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# The Editor's Castle 

By S. S. Cohen

## The American Championship

Plans for a match between Frank J. Marshall and Isaac Kashdan for the American Championship have definitely been abandoned and the way has been cleared for the running of a tournament to put the present title into circulation. The following open letter by Mr. Kash. dan gives his views and the reasons for this step.

To the Editor of The Chess Review:
In October, 1933, I challenged Mr. Frank Marshall for the United States Chess Championship. There was a great deal of interest in our meeting at that time, and the match would undoubtedly have stimulated chess enthusiasm and activity. I had no doubt then of Mr. Marshall's willingness to play, and proceeded with high hopes to sound public opinion and to raise the purse required for the match.
As the readers of The Chess Review will remember, Mr. Harold M. Phillips and Mr. Fritz Brieger stepped forward, in the interest of chess, and organized a committee to complete the arrangements for the match. They started the fund with generous contributions, and also circulated appeals to the chess public, and did everything in their power to get the match under way.

At the same time, the Committee met with Mr. Marshall and his representatives, assuming that they would find encouragement and aid for their efforts. There had been considerable criticism of Mr. Marshall for not having defended his title in so many years, and it seemed that here was his opportunity to refute such charges and enter whole-heartedly into the plans for the match. On the contrary, and to the growing surprise and regret of the Committee and myself, we soon found that Mr. Marshall's advisers were interested mainly in delaying the proceedings and in demanding the exact fulfillment of conditions which were no longer practical. Instead of co-operating in the cause of chess, they acted as if the championship were a personal belonging of Mr. Marshall, which he was willing to put on the market at a specified price. In fairness to Mr. Marshall, I still believe that he would prefer to settle the question over the chessboard rather than through long-winded correspondence, but he has accepted counsel which, if wellintentioned, has put him in a dubious position in the American chess world.

Mr. Kuhns, President of the National Chess Federation, has announced on several occasions the intention of the Federation to hold a tournament for the American Chess Championship, if my match with Mr . Marshall could not be brought about. I had hoped to avoid this, as I wished to uphold the traditional method of match play for the title, which is fairer for the champion than the uncertainties of a tournament. However, the last exchange of correspondence between Mr. Phillips and the representatives of Mr. Marshall has made it too clear that there is nothing to be gained by further negotiations, and with regret I must consider that chapter closed. I am informing

Mr. Kuhns and Mr. Phillips of this decision, asking them to announce the tournament as soon as practicable. I hope and trust that Mr. Marshall will take part, but in any case the winner will have earned the title and be in fact the American Chess Champion. This event is bound to mark a rebirth of interest in chess, and finally place the championship within reach of all who are qualified to aspire for the honor.
(Signed) Isaac Kashdan

The second installment of the life of $H . N$. Pillsbury will appear in the May issue of The Chess Review.

## News Events

## The Moscow Tournament

After a month of incessant struggle the most interesting tournament of the century came to a close. Mischa Botwinnik, the idol of Russia, and Salo Flohr, the unbeatable Czecho-Slovak star, garnered the top honors by dividing first and second prizes.

The sensation of the tournament, however, was unquestionably Dr. Emanuel Lasker's fine showing. Like Flohr, he did not lose a game, and finished in third place. His defeat of Capablanca was the high spot of the tournament. Considering that he was by far the oldest contestant, and that a long tournament is a test of physical stamina as well as mental alertness, "the grand old man" gave a truly remarkable exhibition.

Capablanca by finishing fourth, half a point behind Dr. Lasker, also turned in a good per-
formance. Although his admirers expected him to finish higher, his lack of serious competition told against him. After getting off to a poor start by losing to Rjumin in the first round, he buckled down to work and aside from his loss to Lasker played consistent chess.

In giving credit where credit is due, Spielmann's fine effort in placing fifth should not be overlooked.

Since this country will shortly be the scene of a similar strong tournament to determine the United States Championship, an account of how the Russian Tournament was run should be of interest.

It was held in the Soviet Fine Arts Museum -a white marble building with impressive Grecian columns, that resembles the Public Library building at Fifth Avenue and 42nd Street in New York City.

At the top of the staircase, to the right, there is a large hall with white columns on both sides and a frieze of dancing Greek figures in blue on white, high on the walls. At intervals there are classical statues-Farnese Hercules, Apollo Belvedere, and others.

Looking to the right, one sees a number of tables set on a raised platform where the players sit in high-backed antique chairs of gilded wood and red plush. Above each table there is a chess board six feet square where the Red Pioneers - the Soviet equivalent of our Boy Scouts - mark each move as it is made so that the public can see it.

There are four such halls in use. Each contains about fifty chairs and standing room for about 1,000 spectators. In the basement below there is a huge public room for chess fans where all the games played in the tournament rooms
are reproduced move by move on big blackboards. Here the public can smoke, eat, and drink, whereas in the tournament rooms only the players may smoke or obtain refreshments.

Approximately 5,000 eager spectators witnessed the tournament daily paying ten roubles for admission. When the tournament started, the entrance fee was fixed at five roubles, but alas for Capitalistic Russia-when 100,000 people demanded admission to the first round, the price doubled!

## Russia Adopts Lasker

On March 24rh, Dr. Emanuel Lasker announced in Moscow that he would make his permanent home in Russia, where he will organize and direct an academy of chess. His intention was to go to London to wind up his personal affairs and then return to Moscow. "There is a great depression in the science of chess abroad," he explained. "The philosophy and psychology" of chess would be studied at his academy.

## Pennsylvania State Championship

W. A. Ruth added the Pennsylvania State Championship to his list of titles by drawing with Adolph Regen in the ninth and final round. "Bill" Ruth, as he is popularly known, also holds the New Jersey State, City of Philadelphia, and Mercantile Library Championships. This is the fifth time he has won the Pennsylvania State Championship.

## Metropolitan Chess League Results

The Manhattan Chess Club once again demonstrated its supremacy in Metropolitan chess by scoring a resounding victory over the Marshall Chess Club in the final round of the Class "A" Division of the Metropolitan Chess League. By so doing, they retained the championship title won last year from the same opponents.

Although the concensus of opinion prior to the match favored the Manhattans, no one expected so one-sided a score.

The line-up:

| Board | Manbattan | $p_{t s}$. | Marshall | Pts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. Kupchik | 1 | A. E. Santasiere | 0 |
| 2 | I. Kashdan | 1 | F. Reinfeld | 0 |
| 3 | I. Horowitz | 0 | S. Reshevsky | 1 |
| 4 | A. Kevitz | 1 | F. J. Marshall | 0 |
| 5 | D. MacMurray | 1 | E. Tholfsen | 0 |
| 6 | A. C. Simonson | 1 | M. Hanauer | 0 |
| 7 | A. S. Denker | 1 | K. S. Howard | 0 |
| 8 | R. Willman | 1 | P. Schlesinger | 0 |
|  | Totals | 7 | Total | 1 |

The match was witnessed by a capacity crowd that filled every available seat and stood around the roped-off tables three rows deep. Prominent among the spectators were Mr. Harold M. Phillips, Pres. of the Manhattan Chess Club and of the Eastern Chess Federation, A. A. Link, Leonard B. Meyer, Albert B. Hodges, the former American Champion, Edward Lasker, S. S. Cohen, H. Helms, and Mrs. F. J. Marshall.

The Bronx Chess Club took first place in the " $B$ " Division.


THE LAST LAP!
M. Botwinnik S. Flohr Dr. E. Lasker G. Loewenfisch J. R. Capablanca

Reproduced from the Russian Chess Federation's Official Bulletin of the Moscow Tournament.

# Miniature Games 

By Arnold S. Denker

FOUR KNIGHTS DEFENSE


Not best. Better was 7 Kt -B3 followed by the immediate O-O, or after $7 \ldots$ P-Q4; 8 Kt xP, QxPch; $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$ and White comes out a Pawn to the good.

| 7 | KtxP | P-Q4 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 8 | QxPch |  |
| 9 | Kt-K3 | B-Q3! |
| 10 | O-O | P-QKt4 |
| 11 | B-Kt3 | B-Kt2 |

A. Rubinstein

Black

| 12 | Kt-K1 | Q-R5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 13 | P-KKt3 | Q-R6 |
| 14 | P-QB3 | P-KR4! |
| 15 | PxKt | $\cdots \cdot$. |

Making matters easy, but the position could not be held. 15
P.R5 16 Q-K2

If 16 P-Q5, PxP; 17 $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 7$ ! wins. 16... QxPch

After 17 KxQ , PxP ch; 18 K-Kt1, R-R8 mate.

Resigns

| FOUR KNIGHTS DEFENSE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A. Nimzowitsch | Amateur |
| White |  | Black |
| 1 | P-K4 P-K4 | B1; 14 B-B4, Q-K1 |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 Kt-QB3 | (14... P-Q4 delays the |
| 3 | Kt -B3 Kt-B3 | catastrophe somewhat) ; |
|  | B-Kt5 B-Kt5 | 15 Q-R6 mate. |
|  | O-O Kt-Q5 | 11 B-QB4 P-Q3 |
|  | Enterprising but not | 12 Q-R5 P.KKt3 |
|  | ite sound. | 13 B-R6ch B-Kt2 |
| 6 | KtxKt PxKt | 14 Q-B3 Q-Q2 |
| 7 | P.K5 PxKt | 15 Q-KB6 R-KKt1 |
| 8 | QPxP B-K2 | $16 \mathrm{BxBCh} \quad \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 9 | PxKt BxP | 17 BxBP! ! |
| 10 | R-K1ch K-B1 | and mates in a few. |
|  | If $10 \ldots$ B-K2; 11 | If $17 \ldots \mathrm{RxB} ; 18 \mathrm{Q}$ - |
|  | Kts, P-B3; 12 BxBP , | R8 mate and if $17 \ldots$ |
|  | B; 13 Q-RSch, K. | QxB; 18 Q-Q8ch, etc. |

DUTCH DEFENSE

| Ed. Lasker |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  |
| 1 | P-Q4 | P-K3 |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P.KB4 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 4 | B-Kt5 | B-K2 |
| 5 | BxKt | BxB |
| 6 | P-K4 | PxP |
| 7 | KtxP | P-QKt3 |
| 8 | Kt-K5 | 0.0 |
| 9 | B-Q3 | B-Kt2? |

Better would have been... Q-K1.
10 Q-R5
10

Amateur
Black
was necessary, but the position was still bad. 11 QxPch and mate in 8.
$11 \ldots \mathrm{KxQ} ; 12 \mathrm{Ktx}$ B dbl. ch, K-R3; 13 Kt(K5)-Kt4ch, K-Kt4; 14 P-R4ch, K-B5; 15 P-Kt3ch, K-B6; 16 BK2ch, K-Kt7; 17 RR2ch, K-Kt8; 18 O-OO mate.

Manhattan Chess Club Rapid Transit Tourney December, 1934 SICILIAN DEFENSE


## Brooklyn Chess League

The Scandinavian Chess Club by defeating the Williamsburg Chess Club $4-2$ in a postseason play-off won the ohampionship of the Brooklyn Chess League. The Williamsburg Chess Club turned in a fine performance, in its first year as a league member, by tying the Scan. dinavians for first place as of the close of the regular season.

The final standings:


# EMPIRE CITY CHESS CLUB, Inc. 

## Isaac Kashdan Director

Open every afternoon and evening for CHESS and BRIDGE. Special lessons for beginners.

