. Being grateful for the generous support I took whatever time I could find to test these problems, to write out the various cooks and to return the problems. I cannot do this any longer. If you send faulty problems, faulty they will be published. Nor will I return anything unless a stamped envelope is provided. I appreciate support from composers as sincerely as any other chess editor, but I cannot accept it in a manner that bankrupts both my time and purse.

Today we become acquainted with another fascinating kind of fairy problem, the Reflexmate.

What is a Reflexmater? A conditional Selfmate.
The condition is extremely simple: "Whenever there is a mate on the move possible, for either White or Black, that mate MUST be administered". Note well, that mates in two or more moves are not compulsory, but mates in one move, sometimes called spotmates, must be applied.

This condition renders it essential for the Reflexmate composer to:

1. Avoid a mate in one for the White pieces.
2. Create a mate in one for the Black pieces.
3. Time this mate so that it is possible only when wanted.
Otherwise the play is exactly as in other selfmate positions, e. g. the moves must be forced (until the reflexmate can be applied).

Naturally there should be only one way of mating for every variation. Double or triple mates spoil a reflexmater just as surely as they ruin any other type of problem.

If you have followed my advice and started a "fairy manual" enter this description of the reflexmate, so that you will be able to refresh your memory anent the modus operandi of reflexers when this copy of The Chess Review has passed from your hands. Do not trust your memories lest you compose problems on a wrong premise.

> F. 16. (Original)
> T. R. DAWSON
> England


Reflexmate in 2 moves
F.17. (Original)

## M. BUKOFZER <br> Bellaire, L. I.



Maxi-Selfmate in 37 moves
F. 18. (Original)

ERWIN KRISCH Cleveland, 0 .


Maxi-Selfmate in 3 moves
F. 19. (Original)
E. J. VAN DEN BERG Holland


BLACK Help-Selfmates in 4 moves

The reflexer I offer as initial gift is what we Americans are apt to designate as "a peach". It is composed by no less a problemist than Thomas R. Dawson, the famous T. R. D., the greatest living authority on Fairy Chess. Being beholden to my friend Dawson for much of the scant knowledge of Fairies that I possess, I experience an unusual and particular joy in being able to present his masterful work for the instruction of those unfamiliar with the reflexmater. At some future day I hope to present the one and only Tommy to you in one of the "Who's Who in Problemdom" sketches. Today you get a taste of the sapid fare in store for you. I hope you enjoy the choice morsel published as No. F. 16.

No. F. 17 is a maximater intended as a New Year's feat from your P. E. Unfortunately it was crowded out of the last number. Do not allow yourselves to become scared because of the length of this problem. It is not difficult. It is merely a semi-Durbar (King's Excursion) in maximater garb. I hope the position is sound.

No. F. 18 is also a maximumer, another composition of Mr. Erwin Krisch of Cleveland, Ohio. It is not very complicated but requires attention.

No. F. 19 is a Grasshopper, Help Selfmate offering from the noted Dutch composer E. J. Van Den Berg. Please take notice that in that problem Black moves first.

I conclude this article with another warning. Do not send me DOUBLE maximaters unless White or Black has optional moves. When both sides have only one line of play the problem is altogether too simple. I stated that good double maximaters are "terrors" to compose, but I forgot to mention that optional moves must be presented in them for at least one side if not for both. Test them carefully as to length of the moves. Look at your maximumer chart and check up.

