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221 diagrams
493 idea variations
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## BIBLIOPHILES!

Glossy paper, handsome print, spacious paging and all the other appurtenances of exquisite book-making combine to make this the handsomest of chess books!


## BY I. A. HOROWITZ in collaboration with Former World Champion, Dr. Max Euwe, Ernest Gruenfeld, Hans Kmoch, and many other authorities

This latest and immense work, the most exhaustive of its kind, explains in encyclopedic detail the fine points of all openings. It carries the reader well into the middle game, evaluates the prospects there and often gives complete exemplary games so that he is not left hanging in mid-position with the query: What happens now?

A logical sequence binds the continuity in each opening. First come the moves with footnotes leading to the key position. Then follow pertinent observations, illustrated by "Idea Variations." Finally, Practical and Supplementary Variations, well annotated, exemplify the effective possibilities. Each line is appraised:,+- or $=$.

The large format- $71 / 2 \times 9$ inches-is designed for ease of reading and playing. It eliminates much tiresome shuffling of pages between the principal lines and the respective comments. Clear, legible type and a wide margin for inserting notes are other plus features.

In addition to all else, this book contains 439 complete games- $a$ golden treasury in itself!

Please send me Chess Openings: Theory and Practice at $\$ 12.50$
Name
Address

Check/Money order enclosed

# CHESS REVIEW THE PICTURE CNESS MAGAZINE 

 Volume 33 Number 4 April 1965 EDITED \& PUBLISHED BYI. A. Horowitz

## T:ble of Contents

| Alla Kushnir |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Battler at Beverwijk |  |
| Chessboard Magic! | 13 |
| Chess Quiz | 98 |
| Gabor Original | 01 |
| Game of the Month | 06 |
| Games from Recent Events | 12 |
| Haunted Chessboard | 16 |
| New Hope from Hoogoven | 8 |
| 1964 Olympiad |  |
| On the Cover | 99 |
| Postal Chess | 119 |
| Solitaire Chess | 115 |
| Spotlight on Openings |  |
| 32d USSR Championship |  |
| Tournament Calendar | 103 |
| World of Chess |  |

## EXECUTIVE EDITOR

## Jack Straley Battell

## CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

 A. B. Bisguier, J. W, Collins, T. A. Dunst, Dr. M. Euwe, Hans Kmoch. W. Korn and Dr, P. Trifunovich.
## CORRESPONDENTS

Alabama E. M. Cockrell.
California Dr. H. Ralston, M. J. Royer. Colorado J. J. Reid.
District of Columbia R. S. Cantwell.
Florida R. C. Eastwood.
Georgia Braswell Deen.
Idaho R. S. Vandenberg.
Illinois J. G. Warren
Indiana D. C. Hills, D. E. Rhead.
lowa J. M. Osness.
Kansas K. R. MacDonald
Louisiana J, F. Acers, A. L. McAuley. Maine L. Eldridge.
Maryland Charles Barasch, Dr. W. R.
Bundick.
Massachusetts R. B. Goodspeed
Michigan R. Buskager
Minnesota R. C. Gove.
Mississippi E. A. Duming.
Nebraska B. E. Ellsworth, Jack Spence. Nevada R. L, Wheeler.
New Hampshire Ralph M. Gerth.
New York Edward Lasker, H. M. Phillips.
North Carolina Dr, S. Noblin.
North Dakota D. C. Macdonald.
Ohio R. B. Hayes, J. R. Schroeder,
Oklahoma J. Haley
Pennsyivania J. E. Armstrong.
South Carolina Prof. L. L. Foster.
South Dakota M. F. Anderson.
Tennessee Mrs. Martha Hardt, J. G. Sulli-
van, Jr.
Texas Homer H. Hyde.
Utah Harold Lundstrom.
Virginia Leonard Morgan.
Wisconsin E. Olfe.
Wyoming E. F. Rohlff.

## CANADA

tlberta Percy Connell.
British Columbia Dr. N. Divinsky.
Manitoba M, Stover.
Ontario R, D. Jacques.
Quebec M. Moss.
Saskatchewan Frank Yerhoff.

## BOTVINNIK "RETIRES"

## By JACK STRALEY BATTELL



Petrosyan (left) and Botvinnik in the World Championship Match in 1963

The Russian news agency Tass reported March 11: "Mikhail Botvinnik, the Soviet Union's chess wizard and three times world champion, has retired from competition for the world crown."
The main point appeared to be that he did not register by the appointed date for entry into the matches which, this year, supersede the "Challengers Tournament" to determine who is to meet the World Champion next year.
"The cool, graying grandmaster has withdrawn from major international chess during the era of the Soviet Union's brilliant conquest of the chess world. . . . Mr. Botvinnik-nicknamed "the Ice"-was the first Soviet player to become world champion when he captured the crown in 1948. He won it again in 1958 and 1961 . . . Mr. Botvinnik is the first player in chess history to pass up the right to try to recapture the world championship."

We note with interest, however, that it is not a total retirement. Tass closed: "He will still take part in interesting tournaments' outside the world's title."

Botvinnik became World Champion by winning a special tournament in 1948, scoring 14-6, well ahead of Vassily Smyslov, USSR 11-9; Samuel Reshevsky, USA, and Paul Keres, USSR, $101 / 2 \cdot 91 / 2$; and former World Champion, Dr. Max Euwe, Holland, 4-16. In five games with each, Botvinnik made a plus score.

He held his title against the first to qualify as Challenger, David Bronstein, USSR, by tying in the grueling 24 game match in 1951. He did the same against Smyslov in 1954.

In 1957, however, lost the title discouragingly to Smyslov, by $91 / 2-121 / 2$, and seemed done for on the caliber of his play. Then, in 1958, he showed a surprising revitalization, winning by $121 / 2-101 / 2$ in the return match, guaranteed to the former titleholder in those days.
In 1960, the flashing play of Mikhail Tahl, also of the USSR, wrested the championship from Botvinnik with the record-setting score of $121 / 2-81 / 2$, and again the old veteran seemed "over the hill." Yet again, however, Botvinnik surged back in the return match in 1961, to win 13-8.

Then, in 1963, he lost out (finally?!) by $91 / 2 \cdot 121 / 2$ to Tigran Petrosyan of the USSR.
Tass reported March 13 that Botvinnik's "retirement" is in protest against there being no Return Match this time. Considering Botvinnik's astonishing comebacks in the past, who can say he might not have found the key to outpointing Petrosyan? We feel he deserves the chance.
V. Panov said in 1961 it's easier finding the key to a single rival than to 19 in a tournament. We say it is, too, than to the several in the series of matches in the Challengers Round. Botvinnik must know Petrosyan's strong and weak points by now. He may be aging, true; but he ap. peared to be against both Smyslov and Tahl, yet won cleanly in the return encounters with each.
Further, a Return Match, held this year, need in no way upset the Challengers Round, even now. The match winner can meet the Challenger next year.
Any defeated Champion deserves a return bout. Why not Botvinnik?


## QUIXOTIC QUIZZICALITIES

There is something unpractical about a Chess Quiz. And something idealistic, too. Which makes our CHESS Quiz what we may term a "practical" Don Quixote. What is it that's "unpractical"? What is it that's "idealistic"? We dare not say at this time. But you earn the right to state so unequivocally, if you score ten correct solutions (excellent). Equanimically, if you score eight (good). And quasiauthoritatively, if you score six (fair).

Solutions on page 122

3 White to move and win


Again, you'll not likely see a position quite (practically) like this again. Idealistically, however, it behooves you to be capable of solving one of this ilk over-the-board-if it does come your way! Practice on it now-to be ready in case it does. You can win what, and how?

7 White to move and win


This position is not precisely easy either, For the winning idea, you ought to summon up a little inspiration. But don't stop with a "well done" there. Proceed to query each and all of Black's possible replies-and work out the details of "the how' to each,

4 Black to move and mate


White tilted his lance quixotically (impractically) to land in this position. As a welcome result, his quixotic (idealistic) objective is now within your practical grasp. In other words, you mate! We've announced (quizzically) what you win. Now you rattle off the how!

8 Black to move and win


The essence of this position merits a bit of explanation. Black, quisotically if' you will, exposed his King. knowing he'd attain a winning game that way. He did, and the true highlight of the game is past. Now all you need do is detail the clinching "hows."

1 White to move and win


A position of just exactly this character will most likely come up once and only once in a lifetime of chessplay (except of course when repeated in a Chess Quiz!). You won't see it again but ought to be able to say (1) what you can win-and (2) how!

5 White to move and win


In this position, you can emulate the good Don and be idealistic for once. It is fairly simple to obtain a material advantage. But you can also press from there, heeding the maxim: "Never let an opponent up when you have him down." Polish this solution to a frazzle!

9 White to move and win


All right; now you have the pattern. Study Black's weaknesses and locate your inspired, key move. Idealistically, thereafter, proceed to enumerate the possible Black defenses: we see four more or less worthy of consideration. Can you knock all of them off?

2 Black to move and mate


A position more or less of this type will come up sometime in your future games. You'd feel silly if you didn't find the win-now wouldn't you? Do take heart, though! Even now, we dare say, you're quite capable of taking White's King. Aren't you?

6 Black to move and mate


Idealistically, this particular puzzler ought to come one number later. You need a. long seventh inning stretch to work out all the wrinkles! Be warned. This separates the men from the boys! Check all the ramifications if you want to chalk up an "excellent."

10 Black to move and win


This, like our other positions, is based on one in an actual game. It has a feature, however, of dissimilarity from otr usual quiz situ-ations-more like a typical game. in fact, but rather guaranteed not to hurt your chances, Oh, go on and solve it:
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## INTERNATIONAL

## King Keres

Despite a painful illness, Paul Keres of the Soviet Union showed his class by winning the world-renowned Hastings Premier in England with seven victories and two draws. Tied for second and third were Junior World Champion Florin Gheorghiu of Roumania and Svetozar Gligorich of Yugoslavia, each $61 / 2-21 / 2$. Young Helmut Pfleger of West Germany (apparently a comer) distinguished himself by posting a 6.3 record, while Nona Gaprindashvili of the Soviet Union, women's world titlist, did well with a $5-4$ plus score in this strong field.