Dues $\$ 5.00$ Per Year

Continuous Tournaments

9 West 170th St., at Jerome Ave. BRONX, N. Y. TEL. JE 6.9555

# The Meran Defense 

By Ernst Gruenfeld

Part II.
Black


White
Position after 11 KtxKtP !
11
KtxP
The famous move invented by the Russian analyst Sosin. For quite a few years it has been considered the best move, giving Black the advantage.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
12 \text { KtxKt } & \text { PxKt } \\
13 \mathrm{O}-0 & \cdots .
\end{array}
$$

White sacrifices a $P$ for the attack. Other moves are demonstrably inferior: $13 \mathrm{BxPch}, \mathrm{B}$ Q2 or 13 Q-Kt3, P-Kt5!-or else 13 Q-B3 (adopted by Stahlberg against Spielmann), BKt5ch; 14 K-K2, QR-Ktl; 15 B-Kts, B-Q2; $16 \mathrm{KrxB}, \mathrm{QxKt} ; 17 \mathrm{BxKt}, \mathrm{PxB} ; 18$ QxP, R-Kt1; 19 Q-K5, Q-Q3! and the game was soon given up as a draw.
13 Q-K2! $\quad$ Q-Q4

It was at Nice, 1931, that the Hungarian master Vajda introduced this excellent move, which certainly yields a more lasting pressure than 14 Q-B3, B-R3! 15 B-Kt5, B-K2 (Bogol-ubow-Wilner, 1925).
(1) 14 15 B-Kt5

QR-Kt1 (a) $15 \ldots$ B-Q3; 16 P-B4, BxKt (if 16
O-O; $17 \mathrm{BxKt}, \mathrm{PxB} ; 18$ Q-Kt4ch, K-R; (a) $15 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{BxKt}$ (if 16
$\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 17 \mathrm{BxKt}, \mathrm{PxB} ; 18$ Q-Kt4ch, K-R; 19 Q-R4 wins) ; 17 PxB, Kt-Q2; 18 RxP! with a winning game (Vajda-Rosselli, Nice, 1931).
(b) $15 \ldots$ B-K2; 16 QR-B1 (16 P-B4, O-O; $17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$, recommended by Euwe, is also very strong and gives White a violent attack), O.O; $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$, QxB; $18 \mathrm{KtxBch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1 ; 19$ Kt-B6! followed by KtxP with advantage (if 19 . . B-Kt2; 20 BxKtP ).
(c) $15 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$ Q2 (best, according to Spielmann. The move is not mentioned in the excellent analysis of the Berlin master Rellstab, which appeared in Rannefortb's Schachkalendar for 1932); 16 B-KB4! (in his notes to the third game of his match with Bogolubow, Spielmann mentions only 16 P-B4, which allows Black to reply advantageously with $16 \ldots \mathrm{KtxKt}$; 17 PxB, P-B4), KtxKt; 17 QxKt! (and not 17 BxKt, as in a game H. Johner-Euwe, Berne, 1932, for then $17 \ldots$ B-Q3 gives Black a good game, thanks to his strong center Pawns), QxQ; 18 BxQ , R-Kt2; 19 BxQP or 19 B-K4 with a manifestly superior position.

## (2)

## $14 \ldots$ <br> B-R3

Rellstab's move-stronger than 15 P-QR4, which Spielmann played in the aforementioned game without any notable success.

$$
15 \ldots K
$$

Rellstab gives $15 \ldots$ B-K2; 16 P-B4 or else 15 . . B-Q3; 16 P-B4, O-O; 17 R-B3 with a strong attack.

## 16 P.B4

Another powerful continuation seems 16 B KB4 threatening B-K4, for example: $16 \ldots$ KtxKt; $17 \mathrm{BxKt}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $18 \mathrm{BxBP}, \mathrm{PxB}$; 19 B. K4, Q-K4; 20 B-B6ch! followed by QxQ and BxR. Or else 16 . . . KtxKt; 17 BxKt, PB4; 18 BxBP, PxB (. . . P-Kt5; Q-RSch) ; 19 $B \times P$ dis ch and BxR.
16
B.B4

If $16 \ldots \mathrm{KtxKt} ; 17 \mathrm{PxKt}$ P-B4; 18 PxP e. p! QxB; $19 \mathrm{QxPch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q1} ; 20 \mathrm{Q}$-Ktoch with a decisive attack.

## 17 K-R1

If 17 B-K4? P-Q6 dis ch; nor is 17 Q-R5, P-Kt3; 18 KtxKtP, BPxKt; 19 BxPch, PxB; 20 QxRch good enough. The German player Klutke recommends 17 QR-B1, and after the plausible reply... P-Kts the continuation might be $18 \mathrm{KtxKt}, \mathrm{KxKt}$; $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Ktsch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; $20 \mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ dis oh; or if 19 BxB , P-Q6 dis ch; $20 \mathrm{RxB}, \mathrm{QxRch}$ etc. The Swedish master Stahlberg suggests 17 P-QKt4, B-Kt3 (or . . . B-R2) ; $18 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$.

Recommended by Spielmann, who has demonstrated that the sacrifice of the exchange gives Black a fine game.
18 B.K4 Q-Q3

## Chess in the U.S.S.R.

By A. Sims

Mr. Butinow, the author of the first handbook on chess in Russia about a hundred years ago, made the assertion that chess is the favored pastime of the privileged class; that the military man can profit from it for the science of war, and that sages can improve their wisdom.

It seems that this statement has been successfully challenged in part by young Russia today. "Chess in Russia", the official publication of the Russian Chess Federation gives the following observation: "In 1924 Russia was just getting a breathing spell from constant internal strife, civil wars, and many foreign invasions. The chess situation was very pathetic. About two or three thousand club members were all that could be counted throughout the country, among them about seven players in the master class, and about fifty first class players. The most noted and talented players like Alekhine and Bogolubow found themselves on the other side of the barricades. Nimzowitsch, Rubinstein, and Bernstein, have chosen citizenship in other countries." Then a feverish movement under the auspices of the Physical Culture Federation began. Clubs were organized. The tailors, the carpenters, the machinists, the sol-

The acceptance of the sacrifice by BxR would be disadvantageous for White; but the unexpected counter-sacrifice of the Kt only leads to an even game.
 Rsch and wins, for example $20 \ldots$ K.Kt1; 21 QxPch, K-B2; 22 B-Kt 6 ch or else 20 P-Kt3; 21 QxPch, K-Kı; 22 BxPch etc.


But not 21 Q.Kt4? BxR; $22 \mathrm{Rx} \dot{\mathrm{B}}, \dot{\mathrm{Q}}$-R3.

$23 .$. Q-R3; 24 R-R1, Q-Q3; 25 R-KB1 would lead to a draw.

## 24 Q.Kt6ch

Leading to exciting complications, for example: $24 \ldots$ K.B1; 25 QxPch, K.K1; 26 QxP threatening mate on Q8. Or $24 \ldots$ RKt2! 25 Q.K8ch, Kt-B1; 26 PxP, PxP; 27 B. Kt3, Q-R3; 28 R-B1, P-Q6 (28 . . QxP; 29 Q-B8! B-K2; 30 B-K5); 29 Q-B6 (29 R-B1? P.Q7; or 29 B-B3, P-Kt5; 30 B.Q1, P-Q7), P. Q7; 30 R-Q1, Q-K7; 31 Q-B3 etc.

Translated from L'Echiquier F. Reinfeld
diers, the sailors, every trade and vocation formed a club of its own competing with one another; and today Russia can boast of 500,000 enrolled club members, countless unenrolled players, forty-three players in the master class, and three hundred first class players who have qualified to participate in national championships. Hundreds of textbooks, handbooks, and chess columns are enumerated.

Interesting is the account of the official newspaper, Pravda, reporting the exhibitions of Capablanca in Moscow and Flohr in Minsk, just prior to the Moscow Tournament. Capa, the wizard of simultaneous performances met in Russia opposition worthy of his steel. He played only on thirty boards. He worked hard without a recess for seven hours and had to content himself with fourteen losses, nine draws, and seven wins. Flohr played fifty boards, and in the words of the reporter of Pravda: "One hundred horses, one hundred elephants, (Russian bishops), one hundred castles, a battalion of white pawns, all are brought into motion. Opposing them are the black figures by the youthful but able players of various districts and towns. After five hours' play, the positions on all boards are even, and Flohr agreed to the first draw to one of the players."

This is the first game lost by Capablanca in his exhibition.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { February, } 1935 \\ & \text { QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | J. R. Cap Wh | banca |  | Kofm |  |
|  | P.Q4 | Kt.KB3 | 13 | KtxKt | Q-KR4 |
|  | P-QB4 | P-K3 | 14 | B-Kt3 | P.QKt3 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | P.Q4 | 15 | Kt -K4 | B-Kt2 |
|  | B-KKt5 | QKt-Q2 | 16 | KtxKtch | PxKt |
|  | P-K3 | P.QB3 | 17 | B-K4 | K-R1 |
| 6 | P-QR3 | Pxp | 18 | QR-B1 | KR-KKt1 |
| 7 | BxP | Q-R4 | 19 | BxP | QR-QB1 |
| 8 | B-R4 | Kt-Kt3 | 20 | Q-R4 | R×B! |
| 9 | B-Q3 | QKt.Q4 | 21 | R×R | Q-Q4 |
| 10 | KKt-K2 | B-K2 | 22 | KR.B1 | B-B4!! |
| 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23 | P.K4 | QXKP |
|  | Q-B2 | KtxKt |  | Resigns |  |

V. Mikenas, champion of Lithuania, didn't fare so well eicher. He gave a performance in Leningrad on thirty boards, sixteen of whom were second grade players. After a struggle of seven and one half hours, he won only eight games and lost sixteen, drawing six. These facts illustrate how difficult it is to give simul. taneous performances in Russia.

DON'T FORGET OUR
RADIO PROGRAM
W. H. N. (DIAL 1010 Kc .) SUNDAYS AT 2 P. M., E. S. T.

## Game Studies

Moscow, 1935 INDIAN DEFENSE (Notes by F. Reinfeld)

| A. Lilienthal | I. A. Kan |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-Q3 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | QKtQ2 |
| 4 P-K4 | P-K4 |
| 5 Kt-B3 | P-KKt3 |
| 6 B-K2 | B-Kt2 |
| 7 O-O | $0-0$ |
| 8 P-Q5 | $\ldots .$. |

This move gives all the rest of the game its specific character: White is to attack on the $Q$ side, Black on the K side. But while Lilienthal carries out his plan with the greatest virtuosity, Kan is guilty of a grave lapse later on.

| 8 | $\ldots$ | Kt-B4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 | Q-B2 | P-QR4 |
| 10 | B-K3 | $\ldots .$. |

Another good plan is $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2-\mathrm{Kt3}$, adopted successfully in the games Flohr-Bogolubow (Bad Sliac 1932) and Dake-Alexander (Folkestone 1933).

$$
10 \text {. . . }
$$

P.Kt3

A more promising plan seems 10 . . Kt.Kts; 11 BxKt (if the $B$ retreats, 11 ... P-B4 gives Black a fine game), PxB; 12 P-KR3, Kt-R3; $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$; 14 P-B4. Black's effective Bishops make up for his inferior Pawn position.

| 11 Kt-Q2 | Kt-K |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12 P-QR3 | P.B4 |
| 13 P-B3 | P.B5 |
| 14 B-B2 | Kt-R3 |
| rarily preventing P-QKt4. |  |
| 15 QR-Kt1 | Q-Kt4 |
| 16 KR-B1 | $\ldots .$. |

Black threatened . . B-R6, which can now be answered with B-B.

| 16 K-R1 | P-R4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 17 P-QKt4 | Q-R3 |
| 19 PxP | P×P |
| 20 Kt-R4 | P-KKt4 |

Now both sides are ready for the respective Pawn advances which are the goals of the play up to this point: P.BS for White, . . P-Krs for Black.

QUEEN'S PAWN OPENING

| V. Mikenas White |  |  | Dolchanow Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 19 | K-Kt1 | KR-K1 |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P.QKt3 | 20 | Q-B2 | Q-B5 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | B-Kt2 | 21 | BxP | BxP |
| 4 | Q-82 | P-Q4 | 22 | R-Q3 | Q-R3 |
| 5 | PxP | KtxP | 23 | B-B5 | R-KB1 |
| 6 | P.K4 | KtxKt | 24 | Kt-R4 | QxKt!! |
| 7 | PxKt | P-K4! | 25 | R-R3 | QxR |
| 8 | Kt-KB3 | P $\times$ P | 26 | $B \times Q$ | B-Kt |
| 9 | $P \times P$ | B.Kt5ch | 27 | P-B4 | R-Q7 |
| 10 | K-Q | O-O | 28 | Q-K4 | R.Q5 |
| 11 | B-Q3 | P-Q84 | 29 | Q-K5 | R (B) $\times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 12 | P-Q5 | P.KB4! | 30 | R-K1 | B-R2ch |
| 13 | B-Kt2 | Kt-Q2 | 31 | K-Kt2 | R-B7ch |
| 14 | Q-Kt3 | P×P | 32 | K-Kt3 | B-B7ch |
| 15 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | K-R1 | 33 | K-Kt2 | B-Q6ch |
| 16 | K-B2 | Kt-B3 | 34 | K-Kt3 | B. B 5 ch |
| 17 | BxKt | Q×B | 35 | K-R4 | P-Kt4 Mate |
|  | QR-Q1 | QR-Q1 |  |  |  |

20 P-B5 KtxBP

A little interlude which in no wise alters the course of events. White's pieces are all admirably placed and his attack naturally goes through first, as Black's pieces still require considerable rearrangement.

| 22 | KtxKt | KtP×Kt |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 23 | P×P | P.Kt5 |
| 24 | PXQP | PxQP |
| 25 | Kt-B4 | PxP? |

An instructive violation of one of Tarrasch's most valuable strategical principles: When a player has it in his power to open a file by a Pawn exchange, he must not do so until be bas made certain that, once be bas opened the file, his pieces will have undisputed command of it.

