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By S. S. Cohen

## The Acid Test

To the average amateur the number of Fine's reverses in the International Team Tournament at Warsaw is probably astonishing-especially after his excellent performance in leading the field at Milwaukee, without the loss of a game. But this is surface reasoning. A more exact analysis will bare its fallacy.

At Milwaukee his only formidable rivals were A. W. Dake and I. Kashdan. All three refused to exert themselves against each other, being content to draw among themselves and slaughter the innocents. With a little aid from Lady Luck Fine was crowned King.

At Warsaw, however, Fine for the first time finds himself pitted against the strongest player of each country. These players are out for every possible point since they cannot count upon fattening their records against weaker opponents in succeeding rounds. Each game is hotly contested. No quarter is given or asked. And Fine is being given a liberal education in the gentle art of chess play. An education for which many a "near master" would gladly part with his eyeteeth.

It may well be that when the fires of Warsaw have burnt low the dying embers will reveal, molded in the crucible of international conflict:-a greatly improved Reuben Fine.

## The Old Order Changeth

We announce with regret the retirement of Maxwell Bukofzer as head of our Problem Department. Taking the helm in January, 1934, he conducted a really enjoyable department, gradually increasing his space from five pages to eight. Our sincere conviction that eight pages is sufficient resulted in the severance of relations. Problemdom owes him a vote of thanks for his efforts in its behalf.

The October issue will introduce our new Problem Editor, who we believe will conduct a most interesting and informative department. With his advent The Chess Review inaugurates several changes in policy:

1. The best original problem contributed and published will again be honored as the frontispiece, but a six months subscription to The Chess Review instead of a cash award will be given.
2. Fairy problems of exceptional merit will be presented from time to time together with outstanding orthodox prize problems selected from the world's leading composers-but their solution will not be part of our regular ladder. Fairyland as a separate section with its own ladder will be abandoned.
3. Articles by the world's leading problemists will be published. Thus instead of presenting one man's ideas our problem friends will be privileged to listen to many different ideas-and reach their own conclusions.

For the time being all correspondence regarding the Problem Deparment should be addressed: The Chess Review, 60-10 Roosevelt Avenue, Woodside, N. Y.

## News Events

## United States Team Victorious!

For the third consecutive time the United States has captured the blue ribbon of the chess world-the World Team Championship. Our boys got off to a shaky start but redeemed themselves nobly. A full account including cross tables of the play and a selection of interesting games will appear in our October issue.

## New York State Championship

For the first time Isaac Kashdan competed in the New York State Championship, held this year at Binghamton, and, as was generally expected when his entrance was announced, added that honor to his collection. Out of eight games played he won seven and drew one.
The final standing:

| Player | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. Kashdan | $71 / 2-1 / 2$ |
| D. Polland | $61 / 2-11 / 2$ |
| H. Lessing | 6 -2 |
| F. Reinfeld | $5-3$ |
| H. R. Bigelow | $4-4$ |
| T. Barron | 3 -5 |
| E. T. McCormick | $3-5$ |
| R. S. Goerlich | $1-7$ |
| R. Drummond | $0-8$ |

The New York State Chess Association also staged a Class A Tourney which was won by A. J. Souweine of New York, with a score of $51 / 2-11 / 2$.

Eugene E. Putnam of Binghamton was elected President of the New York State Chess Association for 1935 and it was decided to hold the 1936 Tourney in Poughkeepsie.

## B. C. F. International Congress

If the necessary funds can be raised England will be the scene of one of the strongest international master tournaments to be held in years.

The British Chess Federation is organizing a Grand International Congress to be held at Nottingham, August $10-28,1936$. Dr. A. Alekhine, the present World Champion, as well as J. R. Capablanca and Dr. E. Lasker, both former World Champions, have agreed to participate.

The cost of the Congress is estimated at £2200. Half of this sum has been guaranteed by the President of the Nottinghamshire Chess Ass'n. The B. C. F. is attempting to raise the remaining $£ 1100$.
Since a decision must be reached by October 19, 1935, every Association, Club or individual desiring to contribute something to make the event possible should write to Mr. Leonard P. Rees, St. Aubyns, Redhill, Surrey, England, before that date, stating the amount that may be expected from them.

## Central Pennsylvania Congress

The Central Pennsylvania Chess Association will hold its ninth annual congress at the Americus Hotel in Allentown, Pa., from August 31 to September 2. Entries are expected from Altoona, Harrisburg, Reading, Bethlehem, Ship. pensburg and Allentown.

## Cincinnati Defeats Charleston

The Cincinnati Chess Club scored a decisive victory over the Charleston C. C. (W. Va.) by the score of 10 to 1 . The match was held July 28, 1935, at the Hotel Hurth, Portsmouth, O., a point about half way between both cities. Landis Marks of Huntington, W. Va. acted as referee.

Chas. E. Kelley, President of the Marshall Chess Club, died at his home in Lake Mahopac, N. Y., last month. Mr. Kelley had not been well for the past few months.

He was an ardent chess amateur and contributed liberally to the upkeep of the game. Metropolitan chess has lost one of its outstanding bulwarks.

# The Championship of Hungary 

By Lajos Steiner

The past two months have been very active ones for Hungarian chess players.

A tournament was held to determine who would accompany Andreas Lilienthal and Lajos Steiner to Warsaw for the International Team Matches. The leading scores were: K. Havasi and A. Steiner, tied for first and second with $61 / 2$ points each; K. Korody and Dr. Vajda, tied for third and fourth with $51 / 2$ points each. P. Rethy with four consecutive wins to his credit withdrew from the tournament.

Then followed the annual tournament at Tata. For the first time this tournament was officially designated to be for the Hungarian Championship. A field of 18 competed for the title including 4 foreign stars: Prof. A. Becker and H. Muller of Austria, Sacconi of Italy and E. Znosko-Borowski of Russia.

The result witnessed the rise of a new star on the Hungarian chess horizon. L. Szabo, 18 years old and just out of school, won the tournament in good style. Two other youngsters, Gereben and Kluger, also showed signs of great talent. The leading scores:

| Player | Won | Lost | Drawn | Points | Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. Szabo | 10 | 1 | 6 | 13 | I |
| E. Gereben ... | 10 | 2 | s | $121 / 2$ | II \& III |
| Prof. A. Becker | 10 | 2 | 5 | 121/2 | II \& III |
| L. Steiner | 8 | 3 | 6 | 11 |  |
| K. Havasi | 7 | 3 | 7 | $101 / 2$ |  |
| Sacconi | 6 | 2 | 9 | $10^{1 / 2}$ |  |
| Kluger | 6 | 3 | 8 | 10 |  |
| H. Muller | 5 | 5 | 7 | $81 / 2$ |  |
| A. Steiner | 5 | 7 | 5 | $71 / 2$ |  |

At the conclusion of the tournament it was decided to ignore the results of the prior Team Selection Tournament and the following team was picked to represent Hungary at Warsaw; Lajos Steiner, Andreas Lilienthal, K. Havasi, L. Szabo and P. Rethy.

We are fortunate in being able to present the scores of two games played by the new Hungarian star and champion.

## Hungarian Championship July, 1935

QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED (Notes by L. Szabo)

| Prof. A. Becker | L. Szabo |
| :---: | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | P.Q4 |
| 2 Kt-KB3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 3 P.B4 | P-B3 |
| 4 Kt-B3 | PxP |


| 5 P-QR4 | B-B4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6 P-K3 | P-K3 |
| 7 B×P | B-QKt5 |
| 8 O-O | O-O |
| 9 Q-K2 | P-B4 |
| 10 R-Q1 | Q-K2 |

Up to this point both players adhered to the theoretically "best" line for the deployment of their forces. With his last move Black varies from the normal $10 \ldots$ Kt-B3!

11 P-R3?
H. Muller states this move is recommended by the Russian masters. Prof. Becker confessed after the game that he feared the continuations arising from 11 P-K4, B-Kts.
11... Kt-K5!

This hinders P-K4.

| 12 Kt QR2 | B-R4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 PxP ? |  |

White obligingly transposes into the bad variation: winning the pawn.
L. Szabo


Prof. A. Becker
13
Kt-QB3!
Recapturing the pawn with either the Knight or Queen is wrong. In the former case 14 P-QKt4 wins a piece. In the latter: 14 P-QKt4, BxP; 15 KtxB , QxKt; 16 B-R3! wins the exchange.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
14 & \text { Kt-Q4 } \\
15 & \text { KtxKt }
\end{array}
$$

Attempting to retain the pawn, but capturing the Bishop was better.

| 15 | RxRch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16 | QxR |
| 17 | P-QKt4 |

Not $17 \ldots$ BxKtP; 18 KtxB, QxP; 19 Q-Kt3, R-Kt1; 20 B-R3! permitting White to meet $20 \ldots$ P-QR4 with $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 6$ ! and $20 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-Q7 with 21 Q-B3, followed by $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$. 18 P-B3
This loses immediately but it is hard to find a defense.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
18 \\
19 & -\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2
\end{array} \quad \text { R-Q1 }
$$

If 19 Q-K1, B-Kt6; 20 Q-K2, B-B7ch; $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$, Q-R5; and wins easily.

| 19 P×Kt | Q-R5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 20 | BXK |
| 21 | $\ldots$ |

21 Q-KB2 would be met by $21 \ldots$. . B-R7ch; 22 K-B1, B-Kt6; 23 Q-K2, Q-B3ch; 24 K-Kt1, R-Q8ch and mate in two. Or 23 Q-Kt2, R-Q8ch; $24 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Q-R4 mate.
 exhausted a piece must fall.

## Mistakes of the Masters

By Lester W. Brand
Aachen, 1934
Heinrich


Carls
Black to Play and Win
The game: $1 \ldots \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{KtxKt}$ (now ... P-B5 is met by $3 \mathrm{KtxKt}, \mathrm{PxB}$; 4 KtxKtch) ; 3 BxKt and White soon won.

Black wins easily with 1 . . . P-B5. For instance: $2 \mathrm{KtxKt}, \mathrm{PxB} ; 3 \mathrm{KtxQ}, \mathrm{PxQch}$ and $4 \ldots \mathrm{KxKt}$.

Bucharest, 1934
Erdelyi


Balogh
White to Play and Win
The game: 1 PxPch, KxP; 2 Q-Kt4ch, K-R1. A draw should result, although White actually lost. After 2 BxKt, PxKt! 3 R-Kt1ch, K-B1; 4 Kt-Kt 6 ch , KxB , the game also seems even.

The winning move was $1 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ !
A.

| 1.... | KtxBP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 Kt -Q6ch | RxKt |
| 3 QxQ | PxKt |
| 4 R-B2 | QR-R3 |
| 5 PxP Wins |  |
| 1.... | R-Q4 |
| 2 QxKtPch | K-Q1 |
| 3 B-Kt6 Wins |  |

Threats of QxKt and KtxKP cannot be parried. Balogh annotated this game in an effort to prove that he missed a win. Even then, however, he did not discover that his fatal mistake was the "obvious" move of PxPch.

# EMPIRE CITY CHESS CLUB, Inc. 

## Isaac Kashdan Director

Open every afternoon and evening for CHESS and BRIDGE. Special lessons for beginners,

Dues $\$ 5.00$ Per Year

Continuous Tournaments

9 West 170th St., at Jerome Ave. BRONX, N, Y. TEL. JE 6.9555

## The Western Championship Tourney

The 36th annual meeting of the American Chess Federation (formerly known as the Western Chess Association), took place from July 21 to 31 at the Hotel Schroeder in Milwaukee, Wis. After the initial banquet at which the Hon. Daniel Hoan, Mayor of Milwaukee, welcomed the contestants, 12 of the 30 experts were seeded into three sections and the remainder distributed in these sections by lot.

In Section A the seeded players were R. Fine, W. A. Ruth, S. D. Factor and H. Morton. Fine and Ruth dominated the play. In spite of a crude oversight in his game with Towsen, which lost a piece on the 13 th move, Ruth was second-half a point behind Fine. Factor and Morton tied for third place. The Tourney Committee decided no play-offs would be held for ties and both therefore qualified for the Final Masters' Tourney. Towsen played some good chess but lost to too many of the leaders.