## SOLUTIONS TO FAIRY PROBLEMS 8.12

## F.8: Bukofzer

1. Be6, Gd6-f6; 2. Kd3, Gf4-d6ch; 3. Re4mate (Ba2 on b1) 1. Bf5, Gf4-f6; 2. Kc4, Gd6-f4ch; 3. Rds mate.

## F.9: Charosh

Author's solution 1. Bf $6=\mathrm{R}$
Cooked by

1. $\mathrm{Be} 2=\mathrm{R}$
2. $\mathrm{Bd} 6=\mathrm{R}$
3. $\operatorname{Sf} 4=B$
F. 10: Krisch
4. Rg3, Qa5; 2. Rbs, Qel ; 3. Rc3ch, QxR mate. The mate is well concealed.-Dr. Dobbs. I played blindfold chess with this. Solution came to me after I had laid away the magazine.-Tangeman. Cute!Rothenberg.
F. 11: Hoy
5. Se8, Ba8; 2. Rb6ch, gg7; 3. Rbl, cs or a5; 4. Kh1, as or cs; S. Rg1, Ka7! 6. Sg7, gh7 mate.
Pretty and difficult. Move 3 and 4 can be re-versed-the only flaw.-Dr. Dobbs. Very pretty! Congratulations to Hoy-Partos. Delicious!-Rothenberg. Too bad, 3 rd and 4th move can be interchanged, yet a fine problem as it is.-Krisch.
Solutions to the problems in Fairyland must be received on or before March 10, 1935.

As soon as the solvers of fairy problems are spaced reasonably as to point scores, a monthly book prize will be offered to the ladder leader.

Test your fairies well before sending them!!

## FAIRY LADDER

| Name | Score $\infty$ の클 |  |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. Dobbs | 27 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 46 |
| Rothenberg, P. L. | 27 |  | 8 | 3 | 6 | 44 |
| Hoy, O . | 27 |  | 6 | 3 | 6 | 42 |
| Young, Earl | 27 |  | 2 | 3 | 6 | 38 |
| Tangeman, H. G. | 24 |  | 4 | 3 |  | 31 |
| Murphy, P. | 21 | . | 6 | 3 |  | 30 |
| Partos, G. | 12 | . | 6 | 3 | 6 | 27 |
| Berliner, Dr. | 27 | . |  |  |  | 27 |
| Morris, M. | 27 | . |  |  |  | 27 |
| Krisch, E. | 6 | . | 6 | 3 | 6 | 21 |
| Leysens, M. | 21 |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| Malzberg, N . | 21 |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| Braverman, S. | 0 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 19 |
| Wahby, M. | 17 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Ratke, J. | 3 | . | 2 | 3 |  | 8 |
| Halpern, $L$. | 0 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |

Write fairy solutions out in full, on a separate sheet, and sign your name.

## SOLUTIONS TO CHRISTMAS PROBLEMS

## 2 Mover: Bukofzer

White played f 7 -f8 announcing "mate next move". "Hold on," said the opponent, "you did not claim your piece. Therefore, I can call for any or all the four pieces to mate. I insist that you mate with Queen, Rook, Bishop and Knight."
"That is easy," said the first player. "Only, I decide what piece mates, according to your move."

This is how he mated:

1. $\mathrm{f} 8, \mathrm{Kgs} ; 2$. Claims a Queen and mates with Qg 7 .
2. f8, Kg 7 ; 2. Claims a Rook and mates with Sfs.
3. $\mathrm{f8}$, Kes; 2. Claims a Bishop and mates with Bf8-g7.
4. f8, Ke7; 2. Claims a Knight and mates with Sf4-ds.
Correctly solved by:
Dr. G. Dobbs.-Well done with its echoes and a peculiar kind of symmetry, but $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Q}$ alone could have taken care of his black majesty. Tangeman.I am panting and red in the face. Murphy. E. Young.
3 Mover: Charosh
Turn the diagram upside down; then the solution climinates the claim of "Impossible Position". It is:
5. $\mathrm{d} 8=\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kh} 2$; 2. $\mathrm{b} 8=\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kh1}$ or $\mathrm{Kxh3;} \mathrm{3}. \mathrm{Bb7}$ or c8 mate.
Correctly solved by:
Dr. G. Dobbs.-The author says nothing about "bishoping", accordingly the solution is $\mathrm{d} 8=\mathrm{B}$, etc. Tangeman. Murphy.

## REAL MERIT

The King called to his Pawn: "Come here! You're tardy, soldier. Zounds! I fear To duty you're not tending right, You march the slowest to the fight."
The Pawn replied: "I'm slow, 'tis true, But of the men that fight for you, However swift they're on their feet, I, sire, alone-do not retreat.'
-Maxwell Bukofzer.

247
(Original)
C. JIMENO, Jr., Mexico

Dedic. to Maxwell Bukotzer


Mate in 2 moves.