It will be noticed in the course of the present game that White is able to occupy the KKt file with both Rooks and Queen only because of the exchange of Pawns at this point. In other words, Black should have first tried to play . . . B-KB3, . . . K-R, ... R-KKt, etc. A cumbersome plan, to be sure, and perhaps impracticable; but in that event the whole line of play is unfavorable for Black.

| 26 | PxP! | B-R6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 27 | B-B | BxB |
| 28 RxB | P-R5 |  |
| 29 | R-Kt1 | Q-R4 |
| 30 Kt-Q2 | $\ldots .$. |  |

Safer than 30 R-Kt4, Kt-B3; 31 RxRP, QxPch.

| 30 .... | K-R |
| :--- | ---: |
| 31 R-Kt4 | B-B3 |
| 32 QR-Kt | $\cdots .$. |

It is interesting to see how the faulty exchange on the 25 th move has allowed White to operate simultaneously on both wings.

| 32 | R-Q1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 33 | Q-B6 |
| 34 | Kt-B4 |



Seemingly Black has protected all his weaknesses, but now comes a beautiful surprise move.

35 BXP ! !
The point of the sacrifice lies in a second sacrifice: if $35 \ldots \mathrm{BxB} ; 36 \mathrm{KrxKP}!!$ Q-B3 ( . . PxKt; 37 Q-R6ch) ; 37 RxBch! and wins.


## 38 39 Q-R2! <br> R-R3

Kt -B3 would have held out longer. 40 Q-KKt2!

Resigns
White threatened R-R8ch followed by Q-Kt8 mate. If $40 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 2$; 41 KtxQP !! RxKt; 42 Q -R3ch, R-R3; 43 R -R8ch! forcing mate very quickly.

The student will find it worth while to reread the note to Black's 25 th move as he examines the final position.

The above game has at least this resemblance to the famous encounter between Morphy against the Duke of Brunswick and Count Isouard: that whereas the latter game was played during one of the intermissions in a performance of The Barber of Seville at the Paris Opera, the present game was annotated during the intermission between the performances of Le Bal and Le Oiseau de Feu by the Ballet Russe!

## Metropolitan Chess League <br> March, 1935

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
(Notes by I. A. Horowitz)
S. Reshevsky
White

1. A. Horowitz
1 P-Q4
2 P-QB4
3 Kt-QB3
4 Kt-B3

The Tarrasch Defense of which the late Doctor remarked: "This I hold to be the best, although I must add that I am almost completely alone in holding that opinion."

5 BPxP
KtxP
A variation of the defense revived recently and not quite fully exploited.

| 6 P.K4 | KtxKt |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 P×Kt | P×P |
| 8 P×P | B-Kt5ch |
| 9 B-Q2 | BxBch |
| 10 Q×B | $O-O$ |

In a game Stahlberg-Lasker, Zurich, August, 1934, Black played $8 . \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3}$, and the game continued 9 B-K2, B-Ktsch; 10 B-Q2, Q-R4; 11 R-QKt1, BxBch; 12 QxB, O-O; 13 B-Kts!, QxQch; 14 KxQ , arriving at an end game slightly favorable to the first player. The line of play chosen in the present game avoids the exchange of Queens. This plan is questionable, as White having a superior development, may use his Queen to advantage in the early skirmish, while Black is on the defensive.

A resume of the position discloses firstly, that White commands the center, secondly, that White is better developed, and lastly that the important open QB file will fall to White. Black's compensation lies in his Q side Pawn majority, and also in the possibility that White's center Pawns may prove to be "hanging" and weak.

The pros and cons must be weighed carefully before embarking on this particular variation.

11 B-Kt5
To prevent for the moment $11 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$, and entice the advance of Black's $Q$ side Pawns, which would serve to weaken them.

$$
11
$$

If $11 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, White would retreat 12 B-Q3
P-QKt3 and divert Black's Bishop from its logical diagonal QR1-KR8, where it exerts a lasting pressure on the center.
12 R-QB1
B-Kt2
13 Q-K3
....

If instead 13 Q-B4, aiming at QB7, Black might continue with . . P-QR3 and . . P.QKt4, to be followed by . . . Kt-B3.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
13 \ldots \because & K t-Q 2 \\
140.0 & \ldots .
\end{array}
$$

Here, 14 P-K5!, P.QR3; 15 B-Q3, R-K1; 16 Kt $\mathrm{Kt} 5, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ appears promising.

| 14 | 0 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q3}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 16 | Kt -K5 | $\ldots$ |

Again $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ks}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 17$ Q-K4, P-Kt3; 18 QKı4 and it is questionable whether White cannot exploit the weakness of Black's King side Pawn formation. However, it is well to bear in mind, that once White commits himself to this aggressive policy, his attack must succeed, for he cannot rid himself of his backward QP.


KR-B1
R-B2 18 P.K5
Imperative now!, otherwise Black's quiet Q side development would eventually cause havoc. $18 \ldots \quad$ Kt-K1
To prevent White's Knight from proving troublesome at Q6.

| 19 | Kt-Q2 | QR-B1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 20 | R×R | R×R |
| 21 | B-K4 | $\ldots$. |

Exchanging Black's menacing Bishop, but sacrificing attacking possibilities.
${ }_{22}^{21} \underset{\text { Ktx }}{ }$

BxB

To dominate the Q side with . . Q-Q4 or . . . Q-RS, incidentally attacking the weak spots.

| 23 | P-KR4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24 R-Q1 | P-KR3 |
| 25 | R-Q2 |

Attempting further favorable exchanges.

| 26 | Kt-B3 | R-B8ch |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 27 K-R2 | Q-B5 |  |
| 28 | R-Q3 | R-B7 |

If $28 \ldots$ Kt-B2; 29 Q-Q2 (not $29 \mathrm{QxR}, \mathrm{QxR}$ !), and Black has nothing better than ... Kt-Q4; 30 KtxKt, PxKt; 31 Q-K3!, threatening P-K6. 29 Kt -K4!
At last this Knight comes into its own, and with effect. Black dare not capture $29 \ldots$ QxRP because of 30 R-R3, and after $29 \ldots$ RxRP; 30 R-B3, QR3; $31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ ! would prove annoying.
29 P-QR3 31 Q-B4
Q-B3

## Kt-B2

If 31 Kt -B6ch, K-B1 ! (not . . . PxKt; 32 QxP!). 31.

| 31 | Kt-Q4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 32 Q.Kt4 | Kt-K2 |
| 33 | R-KKt3 |
| 34 | P-Q5! |
| 35 | Rt-B4 |
|  | Q-B5 |
|  | K-R1 |

But this appears to be a fatal blunder. . . . K-B1 was in order.

36 P-Q6
Q-Q5
37 RxKt!
....
If 37 Q-B4, R-K7!
${ }_{38}^{37} \dot{Q \times \dot{P}} \quad$ P×R

Black is now an exchange ahead, but the position is precarious. The passed QP is menacing, and Black's choice of moves is limited.

```
38
R-B8
39 P-Q7
What shall Black do now?
```

39
40 Kt -Q6!
"To resign or not to resign, that is the question."

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
40 \\
41 & Q-R 3
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\text { QxPch! } \\
Q \times P!!
\end{array}
$$

There is more resource in this move than is apparent at first sight, in spite of White's prospective second Queen.
I. A. Horowitz

S. Reshevsky


This makes"matters comparatively easier. Better would have been 43 . . R-KR8ch; $44 \mathrm{KxR}, \mathrm{QxQ}$; $45 \mathrm{KtxP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ ! and it would be difficult to avoid the perperual check. In any event Black would regain one of his Pawns, and the resultant endgame would prove difficult.

| 44 K-R3 | Q-R8ch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 45 Q-R2 | Q-B8 |
| 46 Q-R4 | R-Q6ch |
| 47 Q(R4)-K $\ddagger 3$ | P-QKt4 |

To create a diversion.

| 48 QxR | QxQch |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 49 | Q-Kt3 | Q-K7 |
| 50 | Q-B4 | Q-R4ch |
| 51 K-Kt3 | Q-Kt3ch |  |
| 52 | Q-Kt4 | Q-Kt8 |
| 53 | KtxBP | Q-K8ch |
| 54 K-R2 | P-QR4 |  |
| 55 | P-K6 | P-Kt5 |
| 56 PxP | PxP |  |
| 57 | Q-B5ch | K-Kt1 |
| 58 | KtxPch!! | PxKt |
| 59 Q-B7ch | K-R1 |  |
| 60 Q-B6ch | Resigns |  |
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# An Analysis of Chess Ability 

By Carl. Ekoos

What is chess ability? Why is Dr. Alekhine the world's champion? Why are certain aspirants to his crown so proficient in the playing of chess? Why is one person a stronger chess player than another?

Questions of this nature will invariably draw a volley of answers from any chess gathering and the variety of solutions proposed will coincide perfectly with the number of chess enthusiasts present, for every one seems to possess his favorite opinion. Because of the inadequacy of any single explanation it is possible that a rather scientific approach to the problem might help to identify some of the factors producing the pattern which we term chess ability.

An opportunity to conduct an organized study presented itself in 1931, when a group of high school boys (Hayward Union High, Hayward, Calif.) formed a chess club and entered into league competition with other schools. Accurate individual records were kept of the high school life of every boy in the club and a faculty committee assisted in the gathering of the evidence used. Special standardized tests were given to measure school intelligence, reading and retention ability, and school grades. Careful estimates were made of the time spent for school studies, time spent for chess, and the previous chess experience enjoyed by every player before joining the club.

The seven players who composed the chess team for three successive years, were graded one to seven in each ability accordingly as they ranked one with another. A grade of seven in school intelligence would not necessarily indicate low intelligence but rather designate that player as the seventh best in this selected group. In fact, the player with this ranking was in the highest ten percent of the entire school, which is a very good indication of the quality of the material available. It might be noted that the players were unaware of the existence of this study.

The results were tabulated and are illustrated in two charts. Chart I contains the chess team rankings for three successive years. Chart II contains the comparative rankings of every player in those abilities which were considered to be some of the reciprocal forces which determine chess ability.

## Partial Interpretation

Players C., E. and G. had had considerable
I.

POSITION ON TEAM

| Board | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PLAYER $^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| 1 | C | C | A |
| 2 | E | A | B |
| 3 | G | B | C |
| 4 | D | E | D |
| 5 | F | D | E |
| 6 | B | G | F |
| 7 | A | F | G |

previous chess experience prior to the formation of the team, and this factor was instrumental in assisting them to earn boards one, two, and three, on the 1932 team. During 1933-4 however, other factors were in evidence, and it is the purpose of this study to identify some of these contributing forces which caused the chess rankings to fluctuate.

Players A. and B. started on boards seven and six in 1932, rose to boards two and three in 1933, and competed on boards one and two in 1934. Their rapid rise to chess superiority over the group might be accounted for by their high comparative intelligence rating, their reading and retention ability, and their intense study of chess books, periodicals, and masters' games.

Player D. was very constant in all his abilities.
Player F. presents an unusual situation. He seldom played or studied chess other than challenge rounds or team matches with other schools, yet he was able to maintain his place on the team while more ambitious players tried to displace him.

Attention might be drawn to the fact that in 1932 previous chess experience was the determining factor which enabled players to secure their rankings, while in 1933-4 the rankings became so altered that it is impossible to select any special factor as the sole determining agent. Some positive correllation, however, is noted between intelligence and the 1934 rankings.

Column 7 contains the averages of all the measurements secured and in column 8 these
II.

## A COMPARISON OF VARIOUS FACTORS

by
Committee Estimates
Standardized Tests
Transposition

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Player | Previous Chess <br> Experience <br> 1932 | Chess <br> Study 1932-3-4 | School Study $1932-3-4$ | School Grades 1932-3-4 | Reading and Retention Ability 1932 | Intel- <br> ligence Rating 1934 | Average | Index to Chess Ability |
| A | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | $24 / 6$ | 2 |
| B | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $23 / 6$ | 1 |
| C | 1 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 4/6 | 5 |
| D | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| E | , | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | $52 / 6$ | 6.7 |
| F | 5 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | $33 / 6$ | 3 |
| G | 3 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 52/6 | 6-7 |

are transposed into ratings comparable to those in the other columns.

