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Horse play is usually frowned upon.... except in chess. Nowhere else does adroit use of the practical joke pay so well. 'ihese ten examples of Knight maneuvers show that there are laughter, tears.... and beauty in the perambulations of that curious piece. The answers are on Page 19.


3
black to move
Here's another lead-pipe cinch. The presence of four Knights makes it look complicated but a little finesse compels White to put his own head in the noose.
1 . . . . ............
2 ........................
3


7 white to move
Close examination shows how the agile White Knights can capitalize on the fortunate placement of the Black men. This is a fine example of the art of combination.


8 White to move
Once again the Black pieces have to be worked into place for the devastating move. White's problem is to make his adversary cooperate will-nilly.
1
2
Resigns

By John Rather

white to move
Such a flood of Knights and passed Pawns is too much for Black. It is merely a question of finding the most incisive move. A big advantage of having two Knights is that both can fork the hapless King.
1
Resigns


4 white to move.
Like many a bird in a gilded cage, the Black King is stalked by tragedy. Seemingly secure, his life hangs by a thread. Don't puzzle over the legality of the position; find the mate!
1
2...........


5
white to move
This Knight is adept at steeplechase. It leaps lightly across the board to deliver its lethal blow. Morphy saw how....do you?
1
2
3
4


9
white to move
The minor pieces have a field day. Material goes by the board when the rollicking Knights lead the pack in a King-hunt. You have to let yourself go for this.
1
2


2 BL.ACK TO MOVE
2 White is caught napping. The move which sets up the fork is hardly profound, just a bit of simple tactics, yet White didn't just miss ithe helped to make the whole thing possible!
1.

Resigns


5 WHITE TO MOVE
In the excitement of play, many a brilliant move is overlooked. It was Whites sad fate to miss a hair-raising stroke which ends the game at once. Can you find the beautiful "might-have-been" concealed here?

1


10
black to move
This ending has the concentrated beauty of a com. posed position yet it was reached over-the-board. Ap. parently Black has nothing better than $1 \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 4 \mathrm{ch}$; $2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ drawing... or does he?
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## Readers' Forum

Readers are invited to use these columns for their comments on matters of interest to chess players.

## PARLIAMENT OF CHESSMEN

Sirs:
The simplest method for deciding the World's Championship is to let each country with a recognized national chess organization vote for what it considers the twelve best players, then let the twelve with the most votes battle it out. There will be a tendency toward bias but it should average out.

Rogers R. Воотн
Braidwood, Illinois

## DISCRIMINATION

Sirs:
I have noticed a failing of chess publications: they always print diagrams from White's side; also, in every problem or ending, White is always the "hero."
This leads to a one-sidedness from which beginners find it hard to extricate themselves. A friend who is learning the game tells me he is so used to seeing things from the White side that he just can't play with Black. I had the same difficulty, claim it is the fault of text books that cling to this system because of "tradition."
This situation can be remedied very simply by printing diagrams with Black at the bottom so the beginner can learn to see from both sides, play either equally adeptly. Also, composers should make Black the "hero." Checkers endings are made indiscriminately. Why not so in chess?

Charles Gersch
New York, N. Y.

- Mr. Gersch hits upon a moot point. The "tradition" is a standardization that avoids confusion as to "which way the Pawns are going."-Ed.


## ANGELIC ANGLE

Sirs:
Your magazine is delightful as usualand I have the impression it's a little less gadgety than it has been. Mugridge handles his reviewing nicely. Nobody expects you to give a straight criticism-which might have to be adverse-of advertised publications; so instead of gushing pointlessly, he works up another little set of essays on chess. A good angle.

## Paul Haines Auburn, Alabama

- Our reviewer calls 'em as he sees 'em, but few books can reach publication and
be without merit. We are please to hear, however, that Mr. Mugridge's essays are appreciated.-Ed.


## REBUTTAL

Sirs:
Mr. DeGolier claims that, since many subscribers read Chess Review for pleasure, more games with fewer annotations should be published. How can he enjoy playing over a game which he cannot understand? Can one enjoy a play by Shakespeare when one understands neither the English (tactics) nor the underlying ideas (strategy)?

Good annotations certainly do not "cloud the issue and befog the already over-worked brain." They clarify the issue, tell amateurs, who otherwise might not understand, what all the fighting is about, and present succinctly facts which the amateur could figure out only after hours of effort or not at all.

## Arthur Moskowitz <br> Ithaca, New York

## Sirs:

I nominate Ralph DeGolier's letter in the July Chess Review the best in the two years I have been a subscriber. But for me -not too many games, please. One game thoroughly studied is worth a dozen hastily gone over.
A. C. Norman

Rolling Bay, Wash.

## Sirs:

On games vs. notes, I'm in favor of more games without notes. If a player can't learn from merely playing the games over, no amount of comments will increase his chess aptitude.

Guillermo Benedetti
Tucson, Arizona

- To each his own views. Our problem is to print what will satisfy all tastes, rea-sonably.-Ed.


## WELCOME

## Sirs:

Your re-hash of the Black defense to the Ruy Lopez has enabled me to win from pretty hard competition. Such articles can be of great value to beginners as well as oldsters, if studied carefully.

Thanks for the good help afforded.
M. D. Howell

Topeka, Kansas
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## The world of Chess

INTERNATIONAL

## Economical

The thrifty Hollanders found the presence of so many masters competing in the European Zone tourney too good an opportunity to miss. So, last month as an aftermath to that event, three small tournaments were held at Hilversum.
Chief attention was focused on Group A in which Dr. Max Euwe and Alberic O'Kelly de Galway, winner of the Zone tourney, were thought to be the chief contenders. The results proved this to be half wrong. The former world champion, no doubt still badly fatigued from his protracted tour, lost three games and finished with only an even score! His failure to do better in what was after all only a second-rate tournament is a cause of some concern. If he is to justify his inclusion in the world championship event, Dr. Euwe must do better than this.
O'Kelly lived up to his lately acquired reputation by tying for top honors with W. J. Muhring of Holland. Never before has the latter done so well in an international tourney.

The order of finish was:
$\left.\begin{array}{llllcl} & \text { W } & \text { L } & \text { D } & \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { W. Muhring } & 5 & 0 & 2 & 6 & -1 \\ \text { A. OKelly } & 6 & 1 & 0 & 6 & -1 \\ \text { H. Kramer } & 2 & 1 & 4 & 4 & -3 \\ \text { Dr. M. Euwe } & 3 & 3 & 1 & 31 / 2 \cdot 31 / 2\} \\ \text { J. Oosterwijk } & 2 & 2 & 3 & 312.21 / 21 / 2\} \\ \text { C. Pothast } & 1 & 4 & 2 & 2 & -5 \\ \text { T. de Jong } & 0 & 3 & 4 & 2 & -5 \\ \text { D. de Lange } & 0 & 5 & 2 & 1 & -6\end{array}\right\}$

Showing a tremendous revival of form, Rossolimo took first prize in Section B by virtue of drawing one less game than Pachman.

The final standings were:

|  | w | L | D | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. Rossolimo | 6 | 0 | 1 | $61 / 2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| L. Pachman | 5 | 0 | 2 | $6-1$ |
| H. van Steenis | 4 | 2 | 1 | $41 / 2-21 / 2$ |
| H. Foerder | 4 | 3 | 0 | $4-3$ |
| G. van Doesburgh | 3 | 3 | 1 | $31 / 2-31 / 2$ |
| J. van Helden | 2 | 5 | 0 | $2-5$ |
| P. Kuperus | 1 | 6 | 0 |  |
| P. de Ruiter | 0 | 6 | 1 | $1 / 2 \cdot 6^{1 / 2}$ |

The remaining section gave Laszlo Szabo a chance to redeem his previous bad play in the Zone tourney. The Hungarian rose to the occasion nicely and edged out E. Spanjaard of Holland by half a point. Szabo was also awarded first brilliancy prize for his game against van Seters. Spanjaard, another of the Dutch surprises in the three section event, had a clear margin over favored Italian master Castaldi.
The results were:

|  | w | L | D | тоtal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. Szabo | 6 | 0 | 1 | $61 / 2^{-1 / 2}$ |
| E. Spanjaard | 6 | 1 | 0 | 6 -1 |
| V. Castaldi | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 -2 |
| F, van Seters | 4 | 3 | 0 | $4{ }^{-3}$ |
| G. Fontein | 2 | 3 | 2 | $3-4$ |
| D. de Lange | 2 | 4 | 1 | $21 / 2.41 / 2$ |
| H. van Hofwegen | 1 | 6 | 0 | $1-6$ |
| S. Pastijn | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0-7 |

## O'Kelly Again

Shortly before the European Zone event, Alberic O'Kelly de Galway participated in an international tournament at TepliceSanov, Czechoslovakia. The Belgian star won five and drew six to wind up in a tie for first with V. Pirc of Yugoslavia at 8-3. Third prize went to a little known Czech player named Ujtelky with $71 / 2-31 / 2$. Sajtar was fourth with 7.4 and Pytlakovski of Poland fifth at $61 / 2-41 / 2$. The only other foreign entrant, Galia of Austria, was a poor eighth.

## Gallic Wit

The ten-man team match between France and Belgium held recently at Brussels was won by the former, $111 / 2-81 / 2$. Like most matches of its kind, the event was played in two rounds. The Belgian team took the lead when it tallied $51 / 2-41 / 2$ in the first half. The second round was an entirely different story as the visiting Frenchmen came back to overwhelm their hosts 7.3 to clinch the match.

Both sides were handicapped by the absence of some of their best players so the result must be regarded as a fair test of their relative strength. Nicholas Rossolimo, Parisian champion, won both of his games from R. LeMaire on first board.

## The Amenities of Chess

Last month players from British Col. umbia and Washington State met in Blaine Park for the fourth in their series of international matches. Appropriately the chessboards straddled the border passing under Blaine Peace Arch. It was a gala occasion conducted in carefree, picnic spirit despite the "serious business" at hand. At the close of the day, the Canadians had won the match, $161 / 2 \cdot-11 / 2$, to square the series at two all. The Washingtonians salvaged some glory by swamping the Canadians $331 / 2-191 / 2$ in a secondary contest. A novel touch was added by the presence of newsreel camera men who photographed the festivities.
Much of the credit for organization of the U. S. end of these affairs belongs to R. C. Cannon and his tireless co-workers who helped make the whole thing possible They can feel proud of their efforts to cement international good will with our neighbor up north.

## Norsemen

The Nordic Zone tourney at Helsinki last month resulted in a deadlock between Eero Book of Finland and Gosta Stoltz of Sweden. Each had 9.2. H. Carlsson, also of Sweden, captured third place with $71 / 2$ $31 / 2$. Other leading scores were: J. Ene voldsen (Denmark), 7-4; E. Lundin (Sweden) and O. Barda (Norway), 6.5. Last year's winner, O. Kaila of Finland, had a tough time and finished far down the list with 4.7. Lundin was also much out of form.
Although Book won his individual game from Stoltz, it was agreed that the tille would be decided by an eight game matd this fall. The first three games will bt played in Finland; the remainder in Sweden. The winner will be zone champion

Folke Rogard, president of the Swedisk Chess Federation and also a vice-presiden of the FIDE, announced that after the world championship had been determined the five unsuccessful candidates woulh compete with the eight zone champion plus Najdorf and Stahlberg for the rigtt to challenge the world titleholder. Thy tournament is to be held in Sweden short ly after the world championship event in 1948.

## UNITHD STATES

## NATIONAL EVENTS

## Rodeo

When the expansive Texans put on a show, you can expect it to be the biggest and best of its kind. The U. S. Chess Federation Open Championship didn't fall short of these superlatives. The largest entry in the forty-eight year history of the event made it seem more like a rodeo than a chess tournament. When the shooting was over, grandmaster Isaac Kashdan emerged top hand. He led the eighty-five contestants with $111 / 2-11 / 2$. He had beaten, among others, Yanofsky, Steiner and Ulvestad, drawn with Kramer, Santasiere and Cuellar to finish undefeated. Coupled with his second place in last year's U. S. Championship, it made Kashdan's position as one of the top U. S. players more solid than ever.
Runners-up were Canada's champion, youthful Dan Abe Yanofsky and Anthony E. Santasiere, winner of the Ventnor tourney. Each had 10-3. Fourth place went to Miguel Cuellar of Colombia. He tallied $91 / 2 \cdot 31 / 2$. An odd feature of Cuellar's surprise performance is the fact that this is only his third tournament in ten years of chess!
George Kramer, Augusto Sanchez (another Colombian), Stephen Shaw and Norman T. Whitaker were bracketed in fifth to eighth positions with $9-4$ each. Kramer shared the lead for the first seven rounds but two successive losses put him out of the running. Whitaker also ranked ligh but stumbled toward the end.
Staged at the White-Plaza Hotel by the Corpus Christi Chess Club, the tournament had the solid backing of local business men and the Caller-Times. Sam E. Wilson,

Jr., an oil operator, generously contributed $\$ 1,000$ for first prize. This is surely a tribute to the energy of local sponsors of the event for Wilson had never played a single game of chess!


This cheerful cowgirl was, appropriately enough, the emblem of the tournament.

Sidelights: The enormous entry included players from seventeen states, Puerto Rico and five foreign countries (Canada, Colombia, Cuba, Mexico and New Zealand). The group was so large that only thirteen Swiss system rounds sufficed to run the event.
Upsets studded the early rounds: Adams lost to Kendall of Texas; Yanofsky to fifteen-year-old Larry Evans (see page 7).
Mexico City champion Alfonso Ferriz must have gotten a shock when he lost to Mrs. Mary Bain of Miami. Apparently he didn't realize how tough women players can be.

Herman Steiner lost the title he won last year at Pittsburgh but he kept some of his reputation. In the last round he
sweated out a 110 move victory over veteran master Edward Lasker.

The leading scorers were:
Isaac Kashdan (New York) ....111/2-11/2
A. E. Santasiere (New York) ... $10-3$
D. A. Yanofsky (Canada) ...... 10 -3

Miguel Cuellar (Colombia) ..... 91/2-31/2
George Kramer (New York) .... 9 -4
Augusto Sanchez (Colombia) ... 9 -4
Stephen Shaw (New York) .... 9 - 4
Norman Whitaker (Maryland) .. 9 -4
Weaver Adams (Massachusetts) . $81 / 2-51 / 2$
Albert Sandrin (Illinois) ...... 81/2-51/2
Herman Steiner (California) ... 81/2.51/2
Olaf Ulvestad (Washington) ... 81/2.51/2
Miguel Aleman (Cuba) $\ldots \ldots .8^{8} \quad-5$
Herbert Avram (New York) .... 8 -5
Arturo Colon (Puerto Rico) .... 8 -5
Larry Evans (New York) ....... 8 -5
Edward Lasker (New York) .... 8 -5
R. Steinmeyer (Missouri) ...... 8 -5

Rhys Hays (New York) ........ 71/2-51/2
Charles Smith (Canada) ....... 71/2-51/2
Alfonso Ferriz (Mexico) ....... 7 -6
Angelo Sandrin (Illinois) ...... 7 -6
Hector Viseppo (Puerto Rico) . 7 -6

## STATE AND REGIONAL CHESS

California. E. Bersbach won the championship of the Los Angeles' Bay Area in a recent tourney. He tallied $11 \frac{1}{2}-11 / 2$ to top the field at Santa Monica.

The Long Beach Chess Club edged the Riverside Chess Club $41 / 2-31 / 2$ in a toughly contested match at Long Beach.
Connecticut. The Deep River Chess Club downed a visiting team from Germantown, Pennsylvania, $41 / 2-11 / 2$, in a recent tussle. Pulling the wires was E. Forry Laucks who, even on vacation, cannot stay away from chess. Laucks, who is a member of both clubs as well as the Log Cabin Club in New Jersey, played for Germantown by special dispensation.



THE FEMININE TOUCH
Thirteen-year-old Jayne Gibson of Robstown, Texas was a welcome sight in a man-monopolized event. She is the youngest competitor in the tourney's history!

A powerful Montreal team crushed Quebec 7-1 in a recent encounter at Three Rivers. Former Canadian champion Maurice Fox downed Osias Bain, Quebec titlist, on first board. Only J. Maroney was able to win for Quebec. He tallied his point by brilliant play.

## (f) FOREIGN

England. The eleventh annual match between the London Stock Exchange and the Amsterdam Bourse was won by the former, $101 / 2-91 / 2$, in London recently.
Germany. Ewfim Bogolyubov, who twice played matches for the championship of the world, won the Kasseler Zeitung tourney with a score of $71 / 2-21 / 2$. Paul Schmidt was second with 6-4.
Hungary. Erno Gereben made a miraculous record in a recent tourney. He tallied $121 / 2 \cdot 1 / 2$ to take first. L. Tipary was second with $11-2$ and G. Barcza, $101 / 2-21 / 2$, was third.
Luxembourg. A match between teams from the north and south of this tiny nation ended in an incredible tie: $171 / 2-171 / 2$.

Portugal. C. Pires became champion of Lisbon with A. P. da Costa runner-up. F. Lupi and G. Ribeiro tied for 1st in a southern masters tournament.
Spain. National champion Antonia Medina has added the Cataluna title to his chess laurels. He easily led the fifteen man field with $111 / 2-21 / 2$. J. Sola was far behind with $9-5$. Rafael Llorens was third with $8 \frac{1}{2}-5^{1} / 2$.