Section A-Preliminaries


## Western Champlonship Tourney <br> Preliminary Round <br> July, 1935

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| R. Fine White |  |  | A. N. Towsen Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P.Q4 | Kt.KB3 | 20 | QxP | P-QKt4 |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-K3 | 21 | Q-Q3 | Q-Kt4 |
| 3 | Kt -QB3 | P.Q4 | 22 | QR-K1 | P-Kt5 |
| 4 | B-Kt5 | B.K2 | 23 | Kt-R4 | P.B5 |
| 5 | P.K3 | P-KR3 | 24 | Q-R7ch | K-B2 |
| 6 | B.R4 | -0 | 25 | KtxB | KtxKt |
| 7 | Kt-B3 | P-QKt3? | 26 | P-KR4! | Q-B3 |
| 8 | PxP | PxP | 27 | BxP | QxB |
| 9 | B.Q3 | B.K3 | 28 | Q-Kt6ch | K.Kt1 |
| 10 | O-0 | P.B4 | 29 | R-K8 | Q-B3 |
| 11 | R-B1 | P.B5? | 30 | Q-R7ch | K-B2 |
| 12 | B-Kt1 | KKt-Q2 | 31 | RxRch | K×R |
| 13 | B-Kt3 | P-R3 | 32 | Q-R8ch | K-B2 |
| 14 | P-K4! | PxP | 33 | QxKt | R-K2 |
| 15 | BxP | R-R2 | 34 | P-Q6 | R-Kt2 |
| 16 | P-Q5! | QB-Kt5 | 35 | Q-QB8 | QxBP? |
| 17 | Q-Q4 | P.B4 | 36 | R-K1! | Q-Q4 |
| 18 | B-Kt1 | BxKt | 37 | Q-K8ch | Resigns |
| 19 | PxB | B-B4 |  |  |  |

A. N. Towsen

Black

## Western Champlonship Tournoy <br> Preliminary Round July, 1935 <br> GRUNFELD DEFENSE

| A. N. Towsen White |  |  | H. Morton Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P.Q4 | Kt-KB3 |  | KtxKt | PxKt |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-KKt3 | 15 | BxP | Kt-R4! |
| 3 | QKt-B3 | P-Q4 |  | Q-Q3 | BxB |
| 4 | P.K3 | B-Kt2 |  | QxB | P.B4 |
| 5 | Kt-B3 | 0.0 | 18 | Q-R4 | P-K5! |
|  | Q-Kt3 | P-B3 | 19 | Kt-Kt5 | P.KR3 |
| 7 | B.Q3 | P-Kt3 | 20 | P-B4 | PxKt |
| 8 | PxP | PxP | 21 | PxP | R-B1 |
| 9 | B-Q2 | B-Kt2 |  | B.B3 | Q.Q4 |
| 10 | R-QB1 | Kt-K5 | 23 | Q-B2 | P-B5! |
| 11 | R-82 | P-K3 | 24 | PxP | BxP |
| 12 | K-K2? | Kt-QB3 | 25 | Q-Kt3 | Q-Kt4ch |
| 13 | P.QR3 | P-K4! |  | Resigns |  |
| Western Champlonship Tourney |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preliminary Round July, 1935 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCOTCH GAMBIT |  |  |  |  |  |


| S. D. Factor White |  |  | R. Fine Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-K4 | P-K4 | 17 | Kt-KKt5 | B-Kt5 |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 18 | P-Kt4! | R-R2 |
| 3 | P.Q4 | PxP | 19 | KtxKt | KtxKt |
| 4 | B-QB4 | B.B4 | 20 | P-R5! | P.R3 |
| 5 | P-B3 | Kt-B3 | 21 | Kt-K4 | R-Q1 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | B.Kt5ch | 22 | P-B3 | B-34 |
| 7 | B-Q2 | BxBch | 23 | BxKt | $\mathbf{R \times B}$ |
| 8 | QKtxB | P-Q4! | 24 | PxP | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ |
| 9 | PxP | KKtxP | 25 | RxR | BxKt! |
| 10 | Q-Kt3 | QKt-K2 | 26 | PxB | $\mathbf{R x P}$ |
| 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27 | R-R7 | RxKP |
| 12 | KR-K1 | P.QB3 | 28 | P-Kt5! | R-QKt5 |
| 13 | Kt-K4 | Q-Kt3 | 29 | RxP | $\mathbf{R \times P}$ |
| 14 | QxQ | $P \times Q$ | 30 | R-Kt8ch | K-R2 |
| 15 | Kt-B3 | R-R4 | 31 | P.Kt7 | Drawn |
| 16 | P-QR4! | B-K3 |  |  |  |

The seeded players in Section B were I. Kashdan, A. E. Santasiere, J. H. Belson and B. F. Winkelman. Kashdan, as was expected, came through on top. Belson, the Canadian champion, was second despite a set-back at the hands of Kent. Santasiere was third though he had some rough going in his games with Holland and Belson. His win over Winkelman in a hard ending that went to 80 moves gave him his place. Winkelman put up a fine game against Kashdan but got into time difficulties and lost the ending. Two ties against Surgies and Rathman in positions that should have yielded more proved costly. Kent gave no indication of his real strength in the preliminaries.

## Section B-Preliminaries

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Championship Tourney Preliminary Round July, 1935 |  |  |  |  |  |
| QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. F. Winkelman White |  |  | I. Kashdan Black |  |  |
|  | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 22 | Q-B5 | B-Q1 |
|  | P-QB4 | P.QB3 | 23 | KtxRP? | Q×Q |
|  | Kt-KB3 | Kt -83 | 24 | PxP | BxKt |
|  | Kt-B3 | QKt-Q2 | 25 | PxKt | P-B3 |
|  | PxP | Pxp | 26 | Kt-Kt4 | P.K4 |
|  | Q-Kt3 | P.K3 | 27 | KtxKP?? | PxKt |
|  | B-B4 | P.QR3 | 28 | BxP | K-B2 |
|  | P.K3 | B-K2 | 29 | K-B2 | P-Kt3 |
| 9 | R-B1 | 0.0 | 30 | P-Kt4 | Kt-Q2 |
| 10 | B.Q3 | P.QKt4 | 31 | B-KB4 | Kt-B4 |
| 11 | P-KR3 | B.Kt2 | 32 | B-QKt5 | B-R3! |
| 12 | 0.0 | R-B1 | 33 | BxB | KtxB |
| 13 | P.QR4 | P.Kt5 | 34 | P-K4 | Pxp |
| 14 | Kt-QR2 | Q-R4 | 35 | PxP | Kt-B4 |
| 15 | RxR | R×R | 36 | K.K3 | KtxRP |
| 16 | R-B1 | RxRch | 37 | K-Q4 | KtxP |
| 17 | KtxR | Kt-K5 | 38 | B-Kt5 | K-K3 |
| 18 | Q-B2 | Q-Kt3 | 39 | K-B5 | P.Kt6 |
| 19 | Kt-K5 | Kt.81 | 40 | B-K3 | Kt-Q6ch |
| 20 | P-B3 | Kt.Q3 |  | Resigns |  |
|  | Kt-Kt3 | P-QR4 |  |  |  |

The Seeding Committee's judgment suffered its only setback in Section C. Those seeded were A. W. Dake, C. Elison, A. C. Simonson and G. Eastman. Dake led by a wide margin, playing sterling chess and giving every promise of topping the field. Chevalier suffered from an initial loss to Eastman, which the Bostonian duly protested upon technical grounds-but the protest was disallowed. Nevertheless, he finished in a triple tie for second with Elo and Simonson-all three qualifying. Elison lost an important half point by drawing with Koller and just missed qualifying. Eastman suffered several surprising losses among which was a fine win secured by Barron.

## Section C-Preliminaries



## Western Championship Tourney <br> Preliminary Round July, 1935 <br> RETI'S OPENING

| A. C. Simonson |  |  | A. W. Dake |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Black |  |  |
| 1 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-KB3 | 20 | Kt-Kt5ch | h K.B1 |
| 2 | P-KKt3 | P-QKt3 | 21 | Kt-K6ch | K-B2 |
| 3 | 3 P-Kt3 | B-Kt2 | 22 | BxB | KtxB |
| 4 | 4 B-QKt2 | P-KKt3 | 23 | KtxR | Kt-Q5! |
| 5 | B-Kt2 | B.Kt2 | 24 | B-Kt4 | Q-B1! |
|  | O-O | 0.0 | 25 | Kt.K6 Kt | $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Kt2}) \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| 7 | P.84 | P-B4 | 26 | P-K4 | P.KR4 |
| 8 | Kt-R3 | Kt -B3 | 27 | B.Q1 | Q-Q2 |
| 9 | 9 Kt -B2 | P-Q4 | 28 | P-B4 | P-R4 |
| 10 | PxP | QxP | 29 | Q.Kt2 | $\mathrm{Kt-Kt2}$ |
| 11 | Kt-K3 | Q-Q2 | 30 | Q-Kt2 K | $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Kt2})$ - $\mathrm{B}^{\text {4 }}$ |
| 12 | R-B1 | KR-Q1 | 31 | Q-KB2 | Kt.Kt2 |
| 13 | P-Q3 | QR-B1 | 32 | Q-KKt2 | Kt (Q5). $\mathrm{B}^{4}$ |
| 14 | Kt-B4 | Q.B2 | 33 | R-KB3 | Q-Q5ch |
| 15 | Q-B2 | Q.Kt1 | 34 | K-R1 | Kt-K6 |
| 16 | Q-Kt1 | Q-R1 | 35 | Q-K2 | Ktx ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| 17 | B-KR3 | R-B2 | 36 | RxKt | BxP |
| 18 | QKt-K5 | Kt-K1 |  | Resigns |  |
| 19 | KtxBP ! | KxKt |  |  |  |

In the Final Masters' Tourney, Fine played excellent chess but was aided materially by a few important "breaks". Against Elo he got into a lost position, but instead of a forthright win, the Milwaukee expert secured two pawns which, in a queen and pawn ending, he was unable to turn to advantage. Dake seemed satisfied to tie against the leaders and this told against him although he was playing in his best form. Further efforts to win seemed in orderespecially in his game against Kashdan. Kashdan got into a drawing spasm and won only against the three at the bottom of the list. Tournaments, unlike matches, cannot be won by drawing.

Chevalier played solid chess and should do even better in the future. Factor lost only to Fine. Simonson essayed a Muzio Gambit against Factor, and for twenty moves enjoyed the exhilaration of ostensible attack. The experiment proved costly and in the end White was merely a rook and bishop behind. His only other loss resulted from a Stonewall that was badly mismanaged-Fine won quickly. Santasiere played better chess than in the preliminaries but seemed unable to get into the form of which he is capable. Morton's game was uneven but indicated that on occasion he can give an excellent account of himself.

Belson was overcautious and won no games. But he drew six, and, had Dame Fortune been a little kinder, could have finished much higher. Elo's game is just a shade under the leaders, and shows lack of practice against the kind of opposition encountered in such a tourney.

There is little doubt that he has the "making" of a real master-and will be at home in any company. Ruth's score was the surprise of the tournament, especially after his showing in the preliminaries and his record of the past year. Actually he felt the strain of two games a day more than the younger experts-and, in fact, played some excellent chess. After a few early reverses, however, he lost that aggressiveness that is an important part of his game.

## Finals-Masters' Tournament



## Western Championship Tourney <br> Finals - Masters' Group <br> July, 1935 <br> QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| R. Fine |  |  | A. C. Simonson |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  | Black |  |  |
| 1 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 17 | Kt-B6ch | QxKt |
| 2 | P.QB4 | P-K3 | 18 | QxBch | K-B2 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | P.QB3 | 19 | QxKt | R-Q1 |
| 4 | P-K3 | Kt-Q2 | 20 | Q-Kt4 | B-Q3 |
| 5 | Kt -83 | B-Q3 | 21 | Q.Kt3ch | K-B1 |
| 6 | B-Q3 | P-KB4 | 22 | R-K6 | Q-B4 |
| 7 | PxP | BPxP | 23 | QR-K1 | Kt -Q4 |
|  | B-Q2 | P-QR3 | 24 | QxP | QR-Kt1 |
| 9 | 0.0 | Q-B3? | 25 | RxB!! | R×Q |
| 10 | R-K1 | B.Kt1? ? | 26 | RxRch | K-B2 |
| 11 | R-QB1 | Kt-K2 | 27 | Kt-K5ch | K-K2 |
| 12 | P-K4! | BPxP | 28 | RxKt | Q.B7 |
| 13 | BxP | PxB | 29 | B-B3 | R-B2 |
| 14 | KtxP | Q-B1 | 30 | Kt-B3ch | K-B2 |
| 15 | Q-Kt3! | P-R3 | 31 | R(Q5)-K5 | Q-R5 |
| 16 | QxKP | Kt-B4 | 32 | P-KR3 | Resigns |

Western Championship Tourney
Finals - Masters' Group
July, 1935
QUEEN'S PAWN OPENING

| H. Morton |  |  | A. E. Santasiere |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  | Black |  |  |
|  | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 13 | Kt-Kt3 | Kt-b3 |
| 2 | B-Kt5 | P.Q4 | 14 | B-Kt5 | B-Q2 |
| 3 | Kt -Q2 | P-B4 | 15 | BxKt | B×B |
| 4 | P-QB3 | PxP | 16 | Kt.K5 | B.Kt4? |
| 5 | PxP | Kt-B3 | 17 | Kt -Kt6 | Q-Q3 |
| 6 | P.K3 | P-K3 | 18 | KtxR | BxR |
| 7 | KKt-B3 | B-K2 | 19 | KtxP | BxP |
| 8 | B.Q3 | P-KR3 | 20 | Kt -B4! | B-K5 |
| 9 | B-R4 | 0.0 | 21 | P.B3 | P.KKt4 |
| 10 | 0.0 | Kt-Q2 | 22 | Kt-R3?? | P-Kt5! |
| 11 | BxB | QxB | 23 | PxB | PxKt |
| 12 | R.B1 | P-B4 | 24 | P-K5 | Q-K2! |


| 25 | Q-B3 | Kt-K5 | 33 | KtxKt |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |$\quad$ PxKt

In the Masters' Consolation Tourney, C. Elison and H. G. Kent tied for first with B. F. Winkelman half a point behind. Elison did not lose a single game-Kent made a fine comeback after his showing in the preliminaries-Winkelman played a high calibre of chess, his loss to Kraszewski being an effort to win quickly in a position that was easily a draw and possibly a slow win. Kraszewski played unevenly, not drawing a single game. He is young and shows promise of developing into a real player. Dahlstrom also is young and capable of fine chess. He dropped too many points from drifting into bad positions. Woods was steady and a hard man to beat. Surgies played against his doctor's orders. Towsen worked too hard at all times.