In column 8 (Index To Chess Ability) an attempt has been made to derive an index which would indicate the potential ability of every player. For example, player F. should, according to the index, attain a chess ranking of at least third in this group whereas he was only able to enjoy sixth place on the team. Might we reasonably assume that if he had applied himself more to the study and practice of chess (column 2) he would have more closely approached the index prediction? Using the index key in this manner it might interest the reader to make other comparisons noting player G. in particular.

It becomes apparent that this study was not intended to become an exhaustive analysis of chess ability for there are many other important abilities that would have to come under consideration. Competitive inclinations, temperament, physiological and environmental conditions, and a host of other factors would assist toward the formulation of a final chess ability prediction. Nevertheless, we may draw some very definite conclusions from the evidence here presented.

## Conclusions

The factors which influence chess proficiency may be in accord or at variance with one another.

One player will excel another in direct proportion to his excellence in all of the contributing factors.

The preceding observations indicate that chess ability is not the result of any single factor but rather a summation of many reciprocal abilities with fluctuating tendencies and values.

## BOOK REVIEW

MY 101 BEST GAMES

By F. D. Yates
In spite of the title, the book actually contains 109 games, thirty of them annotated by Yates, who was engaged on the work when he died suddenly two years ago; and the rest annotated, very capably, by W. Winter.

Yates' games reveal a greater capacity for good chess than the actual results achieved by him in tournaments would indicate. He exerted himself more when up against a master than against weaker opponents. He defeated Dr. Alekhine more than once, and every active master except Capablanca fell a victim to him on at least one occasion.

The games he won, were won brilliantly. Blackburne is the only other British player whose games can compare with his. Whether it was a complicated middle-game attack, in which he had few superiors, or an end-game, he was always dynamic, always punching. In the last decade of his life, Yates became less attacking, and developed a fine end.game technique; many of his end-game wins, squeezed out of a stone, are delightful.

The appreciations by W. H. Watts, Dr. Lasker, Sir George Thomas, Frank Marshall, and E. G. Twitchert, are very interesting, as also is the longer introduction and biography by Winter. What impresses most is the general agreement among these writers that Yates was never known to utter an unkind word about anyone. He was a very reserved man, except to his intimate friends, among whom, on the contrary, he was noted as a wonderful talker on any subject that could be raised.-A. C. R.

## The Galloping Knights

By Irving Chernev

In the New York Tournament of 1889, MacLeod and Gossip arrived at this exciting position:


MacLeod proceeded:

| White | Black |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Kt-Kt5 | P-K6 |  |
| 2 | K-Q6 | P-K7 |
| 3 | Kt-B3 | P-R6 |
| 4 | P-B6 | P-R7 |
| 5 | P-B7 | P.R8(Q) |
| 6 | P-B8(Q)ch | K-R2 |
| If | $6 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2 ;$ | 7 Kt |

KSch, and mate next move.
7 Kt-Kt5ch K.Kt3 8 Q-B2ch K-B3 $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ ! K-B2
Or 9...K-B4; 10 $\mathrm{K}_{t}$-B2ch, K -B3 ( $10 \ldots$ KxP; 11 Q-Q2ch!) ; 11 Q-B3ch, and 12 KtxQ .

If Black tries $9 .$.
threaten is KtxB, QxKt ; $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ winning the Queen.
14 P-QR3
P-B4?
Giving himself a backward King's Pawn for no reason at all.

| 15 | R-QB1 | B-Kt2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 16 | B-K3 | Q-Q1 |
| 17 | Kt.Q4 | Kt.B1 |
| 18 | O-O | P.KR4 |

Black is afraid of 19 P-KKt4. White has no such plans, how. ever. Having a strongly centralized position, he now plays to control the black squares.
$\begin{array}{llr}19 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3!! & \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B2} \\ 20 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 \\ 21 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q2} & \mathrm{Kt} \text {-Q2 }\end{array}$
$22 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 2)-\mathrm{Kt} 3$
R-QB1
23 Kt -R5
B-R1
24 RxR!
Now for the Bishop file.
$24 \ldots$ QxR
25 R-B1 Q.QKt1

26 Q-QB2 B-Q1
$27 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{R} 5) \cdot \mathrm{B} 6 \quad$ Q-Kt2

| 28 | KtxBch | RxKt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $29-B 7$ | $Q-K t 1$ |  |

30 B-B2 Q-Kt3
31 Kt -B3 $\quad \mathrm{QxQ}$
$32 \mathrm{RxQ} \quad \mathrm{K}$-K1
$33 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5} \mathrm{Kt}$-B1

34 B-B5 Kt-Q2
35 B-Q6 and wins
Black is in complete
"zugzwang"!

The "great eccentric" Nimzowitsch produced this sparkling bit of Knight play against Gilg, in the tournament at Semmering, 1926.

Semmering, 1926
DUTCH DEFENSE


The threat is now $15 \ldots \mathrm{BxP} ; 16 \mathrm{PxB}$ ?, Kt-B7ch, winning the Queen.
15 Kt - 1
B.QB4

Now Black has this continuation in mind: 16...QxKt; 17 BxQ , Kt -B7ch; $18 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, BxBch followed by 19 ...KtxQ.

| 16 | B.K3 | BxB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | KtxB | B-Q2 |
| 18 | Q.Q4 | QR-K1 |

Black sees that he cannot win a piece by $18 \ldots$ KtxKt, as White would play 19 Kt -B4,

Nimzowltsch
Black
and then recapture the Knight. After 18...
QR-K1, $\quad 19 \ldots \mathrm{KtxKt}$ is strongly threatened as $20 \mathrm{Kt} \cdot \mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{KtxB} ; 21$ KtxQ, KtxR would give Black material advantage.
19 Kt-B1 P-KKt4! 20 Kt-Kt1 Kt-K5!

With this delightful finish in mind, $21 \ldots$ Qxpch; $22 \mathrm{KtxQ}, \mathrm{Ktx}$ KtP mate!, as well as $21 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 22 \mathrm{Q}$ xKt, KtxQ mate.
21 Kt -R3 Kt(Kt5)-B3
Attacking the Knight at $R 3$, and preventing his retreat to Kt sq . on account of $22 \ldots$ Kt. B7ch! 23 QxKt, Kt$\mathrm{Kts} ; 24 \mathrm{Q}$ moves, $\mathrm{K}_{t}$ B7ch, winning the Queen for two Knights. $22 \mathrm{BxKt} \quad \mathrm{KtxB}$ $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1}$
Again the unhappy Knight must return, to prevent $23 \ldots$...B6ch. 23 . . . Kt-B7ch 24 K-Kt2 B.R6ch! 25 KtxB Q-B6ch 26 K-Kt1 Q-R8 mate

The following wonderful example of Knightly pirouetting is taken from "Chess Strategy and Tactics" by Reinfeld and Chernev.

## Mahrisch-Ostrau, 1923 <br> FRENCH DEFENSE

| E. Bogolubow | R. Reti |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P.K4 | P-K3 |
| 2 P.Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 4 | P-K5 |

It is surprising that Bogolubow does not adopt Alekhine's attack ( 4 B-Kts, B-K2; S P-Ks, KKt-Q2; 6 P-KR4), with which he has won some pretty games.
4
4 5 Q-Kt4
KKt-Q2

The Gledhill variation, which leads to a lively game-by no means to White's advantage, however! 6 K. $\underset{\text { Kt-Kt5 }}{ }$

P-QB4
PxP
The simplest. Black's attack on the hostile center outweighs White's would-be attack.

7 Kt -KB3
Not 7 Kt -Q6 ch, BxKt; 8 QxKtP? BxP.

| 7 Kt.Q6ch | Kt.QB3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 9 QxP | BxKt |
| 9 QxP! |  |

In conjunction with the next move, this is the most effective way of ending White's demonstration.

10 KtxB Q-83
Once the Queens are off, Black's advantage soon becomes quite marked.
11 QXQ
$K t \times Q$

Fortunately Bogolubow can still manage to regain his Pawn.

$$
12 \underset{13}{13} \dot{\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} \dot{3}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { B-Q2 } \\
& \text { Kt-K5! }
\end{aligned}
$$

Well-played. Reti is now able to build up a formidable center.

$$
1400
$$

## P-B3

Compelling White to carry out the following exchange, else . . . P-K4 would retain the QP permanently.

```
1 5 \mathrm { BxKt }
P×B
P.QB4
```

The four Black Pawns now constitute a compact and powerful center, the Rooks have open files on which to operate, and the King is well posted for the ending-all a consequence of White's faulty strategy in embarking on an attack without sufficient means.

Reti's play from this point on is as delightful as it is convincing.

| $17 \mathrm{Kt.K2}$ | K-B2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18 P -KB3 | Kt-Q3 |
| $19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ | $\cdots$ |

B-Q2 would be useless because of $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Bs}$ and the Bishop must return home.
P-K4

This exerts a certain amount of pressure on Black's center, but Reti soon finds a way to break through.
20
$\dot{Q R-Q}$
QR-QB1
22 Kt -B1
P-Q5

During the last few moves White's prospects seem to have improved somewhat, and the threat of Kt-Q3 seems very troublesome.
22
Kt -B4

"To have a Knight planted in your game at K6 is worse than a rusty nail in your knee!"'

24 R-K1

A tremendous move. If now $25 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{RxP} ; 26$ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K})-\mathrm{K} 2$ and Black has so many good moves that he would be embarassed for a continuation!-for example 26...KR-QB1 winning a Pawn, or else 26
. B-B4; $27 \mathrm{Kt-Q3}, \mathrm{BxKt;} 28 \mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt1}$ ! 29 B-Kt2 (29 RxKt, PxR), RxB! 30 RxKt, PxR or R-B8ch, and wins.
25 P-QK 4

25 P-QKt4
Not very pleasing esthetically, but White must keep the B file closed at all costs.
${ }_{26} 25 \cdot(\dot{K})-K 2$
B-R5
....

After 26 P-B3, Kt-B7 would likewise be decisive.

| 26 | . ... | Kt-Q8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | R-B1 | Kt-B6 |
| 28 | $8 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 2)$ - $\mathrm{B}^{2}$ | Kt-Kt8! |

It does one's heart good to watch the peculiar wanderings of this K .

Reti


There is nothing else left; $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R1}$ (!), Kt -Q7; 31 R-K1, BxP would be quite hopeless.

$$
31^{30} \dot{R P \times B}
$$

## BxKt

. : :

Again forced, for if $31 \mathrm{BPxB}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$ followed by ...P-Q6, or else 31 RxKt, BxRP; 32 R-R1, PxB, etc.

| 31 | K. | Kt-Q7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 32 | R-K1 | KR-Q1 |
| 33 | B-B1 | P-Q6! |

A "finisher"!
34 PxP
Or 34 BxKt , PxB; $35 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{PxP} ; 36 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{RxR}$, etc.


Destroying White's last hope- $38 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{PxR} ; 39$ R-Q1, R-B8; $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$.
38 KxR
$39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$
P.B7
39 R-QB1
K-Q4

Driving back White's King in ordet to allow the inroad of his own King.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 41 \text { K-Q2 } \\
& 42 \text { P-R4 }
\end{aligned}
$$

K-Q5
....
After $42 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{RxRch} ; 43 \mathrm{KxR}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 6 ; 44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$, K-B7 Black would win easily.

$$
42 \ldots \text { R-Q6ch! }
$$

Putting an end to all resistance; if $43 \mathrm{KxP}, \mathrm{R}$ B6ch; $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{RxR}$; $45 \mathrm{KxR}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 6$ and the QRP will Queen. Or 43 K-K2, K-B6 followed by . . . R-Q1 and. K-Kt7, etc. Therefore:

## Resigns

# Canadian Section 

by F. W. Watson

Articles pertaining to this department will be accorded special attention if addressed to the Editor at 191 Jones Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

## Manitoba and Saskatchewan

Chess is booming out loud in the west. The Regina Chess Club is staging tournaments in which a total of forty-two players are en-gaged!-while in Winnipeg, 108 were entered in the city championship.