Sweden. An inter-city match between Stockholm and Goteborg was won by the capital team by $131 / 2 \cdot 71 / 2$.
G. Stoltz has won the title of Blitzmaster of Sweden, for scoring $1011 / 2$ out of a 114 total in a double round, 58 -entry Swedish rapid transit tourney. (The Swedish rapid transit is a five minute limit per game.) E. Lundin missed by only a halfpoint! Folke Ekstrom came third at 100; O. Borjesson was fourth at $921 / 2$.

USSR. The tournament at Parnu, Estonia attracted all the leading Soviet masters except Botvinnik. At the close of the eleventh round, Paul Keres led the field with 8-2. He had lost to Bronstein and drew with Smyslov and Flohr. Andrea Lilienthal held second place with $71 / 2 \cdot 31 / 2$. Kotov had $7-3$ while Bronstein and Smyslov were tied at 7-4. Adjourned games account for the discrepancies in the totals.

Isaac Boleslavsky gained the Sverdlovsk city championship with a total of $111 / 2$. $21 / 2$. He was unbeaten. Kavtorin, a first category player, was second.
The USSR Women's championship was won by Elizabeth Bikova with 14-2. Valentina Byelova and Tchoudova tied with 12-4. The new titleholder is also women's champion of Moscow.


Miniature games are the hors d'oeuvres of chess.

## VIENNA, 1947

Accerting the Queen's Gambit in order to hold the Pawn is a dangerous gamble. Here, for instance, the fate which befalls Black is surely worse than death.

QUEEN'S GAMBIT


## SURREY, 1947

Routine developing moves are Black's downfall. Pressure on the long diagonal and a tail-end Knight fork deprive him of a piece before he is out of the opening.

## QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

| L. Alexander |  | E. Cordingley |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  |  | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 6 | P-Q5 | PxP |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-K3 | 7 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | P-Q3 |
| 3 N-KB3 | P-QN3 | 8 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | Q-Q2 |
| 4 P-KN3 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 9 | NxP | NxN |
| 5 B-N2 | P-B4 | 10 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | B-K2 |



For if $13 \ldots \mathrm{QxQ}$, the zwischenzug 14 NxBch wins a full piece.

WARSAW, 1947
Even when Black abandons the gambit Pawn, he has to be cautious. In this game, his reckless "freeing" move does a good deal more harm than good.

QUEEN'S GAMBIT
 easily.

## LODZ, 1947

Carelessness costs Black a Pawn in the opening. When he attempts to fight back, the sacrifice of two Rooks spells double trouble for him.

ENGLISH OPENING


Mate cannot be avoided.

## Germantown

C. French
W. Hall S. Wachs H. Ferris H. Jesser E. Laucks

Deep River S. Wysowski 1
J. Hazuka G. Hazuka Dr. L. Cash B. Chapman L. Benjamin
to the Massachusetts Schoolboy tournament. The event is scheduled for Monday, October 13th (Columbus Day) and will begin at 10 A . M. It is open to players under nineteen years of age. A lunch will be served to the contestants and prizes are to be awarded to the winners.
New Jersey. The tireless Log Cabin group invited another out-of-state club into its lair and came up with a $71 / 2-21 / 2$ victory. Victims this time were members of Philadelphia's famed Mercantile Library Chess Club, reputed to be one of the strongest in the Keystone state.

The lineups were:

## Log Cabin

| S. Kowalski | 1 | H. Morris | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| E. Jackson | $1 / 2$ | A. Stearn | $1 / 2$ |
| A. Rothman | $1 / 2$ | D. Blizard | $1 / 2$ |
| G. Parmalee | 1 | E. Dreher | 0 |
| F. Howard | $1 / 2$ | A. Sklaroff | $1 / 2$ |
| A. Ambrogio | 1 | S. Wachs | 0 |
| S. Thelin | 0 | C. Rheams | 1 |
| H. Jones | 1 | L. Rosenfield | 0 |
| B. Kozma | 1 | D. Shain | 0 |
| R. Baderscher | 1 | D. Neff | 0 |
|  | $71 / 2$ |  | $21 / 2$ |

New York. The New York State tournament began with forty-one entries in the championship section, more than ever before in its long history. Among the leading contenders for the title were Anthony Santasiere, defending champion; Albert Pinkus; George Kramer, 1945 titleholder; Donald Byrne; George Shainswit and Edward Lasker. Two former U. S. amateur champions, Dr. Ariel Mengarini and Edward Jackson, Jr., were also on the list.

Last month the Elmira Chess Club beat the Binghamton Club $4-2$ in a match at Elmira. What is most astonishing is that


CIINCHER
Folke Ekstrom clinches the Swedish title by drawing with Olof Kinnmark in the final round of the recent championship tourney. Folke Rogard, president of the Swedish Chess Federation, watches with evident interest.
the winners were all junior players while their opponents were much older.
Elmira
Binghamton
A. Piper
W. Hull
L. Kilmer
C. Morey
L. Davis
H. Evans
H. Hart
H. Derbyshire
0
R. Thurston
T. Piper
1
E. Rickerson
2

Leslie Kilmer kept his blindfold championship of Chemung County by winning $4-1$ in a series with R. Fitzgerald.
West Virginia. Dr. Robert Humphries won the Harrison county title with the fine score of $131 / 2-41 / 2$. Frank Wisinski and Ray Griffin were bracketed at $111 / 2$. $61 / 2$ for runner-up honors.

## (\#) LATIN AMERICA

Argentina. There are rumors that the Jockey Club of Buenos Aires is organizing a "friendly" match between Mendel Najdorf and Gideon Stahlberg. These two masters have been the king-pins of South American chess since 1939. Although outside the charmed circle of six grandmas. ters who will compete for the world championship next year, they are considered leading candidates for the world title.

## CANADA

Robert E. Martin is 1946 Canadian postal champion. He won six and lost one in the finals of the tournament conducted by the Canadian Correspondence Chess Association. His only defeat was by run-ner-up Frank Anderson. Walter Muir of Schenectady, New York was third. The new champion is also president of the Gambit Chess Club and an excellent over-the-board player.

Another of the series between the Gambit Club of Toronto and the Buffalo Chess Club ended in a dead heat. J. Sundsten downed his U. S. rival at the end of the long session to create the $6-6$ tie.
Gambit C. C.
Buffalo C. C.

| R. Martin | 1 | C. Poppenberg | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. Crompton | 0 | M. Siegel | 1 |
| S. Gale | 0 | J. Lear | 1 |
| P. Avery | 1 | S. Frucella | 0 |
| R. Orlando | 1 | G. Davenport | 0 |
| J. Sundsten | 1 | H. Hodge | 0 |
| G. Weaver | 0 | N. Wilder | 1 |
| W. Hodges | 1 | R. Shea | 0 |
| F. Hogg | 0 | D. Habberfield | 1 |
| F. Love | 1 | R. Schuman | 0 |
| E. Johnston | 0 | D. Rechlin | 1 |
| C. Bauer | 0 | R. Pollach | 1 |
|  | 6 |  | 6 |

Prize match was between youngsters from Toronto and Buffalo. Averaging less than fourteen years old, the Canadian kids beat their older opponents $111 / 2 \cdot 91 / 2$.

## MASTERSIN THE MAKING

# 2. Jim Cross and Larry Evans 

By John Rather

THE runners-up in the recent U. S. Junior championship afford an interesting contrast in types. Jim Cross of Glendale, California is tall, quiet and unassuming. At seventeen, he has been playing chess for only two years. Starting with Hoyle's Games on which so many have teethed, he learned quickly; soon he topped his school club's ladder. When he moved to California, he attracted the attention of Herman Steiner who is always eager to help young players. Under the chessmaster's tutelage and by unremitting study of master games, Cross blossomed. He entered the first junior tournament in 1946 with no high hopes yet he finished fourth only two points behind the winner. Onlookers, impressed by his imaginative play, predicted big things for him. His performance this year has shown them to be right. Cross feels that his big handicap at Cleveland was lack of opening knowledge. "One finds," he says, "the junior players study the openings a lot more enthusiastically than most of the older players." He means to eliminate this flaw from his own play. When he does, Jim Cross will be a force to reckon with in American chess.

Fifteen-year-old Larry Evans has an almost explosive enthusiasm for chess. He learned to play when he was six but paid little attention to the game until seven years later. His interest aroused, he devoted himself whole-heartedly to the task of improving. Play, study and more play was his formula. It paid dividends in the rigorous competition of New York's best clubs where even a rapid transit tournament has its turnout of masters. Evans was only sixth in the first junior event but by early this year, he had improved enough to tie with Santasiere for fourth and fifth in the powerful Marshall Chess Club championship. At Cleveland, he justified this excellent showing. Evans has a gift for rapid transit. He even plays his tournament games in accelerated tempo. But it is just this impetuosity-this brashness, if you will-which he must learn to curb if he is to become a really great player.

Isolated pawns are generally regarded with distrust-even by experienced players. Here, in the course of an aggressive line, White voluntarily assumes one. Imagine the surprise of both players when the "invalid" marches down the board to queen!

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

## J. Cross

R. Cantwell

White Black

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 & P-Q 4 \\
2 & P-Q B 4 \\
3 & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3
\end{array}
$$

P-Q4
P-K3
P-QB4
This defense was loving sired by Dr. Sigbert Tarrasch who maintained to the bitter end that it gives Black equality. Few masters agree with him.

| 4 | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | KPxP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3$ | $\ldots .$. |

6 P-KN3
. and this is why. White intends to level his forces against the Queen

Pawn. The cares burdening Black are more than most players willingly accept.

$$
6 \ldots \quad \text { P-B5 }
$$

Despite the success with which the Swedish team used this move at Folkestone 1933, Tarrasch condemned it on the ground that it abandons the center. He believed that the "break" which comes later refutes Black's whole line.

Tarrasch recommended 6...N-B3; 7 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 8 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 9 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ ! ; $10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QR} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ with the better game.

| 7 | B-N2 | B-QN5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 8 O-O | KN-K2 |  |
| 9 | P-K4! | $\ldots .$. |

White voluntarily assumes an isolated Pawn in order to break up his opponent's center and to obtain free action for his own pieces.

| $9 \underset{\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}}{ }$ | PxP |
| ---: | ---: |
| $10-\mathrm{O}$ |  |

The preferred continuation is $10 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-$ KB4 to unseat the Knight at K4.

11 B-K3
More exact is $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ at once. 11... B-K3
$11 \ldots$ B-KB4 is still best. This move lets Black in for a peck of trouble.

$$
12 \text { P-QR3 B-Q4 }
$$

Clearly after $12 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$; $13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ White has the better game so Black goes in for complications.
$13 \mathrm{~N}(3)-\mathrm{N} 5$ !
B-R4

$14 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$
Cross notes regretfully that he over looked a brilliant win here with 14 Nx RP!!, KxN; 15 Q-R5ch, K-N1; $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 ; 17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{N}-$ N1; $19 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ (or...N-B3; 21 QxP) ; 21 QxPch, B-B2; $22 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}$ ! and the Black King is hopelessly compromised.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
14 . \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} \\
15 & \text { Q-Q4ch } \\
16 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4
\end{array}
$$

More aggressive is $16 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ and if $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 3$ ! As the game proceeds, it is evident that Black underrates the danger of the Queen Pawn. True, it is isolated but it is also passed!

| 17 Q-B2 | Q-B4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18 Q×Q | N×Q |
| 19 KR-Q1 | N-Q3 |

Unfortunately the Knight at QB5 is a thorn in Black's side, preventing the normal QR-Q1 (because of N-N7). There fore Black tries to safeguard his weakness and blockade the Pawn. Neither aim is successful. An alternative is $19 \ldots$ KR K1 hiting the Bishop; if then $20 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~N})$ K4, NxB; 21 PxN, P-B4; $22 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, RxP leaves the game in a muddled state. Black's best chances lie in this direction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 20 \text { B-B4! } \\
& 21 \text { P-Q5 }
\end{aligned}
$$

KR-Q1
. . . .

The first step in White's winning pro cedure. He drives away the Bishop's guard.
21
N-K2
22 BxN

Then the blockader is replaced by a less "elastic" piece.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 22 \dot{N}-N 7 \\
& 23
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N}
$$

. . . which is promptly exiled to the side of the board. Thus the sickly Queen Pawn comes into its own.

| $23 \ldots$ R-QR3 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24 P-Q6 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 25 NXB | $\ldots .$. |

Disposing of one of the guards to the queening square.

25 ....
$\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$
This loses valuable time and further unguards the queening square, $25 \ldots$ Rx $N$ gives better resistance.
26 P-Q7
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 2$ 27 R-K1 R-KB1

To avoid mate by $28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, etc. 28 R-K8

R-Q3
Everything seems alright but Black has no baum for his woes. There is a tactical fly in the ointment.

$29 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{RP}$ !
The Black King is sadly overworked -he can't guard everything.


At last ridding himself of the obnoxious Pawn but too late to save the game.

| $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QN} 8$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | 35 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 8$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |  |
| $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $37 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |  |
| $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $38 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |  |
| Black treads water as well as he can |  |  |  |  |
| but he cannot stay afloat much longer. |  |  |  |  | but he cannot stay afloat much longer.

## $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3$

## Resigns

The mating net is complete: 40 R R8ch and $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4}$ mate is threatened. On $39 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$; $40 \mathrm{R}(2)-\mathrm{K} 8$ is equally eifective.

T$\Gamma$ his game was selected because it is, beyond any question, Larry Evans? outstanding achievement to date. Few fif-teen-year-olds ever defeat an international master. Yanofsky, only twenty-two, must have been reminded of the days when he turned the trick himself.

## U. S. Open, Corpus Christi 1947 ALEKHINE'S DEFENSE

D. Yanofsky
L. Evans

White
Black
1 P-K4
N-KB3
Like most youngsters, Evans is fond of experimenting with openings, For this game, he chooses a prickly defense.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \\
3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

In the dear old days, White used to throw forward every Pawn in order to keep his opponent's Knight on the run. Today, only diehard defenders of White's hereditary initiative feel that the Four Pawn attack is good. After 3 P-QB4, NN3; 4 P-Q4, P-Q3; 5 P-B4, PxP; 6 BPx $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$, White finds his hands full defending his over-extended center.
3... P-Q3

This probing at White's advanced Pawn is an essential part of the Black strategy. Unless he is careful, the powerful White center may become a permanent fixture.

## 4 N-KB3 <br> 5 P-KR3

B-N5
An uncommon move which virtually compels Black to yield one of his Bishops, for if $5 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 3 ; 7$ P-K6! keeps the second player tangled up for some time to come.
The more usual line is $5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ with which White seeks to reserve a variety of chances until the jdeal moment.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
5 \ldots & B \times N & 7 P_{\times P} & P-K 3 \\
6 Q_{Q \times B} & P \times P & 8 & P_{-Q R}
\end{array}
$$

One of those celebrated prophylactic moves. If 8 P -B4 at once, $8 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$; 9 QxP?, QN-B3! with simultaneous threats of $10 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QN} 1$ and $10 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$. For example, if 10 Q-N5, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$; $11 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ wins the Queen.


A weak move which involves Black in considerable difficulties. The best plan is found in the continuation of a game between L. Steiner and Koblenz (Brno, 1937): $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$; 9 Q-KN3, N-Q2; 10 $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ ! ; $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KN} 3,12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ (Black already menaced $12, \ldots$ KNxP and $13 \ldots$ Q-R4ch winning the King Pawn), $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ ! and Black has much the best of matters.

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
9 & \text { B-QN5 } & \text { Q-Q2 } \\
10 & \text { P-B4 } & \text { KN-K2 }
\end{array}
$$

The necessity of guarding QB3 forces this awkward expedient. If $10 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3$; $11 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ followed by $\mathrm{R}-$ Q1.

$$
110-0 \quad \text { Q-Q5 }
$$

Boldly attempting to create complications. If $11 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6$; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, White retains the edge.

## $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$

White wants to undermine Black control of QB3. $12 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ fails by a whisker after $12 \ldots \mathrm{QxKP} ; 13 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ gives the Black King a safe refuge.

$$
12 \ldots P-Q R 3
$$

He cannot stand idly by while his position is disrupted. For example, $12 \ldots$ Qx KP; $13 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{KxB}$ (forced to prevent loss of a Rook) ; $14 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{PxB}$; $15 \mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{R}$ Q1; $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and White threatens mayhem with KR-K1 and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \mathrm{ch}$. Or if 12 ... QxNP; $13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ locks the Queen out of the succeeding play.

## 13 BxN

This comes to nothing. Better is 13 B QR4, QxBP; $14 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and White has excellent attacking chances for his Pawn.
$13 \ldots$
$\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$
R×B
$15 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$

NxP
The Queen Knight Pawn is a poisoned morsel: 16 QxP?, R-R2; 17 Q-N8ch, KK2 and the White Queen is trapped!

## 16.

$\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$
Black is now free of his troubles and, in fact, has the better game.

| 17 N-B3 | N-N3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 18 QR-Q1 | Q-K4 |
| 19 Q-B2 | $\cdots$. |

The exchange of Queens leaves Black with a somewhat better ending but the game looks drawish. From this point on, Yanofsky pursues the chimera of an attack on the Black King. Like most mirages, it leads to tragedy.

| $19 \ldots$ | R $\times \mathrm{R}$ <br> $20 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\cdots$. |  |

To prevent a future...N-K7ch.
22.
Q-N4
23 R-KN1?
. . . .