248
(Original)
EUGENE McCARTHY Rochester, N. Y.


Mate in 2 moves.

249
(Original)
M. W. PATRICK

Elyria, Ohio


Mate in 2 moves.

250
(Original)
ENRIQUE MORALES
Vera Cruz, Mexico


Mate in 2 moves.

251
(Original)
BILL BEERS
Willmar, Minn.


Mate in 2 moves.

252
(Original)
H. C. MOWRY

Malden, Mass.


Mate in 3 moves.

253
(Original)
H. C. MOWRY Malden, Mass.


Mate in 3 moves.

254
(Original)
V. ROSADO San Diego, Cal.


Mate in 3 moves.
255
(Original)
M. R. CANCIO, Jr.

Santurce, Puerto Rico


Mate in 4 moves.

256
(Original)
FRANZ PALATZ Hamburg, Germany


Mate in 5 moves.
257
(Original) LYNN DAVIS
Binghamton, N. Y.


SELFmate in 4 moves.
258
(Original)
WILBUR VANWINKLE
Endicott, N. Y.


SELFmate in 5 moves.

## (Continued from Page 47)

No. 228. Dr. G. Dobbs (3m)

1. Pd4, Se6; 2. Offch, etc.
2. Pd4, Sfs; 2. Qf8ch!, etc.
3. Pd4, Sg6; 2. OxPch, etc.
4. Pd4, Ses; 2. Sdsch, etc.
5. Pd4, Rg2; 2. Qg7ch, etc.
6. Pd4, threats (2. Qg7ch and QxPch).

There are duals after some of the rook moves.-Vanwinkle. Another Dobbs masterpiece.-Nash. The echoed sacrifice is hard to see.-Emery. An old idea with a clever noveltyEaton. Excellent variations.-Larranaga. The quiet key, difficult tries and variety of mates makes this easily the best of the month.-Riggin. An interesting problem, but why the Pawn on a7?-Partos. Has duals but they sink into insignificance. I could sing in praise of this one; it is magnificent. Gets my vote.-Patz. A masterpiece. Gets my vote.-Korsgaard. Startling queen sacrifices-Cheney. In spite of the clever sacrifices the worst work of Dr. Dobbs that I have seen.-Ratke. It is by Dr. Dobbs. Why say more? Murphy. Intriguing mates but Pd2 gives key away.-Dr. Berliner, Best in this issue-Genud. Double Queen sacrifice compensates for Pawn promotions.-Burke. Not so hot. -Tiesler. The two sacrifices call for the vote.-Wenzl. I believe this is one of the best problems you have ever printed in the Chess Revidw,-Zatwarsky, Models galore! The best of the month.-Krisch. The Doctor has a spell on me -gets my vote. Braverman. The conspiracy is continuing. I am voting again for the Doctor,-Rothenberg. Well knit together but too many minor duals to be classed best. Tangeman. The sacrifice is the heart of this one,-Hargreaves.

## No. 229. Harvey Burke ( 3 m )

1. Sf6, Kd6; 2. e8 $=\mathrm{Sch}$, etc.
2. Sf6, else. 2. e8 $=\mathrm{Q}$, etc.

Very nice and interesting. Gets my vote.-Vanwinkle. Has a pretty mirror model with 3 Knights as its basic idea, Eaton. Easier than one would expect after Burke's previous puzzlers.-Dr. Dobbs. Surprising promotions. My vote.Larranaga. The three horsemen. The entrance of the third Knight makes this an interesting and unusual problem.-Riggin. Interesting mate with three Knights.-Partos. The Pawn, when dubbed Knight, leads to the prettiest mates. Second best in this issue.-Patz. Very fine.-Ratke. My vote for best of the month.-Murphy. Enjoyed this most. Has some very clever mates.-Patrick. Clever mirror model. -Krisch. Beautiful variations. I rank this second best.Rothenberg. The model mirror is rather unusual.-Tangeman. The $S$. promotion is good and not too obvious. Hargreaves. The $\$$ promotion essays a fine mirror model. -Wenzl.