## World Postal Supremacy

Scoring $91 / 2$ points out of a possible 12 , Vladimir Zagorovsky of the Soviet Union annexed the title of world correspondence chess champion. Runnerup was another Soviet master, Georgi Borisenko, $81 / 2 \cdot 31 / 2$. Zagorovsky is said to owe his success in battling "invisible opponents" to his faculty for precise and exhaustive evaluation of positions together with sharp combinative ability. He has participated in numerous postal events and was a member of the Soviet team which recently placed first in the world correspondence team tournament.

## Affair in Italy

Rome was the scene of an international tournament which was won jointly by Lehmann and Lengyel, each $71 / 2-21 / 2$.

## Israeli Interlude

Shortly after the Tel Aviv Olympiad, an international tourney was held in Jerusalem and credited to Parma of Yugoslavia, $81 / 2-21 / 2$. His compatriot Matanovich was second with 8.3.

## UNITED STATES

## 1st National Chess Open

'Twas a Black Knight for Samuel Reshevsky's opponent when Sam made his winning move! The cover photo may be a posed one; and the caption someone's idea of a gag; but Reshevsky did make just enough winning moves to regain a


Our most recent shot of Keres is from when he tied for first at Buenos Aires last year along with World Champion Tigran Petrosyan.

National First ranking by winning the First National Chess Open Championship (distinct from the U. S. Open and the regular U. S. Championship) held at Las Vegas, Nevada.
The Stardust Hotel was the scene of the 8 round, 140 player (some say 142), week-long Swiss System tournament. The idea was Lt. Col. E. B. Edmondson's. The President of the U. S. Chess Federation reasoned that there was room for another national event, open to all, and requiring only one week (instead of two for the U. S. Open). The result: a $\$ 4500$ prize fund and entrants from Puerto Rico to Hawaii, twenty-four states and Canada!
Grandmaster Reshevsky prevailed over all, but over Grandmaster Robert Byrne only by merit of the median tiebreak, as
both scored 7-1. Reshersky drew with Dr. Ariel A. Mengarini and Tibor Weinberger, and Byrne with Charles Henin and Weinberger.

Four tied for third place at $61 / 2-11 / 2$ : Grandmaster Larry Evans and Jack Moskowitz and Tibor Weinberger of Los Angeles and Blazo Sredanovic of Palo Alto, California.

Grandmaster Pal Benko appears to have gone stale. He tied at 6.2 with Paul Brandts, Morris Gordon, Eugene Levin, Mengarini, Donato Rivera, Irving Rivise and Robert G. Shean.

Tied at 5-2 were Peter Cleghorn, Jerome Hanken, Charles Henin, Capt. J. A. Hudson, K. R. Jones, Norman Lessing, Dr. Erich W. Marchand, M. MirHosseini, E. Osbun, A. Spiller and Dr. A. Stern.

Crosstable of Play at Hastings
12

| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\times$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $x$ | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\times$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $x$ | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $x$ |


| $8-1$ | $1 s t$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $6 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2-3$ |
| $6 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2-3$ |
| $6-3$ | 4 th |
| $5-4$ | 5 th |
| $4 \frac{1}{2}-4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 th |
| $3 \frac{1}{2}-5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 th |
| $2-7$ | $8-9$ |
| $2-7$ | $8-9$ |
| $1-8$ | $10 t h$ |

## TOURNAMENT CALENDAR

(Items reported late)
Arkansas - April 17 to 18
Arkansas (closed) Championship at Albert Pike Hotel, Little Rock, Arkansas: 5 Rd SS Tmt: Arkansas residents only: EF $\$ 3$ plus USCF dues; for details, M. Nahas, Box 192, Lake Hamilton, Arkansas 71.951.
Washington - April 24 to 25
12th Annual Inland Empire Open at Coeur D'Alene Hotel, N 228 Howard, Spokane, Washington: 6 Rd SS Tmt, 50 moves/2 hours: Register by 9 am: EF $\$ 5$ : $\$ \$$ to at least 3 top finishers: inquiries R. F. Kittredge, N 622 Dartmouth Road, Spokane, Washington 99206.

## California - May 1 to 2

Berkeley Open at YMCA, 2001 Allston Way, Berkeley, California: 5 Rd SS Tmt (3 Divisions) : trophies and other awards: EF $\$ 4$ plus Chess Friends of Northern California dues ( $\$ 3$ ): inquiries to J. M. Long, 1123-B Stannage Avenue, Albany 6, Calif.

Washington - May 1 to 2
1965 Central Washington Open at John's Serve-U Grocery, 209 E. Woodin Avenue, Chelan, Washington: 5 Rd SS Tmt, 45 moves $/ 2$ hours: register by $9: 30$ AM: EF \$5: \$\$ Ist $\$ 100$ guaranteed, also books to top of Class A, B, C \& Jr., possibly others: inquiries to R. W. Miller, Box A, Chelan, Washington 98816.

Indiana - May 15 to 16
1st Indianapolis Open at Sheraten-Lincoln Hotel, 117 W. Washington St., Indianapolis, Indiana: 5 Rd SS Tmt, 45 moves/ $11 / 2$ hours, May 15, $50 / 2$ 16th: EF $\$ 6$ (juniors under $19 \$ 4$ ) plus USCF dues: register by 10 AM, May 15: $\$ \$$ guaranteed minimum from $\$ 75$ down: inquiries to N. L. Matthews, 238 North 15 Avenue, Beech Grove, Indiana 46107.

For the regular TOURNAMENT CALENDAR listings, see page 103.

## CHESS and CHECKERS Supplies

High Quality Catalin and Plastic Checkers Plain or Grooved. . All Sizes

CHESS Sets . . . Wood . . Catalln . . Plastic All Sizes . . All Prices
CHESS and CHECKER Boards Folding, Non-Folding, Regulation or Numbered
CHESS-CHECKER Timing Clocks
All Merchandise Reasonably Priced
SEND FOR FREE CATALOG
STARR SPECIALTY COMPANY
1529 South Noble Road,
Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44121

## IT'S YOUR MOVE!

Remember! Give us six weeks notice of change of address. Copies do not get forwarded and also can take weeks enroute. So we must have notice early!


A Craftsman chess set to John Reachmack (right) "In recognition and appreciation of his outstanding contributions to the United States Navy Band," from officers and men of the U.S. Navy Band to Chief Senior Musician Reachmack. It seems he started countless beginners at chess among members of the band. Presentation is by LCDR A. A. MitchelI, U.S. Navy Band Leader.
U. S. Navy Photo

## (1st National Open-concluded)

Mrs. Lina Grumette and Mrs. J. Piatigorsky, both of Los Angeles, shared the women's title. They scored 5-3. Jack Moskowitz' score earned him the Senior Championship: if you're over fifty try for it next time! Phil Haley of Sarnia, Ontario, at 5-3 led and brought up the rear of the Canadian "contingent." Benko lost two games, to Mengarini and to Paul Quillen.

Accommodations were ample: new sets and boards; and, for the spectators, large demonstration boards showing the toprated games, and luxurious armchairs! The Stardust seems to have won the right to hold the event next year.

## REGIONAL

## Junior Meet

In the Northwest Junior Open, Jerry Wolfe seized the limelight with a 6.0 triumph, followed by Denny Jenquin, 5-1. There was a sizable attendance of 27 players.

## Baltimore Open

A spirited Baltimore Open resulted in a $51 / 2-1 / 2$ tie between Larry Gilden and

[^0]Michael Valvo, with Gilden taking the prize on Median tiebreaking. The same system broke a 5-1 deadlock between Robert T. Durkin and Miro Radojcic in favor of Durkin. There were 66 players.

## Deṫroit Open

In the Detroit City Open, known this year as the Lucille Kellner Memorial Tournament, Dr. Erich Marchand was a clear first with $61 / 2-1 / 2$. Second place in the sixty-player event became the property of Derwin Kerr witht 6-1. Greta Olsson took the woman's title.

## OHIO

In the Ohio high school team championship, two Cleveland groups representing the Euclid and the St. Edward High Schools wound up in a deadlock at $41 / 2$. 11/2, broken in favor of Euclid by a slender S-B. margin. Wayne Rohricht, David Swaddell, Tim Howe and Scott Winsor manned the Euclid boards.

## OKLAHOMA

The thirty-two-player Oklahoma Open Senior Tournament and the twenty-one-

[^1]player Oklahoma Open Junior were won respectively by the Texan master Kenneth Smith and seventeen-year-old Joseph Cash, each scoring a 5.0 shut-out. Runnerup in the Senior was David Lees, while second spot in the Junior went to Robert Purdy.

## UTAH

Turning in a 7.0 sweep, Stanley Hunt overrode his opposition to take an easy first in the Utah Open. Donald Benge, $51 / 2-11 / 2$, placed second and Dick Heilbut, $5-2$, came in third. There were fifteen competitors.

## WASHINGTON

Viktors Pupols and Clark Harmon drew their individual game in the Washington Open and defeated all their other opponents. Their $41 / 2^{-1 / 2}$ tie was subsequently broken on median points in favor of Pupols. Andy Schoene, Colin Aykroyd, Jim McCormick and Bill Kiplinger, each 4.1, finished third to sixth in the order mentioned on tiebreaks.

## LOCAL EVENTS

Arizona. Three wins and three draws yielded the championship section of the Phoenix Chess Club to Jack I. Gibson. Reserve winner was M. D. Pedersen, also $41 / 2-11 / 2$.
California. Paul Quillen, $5^{1} / 2^{1 / 2}$, captured the Downey Open, half a point ahead of J. Hanken and Simanis, Hanken became runnerup on a tiebreak. Thirty-four players attended.

In the twenty-one-player Whittier Amateur Open, F. Frilling and C. Larson tied at 5-1, with Frilling getting the upper hand on a slight tiebreaking superiority.