This is explicable only as an hallucination. Apparently White rejects $23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ because of $23 \ldots$ NxRP and if $24 \mathrm{PxN}, \mathrm{R}-$ Q7 wins. But if $23 \ldots$ NxRP; $24 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ wins a piece by threatening mate and the Queen.
On 23 P-B3, Black must play $23 \ldots$ NQ6 and after $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6$ when he has only a minimal advantage.

## $23 \ldots \quad$ Q-R4!

Threatening 24... NxRP and on 25 Px $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{QxP}$ mate! Hypnotized, white overlooks that he can parry this by simply moving his Rook and Black has to scratch for a wimning line.

$$
24 \text { K-R2? }
$$

R-Q6!
After the King again, this time with a potential... RxPch.

$$
25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 \quad \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}
$$

Proud of his immunity, the Rook hacks his way to the White King. If 26 PxR?, QxP mate.

## 26 R-Q1



A stunning blow. Of course, if 27 PxN , QxPch; $28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ mates in two. But after 27 PxR , who would believe Black can still combine?

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
27 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch} \\
28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}!
\end{array}
$$

He can and this is the point-if 29 Kx $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Q}$-R7ch wins the Queen by an x-ray attack.

| 29 | K-B4 | Q-R7ch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 30 | K-K3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ ! |
| Resigns |  |  |

After $31 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ wins the Queen. If $31 \mathrm{PxN}, \mathrm{QxQ} ; 32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$ wins easily thanks to the extra Pawns.

## Game of the Month

## THE DECISIVE GAME

Chess is primarily a struggle and, in following the results of tournaments, we look for the most exciting struggles we can find. Sometimes it happens to be a game unconnected with the top prize; at other times it is a brilliant battle of a tail-ender against the winner (frequently the losses of great players are even better than their wins). But most often the best games are those between two leaders.

In this year's Soviet championship, it was anticipated that, with Botvinnik abstaining, there would be a race between Keres and Smyslov. Up to a certain point the prediction was right. After nine rounds, Smyslov was leading with seven points, while Keres and Bondarevsky were tied for second place with six and a half. Keres and Smyslov met in the tenth round.

Their game was full of surprises. Keres as White chose a quiet opening. After only a few moves, Smyslov was quite safe. Then he made a psychological mistake-in an even position he rushed into complications, apparently in an attempt to win. The punishment was immediate and severe; after only eleven moves, Keres had a won game.

One loss does not spoil a tournament for a master, but it can go far. As in a similar situation with Botvinnik three years ago, the defeat seems to have depressed Smyslov. In the first nine rounds, he had scored seven points; in the last nine, he could only manage to get five. Of his seven wins in the tournament, five were scored in the first half, only two in the second. Keres, on the other hand, kept up a steady pace and finished a comfortable two points ahead of his rival.

## U. S. S. R. Championship, 1947 ENGLISH OPENING

P. Keres
V. Smyslov
White
Black

## 1 P-QB4

Like Botvinnik, Keres is an eclectic in the openings, especially as White.
1...

N-KB3
From a purely theoretical point of view, all the various replies to the English are quite satisfactory.

2 N-QB3
The order of moves is of some importance. $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ is a more potent measure against Black's ... P-QB4, because it prepares $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$; but Keres has evidently de-


GRANDMASTER REUBEN FINE

R-B1, B-Q2 is best, though, after it, 11 P-Q4, R-B1; 12 PxP, BxP; $13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ gives White a slight advantage.
Black had an excellent continuation, however, in $9 \ldots$ P-QN3! $10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ is impossible because Black's Queen Rook is defended. Equally, if $10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QR} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ ! prevents both $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$. And, if 10 P-K3 (to threaten $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ ), R-QN1! maintains easy equality.

## 10 R-B1

As a result of Black's rash advance, White can develop with serious threats. The first is to win a Pawn with N-QR4.

$$
10 \text {. . . }
$$

P-B3

Another weakening which could well have been avoided or postponed.

## $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ <br> P-QN3?

The fatal blunder. It is surprising that it comes at such an early stage. Correct was $11 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 3$, although White retains a strong initiative after $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4$ followed by P-B4.

$$
12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4
$$



$$
12 \ldots \quad B-Q 2
$$

Unfortunate necessity.
To $12 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$, the pretty refutation is $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4!$ and, if $13 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 3,14 \mathrm{~N}-$ B5, O-O; $15 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{NxP} ; 16 \mathrm{NxN}, \mathrm{BxN} ; 17$ Q-N3ch, R-B2 (otherwise RxB) ; 18 BQ5, and White wins.
He might have considered $12 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, to reply to $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4$ with the sacrifice of the exchange by $\ldots \mathrm{NxP}$, a sacrifice which White would hardly accept. On $12 \ldots \mathrm{Q}^{-\mathrm{Q} 2,} 13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ ! instead is much stronger. Black cannot castle; so $13 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ is practically forced, and $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ ! continues the pressure.
With the text, Smyslov may have hoped for 13 P-QN4? NxP; $14 \mathrm{BxR}, \mathrm{QxB}$, as he then has ample compensation for the exchange.

$$
13 \text { P-K3!! }
$$

One exclamation mark because the move is strong and a second because it wins by force. There are two threats involved: win of the exchange by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and a break in the center with P-Q4. Black cannot stop both.
$13 .$.
O-O
14 P-Q4
. . .

Demolishing Black's game. The main dreat at the moment is the gain of a piece with $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
14 . \ldots & \begin{array}{r}
K P \times P \\
15 \\
\text { P×P }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

So that, if now $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ ? $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ is 500d; acceptance of the Pawn then would give Black counterplay, e.g., $17 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{Px}$ B; 18 QxP, N-N4.

$16 \ldots$
P-QN4
In a lost position the inducement to hold on for dear life is not very great. On $16 \ldots$ PxP; $17 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{BxN} ; 18 \mathrm{RxB}$, it is a matter of more than a Pawn gone. Black's game remains hopelessly cramped. E.g., $18 \ldots$ N-K3; 19 R-Q5, R-KB2; $20 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$, with a quick win in sight.

$$
17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3
$$

P-B4
A last try, for on $17 \ldots \mathrm{BxP}, 18 \mathrm{NxP}$ is simple and powerful. The idea of the text is that, if $18 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ gives Black some counterplay.

## 18 R-B2!

Another vigorous move, which leads to a speedy finish.

$$
18 \text {. } 19 \text { R-Q2! }
$$

$\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$

The point.


## 20 PxB!

Again the most direct. If instead 20 Bx N , Black has the surprising $20 \ldots$ BxB! after which there follows $21 \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{RxR}$, and the two Bishops may create a lot of trouble.

$$
20
$$

$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 3$
Losing another Pawn; but there was no good defense. $20 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ is met by 21 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$, and $20 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ by $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$.

21 NxP
Black is helpless. The remainder is routine.

| $21 \quad \cdots \cdots$ | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 22 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 23 | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 24 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 26 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R}$ | N |
| 27 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{K} 4$ |  |
|  |  | Resigns |

## "McKay Has The Chess Books!"

## THE IDEAS BEHIND THE CHESS OPENINGS by Reuben Fine

A much-needed book which gives not only the moves of the current chess openings, but carefully explains the underlying reasoning and objectives. By the great authority whom Chess has described as "the leading writer of our times."

MEET THE MASTERS! by Dr. Max Euwe
With the World Championship Tournament scheduled for 1948, the new edition of this classic makes timely reading. Euwe describes the careers of the great contemporary masters, explains their styles, annotates their masterpieces.
THE BASIS OF COMBINATION IN CHESS by J. duMont
Utilizing 250 of the most brilliant examples of sacrificial play, duMont classifies chess sacrifices and explains how they are conceived and executed.
CHESS MASTERY BY QUESTION AND ANSWER by Fred Reinfeld (Revised edition)

Reinfeld has carefully devised 347 searching questions to a group of outstanding master games. The "learning by doing" technique is the most effective teaching method over devised; and it's fun!

For complete catalog of distinguished McKay books by Fine, Tarrasch, Lasker, Znosko-Borovsky, Chernev, Mason, Mieses, Reinfeld, Denker, Reshevsky, Golombek and many other noted authorities, write:

## The No. 1 Publisher of Chess Books DAVID McKAY COMPANY

#  <br> by FRED M. WREN 

## 7. SUPERSTITIONS II CIIESS

ISUPPOSE all chess players have their own individual superstitions pertaining to chess. I have several. There is one chap, a very good friend of mine, with whom I have played both skittles and so-called serious chess for about ten years. In skittles play, I win about seven-ty-five per cent of the games played. He has never won a tournament in which I have competed and I have won several. Yet, whenever we meet in tournament play, he simply murders me. Then he goes on to lose to others to whom I could give odds. That, then, is one of my su-perstitions-that whenever I sit down to a tournament game with him I'm licked.

Another of my superstitions has to do with the opening known as Alekhine's Defense. I have never lost a tournament game in which that defense was used. Consequently, although I had no kindly feelings toward the late World Champion (due to my personal friendship with Capablanca, I naturally took his side in the bitter feud between them), I must confess the opening which bears his name has used me very well indeed. Up to 1942, I had never played the black pieces in this opening. When I sat down in that year to play a tournament game with my friend, Mayfield (see Game 1), I never intended to. As he began the game with 1 P-K4, he said, "Remember how you slaughtered me last year with the Alekhine Defense?" I remembered and told him so. He said, "Suppose you show me how it should have been played." That sounded like a challenge to stick by neck out; but, as I have said previously, I play for fun, and this sounded as if it might be fun. So I said, "Sure, let's go!" and played... N-KB3.

## 13. Halifax Championship, 1942 ALEKHINE DEFENSE

| Mayfield | Wren |
| :--- | :--- |
| White | Black | White

Wren
1 P-K4
N-KB3
2 P-K5
N -Q4

| 3 | P-QB4 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

A good place to make a stand and stop the flood of Pawns.

6 P-QN3 P-K3
White has now made six Pawn moves without developing a piece. Black, though he has been forced to move one piece three times, has two pieces "developed, and a perfectly sound position. White must develop-and fast-or he is sunk.

7 Q-B3
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$
Defending and developing, and at the same time threatening ... NxQP.


## 8 N-K2?

Almost fatal at this stage of the game, as it blocks the King Bishop, which never moves during this game, and in effect relegates the potentially powerful King Rook to the role of an impotent onlooker for the rest of the game. The Queen Pawn might have been more effectively defended by $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$, followed by $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$.

| 8 | P×P |
| :--- | ---: |
| 9 QP×P | B-B4 |
| 10 B-K3 | Q-K2 |
| 11 QN-B3 | O-O-O |

Black is now fully developed, and has a position which most players would classify as "terrific."



13 . . .
Q×B
Foiling White's attempt to win the exchange. If $13 \ldots$ RxQ; $14 \mathrm{BxQ}, \mathrm{RxBch}$; $15 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{NxB}$, Black loses not only the exchange Queens just yet.

14 Q-B2
Q-R4
No, thank you. Black doesn't want to exchange Queens Just yet.

| 15 | P-N4 | N×NP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 16 | R-QB1 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R} 7$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\ldots$. |



17 . . .
KR-Q1
Threatening mate by ... R-Q8.

$$
18 \text { Q-R4 }
$$

R-Q8ch
Announcing mate in four.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
19 \text { K-B2 } & \text { Q-B4ch } \\
20 \text { K-N3 } & \text { Q-K6 mate }
\end{array}
$$

Thus my first attempt with Black in the Alekhine Defense was crowned with success. I may try it again!

$\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{T}}$
T seems obvious that most superstitions nurtured by chess players are simply over-emphasized reactions to perfectly natural, though sometimes unusual, results of chess games.

Suppose Joe Doaks is due to play a tournament game against his friendly rival, Bill. Joe, a strong Class A player usually has no difficulty in trimming Bill who is at best a good guy that never emerged from Class B obscurity. Joe, a commuter, stays in town after business that day and, to kill time before the game, drops into a nearby bowling alley and rolls a few strings.

Bill, on his way to the club, realizes that he has no cigarettes. He stops in the nearest store to get some, but they do not have his favorite brand. He decides to try a new brand just for the evening and buys a pack of "Umphs."
The game starts with Joe looking for ward to the usual win, while Bill hopes for nothing more than a miraculous draw. Joe is a bit off his game and makes a careless mistake. Through some dispensation of Providence, Bill sees it, jumps on it and manages to ride it through to a gratifying but unexpected win.

So there we have the birth of two beautiful new superstitions. Joe will never bowl again just belore playing chess. See what happened to him the first and only time he did! Bill will smoke "Umphs" from now on, They bring him luck. Look at the walloping he gave Joe the first time he ever smoked them!

And so it goes. One player has good luck with his Knights in a crucial game, and from then on he's crazy over horses. Another chap will not play on a black and white board. He says -it hurts his eyes; but his astigmatism dates from the night the club champ broke in a new black and white board by winning Queen and game from him in twelve moves.
In 1943, I got a nice, blue wool cardigan for Christmas. The club tournament started the next week, and I wore the cardigan instead of a coat while playing the first game. I won, Of course the cardigan had nothing to do with it, but the next week I wore my coat and I lost. Back I went to the cardigan for all the other games of the tournament. I won every game. Now some fellows would have built up a superstition around a chain of events like that. Fortunately I am not so credulous. I knew it was just coincidence. The cardigan had nothing to do with it. But I played through three games in the Boston Tournament that summer, with the temperature ranging from 85 to 95 , before 1 had sense enough to take the damned thing off, and to wear the open-necked, short-sleeved sports shirts which adorned most of the other perspiring competitors.
And so it goes. Kashdan's love for the Bishops; Yanofsky's predeliction for the French Defense: my friend who refuses to play with the clock on his right; all these foibles can probably be traced back to some happy, or unhappy experience involving these items. That's certainly why I like Alekhine's Defense.
The following is one of the games which has helped me build up my superstition with reference to the advan-
tage which White enjoys in Alekhine's Defense. It is a game from a restricted move tournament-and one of my favorites, not so much due to any brilliant play as to the clean, pretty mate at the end.

17 P-QN4
18 PxB
Making the best of a bad bargain, as I thought. I didn't want to open the Queen Knight file by playing 18 NxRP.

"The cardigan had nothing to do with it."


These are the moves set up as constituting the opening, and play began at this point. (See explanation of restricted move tournaments, June issue, page 10.)

| 5 | N-QB3 | PxP | 11 | Q-R4? |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ N-N3

Now that I have won a Pawn the rest of the game is a snap, of course. Oh yes? Well, I must have thought so.


Trapping the Knight at B7. I should have played $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$. Now he gets his Pawn back.


This is one of the few games that I have played in which I derived any conscious benefit from one of the master games which I have played over in an attempt to learn their secrets. When my opponent played $30 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$, I re membered a game which Miss Vera Menchik had won from some masterEuwe, I believe-in a similar position. The black piece interposed between the King and the checking Queen was either a Bishop or a Knight. The great lady sacrificed her Queen for the interposed minor piece, and thus drew her opponent's King to a spot on which the Bishop could check and mate. Thanks, Miss Menchik (Mrs. Stevenson), and in your games with Morphy, Lasker, Capablanca and Marshall, in that Chessplayer's Paradise which you now inhabit, may all your Pawns become Queens!

Based on reliable data procured by exhaustive research, this series features outstanding events in chess history. The Automaton Chessplayer is the first subject of the series.

# THIS MADE CHESS HISTORY 

# 7. The Automaton's Last Director 

(Based on Professor George Allen's account in The Book of the First American Chess Congress, New York, 1857)

By KENNETH HARKNESS and JACK STRALEY BATTELL

T$\boldsymbol{T H e}_{\text {ne }}$ name of William Schlumberger, the last Director of the Automaton, is not as well known to American chess players as it should be. Only a few students of chess history realize that this young Alsatian was by far the strongest player in America for a period of twelve years, from 1826 to 1837. In private meetings and informal matches, Schlumberger defeated all the leading players of this country.
Schlumberger was about 26 years of age when he arrived in America. Born in Mulhouse about 1800 , he belonged to a wealthy family and received a good education. Being an Alsatian, he spoke both French and German with equal facility. He also spoke English, although imperfectly, on his arrival here.