## No. 230. E. A. Nash (3m)

1. Bd2, K any; 2. Bc3, etc.

Looks "cooky", but try and cook it.-Vanwinkle. Thanks for printing my min.-Nash. Elementary.-Dr. Dobbs. Very pretty.-Partos. Exquisite and dainty. Too bad there are not more variations to this "petite $\min ^{\prime \prime}$.-Patz. What there is to this is very fine.-Ratke. Simple but tricky. I like this.-Murphy. An odd idea, well set forth.-Burke. The beaten path, -Tiesler. Nice "min". with tries as absorbing as the solution.-Tangeman. Brief to the point of nudity.Wenzl.

## No. 231. Frank Vail (3m)

## 1. Sc4, KxP ; 2. SeS, etc.

1. Sc4, Kf4; 2. Sd2, etc.
2. Sc4, Kds: 2. Qb7ch, etc.

Cooked in 2 moves by Qg 3 ; ( $\mathrm{Qd} 1 ; \mathrm{Kg} 2$ and the author's solution Sc4 do not count).

## No. 232. Bill Beers (4m)

1. Bd3. Kh5 ; 2. KxP, Pany; 3. Be2, etc.
2. Bd3, Pany; 2, Bxg6, Pany; 3. Bes, etc.

A fine little study.-Vanwinkle. Chameleon echoes in a somewhat labored fashion; a good idea, artificially set.Eaton. Pretty chameleon echoes.-Dr. Dobbs. Very ama-teutish.-Partos. Simple, but nice cooperation of white pieces.-patz. A very worth-while set up.-Cheney. What is Bro. Beers trying to do? Put Pawn-handcuffs on the black King?-Murphy. Nothing profound here.-Burke. Very elementary.-Patrick. The problem lacks zest and spirit. Braverman. I wonder what Bill calls this? A double Indian? - Tangeman. How Bill likes to line them up.-Wenzl.

## No. 233. G. Partos (8m) Bc3

Impossible position.-Vanwinkle. Solution works out nicely but it's an impossible position, with an obtrusive bishop for good inartistic measure, Dr. Dobbs. Very good play accurate timing.-Larranaga. I consider this the best of the month.-Partos. A game of patience. Not as scintillating as shorter problems, though it has a $S$ sacrifice,-Patz. An
artificial puzzle but very good, for its kind. A hard one! Gets my vote.-Cheney. Clever, of course, but these longwinded mates do not appeal to me.-Murphy, Great work, but impossible Pawn position. Too bad!-Krisch. Beautiful and difficult, but . . .-Braverman.

The author himself claimed a cook but failed to submit it. Therefore I allow credit for the cook to those that sent it but bring only the "official" solution, which I could verify.

## No. 234. G. Mott-Smith ( 6 m Sul)

1. Qc6, Kh 3 ; 2. Qf3ch, b3; 3. QxP, b2; 4. Qd4, Kb 3 ; 5. Qc5, a3 : 6. Qd4, a2mate.
2. Qc6, a3; 2. f3, a2ch; 3. Ka1, Ka3; 4. Qc2, b3; Cooked by: 1. Qc2ch and 1. f3.

## CORRESPONDENCE

G. B. Spencer: Proud to have you on the staff at last. Thanks for problems.
G. Partos: Because you were ill I allowed you 35 points. Can't do it again, however. The ladder is a race with equal chances for all but no privileges to anyone. The Chess Revirw does not reprint faulty problems. Test, test and test again before sending contributions,
A. J. Souweine: Your "solution" to the Charosh Christmas problem is quite ingenious but, after all, not a solution. It is nice that you, though a "passive" member, show so much interest. Would like to see you turn "active".
J. F. Tracy: Welcome to our Composing Staff and Solving Family. Thanks for problems.
A. J. Fink: Patience, my friend. I may be tardy because I am overburdened, but I never forget, least of all a good friend. March is "your" month.
C. Larranaga: Your problems are not yet very strong, but I shall publish them, because the CHEss Revinw gives every composer his opportunity. Welcome to the family.
V. L. Eaton: You are a very talented young composer, but altogether too impatient with your less gifted brothers. Some of the tyros on our staff develop slowly but may outstrip the speeders in the end. I am certainly delighted that you joined the family.
J. D. Neuss: 4 move fairy destroyed as requested. More problems would be welcome.