## Finals-Masters' Consolation

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & \text { Total }
\end{array}
$$

|  | C. Elison | $11 / 2$ | $11 / 2$ |  | 1/2 | 1 | 61/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H. G. Kent ..... 0 |  |  |  | 11 | 1 | $61 / 2$ |
|  | B. F. Winkelman. $1 / 2$ | 0 x | 0 | 11 | $1 / 2$ | 1 |  |
|  | C. Kraszewski ... 0 | 0 | $x$ | 01 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
|  | B. Dahlstrom ...1/2 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 21$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 41/2 |
|  | H. Woods | 00 | $11 / 2$ | $x 0$ | 1 | 1 | $41 / 2$ |
|  | M. Surgies ..... $1 / 2$ | $1 / 20$ | 00 | 1 | 1/2 1/2 | 1 | 4 |
|  | A. N. Towsen ...1/2 | $01 / 2$ | $11 / 2$ | $01 / 2$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 4 |
|  | K. D. Holland .. $1 / 2$ | 00 | 00 | $01 / 2$ | 1 x | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

In the Class A Tourney, S. Kreznar tan away with the first prize and F. Rathman, another Milwaukee player, took second. E. Nash of Madison, Wis., garnered third honors.

## Finals-Class A Tourney



A final banquet was held at which William J. Morgan, prominent Milwaukee attorney, acted as toastmaster and presented the prizes. The sum of $\$ 936.00$ distributed is a fitting commentary upon the efficiency of the leaders of the American Chess Federation. New officers were elected: Arpad A. Elo of Milwaukee, President; Kirk D. Holland of Chicago, VicePresident; Ernest Olfe of Milwaukee, SecretaryTreasurer.

# A Gallery of Grandmasters 

By Barnis F. Winkelman

# JOSE RAOUL CAPABLANCA 

## Part II

It is important to understand clearly the preeminence of the position held by Capablanca in the chess world for nearly 15 years. A recital of his successive victories-at New York in 1913 (two tournaments), 1915 and 1916, at Hastings in 1919, at London in 1922, with a score of 13 wins, no losses, 4 draws, and at Lake Hopatcong in 1926-hardly suggests the outstanding quality of his play throughout this period. The younger generation that models its game after the technique of the present champion will find it difficult to understand the conviction of many experts that the Cuban was, without doubt, and probably still is, the greatest master of chess the world has ever seen.

These views will not remain unchallenged. Yet they fall far short of the general chorus of adulation that mounted higher and higher, reaching its most fulsome note after his great triumph at New York in 1927.

Wrote one critic in an article that was reprinted in a leading chess publication:

> "J. R. Capablanca has in his recent suc. cess demonstrated more clearly than ever his superlative chess playing ability. He has a command of the board probably never equalled. To chess players the world over it must be obvious that human genius and capacity has not yet appeared to displace him. . For this reason it is doubtful that Capablanca with his present powers will be displaced."

In his review of that tournament William M. Russell wrote:
"But Capablanca was so superior to his opponents that he had only to work in the first and third quarters. He could afford to draw the rest of his games. THE CHAMPION NEVER WORKS HARDER THAN HE HAS "TO and always plays to the score . . ."
And in the London Times-a judgment reechoed the world over:

> "Senor Capablanca has clearly been the outstanding figure of the tournament, playing in a style that stamps him as the finest player of this age. He has defeated every one of his opponents in turn, and not one of them (in four individual games) has been able to secure a single win against him."

It is not our purpose to foster nor to become involved in the perennial controversy that attends the title of chess champion. Until the
time and place and conditions of the contest are taken out of the hands of the party most vitally concerned, we will continue to witness the delays, recriminations, . . . that have harassed the world of chess as far back as memory goes. Nevertheless, the extended analysis of the strength and skill of Capablanca which is contained in the book of the 1927 tourney, by Dr. Alekhine, and the contrasting preface which Dr. Benjamin M. Anderson has written for his latest volume,* give added import to the words of impartial analysts.

Shortly before the London Tournament in 1922, Philip W. Sergeant, an outstanding authority, had stated:

> "Since the triumph of Havana, and indeed before, the question has often been asked whether capablanca has brought something new into chess, or at least something which was latent in it before, but never fully revealed. Then again it has been asked, HAS that "something", been re. vealed, or is it still Capablanca's secret? We would rather look on Capablanca's success as being due to the relentlessly logical character of his play, avoiding unnecessary complexities, but going to the very depths of the position nevertheless. The beauty of his chess is its correctness, comparable to the style of a great fencer or that of a "CLASsIC" batsman at cricket. And, just as they do, he makes the game look easy."

Several facts are noteworthy in the career of the Cuban master, that culminated in his most signal success early in 1927, and his most crushing defeat, near the end of that year. They are, in fact, essential to an appreciation of his proper role as challenger, and of his chances in a title match.

In the swift march of events, it is easy to forget that Capablanca was without a peer both as a lightning expert and as a simultaneous performer. In the latter field he stands head and shoulders above all competitors. He plays swiftly, surely and correctly. At Cleveland he secured 102 wins, 1 draw against 103 opponents. Even before he obtained his chance for a match with Dr. Lasker, the experts who witnessed these seances, felt certain that the result would be in favor of the young challenger. Further the strength of Capablanca increased steadily. His games showed greater depth, higher strategic maneuvers, a more complete mastery of the endings.

[^1]His protracted efforts to secure a match with the titleholder must indeed have been irksome to him. The manifold hazards he had to overcome made victory more difficult. But the score of that contest tells an astonishing story. Lasker himself had gained his title by winning twice as many games as his opponent, and had retained it by dint of losing less. But at Havana be could not secure a single game. And the record of invincibility that Capablanca had hung up since 1914, remained unmarred through the rigors of a championship contest. Was in fact augmented by a later match with Kostich-who could not secure a single draw, though he was five times defeated.

The score of four wins, 8 draws, no losses, by which Capablanca succeeded to the title, is eloquent of the calibre of his play. Such unerring precision was unprecedented. Other champions could not escape an occasional laceration in the melee of pieces. Even in their best years, Steinitz and Lasker had been caught in unfamiliar lines, or had suffered decisive defeat at the hands of a Zukertort, a Pillsbury or a Rubinstein. But no such lapses marred the record of Capablanca. In America there was much gossip that spoke of him as a "chess machine", and in Europe, a colorful reference to "Capablanca fright", that seized the masters.

But most cogent in all their implications, were the games themselves-the successive masterpieces that formed the bases of his victories. They had no parallel in the efforts of his predecessors. To Lasker chess was (and remains) a contest, a personal encounter in which he frequently avoided the best variations, and sought to give battle on unfamiliar ground. "The winner of a game of chess," he is reported to have said, "is he who makes the last mistake but one." Hence the aim to complicate, the emphasis upon psychological factors* that gave rise to the term, "the greatest contestant," to describe his play.

Only in the games of Rubinstein do we find an emphasis upon the best moves and a unity that are the earmarks of the Cuban. But, whereas the latter was always the master of his material, the former occasionally floundered in the very depths of his own ideas. In these years Capablanca alone among the grandmasters seemed at all times to unite the tactical and strategic talents that were required for continued victories.

[^2]For this reason it is easy to remember his games. They stand out from the usual tournament battles. Each is a classic exposition of a single theme. His partie against Nimzowitsch at New York (1927) was adequately described by the London Press, as " a genuine Capablanca". But withal the startling fact emerges that within a few months after his grandest victory, Capablanca, still under 40 , and at the very zenith of his powers, lost his crown-a result so unexpected and startling as to mystify every expert and critic. Actually the press and the public, who follow ever after the fact, have failed to grasp the paradox of this upset-that a player of heroic stature, who had lost but three games in 13 years, (and, but a short time before had not lost a single game in 20, against his 5 leading competitors) should be six times defeated in a single match.

In Part III we will discuss the match at Buenos Aires, at which the title of champion passed from Capablanca.

London, 1922
CARO-KANN DEFENSE
H. E. Atkins White
J. R. Capablanca Black

|  | P.K4 | P-QB3 | 35 | R.QR1 | BxKt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-Q4 | P.Q4 | 36 | RxKt | B-Kt5 |
| 3 | P-K5 | B.B4 | 37 | R-Q1 | R-B5 |
| 4 | B.Q3 | BxB | 38 | R.QB1 | Kt.B3 |
| 5 | QxB | P-K3 | 39 | RxR | P×R |
| 6 | Kt-K2 | Q.Kt3 | 40 | Kt -Q2 | BxKt |
| 7 | O-O | Q-R3 | 41 | K×B | K-Q3 |
| 8 | Q-Q1 | P-QB4 | 42 | K-B3 | K-Q4 |
| 9 | P.QB3 | Kt-QB3 | 43 | R.R1 | P-Kt3 |
| 10 | Kt-Q2 | Pxp | 44 | P-B3 | R-QKt |
| 11 | PxP | Q-Q6 | 45 | R-R3 | P.QKt4 |
| 12 | Kt -QKt3 | QxQ | 46 | PxP | RxP |
| 13 | RxQ | KKt-K2 | 47 | B-B2 | Kt-Kt5 |
|  | B-Q2 | P-QR4 | 48 | P-QKt3 | PxP |
| 15 | QR-B1 | P-QKt3 | 49 | K×P K | Kt -B3, dis ch |
| 16 | P.QR4 | K-Q2 | 50 | K-B3 | R-Kt8 |
| 17 | Kt-B3 | Kt-R2 | 51 | R-R4 | R-B8 ch |
| 18 | K-B1 | KKt-B3 | 52 | K-Q2 | R-B5! |
| 19 | K-K2 | R-B1 | 53 | R-R1 | P.R5 |
| 20 | B.K1 | B.K2 | 54 | R-R3 | Kt-R2 |
| 21 | Kt-Kt1 | P-B4 | 55 | R-R1 | Kt-Kt4 |
| 22 | PxP, e. p. | BxP | 56 | R-QKt1 | K-B3 |
| 23 | B-B3 | Kt-Kt5 | 57 | K.Q3 | R-B6 ch |
| 24 | B-Q2 K | Kt(R2)-B3 | 58 | K-Q2 | R-Kt6 |
| 25 | B-K3 | Kt.R7 | 59 | R-B1ch | K-Kt2 |
| 26 | R-B2 | R-B2 | 60 | R-B2 | P.R6 |
| 27 | Kt-R3 | KR-QB1 | 61 | B-Kt3 | KtxP |
| 28 | R(B2).Q2 | Kt-R2 | 62 | R-B7ch | K-Kt3 |
| 29 | R-Q3 | Kt-Kt5 | 63 | R-B4 | K-Kt4 |
| 30 | R(Q3)-Q2 | 2 R.B3 | 64 | R-B8 | Kt-B3 |
| 31 | R-QKt1 | B-K2 | 65 | R-QR8 | R-Kt7 ch |
| 32 | R-QR1 | B.Q3 | 66 | K-K3 | RxP |
| 33 | P-R3 | R(B3)-B2 | 67 | B-82 | Kt-Kt5 |
| $34$ | R(R)-Q1 | Kt-R7 |  | Resigns |  |

## Game Studies

Played at Gyor June 8, 1932
CARO-KANN DEFENSE
(Notes by F. Cbalupetzky)

| F. Chalupetzky | Kallos |
| :---: | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | P-QB3 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-Q4 |
| 3 KP×P | P×P |
| 4 P×P | Q×P |

The better way to regain the pawn is $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$ KB3; 5 B-Ktsch, QKt-Q2!; 6 QKt-B3, P-QR3; 7 B$\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Ki}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; etc.

| 5 | QKt-B3 | Q-Q1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6 | B-B4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 7 | P-Q4 | P-KKt3? |

Black has no time for fianchettoing. A better development for him would be $7 \ldots$ P-K3; 8 Kt -B3, P-QR3 (or Kt-B3) which would give him a halfway playable game.

$$
8 \text { Q-Kt3 P-K3 }
$$

The hole created in Black's pawn structure by his last two moves is sufficient to lose, but the manner in which White takes advantage of Black's weakness in development is instructive.

| 9 | B-KKt5 | B-Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 10 | P-Q5 | PxP |
| 11 | O.O.O | 0.0 |
| 12 KtxP | QKt-Q2 |  |
| 13 | KKt-B3! | $\ldots$. |

13 Q.KB3 leads to nothing. The threat of 14 KtxKtch, BxKt; 15 RxKt failing because of $15 .$. . BxBch.

$$
13 \ldots \quad \text { Q-R4 }
$$

Black finds the pin very annoying. 13 . . P-KR3 would lose a pawn by 14 KtxKtch, BxKt; 15 BxRP . An attempt to free his Q side with $13 \ldots$ P-QR3; would be prevented by White with 14 P.QR4.

| 14 | B-Q2 | Q-Q1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | B-Kt4! | R-K1 |
| 16 | KR-K1! | $\ldots$ |

White brings his last idle piece into play whereas Black's $Q$ side is still locked in.