Trained by his family to become a man of business, Schlumberger was sent to Paris as a youth. At the French capital, his business career did not last long. He met with commercial misfortune and began to support himself by giving chess lessons at the Cafe de la Regence. Obviously, he must have begun to frequent the Cafe long before he started to give lessons. By playing with masters he had acquired sufficient skill to qualify as a Professeur des Echecs. In all probability he gained this skill at the expense of his business career.
Schlumberger became one of the strong. est players at the Cafe de la Regence and consequently one of the strongest in the world at that time. He was fully the equal of Boncourt and Mouret, and was capable of playing with the great La Bourdonnais at no greater odds than Pawn and move. Pierre de St. Amant, at the
beginning of his career, took lessons from Schlumberger at the Cafe. Many years later, when St. Amant became the "Viceroy of La Bourdonnais," the French champion acknowledged his gratitude to Schlumberger for "initiating him into the great combinations of the game."
Schlumberger was a striking example of a type of person not unknown todaythe complete chess enthusiast. Like his hero La Bourdonnais, the young Professeur lived for chess alone. His subsistence as a chess teacher was scanty and precarious, but he was perfectly happy so long as he was playing chess. He earned three or four francs a day, which was barely enough to live on, but he was glad to be free of the responsibilities of property and business, so that he could devote his whole life to the game he loved.
In appearance, Schlumberger was tall, dark and handsome. Like his predecessor Boncourt, he was fully six feet tall. His figure was muscular and well proportioned, with the drawback which Poe has commemorated of "a remarkable stoop in the shoulders." His features were cleancut, his nose prominent but well formed. The admirable formation of his head, with its dark brown hair and his beautiful chestnut eyes, were often commented upon by those who knew him. Although a rapid chessplayer, he was slow of motion and slow of speech. When visiting friends, he was always neatly dressed, but at all other times he subscribed to the belief that any clothes were good enough to play chess in. He was quite indifferent to the class of his lodgings, so long as they were within reach of his narrow means and not too far away from a place to play chess.

When Schlumberger arrived at Bos. ton about October 1st,. 1826, his reputation as a strong player had preceded him. Maelzel, who had been impatiently awaiting his Director's arrival, had informed the leading Boston amateurs that Schlumberger was on his way. The impressario of the Automaton was sagacious enough to realize that he could not hide from strong players the fact that he needed an agent to control the Turk's moves. In every city, therefore, he revealed this fact to a few persons. The only secret for these confidantes-and it always remained a tantalizing secret-was how the agent acted upon the Automaton.

The new Director made a most favorable impression when he was introduced to Dexter, Oliver, Picquet, Paine and other Boston amateurs. He was immediately invited to their homes, where he vanquished all his opponents with ease. Schlumberger found Oliver the strongest player of the circle; but neither he nor any other player in the United States, at that time, could make a stand before the equal of Alexandre, Boncourt and Mouret, the worthy antagonist of La Bourdonnais, King of the Chessboard.
Two weeks elapsed before Schlumberger assumed the public direction of the Automaton. On October 13th, Baelzel published an advertisement in which he cunningly replied to the boast of the New York American that the Turk had not been able to cope with New York players in full games. Taking advantage of the rivalry between the two cities, Maelzel complimented the Boston players and told them they were quite to New Yorkers. Then he announced that the Bostonians would soon have the opportunity to play full games with the Automaton.
On October 16th, 1826, Maelzel had the satisfaction of seeing his Chessplayer in the same proud position it had occupied in London, Paris and Amsterdam. The Turk was again meeting all comers and playing full games under the direction of a master who could uphold the Infidel's reputation as stoutly as the famous Direc. tors of the past.

During the exhibitions at Boston, however, Schlumberger was beaten twice. In the evening of the first triumphant day, a mere youth came forward and proceeded to win a composed ending--possibly because Maelzel allowed him to take the first move. Later in the same week, Schlumberger most unaccountably lost a full game to another young player. This young gentle, Dr. Benjamin D. Green, later rose to eminence in his profession, but no pro. fessional skill or success gave him more distinction, in a certain circle, than his reputation as "the man who beat the Automaton."
An incident during one of the games revealed that Maelzel and his new Director had not yet acquired the respect for each other's talents that marked their fu-
ture relationship. Maelzel was under the impresion that his Director had made a bad move. Although a stickler for observance of the laws of chess, he was not above taking the law into his own hands occasionally. Maelzel cooly took up the piece and put it back in its place again. The Automaton immediately repeated the move, and Maelzel again annulled it. But when the Turk made the same move a third time, it was with an emphasis that thoroughly awed the Proprietor, and he repeated it on the adversary's board without further resistance.
On October 28th, after playing full games for two weeks, Maelzel closed his Boston campaign. As usual, the exhibitions had stirred up tremendous interest in chess. Maelzel and Schlumberger had formed lasting friendships among the chess amateurs of Boston and left behind them a renewed interest in the Royal Game.

Fвом Boston, Maelzel proceeded to Philadelphia by way of New York. He gave no exhibitions in New York but stopped long enough to answer the challenge of a certain Greco, who defied the Automaton to play against the representative of New York chess "for love or money." On November 7th, Maelzel advertised that he was authorized by a number of the gentlemen of Boston, whose chess reputation had been thus indirectly aimed at, to accept Greco's challenge. provided the stakes should be not less than one thousand dollars.
The dispute between New York and Boston, which seems strangely familiar to modern ears, was finally settled when two of New York's strongest players called on Maelzel and contested a few games with the new Director. The result was made known by a second announcement of Greco's in the American of November 11th. He stated that "both of the American chessplayers, on whose skill he had relied so arrogantly, had been beaten with ease by a foreigner, and he must therefore 'back out' from his challenge, as better men had done before him, and subscribe to the Automaton's superiority without a trial."
Maelzel's "arrival" in Philadelphia was announced in the newspapers of December 22nd, 1826. However, he had been in the city for several weeks before that date, preparing his exhibits.
It would seem that Maelzel took an instantaneous liking to Philadelphia and decided to make the city his headquarters in America. He rented, for a term of years, an old building on Fifth Street, below Walnut. At considerable expense, he fitted up the second story as an exhibition room, with a new broad stairway and private rooms for himself. He occupied this building for so many years that it came to be known as "Maelzel's Hall."

While Maelzel was making his preparations, the chessplayers of Philadelphia were girding themselves for a fierce contest with the Turk. In no other city was the arrival of the Automaton more eagerly awaited. The exciting news of the Turk's exploits in New York and Boston had been published in all the Philadelphia newspapers. A vast impulse was given to the practice of chess. The booksellers sent out hasty orders for the books of Philidor and Sarratt, Cochrane and Lewis. The profound excitment called forth by the mysterious Turk was also responsible for the formation of the first Chess Club in Philadelphia. The Club was located at Chestnut Street, near Fifth. Early in 1826, more than a hundred members enrolled themselves at the first rush. President, Vice-President, Secretary, etc., were elected in due form. An abundant supply of tables and chessmen enabled the eager members gratify their appetites for chess and prepare themselves for the Turk's arrival.

Charles Vezin was the outstanding player in Philadelphia at that time. Born in Hanover in 1781, Vezin came to Philadelphia in 1813. Already a chessplayer, he soon took rank as the city's strongest. Later, in matches with Oliver, Schulten, Stanley and others, he demonstrated that he was one of the best players in the country. Until his death in 1853, Vezin was the leading spirit of chess in Philadelphia.

Maelzel's Exhibition opened on December 26th, 1826, and continued to March 20th, 1827. The Hall was open twice a day, at noon and in the evening. The Automaton had received wide publicity and the Hall was always crowded with the city's chessplayers and the general public. Most of the interest was centered in the mighty Turk, into which Schlumberger climbed twice daily to confound the spectators. The added attractions, however, appealed to everybody. With Maelzel accompanying at the piano, the audience heard The Automaton Trumpeter, a full size figure dressed in the uniform of the French Lancers, play two pieces on his trumpet. Automaton Dancers performed surprising feats on the Slack Rope without any apparent mechanism. Other Automata performed various feats of of horsemanship. Maelzel's Exhibition was always a good show.
The chessplayers did not fare well against Schlumberger. The Automaton lost only one end-game and one full game. Unfortunately, the latter is the only specimen of Schlumberger's play (but not his skill) that has been preservad. The game was won by a Mrs. Fisher and there is good reason to believe that Maelzel had instructed Schlumberger to throw the game to the lady.

There is a tradition, not perfectly authenticated, that Charles Vezin won a game from the Automaton. It is known, however, that Maelzel asked Vezin not to play the Automaton in public. He suggested that Schlumberger play with him in private. Actually, there was little danger of the Turk's reputation being damaged, for Schlumberger could give the Philadelphia player odds.

Vezin gladly accepted Maelzel's suggestion, received Schlumberger frequently at his house and adopted at once the relation of pupil towards the able Chess Professor. The playing sessions between Vezin and Schlumberger started in 1827 and were always resumed whenever Maelzel came to Philadelphia, where he spent a much larger part of his time than anywhere else. Although Vezin studied hard for eight years under Schlumberger, he was never able to cope successfully with his instructor. In later years, Vezin often said that he knew nothing of "chess that was chess" until he began to play with the Director of the Automaton.

Maelzel always encouraged his assistant to play as much as possible with the firstrate amateurs of every city they visited. He did this out of kindness to Schlumberger, who missed his daily enjoyment at the Cafe de la Regence. He also did it to keep his Director in good practice and to discover the strongest players. In this way he forestalled any surprises at his exhibitions. The loss of a game at a public exhibition always infuriated Maelzel. He took tremendous pride in the invincibility of the Turk. If Schlumberger became careless and lost a game, Maelzel would swear horribly at his meek and penitent Director in the terrible German he reserved for such occasions.
Despite these occasional outbursts, Maelzel became deeply attached to his young assistant. He valued his services highly and delighted in his society. Maelzel also shared his Director's enthusiasm for chess. When they dined together, which happened frequently, they played chess while making deliberate but gentle progress through the pleasant stages of the meal. Attacks and counter-attacks were carried on, fork in hand. Maelzel would meditate a move as he masticated. Schlumberger, always rapid at chess, would reply without the loss of a mouthful. The severity of desperate situations was softened to the German's heart by copious draughts of claret. His young antagonist, in the keen pursuit of victory, put the glass often unconsciously to his lips. Neither of them was by any means disposed to intemperance, but if the game was long Maelzel would be steady as a rock while Schlumberger would murmur his Echec et mat! "with the least sign of a hiccup upon him."

## NEXT MONTH: Maelzel's Travels in America.

## Readers Games

## THE CONFIDENCE GAME

ALL other things being equal, confidence wins games. For some unknown reason, it sparks the mental ignition, dispels doubts and promotes clear thinking. In contrast, the timorous Milquetoast complex bewilders and befuddles.

This psychological factor has been noted by authorities and laymen alike. Hence, confidence builders of one kind and another are employed during the course of the chess game. James Mason, in the "Art of Chess," suggests

I. A. HOROWITZ that, if your opponent takes a long time for a move, do not give him credit for making combinations, but give yourself credit for making him think. A local expert psychologizes himself before each game. "How," he asks himself, "can anyone with a head shaped like that play chess?"

Even the masters resort to the psychological weapon. In the preface to an early edition of Capablanca's "My Chess Career," the author boasts, "I played against the members of the Manhattan Chess Club and mowed them down, one by one, until they recognized my superiority."

Definitely, confidence wins games. In an endgame, Horowitz versus Golombek, H was about to win a piece. He beamed the satisfied expression of the cat that swallowed the canary. Contagiously, his opponent despaired, replied desultorily and resigned. All the time, however, the position could have been reduced to shreds by a simple rejoinder, with everything off the board save a Bishop in Horowitz' favor. As yet, no known method has been announced of mating with a lone Bishop!

Confidence and conceit go hand in hand. In Napier's "Amenities," the author reminisces: "Once while walking over Waterloo Bridge, in London, with stout hearted Teichman, we conversed of the ingredients that associate to make a chess player. I ventured a remark that, if he would name one indispensable ingredient, I would name an able player wholly destitute of it. And Richard very tolerantly said, 'Have you given any thought to vanity?" "

No one can accuse the topnotchers of modesty, false or otherwise. In a recent survey of American masters, each agreed that he ought to head the list, with Reshevsky second. That is as it ought to be.

Thus it is evident that the correct attitude is to diagnose one's own position and perform an autopsy on the opponent's. Move with impunity, capture with alacrity and resign with dignity!

Professional conceit is universal. Bogolyubov brags, "I win when I am White because I am White, when I am Black because I am Bogolyubov."

To which this scribe adds, when he is good, he is very, very good and, when he is bad, he is Horowitz!

The following informal game, up to a point is an everyday hit and miss affair. But a pretty coup on Black's 14 th justi fies its existence.

> CATALAN SYSTEM
A. Stern
T. Beringer
White

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 & P-Q 4 \\
2 & P-Q B 4 \\
3 & P-K N 3
\end{array}
$$

N-KB3
P-K3

The Catalan, a pattern of play popular ized about ten years ago. White's plan is to fianchetto the King Bishop and exert pressure clearly throughout the center of the board to the nethermost reaches of Black's Queen side.
3....

$$
\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}
$$

Another popular idea. Black aims to simplify by exchanging, consequently to cut down on White's inherent initiative.

$$
4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2
$$

The text is book. More appealing, however, is $4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ so that in the event of an exchange, Black must part with a Bishop for a Knight, without adequate compensation. $4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ is also playable. Then, however, when Bishop captures Knight, White's Pawns become doubled offering a measure of compensation.

$$
4 \ldots
$$

$$
\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Bch}
$$

Good enough, though a better post for the Knight is QB3. Hence QxB is prefer able.

| $5 \ldots \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |

Black should force an immediate de cision in the center with $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$. If then $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{Black}$ is able to prepare the break, . . . P-KB4; whereas, if $9 \mathrm{PxKP}, \mathrm{Px}$ $P$, Black continues with ...N-QB3-Q5.

$$
90-0 \quad R-K 1
$$

Again, $9 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ is good, though not as effective as before. For in the event of 10 PxKP, PxP, Black's Knight canno head for Q5 with ease.

The text move is all right too. But as long as the center tension exists, Black cannot undertake any positive campaign

## 10 P-QN4

Expanding on the Queen side and keep ing Black in comparatively cramped quarters.

$$
10 \ldots \quad P \times P
$$

Passive resistance with $10 \ldots$ P-B3 and .. Q-B2 is a possibility, but uninviting. Here Black yields the center and all that it portends for immediate, though short-lived, lebensraum.

Now $10 \ldots$ P-B4 fails on account of 11 NPxP, QPxP; 12 P-Q5, and White's passed Queen Pawn is a constant menace.

11 NXP
P-B4?
Incurring a decidedly backward Queen Pawn which is a target. Simplification by means of $11 \ldots$ P-QR4; 12 P-QR3, PxP; $13 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{RxR}$; etc., is the correct procedure.
$12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ ?
Not the best. $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 2$, defending the Knight Pawn, or $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$, attacking the Queen Pawn is better.
12....

N-K4
Now White does not have time to build on the Queen Pawn as his Knight is attacked by the adverse Bishop.

## 13 PxP

Nor can he retreat as his Knight's Pawn is en prise.
The threat was ... BxN. Normally, such an exchange can be ignored, but here it gives Black a workable Queen side Pawn majority which may become potent, whereas White's King side majority is harmless, because of the doubled King Bishop Pawn.
13....

PxP
Black's weakness is now dissolved into thin air, and he assumes a healthy initiative.

14 N-K3


A pretty combination with interesting overtones.

## 15 BxB

On other moves, Black exchanges Bishops, weakens White's King position and exploits the structural weakness of White's Queen Bishop Pawn.

$$
15 \text {. . . . }
$$

QxN!!
The point.

## 16 B-N2

If $16 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch} ; 17 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2 ; 18 \mathrm{NxQ}$, 'and White's King Pawn must fall.

```
16
17 Q\timesQ
QR-Q1
\(17 Q \times Q\)
. . . .
```

With Queens off the board, Black's chances immeasurably improve as he remains with a Rook on the seventh in an endgame. $17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ is the move as, if... N-B3, 18 P-K5 creates a free for all with White none the worse for wear.

```
17 ....
\(R \times Q\)
18 P-B4
N(4)-N5
```

19 NxN
The threat was...N-K6, attacking Bishop, Rook and Bishop Pawn.

## 20. <br> KR-Q1

Assuring himself of the open Queen file.

## 21 P-KR3?

A fatal blunder. Up to here, Black was for choice with considerable fight left. Now Black delivers the sockdolager.
21 ....
R(1)-Q6!!
22 PxN

Practically forced.

```
22
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & & R×NP \\
\hline 23 & KR-Q1 & \(\mathrm{R}(6) \times \mathrm{Bch}\) \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Black keeps his two Rooks on the seventh, by checks, then prepares an exit for his King-and it's curtains for White.

The following is a worthy example of Toronto's junior leaguers. Preston, aged fourteen, and Oaker, fifteen, serve up a merry tussle.

## ALEKHINE DEFENSE

## W. Preston <br> White

B. Oaker

1 P-K4 Black

The hypermodern Alekhine Defense. The idea is to provoke White to overextend his central Pawns by advancing them rapidly.
In the early days of chess theory, when development was considered of paramount importance, such a plan would be frowned upon. For it was inconceivable at that time that one could afford to sacrifice several tempi, merely to provoke a Pawn to the fifth rank. Today, there exists a greater appreciation of the balance of position. A weakened Pawn structure may be sufficient compensation for development minus.
Today, it is not a question of whether Black gets compensation. That is conceded. The question is, how much.

| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | ... |

After only four moves, White appears to have command of the center, open lines for his forces.

$$
4 \ldots \quad P-Q 3
$$

Black counters by cracking down on the bridgehead....

## 5 P-B4

Which White reinforces. He could, however, yield the bridgehead by the exchange, 5 PxP. In that event, he reduces the risk but abandons any profit from its successful maintenance.

## 5 BP×P

PxP
The issue is drawn.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
6 & \ldots & N-B 3 \\
7 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

The more natural $7 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ allows... B-N5 with increased pressure on the Pawns.

| $7 \ldots \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B4} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8 NB 3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B3}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |

Black's plan is clear. He will castle on the Queen side and heighten pressure on the exposed Pawn.

| 10 B-K2 | O-O-O |
| :--- | :--- |
| 11 O-O | $\ldots$ |



The opening phase is over. Each side has reached his maximum development.
11....

P-B3
A head on clash in the center with a view to collapsing White's Pawn chain and opening lines to the white King.