Kentucky. A rating tournament at the Ashland Chess Club saw Julian L. Pugh, Jr. win first with a $4,1 / 22^{-1 / 2}$ showing. James R, Harris, $31 / 2-11 / 2$, was second.
Minnesota. Two "Tornadoes" (fast tournaments played at the rate of 30 moves an hour) were credited to Curt Brasket and Robert K. Johnson.

## A Nicholas Gabor Original



White mates in three moves
A complete White Knight Circle (Total Fleck Theme in Three Mover).

Solution on page 102

Nevada. Gary Bair and Herman Estrada tied at $51 / 2-1 / 2$ in the Las Vegas city title tournament, the nod for first going to Bair on a tiebreak. Stan Zajac, 5-1, came in third.

New Jersey. In the Hudson High School Championship, attended by 32 students, Mayer Riff of Snyder High emerged on top.

Chess Chat, published by the Jersey City YMCA Chess Club, reports that Chess Openings: Theory and Practice, the new book by I. A. Horowitz, was donated by Mrs. T. Mueller and won as an extra bonus by runnerup Raymond Heitmann of Hoboken High.
New York. Arthur J. Byers bagged the New Rochelle Chess Club annual $30-30$ tourney with a 6.0 record, followed by Harold Kaiser, 5-1.
Ohio. Topping a field of 77 players, Rich. ard Kause again won the Cleveland Open with the same $51 / 2-1 / 2$ score that he com. piled last year.
In the Eighth Annual Glass City Open. held in Toledo, Paul Poschel was successful with a perfect 5.0, ahead of Walker. $41 / 22^{1 / 2}$. The field comprised 80 entrics.
Oltahoma. Sam Mayfield won the Tulsa Open on a tiebreak after he and Gcorge Hulburd had scored $41 / 2-1 / 2$ each in a thirty-player event.


JUDE F. ACERS
At twenty, Acers was the youngest Southern master since Morphy, is only one in Louisiana. Champion and team captain of Louisiana State University, the New Orleaner is a journalist student and edits his own chess paper. He is also Champion of Baton Rouge.

## A GREAT BOOK by a GREAT TEACHER <br> CHESS SECRETS <br> by EDWARD LASKER



I$N$ this mellow volume of memoirs, Lasker offers a wealth of fascinating detail about his namesake Emanuel, Capablanca, Alekhine, Nimzovich and other great players of past and present, from whom he learned the fine points of chess by crossing swords with them. A member of the armed forces writes: ". . . . My heartiest congratulations on what I consider a monumental piece of work, outstanding in a rare combination of instruction, entertainment and sheer reading pleasure. . . . I was sorry when I reached the end but found the second reading even more enjoyable. . . . I have actually been trying in vain to recall any book which has given me so much enjoyment as this one." Contains 75 games annotated with Lasker's customary penetration and clarity. Delightfully illustrated by Kenneth Stubbs with more than 30 drawings of famous masters.

464 pages, 216 diagrams

## The world's foremost publisher of books on CHESS

Send for free catalogue of chess publications to
DAVID McKAY COMPANY, Inc., 750 Third Av., New York, N. Y. 10017

Pennsylvania. At the Pittsburgh Chess Club the junior title was won by Ronald Standley, $71 / 2-1 / 2$, followed by Kenneth Shotting, $61 / 2-11 / 2$.

The thirty-two-player Pittsburgh Metropolitan Championship was won by Martin S. Lubell with a clear first of $51 / 2^{-1 / 2}$. William Byland and John Kolts, $5 \cdot 1$ each, shared second and third.
South Carolina. Ernest E. Hoenck, a former South Carolina state champion, won the Charleston Chess Club title and received a chess clock as a trophy.
Tennessee. The East Tennessee Junior Invitational, held in Oak Ridge, went to Ted Mercer, 5-0.
Vermont. In downing Johnson State College, the Norwich University Chess Club completed a sweep of all its matches with other Vermont school teams. Alan Brown, a Norwich man, made the best individual showing with a $7-1$ tally.
W ashington. The Seattle Chess Club Championship was won by George Kenny, 4-1. Norman Abrahamson matched this game score, but fell back to second on a tiebreak.

In the Spokane County Open, Doug Adams was first with 5-1. Second with $41 / 2 \cdot 11 / 2$ was Dr. David Groenig.

The 1965 Region XV Tournament went to David Grannis, 5-0. Ron Leonard, 4-1, was runnerup.

The Ruse de Guerre Chess Club March of Dimes Benefit, held in Camas, resulted in a tie at 5-1 by Sief Poulsen, Milt Colpron, Leon Sage and Denny Jenquin. The quartet finished in that order on tiebreaks.
West Virginia. In the Holiday Open at Huntington, Paul A. Sayre produced a perfect 5-0, ahead of G. Patrick Forsee, 4.1.

## LATIN AMERICA

## Argentina

Miguel Najdorf is again chess king of Argentina, following a splendid $13-2$ victory in the national championship tournament in Buenos Aires. W. Cruz was second with $101 / 2 \cdot 41 / 2$.

## Venezuela

In the Venezuela national championship event, Ladislao Tapazto emerged on top, with Alberto Caro, Juan Branchet and Miguel Carnicero in second, third and

## Gabor Solution

1 P-KN3 with threat of 2 N moves (appropriately to allow $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ mate).

Black has a choice of nine moves, each forcing the White Knight to move to a specific square in order to enforce $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ mate, a complete Knight Circle. Only 1

B-N3 permits choice of two squares: $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ or $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 2$.


Last year at Tokyo, Grandmaster Wolfgang Unzicker commemorated the opening of the Germany air line Lufthansa between Germany and Japan by winning outright the first two of a scheduled three-game match with Japanese Chess (Shogi) Champion Yasuharu Oyama, in the same room of the Imperial Hotel where World Champion A. Alekhine gave a blindfold exhibition of 15 boards in January 1933. Unzicker gave a straight simultaneous exhibition of 32 boards and won all games. In the photo, Oyama is at right; Tsunoda Mamoru, Sec. of Japanese Chess Federation at left while Unzicker and Oyama are engaged in the second game of the match.
fourth places respectively. The Hungar-ian-born Tapazto was awarded the title of national master, an honor he seems to merit richly in view of his loss of only four games during his ten years of Venezuelan tournament activity! The wimers of these games were Fischer, Medina. Najdorf and C. Sanchez.

## FOREIGN

## Australia

In the New South Wales title tournament, composed of an exceptionally strong field, Fred Flatow was first with the fine score of $101 / 2-31 / 2$. Rumnerup was L. S. Fell, $91 / 2 \cdot 41 / 2$.

The Australian Championship saw C. J. S. Purdy and D. G. Hamilton lock horns with 11-4 each. A playoff will decide the title.

## Dutch West Indies

On a trip to the Dutch West Indies, George Koltanowski discovered how Curacao financed the Candidates' Tournament in 1962. Here's a quote from George's report in the San Francisco Chronicle: "It started with the chess fans raising 2,000 guilders, with which they built a home, which they raffled off for 10,000 guilders. This they repeated and once again raised 10,000 guilders." Then, George continues, "The Dutch government printed three chess stamps, with all the profit going to the chess group. This put them way over the top and they still have a small fortune in the bank
...." Might give ideas to somebody in the United States-although we must confess amazement at the possibility of building a house in Curacao for 2,000 guilders, which, according to the best information we have been able to unearth, amount to less than $\$ 1,000$.

## Germany

Veteran United States master Norman T. Whitaker, on a jaunt through Europe, participated in West Germany's Chiemsee Open and dominated it with a 6.0 shutout.

## Switzerland

The Swiss title was won by M. Markus, $61 / 2-21 / 2$, followed by Dr. Christoffel, 6-3.

## Soviet Union

According to Tass, Korchnoy is working on a book of his selected games.

## MISS AGAIN!

From the Michigan Chess Bulletin, we learn that, in the 1965 Greater Lansing Area Tournament, Dr. L. C. Young, an expert, defeated a low-ranking, unrated player: 1 P-K4, P-K4 2 P-KB4, PxP 3 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 35 \mathrm{BxP}$. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 46 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \dagger, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ mate.
But honors went to unrated player D . Henry in this game against a near-expert: 1 P-K4, P-QB3 $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 43$ $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \operatorname{PxP} 4 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ ??? $6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ mate.

And eleven-year-old Dannie Dudley, never in a tournament before, was led by the hand to his first-round game and shown how to get started. He mated his astonished opponent in four moves.


## COMING EVENTS IN THE U. S.

## AND CANADA

Abbreviations-SS Tmt: Swiss System Tournament (in 1st round entries paired by lot or selection; in subsequent rounds players With similar scores paired), RR Tmt: Round Robin Tournament (each man plays every other man). KO Tmt: Knock-out Tournament (losers or low scorers eliminated). \$\$: Cash prizes. EF: Entry fee. CC Chess Club. CF: Chess Federation. CA: Chess Association. CL: Chess League. Rd: rounds. USCF dues: $\$ 5$ membership per year.

Maryland - April 2 to 4
1965 Maryland Open at Dundalk YMCA, 10 Dunmanway, Dundalk, Baltimore, Maryland 21222: 6 Rd SS Tmt, 50 moves/2 hours: EF $\$ 6.50$ ( $\$ 5.50$ received by Mar 27) plus USCF dues: register by $7: 30$ PM, April 2: $\$ \$$ to top 3; trophies to same plus top A, B, C, junior, woman \& unrated, title \& revolving trophy to top Maryland scorer: EFs and inquiries to J. W. Dempsey, Dundalk YMCA (address above).
Vermont - April 2 to 4
New England Intercollegiate Championship at Norwich University, Northfield, Vermont: 5 Rd SS Team Tmt open to accredited colleges, New England, Quebec, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, any number 4 man teams per college; 5 moves/2 hours; special unrated faculty coaches tmt: trophy \& \$\$: EF $\$ 10$ per team plus ICLA \& USCF dues: register by noon, April 2, Plumley Armory: for entry forms, information, write to Prof. S. C. Hawkins, Dept. of English (address above) .