16 . . . R×R
White threatened 17 KtxKtch followed by BxPch, etc.

| $17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | KtxKt |
| :--- | ---: |
| $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | Q.B7ch! |
| $19 \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{B4} 4$ | $\ldots$. |

If $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ would protect everything, e. g., 20 R-K7, B-B4ch; etc.
19
20 BXQ
QxQch
Kt.B1

If instead $20 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$. B 3 ; $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$ etc.

| 21 R-K8 | B-R3ch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 22 K-Q1 | P-R3 |
| 23 K-K1! | $\ldots .$. |

To be able to play Kt-Ks.

| 23 | P-R4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24 | B.Q. |
| 25 | P-Kt3 |
| Kt-K5 | $\ldots$. |

White could win the exchange here by: $25 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QKt} 2 ; 26 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{BxB}$ but prefers the text as a more elegant continuation.

F. Chalupetzky
$31 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ !!
White forces a mate in splendid fashion.

| 31 | K $\times$ R |
| :---: | :---: |
| 32 B-K5ch | K-R3 |
| 33 Kt -B7ch | K.R4 |
| 34 B-K2ch | K.R5 |

Interposing the Black Bishop only prolongs the mating process by one move.
35 B.Kt3ch
K-R6
$36 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ mate

Such endings are rare. Translated from the Wliener Schach-Zeitung,-S. S. C.

> Western Championship Tourney Preliminary Round July, 1935 BENONI COUNTER GAMBIT (Notes by S. S. Coben) $\begin{array}{ll}\text { J. H. Belson } & \text { A. E. Santasiere } \\ \text { White } & \text { Black } \\ \text { 1 P.Q4 } & \text { P-QB4 }\end{array}$

This constitutes the Benoni Counter Gambit experimented with extensively in the Alekhine-Bogolubow 1934 World Championship Match.

$$
2 \text { P.Q5 }
$$

The best reply as it cramps Black's game.
2 .. P-K4
Tempting 3 PxP e. p., BPxP!; and after . . . P-Q4 Black will have control of the center.

| P.QB4 | P.Q3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 Kt-QB3 | P-KB4 |
| 5 P-K4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 6 B-Q3 |  |

Forcing Black to commit himself to a weakening of his K side by $6 \ldots$ PxP which would eventually necessitate . . . P-KKt3 with a weak pawn forma-tion-or the actual text move which relieves the center of all counter pressure.

| 6 . . . | P-B5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $7 \mathrm{Kt-B3}$ | B-Kt5 |
| 8 B-K2 | B-K2 |



QKt-Q2
BxKt
Better than retreating to R4 as 11 Kt -KKts would prove embarrassing.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} & \text { Kt-KB1 } \\
12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2 & \text { P-KKt4? }
\end{array}
$$

Black wishes to develop the QKt via KKt3 and at the same time maintain the pawn center which would otherwise be broken up by P-KKt3! It involves, however, a further weakening of the K side which White by the clever sacrifice of a pawn promptly exploits.
A. E. Santasiere

J. H. Belson

13 P.KR4!
A very fine move involving the sacrifice of the $K$ pawn in order to expose the Black King.
$13 \ldots$
14 RxP
15 B.R5ch
PxP
KtxKP
K-Q2

Of course not $15 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; 16 BxKtch , etc. $\begin{array}{llr}16 \text { Q.Kt4ch K.B2 } \\ 17 \text { R-R3 } & \text { KtxKt }\end{array}$
$17 \ldots$ Kt-B3 followed by . . . KtxB would seem preferable. The King Bishop by eventually dominating the diagonal KR3-QB8 exerts a tremendous power.

| 18 | BXKt | Kt-Q2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 19 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$ | Kt |
| 20 | Q-B3 |  |
| 21 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | Q-KB1 |
|  |  | P-KR4 |

It is difficult for Black to formulate any workable plan of development. Although a pawn ahead White has such an overwhelming superiority in position that the win is only a matter of time.

```
22 B-R5ch 23 Q-R3!
```

P-Kt3
The prelude to some real fireworks! Of course the Bishop cannot be captured: 23 . . PxB; 24 QxPch, K any; 25 R-QKt3mate.
24 P-QḰt4 $\quad$ Q-K1

The opening of the $Q$ side must prove decisive.

$$
25 \dot{Q \times P} \quad \mathrm{PxP}
$$

White is determined to give up the $Q$ Bishop. Black rightfully looks with suspicion upon such generosity from his adversary.

$$
25 \text { R-Kt1 }
$$

Threatening BxPch.
26
R-R3
Kt-K5
R-QKt1
Bringing the last piece into the fray on the Q side.

## 27 .... <br> Kt-B4

A. E. Santasiere

J. H. Belson

Not $28{ }^{28}$. . BxPch R $\mathrm{Rx} ; 29 \mathrm{RxPch}$ and mate in two.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
29 \text { R-R7ch } & \text { K-Q1 } \\
30 \text { QxPch!! }
\end{array}
$$

Piling Pelion upon Ossa, White gives up his queen and-is perfectly justified.


An exceedingly well executed series of sacrifices by the Canadian Champion. In the opinion of the annotator the most brilliant game played in the Western Championship Tourney,

## Played by Correspondence, 1934 <br> QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED <br> (Notes by Dr. Ramon Rey-Ardid)

| Dr. R. Rey-Ardid <br> (Zaragossa) | Niels Johansson <br> (Stockholnn) |
| :---: | :---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| 3 Kt.QB3 | P-QB4 |
| 4 P×QP | KPxP |
| 5 Kt-B3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 6 P-KKt3 | P.B5 |

The Swedish Defense to the Queen's Gambit introduced by Stahlberg and Stoltz, the stars of the present Swedish team which is leading the field at Warsaw, on the occasion of the previous International Team Tournament at Folkestone, 1933. It leads to exceedingly interesting play. For analysis see The Chess Review, July, 1934.

## 7 P-K4!

The usual continuation up to now has been: 7 B Kt2 which permits Black to parry with: 7 . . . BQKts followed by $8 \ldots$ KKt-K2.

$$
7 \cdots \quad \text { P×P }
$$

Had Black instead played $7 \ldots$ B-QKts; Dr. Ardid intended to continue with $8 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{QxP} ; 9 \mathrm{~B}$ $\mathrm{K}+2$ followed by $10 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ and the action of White's KB along the major diagonal is very powerful.

$$
8 \text {. . . . }
$$

Better than 8 . $\quad$ KtxP; $9 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{Kt-K3} ;{ }^{10} \mathrm{~B}-$ (Kts) $\times \mathrm{KP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{KB4}$; $10 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{QxP} ; 11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3!$ !, BxKt ; 12 BxPch, K-Q1; 13 B-K3, Q-K4; 14 O-O-Och, etc. 9 B-KB4!
9 B.K3 would be incorrect because of $9 .$. . Qx Qch; $10 \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{Kt}$-K4! with advantage to Black.

9
P-KR3
Other possibilities were:
I. 9 . . . B-QKts; 10 QxQ, KtxQ; 11 O-O-O!
II. $9 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB4} ; 10 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{BxQ}$; $11 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3$; 12 B-Q6!
III. 9 . . QxQch; $10 \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{KKts}$; 11 BxP !, $\mathrm{BxR} ; 12 \mathrm{BxPch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 13 \mathrm{KxB}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3 ; 14 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ ! 10 KKtxKP

QxQch
If instead $10 \ldots$ B-K3; 11 Q-R4, B-K2; 12 B$\mathrm{Kt} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 13 \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{O}$ followed by $14 \mathrm{KR} \cdot \mathrm{Q1}$ and White although a pawn behind has the better game. 11 RXQ
B.K3
11... B-KKt5; 12 B-K2, BxB; 13 KxB would still leave White with the better position.

| 12 | Kt.QKt5! | B-Kt5ch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | K-K2! | R-Q1 |
| 14 | Kt(K4)-Q6ch | K-K2 |
| 5 | B-Kt2 |  |

15 KtxKtP would be a grave error because of 15 B-KKtSch; 16 P-B3, BxPch!, etc.

| 15 | Kt-B3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16 KtxKtP | B-Kt5ch |
| 17 P-B3 | R×R |
| 18 R×R | B-KB4 |
| 19 P-QR3 | B-Q6ch |
| 20 K-B2 | $\ldots .$. |

N. Johansson


Dr. R. Rey-Ardid
20
P-KKt4!
Black stakes all on counter attack. Retreating the Bishop to QR4 gives White complete control of the board and permits the following problem like mating attack: 20 . . . B-R4; $21 \mathrm{KtxB}, \mathrm{KtxKt}$; 22 R-K1ch, K-Q2; 23 B-R3ch, K-B3; 24 KıxPch, K-B4; 25 RK5ch, Kt-Q4; 26 B-K3ch, K-Q3; 27 Kt -Ktsch! KB3 (of course not $27 \ldots \mathrm{KxR} ; 28 \mathrm{~B}$-Q4mate) ; 28 B-Q7ch!, KxB; 29 RxKtch, K-B3; 30 R-Q6ch!!, Kx Kt (30 . . K-Kt2; 31 R-Kt6ch, K-B1; 32 R-R6! wins) ; 31 R-KtGch, K-R5; 32 R-Kt4mate.

$$
21 \text { B-K3 R-QKt1 }
$$

The best! If $21 \ldots$ B-R4; 22 B-B5ch, K-Q2!; 23 KtxB, KtxKt; 24 B-Q4, K-K3; 25 P-KKt4!, etc. Or 21 . . B-QB7; 22 R-QB1, R-QKt1; 23 RxB, RxKt; 24 Kt -Q4, KtxKr; 25 BxKt , B-Q3; $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ ch! wins a piece.
22 PxB
RxKt
23 B-B5Ch
K.Q1

Interesting play results from $23 \ldots \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{Q} 2$, e. g.: 24 P-Kt3!, RxKt; 25 PxP, etc. Or 23 . . K-K3; 24 R-K1ch, K-Q4; 25 Kt-B3mare.

24 P-B4!
Opening up the lines!

| 24 |  | RxKt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | BxKt | R-Kt1 |
| 26 | P.QB5 | K-B2 |
| 27 | Bxp | R-Q1 |
| 28 | PxP | PxP |
| 29 | B-B3 | K.B1 |
| 30 | R-K1 | P-Kt5 |
| 31 | B-86 | R-Q3 |
| 32 | R-K7 | B-Kt3 |
| 33 | B.Kt6 | R-Q7ch |
|  | K-K1 | R-Q3 |

If $34 \ldots$ RxKtP; 35 R-R7 wins.
35 R-R7
Resigns
An interesting game from both the theoretical and practical point of view. Translated from Skakbladet. -S. S. C.

## Helsingfors Tourney <br> March 31, 1935

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE
(Notes by S. S. Coben)
G. Loven

White
1 P-Q4
2 P-QB4
3 P-KKt3
4 B-Kt2
5 PxP
6 Kt .QB3
7 P-K3
R. Spielmann Black
Kt-KB3
P-KKt3
P-B3
P.Q4

PxP
Kt -B3

At this point the development of the King Knight followed by the Queen Bishop would appear to be preferable. The text shuts in the Queen Bishop and its future development presents quite a problem.

## 8 Q-K+3 <br> B.Kt2

White is not yet sufficiently developed to attempt aggressive maneouvres with the Queen.

| 8 Q.-̈t | QKt-R4! |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9 Q-Kt5 | B-Q2 |
| 10 Q-Q3 | O-0! |

Spielmann sizes up the position with the intuitive sense of a Grand Master. White may gain a pawn but in so doing must give up one of his developed pieces leaving himself with only his King Bishop developed. The White Queen at Q3 cannot be considered developed. It is subject to attack by Black's minor pieces and would be much safer at its original Queen square. With this and his succeeding sacrifice Spielmann demonstrates once again why he is supremely qualified to be the author of his new book "Richtig Opfern" (Correctly Sacrificing).
11 KtxP
KtxKt
$12 \mathrm{BxKt} \quad \mathrm{Kt}$-B3!

Black now threatens Q-R4ch, and B-B4.
13 B-Kt2
14 Q-Kt5
B-B4

If instead 14 P-K4, QxP; $15 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{KtxQ}$; etc. 14 4 . . . .