```
12 PxP
PxP
1 3 ~ P - Q 5
```

White must act quickly. For the open King Knight file is a potential menace to his King.

$$
13 \ldots \quad P \times P
$$

Book is $13 \ldots$ N-K4, with fair prospects for both sides. Black has ideas of his own, however, a factor which bodes well for his opponent.
14 BxN
RPxB
15 PxP
N-K2
$15 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ fails: $16 \mathrm{NxN}, \mathrm{PxN}$; 17 Rx $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{QxR}$; $18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 4$, etc. $15 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ is met by $16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ with the same threat.

## 16 N-KR4

$16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q4}$, with the double threat of 17 NxB, NxN; 18 RxN as before and $17 \mathrm{~B}-$ N5, followed possibly by $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ and a sharp attack against the Black monarch, is indicated.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
16 \ldots \underset{\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}}{ } \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1 \\
17
\end{array}
$$

This is bad as it frees the black King Bishop for incisive action. 17 B-N5 wins. Black has nothing better than $17 \ldots \mathrm{P}$ B3, when 18 PxP decides, as the Bishop at B4 loses its props.

$$
17 \ldots 3
$$

$18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 4$ will not do on account of 18 ... B-B4ch; $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 6 \mathrm{ch} ; 20 \mathrm{PxN}$, RxB and White's King is exposed. 18 BN 5 , on the other hand, can be answered by $18 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 2$, threatening mate.

$$
18 \ldots \quad \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 6!
$$

Black now enjoys a healthy initiative.

## 19 R-B2

Naturally not, 19 QxN, B-B4, etc.

| $19 \ldots$ P-KN3 | Q-R6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $\cdots$ |

Loses as Black quickly demonstrates. $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ would save the situation and make a fight out of it.

| 20 |  | RxPch! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PxR | QxPch |
| 22 | K-R1 | QxR |
|  | Resigns |  |

# INTERNATIONAL 

## MAR DEL PLATA SIX-MAN TOURNEY, 1947

## Odds-On Favorite

To play the King's Indian Defense well, one must be alert for the few opportunities to free his game. When, as here, Black misses a good bet, the odds favor a skillful strategist like Stahlberg who knows how to put on pressure.

## KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE <br> (Notes by I. A. Horowitz)

G. Stahlberg

White
H. Pilnik Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 3 N-QB3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | P-Q-N2 |  |  |
|  |  | P-KN3 | 4 P-K4 |
|  |  | 5 P-KN3 | . . . |

5 P-B4 is the Four Pawn Game. According to present opinion, Black can exploit the weakness of White's rapidly advanced Pawns.

$6 \ldots$ P-K4 at once is an alternative which may transpose into the actual game. Then, if White replies 7 P -Q5, Black enjoys the dubious option of continuing with... P-B3, with hope for counterplay by activating the center.

```
7 KN-K2
8 \text { P-Q5}
P-K4
8 P-Q5
. . . .
```

Relieving the center tension. Another way is $80-\mathrm{O}$. In this line, Black eventually is compelled to exchange Pawns, yielding the center to his adversary.

| $8 \ldots$ | P-QR4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9 O-O | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B4} 4$ |
| 10 B-K3 | $\cdots$. |



10 ....
P-N3
Better is $10 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$; $11 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{PxB}$; $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $14 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1$; followed by $\ldots \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 1$ and the ex-
change of Knights. Black blockades the passed Pawn and remains with the advantage of the Bishops.

$$
11 \text { P-B3 N-K1 }
$$

Technically correct is $11 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ in order to meet White's following move with . . P-R5.

## 12 P-QR3

Now $12 \ldots$ P-R5 will not do on account of 13 BxN , followed by the capture of the Rook Pawn.

Had Black played $11 \ldots$ B-Q2, White would drive the Knight by the maneuver $P-Q N 3, P-Q R 3$ and $P-Q N 4$. This would involve greater preparation and time loss.

```
12 ....
                                    P-B4
1 3 ~ P - Q N 4
```

Reaching the typical King's Indian position. White's prospects are on the Queen side, Black's on the King side. Of the two, experience discloses that Black can be held in check, whereas White is irresistible.
13 Q-Q3
N-Q2

Reinforcing the center and at the same time meeting the concealed threat of... P-B5. For after the exchange, Black's Bishop bears on White's Queen Knight.

$$
14 \text {. . . . }
$$

Q-K2
$14 \ldots \mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{B} 3$, with a view to exchanging, would cut down some of White's initiative,

$$
15 \text { B-R3 }
$$

## RPxP

After the ensuing exchanges, White's command of the open Queen Rook file is decisive. Black would enjoy better chances by exchanging in the center. Even then, however, the eventual P-B5 opens avenues of attack to Black's vulnerable Queen Bishop Pawn and sets Black difficult problems.

| $16 R P \times P$ | $R \times R$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $17 R \times R$ | $P \times P$ |
| $18 \mathrm{~N} \times P$ ! | $\ldots$. |

A well calculated positional sacrifice of a Pawn.



14 BxN !
$\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$
If $14 \ldots$ PxB; $15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ wins a piece with a murderous attack as well.

| 15 R×Qch | R×R |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16 Q-KB4 | R-KN1 |
| 17 R-Q1 and wins. |  |

## FRANCE - CZECHOSLOVAKIA

## Sang Froid

Keeping one's head is an essential part of tournament chess. Kottnauer calmly proceeds with his own plan while his adversary tries to build a mating attack. At the critical point, the Czech finds a marvellous resource.

ENGLISH OPENING

## M. Raizman

White
C. Kottnauer

Black

| 1 | P-QB4 | N-KB3 | 13 | P-K4 | KR-Q1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | N -QB3 | P-K3 | 14 | Q-B2 | QR-B1 |
| 3 | P-KN3 | P-Q4 | 15 | P-QR3 | P-B5 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $P \times P$ | 16 | P-QN4 | N-N5! |
| 5 | Q-R4ch | QN-Q2 | 17 | N-Q1 | B-KB3 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P-QR3 | 18 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ ! |
| 7 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | P-B4 | 19 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $N(5)-K 4$ |
| 8 | QxBP | P-QN4 | 20 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | N-Q6 |
| 9 | Q-Q3? | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 21 | R-KB1 |  |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | B-K2 |  |  | $N(2)-K 4$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | O-O | 22 | Q-Q1 | P-B6! |
| 12 | KR-K1 | Q-N3 | 23 | Q-R5 | PxP |
|  |  |  | 24 | Q-R6 | -•• |



If $25 \mathrm{KxN}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ wins the Queen or 25 RxN, R-B8ch; 26 B-B1, RxR; 27 N(4)$\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{PxN} ; 28 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{QxRch}$ ! winds it up.

```
25 . . . .
Resigns
```

$\mathrm{N}(7)-\mathrm{N} 5$

## BAARN, 1947

## Smoke Screen

With good reason, Tartakover is known as "the old fox." Here, characteristically, he sets a smoke screen which so conceals his aims that soon Black is wandering blindly. In the end, the latter sits help-
lessly, his Queen trapped, as Tartakover's Knight jauntily approaches by way of the opposite wing.

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

| Dr. S. Tartakover |  | L. Stumpers |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| White |  |  |  |



Black is helpless against the threat of $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 3$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ or $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ (as the situation demands) winning the Queen.

## EUROPEAN ZONE TOURNEY

Pre-game analysis does not always help. Author of several articles on this line, Black still succumbs in short order.

> QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
L. Pachman
T. van Scheltinga

White

| 1 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 12 | P-B4 | PxP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-K3 | 13 | PxP | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| 3 | N-QB3 | P-QB3 | 14 | QPxN | Q-N3 |
| 4 | N -B3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 15 | Q-B3 | B-Q2 |
| 5 | B-N5 | PxP | 16 | O-0-0 | R-Q1 |
| 6 | P-K4 | P-N4 | 17 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | B-B4? |
| 7 | P-K5 | P-KR3 | 18 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | B-K2 |
| 8 | B-R4 | P-N4 | 19 | P-KN4 | R-KN1 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | PxB | 20 | B-R3 | B-QB1 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | QxBP | 21 | P-B5 | PxP |
| 11 | P-KN3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | 22 | PxP | Q-R2 |



$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
23 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B6ch} & \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N} \\
24 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} & \text { Resigns }
\end{array}
$$

Black will be mated in a few moves at the very most.

[^0]
## 종 UNITED STATES

## U. S. OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP, 1947

A strong reaction in the center has spoiled many a promising game. Young Evans plays in light-hearted vein until such a fate catches up with him.

| QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O. Ulvestad |  |  |  |  |



## VENTNOR CITY, 1947

Often the rigamarole of maneuvering men back and forth in a blocked or semiblocked position gets a player dizzy. Apparently that happens to White here for he errs badly.

NIMZOINDIAN DEFENSE

| A. Stern |  | A. Santasiere |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  |  | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | N-KB3 | 11 | BxBP | O-O |
| 2 P -QB4 | P-K3 | 12 | P-B4 | BxN |
| $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | B-N5 | 13 | PxB | N-QR4 |
| 4 P-K3 | P-QN3 | 14 | B-Q3 | Q-Q4 |
| 5 B-Q3 | B-N2 | 15 | R-B3 | N-K5 |
| $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P-B4 | 16 | Q-B2 | QR-B1 |
| $7 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | N-B3 | 17 | QR-KB1 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| 8 O-O | $P \times P$ | 18 | $B \times N(B)$ | R×B |
| 9 PxP | P-Q4 | 19 | B-R4 | P-B4 |
| $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $P \times P$ | 20 | B-K1 | R-KB3 |
|  |  | 21 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ ? |  |

21

$$
22 \text { B-B2 } \quad \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}
$$

## Distinction

Weayer Adams' "new" love is the Vienna Game. It is. he says, the guaranteed way for White to play and win. In judging this brevity, however, it is important to distinguish between the merit of the debut and the skill of Adams' play.

## VIENNA GAME

| W. Adams |  |  | E. McCormick |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| White |  |  |  | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $5 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | NxP |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | 6 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $7 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ e.p. | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |  |
| $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | 8 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
|  |  | $9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB4}$ |  |

## It's your move

EVERY MONTH you'll find a new chess problem to test your wits in the special games section of THE WORLD IN BOOKS, a new literary magazine. Charles S. Jacobs, well-known player and problemist, is our chess editor . . . . and everyone has a chance to be a winner by entering the monthly contest.
. . . There's plenty of good reading as well for all members of the family.

## THE WORLD IN BOOKS

contains: More book review s than any newspaper or magazine, anywhere, classified by subject for easy reference; special sections on hobbies, art, science. photography, records, worid affairs: plus cartoons, anecdotes, prize contests. Priced to fit the purse . . . .

## 3 Years (36 issues) \$1

. . All this, and a chance to perfect your chess game, too. offer.

1
I
$10 \mathrm{BxPch}!\quad \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1$
If $10 \ldots \mathrm{KxB} ; 11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ (or $\ldots$ B-K3; 12 QxN, ete.) ; 12 NxN wins.

| 11 | Q-B4 | P-QN4 | $16 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 12 Q-N3 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Pch}$ | $17 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times N$ |  |  |  |
| 13 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |  |
| 14 | Q-R3 | QN-R3 | 19 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ |  |  |
| 15 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}$ |  | Resigns |  |  |

## Giant Killer

Julius Partos has a knack for beating masters. In the Metropolitan League, he counted ex-U.S. champion Denker and A. E. Santasiere among his victims. Here's how he got the New York state titlist.

> Metropolitan Chess League, 1947 QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

| J. Partos <br> (Queens) |  |  |  | A. Santasiere <br> (Marshall) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| White |  |  |  |  |



## OHIO

## Tough

Youngsters the country over are proving to be stout opposition in local play. In Cleveland, where junior chess has a big following, they are particularly tough.

Cleveland-Akron Team Match, 1947
RUY LOPEZ

| R. Sachs (Cleveland) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  |
| 1 | P-K4 | P-K4 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | N-QB3 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | P-QR3 |
| 4 | B-R4 | P-QN4 |
| 5 | B-N3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | B-K2 |
| 7 | Q-K2 | O-O |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| 9 | P-Q4 | P-Q3 |
| 10 | R-Q1 | Q-K1 |

no exception. He does a rush job on his opponent which illustrates the point nicely.

Canadian Championship, 1947
FRENCH DEFENSE

| D. Yanofsky |  |  | J. Therien |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| White |  |  | Black |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | 8 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |  |
| $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |  |
| $4 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{KN}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 3$ |  |  |
| $6 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{Nch}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KN} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |  |  |
|  |  | $13 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |  |



After $19 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$; 20 Q-R6 forces Black to give up his Queen.

## © LATIN AMERICA

## ARGENTINA

## Crude but Effective

Direct tactics pay off against weak players. Here, for example, White is hell-bent to attack. His methods are crude but, as it turns out, effective. A few simple precautions by Black might have given the game another ending.
Major Tournament, Mar del Plata 1947 COLLE SYSTEM

| A. Pazzo |  |  |  | N. Dupetit |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black |  |  |  |  |



## (4) FOREIGN

PARIS, 1947

## No Lady

Queen sacrifices do not occur often in tournament play yet this year's international event at Paris produced no less than four. Of these, Tartakover ruefully comments that one-his own-was a "bluff." In the present game, the sacrifice is both sound and attractive. The Black Queen is no lady; she defys convention by snatching a protected Rook.

COLLE SYSTEM


## Solutions to CHESS QuIz

(See inside front cover)

1. (Bogolyubov-Lundin, Munich 1941) $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ ! and Black resigns for he cannot take cither Knight ( $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ ) or move to B2 (2 N-K6ch) and if $1 \ldots \mathrm{~K}^{-}$ Q3; 2 P-R7 wins.
2. (D. Byrne-Shipman, New York 1947) $1 \ldots$ RxPch and if $2 \mathrm{KxR}, \mathrm{NxBPch}$, etc. White's last move was $R(B 3)-B 1$ !
3. (Patschurkovski - Rhode, Europe 1941) 1...N-Q5ch; $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ (not $2 \mathrm{~K}-$ R1, NxN; 3 RxN, R-R7 mate), RxNch; 3 RxR, N-B6ch.
4. (Spielmann-W alter, Trenchin-Tep. litz 1941) 1 QxNch! (the thread is cut), BxQ; 2 NxP mate! Yes, it did happen.
5. (Morphy-Anderssen, Paris 1858) In the twinkling of an eye, Morphy played 1 N-B6ch!, K-Q1; 2 BxPch!, KxB; $3 \mathrm{~N}^{-}$ Q5ch, K-Q1; 4 NxQ and Anderssen collapsed.
6. (Donovan-Battell, New York 1946) The killer is 1 N -K6!! winning the Queen for if $1 \ldots \mathrm{QxB} ; 2 \mathrm{~N}(5)-\mathrm{B} 7$ mate! Or 1 ... Q-R4ch; 2 B-Q2, QxBch; 3 QxQ wins.
7. (Eliskases-Laurentius, WV arsaw 1935) 1 N-Q6ch!, BxN; 2 RxNch, B-K2; 3 Rx Bch! and Black resigns. If $3 \ldots \mathrm{KxR} ; 4$ N -B6ch. Tactics reign supreme.
8. (Soldatenkoff-Wolf, Berlin 1925) The climax of a deep combination was 1 RxN, QxR; 2 R-Q8!, QxR (if... Q-K2; 3 R-R8 mate!); 3 NxPch winning the Qucen.
9. (Soyka-Kolta, Vienna 1924) The rampage begins with 1 NxN !, QxQ (if... QxN; 2 Q-N7 mate); $2 \mathrm{NxNch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Rl}$; 3 N-B7 mate. A real nightmare!
10. (Thornley-Griffith, London 1903) He does indecd! l...N-R5!! threatens both mate by $\ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ and the win of the Qucen. If $2 \mathrm{KxN}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ is still mate!


## POSTAL SCRIPTS

## 1947 Golden Knights Championship

CHESS REVIEW's third annual Golden Knights Postal Chess Championship tournament begins on the first of October.

Announcement of the full details of the tournament are being mailed to all subscribers to CHESS REVIEW and will be sent, on request, to any chess players within the continental U.S.A. and Canada who wish to enter. As the details are virtually the same as applied to the 1946 tournament, any who wish to may request entry now.

We shall group all applications, as usual, in the order of receipt and by regional divisions such as insure the minimum mailing time for competitors in the first round.

## Types of Postal Chess Tournaments

With the announcement of the new tournament, it seems appropriate to outline the postal chess program. We conduct two principal tournaments each year: the Golden Knights Championship and the Class Tournament.

## THE CLASS TOURNAMENT

Here is the ideal method of improving your chess while enjoying play, no matter what your strength! For the "Class" arrangement assures you of keen competition with players of your own skill.

The tournament is divided into "class" sections. We recommend Class A for the unusually strong players, B for those of above average ability, C for the average and D for those under average. Within a section, each competitor meets six others simultaneously in three games with White and three with Black pieces. The play is complete in one round and the leading scorers win prizes (as advertised page 22).

The Class Tournament is open the year round. Assignments to play are mailed as soon as seven entries for a given Class are on hand (usually a matter of a few days, though for Class A it may take longer). We try to group entries within a day or two of each other by mail to ensure speedier and therefore more interesting games. Newcomers are asked to specify in which class they wish to start. Enrolled postalites are "classed" by their most recently published quarterly ratings. Rules and instructions are sent with the assignments to newcomers.

## THE GOLDEN KNIGHTS

The special rules for this tournament are given in full in a printed announcement which will be sent on request.

Contestants play in seven man sections, as in the Class Tournament, but without "class" distinction. For the Golden Knights is an "open" tournament which allows lower-rated players to try their skill against stronger ones. As postal chess ratings are affected by all results, both in the Class and in the Golden Knights tournaments, the lower-rated player who ventures may reap a handsome increment on his rating. We ask newcomers to furnish an estimate on their proper rating (see account of the Class Tournament) and assign them their starting ratings accordingly: 1202 for Class A, 1100 for B, 850 for C and 600 for $D$.

The Golden Knights tournament differs from the Class tournament in that it is a progressive event. Each player who scores four or more points in the preliminary round advances to the semifinal, and may advance similarly into the final round. In the preliminary round, all opponents are grouped geographically to ensure speedy results. These "section" qualifiers are then pitted against others, so that the semi-final and final rounds provide really keen competition.

There are prizes for all in the Golden Knights tournament. There are seventyfive cash prizes, starting with the $\$ 250$ first prize. All those who qualify for the final round and complete their playing schedule receive the emblem of the Golden Knight. And even those who fail to make the finals will receive as a consolation prize a free entry into the Class Tournament.

## Results Published and Rated

All results in both the Class Tournament and the Golden Knights Championship are published monthly in CHESS REVIEW, together with lists of prize winners, other tournament news and annotations of the outstanding games.

Each quarter-year, the complete list of ratings of all active postal chess players is published.

## Addresses

are vital in postal chess play! So kindly give your return address on every card to postal chess opponents and be sure to call your opponents' attention to any change in your address.

## TOURNAMENT NOTES

## 1943 Victory Tournament*

Finals section $43-\mathrm{V} 210$ is now complete with these weighted point totals: A. A Weiss 44.1, Don Kilgore 32.9, W. H Smith 30.1, W. O. Look 28.5, Mrs. W. R. Fenley 24.05 , J. B. Gee 22.65 and H. T. Van Patten 18.4.
PRESENT LEADERS
J Slaffer ......46.2 R S Scrivener .36 .25.

## 1944 Postal Championship*

Finals sections 44 -Pf 3 and 7 have now finished. Weighted point totals for those who finished all games are: Pf $3-\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{N}$. Fuglie 46.2, W, F. Gray 41.7, W. Meiden 34, R. I. Spier 28.4 and C. T. MeGinley, Jr. 22.8; Pf 7 - D. Hecht 44.1, A. P. Coles 39.6 , I. Sigmond 37.2 and D. Lerman 28.5 .
C. N. Fuglie thus ties Marvin Palmer's perfect score; others may yet do so.

## PRESENT LEADERS

| C N Fuglie ....46.2 | K Ouchi ....... 35.15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| M Palmer .....46.2 | F' A Sorenson .34.5 |
| D Hecht .......44.1 | W Meiden . ....34.0 |
| Dr J Platz ....42.95 | W Schmid . . . . 32.8 |
| W F Gray . ... 41.7 | J H Brown . . . 32.3 |
| J W Brunnemer 41.25 | J H Keys . . . . 30.65 |
| A P Coles . . . . 39,6 | Dr B Rozsa . . 29.35 |
| W M Waggoner 38.95 | D Lerman . ...28.5 |
| C Brown . . . . . 37.9 | R I Spier . . . . 28.4 |
| I Sigmond . . . . 37,2 | D Nieder . ....27.25 |
| J Garnet . . . . . 36.75 | C T McGinley . 22.8 |
| F H Richmond . 36.25 | R A Shotwell . 22.8 |

## 1945 Golden Knights Championship

The following have qualified for the Finals: T/Sgt. J. Kinnaman, R. W. Banner, L. M. Sjorgen, G. S. Thomas, F. W. Mitchell, J. Richmond, J. Hudson, C. F. Peterson, J. C. Kalbach and T. A. Kelly. 1946 Golden Knights Championship

The following qualify for the Finals: M. C. Jackson, H. W. Jones, C. Henderson, M. M. Deren, C. P. Powell and Dr. B. Rozsa.

Qualifiers for the Semi-finals are: S. M, Strahl, N. Nelson, R. Sach, Rev. J. Mundt, G. DeVault, A. A. Hudson, C. P. Hoover, L. Gladstone, C. W. Wurst, G. Buckendorf, E. Breitenfeld, R. S. Cantwell, Mrs. J. D. Gant, R. A. Monroe, J. S. Wholey, P. Morgan and J. W. Hildebrand.

- Please check your weighted point total and report at once, if your calculation does not agree with our figure.


## POSTAL GAMES OF THE MONTH

## Master Plan

While Black's plans vary uncertainly, White builds logically and consistently. As his reward, he achieves a fine chance at a subtle sacrifice.

## NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

Jesse P. Farber
Dr. Bela Rozsa
White
Black
1 P-Q4 N-KB3 $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$

2 P-QB4 P-K3
4 P-K3
The popular reply today, because frequent play and analysis has caught up with other moves, Q-N3, P-QR3 and Q-B2, and shown the inevitable equalizing line for Black in each.

| $4 \ldots \mathrm{PxP}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 5 B-Q3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | 6 O |
| $7 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

7...P-B4 maintains tension in the center, especially against the P-K4 for which White is sure to play; but Black, having the choice, probably wants to avoid returning to the usual and not favorable book line, as could be forced by $7 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3, \mathrm{BxN} ; 9 \mathrm{PxB}$.

$$
8 \text { Q-B2 }
$$

B-Q3
Black's maneuvers now begin to be an object-lesson, tricky but time-wasting. Not in the usual, immediate sense; for here he moves a piece twice but threat-ens...N-QN5 to win the "minor exchange" and compels White's 9 P-QR3. In these re-adjustments of his position, however, Black loses time to White who makes regular developing moves whenever he can.


Here's another example. Black cannot fear 11 N-N5, B-B1! after which he can $\ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ and... $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ when expedient. In fact, here he "waits" in hopes of 11 $0-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{BxRPch}$ and a winning attack. (See the next game.)