## lowa - April 3 to 4

State Championship at Shelden-Munn Hotel, Ames, Iowa: 5 Rd SS Tmt; 30 moves/hour, then $15 / 30$ minutes: open to state residents: register by 10 AM : EF $\$ 5$ plus USCF dues: trophies to 1st \& 2 d : Middle Division (under 1700 rating, over 16 years) EF \$4, trophy to 1st; Junior Championship (under 19) EF $\$ 2$, trophy to 1st: inquiries to J. M. Osness, 320 Columbia Circle, WaterIoo, Iowa 50701.

New York - April 3 to 4
$2 d$ Annual Langman Tournament at Lewis House, Clarkson College, Potsdam, New York (north of Watertown) : 5 Rd SS Tmt, 50 moves $/ 2$ hours: register by 9 M, April 3: EF $\$ 5$ plus USCF dues: $\$ \$$
\& trophies per EFs, but 1st $\$ 100$ : in. quiries to R. T. Page, 5 Chestnut St., Pots. dam, N. Y.

Pennsylvania - April 3 to 4
5th Annual Golden Triangle Open at Pittsburgh CC, Golden Triangle YMCA, 304 Wood St., Pittsburgh 22, Pennsylvania: 5 Rd SS Tmt, 50 moves $/ 2$ hours: EF \$6 (\$4 for under 18) \& USCF dues: register by $9: 30 \mathrm{Am}$, April $3: \$ \$ 1 \mathrm{st}-4 \mathrm{th}$ (at least $\$ 100$ guaranteed 1st), 1-3 each for A, B \& C, 1-2 Junior (at least $15 \$$ ) : inquiries to J. E. Armstrong, 47 Churchill Rd., Pittsburgh 35, Pennsylvania.

## California - April 10 to 11

Walnut Creek CC Spring Tournament at Walnut Creek Recreation Bldg., Civic Drive near No. Broadway, Walnut Creek, Calif. 5 (at least) Rd SS Tmt, in three divisions: Expert A, B \& C: register by 10 am, April 10: EF $\$ 4$ plus $\$ 3$ dues for Chess Friends of Northern California: trophies to 1 st in each division, other prizes to 2 d \& 3 d , \& "surprise" prizes: play starts 1 PM: advance EFS \& inquiries to R. G. Jacob, Box 391, Walnut Creek, California.

## Mississippi - April 10 to 11

Mississippi-Louisiana Open at the Eola Hotel, Natchez, Miss. 5 Rd SS Tmt; for information, Jeff Liddell, 618 Inez St., Greenville, Miss.

## Illinois - April 11 \& 25

Chicago Chess Festival at Gompers Park Field House, 4224 W. Foster, Chicago: Chicago Individual Rapid Championship, April 11: 6 minute games: EF $\$ 2$ : $\$ \$$, refreshments; Chicago Team Championship, April 25: teams of six: EF $\$ 6$ per team: trophies, $\$ \$$, refreshments: inquiries and EFs to P. Wolf, 6427 N. Damen, Chicago 45, Illinois.

## Alabama - April 23 to 25

Birmingham Open at Thomas Jefferson Hotel: 5 Rd SS Tmt: $\$ \$ \&$ trophies: EF $\$ 6$ (Class A) or $\$ 4$ (Class B) plus USCF dues: Friday night round optional: inquiries to C. Cleveland, 15th floor, Empire Bldg., Birmingham, Alabama.

## Arizona - April 23 to 25

Phoenix Open at Phoenix Adult Center, 1101 W. Washington St., Phoenix, Arizona: 6 Rd SS Tmt, 45 moves $/ 2$ hours, 20 per after: register by 7:30 pm, April 23: EF $\$ 5$ plus USCF dues: $\$ \$ 1$ st 50 \& 2d $\$ 25$ guaranteed, trophies for 1st-3rd \& $1-3$ in Class A, B, C, D, Unrated, Junior and Women's (if 3 entries), book prize to 2nd in each class: EFs \& inquiries to J. H. Aden, 7249 E. Coronado Rd., Scottsdale, Arizona.

[^2]
## Massachusetts - April 23 to 25

6th Annual New England Amateur at Boylston YMCU, 48 Boylston St., Mass: 6 Rd SS Tmt, 50 moves $/ 2$ hours: register by 7 PM (but see EF): \$\$ \& trophies for 1st, top Class A, B, C, woman \& junior; to lst \& top woman EF to U. S. Amateur; door prize, \& Mdze prizes per EFs: EF Class A \& up $\$ 9, \mathrm{~B} \$ 7 \& C \$ 5$ (less $\$ 1$ if revd by April 10) plus USCF dues: adv. EFs and inquiries to R. B. Goodspeed, 981 Plymouth St., Bridgewater, Mass. 02324.

## California - April 24 to 25

Fourth Redwood Empire Open at College of Marin cafeteria, College Av., Kentfield, California: 5 Rd SS Tmt; register by 9 Am, April 24: EF $\$ 12$ (under 1900 rating \$10) plus USCF \& CSCF dues: $\$ \$$ (as based on 50 EFs , last Open drew 52) 1st $\$ 120$ (guaranteed $\$ 100$ ), 2nd $\$ 80,3 \mathrm{~d}$ $\$ 50$, also $\$ \$$ to top of Classes, junior, women \& others: inquiries \& advance EFs to M. Goodall, 172 Blackstone Dr., San Rafael, California.

## New York - April 24 to 25

8th Annual Lake Ontario Open at Central YMCA, 100 Gibbs St., Rochester, New York: 5 Rd SS Tmt; 50 moves $/ 2$ hours: register by $9: 30$ AM, April 24: play starts $10,3 \& 8$, April $24 ; 9 \& 2$, 25: EF \$6 plus USCF dues: $\$ \$ 1$ st guaranteed $\$ 100$; more as EFs permit: EFs \& inquiries to Dr. E. W. Marchand, 192 Seville Drive, Rochester, New York 14617.

## Ohio - April 24 to 25

Queen City Open at Central Parkway YMCA, 1105 Elm St., Cincinnati 10, Ohio: 5 Rd SS Tmt (3 Rd, April 24); 45 moves $/ 11 / 2$ hours on 24 th, $50 / 2,25$ th: EF $\$ 6$ ( $\$ 4$ for under 18) plus USCF dues (less $\$ 1$ if received by April 20): $\$ \$$ per at least $60 \% \mathrm{EFs}, 1$ for each 10 entries \& each $2 / 3$ of preceding higher: advance EFs \& inquiries to D. Taylor, 706 Mt . Hope St., Cincinnati, Ohio 45204.

## Texas - April 24 to 25

Southwest Intercollegiate at Memorial Student Center, Texas A \& M University: 5 Rd SS Tmt, 50 moves/ 2 hours: register by 9 Am, Apr 24 : open to undergraduate and graduate students, 4 man team and individual: EF $\$ 5$ : $\$ \$ \&$ trophies: inquiries to B. G. Dudley, 1013 E 23, Bryan, Texas 77801.

## Vermont - April 24 to 25

State Championship at Norwich University, Northfield, Vermont: 6 Rd SS Tmt; 50 moves $/ 21 / 2$ hours: register by 9:30 AM: $\$ \$$ to be announced: EF $\$ 3$ plus VCA dues: inquiries to R. Williams, West Rutland, Vermont,

## New Jersey - April 30 to May 2

New Jersey State Amateur at Plaza Hotel, 500 Cooper St., Camden, New Jersey: 6 Rd SS Tmt; 50 moves/ 2 hours, 15

# 32d USSR CHESS CHAMPIONSHIP By WORLD CHESS CHAMPION TIGRAN PETROSYAN 

Courtesy of the Novosti Press Agency (APN)



Tigran Petrosyan

Viktor Korchnoy, a thirty-three-year-old Grandmaster from Leningrad, emerged victorious in the USSR Championship in Kiev, which drew to a close on January 27. The gold medal of a USSR titleholder is one of the most precious awards for a chessplayer. The USSR championships, therefore, which crown each chess year, attract first-class forces whose rivalry produces the finest examples of chess art. These competitions are distinguished for their tense struggle from start to finish, and it is a rare winner who can prove his superiority over his rivals in such a tournament so convincingly as Viktor Korchnoy did this time.

The result of Viktor Korchnoy, USSR chess titleholder for 1964 (this is no mistake: it is for 1964)- 15 points out of a possible 19-is a unique one. It can safely be placed on a par with the best tournament achievements in the history of chess.

Viktor Korchnoy's debut in the USSR chess arena in 1946 was not a happy one for him. Later, for two years at a stretch, he placed on top in junior chess tournaments. His first major success was tying for second place in the USSR Championship in 1954, and it was supplemented by his victories in international tournaments at Hastings and at Bucharest.

In 1960 in Leningrad, Viktor Korchnoy became a USSR titleholder for the first time; and, several months after, he tied for first place in a tournament with an exceptionally strong field of entrants in Buenos Aires.

Two years later, Korchnoy took part in the world chess title elimination roundthe Challengers Tournament in Curacao -for the first time. For nearly half the distance, the Leningrader led the field; but lack of experience let him down, and his finish in this chess Marathon race proved unhappy for him.

Korchnoy's fans felt down in the mouth also when he failed to qualify for the next cycle in the contest for a world chess title. Yet, another gold medal of a USSR champion, which he won in Yerevan in 1962 and, finally, this latest brilliant victory in Kiev are excellent confirmation of Korchnoy's skills and maturity.

As years rolled by, Korchnoy has de. veloped an interesting approach to posing and solving the problems a tournament fighter is faced with in individual games. An excellent tactical sagacity-an indispensable component of a strong chess-player-became his major defensive weapon. He often creates tight situations on the board, when his opponent is on the of-


VIKTOR KORCHNOY
fensive. Carried away by his designsand most chessplayers are very fond of attacking-the "aggressor" is willy-nilly bound to incur limitations of a tactical or positional nature in his camp-and soon comes the reckoning.