BxP!!
Opening up the lines! If is PxB, KtxP followed by $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, etc.

15 QxP
B-Kt3!

The point of the previous moves. White cannot capture the Knight-with either Queen or Bishop. E. G.: 16 QxKt , B-R4ch; $17 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Q-Q6ch; $18 \mathrm{~K}-$ B3, B-Ktsch!; $19 \mathrm{KxB}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 20 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{Q}$-R4mate. Or 16 BxKt , R-Kt1; 17 Q-R6, B-Q6; 18 Q-R3, BR4ch; $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{BxBch} ; 20 \mathrm{KxB}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins.

## R. Spielmann



If $17 \mathrm{BxKt}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R8}$ ? Q-Q6; $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 8$, BKs ; etc. Or $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6, \mathrm{RxB}$ with a strong grip on the position.

$$
17 \text {. . . . }
$$

B-Q6!
Taking away the Queen's fight square at R3.


R-B2
19 0.0-0
...
This is the equivalent of resigning. A line that would hold out longer would be 19 Q-R8, Q-Q3; 20 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 8, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 21$ Q-K.t2, RxB but Black's position is so superior it is only a matter of time.

| 19 | $\mathbf{R \times Q}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 BxR | Q-B2 |
| 21 B-Q5 | B-85 |
| 22 Kt -B3 | BxB |
| 23 RxB | Q-Kt2 |
| Resigns |  |

## THE CHESS REVIEW CHESSMEN

| No. | Size | Price |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 4/L | $31 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ | $\$ 5.00$ |
| 5/L | $31 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 5.50 |
| 6/L | $33 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ | 6.00 |
| $7 / \mathrm{L}$ | $4^{\prime \prime}$ | 7.50 |
| 8/L | $41 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ | 9.00 |

Postpaid. Other prices upon request.
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CHESS GAMES - CHESS EQUIPMENT

60-10 Roosevelt Ave. Woodside, New York

# End Game Studies 

By Barnie F. Winkelman

In a recent game, given in the March issue of The Chess Review, the following position was arrived at:

Black


Accually the game was drawn by three-fold repetition of position, but subsequent analysis indicated a beautiful win for White. Readers are requested to send the analysis of this ending to The Chess Review.

That such endings should be included in the repertoire of every master seems obvious. Yet the fine lines of distinction that must be drawn in analagous positions will seem clear from an examination of several endings given by Berger.

In the first, which dates back to Del Rio, White merely draws.

Black


## Hans Kmoch

I was born in Vienna in 1894. My father taught me the game of chess as a small child, though he himself was a weak player. As a twelve-year-old youngster it was my hobby to buy cheap second hand books. Whenever I had saved up a crown, I bought myself a few. Such books were of course unusually cheap. Such a small assortment as one might purchase for a crown was simply put together according to weight and were sometimes torn and incomplete.

In one of these collections I once found an incomplete copy of Dufresne's "Small Handbook of Chess." The entire introduction including the rules of chess, was missing. From the diagrams alone I discovered that it was a chess book. I was very much interested in the book, though having no knowledge of chess notation. My father also could give me no information about these mysterious signs. I tried to interpret them myself and finally succeeded after painstaking labor. Only the symbols O-O and O.O-O confounded me for a long period of time. I was very happy when at last all the secrets of the Queen's Gambit were revealed to me. In my opinion, this secret revealed that White after 1 P-Q4, P-Q4; 2 P-QB4, PxP could recover the Pawn which he seemed to have sacrificed without reason by playing 3 Q R4ch!!! But the King's Gambit presented an unfathomable mystery.

By studying the little book, however, my playing strength was considerably increased. Up to that time I had played with my father for years but had won only on rare occasions, by reason of rank oversights. But by the time I was 13 years old, I could, on account of my theoretical superiority, give my father Queen odds. This naturally grieved the old gentleman and we broke off all chess amenities between us.

When I was 14 , I entered a chess club which happened to be near my home. I was placed in the second class, in which I won all my games and was immediately promoted to the first class. In the club championship tournament I won fourth prize, and several months later I became the club champion. The club handed me my prize, a tournament book, but at the same time communicated to me a sad fact. It seemed that the club had certain political inclinations and could only allow those to remain members who were affiliated with the same party. The ultimatum was presented to me-either to join the party or resign from the
club. Of course I understood nothing of politics at the time, but on principle refused to be terrorized and decided therefore, with heavy heart, to leave the club.

This matter had very serious consequences in my life. I could not afford to join the exclusive city club and was forced to remain cut off from all chess activity. Since studying chess books did not give me sufficient satisfaction, and I derived no pleasure from mere coffee house games, I gave the game of chess up willy-nilly. That was when I was about 15 years old. For fully ten years, until I was about 25 , I played no more chess.

After the war, I happened one day into the Cafe Central, the most famous chess cafe in Vienna. I was invited to play. I played and won-played again and won-and it was not long before the old passion for the game was reawakened within me.

I joined a chess club and was invited to a Haupturnier of the Austrian Chess Association. There I earned the title of Master. This gave me secret amusement for I had an entirely different conception of what it meant to be a master! In 1922 I was allowed to participate in the International Masters Tournament of Vienna. The result for me was terrible-for I finished last. The handicap of ten years of inactivity was too big. Those ten years could never be recalled.

The idea of a professional chess career had never occurred to me up to that time. My ambition was to become a painter. Because this was impossible on account of the expense, I became an official in the Vienna City Hall. On the side, I busied myself with occasional writing and journalistic effort. I had success in this and in 1923 I gave up my steady position to become a free lance writer. Unfortunately, the inflation began in Germany at this time, which swept the ground from underneath me, for I had relied chiefly on my income from Germany.

It therefore became necessary to find some other means of livelihood and so I turned to chess. In this too, however, I soon turned to the writing and journalistic side. In 1925 in Baden Baden, where I was present as a reporter, by chance I became the special chess correspondent for the United Press of America, and have held this position to the present time. For some time past, this has had only a theoretical value for there were no chess activities about which to report.

Towards the close of 1925 an International Chess Tournament was held in Debrecen, Hungary, to which I was invited as a substitute. To the general surprise, but more particularly to my own, I won first prize. Since that time I have won prizes in many tournaments, though in many more I have failed to place. Most of my failures can be accounted for by an incredible nervousness on account of time pressure. Because of this, I have lost many completely won games. A most striking example was in San Remo. There, although I failed to place, a little more cold-bloodedness would have earned me a high place. Remarkably enough this nervousness comes upon me only when I am in time pressure-for generally I am quite calm.

In the course of time I have written several chess books: "The Art of the Defense", "Berlin Toumament Book of 1926", "Supplement to Bilguer's Revision of the Handbook", and the "Bled Tournament Book" with complete analysis. I also collaborated in the writing of several books, for example the "Tournament Book of Kecskemet 1927" to which I made the principal contribution. My latest contribution to chess literature is a collection of 100 games of Akiba Rubinstein under the title of "Rubinstein Gewinnt."

On account of the depression I was unable to find a publisher for the Russian periodical "Schach Matny Listok." The work had to appear in Russian, unfortunately. I have not seen a cent in royalties since the Russian Government allows no money which is earned in this manner to be taken from the country. My honorarium (more than $\$ 1,000$ ), was deposited for me in a Russian State Bank and will probably remain there for all eternity. How hard it is to be a writer on chess!
(Translated by James R. Newman)

## Kecskemet, 1927

BIRD'S OPENING
(Notes by Dr. Max Euwe)
A. Brinckmann
H. Kmoch
White
Black
1 P.KB4
P-Q4
2 P-K3
Kt-KB3
3 Kt -KB3
B-Kt5!

Quite right. Black rids himself of the Kt , which usually plays an important role in the control of K5.

| 4 | P-KR3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | QxB |
| 6 | P-Q4 |

BxKt
QKt-Q2
Else Black obtains a promising game with P-K4.
${ }_{7}^{6} \underset{\mathrm{~B}}{6}-\mathrm{Q}{ }^{-}$
Kt-K5
P.KB4

Now we have arrived at a kind of Stonewall position favorable to Black because of his powerfully posted Kt.

| 8 | O-O | P-K3 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 9 | P-B4 | P-B3 |
| 10 | B-Q2 | B-Q3 |
| 11 | P-B5 | .-.. |

Since Black has good attacking chances on the $K$ side ( $\quad .$, P-KKt4), White plays for a demonstration on the other wing.
$11 \because \because \cdot \mathrm{Q}+4$
B-B2
12 P-QKt4
Q-B3

White carries out the capture at a moment when his opponent cannot very well recapture with the BP. For then the eventual . . . P-KKtí would open the KB file for White.

| $13 \ldots$ | QPxKt |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14 Q-K2 | P.KR4 |
| ps premature. |  |
| 15 B-B3 | Q-K2 |
| 16 P-Kt5 | Kt-B3 |
| 17 PxP | PxP |
| 18 P-KR4 |  |

Preventing a further advance for the time being; Q-R6 would be answered by . . . K-Q2.

| 18 | O.i. | Kt-Kt5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 19 | K-B2 |  |
| 20 | Kt.Q2 | P-Kt41 |

Not only sacrificing a Pawn, but the exchange as well-all in order to break up White's K side.

| 21 | P-Q5 | BPXP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 22 | BxR | R×B |
| 23 | RP×P | P-R5! |

Black has ample compensation in the opening of the KR file.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
24 \text { KR-B1 } & \text { PxP } \\
25 \text { Kt-B1 } & \ldots .
\end{array}
$$

Intending to regain the temporarily offered Pawn in the most advantageous manner. Strangely enough the plan does not work out satisfactorily, as Kmoch discovers surprising ways of exploiting the weaknesses in White's position.
25... P-Q5!

The beginning of a plan to dispose of White's two important defensive Pawns (the first to fall was the KtP).

26 Q-QKt2 K-Kt3!
Making room for . . . Q-R2. If now 27 QxP, Q-R2! wins.

27 QR-Kt1
Or $27 \mathrm{KtxP}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6$ and wins. $27 . .$.
P.Kt7!!
H. Kmoch

A. Brinckmann

## 28 QxP

KxP is answered by . . . Q-R2.
28 Ḱx்க́t KtxP!

Now White must lose the KBP and his game falls to pieces.

## 30 R-Kt7

Q-Kt3 is met by . . . P-K4! 30 .... R-R5!
Revealing the point of his 27th move: the KKt file is open!

| 31 K-B1 | R×PCh |
| :--- | :--- |
| 32 K-K1 | B-R4ch |
| Resigns |  |

For this fine game, Kmoch was awarded one of the brilliancy prizes.
(Translated from the Dutch by Fred Reinfeld)
(Continued from Page 209)
Two contrasting positions that merit study are the following:

Black


White to Play and Win

In the final study which goes back to Salvio, and was reprinted by Kling and Horwitz in 1851, we have the exact counterpart of the game ending above referred to.


The end of a bard fought game!
H. M. Phillips
E. J. Korpanty

T. T. Robinson
I. A. Horowitz

At the Queens Chess Club, Woodside, N. Y., an impromptu consultation game was arranged. The players paired off as above, and Fritz Brieger, honorary president of the club, acted as referee.

The diagrammed position was the final stage of the game. White had just played Rook to the eighth and felt confident that victory was a matter of time. When lo and behold! Black countered with 1 . . . R-B8ch! 2 K-Q2, R-B7 ch; 3 K-Q1 (not 3 K-Q3, B-R3ch and a rook is lost, nor 3 R-K2, RxRch; $4 \mathrm{KxR}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{ch}$ with perpetual check), R-B8ch; 4 R-K1, B-R3! and White offered a draw.

# Canadian Section 

by F. W. Watson

Articles pertaining to this department will be accorded special attention if addressed to the Editor at 191 Jones Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

## Champions and More Champions

The Canadian Championship for 1935 will be decided in the Sun Life Building at Montreal, with play starting the week of September 2nd. The program of preliminaries along with promoting, and the actual conducting of such an important event, presents a task which will be borne mainly by a committee of executive officials representing the Canadian Chess Federation, including such co-workers as G. Gaudet, S. B. Wilson and B. Freedman, with a specially appointed tournament committee in assistance, of which Messrs. J. Schneider and P. Robert enter the scene as directors. Of particular interest is an item respecting the honorary presidency of the Dominion Congress, which was accepted by Mayor Houde, of Montreal.

The following entries are expected: Toronto: Belson, Morrison, Gale, Martin, Crompton, Swales and Drummond; Quebec: Whitfield, Galt, Moncur, Hamilton, Lachance and Therien; Montreal: H. Opsahl, Temiskaming, Fox, Blumin, Brissbois, Harvey and Payette.