```
11 P-B3
```

Countering that threat of BxRP before castling and also preparing $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

$$
11 \ldots \quad P-K R 3
$$

See what we mean? Actually, there is good reason here, too, for the Pawn sneak: Black wants to free his King Knight for maneuvers without allowing BxPch. There's usually a reason of some sort for any move. But, even when all the reasons are good, there's still a dubious aroma about one tempo-less move after another.

Again a re-adjustment. Black prevents P-K4 for the time being, by opening upon the white Queen Pawn. But, since his snare remains unsprung, he might better have saved move 10 and, in fact, move 8 also. With $8 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ and, when pushed, ... B-B1, he'd be tempi ahead of himself by now.

| 13 | P-QN4 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $15 \mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $14 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | 16 B 3 |  |
| $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |  |  |

How that Bishop vacillates! Preferable for Black seems the . . N-B5 so deviously prepared. True, it leaves the center to White after $17 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{PxB}$; but Black has some prospects in a protected (after... P-QN4) passed Pawn and the two Bish-ops-and his King Bishop at B1 would guard the home front.

> 17 QR-Q1
> 18 P-K4

Q-Q2
....
This objective is supreme in games of this type, when it can be attained.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
19 \ldots \ldots & B \times N \\
20 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \\
21 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{QP}
\end{array}
$$

And Black has won a Pawn. Or has he? The virtues of this game now begin to shine. White's every piece proves well placed-and, though the crisis is attained by the sacrifice of only a Pawn, the combination is all the subtler. A Queen sacrifice is virtually impossible of achievement between better players, the offer of a piece is obvious and so a Pawn sac is a comparative refinement. Here, too, it seems to fall truly by oversight.

## 21 Q-B2 <br> $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 4$

Having attacked the Queen as he won the Pawn, Black now attacks a piece and Pawn, seemingly keeping the initiative, but attesting rather to the depth of White's deceptive talent.

22 B-R1
22 B-N2 would do, but there's no harm here in tempting the easy win: $22 \ldots \mathrm{Nx}$ P? 23 Q-N2.


Even by hindsight, it is not easy to decide what Black can do. He shrewdly removes his Queen from the line of the white Queen Rook, thereby plans his counter to White's threat on the King Knight Pawn.

$$
23 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 5 \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5
$$

Now, of course, 24 NxP loses to ... Bx R. An ingenious counter, especially as $22 \ldots$ P-KB3 was an obvious but dubious defense.
$24 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ !
Ingenuity countered in turn! White
shatters the King-side, his prime objective.
24 ....
PxN
25 Q-N3
....

Though it wins, this move must be scored as an error for White. 25 BxP is most precise, threatening mate shortly, via KN7.

| $25 \ldots \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 26 P -R3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 27 PxB | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

Black can be forgiven wishing to exchange Queens, but he merely induces the strong P-K5.
28 P-K5
Q-N3ch
$29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$
NxKP
30 PxPch
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1$
$30 \ldots$ K-R1 fails after 31 P-R6, R-KN1; 32 QxN . If then $32 \ldots \mathrm{PxQ}$, there might follow $33 \mathrm{BxPch}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; 34 RxP with mate soon, or $33 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 2$; 34 BxRch, K-N1; $35 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$ with more than sufficient.
31 P-R6
R-K3
33 BxN
34 Q-N5ch
Resigns

## "Stock" Sacrifice

Certain combinations from ancient chess history are standard stock to the experts who recognize them moves before they occur. Each generation of chess players, however, has to learn them the hard way. This game shows one that everyone must know.

The earmarks of this venerable sire of all sacrificial attacks are in the diagram below: Bishop expendable at KR7, Knight then safely able to check at KN5 and Queen able to follow up on the KR5 or KR7 diagonal. Here white has the additional resource of forcing an open King Rook file.

| QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sol Markoff |  |  |  |  | Sid Blum |
| White |  |  |  |  | Black |
| 1 | P-Q4 | P-K3 | 5 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | BxB |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-Q4 | 6 | P-K3 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |
| 3 | N-QB3 | N-KB3 | 7 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 4 | B-N5 | B-K2 | 8 | B-Q3 | P-QN3 |
|  | 9 P | -KR4 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ? |  |  |



This sort of timidity is always immediately fatal after the Bishop sacrifice. 11 $\ldots$ K-R3; 12 Q-B2, P-N3 leads to further complications, by 13 P-R5, before White can win-and White might well miss his way!

| 12 Q-R5 | BxN |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 13 PxB | P-B3 |
| 14 P-N6 | Resigns |

POSTAL MORTEMS

## Game reports received June 21 through July 25

Please do not ask about reports you may have mailed later than the above dates or about tournament qualifications, adjudications or prizes related to such reports. They are due to be pt
CHESS REVIEW.

To locate your reports below, find your section number by the "key" plus numbers in boldface. Symbol $f$ with a report indicates a win by forfeit; a by forfeit and adjudication.
1943 VICTORY TOURNAMENT (Key: 43-V) 210 Look 1 Van Patten 0. 211 Van Patten 1 Hogan 0.

1944 CLASS TOURNAMENT (Key: 44-C) 94 Klein L Nelson 0. 162 Woodward withdrawn.

1944 POSTAL CHAMPIONSHIP
Semi-finals (Key: 44-Ps)
31 Hendricks if Coveyou 0 .
Finals (Key: 44-Pf)
3 Fuglie 1 Spier 0. 7 Hecht 1 Coles 0.8 Bratter 1 Peisach 0. 12 Monroe tops Little (f), Wilson, ties Magerkurth.

1945 CLASS TOURNAMENT (Key: 45-C)
109 Cheney 1 Dlugat\% 0. 129 Kahl 1 Nelson 0. 154 Conway withdraws. 155 Rubinow 1
Weberg $0 ;$ Ludwig $1 / 2$ Byland $1 / 2.183$ Stangien Rothman 0. 198 Nedham 1 Betker 0. 205 Woolfe 1 Zechiel 0. 225 Velez 1 Behrends 0 242 Middleton 1 Anderson 0; Felton. Beenel withdrawn. 245 Westbrook whips Wyller, Jacobsen. 290 Sheftel tops Prindle, ties Jacknon.

## 1945 GOLDEN KNIGHTS CHAMPIONSHIP

 Semi-finals (Key: 45-Ks)13 Steckel 1 Hochman 0. 18 Douglass, Landi down Leigh. 19 Banner 1 Jacobs 0. 23 Fuglie Schrader 0. 24 Keiser 1 Beckert 0. 26 Sjorgen 1 Holmes 0. 31 Jackson $1 / 2$ Livingstone $1 / 2$. 33 Hummel bests Wildt, bows to Bielecki. 34 Derbyshire 1 Encinas 0.38 Kinnamon, Gray tie Rollins. 39 Mitchell, Kelly down Davis; Johnson, Kelly tic Mitchell. 40 Kohne 1 Hawley 0. 41 Bone 1 Rettinger 0. 44 Thomas 1 Hannah 0: Nieder 1 Lowens 0. 47 Wildt 1 Lerman 0. 48 Staffer 1 Kohne 0. 51 Peterson 1 Barker 0. 52 Padgett $1 / 2$ Graham $1 / 2.53$ Banner 1 Steffen 0. 55 Richmond rips
Kelley, bows to Barnhiser. 56 Hudson 1 Krebill 0 . 57 Dietert $1 / 2$ Marshall $1 / 2.58$ Kal-

Thompson 0. 60 Ludwig i Wilezek Hogan 1 Schaidler 0. 62 Hazuka, Holt halt Brewer. 63 Weare 1 Hudson 0 .

## Finals (Key: 45-Kf)

3 Valvo 1 Altusky 0,5 Polis 1 Shindel 0: Sandrin 1 Birstein 0. 6 Fundell, Jones top
Jacobsen. 7 Ostrum 1 Hranowsky 0. 8 Byrne Sigmond $1 / 2 ;$ Mel\% 1 Johnson 0. 11 Sievert withdraws. 1
Greenspan 0 .
1946 CLASS TOURNAMENT (Key: 46.C) 2 Seith loses (a) to Jackson, Vogel. 6 Richards 1a Gard 0. 22 Peters 1 Bowman 0.28
Power 1 Clark 0.35 Gelbard 1 Peters 0.50 Felton withdraws. 58 French withdrawn. 74 Murphy 1 Rea 0, 86 Franklin 1 Wyller 0, 87 Wholey $1 / 2$ Dlugatz $1 / 2.89$ Ryan if Starer 0 110 Smith 1 Choinicre 0,113 Schick stops Fielding, falls for Metz. 119 Stevenson 1 Con-
nell 0.123 Epp tops Magerkurth, ties Grossman. 125 Warren $1 / 2$ Harris $1 / 2.127$ Konkel 1 Linder 0. 141 Schmoyer 1 Connell 0. 145 Armstrong 1 Vinson 0.167 McBrady 1 Connel 0. 169 Ungar withdrawn. 171 Levadi 1 Baumamn 0,172 Jones 1 Rather 0.179 Orlando 1 Turner 0.182 Landenberger 1
Moss 0.185 Neff 1 MeClellan 0.189 Shumsky, Bell quell Carron. 190 Cook 1 Bloomfield 0 . 194 burrows 1 Klein 0; Lucas tops Klein, handler, 198 Barlow, Young, Altusky best Linsley; Altusky bows to Young, tops draws, 203 Buckendorf, Lanphere win (a) from Halstead. 205 Young 1 Madison 0; Madison, Fielding best Burns; Aikin 1 Peter-
son 0. 206 Betker 1/2 Reynolds $1 / 2$; Drummond 1 Woods 0. 208 Mersereau 1 McAninch 0. 209 Lange 1 Daussman 0; Poulsen 1a Gordon 0. 210 Nabel la Gilbert 0. 211 Madison 1 Foege 0. 212 Bates 1 Lingen 0. 215 Schell tops Gatinccio. Hall, ties Weit\%, 216 Siegel $1 / 2$ Neff 1/2. 217 Betker. Woods tie Reynolds. 218 Felton withdraws. 219 Zopp tops Sexton, bows to Rothaus. 224 Beck 1 Fisher 0. 228 Madison 1 Blanchard 0. 229 Bruce $1 / 2$ Upham $1 / 2$ : French withdraws. 232 Grafa $1 / 2$ Mechanic $1 / 2$; Kantor 1 Bartell 0; Seibert 1 Chapin 0. 233 Hubert 1 Feinberg 0.235 Wood 1 Pelton 0. 236 Campbell 1 Dannels 0. 236 Kline, Chinchall bow to Gates. 239 Stern tops Watson. Morgan. 240 Winter loses to Hart, ties Hampton. 245 Kirsher 1a McCallister 0. 251 Allinger bows to Peper, bests Norberg, Guttersen: Moore tops Norbers, Peper: Kunkle 1 Guttersen 0. 253 Oxley 1 Lawrence 0. 254 Kohne 1 Price 0. 255 Kenary, McCaughey best Frank. 259 Keene tops Kahn, ties Topper 260 Brown 1 McIntyre 0; Back beats Chinchall (a), Vickery. 261 Durnerin 1 Wyller 0; Bergman 1 Upham 0. 262 Faucher $1 \pi$ Wolf 0. 263 Sexton beats Boyton, bows to Yell. 264 Allen masters Mozley, MeInnis, 265 Stanley 1 Guttersen 0; Gilligan bests Wyller, Jepson, 267 Hess 1 Fisher 0. 268 Hansen halts Almquist. Bochman. 269 Piper 1 Klein 0. 271 Antram $1 / 2$ Greenberg $1 / 2$; Wood 1 a Curry 0. 273 Rau 1 Spanier 0; Frank, Humphrey, Spanier top Kennedy, 274 France 1 K゙ramer 0. 276 Masters 1 Herr 0. 278 Antram It Barrett 0. 280 Gould 1 Wernig 0. 281 Crittenden 0, 282 Goodacre swamps Palaz zo. McCarthy, Rietz, P. R. Smith. 283 Kurt\% 1 Roth 0. 284 Rosenkjar rips Brandt, Siratzke, 285 Connor ties. Herr defeats Rothenberg: I'enhate 1 Winsor 0. 286 Zariski tops Hoffmatn, Wilcox. 287 Gamp, Treinis trip Wilson: Gamp 1/2 Lipko 1/2. 288 Oxley, Svendsen trip Truby. 289 Evans 1 Hultman 0. 291 Kilmer 1 /inman 0. 292 Tyler. Henriksen, Short mob Bates; Tyler $1 / 2$ Ketcham $1 / 2.293$ Broadley, Frey, Nelson win (a) from Bochman; Scott, Frey (a), Broadley top Webb: Frey ties Raphael, bows to Broadley. 294 Baron $1 f$ Larkin 0: Gould 1 Blumenthal 0; Kneip 1 Casey 0. 295 Dunlap (f). Winslow, Voorhatar stop Stutz. 301 Immekeppel, Keller down Genza, 302 Henriksen $1 / 2$ Burrows 1/2. 303 Meciee ties Olin. Felton, bows to Capurso; Felton withdraws, 305 Stephenson bests Roth (a) Lonergan. 307 Rozsa bows to Holloway, thests Stone, Wyller. 308 Peale 1 Shilson 0 309 Fisterline 1 Chase 0. 310 Jeter 1 Gottfried 0. 311 Clark 1 Daniel 0. 312 Antram ties Mekus, bows to Strickland, 315 Voorhaar stops Stutz, bows to Bergman: Menninger bests Stut\%, loses to Rubashkin, 316 Wolpoff 1 stutz 0. 317 Kashin, Nelson trip Osdol: $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Stone } 1 & \text { Kashin 0. } 318 \text { Mullett } 1 \text { Coker 0; } \\ \text { Lambert } 1 \text { Murray } 0.319 \text { Heath } 1 \text { Bergman }\end{array}$ 320 Heinrich yields to Kirkpatrick, ties Vinson, 322 Skelton 1 Webb 0. 324 Cook downs Wayne, Lies Gould; Winsor 1 BaldWin 0. 326 Zins loses to Chodl, tops Care. 327 Ettlinger 1 McCaughey 0. 329 Correction: Cinson $1 / 2$ Jurek 1/2. 331 McCaughey if Paech 0; Sargent 1 Pepper 0. 333 Clark clips Vasu, Sertozo; Felton withdraws, 336 Sadowsky, Miller, Del Bourgo, F'enn mob Frid; Esrig 1 Del Bourgo 0. 337 Long 1 Kertesz 0; Hanyon 1 Cain 0. 338 Ifutchinson 1 Brand 0. 339 Marshall whips Williams, Lisansky; Page pounds Marshall, Legis. 340 Prather 1 Sheathan 0; Starkey 1 Knowles 0; Polic bows to Leonard, bests Hanna. 341 Pietrowsky, Kinorr erack Carmine. 343 Eoff tops Korf. Olin: Fenn 1 Popper 0. 345 Lapsley 1 Maitland 0; Felton withdraws. 346 Talla tops Richar, bows to Lee, Lange: Richar la stamps 0. 347 Faston, France, Powell best Breyer. 348 Borghetty $1 / 2$ Krow $1 / 2$ : Boys 1 Wallick 0. 349 Klar 1 Gross 0 ; Woolfe 1 McGavock 0; Vandemark, MeGavock down Salem. 350 Willis 1 Bell 0. 352 Capurso, Fry te Kohne: Huther bows to Klar, tops Plerce. 355 Rapp rips Shomay, Herman, 356 Deleano Withdrawn. 357 Sokoler 1 Bennett 0. 358 Stockwell stops Hyman, Chase; Hall 1 Johnston 0. 359 Newman. Olmsted nip Hawley: Newmin 1 Capurso 0. 360 Pabst if Hankin . 361 Rothchild, Kasper down (a) Eisert, 364 Lankford $1 / /$ Newman $1 / 2.366$ Wernis 1 Kanseen 0. 367 DeLieto downs Penhale, Wilkin: Lester 1 Dammels 0. 368 Amerell, Nearing rip Rice. 370 Conway tops Kalthoff, withdraws. 372 Schumacher withdraws, $373 \mathrm{Mc}-$ Auley stops Stamm, Mease. 374 Koback 1