Grandmasters David Bronstein, Mik. hall Tahl and Leonid Stein also rend. ered a good performance in the championship.
Bronstein of Moscow started off well and, for some time, led the field along with Viktor Korchnoy. Important in the shaping of the final standings were the
games, Bronstein vs. Stein and Bronstein vs. Korchnoy, both of which were, alas, lost by the Muscovite. After that, Bronstein appeared discouraged and began playing unevenly. Yet, I think, a number of excellent games which he played during the championship were a pleasure to lovers of chess.
Following a slack start, Stein, defending USSR titleholder, succeeded in forging ahead and overtook the group of leaders. Had he managed to win his game against Korchnoy, which developed in his favor, who knows what the final results might have been?
Tahl's play was marked by ups and downs, and it appeared that he was poorly prepared for such tense competition. *
Speaking of Masters, one should single out L. Shamkovich, one of the more experienced chessplayers and also A. Lutikov, A. Lein and V. Osnos.
This latest championship of the country has shown that the Soviet chess school is on the upgrade. This fact was confirmed by the acute sporting struggle which distinguished these tournament encounter: for the USSR chess title.

## THE BEST GAME of the USSR Championship annotated by Tigran Petrosyan

The game between Ratmir Kholmov of Sochi and David Bronstein of Moscow has been adjudged to be the best one in the 32d USSR Chess Championship. The Sochi Grandmaster effected a combination with so many fine points to it that only a detailed analysis of the numerous variations involved is capable of revealing all the opportunities for both sides.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

R. Kholmov
D. Bronstein

| White |  |  | Black |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | P-K4 | P-QB4 | 6 | B-KN5 | P-K3

Tournament experience has shown that, in the event of $11 \ldots$ NxB 12 P-N5. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 213 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 214 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3$, White's prospects are fairly good.

```
(See diagram, top of next page)
12 P-B5
```

This move seems anti-positional, because Black can now transfer his Knight

[^3]

Position after $11 \ldots$ PxB
to the middle of the board, by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 4$, winning a tempo. Yet the continuation chosen by Kholmov is most energetic: White plans concrete tactics.


14 P-N5
White boldly sacrifices his Pawn in the hope of mounting a King-side attack. A very tense position takes shape on $14 .$. PxP. But Bronstein prefers another plan.
$\begin{array}{lrlll}14 \ldots & \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{N} 5 & 16 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1 \dagger & \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1 \\ 15 \text { NP×P } & \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} & 17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2\end{array}$
Now, after White's natural $18 \mathrm{~N} / 3-\mathrm{K} 2$, Black has $18 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ with equal play.


18 N-B6!!
In positions of this kind, it is very important to retain the initiative, and Kholmov tightens his grip by sacrificing.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 18 \ldots \text { P-K5! } \\
& 19 \text { ! }
\end{aligned}
$$

(See diagram, top of next column)

## 19

$$
\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 4 \dagger
$$

The Pawn sacrifice is remarkable, too. For Black has no less than three seemingly sound ways of taking it.

19 . . NxP or 19 . . . PxP, however, is parried with the strong move of 20 N -K4. And white also continues to mount a formidable attack after 19 . . BxP.*

[^4]

Pósition after 19 P.K5!

In many variations, White has as his target the KR7 square.

It appears that the continuation chosen by Bronstein enables Black to steer clear of many dangers.

| 20 | $R \times B$ | $P-B 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 21 | $P \times Q P$ | $Q-K B 2$ |
| 22 | $R-N 3$ | $P \times N$ |



## 23 B-B4!

It is possible that Kholmov could not calculate all the variations up to the very end when contemplating $18 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6$. He had, however, to sketch the general outline of his attack, which is now becoming irresistible despite Black's material advantage.


26 P-Q7!
An effective tactical blow on the problem theme of interference following which Black no longer has satisfactory defensive moves.*

| $26 \ldots$ | $R \times P$ | $30 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1 \dagger$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $27 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $31 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \dagger$ | $32 \mathrm{R} / 3-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $29 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $33 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3 \dagger$ |
|  |  | $34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | Resigns |

[^5]
## Just Published THE TEENAGE CHESS BOOK

by

## Reuben Fine

One of the world's leading chess authorities shows young people how to learn and play chess.

Illustrated with more than 300 diagrams.

Ages 14 -up. $\$ 3.75$
DAVID MCKAY COMPANY, INC.
750 Third Ave., New York 10017
MASSIVE CHESS SET


Imported from Mexico

- Solid tropical woods.
- Kings six inches high, other pieces in proportion.
- In black \& blonde. On many of the pieces the grain of the wood adds to their interest and individuality.
- Felted bases.
- Standard (Staunton) design.
- Not mass produced, but each set made separately with hand tools. Each set an original.
- Perfect for play or display.
- Includes wood carrying box that opens to make a handsome king size inlaid wood chessboard that fits set.
- Money refunded if not pleased. Immediate shipment.
- Price $\$ 19.95$ Postpaid.


## MILLER IMPORTS

2507 West Woodlawn Ave.
San Antonio, Texas 78228

by DR. MAX EUWE

## Game of the Month

## THE BATTLER AT BEVERWIJK

On the eve of the Candidates Tournament at Zurich in 1953, Keres introduced Yefim Petrovich Geller to one of the Western players. He did so with "This is Geller; he constantly plays for mate." This judgment is accurate not only for Geller's style but also and even especially for his temperament.

The style of this grandmaster, born in 1925 at Odessa, is aggressive and extremely violent. In consequence, he sometimes underrates his opponent's resources. It must be added, however, that, if Geller does stumble from overconfidence, he also shows that he can take a punch, as usually appears in the events following his setback. It is quite normal with him that a defeat is revenged by a series of impressive victories. So, for instance, in the recent Hoogoven Tournament at Beverwijk. There Geller lost quite unexpectedly to the Dutchman Langeweg but came back in a fantastic recovery, a series of surprising victories among which one against Pachman ${ }^{1}$ and one against Lengyel excel in their magical conceptions.

Geller's attitude is that of a boxer and moreover of the indefatiguable sort who does not leave his opponent a single moment of peace. His greatest success of recent years was his tie for second place, with Keres, in the Candidates Toumament at Curacao. ${ }^{2}$ On the other hand, he did not succeed in qualifying for last year's Interzonal. But there is still a chance he may play in the next Candidates; for he is the first


Dr. Max Euwe
reserve in the event that one of the Soviet players does not compete. ${ }^{3}$

The following game from the seventh round of the Hoogoven Tournament is characteristic of Geller. Although playing Black, he maintains the tension masterfully. At the right moment, he surprises his opponent with an original sacrifice of the Exchange, by which a number of files are openend and possibilities created for various far-reaching combinations. Then some attractive sham sacrifices bring the struggle to an unexpected conclusion.

> Bewerwijk 1965 ENGLISH OPENING

|  | , Lengy Hungary |  |  | Sov | Geller Union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  |  |  | Black |
| 1 | N-KB3 | P-QB4 | 4 | P-KN3 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-KN3 | 5 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | N-KB3 | 6 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |
|  |  |  |  | P-Q4 | -•• |

Here White breaks the symmetry. The move, however, is not incorrect on that account.

| $7 \ldots \ldots$ | $P \times P$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $8 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| $9 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ |

Black has no practical means of using the Queen as a target.
9....

P-Q3

[^6]

10 Q-Q3
Here $10 Q-R 4$ seems preferable follow. ed eventually by B-R6. White can meet 10 . . . N-N5 with 11 P-KR3, B-B3 12 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 5$.

[^7]\[

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
10 \ldots & \text { P-QR3 } \\
\text { Black prepares a later } \ldots & \text { P-QN4. } \\
11 \text { B-Q2 } & \ldots
\end{array}
$$
\]

On 11 B-K3, Black can play 11 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ gaining a tempo since $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ is not possible. But the text is too passive, and $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$ followed by $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ is better.

$$
11 \ldots \quad \text { R-N1 }
$$

Black persistently strives for the advance, . . . P-QN4.

12 QR-B1
B-B4
Now Black actually provokes P-K4. His object is to restrict the White King Bishop on the diagonal.

13 P-K4
B-Q2
Black renews his quest for . . . P-QN4.

[^8]

Keres and Geller (right) at Curacao
(photo by Dr. R. S. Cantwell)


14 B-K3
This is the beginning of an incorrect combination. $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ is to be preferred with the idea of answering $14 \ldots$ N-N5 by $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3.14 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ or $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ also deserves consideration on the point of removing the Rook from the dangerous diagonal KB1-QR6.
14 . . . .
P-QN4
15 PxP
. . . .

The best White has is $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$, though now, after $15 \ldots$ N-N5, Black gets the better game, too: $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15 \ldots \\
& 16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 7
\end{aligned}
$$

P×P
-••
This is a comprehensible error. After 16 KR-Q1, P-N5, however, White also has a difficult time of it.

16 . . .
P-N5!


Here is the original sacrifice of the Exchange. It introduces a beautiful, almost genial combination.

## 17 BxR

The acceptance is forced insofar as if White moves his Knight, Black has 17 . . . B-N4.
$17 \ldots$
PxN
18 B-R7
....

As so often occurs on the taking of an Exchange, the "winner" loses time.

$$
18 \ldots \quad P \times P
$$

And, as a direct compensation for the Exchange here, Black has a powerful, passed Pawn.

$$
19 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3
$$

On $19 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$, Black threatens 20 $\ldots$ QxB and also $20 \ldots$ B-N4, to say nothing of 20 . . . QxP. Hence White must obtain the possibility of $20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$, which seems to ward off all dangers.

$$
19 \ldots \quad \text { P-Q4!! }
$$



This is a subtle sacrifice of a Pawn with a diabolical or, better, "Geller-like" point. It appears from the sequel that Lengyel misses it; and, certainly, he has not foreseen it.

$$
20 \mathrm{PxP}
$$

This is the decisive mistake. $20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 3$ is correct with the objective of eliminating Black's advanced Pawn by returning the sacrifice of the Exchange. After the text, one of Geller's magical conclusions follows.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
20 \ldots \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 \\
21 \text { Q-N } & \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}!
\end{array}
$$



The third surprise:
22 R-B5
Under the circumstances, this is the best try White has.

| $22 \ldots \mathrm{~N} 7$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 23 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

There are horses and horses; but there cannot be any misunderstanding about this horse being a horse.