The Manitoba Chess Association met last month-and after

F. W. Watson dealing with routine business, decided upon the following schedule for future events: (1) Manitoba championship, to commence the first week in April. Committee in charge: Burrows, Metge, Battley, Baldwinson, Dreman, Booker. (2) A provincial chess match between Manitoba and either Minnesota, U. S. A., North Dakota, U. S. A., or Saskatchewan, Canada, to take place during May or June. Representatives to travel to an intermediate point by auto. (3) Another massed gathering between local Jewish clubs and rest of Winnipeg, during the month of May.

With 108 players officially "in" Winnipeg's city championship-1935, the flight system of play-very much like the Kirk-Holland sys-tem-certainly served its slaughtering purpose as indicated by the latest statistics from the west after four rounds. Thirty-two contestants managed to survive the humdrum while a mere seventy-six were cut off short! Play in the fifth round was started with the survivors tenaciously struggling to keep their heads above water. The winner of this marathon will be announced in the next publication-so it is hoped!

The following is a somewhat amusing extract from the chess column in the Regina Leader-Post: "Just 57 years ago, the first telephone exchange in the British Empire opened in Hamilton, Ont. Mr. Hugh C. Baker, of that town, leased the first telephone instrument in Canada and connected his home with homes of
several friends in order that they might play chess without leaving their cozy firesides. From this private line grew the first Canadian telephone system." Wouldn't this be a dandy for Ripley's Believe It or Not?

## Ed Lasker Introduces New Game

Edward Lasker, the Chicago chess expert, was in Toronto on business during the latter part of last January, and apart from the particular business which mainly concerned him-it seems evident there was a thought in his mind that two birds could be killed with one stone when he breezed into the Toronto Chess Club with a satchel in one hand from which he suddenly produced a book. "Here," said Eddy, "is my book of instructions on how to play one of the greatest scientific games of the day-the only rival to chess, and it may even be said to excel chess!" Such a statement naturally brought about the desired results, and as the curious sauntered one-by-one to stare in amazement at a new book, Mr. Lasker,-quick to realize he had gathered an appreciable crowd around him-dived again into the satchel and salvaged a strange looking board, and with it he brought forth two queer looking gadgets, receptacles of some sort, at first glance appearing to be incense burners, but lo and behold!-upon removing the lids from each it was immediately discovered they contained a species of little button-like things, resembling one cup of "scotch mints" and another of "licorice gumdrops" (!) But again-lo and behold, this was not so-these were pieces for a new game, hundreds of pieces! And you don't eat themyou play with them. The game which Mr. Lasker recommends as one of great intellect, is only new to the occidental world, as explained in his own words it comes from the orient, "A great Japanese sport which originated many thousands of years ago." It is traced back through the stone-age, in times of Alley Oop, the dinosaur rider and King Tunk etc., where it seems chess was not known in those good old days. The object of the game merely provides that the opponents rapidly proceed to place their buttons on the board as quickly as possibleand the more scattered the better. This being done, the player having the most of his buttons covering any vast area of the board becomes
the sole possessor, or owner of that part of the board! Players then proceed to pick their buttons off the board and count them; if one is missing, they both get down on the floor and look for it, and so the game goes on. All joking aside, this great Japanese game is, as Mr. Lasker claims, "A game of unlimited strategy", which does, perhaps, surpass that of chess. The Japs are a cunning race-clever and intelligent, and in their game of "Go" as it is named, they have a game which is at least on a par with chess if not of a higher altitude. The game took on so well in Toronto that Mr. Lasker experienced some difficulty in getting his board, pieces, and book away from the afflicted(!)

## Miscellaneous News

The Canadian Chess Federation has recommended September 2nd, as an approximate date for opening play in the next Dominion Congress which is to be staged in Montreal.

The very latest contemplation re-chess organization is the forming of provincial associations throughout Canada, and with city, provincial, and Dominion governing bodies, it looks as though an element of politics is creeping into Canadian chess-how awful it will be if they stick a special tax on chess players!

Mr. C. Quevillon, of the Sandy Hill club, and chess editor of the French paper "Le Droit", is said to have been negotiating with other co-workers concerning a general organization of chess players for the promotion of an individual championship for Ottawa district.

The Montreal city championship is now in progress-play was opened not long ago at the Harmonia C. C., with Maurice Fox in the fray! In all, sixteen players are listed, and the results submitted for this publication give leading scores after three rounds of play as: Blumin and Fox, 3-0; Gaudet 2-0; Payette and Bedard, $2-1$; Davis, Harvey, and Rawlings, 1-1; adjournments unaccounted. L. Richard, with a final score of $11-1$, is winner of the just past Montreal Club Championship, 1935. Without losing a game, Richard defended the club honors, which he won last year, in fine style; losing one point only by two draws. G. F. Griffin fell back with his score, and was headed by G. Gaudet-second with $91 / 2-21 / 2$, and Keller-Wolff-third with 9-3.

The Toronto University chess scholars are keeping the kettle boiling. In a recent eightboard match, Students us. Faculty, the score, by adding machine, came out in favor of students at $51 / 2$ to $21 / 2$.

A conclusive decision regarding Toronto's championship for 1935 will be ready for official broadcast and publication about the time
this month of April is torn from the calendar, and notwithstanding any possibility that the event might seep through to partly cover the first or first two weeks of the merry month of May, the general opinion after play in the ninth round seemed to suggest a repetition of last year's sortie between Belson and Martin. The order of standing is recorded as: Belson 6-1; Martin 5-1; Crompton 3-2; Drummond $21 / 2-31 / 2$; Stock $11 / 2-31 / 2$; Hudson 0-7; adjournments unaccounted. Not being well in health, Watson retired after five rounds of play with a score of $11 / 2-31 / 2$. The routine of play is based on a double-round schedule, and the story goes-Belson won from Martin in the fifth round, and Martin won from Belson in the ninth round. Leaving a cute little knot, and the task of picking it "undone" as a feature for the balance of the schedule, or an added playoff session.
J. Mitchell, Toronto C. C., curator and librarian, was recently presented with a folding umbrella-a token of appreciation for his long and faithful services. The presentation was made on behalf of the club members by the club's master of ceremonies, Mr. R. G. Hunter.

## Moscow International Masters Tournament February-March, 1935 <br> CARO-KANN DEFENSE

M. Botwinnik White
R. Spielmann Black

| 1 P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 7 | PxP | QxKtP |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | P-K4 | P-Q4 | 8 | R-B1 | Kt-QKt5 |
| 3 | KPxP | PxP | 9 | Kt-R4 | QxRP |
| 4 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 10 | B-QB4 | B-Kt5 |
| 5 | Kt-QB3 | Kt-B3 | 11 | Kt-B3 | Resigns |
| 6 | B-Kt5 | Q-Kt3 |  |  |  |

## CHESS TIME CLOCKS



This latest model is substantially built with a fine movement, exceptionally suited for match and tournament play.-Price $\$ 7.50$.-Postage extra. Order through THE CHESS REVIEW
59.12 Woodside Avenue

Woodside, N. Y.

## Selected Games

Moscow International Masters Tournament
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| 1. KanWhite |  |  | Dr. E. Lasker |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | P-Q4 | P.Q4 | 26 | QR.K1 | P-Kt3 |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 27 | R-Q1 | R-Kt1 |
| 3 | PxP | PxP | 28 | Q-K1 | QR-QKt2 |
| 4 | Kt-QB3 | Kt-QB3 | 29 | R(Q)-Q2 | Kt-Q2 |
| 5 | Kt -B3 | Kt-B3 | 30 | B.Kt1 | P-K4 |
| 6 | B-B4 | B-B4 | 31 | Q-Kt3 | Q-K3 |
| 7 | Q-Kt3 | Kt-QR4 | 32 | P-K4 | KPxP |
| 8 | Q-R4ch | B-Q2 | 33 | PxBP | Q-B3 |
|  | Q-B2 | R-B1 | 34 | R-K6 | PxKt |
| 10 | P-K3 | P-QKt4 | 35 | RxQ | PxR |
| 11 | P-QR3 | P.K3 | 36 | RxPch | PxR |
| 12 | B-Q3 | B-K2 | 37 | QxPch | K-B1 |
| 13 | Kt-K5 | Kt-B5 | 38 | Q-Q6ch | K-K1 |
| 14 | Q-K2 | O-O | 39 | B-B2 | R-Kt3 |
| 15 | 0.0 | B-K1 | 40 | P-B6 | K-Q1 |
| 16 | QR-K1 | Kt-Q2 | 41 | P.B7 | K-B1 |
| 17 | KtxQKt | KtPxKt | 42 | P-B8(Q) | KtxQ |
| 18 | B.Kt1 | P-B4 | 43 | QxKtch | K.Kt2 |
| 19 | P-B3 | Kt-Kt3 | 44 | Q-B6 | K-R3 |
| 20 | B-B2 | B-Q3 | 45 | Q-Q6 | R-K1 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | QxB | 46 | P-KR4 | R-K8ch |
| 22 | R-Q1 | B-Q2 | 47 | K-R2 | R-QB8 |
| 23 | Q-Q2 | B-B3 | 48 | B-B5 | P-Q8(Q) |
| 24 | KR.K1 | QR-Q1 | 49 | B-B8ch | K-R4 |
| 25 | R-K2 | R-Q2 |  | Resigns |  |

Moscow International Masters Tournament February-March, 1935
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
V. Alatorzew White

| 1 P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 13 | KtxKt | BxKt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4}$ | P-K3 | 14 | B-B3 | QR-B1 |
| Kt-QB3 | P.Q4 | 15 | P-QR4 | PxP |
| B.Kt5 | B-K2 | 16 | BPxP | P-Kt3 |
| P-K3 | 0.0 | 17 | BxB | RxB |
| PxP | KtxP | 18 | Q-Q3 | Q-Kt2 |
| 7 BxB | QxB | 19 | KR-Kt1 | KR-B1 |
| 8 Kt - 3 | KtxKt | 20 | P-R3 | P-QR3 |
| PxKt | P-QKt3 | 21 | Q-R3 | R-B7 |
| 10 B-K2 | B.Kt2 | 22 | Q-Q6 | R×P |
| 110.0 | P-QB4 | 23 | Q-Kt3 | KR-B7 |
| 12 Kt -K5 | Kt-B3 |  | Resigns |  |

Moscow International Masters Tournament
February-March, 1935
KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
J. R. Capablanca White

|  | P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | P-KKt3 |
| 4 | Kt -B3 | B-Kt2 |
| 5 | PxP | KtxP |
| 6 | Q-Kt3 | KtxKt |
| 7 | PxKt | P.QB4 |
| 8 | P.K3 | O-O |
| 9 | B-K2 | Kt-Q2 |
| 10 | O.O | Q-B2 |
| 11 | P-QR4 | P-Kt3 |
| 12 | P-R5 | PxRP |
| 13 | Q-R3 | B-Kt2 |

M. Botwinnik Black

| 14 | QxRP | KR-B1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | QxQ | R×Q |
| 16 | R-R5 | P-K4 |
| 17 | R-Q1 | BPxP |
| 18 | BPxP | QR-KB1 |
| 19 | $\mathbf{R \times R P}$ | BxKt |
| 20 | RxR | RxR |
| 21 | PxB | PxP |
| 22 | PxP | BxP |
| 23 | B-KB4 | B-K4 |
| 24 | RxKt | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |

Moscow International Masters Tournament February-March, 1935
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
S. Flohr
V. Pirc Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 20 | BxB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-KB3 | 21 | P-Kt3 | RXB

Moscow International Masters Tournament February-March, 1935
QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED
V. Tschechower

White
Dr. E. Lasker Black

| P-QB4 | Kt-KB3 | 21 | K-B1 | P-Kt4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kt-QB3 | P-K3 | 22 | K-K1 | B-Kt7 |
| Kt-B3 | P.Q4 | 23 | P-QR4 | PxP |
| P.Q4 | PxP | 24 | PxP | K-B3 |
| P-K4 | B-Kt5 | 25 | K-Q2 | K-B4 |
| B-Kt5 | P. B 4 | 26 | Kt-B3 | K-Kt5 |
| BxKt | QxB | 27 | Kt -Kt5 | P-QR4 |
| BxP | PxP | 28 | Kt -Q6 | Kxp |
| QxP | Kt.B3 | 29 | K-B2 | B-K4 |
| 10 QxQ | PXQ | 30 | KtxP | BxP |
| 11 R-QB1 | B-Q2 | 31 | Kt.Q8 | P-K4 |
| $12 \mathrm{O}-0$ | R-QB1 | 32 | Kt -B6 | B-Kt8 |
| 13 P.QR3 | B-Q3 | 33 | P-B3 | B-B4 |
| 14 Kt -K2 | Kt-K4 | 34 | Kt-Kt8 | K-Kt4 |
| 15 KtxKt | BxKt | 35 | P-Kt4 | B.K2 |
| 16 B-Kt5 | RxR | 36 | P-Kt5 | PxP |
| 17 BxBch | K×B | 37 | Kt-Q7 | B-Q3 |
| 18 RxR | R-QB1 | 38 | Kt-B6 | K-B5 |
| 19 RxR | KxR |  | Resigns |  |
| 20 P.QKt3 | K-B2 |  |  |  |