John Richter; (an "allas"): At first I did not intend to answer your letter at all. What is the matter wtih your real name that you prefer to hide it? The Chess Rbyizw has faults, I admit, but sneers are not curative medicines. The Charosh problem in which I eliminated a cook was the Christmas problem which I tested (exceptionally) because it appeared in my story; thus your accusation falls flat also. When you decide to give your real name I shall discuss the rest of your letter-maybe.
F. A. HIlI: Congratulations on your nice column. Will gladly send some problems but give me time to test them. I sent some work to other columns and had bad luck with several contributions, because I failed to test them.
T. R. Dawson: Your letter and generous inclosures came as a much prized Christmas gift. Accept my sincerest thanks, Tommy. All the problems will be published, including the fine Fox problem and the two "Masterpieces". Ex. press my gratitude to Fox. Will try to get a letter off to you. The unfortunate truth is that, being an apothecary in day time, I have to sleep a few hours at night when I am a chess editor. Will you write an article on cylinder mates for my department? Have you heard of A. J. Fink's "Billiard Knight"? A dandy! But I am still studying it. Best regards, Tommy.
C. E. Silver: Thanks for the problems, and the kind wishes which I return tenfold.
C. C. Lee: Letter to V. L. E. dispatched as requested. Are those Babson problems unpublished? Glad indeed to have "met" you at last; hope to hear from you again.
W. Vanwinkle: Your complaint has been forwarded to the Editor-in-Chief. Will follow it up. Hove you no problems for me, old friend? How about a couple of prize winning 4 movers?
G. Goeller: Vielen dank fuer das grossartige widmungsproblem, ein meisterwerk! Bin furchtbar beberlastet, aber ein brief folgt-bald.
O. Wurzburg: The family is wondering why I don't
C. Rlgaln; G. R. Emery; E. A. Nash and others: Hearty thanks for your charming letters. Were it not for them, $t$ would sometimes throw the entire chess business overboard. I am glad indeed I can please some folks. The late appearances of the Chess Review are not my fault. Printers are tyrants, -sometimes, but you need them even then.
L. Tannasy: Old score returned to you. Nobody lost or won more than half a point on account of the yearly decimation.
M. Wahby: Your letters still arrive unsealed. Why? Although 1 cannot print your comments, because they are too late, you receive all the points in the ladder and your name is not dropped. Please sign your address.
W. Patz: To do as you suggest would be presumptive, since we have many masters on the roster. They would resent it. We must try to please everybody.
s. Braverman: Welcome back home. Please write on one side of paper only.
G. Tlesler: What about the picture you offered? Correstion of score was still in time.
C. C. Sanford: Welcome to our family. Your debut is harmonious and melodious.
R. Larsen: Sorry-no notation problems are accepted. I have learned my lesson.
R. J. Ratke: Modelmates may not impress you-now. Try to compose a good modelmater and you will change your mind overnight. Thanks for your kind words. Christmas stuff is not supposed to be "lucid", hence the indefinite hints. Allowed you 1 point for your lone key on 233.

Geo. Cheney: For 2 mover problems, key is enough. For longer problems the main variations must be carried out far enough to prove that solution is found. When the sheets are wired together, it is not necessary to write name and ad. dress on each one. Your solutions are almost $t 00$ analytical and must take 2 lot of your time.
P. Murphy: Thanks for lovely letter. Glad you ad dressed the Program Director; hope more listeners will eventually. Will present a new and more explicit presentation of the Grasshopper soon. Once you understand the G moves, you will fall in love with that piece.