## 24 R-N5

On $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 5$, Black can choose between $24 \ldots$ Q-B1 and $24 \ldots$ Q-K1 with the threat of $25 \cdots$ Q-R5.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
24 . \text { B-Q6 } \\
25 \text { R-Q5 } & \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$

$25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}, \mathrm{BxR}$ leads to variations similar to those in the game.


$$
25 \ldots
$$

BxR!!
This sacrifice of the Queen leads to an immediate surrender.

$$
26 \underset{\text { Resigns }}{\text { R }}
$$

R×R
On 27 BxB , Black has $27 \ldots$ R-Q8.

"Everybody has to sign up for the office chess tournament? What's a chess tournament?"

# A NEW HOPE FROM HOOGOVEN 

## By Dr. PETAR TRIFUNOVICH



Dr. Trifunovich

First place in the 26th annual Hoogoven Tournament in Beverwijk was won co-equally by the Soviet Grandmaster Yefim Geller and the Hungarian Grandmaster Lajos Portisch.
This is a third successive outstanding achievement by the Hungarian champion. After his success in the Interzonal Tournament in Amsterdam and his scoring
best on the first board in the Olympiad in Tel Aviv and this new success in Beverwijk, he is now ranked by many as the European Chessplayer No. I.

Geller of course deserves due credit for sharing the first prize along with Portisch, and also for some wonderful games, one of which is given below. But it seems to this writer that the greatest interest for the immediate future lies in these accomplishments of Portisch's.

N three or four months, the Match Tournament of World Championship Candidates will begin. Mikhail Tahl and Portisch meet each other in the first round.

It was thought that Tahl, the ex-world. champion, was over-matched against the last-placing qualifier, and Grandmaster Mark Taimanov declared in fact that there could be no doubt about the result of this match. These achievements of Portisch's, however, are fair warning for Tahl.

Nor ought one to forget that Portisch easily "sent home" Grandmaster Samuel Reshevsky who had never been defeated in matches, in the Interzonal tie-breaking match in Amsterdam. There were those even then, too, who asked: "Why play this match in view of the fact that the result is known in advance?"

Portisch has only one god, and that is Caissa. In this respect, he is like Fischer, though very few know about it as he is solitary and doesn't like to talk much. But Portisch weighed his chance very seriously and will indubitably be a formidable obstacle for the great Tahl in the match which we all await impatiently now.

Geller succeeded in overtaking Portisch only in the last round. He had a misfortune at the beginning and lost to K. Langeweg, the young Dutch master. To compensate, he found he had to defeat the strongest players: Grandmasters Ludek Pachman, Czechoslovakia, Levente Lengyel of Hungary and Borislav Ivkov of Yugoslavia. Actually, he even began to smoke again by way of giving greater im. pulse to his chess drive and reflection. His finish was, putting it mildly, excellent. It is a pity he will not play in the Candidates Match Tournament. We must not forget that he won a match for the Soviet Championship against Smyslov at a time when Smyslov was really Smyslov.
The greatest surprise of the tournament is the result of the Bulgarian Grandmaster M. Bobotsov, the only undefeated player in the tournament. Till now, this is his finest success.

As for J. H. Domner of Holland and Ivkov, Donner's envious colleagues are claiming he played in "coffee-house" style and won countless points which he ought to have lost. In fact, there was even danger of his attaining the summit of the event by such play, but he had bad luck against A. Medina of Spain and was checkmated after the twenty-sixth move. And Ivkov was not in his previous form. On some occasions, he did not know how to make good use of distinctly favorable positions, and he also drew with some weaker players from whom he usually wins points. These are far from good symptoms for his match with Bent Larsen of Denmark in the coming Candidates Match Tournament.

It was bruited about that the second great surprise of the tournament was the result of Dr. Heinz Lehmann of West Germany. Of his eight points, he scored four against grandmasters. It could possibly be said this performance was normal; for he plays so little in tournaments that his real strength is unknown.

Grandmasters Pachman and Aleksandar Matanovich really skidded, especially the Yugoslav Grandmaster after his success in the Belgrade International Tournament. He missed chances incredibly for example in his game against Donner.

The curious case of the Yugoslav master Smederevac bears recounting. He came to the tournament in order to win the title of international master, By round 11, he had easily made six points, which was sufficient according to his calculations. Later on, it was ascertained he needed more. But he played as though an. chored, losing his remaining four games and, at the end, still had no more than six points.

## Final Standings at Beverwijk

Geller and Portisch $101 / 2-41 / 2$; Bobotsov 10; Donner and Ivkov $81 / 2$; Lehmam 8: Langeweg, Johanessen, Bagirov and Pachman 71⁄2; Matanovich 7; Lengyel, van Scheltinga and Smederevac 6: Medina $51 / 2$; and van den Berg $31 / 2$.

The tournament list this time was more modest than previously. Actually, the organizers did invite a number of great names, who did not respond. The tense fight between Geller and Portisch, however, kept up the interest in the tournament. There were a large number of poor games and some incredible oversights. Still, such happen always at this tournament as it is played under conditions not conducive of good chess. Play starts immediately after lunch despite the well known fact that an interval should elapse between eating and chessplaying.
The tournament paid honor to Professor Ten Doeschate, one of the founders and permanent patron of the Hoogoven annual tournaments, who died at sea during a storm. May his memory live!

## QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED Exchange Variation

| Y. Geller <br> Soviet Union |  |  | L. Pachman <br> Hungary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| White |  |  |  |

This move has been known since the time of Alekhine. Botvinnik used it four times in his match with Petrosyan. It aims not only to dislodge the Bishop from an important diagonal but also to gain space on the kingside. In the writer's opinion, it is not quite sound -though that point was not demonstrated in that match.

$$
7 \ldots \quad \text { B-K3 }
$$

Thus played Petrosyan, but that fact doesn't prove the quality of this move. The jury of experts pronounced the verdict against $7 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 3$, and Petrosyan follows the common opinion, automatically and blindly.
$7 \ldots$ B-N3! is sharp and better. After $8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$ ! $9 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ [on $9 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, there follows of course $9 \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and N-K5], N-Q2 10 P-KR4, KN-B3 11 P-R5, B-K5 $12 \mathrm{NxB}, \mathrm{NxN} 13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 6$, Black's game is surely not inferior.

| 8 P-KR3 | N-B3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |

Black's thrust is new but not better than the old line. Petrosyan played 9 . . QN-Q2 (CHESS REVIEW: page 232, August 1963),
10 P-N5
N-K5
11 Q-N3!
. . . .

With this very fine maneuver, White gains time to defend his King Knight Pawn and attack Black's Knight in the center.

11
Q-N3
One point of White's lies in 11 P-QN3 12 P-N6! as 12 . . PxP allows 13 NxN with telling effect.

12 Q-B2 N-R3
12 . . . B-KB4 is tutile against the rejoinder, $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$.
13 P-R3
$14 . R-B 1$
Q-R4
$\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$

This liquidation is correct. The attempt to hold the center with $14 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, B-QN5 fails after $16 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ : [16 PxB is refuted by $16 \ldots$ NxP: e.g. 17 Q-Q1, NxN followed by 18 . . NxB $\dagger$ ], BxN 17 PxB, QxiPP $18 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4$ or $16 \ldots$ NxN+ 17 PxN, BxB $\dagger 18$ QxB, B-K2 19 R-QN1 etc.

15 QxN
White prefers to take on an ending with better chances than to gamble on the speculative attack after 15 PxN , QxRP $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$.

| $15 \ldots \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} \dagger$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 1$ |
| $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |



18 P-N6!
With this shrewd thrust, White opens lines on the Kingside and, by doing away with Black's King Bishop Pawn, secures unassailable outposts on K5 and KN5.

18 . . .
PxP
On $18 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3,19 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4$ becomes very unpleasant for Black.

| $19 \mathrm{BxP} \mathrm{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 20 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} \dagger$ | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 21 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 3!$ | $\cdots$ |

White cannot wait for $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ as, on 21 . . . P-R4! 22 R-N3, R-QR2, his advantage becomes insignificant.

| 21. | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 3$ | 23 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KN1}$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 24 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

This last advance looks effective, but is not. The reason why will be seen. By passive defense, Black probably can hold the position.

| 25 P×P | N×P | $27 \mathrm{R} \times R \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $26 \mathrm{R} \times Q \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $28 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5!$ | $\ldots . \cdot$ |

$\dagger=$ check; $\ddagger=$ dbl. check; $\S=$ dis. ch.

Here is the reason. White's Bishop has replaced his deserting colleague on the long diagonal, and now Black's King finds itself among white pieces.

| $28 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $29 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \dagger$ | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |  |
| 30 | $\mathrm{R} / 5 \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |

Now Black thinks he has defended himself. But the position is a forced win.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
31 \text { P-R4 } & \text { R-KN1 } \\
32 \text { B-Q4 } & \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$

White centralizes his Bishop and thus also immobilizes Black's Pawns.

| $32 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4 \dagger$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $33 \mathrm{R} / 5-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 4 \dagger$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| On $35 \ldots \mathrm{~K} 2$, White plays $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$. |  |  |  |
| $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |  |

There's no resource for Black. 36 . . . $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ permits $37 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 4$ is $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$, B-B3 $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5$ ! after which Black is helpless against the threat of $\mathrm{R} / 5-\mathrm{KB} 5$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
37 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5! & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \\
38 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3
\end{array}
$$

Or 38 . . . P-KN4 $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 37$ T, K-K1 40 P-R6.
39 R-B3 +
K-K3
40 B-N2§
K-Q4
41 R-Q4 $\dagger$
K-K3

Or $41 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 342 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 243$ $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$.

## 42 R-B6 $\dagger$ !! Resigns

A very fine conclusion. After 42 ... KxR 43 RxB4, K-K2 44 RxR7, KxR 45 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ : the Pawn effects the victory.