## Manhattan Chess Club Championship December, 1934

RETI SYSTEM
R. Willman

White

| 1 | Kt-KB3 | P-Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-B4 | PxP |
| 3 | P.K3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 4 | BxP | P-K4 |
| 5 | Kt-B3 | B-K2 |
| 6 | Q.Kt3 | Kt-R3 |
| 7 | P-Q4 | 0.0 |
| 8 | KtxP | KtxKt |
| 9 | PxKt | Kt-Kt5 |
| 10 | P.B4 | B-QB4 |
| 11 | Kt-K4 | B-Kt3 |
| 12 | B-Q2 | Q-R5ch |

A. C. Simonson

Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 11 | Kt-QR4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-KKt3 | 12 | P-KR3 |$\quad$ R-K1


| 1 P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 25 | Q-R4 | Kt-K3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 P.QB4 | P.K3 | 26 | K-R1 | Kt-B1 |
| 3 Kt -QB3 | B-Kt5 | 27 | Kt-Kt3 | RxP ! |
| 4 P-QR3 | BxKtch | 28 | BxR | R×B |
| 5 PxB | P.B4 | 29 | KtxP | KtxKt |
| 6 P-B3 | P.Q4 | 30 | QxKt | B-B3 |
| 7 P-K3 | $0-0$ | 31 | Q-Kt5 | RxQBP! |
| 8 PXQP | KPxP | 32 | Q-Q2 | R×8 |
| 9 B-Q3 | Kt-B3 | 33 | R×R | Kt-K3 |
| $10 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | R-K1 | 34 | R-Q1 | P.Kt5 |
| 11 O-O | P-QR3 | 35 | R-Kt2 | P-Kt6 |
| 12 Q-K1 | P-QKt4 | 36 | Q-B3 | Kt -B2 |
| 13 Q-B2 | B-K3 | 37 | R-K2 | Q-R2 |
| 14 P-R3 | R-R2 | 38 | Q-Kt4 | Kt-Kt4 |
| 15 B-Q2 | Q-Kt3 | 39 | R-K7 | Q-R6 |
| 16 KR-Kt1 | QR-K2 | 40 | Q-K1 | P-B6 |
| 17 P-QR4 | P-B5 | 41 | R-K8ch | BxR |
| 18 B-B2 | B-B1 | 42 | QxBCh | K-R2 |
| $19 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | P-KR4 | 43 | QxP | Q-R1 |
| 20 Kt -K2 | Kt-Q1 | 44 | R-K1 | Kt-Q3 |
| 21 R-R2 | B-Q2 | 45 | Q-B7 | P.B7 |
| 22 PxP | PxP | 46 | QxKt | P-Kt7 |
| 23 KR-R1 | B-B1 | 47 | Q-B4 | Q-B3 |
| 24 R-Kt2 | B-Q2 |  | Resigns |  |

Moscow International Masters Tournament February-March, 1935
KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
G. Goglidze White
S. Flohr Black

Black



White
Dr. E. Lasker
Black

| 1 | P-K4 | P-K4 | 22 | BxKt | RxB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 23 | Kt -Q8ch | K-R3 |
| 3 | P-Q4 | PxP | 24 | BxP | B.K3 |
| 4 | KtxP | Kt-B3 | 25 | Kt-B6 | B-Kt2 |
| 5 | Kt-QB3 | B-Kt5 | 26 | QR-B $\dagger$ | R-QB5 |
| 6 | KtxKt | KtPxKt | 27 | B.K3 | K.Kt4 |
| 7 | B-Q3 | P-Q4 | 28 | Kt-R7ch | $\mathbf{K} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Q-K2ch | 29 | B-Kt6 | R-B6 |
| 9 | Q-K2 | QxQch | 30 | R-Kt1ch | R-Kt6 |
| 10 | $K \times Q$ | P $\times$ P | 31 | Kt-B6ch | K-R5 |
| 11 | Kt-Kt5 | K-Q1 | 32 | B-Q4 | R×R |
| 12 | R-Q1 | P-B3 | 33 | RxR | BxB |
| 13 | P-QB3 | R-K1ch | 34 | KtxB | R-R3 |
| 14 | K-B1 | B-B1 | 35 | R-R1ch | K.Kt5 |
| 15 | Kt-Q4 | K-B2 | 36 | K-K2 | B-Q2 |
| 16 | B-B4ch | K-Kt3 | 37 | Kt-B2ch | K-B6 |
| 17 | P-QR4 | P-QR4 | 38 | Kt-K3 | B-Kt4ch |
| 18 | P-QKt4 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 39 | K-K1 | P.Q5 |
| 19 | P-R5ch | K-Kt2 | 40 | R-B1ch | K-Q6 |
| 20 | PxP | Kt-K5 | 41 | R-Q1ch | Drawn |
| 21 | KtxP | P-Kt4 |  |  |  |

21 KtxP
P-Kt4

## R. Spielmann

P.K4


Manufacturers of Checkers, Backgammon, Cribbage and other Adult Games

Write for Catalogs and Price Lists
W. C. HORN, BRO. \& CO. 200 Fifth Ave.. New York

# PROBLEMS 

"The Poesy of Chess"
By Maxwell Bukofzer
Problems, Problem solutions, criticisms, comments and all matters pertaining to the Problem Department, should be sent directly to the Problem Editor at $106-22$ 215th Street, Bellaire, L. I., N. Y. For personal replies and the return of unsuitable contributions, inclose a stamped self-addressed envelope; otherwise replies will be made in the correspondence column.

## Explanations

The problem department of The Chess Review is limited to eight pages. When it occurs that articles which appear as complete units are longer than usual the unfortunate con-
 sequence is that some other feature has to be shortened. Generally the correspondence column is the one that must be abridged. As a result some inquiries remain un. answered. Since this condition is beyond my control I would suggest that you who fail to find a reply in the column kindly repeat your question.

Now as to private answers. Kindly realize that I have only the scant evening hours after supper for my work, also, that for months to come every minute is counted to take care of the obligatory work of writing copy for the dept.; compiling the score-lists, copying your comments, diagramming the problems, and a dozen other duties that brook no delay. Many times I hear the clock strike two before I retire. How then is it possible for me to comply with certain requests that would take hours to expedite? Analyzing, testing, looking up records of previous months, and similar tasks cannot be done. The fact that you sent a stamp does not alter the situation. Nor does it pay to return a $3 c$ stamp at the cost of 3 c . Remember that whatever modicum of experience and empiric knowledge I may possess is yours for the asking, but "time" I cannot give away. I need all I have, which is very little. Therefore, do not feel injured when I seem to "ignore" your letters or answer them much belatedly.

Another point: The broadcast. Some of you inquire what to do to bring in our program; others send letters of praise to me that make excellent reading but serve no purpose. If you value our program write to the Station (W.H.N., Broadway and 4Sth Street, New York, N. Y.) Tell the Program Director or Studio Manager what you are writing to me. Such letters may be used by the Station to convince the Broadcasting Commission that W. H. N. needs more power. Other letters, that laud our program, imbue the officials of the station with the conviction that our program IS worthwhile and wanted. When you keep silent you cannot expect that W. H. N. is going to rate highly a program that they do not understand. Why not make it a rule to report your reactions once a month, in a business-like manner? If our broadcast is desirable it is worth a little effort on your part.

Incidentally, our program has been shifted from 1:45 p. m. to 2 o'clock.
Listen in! Write to the Station! Do it NOW! TODAY!

Note: Please address letters intended for me with the correct address. Do NOT write: Problem Editor, Bellaire. I am not (yet?) a celebrity!
Problems were received, with sincere thanks, from: F. Sprenger (3); W. Patz; Dr. G. Dobbs (6), E. Krisch (2); Dr. P. G. Keeney; M. W. Patrick (3); T. C. Wenzl.

Four movers and Selfmates are running low. S. O. S!

The Honor Prize for the best February Problem goes to: H. C. MOWRY, Malden, Mass.

The Ladder Prize for February was won jointly by:

## DR. GILBERT DOBBS - L. TANASSY

Congratulations to all three brothers.
Prize winners that fail to receive their prizes within two weeks after the announcement are requested to write directly to the office of The Chess Review, 59-12 Woodside Ave., Woodside, N. Y.
Despite my urgent request to submit your "Masterpieces" I do not receive such material. If you really desire these write-ups you will have to demonstrate more interest; otherwise this new series will be discontinued. A series such as "Masterpieces" should not depend on the personal judgment of a problem editor. It should express the collective viewpoints of the readers.

The solutions to the problems appearing in this issue are due: May 10th, 1935.
I beg to acknowledge receipt of "Anrsskrift For Dansk Skak-Problem Klub-1934, a publication appearing at the end of each year and sent free to the members; membership entitles to participation in all tourneys arranged by the Club. Membership fee for foreigners is 5 Danish Kr. per year. If interested write to the Club Secretary, R. Prytz, Chr. g's, Gade 2, Copenhagen, $K$.

I also beg to acknowledge receipt of "Suomen SHAKKI", just appearing with the first number of its tenth year. Unfortunately, the text of even the problems is so completely Finnish that I can only guess at the moves. Too bad we cannot have an international chess language.

I have been requested to state $m y$ opinion on the prize winning problem each month. I would rather not do that, for half a dozen reasons. To me it seems quite right that the solvers should select the winner. It may not always be the "best" problem, but it surely must be meritorious to win the approval of many critics. All I request is that all of you will vote, and also mention second choice. I dislike to give the prize to any winner whose margin is one vote, sometimes a vote by an inexperienced beginner. When many vote justice is always done. So let's all vote hereafter, please!

## HOW TO CONSTRUCT A CHESS PROBLEM

By Maxwell Bukofzer

## (Continued)

Diametrically opposed to the block is the not so frequently met demonstration named clearance. Like interferences, clearances can be illustrated on rows, files and diagonals. Proper clearances are not simple manipulations and, hence, occur more often in the longer type of problems. There is an odd charm in the aspect of a piece-laden file being systematically cleared until a piece can either slide through the newly made avenue of approach or administer a long distance mate right from its original posture by means of the ultimate clearance. I feel that good clearance problems cannot be produced save by experienced masters of the craft.

The sole blemish I find in clearance problems is that their mates are more or less dirty. The more pieces disappear from the file on which they are parked, the less chance for economical mates. This is even true for the particular clearance employed in the Key-move, the socalled Bristol, in which a man moves clear across the board to make room for the checking or mating "successor". It is by no means impossible to attain model mates despite the Bristol Key. But it taxes the technical skill of even the expert to produce modelmates, especially natural ones; that is, such that are not doctored by the placing of pawns or pieces, the only purpose of which is to grant the Key-piece an opportunity of "protecting something" and, hence, participating in a mate that could be rendered more concisely without the additions made.

After all, the Bristol Key as well as all the rest of clearance maneuvers are strategical rather than artistic; and as the demand and appreciation of model mates is steadily growing, the consequence is that clearance theme problems and Bristol Keys are becoming RARAE AVES. Personally, while I have nothing against any style of problem, I do not mourn very deeply, because the clearance problem is becoming decadent.

When I stated that interferences are the most popular composing feats I may have said more than I can prove, fot there is at least one positional arrangement capable of challenging my assertion. This refers to the flight square. The flight squares are immensely popular, especially in the artistic type of problem. Like the crosscheck the flight square appears generous, but unlike the crosscheck the flight square is often generous. To allow the harassed King to travel away from danger is surely magnanimous. Of course, he usually lands in even worse predicaments during his excursions, but since we do not see the new perils at once we feel that we have ministered to the black monarch's woes by allowing him to move to new quarters.

The granting of flight squares is always an attractive sight. The socalled star pattern created by flights in all directions is a great favorite with a host of problemists and solvers. A measure of difficulty can be infused in flight-problems by allowing the King the choice of lateral and diagonal squares. A real difficulty is created by ceding to the King adjacent lateral and diagonal flight squares.