The official record of Dominion champions follows:

| Year | Place | Winner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1881 | Quebec | J. W. Shaw |
| 1882 | Quebec | E. Sanderson |
| 1884 | Ottawa | F. Lambert |
| 1888 | Quebec | N. MacLeod |
| 1889 | Montreal | R. P. Fleming, J. E Narraway, tied |
| 1890 | Quebec | R. Short |
| 1891 | Montreal | A. T. Davison |
| 1892 | Toronto | W. Boultbee |
| 1893 | Quebec | J. E. Narraway |
| 1894 | Montreal | A. T. Davison |
| 1897.8 | Orillia, Toronto | J. E. Narraway |
| 1899 | Montreal | M. Smith |
| 1908 | Toronto | J. Sawyer |
| 1910-13 | Montreal, Winnipeg | J. S. Morrison |
| 1920 | Toronto | S. E. Gale |
| 1922-24-26 | Montreal, Hamilton J Montreal | J. S. Morrison |
| 1927-29-31-32 | Toronto, Montreal, Hamilton, Haileybury | M. Fox |
| 1933 | Winnipeg | R. E. Martin |
| 1934 | Toronto | J. H. Belson |
| 1935 | Montreal | ? |

## Miscellaneous News

Play was recently concluded in the doubleround quadrangular practice tournament staged at the Toronto Chess Club, with Belson, Martin, Gale and Crompton in a fray intended to aid their conditioning for the big Montreal tilt. The result was not altogether surprising, even though Belson and Martin both failed to hit the top peg.
S. E. Gale, ex-Dominion champion, played in superb form and was conceded a chance of topping off his opposition right from the start. However, the finish was by no means an indication of a walkaway: Gale, $4-2$; Belson, $31 / 2$ $21 / 2$; Martin, 3-3; Crompton, $11 / 2-41 / 2$.

It is not likely that players from Winnipeg, or the extreme West, will make the long journey to Montreal, although if Ernie Lancashire, recent visitor to Montreal from the West, in. tends to remain for the Dominion Congress, it is probable that he would undertake the task of representing Winnipeg.

Apart from a little continued activity at the Winnipeg Garwell Chess Club, the past month was somewhat calm in its chess-atmospheric conditions; so at last, all is practically quiet on the western front!

Correspondence Match, 1935
GIUOCO PIANO
P. Auerbach
(Toronto)
White

| 1 | P-K4 | P-K4 | 16 | KtxKt | KtxKt |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 17 | RxKtch | K-B2 |
| 3 | B-B4 | B-B4 | 18 | P-Q6 | PxP |
| 4 | P-B3 | Kt-B3 | 19 | B-R3 | R-K1 |
| 5 | P-Q4 | PxP | 20 | RxR | KxR |
| 6 | PxP | B-Kt5ch | 21 | R-K1ch | K-B2 |
| 7 | Kt-B3 | KtxKP | 22 | BxP | P-QR4 |
| 8 | $0-0$ | BxKt | 23 | R-K7ch | K-Kt3 |
| 9 | P-Q5 | Kt-K4 | 24 | P-KB4 | R-R3 |
| 10 | PxB | KtxB | 25 | B-B5 | R-K3 |
| 11 | Q-Q4 | Kt(B4)-Q3 | 26 | P-B5ch | KxP |
| 12 | QxKtP | Q-B3 | 27 | RxRP | P-Kt3 |
| 13 | QxQ | KtxQ | 28 | B-Q4 | K-Kt3 |
| 14 | R-K1ch | Kt(B3)-K5 | 29 | R-R8 | B-R3 |
| 15 | Kt-Q2 | P-KB3 |  | Draw | agreed. |

## Miniature Games

Inter-Club Match<br>June 10, 1935

FRENCH DEFENSE

| Dr. F. Krammelhofer |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| White |  |
| 1 | P-K4 |
| 2 | P-K4 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | P-Q4 |
| 4 P-Kt5 |  |
| 5 | B-Q2 |

Better is 5 . . . QKtB3; 6 Kt -Kts, BxBch; 7 QxB, KtxQP; etc.

| 6 Kt-Kt5 | B-B4 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 7 | P-QKt4! | B-B1 |
| 8 KtxQP | Q-Kt3? |  |
| 9 KKt-B3! | BxP? |  |
| 10 B-Kt5ch | B-Q2 |  |
| 11 QBxB | BxB |  |
| 12 R-QKt1 | .... |  |

Threatening B-B3

$12 . \mathrm{B}^{12}$| B-B5 |
| ---: |
| Q-R3 |
| White threatened B- |

B8.

14 Kt-KKt5! ....

## J. Faschinger

 BlackThreatening KtxBP!, KxKt; 16 Q-Rsch, P. Kt3; 17 Q-B3ch followed by Q-B8ch, etc. 14 . . . Kt-R3 15 B-Q6!

Cutting off the Black Queen from its K3 square.
 16 KtxBP !

Played with energy and skill. If $16 \ldots$ KtxKt ; 17 KtxKP!!, KxKt? ; 18 Q-Kt4 mate. $\begin{array}{llr}16 & \text { Q-Kt3 } & \text { B-K7 } \\ 17 & \text { KtxKt } \\ 18 & \text { QxPch } & \text { QxQ }\end{array}$ 19 RxQch K-B1

And White announced mate in 3 .

## Yarmouth Congress

Major Open Tournament
July, 1935
CARO-KANN DEFENSE

| L. Prins White |  |  | V. Ivanoff Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-K4 | P-K3 | 7 | BxB | QxKtch |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 8 | B-K2 | QKt-R3 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | P-Q4 | 9 | B-Q6 | Kt-K2 |
| 4 | P.Q4 | P×KP | 10 | Kt -B3 | P-B3 |
| 5 | KtxP | B-Kt5ch | 11 | 0.0 | K-B2 |
| 6 | B-Q2 | QxP | 12 | B-Q3 | Resigns |

Played at Tarnopol, 1920
FRENCH DEFENSE

| Dr. A. Alekhine White |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P.K4 | P.K3 |
| 2 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 4 | PxP | KtxP |
| 5 | Kt-K4 | P.KB4? |
| 6 | Kt-Kt5 | B-K2 |
| 7 | KKt-B3 | P.B3 |
| 8 | Kt-K5! | O-O |
| $9 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Kt} 5)-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |  |
|  |  | P-QKt3 |

Feldt
Black
B. Kt 2

| 11 | O-O | R-K1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 12 | P-B4 | Kt-B3 |
| 13 | B-B4 | QKt-Q2 |
| 14 | Q-K2 | P-B4? |
| 15 | Kt-B7! | K×Kt |
| 16 | QxPch! | K.Kt3 |

17 P-KKt4
K.Kt3
and mate next move

## HISTORY REPEATS ITSELF! <br> Vienna Club Tournament April, 1935 <br> CENTER COUNTER GAMBIT

A. Gerschenkron

White
E. Fischer

Black


Played in Germany
April, 1935
CARO-KANN DEFENSE

| Koberz | W. Kolneder |
| :---: | :---: |
| White | Black |


| 1 | P-K4 | P-QB3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 3 | P×P | P×P |
| 4 | P-QB4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 5 | QKt-B3 | Kt-B3 |
| 6 | B-Kt5 | $\ldots .$. |

Botwinnik's move. For analysis consult The Chess Review, June and July, 1935.

| 6 |  | B-K3? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | B-K2! | Q.Kt3? |
| 8 | BxKt | KPxB |
| 9 | PxP | QxKtP |
| 10 | Q-B1?? |  | was 10 Kt -Kts! If Black continues 10 . .

BxP ; then $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, etc. If 10 . . B-Kts ch; $11 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$, etc. If $10 . . \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$; 11 R $\mathrm{Kt1}$, etc.

| 10 |  | B-QR6! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PxKt | O-0.0! |
| 12 | P-Q5 | B-KB4 |
| 13 | K-Q1 | RxPch!! |
| 14 | KtxR? | Q-Q4ch |
|  | Q-Q2 |  |
|  | White coul | d hold out |
|  | nger by | K-K |
|  | was lo | never |
| less | s. |  |
| 15 |  |  |
|  | Q-B1 | QxQ |

## CHESS TIME CLOCKS



This latest model is substantially built with a fine movement, exceptionally suited for match and tournament play.-Price $\$ 7.50$.-Postage extra. Order through THE CHESS REVIEW
60-10 Roosevelt Avenue
Woodside, N. Y.

## Selected Games

Western Championship Tourney
Finals - Masters' Group
July, 1935
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| A. W. Dake White |  |  | I. Kashdan Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 19 | QKt-K2 | Kt-Q4 |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-K3 | 20 | Kt-B3 | Kt -B3 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | P-Q4 | 21 | QKt-K2? | Kt-Q4 |
| 4 | B-Kt5 | P-B3 | 22 | Kt-B3? | KtxKtch |
| 5 | P-K3 | QKt-Q2 | 23 | QxKt | B.K3 |
| 6 | PxP | KPxP | 24 | Kt-R5 | P-B3 |
| 7 | B-Q3 | B.K2 | 25 | Kt-B4 | B-B2 |
| 8 | Q-B2 | 0.0 | 26 | Q-B5 | Q-Q2 |
| 9 | KKt-K2 | R-K1 | 27 | Q-B5 | Q-Q3 |
| 10 | P-KR3 | Kt-K5 | 28 | R-B5 | R-B2 |
| 11 | BxB | Q×B | 29 | P-KR4 | P-R5 |
| 12 | BxKt | P×B | 30 | P-Kt5 | BPxP |
| 13 | P.KKt4 | Kt -B3 | 31 | PxP | P-Kt3 |
| 14 | Kt-Kt3 | P.KR3 | 32 | Q-R3! | P-R4 |
| 15 | O.0.0 | P-QKt4 | 33 | R-Kt1 | K-Kt2 |
| 16 | K-Kt1 | P.QR4 | 34 | Q-Kt3! | R-Q2 |
| 17 | R-QB1 | B-Q2 |  | Drawn??? |  |
| 18 | KR-Q1 | QR-B1 |  |  |  |

Played in Riga
November, 1934
KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

| W. Mezgailis |  |  | A. Strunke |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  | Black |  |  |
| 1 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-KB3 | 13 | P-K4 | P-B5 |
| 2 | P.QKt3 | P.KKt3 | 14 | B-KR3 | Q-K2 |
| 3 | B-Kt2 | B.Kt2 | 15 | BxKt | R-Q1 |
| 4 | P-B4 | P-Q3 | 16 | Kt-Q5! | Q×B |
| 5 | P-Q4 | 0.0 | 17 | Q-R5! | P.Kt3 |
| 6 | P-Kt3 | R-K1 | 18 | KtxKtP | Q-QB2 |
| 7 | B-Kt2 | QKt-Q2 | 19 | BXP ! | B×B |
| 8 | $0-0$ | Kt-R4 | 20 | QxB | QxKt |
| 9 | Kt - $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | P-K4 | 21 | P-B5! | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | P×P | 22 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | Q-R4 |
| 11 | Q-Q2 | P-QB3 | 23 | P-QKt4! |  |
| 12 | QR-Q1 | P-KB4 |  | and wins | e $Q$. |

Cracow C. C. Championship SICILIAN DEFENSE


# PROBLEMS 

## "The Poesy of Chess"

By Maxwell Bukofzer

Problems, Problem solutions, criticisms, comments and all matters pertaining to the Problem Department, should be sent directly to the Problem Editor at 60-10 Roosevelt Avenue, Woodside, N. Y. For personal replies and the return of unsuitable contributions, inclose a stamped self-addressed envelope.

## Good-bye!

With profound regret I announce to my many faithful friends that with this issue my affiliation with The Chess Review terminates.

I want to express
 my sincerest and heartfelt gratitude to all of you who stood by me during the almost two years of my activity for The Chess Review. It has been one of the sweet pleasures of my life to be associated with you. If there are some among you that feel that they were benefitted by my efforts, I shall be amply repaid for the scores of midnight hours I gave to the Problem Department.
With sorrow in my heart I anticipate the demise of Fairyland. I worked very, very hard to introduce to the U. S. what other countries enjoy for a decade and longer. However, it seems that I have toiled in vain. Such is fate.

I shall turn over all problems now in my keeping to The Chess Review on September 25. Those of you that, for any reason, desire to have their problems returned, will kindly send a self-addressed, stamped envelope to me before the just mentioned date. After September 25, 1935 MY responsibility for your contributions ceases.

Do not address the solutions for the problems in this number to me. Send them to The Chess Review, 60-10 Roosevelt Avenue, Woodside, N. Y.

And so-good-bye!
The July Honor Prize for the best problem goes to: A. J. FINK.

The July Ladder Prize goes to: L. HAL. PERN.

My hearty congratulations.
Problems were received with thanks from: C. E. Adams (2) ; S. Myers (2) ; B. Beers (4) ; M. Charosh (8) ; J. Stichka, Jr.; Hochberg Bros.; W. Peters (2) ; G. Plowman (2) ; W. Straus; H. Burke.

Solutions to all problems in this issue must be sent to THE CHESS REVIEW, 60-10 Roosevelt Ave., Woodside, N. Y. (NOT TO ME!) before or on October 10, 1935. No ladder credits for fairy solutions will be allowed after this issue.