SICILIAN DEFENSE
A. Matanovich

| 1 P-K4 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4} 4$ | $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ | P He |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB3}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K3}$ | $4 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
|  |  | $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q3}$ |

In this modern way, Black goes into the Scheveningen Variation and avoids the dangerous Richter-Rauser Attack.
6 B-K2
B-K2
8 B-K3
$0-0$

Black plans to play the Scheveningen formation without . . . P-QR3 and . . . Q-B2 which in some respects can be considered wasted tempi.

$$
10 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1
$$

This, in Korchnoy's opinion, is White's strongest answer. Milich-Korehnoy, Belgrad 1964, ran: 10 Q-K1. NxN 11 BxN, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 312 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3!13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4$ $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 415 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 516$ PxP, PxP 17 P-K5, BxB 18 QxB, PxN 19 PxN, BxP $20 \mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{BxB} 21 \mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$ with an even game.
10 ....
P-QR3

## $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$

Now White, who is well versed in these lines, is not clear as to what is best after Black's innovation. His text is inferior to the old maneuver, Q-K1KN3.

$$
11 \ldots \quad \text { Q-B2 }
$$

Black can be well satisfied. He has attained the old Scheveningen position with two tempi to the good.

## 12 Q-K1 <br> 13 P-K5

P-Q4!
13 PxP, PxP grants Black excellent play for his pieces, especially on the opened King file,
$13 \ldots$ B-Ni $\quad$ P-KN5
$14 \quad 1$

As in the French defense, White camnot maintain his King Pawn at K5 and must let Black's pieces obtain play.

| $15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q4}$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $16 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |



## 17 Q-Q2

Now White permits Black a decisive action in the center which pushes the White pieces back, It is necessary to swap: 17 NxN, QxN although then Black gets good play. As for Black's alternatives, $17 \ldots$. BxN is poor because of 18 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 4$, and $17 \ldots$, PxN gives white good play after $18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{BxN} 19 \mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{RxP}$ 20 RxR. QxR $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 1$.

| $17 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B4} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
|  |  | $21 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\ldots .$. |

This is a pitiful retreat, but $21 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ is not good in view of $21 \ldots$ N-K6 followed by $22 \ldots$ P-K4.

```
21....
B-B3
```


## 22 B-B3

The poor position of White's Knight and exposed one of his King Bishop Pawn make all his defenses vain. The Knight camnot enter play for a long while, and it keeps the Queen Rook out, All Black need do is open lines and he must win as he has one Rook more in play.

| 22 | P-K4 | 24 | P-B3 | QR-Q1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 BxB | QxB | 25 | Q-K1 |  |
| 25 PxKP fails against 25 . . N-N6\%! |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | KP×P | 27 | Q-Q2 | N-R5! |
| 26 RxP | QR-K1 | 28 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \dagger$ | R×R |
|  |  | 29 | K-N1 | R-K1! |

The simple threat is $30 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8$ \%

```
30 Q-N5 NxP
    3 1 ~ N - B 2
```

White must still complete "developing." $31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 332 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \dagger, \mathrm{QxQ} 33$ PxQ, N-B5 gives him no chances either.

| 31 |  | N-K8 | 35 | K-R1 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{~S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | Q-N3 | P-R3 | 36 | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | P-KR4 |
| 33 | PxP | R-K6! | 37 | P-Q5 | QxP |
| 34 | Q-N4 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B6} \dagger$ | 38 | R-Q1 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B6} \mathrm{\dagger}$ |
|  |  |  | 39 | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |  |

Or $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$, PxQ $40 \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8$ and mate next.

39 . . .
Resigns

by DR. MAX EUWE Former World Champion



## RUY LOPEZ - "Primitive Variation"

Judgment in chess theory is sometimes based on truly solid arguments; at others, on sand. Often a sound-looking move is taken on faith-which means it has been accepted without careful examination. On the other hand, a bad-looking continuation may have been refuted only in a very superficial way. Then, since it is seldom or never employed, it remains buried, waiting for an excavator to render justice on it. That is to say, either a rehabilitation is established or a better founded refutation is found.

One continuation of this sort is the "Primitive Variation" of the Ruy Lopez (see first diagram below). We are calling it by that name as it follows the manner in which beginners are apt to handle the Ruy Lopez: to wit, to pursue the Bishop which has dared to invade. The system is at once logical and illogical.

It is logical in that Black plays consistently to exchange off the attacking White Bishop and so gain the advantage of the Two Bishops. It is illogical because Black moves the same piece twice and neglects his normal development-a process which can be very dangerous, especially at the beginning of a game.

| White |  |  | Black |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 P-K4 | P-K4 | 3 | B-N5 | P-QR3 |
| 2 N-KB3 | N-QB3 | 4 | B-R4 | P-QN4 |
|  |  | 5 | B-N3 | N-R4 |

## I. The Sacrificial Line

$$
6 \mathrm{BxPt}
$$

This is the manner in which early theorists settled this variation. It takes optimal advantage of some favorable factors. But the sacrifice is unsound as was shown in later analyses.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
6 . \ldots & K \times B \\
7 & \text { K×Pt }
\end{array}
$$

With this move, it seems, Black can at least hold his own though he must play with great care. Two major variations for White follow.


Subvariation $A$
8 P-Q4
9 Q-B3
N-KB3!

On $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$, there follows $9 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ and $10 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$.

| $9 \ldots \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
|  |  | $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1!!$ |

Black holds a positional advantage: e.g. $14 \mathrm{PxN}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \dagger$ and $15 \ldots \mathrm{QxKP}(\dagger)$.

Subvariation B
(Continue from last diagram) $8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3!\quad \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$
On 8 . . . B-N2? 9 N-Q5 $\dagger$, BxN 10 PxB (telegame, Ericsson-Johannessen 1961), Black is in deadly danger: e.g. 10

P-Q3 11 Q-K2, and white wins; or 10 ... Q-K1 11 O-O, K-Q1 12 R-K1, B-K2 13 Q-B3, N-KB3 14 P-Q6 etc.

$$
9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \dagger \quad \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1
$$

Now, on either $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ or 10 Q-B3, P-Q3, it seems Black can hold his own.

ANOTHER SUGGESTION was first 6 B-Q5 (see the first diagram) and then the sacrifice; but, after $6 \ldots$ P-QB3 (recommended by De Haan of Holland), that line doesn't appear to work either.
(Continue from first diagram)

| 6 | B-Q5 | P-QB3 |  | P-Q4 | P-Q3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} \dagger$ | K×B | 10 | B-N5 $\dagger$ | N-B3 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{NxPt} \dagger$ | K-K2 | 11 | Q-B3 | K-K1 |

## II. The Correct Refutations

## (Continue from first diagram)

Now, as White comes out poorly after 6 NxP, NxB 7 RPxN, Q-N4! he has to follow more solid lines. There are two at his choice.

Variation A
6 O-O


Now Black can choose between two continuations: to maintain the tension in the center with . . . P-KB3 or to give up the center as soon as White advances his Queen Pawn.

Subvariation 1
$6 \ldots \times \mathrm{B}$
In view of the fact that Black's King
Bishop Pawn is to move, White's King
$\ddagger=$ check; $\ddagger=d \mathrm{bl}$ check; $\S=$ dis. ch.
$\dagger=$ check; $\ddagger=$ dbl. check; $\S=$ dis. ch.

Bishop must be eliminated, now or on the next move.

| 7 RPxN | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB3}$ |

Black's text is consistent but not quite satisfactory.


In this position, Barden recommends 9 P-QB4, and it seems to guarantee advantage for White: e.g. 9 . ., B-N2 10 Q-K2, Q-Q2 $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 512 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 5$.

Usually, however, White handles the position in a different way, which is worth some study.

## $9 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$

Also strong, but not the strongest.

$$
10 \text { N-KR4 } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2 \\
& \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Remarkably, Black's text has been played in three recent games. Yet 10 . . $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ seems preferable, and Black holds his own on $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} 12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$, N-K2! (Unzicker-Taimanov, Moscow 1956).
11 PxP QPxP 13 R-Q1 Q-K3
12 Q-B3 Q-Q2 14 B-K3
....


From here, the three games continued: 1) $14 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ ? $15 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 316$ R/1-Q1, B-B1 17 P-KR3, N-B3 18 N-Q5, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 319 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B5}$ !! and White won (Padev. sky-Minev, Sofia 1964): there followed $19 \ldots$ PxN 20 Q-R5 $\dagger, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 121 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$, P-B5 22 NxP $\dagger$ ! QxN 23 RxB! etc.
2) $14 \ldots$ P-KR4 $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 516 \mathrm{QxQ}$, PxQ 17 BxN, BxB 18 N-B5, P-N3 19 $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 3$, B-Q3 20 NxKNP, and White has won a Pawn (Langeweg-Johamnessen, Beverwijk 1965).
3) $14 \ldots$ P-N4? $15 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{PxN} 16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \dagger$. Q-B2 17 RxRt, BxR $18 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8^{\dagger}$ etc. (Spassky-Taimanov, Moscow 1955).

Subvariation 2

| (Continue from Key Position) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $6 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q3}$ |  |
| $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

$7 \ldots$ NxB leads into Subvariation 1. 8 NXP

8 QxP is also playable. White need not Pear $8 . ., \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ as he has $9 \mathrm{BxP}+\mathrm{KxB}$ 10 Q-Q5 $\dagger, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 311 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \dagger$.


Nor need White worry over 8 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ here either as he has $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$.

The position seems advantageous for White as appears from these two games: 1) $8 \ldots$ B-N2 $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 410 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ ! BxB 11 PxB, PxN 12 Q-K1 $\dagger$, Q-K2 13 BxN, QxQ $14 \mathrm{RxQ}^{\dagger}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 215 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR4} 4$, and White stands better (Stein-Zuidema, Tel Aviv 1964).
2) $8 \ldots \mathrm{NxB} 9 \mathrm{RPxN}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 210 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 211 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ ? $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ $13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 6, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q1} 14 \mathrm{~N}$-B5! and White won (Lehmann-Donner, Beverwijk 1965).

## Variation B

(Continue from first diagram) 6 P-Q4 P×P
$6 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ leads to variations similar to Variation A, Subvariation 1.

## 7 QxP

After $7 \mathrm{NxP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$, Black threatens 8 . $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$.