Flight squares assume a great importance in problems in which the white force is restricted to the minor pieces. Because the cruising power of the
queen and rooks is absent and because the bishops command only one-color squares, and the Knights are short-legged, a flight square in a minor piece problem is indeed a gift. It is not altogether simple to let the King escape in several directions and yet place the less powerful minor men in such a manner that they dominate the field, no matter in which direction they are called to follow. When you take in consideration that because minor piece problems are not often spectacular, they must recompense the solver with artistry and beauty and, hence, terminate in model mates, you will agree that I, who have specialized for years in "Bishops and Knights" tell the truth when I claim. that good flight square problems, especially those with only minor pieces, are difficult to construct.

Flight squares fulfill another mission of importance. They are instrumental in the creation of real mating nets. A fixed King may cause the birth of fine strategy, but upon careful inspection you discover that, in harmonious problems, all the mates are definite portions of the same net. That goes even for Changed Mate problems despite the appearance of a new net. Everything is set. It is merely a question of the size of the net and what particular end of it you pull in. In flight square problems of the better sort you do, however, find several nets, at least occasionally. That is the reason why many solvers find the B \& S problems harder to solve than the imposing examples of subtle strategy. I go even further than that. I say that in high class flight square problems, especially minor piece settings, there is often more hidden strategy than in stagey positions. The sole difference is that in flight square problems the strategy is not spectacular and lacks, often, the element of surprise so dear to the heart of the "mere" solver.

All the snappy strategical problems published for generations were unable to eliminate the demand and appreciation of flighters. That alone proves the merit of that type.
I want to shortly mention one peculiar and unusual kind of flight problem known as the King's Tour. In competitions, such as sponsored or brought into being by Alain C. White, some remarkable King's Tours were generated. Under the name of Durbars they were very popular in past periods. The ascendancy of new composing schools, that stress problems in which his sable majesty is tightly incarcerated, has diminished the Durbars during the past two decades. This is the more a pity, because the adolescent composing talent naturally fails to turn to the composition of a type that it is lead to consider antiquated. However, tempora mutantur. Even the King's Tours may come back.

## (To be continued)

## SOLUTIONS

No. 247. Carlos JImeno, Jr. (2m) Bd7
The P. E, gratefully acknowledges the dedication. The triple interference at e6 is interesting, but there is an obtrusive bishop.-Dr. Dobbs. Has some close tries. Selfblocks are appealing.-Patz. Not very difficult but fine.Larranaga. Black selfblocks are good.-Hill. Shut-off features are interesting.-Tracy. Good enough to get my vote.-Beers. Triple interference on e6.-Emery. There is no justification for the dedication-Genud. Exceptionally difficult, with many interferences.-Ratke. Full of interesting play.-Wenzl. Very pretty. My second choice.-Larsen. Very pretty and worthy of the dedication to our Editor.Stichka. Some nice blocking. Gave me most trouble of the 2ers.-Straus. A very pleasing problem.-Sanford. Interesting three piece Grimshaw with a well concealed Key and good tries.-Tangeman.
(Continued on Page 97)

## FAIRYLAND

The fare for this month is palatable. In order to stimulate the solving I offer 3 Twomovers and a Fourmover. Those of you that hang back because you are not willing to make mistakes, should come in this time. What of it, if you do fail at first? A goose egg is no Cain's mark! Come on and let us build up the Fairy Section.
F. 24-A neat 2 M helpmate by Jacob D. Neuss. Black moves first.
F. 25-A charming grasshopper problem by Vincent L. Eaton.
F. 26-A splendid little gift from our own Dr. Dobbs.
F. 27 -An excellent fairy minnie by M. Charosh that will delight you.
Remember that even for 2 M problems the full solution is required. Keys are not enough for fairies.

## Solutions to Fairy Problems

F. $16-1 \mathrm{Sb} 3$, PxS; 2 Bg 2 , Sf2mate. 1 ..., Pxge; 2 Be4; Sxe3mate. $1 . ., \mathrm{Kg6;} 2 \mathrm{Be} 7$, Sxe3mate. $1 .$. , Bany; 2 Sc1, PxS $=$ Qmate.
F. 17 -Cooked in 15 moves. Only Rothenberg found this cook, the nearest correct demonstration was shown in 16 moves by several solvers.
F. 18-1 Sf7, Qa8; 2 Sds, Qa1; 3 Rh3ch, BxR.
F. 19- 1 Ses, Sh3; 2 Kd3, Gf4; 3 Sf3, G.f2; 4 Sd2, Sf4mate.

## COMMENTS

F. 16: A royal welcome to the Fairy King.Krisch. The masking maneuvers are cute-Dr. Dobbs. Truly Dawsonian construction with a catchy try Sd 3 . If 1 Sd 3 , Bany; $2 S$ must mate and cannot go to C1.-Tangeman. Cute and unusual.-Genud.
F. 18: An easy one, but the mate is a pretty model.-Dr. Dobbs. A pure mate and simply ac-complished.-Tangeman. Simple.-Genud.
F. 19: Very pretty and puzzling helpmate.-Dr. Dobbs. A very neat eye-opener.-Krisch. Deserves a prize for its novel idea.-Genud.

## FAIRY LADDER

| Name | Score 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 Score |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. L. Rothenberg | 602 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 80 |
| Dr. G. Dobbs | 612 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 70 |
| J. B. Tangeman | 432 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 48 |
| E. F. Young | 45 | 0 | . |  | 45 |
| J. O. Hoy | 42 |  |  |  | 42 |
| Dr. Berliner | 390 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 42 |
| M. Morris | 390 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 42 |
| E. Krisch | 292 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 38 |
| G. Partos | 310 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 34 |
| P. Murphy | 30 | . | . | . | 30 |
| M. Leysens | 21 | . | . |  | 21 |
| N. Malzberg | 210 |  | . |  | 21 |
| S. Braverman | 19 |  |  |  | 19 |
| R. J. Ratke | 15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 |
| M. Wahby | 17 |  |  |  | 17 |
| I. Genud | 32 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 12 |
| L. Halpern | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| J. Meeker | 02 |  |  | 0 | 2 |
| M. Charosh | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |

F 24 (Original) JACOB D. NEUSS Woodbridge, N. J.


Black moves and help-selfmates in 2 moves

F 25 (Original)
VINCENT L. EATON
Cambridge, Mass.


Mate in 2 moves

F 26 (Original)

## DR, GILBERT DOBBS <br> Carrollton, Ga.



Mate in 2 moves

F 27 (Original)
MANNIS CHAROSH
Brooklyn, N. Y.


Helpmate in 4 moves

## (Continued from Page 95)

No. 248. Eugene McCarthy (2m) Bxd6
Cooked by SxPch.
No. 249. M. W. Patrick (2m) Kf3
A nice Key.-Dr. Dobbs. Quict Key. Easy but charm-ing.-Patz. Ingenious defense and close tries.-Vanwinkle. The check shows the Key.-Hill. Good example of King in corner idea.-Tracy. Very clever indeed. My second choice.-Beers. Our young high school friend makes his debut.-Szabo. Poor construction but a good Key.-Genud. The Key is both good and poor.-Ratke. The white majesty graciously allows Se6.-Wenzl. Best 2 mover in this issue. -Stichka. Not enough black defenses.-Straus. Pawn C2 gives away the Key and avoidance of imminent checks is bad.-Tangeman. Key is too obvious.-Korsgaard.

## No, 250. Enrique Morales (2m.) Se3

The Key, battery discoveries and pin mates are all good.Dr. Dobbs. Has some nice mates.-Patz. Second best.Larranaga. This is a masterpiece.-Vanwinkle. A fine problem. The pins are excellent.-Hill. Fine Key and play.Tracy, I like this fine.-Beers. Tantalizing.-Rothenberg. Excellent Key with nice pin mates.-Ratke. Splendid, Senor Morales!-Wenzl. Fairly neat sacrifice.-Stichka. Cleversaw something like this in N. Y. Post taken from Suomi. -Straus. A beautiful effort-Krisch. Excellent Key but the scant variety docs not justify the force.-Tangeman. Key is well hidden.-Korsgaard.

## No. 251. Bill Beers (2m) Qa8,

Good old-fashioned "Pussy wants a corner" play.-Dr. Dobbs. Key is obvious. Excellent problem. My second choice.-Patz. Original.-Larranaga. A long shot. Neat, -Vanwinkle. So old! So old!-Hill. Neat waiter.Tracy. A fine rendition of this well known theme. I place this second.-.-Cheney. Queen to all corners and a lot of different mates.-Emery. $A$ very subtle Key.-Patrick. Heavy position.--Rothenberg. The open file gives this one away-Genud. Gigantic long range waiter. Queen touches all four corners of the board.- Ratke. Bill turned out a fine long ranger here. Gets my vote.-Wenzl. A hard nut to crack.-Larsen. A complete Complete-Block.-Stichka. (?-Ed.) This gets my vote.-Hargreaves. Surprising number of mates. -Straus. Proves that Beers can compose good problems.-Krisch. A fine show piece even if it is old-fashioned.-Tangeman. Too much pawn obstruction. -Korsgaard.

## No. 252. H. C. Mowry (3m)

Ra2, d6; 2 Sd3ch, etc.
PxS: 2 Qc3ch, etc.
d4: 2 Qcsch, etc.
PxR; 2 Rxfi, etc.
This problem is not cooked by Rxf4.
1 Rxf4, PxR(a4): 2 Bxg4!, Pa3!!; 3 ?
An incomplete block brilliantly carried to a threat and complete block by successive Rook sacrifices. This problem pleases me much,-Dr. Dobbs. My preference, were I a solving voter, would be Mowry's Changed Mate waiter (No. 252.).-C. C. Lee. Too many threats.--Patz. By virtue of an excellent Key and play, deceptive setting and close tries this is the best of the month.- Tracy, Excellent variations. -Szabo. If this problem has a solution it gets my vote.-

Rothenberg. A truly bewitching problem. Congratulations to Mr. Mowry.-Krisch. A real puzzler with a quiet Key and subtle threat that nearly defied solution so far as I am concerned. A wonderful conception that makes me say "best". -Tangeman.

No. 253. H. C. Mowry (3m)
Rds, KxR : 2 Rfoch, etc.
$\ldots . \mathrm{KxP}: 2 \mathrm{Bd} 2 \mathrm{ch}$, etc.
BxB: 2 Bd3ch, etc.
QxB: 2 SdGch, etc.
PxS: 2 Rffch, etc.
BdA : 2 RxBch, etc.
QxPch: 2 RxOch, etc.
Bdoch: ${ }^{2}$ SxQch, etc.
Bf6: 2 SxBCh , etc.
No evidence of deforestation here.-Dr. Dobbs. Difficult problem. Nice variations. My first choice.-Patz. Fine three mover with artistic variations. My votc.-Larranaga. Mr. Mowry deserves great credit for this fine problem.Vanwinkle. Second place for this.-Tracy. Also very good. -Szabo. A very rich problem.-Rothenberg. A quiet Key, in spite of the sacrifice, and good construction. Best.Genud. Very difficult.-Ratke. The weirdest problem I ever solved.-Wenzl. A magnificent composition. Month's best without a question.-Krisch. Though the Key is not so subtle as the one in No. 252, the play is equally interesting. -Tangeman.

1 Sd3, Kc4ch. No . 254 . V. Rosado (3m)
1 Sd3, Kc4ch; 2 Sb2ch: etc.
$1 . \cdot \cdot \mathrm{Bb} 2$ : 2 Sf2, ctc.
Cooked by RxB.
No. 255. M. R. Canclo, Jr. (4m)
1 Sf1-d2, KxR: $2 \mathrm{~Kb} 3, \mathrm{P}$ any; $3 \mathrm{Sa3}$, etc.
A litile edse; $2 \mathrm{Sb3}$, any; 3 Sc 3 , etc.
A little gem which gets my vote, with Mowry's 252 a close second.-Dr. Dobls. Several close tries. I found this difficult.--Patz. Neat idea. Well worked out.-Tracy. This little miniature, despite its simplicity, I found difficult. My vote goes to this one.-Cheney. This is very good because of the freedom allowed to the King. An extremely pleasing problem.-Emery. Elementary after the sacrifice. but stifi second best.-Genud. Not much point to this one. -Ratke. Economy of this sort is very delectable.-Wenzl. Page Dr. Dobbs. This gets my vote.-Larsen. Shows mate of 2 Knights against King and pawn very nicely.-Stichka. Darn clever illustration of an old theme. Tries are a little "debblish".-Straus. Very pleasing "min".-Krisch. Construction economical but the solution is apparent.-Tangeman. A nice "minnie". -Korsgaard.