## PROBLEM SOLVING LADDER

| 芯 | Name | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{*}}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | O | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\underset{N}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { प्O } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | Burke, H. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 105 |
| 48 | Partos, G. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 103 |
| 35 | Tanassy, L. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 18 | 98 |
| 50 | Dobbs, Dr. G. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 97 |
| 52 | Rothenberg, P. L. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 95 |
| 46 | Riggin, C. W. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 87 |
| 36 | Hoy, J. O. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 79 |
| 40 | Braverman, S | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 79 |
| 13 | Tangeman, J. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 18 | 78 |
| 33 | Davis, $L$. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 78 |
| 30 | McCarthy, E | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 75 |
| 39 | Hargreaves, G. W. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 |  | 6 | 72 |
| 25 | Chess, A. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 70 |
| 31 | Emery, G. R. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 |  | 12 | 68 |
| 25 | Hochberg Bros. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 68 |
| 27 | Halpern, $L$. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 68 |
| 33 | Foote, B. A. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | . | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 67 |
| 21 | Wenzl, T. C. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 67 |
| 27 | Patrick, M. W | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 66 |
| 23 | Ratke, R. J. |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 65 |
| 7 | Daly, H. B. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 12 | 64 |
| 24 | Berliner, Dr. M. H. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 63 |
| 13 | Patz, W. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 62 |
| 22 | Young, E. F. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 61 |
| 17 | Greenwald, Dr. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 56 |
| 13 | Murphy, P. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 56 |
| 7 | Dejager, J. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 56 |
| 7 | Krisch, E. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 54 |
| 9 | Cheney, G. N. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 50 |
| 3 | Vanwinkle, W. |  | , | 2 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 48 |
| 12 | Korsgaard, S. |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 |  | 6 | 45 |
| 14 | Tiesler, G. P. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 |  |  | 43 |
| 0 | Szabo, A. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 43 |
| 3 | Nash, E. A. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 42 |
| 0 | Genud, I. |  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 41 |
| 3 | Zatwarsky, C. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 |  | 6 | 38 |
| 0 | Samford, C. C. | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 |  | 6 | 33 |
| 0 | Larranaga, C . | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 |  | 32 |
| 0 | Malzberg, N . | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 31 |
| 4 | Larsen, R. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 29 |
| 0 | Eaton, V. L. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 |  | 6 | 29 |
| 11 | Silver, C. E. |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 8 |  | 27 |
| 16 | Tudor, W. B. | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 24 |
| 18 | Wahby, M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 2 | French, C. E. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | - | . |  | 12 |

## CHESS BOOKS

## Recommended by THE CHESS REVIEW

| Modern Chess Openings Griffith \& White 5th Edition | \$1.50 | Modern Chess Barnie F. Winkelman | \$1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masters of the Chessboard Richard Reti | \$3.00 | Middle Game in Chess <br> E. Znosko-Borowski | \$3.50 |
| Chess Strategy \& Tactics F. Reinfeld \& I. Chernev | \$1.50 | Modern Chess Endings Barnie F. Winkelman | \$1.50 |
| My System Aaron Nimzowitsch | \$3.75 | Every Game Checkmate Watts \& Hereford | \$1.25 |
| My Best Games of Chess Dr. Alexander Alekhine | \$3.50 | How Not to Play Chess <br> E. Znosko-Borowski | \$1.25 |
| Chess Fundamentals Jose R. Capablanca | \$2.50 | The Modern Chess Problem P. H. Williams | \$1.50 |
| London Tournament Book Dr. Alexander Alekhine | \$2.50 | Chess Sacrifices and Traps Alfred Emery | \$1.25 |
| Comparative Chess Frank J. Marshall | \$2.00 | Pitfalls on the Chessboard <br> E. A. Greig | \$1.25 |
| Chess Strategy Edward Lasker | \$3.00 | Common Sense in Chess Dr. E. Lasker | \$ . 75 |
| Amenities and Background of Chess Play <br> W. E. and Edw. J. Napier |  | A. Alekhine vs. E. D. Bogolubow Reinfeld \& Fine |  |
| Vol. I - \$.50 Vol. | \$.50 | Forthcoming Books |  |
| Alekhine vs. Bogolubow <br> I. A. Horowitz \& S. S. Cohen | \$.60 | The Game of Chess Dr. S. Tarrasch | \$4.00 |
| Morphy Gleanings P. W. Sergeant | \$2.50 | Chess For the Fun Of It Brian Harley | \$1.75 |
| The Art of Chess James Mason | \$2.00 | 101 Best Games <br> F. D. Yates | \$3.00 |

Orders Taken by THE CHESS REVIEW

59-12 Woodside Avenue Woodside, N. Y.