## SOLUTIONS

No. 307. Hugo Legler (2m) Qc7
An economical version of one of Fink's. A clever idea. Dr. Dobbs. Accurate as is usual with Legler's work.-Vanwinkle. Neat little two-er.-Beers. Very cute.-Tracy. Not much to this.-Hill. Pleasing problem, fine mates, accurate play.-Myers. The key is obyious but the mates are pretty.Williams. The threat Key is good.-Patz. Nice variation play.-Plowman. Fair. Rather overloaded in view of the few mates.-Goldstein. Her majesty tries the back door.Straus. Eluding Key.-Westlake More variations than expected. Neat economy.-Meyer. No idea. Poor Key. Heavy construction,-Stone.

## No. 308. Dr. G. Dobbs (2m) Se2

The feature is the changed mate--Dr. Dobbs. Best two-mover.-Vanwinkle. Very fine.-Souweine. Very pretty changed mates. Second choice.-Beers. Good work.-Tracy. Charming. The mates are most illusive.-Hill. Unusual echo play when K. moves.-Myers. A good waiter with neat variations.-Williams. Excellent. Nice model. Good tries.-Patz. Pe3 prevents Sbs.-Plowman. Splendid.Berry. Dr. Dobbs is up to his usual form.-Hannan. The clever S. moves betray the master's touch.-Goldstein. A judicious mixture of practically all devices found in 2 -ers, blocks, flight, discoveries, etc. Dr. Dobbs expresses himself well.-Straus. Easy.-Westlake. Brilliant changed mate. Pretty flight-giving key. Black position unexpected.-Meyer. Excellent key giving additional flight and changing reply to suit flight. My vote.-Stone.

## No. 309. A. Kish (2m). Bc7

A teaser with deceptive tries.-Vanwinkle. Brilliant. Gets my vote.-Beers. Interesting though Key is apparent.-Tracy. Excellent. Kish certainly knows how to hide his Key.Nash. The Key is well determined.-Emery, Splendid problem both in idea and construction.-Hili. Very difficult square evacuation Key with nicely determined spot to land on.-Myers. I enjoyed this one. Scems as though the B. anywhere along the diagonal would solve. Well econom-ized.-Patz. B. blocks B. when pawn underpromotes. My second choice.-Plowman. The precise Key and pleasing varicty of mates are fine and the promotions excellent.-Goldstein. The promotions are, of course, the features of this teaser.-Straus. Best and most interesting.-Westlake. Featured by two different Pawn promotions. Key nicely forced. -Meyer. Clever tries and interesting play.-Stone. Difficult and accurate.-Burke.

No. 310. Dr. P. G. Keeney (2m) Bf5
Some interesting valves and bivalves.-Dr. Dobbs. Too many duals.-Vanwinkle. Some awfully nice interferences in this one.-Beers. Best of the two-ers. I vote it second place.-Tracy. The try Bds is neatly aborted.-Hill. The four knight interferences are elegant. My vote for this fine dedication problem.-Sprenger. I, too, would vote for this delightful gift from my old pal if I' had a vote. I think the problem is splendid. Many thanks, Palmer.-Bukofzer. Excellent problem by my Cincinnati friend. My second choice. -Myers. Most difficult of the two-ers for me. My second choice.-Williams. Neat selfblocks.-Patz. Too bad this had duals.-Plowman. Required some tall looking! The selfblocks are delightful. Best two-mover.-Goldstein. Shows the touch of an excelient composer. My second choice.Straus. Amusing.-Westlake. Second choice as best of the two-crs. Brilliancy and number of variations outstanding.Meyer. Uneconomical.-Stone.

No. 311. Z. Zilahi (2m) Bg1
No solution after 1..., SxS.
No. 312. M. Charosh (3m)
1 Be8, Kd6; 2 Rf3ch, etc.
1 ...., Ke4: 2 Bc6ch, etc.
${ }^{1}$.
A pleasing though not too original near-miniature.-Dr. Dobbs. Very neat but not difficult.-Vanwinkle. Short,
(Continued on Page 220)

## FAIRYLAND

Since this is, in all probability, the last presentation of the fairy section, I abstain from bringing to your attention the new fairy piece invented by Dr. Dobbs, the so-called "Carom Bishop." The Doctor himself is of the opinion that it is not advisable to cram in this new piece, with no opportunity of subsequently illustrating its action. I offer, therefore, the four routine problems and leave the future of fairyland in the lap of the gods.

No. F 44 is a unique contribution from the "King of the fairies", Thomas R. Dawson, who, I feel, will regret the demise of this section most keenly. I believe that you will enjoy his final offering which portrays his genius in a most egregious manner.

No. F 45 is a natty maximate-sui from the work shop of our much esteemed Cleveland artist, Erwin Krisch. It is a fine problem.

No. F 46. My old comrade, our beloved Dr. Dobbs, gives us another charming proof that he has risen in fairy chess to the same lofty heights that he has conquered in orthodox chess. It is a cunning offering.

With No. F 47, which I have dedicated to the man who has done more for my fairy department than any other American assistant I found, the clever Erwin Krisch, I bid goodby to my fairy family. The problem demonstrates the complete demotion process in the simplest garb I could devise. "I hope you like it !"

## SOLUTIONS TO FAIRY PROBLEMS

F. 35 (Dawson)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{~g} 7$, threat $2, \mathrm{gs}=\mathrm{G}$, any ; $328=\mathrm{G}$ mate.
1 ..., Kg1; 2 ...., R85; 3 Gcsmate.
 pin model mates.
$1 \ldots \mathrm{RxG} ; 2 \mathrm{a} 8=\mathrm{Gch}, \mathrm{Kgl} ; 3$ Gcsmate.
The $\ddot{\mathrm{G}}$. promotions are neatly done,-Dr. Dobbs. The promotions are ingenious but, of course, obvious.-Genud. Pins are elegant. Instructive as to the powers of the G.Patz, A Zulu could not enjoy the live ones more than I enjoyed the wooden ones.-Sprenger. Dawson CAN'T compose a bad problem.-Stone. A beauty with a novel idea.Meyer.

## F. 36 (Krisch)

Author's Sol.-1 $\mathrm{Qxa6}, \mathrm{Bh} 2 ; 2 \mathrm{Bg} 3, \mathrm{Sc6} ; 3$ Rd1, O.0.0;
4 Qasmate.
There are about 20 cooks to this problem. In order not to completely smash our ladder I am compelied to restrict the points to $s$ solutions, a total of 20 points.

## F. 37 (Vandenberg)

Author's Sol.-1 Sc3, Sg4; 2 Kds, G.b2; 3 Sc2, G.f2; 4 Sd4, Sfomate.
Cooked by: Sc7, Sb4, Se7, Sf4, Se3, with the identical play in each case.
F. 38 (Dr. Dobbs)

1 Kd4, Rxh7; 2 Qe7, Rhl; 3 Bdich, RxB; 4 Rb4ch, KxR ; 5 Re4ch, SxRmate
1..., Rh1; 2 Be1, RxP; 3 Qd7ch, RxQ; 4 . .., . . .

 SxQ; 5 Rc4ch, RxRmate.
5 Rcacch," Rxirimate. ${ }^{2}$.... Rb4; 3 Qb3ch, SxQ; 4 Bdi, Rh4;
Extremely pretty and difficult--L. Halpern. A "Honey" if there ever was one.-Stone. Dr. Dobbs' Selfmaximater is a mastertiecce if 1 ever saw one. It is utterly magnificent and so subtle that I had a "pain in the head" from solving it.Myers. By far the best fairy I have ever seen and descrving to rank among the preatest of all.-Meyer, Bravo, Gilbert? You make me feel proud to have won you over to fairy chess. Dawson will have to look to his crown!-Bukofzer.
F. 44. (Original)
T. R. DAWSON

London, England


Black plays and HELPS White to mate in 2 moves.
Move all men down one row and do same.
F. 45. (Original)

ERWIN KRISCH
Cleveland, Ohio


Maxi-SELFmate in 4 moves.
F. 46. (Original)

DR. G. DOBBS
Carrollton, Ga.


Maximate in 4 moves.
(Continued on Page 220)


Mate in 2 moves.

## 332 <br> (Original)

GEOFFREY MOTT-SMITH
New York City


Mate in 2 moves.
333
(Original)
CARLOS JIMENO, Jr.
Mexico


Mate in 2 moves.

334
(Original)
WALTER PETERS
Irvington, N. J.


Mate in 2 moves.

335
(Original)
JOHN ZARBACH
Brooklyn, N. Y.


Mate in 2 moves.

336
(Original)
J. F. Tracy Ontario, Cal.


Mate in 3 moves.


Mate in 3 moves.
338
(Original)
EARL F. YOUNG
Orlando, Fla.


Mate in 3 moves.


Mate in 4 moves.


Mate in 5 moves.
341
(Original)
MANNIS CHAROSH
Brooklyn, N. Y.


SELFmate in 3 moves.
342
(Original)
JACOB D. NEUSS
Woodbridge, N. J.


SELFmate in 5 moves.

## (Continued from Page 217)

F. 47. (Original)

MAXWELL BUKOFZER
Bellaire, L. I.
Inscribed to Erwin Krisch


Demotion mate in 5 moves.
Pawns do not change.
FAIRY LADDER

| Name | Score |  |  |  | \% | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. H. M. Berliner | 89 | 3 | 20 | 24 |  | 136 |
| Margaret Morris | 89 | 3 | 20 | 24 | , | 136 |
| I. Kashdan | 93 | 3 | 8 | 24 | 5 | 133 |
| R. J. Ratke | 95 | 3 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 130 |
| Earl F. Young | 81 | 3 | 16 |  | 0 | 100 |
| L. Halpern | 38 | 3 | 20 | 20 | 5 | 86 |
| W. Peters | 37 | 3 | 20 | 24 | 5 | 84 |
| T. Stone | 29 | 3 | 20 | 24 | 5 | 81 |
| S. Braverman | 30 | 3 | 20 | 24 |  | 77 |
| W. Patz | 46 | 3 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 77 |
| I. Genud | . 60 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 75 |
| I. Meyer | 26 | 3 | 20 | 8 | 5 | 62 |
| S. Myers | 26 | 3 | 20 | 8 | 5 | 62 |
| Dr. G. Dobbs | 25 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 49 |
| E. Krisch | 0 | 3 | 12 | 24 | . | 39 |
| E. Nash | 26 | 3 | 8 |  |  | 37 |
| G. Plowman | 8 |  | 20 | 4 |  | 32 |
| F. Sprenger | . 20 | 3 | 0 |  |  | 23 |
| W. Towle . | 10 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 17 |

The ladder prize goes to: Margaret Morris and Dr. H. M. Berliner who are tied with a score of 136 points.

Do NOT send solutions to the FAIRY PROBLEMS in this issue.

## (Continued from Page 216)

Sweet and Co-Beers, Nice, easy one.-Tracy. Ingenious and pleasing. Hill. Neatly wrought. My second choice. -Sprenger, Very enjoyable,-Myers. Very pretty nearminnie. Variation Pe4 is a beauty. Williams. Simple but charming Meredith,-Patz. This little (near) minnie, gets my vote.-Plowman. Very cunning. My vote for '"best', Hannan. The cut-back gives the only twist to this one.Goldstein. Short and sweet.-Straus. All mates are pure and model. Very exceptional.-Meyer. Not particularly or-iginal.-Stone.

No. 313. G. B. Spencer (3m)

[^3]
## No. 314. J. F. Tracy (3m)

1 Qf2, Kes; 2 Qf3, etc.
1 ...fs; 2 Qg2ch, etc.
The quiet continuations and models are commendable.-Dr. Dobbs. Very nice mates and accutate.-Vanwinkle. Poor Key: otherwise a fine ${ }^{3}$ mover.-Beers. Delightful little Meredith.-Korsgaard. Catchy and difficult.-Nash. Splendid mates.-Emery. A charming and very difficult problem. Best of the month.-Hill. Pretty models but the key dampened my enthusiasm.-Sprenger. Nice play with Queen on four adjoining squares-Myers. Pretty mirror mates, but the Key is restrictive.-Williams. Nice mirrors.-Patz. Pretty good, but Key takes two flights and gives but one in return.-Plowman. The \$ mate was surprising since other tries led me to believe it to be immobile. My second choice. -Goldstein, This one certainly gave me a workout.-Straus. Potential triple after Pfs indicates key. Wonderful display of pure, model and mirror mates.-Meyer. Tricky.-Stone. Neat Queen mancouvers.-Burke.