## No. 256. Franz Palatz ( 5 m )

1 Rc7. Rc4; 2 Rc8, Res (c3.c2, c1); 3 Rbs, Rbs (b3, b2, b1) ; 4 Captures R, P any; 5 Rbomate

Cooked in 2 moves by Bcs.

## No. 257. Lynn Davis ( $4 \mathrm{~m}-$ Sui)

${ }^{1}$ Re1, d4; 2 Kf1, PxP; 3 Re2, PxP; 4 Qg3ch, SxQmate. These single line suis are easy to make and this one is also easy to solve. $:-$ Dr. Dobbs. I prefer selfmates constructed along the line of this one.-Tanassy. Single Jine play but neatly donc.- Patz. Interesting sui.- Tracy. Easy to foresee the final position, and the method of bringing the pawn downstairs is also pleasing.-Emery. Single line selfmates usually do not take prizes.-Genud. A rare treat. Let's have more like this.-Ratke. This raises my esteem for suis--Wenzl. Not very difficult.-Stichka. Clever selfmate. My vote.-Straus, single liners seem to be the fash-ion.-Krisch. Nice timing but easy to solve.-Tangeman. Clever.-Korsgaard.

No. 258. Wllbur Vanwinkle ( $5 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{Sul}$ )
1 Rc8ch, Sdf; 2 Rf8, h4; 3 SxP, h3; 4 Qe1ch, Se2; 5 $\mathrm{Bg} 2, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Bmate}$.
Ditto here! While the mate is pretty the checking Key is unfortunate.-Dr. Dobbs. A beautiful change mate sui for which 1 vote.-Daly. Single line play with obvious key but excellent play.-Paty. This one also is good.-Tracy, Hard, good, exact and beautiful.--Sprenger. White pens himself in pretty,-Patrick. Easy to start-but what a finish !--Wenal. The Knight must be pinned and so gives away the Key; but the rest is not so easy.-Stichka. This would have gotten my vote but for the Key. Second best.-Straus. Key is the only drawback to this single liner. -Krisch. Clever construction and an unusual mate.-Tangeman. A very well hidden theme. The mate is hard to find. I enjoyed this immensely.-Korsgaard.

## CORRESPONDENCE

W. Patz: For books of any type address the office of The C. R. You will learn more about problems by regularly solving and by watching the comments of the masters than any book can teach you. The articles on problem construction may help a little also.
(Continued on Page 100)

271
(Original)
ALEXANDER KISH
Dannemora, N. Y.


Mate in 2 moves.

272
(Original)
G. MOTT.SMITH

New York City


Mate in 2 moves.

273
(Original)
WILLIAM PATZ
Irvington, N. J.


277
(Original)
C. S. KIPPING

Wednesbury, England


Mate in 3 moves.

278
(Original)
WALTER JACOBS New York City


Mate in 3 moves.

279
(Original)
PASCUAL SANTILLAN
Patzcuaro, Mexico


Mate in 3 moves.

CARLOS JीMENO, Jr. Mexico


Mate in 4 moves.

281
(Original)
MANNIS CHAROSH
Brooklyn, N. Y.


Selfmate in 3 moves.

282
(Original)
DR. GILBERT DOBBS Carrollton, Ga.


Selfmate in 7 moves.

## The Forsyth Notation

When chess problems are submitted for publication there is only one method that excludes subsequent errors and assures the author that his composition will be correctly presented-that is the stamped diagram. None of the many notations are safe.

However, for other purposes, such as dictating problems over the radio or confirming diagramed positions a checking notation is advantageous and desirable. The old-fashioned way of naming each piece and the square on which it is placed is cumbersome and unreliable, especially when performed under time pressure that invites clerical errors.

The so-called Forsyth Notation is one of the speediest and most dependable systems of recording positions known to chess players. It presents a graphic picture that mirrors the diagram and visualizes the respective pieces before your gaze.

It is simple, too. All there is to learn about it is that empty squares are expressed in numerals, white pieces in capital letters and black pieces in small letters.

You start at the left hand corner of the top, just as you do in reading a book.


The above diagram, with pieces strewn on it at random, is recorded in Forsyth as follows:
Top row: 2 ( $=2$ empty squares); $k$ (black king); 4 ( $=4$ empty squares) ; $S$ (white Kt). Condensed it reads: 2 k 4 S ; and we continue: $\mathrm{Sp} 2 ; 6 \mathrm{p} 1 ; 3 \mathrm{r} 4 ; 8$; 4 Q 2 ; 1K4B1; 3 S4.

Simple, isn't it? One line describes accurately and briefly the entire force. No need of figuring from both ends of the board, of stipulating "Kings" or "Queens", of naming each piece separately.
I do not claim that the Forsyth notation is the equal of the algebraical notation which is now almost universally accepted except in the English speaking countries. (Even in England it is now used by many experts). However, for quick checking or recording of positions the Forsyth is superior to any of the old-fashioned styles.

There are, I am told, technical reasons why The Chess Review does not employ the Forsyth underneath the diagramed positions, but this should not deter composers and solvers from adopting it for personal use. Try it out. It is my conviction that once you have used it, you will be unwilling to dispense with it.

## (Continued from Page 97)

J. De Jager: The postmaster in Cincinnati is a troublemaker. I bave written to him 3 times, informing him that my address is Bellaire, not Jamaica. Because Bellaire does not appear in his musty old regulation book, he insists on doctoring my address again and again. 1 am, now collecting material to send to Washington. That will take some of the fight out of the gentleman. All Cincinnati letters arrive from 2 to $S$ days late. Why not talk to that stubborn official in your home town? And talk PLANLY!
J. Stichka, Jr.: Even though you are a beginner your problems will appear. Only when I am convinced that a beginner does not improve as he continues, I do not accept future work. But the first half dozen problems are rarely high-class, and cannot be expected to be.
W. Jacobs: Your request to delete the described problem came just in time. It was already entered for this number. I replaced it with another one of yours. Have one more left. Please send a fresh supply.
M. Shaptro: You are evidently a humorist and I like your style of writing, despite the unpaid for phooeys you supply. But what you say about selfmates is the result of lack of experience, To know them means to try them first. Anent the "alias" I would say that I am always suspicious of folks who are afraid to give their names. Shapipio sounds good enough for me.
M. Meeker: Sorry, I have not got the time to write long letters of technical material. A selfmate is a problem in which White compels by proper play, the black pieces to mate the White King. A reffexmate is also a selfmate, made difficult by the rule that cither side muss mate, if a mate in ONE MOVE is possible. Watch ,these types of problems. You will soon acquire the "hang".
Wm. E. Bryant: To answer your requests ( a full dozen!!) would require about six hours of work on approximately 12 pages. Much as I like to assist the young solvers and composers, I am unable to do such elementary teaching through the mails. I haven't the time. Study the problems and comments. Little by little you will pick up all you wish to learn.
c. C. Lee: Thank you for your information. The Babson Sui 3et will appear in our Masterpiece Series very soon.
G. Plowman: Welcome to the family. Please don't write on both sides of the paper and kcep the solutions separate from your letter-topic.
M. Charosh: Don't take an occasional "flop" so hard. You are a gifted composer and will make your mark if you persevere. There is no such thing as $100 \%$ perfection. not even among the angels of heaven. Look at the fairy section in this number.
Dr. G. Dobbs: In case I cannot write before you see these lines: Your new piece is excellent; but keep it dark until you hear from me. There is a reason. Thanks for the problems, old friend.
G. R. Emery: Few men can write such charming, captivating letters as you. Every one I receive from you is a treat. I would love to have your picture, and I am powerfully glad to have you among the solving family. Hope you will stick for many years.
G. N. Cheney: The solution published in the C. R. is the one supplied by Mr. Partos. It was printed exactly as submitted. He reported a cook, but did not send it; hence it was omitted. Without committing myself I can only say that the problem was not so well received by the solvers, especially by the strong solvers. My opinion does not matter.
L. Tanassy: Please write on one side of the paper only.
M. W. Patrick: Thanks for the new problems. Please do not use "signs" on diagrams. If you have no stamp print the letters, using black and red ink. There is no doubt in my mind that you will develop into a fine problem artist. Just keep at it with determination.
A. Chess: Kindly submit your correct address for my files. I would be glad to have you send some candidates for the Masterpiece Series.

Steve Hujber, N. Goldstein: Welcome to the family.
Dr. P. G. Keeney: Thanks for the fine 2er. Am proud to print it. Your column is one of the finest I know. Hope we do not "lose touch" again, old comrade.
I. Genud: Rub it in, my friend. I deserve it. Even my excuse that I have no time to inspect my own work is not a fit alibi.
T. Wenzl: There was no "other sheet" with a solution for 252. You must have forgotten to enclose it. Thanks for the problem.

R．J．Ratke：I regret that I cannot agree with your arithmetic anent the decimation．Some rules may not please everybody but that I cannot help．Be a good sport and forget the matter．

L．Halpern：Please，please，please，do NOT write on both sides of the paper．The desired article on Selfmates will appear，though I cannot say just when．
W．Straus：See reply to Halpern．Problem MUST be diagrammed．

J．Stichka：Will you kindly put your name and address on your solution sheets．Had to find by the tedious elimina－ tion process that your solutions were the ones I could not place．

G．Tiesler：Decimation takes place only once a year． It causes trouble enough then to last for a year．Have sent some problems to Pfefferkorn c－o Dr．Dobbs．How about that picture，my friend？

## PROBLEM SOLVING LADDER

| Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { 劳苟 } \\ \text { 号 } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{\mathrm{~N}}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | 要号 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dobbs，Dr．G． | 13 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 170 |
| Tanassy，L． | 136 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 170 |
| Rothenberg P．L． | 132 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 165 |
| Tangeman，J．B． | 128 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 162 |
| Chess，A． | 117 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 150 |
| Riggin，C． | 120 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  | 146 |
| Hochberg Bros． | 110 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 143 |
| Daly，H．B． | 104 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 141 |
| Davis，L． | 107 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 140 |
| Krisch，E． | 100 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 134 |
| Wenzl，T．C． | 100 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 133 |
| Emery，G．R． | 107 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  | 130 |
| Patz，W． | 95 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 130 |
| Patrick，M．W． | 98 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 130 |
| Berliner，Dr．M． | 96 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 129 |
| Szabo，A． | 89 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 125 |
| Halpern， 1 | 95 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 124 |
| Ratke，R．J， | 96 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 122 |
| Vanwinkle， | 82 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 116 |
| Young，E．F． | 99 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 3 | ． | 2 | 4 |  | 116 |
| Hargreaves，G．W． | 91 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 113 |
| Braverman， S ． | 79 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 112 |
| Zatwarsky，C． | 74 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 108 |
| Genud，I． | 74 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 107 |
| Korsgaard，S | 72 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 103 |
| Foote，B，A． | 90 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 102 |
| Murphy，P． | 81 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 100 |
| Cheney，G．N． | 71 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | ． |  | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 90 |
| Malzberg， N ． | 61 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 87 |
| Morris，M． | 54 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 87 |
| Tiesler，G．P | 69 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 82 |
| Tracy，J．F． | 40 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 74 |
| Sanford，C．C． | 53 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 74 |
| Sprenger，F． | 33 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 67 |
| Larranaga， | 49 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 67 |
| Larsen，R．． | 43 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | ． | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 61 |
| Fittkan，C． | 30 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 54 |
| Shapiro，M． | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 43 |
| Stichka，J．． | 13 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 43 |
| Beers，Bill | 27 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 39 |
| Young，T．C | 15 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 35 |
| Straus，W． | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 35 |
| Hujber，S． | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 34 |
| Berry，G．F | 23 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | ． |  |  |  | 33 |
| Hill，F．A． | 19 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 3 | ， | ． |  |  | 32 |
| Meeker，J．M． | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 0 | 3 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 30 |
| Partos，G． | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 27 |
| Plowman，G． | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 24 |
| Goldstein， N ． | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 21 |
| Grote，F． | 4 |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |

Attention is called to the fact that though No． 253 won the honor prize with two votes over No．252，this problem would have won easily，had the vores，cast for it by those who sent a wrong solution，been counted．This proves that，only when many vote，justice is done；yet some that solved 252 correctly failed to vote at all．