Underwood 0. 375 Thomas 1 Kelly 0; Brai ter 0,378 Root 1 Brown. Mills 0.380 Vrand Zeeland Capurso 0; Dwyer 1 Blum 0. 381 Clark Tooth, Pixley down Hutchinson. 382 Hansen stops Stubbe, ties Merchant; Stubbe 1 Crow 0. 384 McGuire 1 Connor 0: McCaughey top McGuire, Lapierre; Howard 1 Burrows 0 385 Hansen 1 Patton 0. 386 Cooper 1 Mille 0: Magee ties Cooper, Gilbert: Gilbert 1 Fratcon $1 / 2$; Clutter clouts Cooper, Moore, 38 Mooro 1 Nelson 0. 388 Betker bests Mason ties Pearce. 389 Rasmussen bows to Hodges tops Sonimer. 390 Shack 1 Serrin 0. 391 Fernsler bows to Coleman, fells Penning ton. 392 Ltuprecht tops Veg. Stevens: so does Madison: Hansen 1 Jayme 0. 393 Benz 1 Lucns 0. 394 Moore tops Fogler, Straub,
395 Chabra, Shera win (a) from Stephens Worm 1 Thoms 0. 396 Spestr 1 Nahm 0. 398 Wagers in Powers 0: Steinhoff 1 Phillips 0 399 Bradley sweeps Rubinow, Tompa, Rose 400 Stubbe stops Nordin. Hance. 401 Lynch bows to Rosenkjar, halts Hemming. 402 if Jellinek 0: Humphrey I Lipko 0. 404 Toth

Spiak 0. 406 Burko 1 Dodkewitz 0. 407 Bestor withdrawn, loses (a) to Bolton. 408 Macneate. Muller master Shephard; Macneale 1 Korr 0: Orlando tops Muller, Remus, 410 Grabar. Piper top Zoop. 412 MeCarthy downs Barter, Kaminsky, 413 Oliver 1 Tay Tor 0, 415 Stevens 1 Fricker 0. 416 Budd,
Schmoyer down (a) MacKay. 418 Conway withdraws: Laubner 1 Slattery 0. 419 Kraft Withdraws. 420 Graham $1 / 2$ Bischoff $1 / 2.42$ Yell 1 Tnylor 0; Kopec hows to O'Connor Yollars. 422 Morris trips Mathews, Silver 423 McCord $1 / 2$ Camphell $1 / 2$; Weitz 1 Sill 0 424 Woodford tops Erus, ties Ferber: Pratt 1 Planje 0. 425 Jones 1 Holt 0. 426 Hove 12 Matherly 0; Fischer fells Mintz, Melroy Weaver I Mclroy 0.427 Masters 1 Winsor 0 489 Nitschke 1. Holbrook 0; Price, Perkins whip Wunderlin, 430 Hall downs Tredway, Shafer; Krnnedy 1 Beaudry 0. 431 Wyller 1 Hartis 0: Shoultz $1 / 2$ Kupka 1/2; Budd Burritt 0. 432 Hateher 1a Windhol\% 0; Moore 1 Scotl 0. 433 Hart halts Ford, Baldwin. 434 Conway withdraws.

1946 GOLDEN KNIGHTS CHAMPIONSHIP (Key: 46-N)
1 Maslansky 1 Overeem 0. 13 Gant 1a Ritter 0. 16 Epp bows to Blackler, bests Griffith. 34 Rozsa I Istesson 0. 44 Hymans 1 Hochman 0. 52 Yerhoff 1 Kelsey 0. 54 Wholey 1 Wyman 0. 70 Gillingham 1a Koch 0. 74 Osterman withdraws: Thomas 1a Malcolm 0. 80 Berliner 1 Fock 0. 85 Marquardt 1 Danely 0 97 Aikin 1a Felton 0. 119 Strahan. Cantwell flay Lamb. 120 Osterman withdraws. 127 Miller 1 Hoklund 0; Felton withdraws, loses (a) to Eoff. 130 Feldman La Remick 0. 134 Norman. Miller, Leigh, Hanmer, Lipp mob Pike: Leigh tops Bailoy, Norman. 139 Rosenbaum 1 Dwyer 0. 142 Bauer withdrawn. 145 Harlis, Howland. Reynolds win (a) from Mar-
whall. 146 Bohen 1 Dobbins 0. 157 Konkel $\begin{array}{llll}\text { shafl, } 146 & \text { Bohen } & 1 \text { Dobbins } 0.157 \text { Konkel } \\ 1 & \text { Madrid } 0.160 & \text { Traum } 1 / 2 & \text { Wisegarver } 1 / 2 \text { : }\end{array}$ Guttersen 1 . Scott 0. 161 Wylie $1 / 2$ McGinley 1/2. 163 Sievers 1 Connolly 0.165 Wurst stone 1 Clark 0.168 Boekhoff 1 Hoglund 0. 173 Mattingly bests Good, bows to Crooks. 176 Hildehrand 1 Wyman 0. 177 Pixley 1 spiak 0. 181 1Birstein $1 / 2$ Calmel $1 / 2$ : Mantell I Spiegel 0: Buckstad 1 Clark 0. 185 Capillon La Brainard 0. 187 Morsan 1 Coyne 0. 190 Hudson wweeps Penhale, Peek, Bash. 194 Luprecht 1 Lewis 0.195 Day 1 Hogan 0; Osterhaut, Tydy trip Franz. 197 Buckendorf 1 Arndt 0. 200 Bricker ties Stevens, bows to Iseitenfeld. 201 Brandon 1a Rankel 0. 202 Hoover 1 Morris 0: Hyde $1 / 2$ Neal $1 / 2.203$ Monroe I Appiser 0. 208 Mundt. Youngberg down Daticl: Mundt 1 Thorne 0.

## Semi-finals (Key: 46-Ns)

2 Tonex $1 / 2$ Lioss 1/2. 3 Crist ties Harrold, hows to Deren: Jungwirth ties Biach, tops Crist, 4 Ifill 1 Werner 0.5 Zoudlik, Richards halt Holmes: Rose Richards $1 / 2.6$ Farber fells Rozsa, ties sturges; Rozsa I Brearley 0, 7 Gibbs 1 Richmond 0. 8 Eorges beats Lowens, Schmid; Olin $1 / 2$ Zucker $1 / 2$, 9 Olin 1 Bone 0: Henderson halts Grant, Olin. 11 Pcarsall 1 Meller 0: Sootsman 1 Thomas 0, 12 Oberon, Doelling down Elliott, 13 Diet\% wins from Beals (a), Miller: Powell ies Diet\%, bests Miller. 14 Scott 1 Brunet 0 .

16 Rehberg 1 Polk 0. 18 Merchant 1 Haberfeld 0. 19 Blossom 1 Stein 0. 20 Piperno ties Bock mob Skipper. 22 Seibert withdraws. loses (a) to Piatigorsky. ${ }^{23}$ Staffer 1 Yaney
0 ; Griffin 1a Wolfe 0.24 Johnson 1 Hobson 0. 25 Manson tops Natwick (a), Clevenger. 26 Jackson 1 Weberg 0; Diamond 1 Westbrook 0. 27 Houkh stons Atzert. Newman: Atzert, Newman beat Church. 28 Brask 1
Howard 0.29 Fuglie 1 Poulsen 0.30 Martin 1 Brunk 0; Bergel 1 Melstrand 0. 31 Jones ties Morgan, bows to Holenweg. 32 Beckwith If Jacobson 0. 33 Harvey halts Hopkirk. Kenary; Packard bows to Wills, tops Hopkirk. 34 Kerr tops Clarvoe, loses to Hayes (a), Liggett, Hummel. 37 Moragne 1 Seals 0 . 38 Howland wins (f) from Murphy, loses to Harris. 39 Madison, Almquist top Carlson; Almquist 1 Wills 0. 40 Dion 1 Millard 0; Millard 1a Leighton 0. 41 Salgado 1 Clark 0 . 42 Seibert withdraws, 48 Osterhaus 1 Haendiges 0. 50 Osterman withdraws. 51 Ertzman 1 Schrader 0. 53 Zierke 1 Cummins 0; Osterhaus 1 Montague 0. 55 Simon resigns to Vrotney, withdraws. 56 DuVall replaces Osterman, 69 Ligkett replaces Dion; Plant replaces Lyne, 71 Collins replaces Henriksen.

## Finals (Key: 46-Nf)

1 Hill 1 Leinweber 0 .
1947 CLASS TOURNAMENT (Key: 47-C) 2 Allison 1 Harris 0. 4 Fisher 1 Macleod 0. Conway resigns to Epperlein, withdraws. 6 Hansen 1 Blanchard 0; Turpin loses to
Eaton, tops Spooner. 7 Brun bows to Underwood, bests Crowell. 8 Betker beats Wyller, Suverkrubbe, Buckendorf; Thompson, Betker top Erpenstein. 10 Ethington wins (a) from Paech, bows to France. 12 Gassen $1 / 2$ Hranowski $1 / / 2$; Werner tops Orsida, loses to Thomas. 13 Strax 1 Cain 0; Montague 1 Dannels 0 . 14 McGuire 1 Hamblen 0; Noreen nips Wahler, Cain. 15 Zierke, Fielding fell Case,
16 Maneman bests Zahler, loses to Hod 16 Maneman bests Zahler, loses to Hodge; Hodge, Gilbert top Case; Hodse 1 Guckelberg 0. 17 Price bows to Bass, Nucker, tops Epperlein; LiPuma yields to Nucker, bests Bass. 18 Pomper, Marble beat Caffrey; Carmen 1 Martin 0; Pomper 1 Stagg 0, 19 Bass bests Brewer, bows to LiPuma, 20 Kollars 1 Bedenham 0. 21 Dittiner downs Phillips, Donovan, Girardi, Cameron; Winsor 1 Donovan 0.. 22 Trull trips Wahler, Jacobs. 23 Sheldon 1 Van Dyke 0; Betker 1 Taylor 0 ; Betker, Buckendorf top (a) Gallagher. 25 Nason $1 / 2$ Nucker $1 / 2$; Nucker, Nason nip Bowen; Craig tops Thomas, Bowen: France bows to Thomas, ties Whaley. 26 Harris 1 Oxford 0; Mulligan halts Horne, Harris. 27 Oeder tops Carter, Printz; Ungar wins (a) from Hochstein, withdraws. 28 Weissenberg ties Raftery, bows to Musgrove; Raftery 1 Kramer 0. 29 Savage wins (a) from Lasser, bows to Warner, 30 Fisher 1 Gray 0. 31 Sparks 1 Hall 0; Phillips bows to Rietz, tops Phlegar. 33 Jones. Grant top Mora; Jones, McCarthy win (a) from Grant; McCarthy 1 Anderson 0; Jones 1 Cummings 0 .
34 Stevenson. Polic win 34 Stevenson, Polic win (a) from Christy;
Melander 1 Sheahan 0; Porter 1 Stevenson 0, 35 Erbeck 1 McCarthy 0.36 Warner bests Doof (f). Knowles; Anderson 1 Adams 0 . 37 Raphael, Milner withdraw; Warner tops (a) Milner, ties Amidon. 38 Gordon downs Enochson, Sheahan: Moragne 1a Marquardt 0. 41 Koenig yields to Drakert, bests Sherman; Wright, Koenig tod Rosenzweig. 42 Douglass, Engskov down Wade; Grafa 1 Douglass 0. 43 Pruner trims Woods. 45 Rosenhek, Ettlinger win (a) from Siefring. 46 West if Nunnelley 0; Kollars $1 / 2$ Ruffe $1 / 2$. 47 Esteriine 1 Crowell 0; Koegel downs Mulbacher, Evans, bows to Yeaw. 48 Duvall 1 Hallmark 0; Hahn ties Franz, bows to Dunlap, bests Zimmerman; Dunlap 1 Franz 0. 49 Anderson loses to Bates, Morris, bests Morgan. 50 Fowler if Eichhorn 0; Pratt 1 Ouchi 0. 51 Hagan halts Benenati, Vassilakos; Hoolihan 1 Cuthbertson 0.52 Gorfy 1 Hoffman 0: Millard tops Wilcox, ties Hoffman. 53 Helpern hatts Lisansky, loses to Riehs, Volet; Volet bows to Richs, bests Lockford, Lisansky: Riehs 1 Hoolihan 0; Lisansky 1 Granstein 0.54 Flath 1 Prock 0; Hummel bows to Burn, bests Strickland. 55 Goldschmidt 1 Taylor 0. 56 Long 1 Chandler 0 ; Rosenberg 1 Conover 0. 57 Pennington bows ${ }_{0}$ Parker, ties Zalucha. 58 Granstein tops Ewen, yields to Schoen; Schoen sweeps -Ewen, Martin, Kenyon, bows to Gaborc;

Martin takes Ewen, Kenyon. 59 Kohne bests Velnar, loses to Rubenstein. 61 Greenwald 1 Santacroce 0. 62 Miles 1 Dawn 0; Miller. Broadley top Lange. 63 W. Mekus 1 Strickland 0.64 Dugal downs Deming, Wattenberg. 65 Wright 1 Behr 0; Ewen $1 / 2$ Nicholson $1 / 2$. 66 Pellick 1 Sweeney 0. 68 Henriksen bows to Serfozo, tops Chandler: Maurer stops Serfozo, Colon. 69 Dulicai tops Galinsky, bows to Adler: Zalucha 1 Wade 0. 70 Weiner 1 Wyller 0. 71 Rosenzweig rips Rueda. Schamberg: Bossert 1 Schamberg 0. 72 Reynolds tops Adams (f), Copp (a); Baldwin withdrawn, loses (a) to Gates, 74 Trumbull trims Bennet, Meisterheim: Yerchin 1 Bennet O, 76 McCaughey 1 Jackson 0; Shertag, Green stop Spiak. 77 Reuse if Clark 0; Kane 1 Jackson 0.78 Kilmer loses to Land, beats Betz; Saunders 1 O'Gorman 0. 79 Pomper loses to Chong. Scales, tops Saranto. 80 Zahorsky 1 Smith 0; Simmons beats Kennedy. Connell. 81 Danon 1 Hopper 0. 82 Gloway 1 Lockwood 0.83 Bond 1 Harris 0. 85 Long. Trull, Nichols down Schuman; Trull 1 Long 0.87 Reynolds if Baidwin 0; Ehrman ties Anderson, tops Wyller, bows to Rice. 88 Hartman 1 Spiak 0. 89 Lewis loses (a) to Williamson, Frindle; Williamson 1 Shabsin 0. 90 Grubman withdrawn, loses (a) to Vure; Hartt, Nelson. Poole beat Butler; Vure 1 Burkhalter 0. 91 Simmons 1 Bullis 0; Soucy $1 / 2$ Thorne 1/2. 92 Homann 1 Peery 0; White 1 Schwart\% 0. 93 Rourke rips Thomas, Baier. 94
1 Bischoff 0.
95
Hunt, Shi 97 Weight bows to Gault, tops Jennings. 98 Harris bows to Sumner, Shinn, tops Carr: Carr bests Moroney, Thoms, 99 Frank. Marston, Marples beat Brockelbank; Craig 1a Gleason 0; Marston masters Craig, Kurtz, loses to Frank. 101 Fredeskov yields to Cowan, bests Carr; Carr tops Chabra, bows to Green: Cowan halts Hyser, loses to Green. 102 French withdraws. 103 Jennings 1 Weight 0; McNamara 1 Wallace 0. 104 Rice 1 Napier: Browning 1 Rice 0. 105 Angwin 1 Richmond 0. 107 Thompson tops Eisenberg, Loan; Feger fells Doyle, Loan; Menzel bests Loan, Green. 108 Baker, Cook top Inge. 109 McVicar 1 Gordon 0; Workman 1 Turney 0. 110 Conway resigns to Markson, withdraws. 112 Gordon 1 Hartwell 0. 113 Hergenroether 1 Stoddard 0; Stoddard 1 Bauer 0. 114 Scacciaferro yields to Frank, ties Gardinier; Sheldon, Frank top Oblom; Gardinier bests Greenlaw, Frank. 115 Mora bests Grothe, bows to Bond; Kajander 1 Harris 0. 116 Roby rips Huffman. resigns to Bullington, Yoder. 118 Geerz 1 Levin 0. 120 Callerman quells Dice. Blair. 121 Fitzgerald wins from Bachrach (f), bows to Gardinier. 122 Mascari 1 Hopper 0. 123 Nelson 1 Laubner 0. 124 O'Brien 1 Foley 0; Daraklis 1 Hopper 0. 125 Kane 1 Hochman 0. 126 Sheldon tops Fiynn, bows to King. 127 Gilligan $1 / 2$ Willis $1 / 2.130$ Allison 1 Rice 0 . 113 Buescher 1 Hodge 0, 134 Runkel 1 James 0. 135 Parker, Thompson rip Robosson; Godfrey 1 Reardan 0. 136 Blair withdraws. 137 Molloy 1 Harackiewicz $0 ;$ Lapierre downs Smart, bows to Reese. 138 Spiak yields to Weitz, tops Adams. 140 Zipkin 1 Immekeppel 0. 142 Gurton whips Witzel, Williams:
Holstrom halts Anderson, Mikel, 143 Gouled ties Treinis, bows to Holstrom; Eisen 1 Wallick 0.144 Wallick, Allen top Fratantoni. 145 Stanley 1 Willheim 0; Amdon if Hedemark 0. 146 Ashley, McMahon down Olin; Berry 1 Ashley 0. 148 Gottfried bows to Goodbody, halts Humphrey. 149 Doelling 1 Glusman 0. 150 Mengarini 1 Baier 0. 151 Mengarini sweeps Wintzer, Kirsher, Herrick; Herrick 1 Wintzer 0. 152 Gates tops (f) Gillis, loses to Foy, Schick. 154 Fengel fells Morgan, Weaks; Kelly 1 Morgan 0. 157 Shapiro bows to Doelling, Garvin, bests Antl; Morgan tops Antl, Garvin; French withdraws. 158 Webb withdraws; Ashley 1 Strahley 0. 159 Towson 1 Reardan 0; Watkins 1 Stevens 0. 160 Briggs 1 Somers 0. 162 Daraklis tops Zalewski, Anderson. 163 McLawhorn bows to Hopper, bests Mayberry. 166 Tyndale 1 Browning 0. 167 Taylor 1 Dixon 0, 168 Parsons loses to Sokoler, O'Brien. 169 Peper 1 Gregory 0. 170 Armstrong withdraws; Moore 1 Foley 0. 171 Ashley 1 Astrab 0.175 Brandreth 1 Gassen 0. 176 Sanders 1 Miller 0. 177 Neumann 1 Glusman 0. 182 Rosenkjar $1 / 2$ Lucas $1 / 2.185$ Wallan whips Marshall, Ross, Parker; O'Brien bests Nelson, Ross. 186 Urbach tops Rubin, Nason; Braverman bests Volet, Nason. 190 Arnold 1 Deutz 0; Cunningham 1 Baldwin 0. 191 Cowan quells Lilien-

## PLAY CHESS BY MAIL!

One of the best ways to improve your chess skill-and to have a swell time doing it-is to play chess by mail. If you have not yet taken part in our Postal -ournaments you are missing a lot of fun and valuable experience. There are hundreds of CHESS REVIEW readers anxious to meet you by mail, willing to match their ability at chess with yours. No matter what your playing strength may be-weak or strong-there are up with you on even terms and give you a good game.

You need no experience to play postal chess. There is nothing mysterious or difficult about it. It is played in exactly the same way as over-the-board chess except that you send your moves on post cards. Complete rules and instructions are mailed to each new player.

Postal chess players are issued numbered ratings. Eventually, this rating will depict your chess ability, compared with other players. We keep track of your wins, draws and losses, adjusting your rating accordingly. Rating changes are published each quarter-year.

## CLASS TOURNEY OPEN

Start playing chess by mail NOW! Enter one of the 7-man groups of our 1947 Class Tournament, now in progress. You will be assigned to a section with six other players about equal to yourself in playing skill. You play White against three of your opponents, Black against the other three-and you play all six games simultaneously.
You stand a good chance of winning a prize, too! Credits of $\$ 3.00$ and $\$ 2.00$ are awarded to the first and second place winners in each section. These credits may be used to purchase chess books or equipment.

If you have not played in our tourneys before, please specify in which class you would like to start. We recommend Class A for unusually strong players, Class B for above average players, Class $C$ for average players and Class $D$ for below average.
The entry fee is only $\$ 1$. You may enter as many sections as you please at $\$ 1$ each. Mail entry coupon below, or copy of it, to CHESS REVIEW, 250 West 57 th Street, New York 19, N. Y.

MAIL THIS ENTRY COUPON
chess review
Postal Chess Dept.
250 W , s7th St., S .
New York 19,
1 enclose $\$$.
......... (how many?) sections of your 1947 Postal Chess Tournament. The
amount enclosed covers the entry fee of $\$ 1$ per section. Kindly start/continue
(strike out one) me in Class..........
NAME
ADDRESS
CITY .................... STATE.


EVERYTHING YOU NEED to play chess by mail is included in the complete Postal Chess Kit produced by CHESS REVIEW for the convenience of postal players. The kit contains equipment and stationery especially designed for the purpose. These aids to Postal Chess will keep your records straight, help you to avoid mistakes, give you the fullest enjoyment and benefit from your games by mail.

## Contents of Kit

One of the most important items in the kit is the Postal Chess Recorder Album - the greatest aid to postal chess ever invented. The six miniature chess sets in this album enable you to keep track of the positions, move by move, in all six games of your section. On the score-cards, supplied with the album, you record the moves of the games. The up-to-date score of each game faces the current position. Score-cards are removable. When a game is finished, remove the old card and insert a new one, 12 extra score cards are included in the kit.

The kit also contains 100 Move-Mailing Post Cards for sending moves to your opponents, a Chess Type Stamping Outfit for printing positions on the mailing cards, a Game Score Pad of 100 sheets for submitting scores of games to be adjudicated or published, complete instructions on how to play chess by mail and the Official Rules of Postal Chess.

## Saves You Money

Bought separately, the contents would amount to $\$ 5.75$. The complete kit costs only $\$ 5.00$. To order, just mail the coupon below.


CHESS REVIEW Postal Chess Dept. 250 West 57 th St. New York 19, N. Y.

## POSTAL MORTEMS

## (Continued jrom page 23)

feld, Esterline. 193 \& 194 Felton withdraws 195 Gajewski 1 Moragne 0. 197 Scanlon 1 Midaleton 0; Heff 1 Flynn 0. 199 Siebrecht bows to Huffman, bests Mouser, (a) Bauer. 6 Ferber 1 Ettlinger 0. 204 Johnson 1 Jahnke 0.206 Perrine, Vandemark whip Weaver. 207 McAuley 1 Currie 0. 209 Lester 1 Descoteaux 0; Wolfert 1 O'Brien 0. 213 Baptist 1 Huffman 0. 214 Norin 1 Lochman 0. 219 Mulder 1 Fdmundson 0. 220 Gault 1 Oeder 0. 227 Lester, Stenberg, Saxer nip Nicholson. 230 Mekus withdraws. 232 Miliard 1 Mitchell 1). 237 Schoenborn if Olmstead 0, 243 Myers 1 Frank 0. 244 Morgan 1 Burke 0. 264 Oppel replaces Twigg. 295 Eddy replaces Goloubef.

1947 WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP (Key: 47-W)
1 Charles 2 Ramsey 0. 3 Wigren 1 Ewing 0. 4 Nearing 1 Tolman 0.5 Henderson tops Cutlip, Watson, also Rosenkjar (a) twice.

CHALLENGE MATCH GAMES
46-M 4 Bailey 1 Liandis 0. 36 Sievers 1 Carlton 0. $47-\mathrm{M} 3$ Easton 1 Meyer 0 .

## POSTALMIGHTIES

The following postalites have won prizes in Class Tournament sections as a result of games reported between June 21 and July 25 . Section Players Place 44-C94 B. Klein ...............2nd 44-C162 S. Rubinow ...........3rd
 45-C242 J. Harkins ............ 1st Cpl. A. M. Anderson 2-3 C. S. Middleton $\ldots . .2-3$
$45-\mathrm{C} 290$
H. G. Ruckert $. . . . .1-2$ A. R. Sheftel .........1-2 46-C50 D. Felton ..............3rd 46-C50 $\quad$ D. M. Brown .......2nd 46-C74 G. Murphy .............1st 46-C110 G. Smith ............. 1st
A. Choiniere ........ 2 nd ${ }_{46-C 113 \text { G. H. France } . . . . . . . . .3 r}^{\text {G. Metz ............. } 1 \mathrm{~s}}$ R. B. Fielding .......2nd
E. C. Foege . . ......... 3-4 W. H. James ........3-4

46-C125 R. E. Harris ...........
E. W. Warren .........1-2
${ }_{46-C 141}$ P. Palazzo .............3rd
46-C169 A, N. Goncharoff.. 2nd S. R. Mikel .........3-4
E. C. Weight ........3-4 J. C Rather ........1-2 46-C179 R. E. Orlando ....... 1 st H. E. Crowell $\ldots . . .2-3$
H. W. Turner $\ldots . . .2-3$ $46-\mathrm{C} 185 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{L}$. Neff ............. 1 st 46-C194 D. Burrows .........2nd 46 T. G. Lucas .........3rd 46-C216 A. Hall .............. $46-\mathrm{C} 216$ B. L. Neff ..............st C. Heising ...........2-4
M. Siesel $\ldots . . . . .{ }^{2-4}$

46-C218

To enter Postal Chess Tournament, see other slde of this coupon.

I enclose \$5. Please send me a Complete Postal Chess Kit by return mall.

1
| NAME . ............................................. $\mid$
ADDRESS .. ........................................
CITY ..................... STATE..........

## POSTAL CHESS ALBUM

With CHESS REVIEW's famous Postal Chess Album you can easily keep track of the games you play by mail. Makes record-keeping easy and eliminates mistakes. The current position and up-to-date score of each game are before you at all times (see cut). Score cards are removable. When a game is finished, remove the old score-card and insert a new one. Album is also extremely useful for playing over games in magazines and books.

The plastic-bound album contains six chessboards ( $5^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime}$ ) printed on smooth, buff board with heavy cardboard backing. Tough, long-lasting chessmen, in 2 colors, slip into the slotted squares.

Album comes complete with six sets of chessmen, six scorecards and corner mounts for cards.


No. 275-Postal Chess Album, complete with six sets of chessmen and six score cards with corner mounts
6 EXTRA SETS OF MEN-50c 12 EXTRA SCORE CARDS-2


## DIAGRAM STAMPING OUTFIT

No. 308-As used by postal players for recording positions on move-mailing cards described below. Outfit includes complete set of twelve rubber stamps ( $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \& \mathrm{P}$ for each color) and two ink pads, red and black. This size for diagrams $13 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ square --------- $\$ 2.50$
No. 307-Same as above but larger size, for diagrams $23 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ square ---------- $\$ 2.75$


## POSTAL CHESS CARDS

No. 351-These special cards make it easy to send moves and positions to your postal chess opponents. They also prevent costly mistakes. Diagram blank $13 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ square. Box of 300 Postal Chess Cards


## SPIRAL-BOUND SCOREBOOK

No. 304-Keep a record of your games in this handy, spiral-bound scorebook. 50 pages for the scores of 50 games, each ruled for up to 70 moves. Every page has a $23 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ diagram blank after 40th move. Scorebook is coat pocket size, $5^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. Spiral binding and heavy cardboard covers provide writing comfort while playing-ONLY 50c EACH. BARGAIN QUANTITY PRICE: 5 BOOKS FOR $\$ 2.00$

## GAME SCORE PAD

No. 305-Pad of 100 sheets, $6^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$, ruled for 60 moves. Printed on bond pa-
 per. Heavy cardboard back - 50c EACH OR 3 PADS FOR ONLY \$1.35

## DIAGRAM PAD

No. 311-Pad of 100 diagram blanks, $23 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ field, for use with Stamping Outfit $307-50 \mathrm{c}$ EACH OR 3 PADS FOR ONLY
--------\$1.35


## NEW TRAVELLING SET

No. 197-This new peg-in travelling has a big playing board $8^{\prime \prime}$ square! Y can really play with this set. Heavy co position board. Complete with plas men in cardboard box $\qquad$ _ONLY \$2. No. 198-De Luxe Model. Same piec and same size board but comes in sturd embossed leatherette case with compa ments for captured men. Plywood playi board. Closed size: $83 / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 103 / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 17 /$ Complete with men-A BARGAIN AT


## CHESS WALLETS

No. 235-Cloth wallet with $51 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ playin board slotted to hold flat celluloid pieces Closed size: $4^{\prime \prime}$ x $7^{\prime \prime}$. Complete with men _-ONLY \$1.13

No. 238-Leather wallet. Same as abom but with leather playing board and pal ded leather cover. Complete with men-si


[^0]:    FOR SALE: Handcarved ivory chessmen (32 pieces) perfect condition. $\$ 75$. Fredrik Lih, Cold Spring on Hudson, N. Y.