## No. 315. A. J. Fink (4m)

1 Qf2, c3; $2 \mathrm{Bc} 4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{KxB} ; 3$ OxSch, etc.
$1 . . ., \mathrm{d} 3 ; 2 \mathrm{Qe3},-\mathrm{Sc}^{3} ; 3 \mathrm{Rh4}$, any; 4 Qmates.
1 ...., e3; " 2 Qg2ch, e4; 3 Qgsch,
Mr. Fink does not have to invent billiard Knights to perplex people. His orthodox problems are perplexing enough. My first choice by all means.-Layer. Fine Finkian finesse. The familiar stockade matrix is here treated in an original manner. My vote.-Dr. Dobbs. The position is bizarre but the difficulty and beauty of the solutions compensate.Vanwinkle. Best of the lot. My vote.-Souweine. A nice problem but somewhat anticipated by Bill Beers 10 years ago. Beers. By far the best problem of the month. Only a master composer could conceive this.-Korsgaard. Sort of a rat. hole problem. The rat is forced out in fine style. First place.-Tracy. A masterpiece. Only by seeing this variety can one belleve it possible.-Nash. Quite difficult to get the hang of it -Emery. Odd but sound position.-Sprenger. This problem bas everything a good problem should have. I give it my vote for the best offering of the month.-Myers. Cleverly constructed. My first choice.-Braverman. My first choice because of its originality and accurate construction.Genut. Variations very difficult. Key hidden. First choice. -Halpern, An immortal solution to this task problem. Un. doubtedly the prize winner.-Goldstein. Best of the month. -Partos. Give this problem my vote.-Dr. Berliner. This is a good problem despite its artificiality. My selection.Straus. First choice. Best problem I have ever seen in Chess Review. The brilliancy and quantity of mates and a complete lack of duals make this a standout problem.-Meyer. A difficult constructive feat but inferior to the appended version. (? nothing was appended.-Ed.). Second choice.Stone. A beautiful problem. My vote,-Krisch. A most profound and marvelously varied opus.-Burke.

## No. 316. F. Sprenger (4m)

$1 \mathrm{Sg} 5, \mathrm{KxRg} 7 ; 2$ Reg, any; 3 Sfs, etc.
${ }^{1}$ Conk: KxRes; 2 Rg6, any; 3 Sf7, etc.
Cooked by Rg8.

## No. 317. C. E. Sllver ( 5 m Sul)

1 Qf7, Kd4: 2 Qxe6, b5; $3 \mathrm{Bg} 1, \mathrm{b4}$; 4 Qb 3 , bxc; 5 Sd 2, cxdmate.
${ }^{1}$.
Cooked by Qasch, Qb7 and Pf6.
No. 318. G. Goeller ( 6 m Sui)
1 Re7, Kf4; ${ }_{2}$ QxdGch, Kf3; 3 Qa3, Kf4; 4 Qc1ch, Kf3; 5 Re3ch, Kf4; 6 Qc7ch, SxQmate.

Cooked in s moves by QxBch.

## CORRESPONDENCE

C. E. Adams: Your problems have weak key moves. Do you want them published that way? Inform me before September 25 th.

1. Meyer: Your essay on the Billiard $S$. is ingenious and, in view of your age, amazingly clever. Of course, I do not agree with afl of your deductions, some of which are affected by your limited experience. I agree with you that your school work is infinitely more important than chess problems, yet that is no reason to entirely discard chess.
C. R. Layer: You are a considerate, gentlemanly correspondent, of the sort that makes an editor glad. As to illustrations of the Billiard $S$ moves in actual problems, I regret that my withdrawal from The Chess Review brooks my intention to bring several V problems, among them two that I composed especially for the fairy family.
H. B. Daly: A strange sight to behold goose-eggs after your name. Are you not just a bit hasty lately?
S. Myers: Your Sui is splendid. I hope that my successor is going to print it. Your aim to become a "second Dobbs" is noble if extremely difficult. Here is wishing you will attain your ambitious goal and avoid a wreck on the perilous rock of cheap flattery.
R. Olir: The Chess Review will probably continue to acrept solutions in any notation. The algebraic notation excels by virtue of accuracy, brevity, simplicity and clearness.
C. H. Dorer: Your recommendations are well meant and not, by any means, new. It is not good policy to mark the number of votes received after the problems. Unsuccessful competitors do not like the advertising of their failures.
M. Charosh: There will be no Christmas story from my pen for Tbe Chess Review. Kindly advise me what to do with your retractors.
C. C. Lee: Thanks for your lovely letter. Unfortunately your valuable hints and contributions of the informative sort will help me no longer. How my successor will rate them is guesswork.

Dr. Z. Mach, G. Goeller, F. Palatz: My resignation from The Cbess Review upsets all plans I had for the future. If I can write up the material for your sketches as a contributor under someone else's editorship I shall attempt to fulfill my obligations; otherwise, I shall return to you whatever you wish to reclaim. Please inform me soon.
Margaret Morris: Here 1 have been eating my heart out in utter grief and dismal sorrow, because 1 have been unable to affiliate women solvers with my department and now I discover, with celestial joy, that-Hallelujah!-I had one, and a top-notch solver, at that, all along. Oh, oh, Margaret, how could you do this to me?!

PROBLEM SOLVING LADDER

| Name | Score ${ }_{\text {号 }}^{\text {翤 }}$ | - |  | $\vec{m}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{m}{m}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{\infty} \mathrm{~m}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{m}}$ | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berliner, Dr. H. M. | 303 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 820 | 0 | 353 |
| Krisch, E. | 30222 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 420 | 0 | 346 |
| Halpern, | 28422 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 420 | 5 | 335 |
| Emery, G. R | 28122 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 420 | 5 | 332 |
| Patz, W. | 27722 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 420 | 0 | 323 |
| Daly, H. B. | 29022 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 45 |  | 318 |
| Ratke, R. J. | 26822 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 810 | 5 | 310 |
| Morris, Margaret | 25822 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 820 | 0 | 308 |
| Braverman, S . | 25722 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 420 | 0 | 303 |
| Vanwinkle, | 2622 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 45 | 5 | 298 |
| Young, Earl F | 24522 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 420 |  | 285 |
| Genud, I. | 23822 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 415 | 5 | 284 |
| Korsgaard, | 231 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 45 | 5 | 265 |
| Tracy, J. F. | 22522 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 45 |  | 261 |
| Souweine, A | 202 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 820 | 5 | 257 |
| Nash, E. | 21522 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 . |  | 245 |
| Murphy, | 215 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  | j |  | 235 |
| Kashdan, I | 1802 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 820 |  | 235 |
| Sprenger, F | 2002 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 234 |
| Partos, G. | 182 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 820 |  | 233 |
| Beers, Bill | 16622 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 820 | 5 | 221 |
| Fittkau, C. | 19322 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  | 206 |
| Dobbs, Dr | 149 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 820 | 5 | 204 |
| Straus, W. | 15922 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 415 | 0 | 200 |
| Meyer, I. | 15622 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | , | 4 | 415 |  | 197 |
| Peters, W | 14722 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 420 |  | 193 |
| Burke, H. | 15122 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 85 | 5 | 191 |
| Goldstein, N . | 149 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | , | 4 | 415 | 0 | 190 |
| Stichka, J. Jr. | 155 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 20 |  | 188 |
| Cheney, G. R. | 183 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 186 |
| Plowman, | 13622 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 45 | 5 | 168 |
| Hill, F. A. | 14622 |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | , |  |  |  | 164 |
| Myers, S. | 10422 | 2 |  | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 420 | 0 | 148 |
| Thorne, E. H | 9222 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 20 |  | 143 |
| Stone, $\mathbf{F}$. | 512 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 | 820 |  | 106 |
| Chess, A. | 4622 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | , | , | 4 |  |  | 96 |
| Knorr, T. E | 6522 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 96 |
| Sapir, I. | 6622 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 |  |  | 95 |
| Kowalski, S. | 6420 | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | , | 4 | 4 | 0 | 91 |
| Berry, G. F. | 7422 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| Layer, C. R. | $23 \quad 22$ |  | , | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 5 | 74 |
| Shapiro, Milton | 5322 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  | 71 |
| Williams, J. R. | 3322 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 66 |
| Olir, R. | 4322 |  | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| Towle, W. | 4022 | 2 | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  | 60 |
| Hochberg Bro | 22 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 0 | 50 |
| Hannan, J. | 3520 |  | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |
| Einhorn, M | 022 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 0 | 41 |
| Gonzalez, M | 1422 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 34 |
| Zarbach, J. | 212 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| Klein, B. | 21.0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| Chapman, A. R | 1420 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| McClellan, F. H. | 022 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 14 |
| Westlak | 622 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| Grote, F. | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Rivise, I. | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  | . |  | 12 |
| Goodwin, P. | 020 | 0 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| The Chess Review |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bound Volumes 1933 (cloth) | \$3.00 |
| Bound Volumes 1934 (cloth) | \$3.00 |
| Modern Chess Openings | \$1.50 |
| Griffith \& White 5th Edition |  |
| Masters of the Chessboard | \$3.00 |
| Richard Reti |  |
| My System | \$3.75 |
|  |  |
| My Best Games of Chess <br> Dr. Alexander Alekhine | \$3.50 |
|  |  |
|  | \$2.50 |
| Chess Fundamentals | \$2.50 |
| J. R. Capablanca |  |
| Chess Strategy Edward Lasker | \$3.00 |
|  |  |
| Manual of Chess Common Sense in Chess | \$4.25 |
|  | . 75 |
| Dr. Emanuel Lasker |  |
| Modern Chess <br> Moodern Chess Endings | \$1.00 |
|  | \$1,50 |
| Barnie F. Winkelman |  |
| Dr. Lasker's Chess Career 1934 World Championship Match F. Reinfeld \& R. Fine | \$2.50 |
|  | \$1.25 |
|  |  |
| Chess Strategy \& Tactics <br> F. Reinfeld \& I. Chernev |  |
|  |  |
| Cambridge Springs Tourney F. Reinfeld | \$1.50 |
| The Game of Chess Dr. S. Tarrasch | \$4.00 |
|  |  |
| Middle Game in Chess How Not to Play Chess | \$3.50 |
|  | \$1.25 |
|  |  |
| My 101 Best Games <br> F. D. Yates | \$3.00 |
|  |  |
| The Art of Chess The Principles of Chess James Mason | \$2.00 |
|  | \$2.00 |
|  |  |
| Morphy Gleanings P. W. Sergeant | \$2.50 |
|  |  |
| Chess For the Fun Of It Brian Harley | \$1.75 |
| The Modern Chess Problem P. H. Williams | \$1.50 |
|  |  |
| Chess Sacrifices and Traps Alfred Emery | \$1.25 |
| Pitfalls on the Chessboard E. A. Greig | \$1.25 |

FOREIGN IMPORTATIONSIn German-On Hand
Bad Liebwerda Tourney, 1934$\$ 1.00$
(Notes by Prof. A. Becker)
Bad Sliac Tourney, 1932 ..... $\$ 1.00$(Notes by R. Spielmann)
Festschrift (Sketches, Photos and ..... 75
Games of Reti, Nimzowitsch,Spielmann, Tartakower, Flohr,Kmoch, etc.)
Fuhrende Meister (Sketches of $23 \quad \$ 1.50$ masters with diagrams and games) Dr. S. G. Tartakower
The Zukertort-Reti System ..... $\$ 1.25$
Dr. S. G. Tartakower
How Not to Play Chess (Paper) ..... $\$ 1.00$
E. Znosko-Borowski
Modern Middle Game Combinations $\$ 1.50$ A. Brinckmann
San Remo Tourney, 1930 ..... $\$ 2,00$
The English Opening ..... $\$ 2.00$
Hans Muller
The Ruy Lopez ..... 75
V. J. Nenarokow
Ein Jahrzehnt Meisterturniere ..... 50
(12 best games 1923-1926)
IN GERMAN-ORDERS TAKEN
Die Hypermoderne Schachpartie ..... $\$ 8.00$ Dr. S. G. Tartakower
Carlisbad Tourney, 1929 ..... $\$ 5.00$
(Notes by Nimzowitsch, Spiel- mann, Tartakower.)
On the Road to World Supremacy $\$ 4.00$ Dr. A. Alekhine
Kecskemet Tourney, 1927 ..... $\$ 2.00$
(Notes by Alekhine, Maroczy, Nimzowitsch)
The Modern Opening-P-Q4! ..... $\$ 3.50$
Rogaska Slatina Tourney, $1929 \quad \$ 2.50$
Alfred Brinckmann
Bad Pistyan Tourney, 1922 ..... $\$ 2.00$
The French Defense ..... $\$ 2.00$
J. Mieses
San Sebastian Tourney, 1911 ..... $\$ 2.50$
San Sebastian Tourney, 1912 ..... $\$ 2.50$
The Caro-Kann Defense ..... $\$ 2.50$
Hans Muller


[^0]:    Published monthly by The Chess Review, 60-10 Roosevelt Avenue, Woodside, N. Y. Yearly subscription in the United States $\$ 2.50$. Six months $\$ 1.50$. Elsewhere $\$ 3.00$. Single copy 25 cts. Copyright 1935 by The Chess Review.

[^1]:    * A Primer of Chess by Jose R. Capablanca-Harcourt, Brace and Company.

[^2]:    - Compare Kahn ${ }^{\text {vs. Lasker as a typical game of this kind. }}$ Moscow 1935, Cbess Review, April, 1935, p. 92.

[^3]:    ${ }_{1} \mathrm{Sh} 2, \mathrm{Bf6}$; 2 g4ch, etc.
    
    Cooked in 2 moves by Sxs.