Now Black can swap off the Bishop immediately or postpone that action.

Subvariation 1

$$
7 \text {.... }
$$

$\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$
7 . . . P-Q3 is bad of course because of 8 BxPj ! KxB 9 Q-Q5 $\dagger$ etc. (Matano-vich-Donner, Beverwijk 1965).

$$
8 \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2
$$

Konstantinopolsky suggests 8 . . . Q-B3 to which White's best answer is 9 Q-Q3 followed by $10 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$.

## 900

$9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 310 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ is reasonably good for Black. But 9 N - B 3 , N-B3 10 Q-Q3 merits consideration.

| $9 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |
| White has a small advantage. |  |  |  |

Subvariation 2
(Continue from last diagram)
7....
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$
$80-0$
In Addison-Fischer (U. S. Championship 1964), there followed: 8 P-B3? NxB $\theta$ PxN, B-N2 $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 411 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ ? $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ ! with advantage for Black: on 12 QxBP? N-B4, White's Queen is caught.
$8 \ldots$
$B-N 2$
NxB

Now this exchange is necessary in order for Black to carry out the following action in the center.

10 RPxN
P-Q4
10 . . . N-B3 leads to positions similar to those in Subvariation 1.

$$
11 \text { P-K5 }
$$

$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$
Here $11 \ldots \mathrm{P}$-QB4 is futile as, after 12 QxBP, N-B4, White's Queen has a safe retreat to QB3.
$\begin{array}{llll}12 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4} & 14 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N} \\ 13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B} & 15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2 & \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}\end{array}$
White stands a little better.

## The Biggest Bargain in Chess Literature CHESS REVIEW ANNUAL

## Volume 32 - $\$ 7.00$

ALL twelve issues of Chess Review published during 1964 have been handsomely bound in cloth making this jumbo-sized book more than 384 pages. Games from the important 1964 chess events, picked by experts, are annotated by masters.
Read also in exciting detail of the Fischer recording-breaking sweep in the U. S. Championship, the great Interzonal Tournament and many top events including those covered in person by Dr. P. Trifunovich.

You'll have also a permanent record of news and best games of 1964, outstanding articles by chess writers from here and abroad and up-to-the-minute studies on all phases of the game.

The lighter side of chess is also represented with quizzes, quips, tales and cartoons and Korn's fascinating series, "The Finishing Touch."

Postal Chess fans will find plenty to interest them, including astute annotations of play by Jack Collins.

Also there's no such thing as an old Chess Review. Try:

Volumes 26 to 31
for 1958 to 1963 - still on hand for $\$ 7.00$ each
Send for complete catalog of chess equipment and books
CHESS REVIEW
134 W. 72 St., New York, N. Y. 10023

## Entertaining and instructive games annotated by a famous expert.



## INTERNATIONAL

## ARGENTINA 1964 International at Buenos Aires Maroczy Bind under Fire

The Maroczy Bind, often provoked but rarely adopted today, fails against Black's sublime counterplay in this game. Compare with this game BielickiEvans, page 8, January, and WestbrockBisguier, page 113: the three games are together a worthwhile illustration of the type of position characterized as the "Maroczy Bind."

In Bielicki-Evans, the bind comes up under different circumstances and actually works better. But only to a point. The player using the bind concentrates too many pieces on his Queenside and is consequently unable to put up adequate resistance on the other flank. Yet, properly speaking, the bind cannot itself be blamed for the defeat.

This game is, by the bye, a very fine performance by the World Champion.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

| A. Foguelman |  | T. Petrosyan <br> Soviet Union |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Argentina |  |  |  | Black |
| White |  |  |  |  |

This continuation is rarely adopted and of somewhat dubious value. Supposedly, White threatens 6 P-QB4 establishing a strong variation of the Maroczy Bind. So Black has always proceeded with 5 P-K4 giving the opening another character.


P-K3
That "always" does not include Petros. yan. The world champion is not afraid of the Maroczy Bind, at least not of this one. He develops counterplay against it with inimitable skill.

| 6 | P-QB4 | B-K2 | 9 | B-K2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 7 | N-B3 | O-O | 10 | B-K2 |
| 8 | N-B2 | P-QR3 | 11 O-O | Q-R4 |
|  |  |  | 12 Q-Q2 | $\ldots .$. |

White threatens $13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 5$.

| 12 . . . | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 13 | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| 14 QR-B1 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1!$ |

These little moves reveal true greatness in understanding. Black sets his
$\dagger=$ check: $\ddagger=$ dbl. check; $\S=$ dis. cn.
position in a well-defended state, anticipating tactical surprises as well as jamming in his own camp.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
15 & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \\
16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3 & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \\
\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2
\end{array}
$$

Black can afford to set his Queen in the line of the enemy Rook: the routine tactical trick, 17 N-Q5, merely loses time on 17 . . Q-Q1 after which the Knight must retreat while the Queen is well enough placed.

## $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4$

White has developed his forces fairly well but now faces the problem of where and how to start action. As none sug. gests itself, for the time being, White does better with the patient $17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ or $17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$. His actual move only decentralizes his Knight.

| 17 | P-QN3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $18 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q4}$ | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |

Black readies his star move for this deployment, namely, . . . P-QN4.

## $20 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$

N-K4!
Black can afford the text. 21 BxN, PxB weakens Black's Pawn formation, but the fine scope of his Bishops, especially the King Bishop, is compensation grossly phis.

$$
21 \text { P-QN3 P-QN4! }
$$

Now Black has obtained the edge. That he has with such unpretentious means marks his achievement as a strategic masterpiece. His advantage though, is not decisive yet.

## 22 P-B5

This is White's best chance: 22 PxP. PxP gives him more severe trouble.

| $22 \ldots \mathrm{P}$. | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3!$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 23 B | BXP |



24 B-K3
It is impossible to exploit Black's loose Bishop. On 24 BxKNP, Black wins with 24 . . . B-B5! 25 Q-Q4, P-K4.

24 . . . B-B4i $25 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$ is not so good because of 26 BxB ! with fine compensation for the Queen for White.
Better than the text, however, is 24 N -N1. Then White has a fair chance of holding his own after 24 . . . B-B5 25 B-K3, R-Q1 $26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ : as well as $24 \ldots$ $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 125 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 526 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
24 \ldots \\
25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6! \\
\ldots
\end{array}
$$

$25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1$ is hopeless because of 25 . . Q-B3! [25 ... Q-B2 leaves White an out] $26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q4}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ ! [the out is 27 N-Q5]. And 25 R-R1 similarly loses: 25 B-N5 26 QR-B1, Q-B3! 27 B-Q4, P-K4 etc.

25 . . . .
B-N5!
This pin is very hard to meet.
26 Q-B1
Now Black wins an important Pawn. Of the numerous alternatives only 26 $\mathrm{R} / 2-\mathrm{B} 1$, followed possibly by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 2$ and N-N1, seems to enable White to hold, at least for the time being.
26
Q-B2:

This vertical pin on the Knight is stronger than the diagonal one was.

## $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$

White has no other reasonable means of protecting the Knight: $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q4}$ ? P-K4: $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ ? N-K4.


27 ....
B-Q3!
The decisive coup: White cannot parry simultaneously both 28 . . . P-N5 and 28 - BxPt.

28 B-Q3
White parries the more serious threat. $28 \ldots \mathrm{BxPt} \quad 30 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2 \quad$ Q-R4! 29 K-R1 Q-K4 $31 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 1 \quad \ldots$.

On 31 RxR, Black mates in five: 31 . . B-N6§ $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \dot{\mathrm{t}}$ ete.

| 31 | B-N6§ | 35 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 32 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |  |  |
| 33 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | 36 B 1 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
|  | 37 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{B} 4!$ |
| $34 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ | $38 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |

Black's chances have not diminished because of Bishops of opposite colors. He has excellent chances for attack, as well as the extra Pawn.

| 39 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 45 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | 46 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KN2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | 47 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ |
| 42 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ | 48 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| 43 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 49 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 44 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 50 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $\ldots .$. , |

White's last move is a blunder: it merely shortens the story.

50 . . . .<br>$R \times B!$<br>\section*{Resigns}

White has lost a piece: 51 RxR only loses more to 51 . . Q-B7 $\%$.

## 483 UNITED STATES

## NEW YORK 1965

## Manhattan C. C. Championship

## A Relative of the Maroczy Bind

While Foguelman-Petrosyan, page 112, and Bielicki-Evans, January, page S, both illustrate the Maroczy Bind or, more specifically, its drawbacks, this game only touches that issue.

Black's departure from the Maroczy Bind here may or may not be an im. provement on Bielicki-Evans-that point requires a closer scrutiny. But, when White declines to part with his King Bishop in order to weaken the enemy Pawn formation, he definitely has the inferior game, Subsequently, Black uses his advantage very handily.

## ENGLISH OPENING

## J. T. Westbrock

A. B. Bisguier

White
Black
$\begin{array}{lllll}1 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4 & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3 & 4 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3 \\ 2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 & 5 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2 & \mathrm{~N} 4 \\ 3 \mathrm{~B} 2 \\ 3 \mathrm{PxP} & \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} & 6 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3 & \ldots .\end{array}$
Here this game deviates, not definitely though, from Bielicki-Evans which continued with $6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 37 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$. Those who believe White ought to answer . . . P-K4 with P-B4 may consider the text move as more accurate than $6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$.

The continuation $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 47 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4}$ or 7 N -R3 first was a pet line of the Dutch player, J. C. Sterk, long before Simagin adopted it in reverse as a means of combatting the Maroczy Bind.

$$
6 \ldots \quad \text { P-KN3 }
$$



With this move, the opening definitely turns away from the Maroczy Bind. How the move compares, however, with the more or less regular $6 \ldots$ P-K4 remains
to be seen. A special investigation is needed.

## 7 P-KR4

This is a harmless continuation. More difficult to meet is $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$. Then White threatens both to win a Pawn by 8 BxBP and to gain a positional edge with 8 P-Q4. There may follow $7 . \ldots \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 3$ \& $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ as the last move prevents 9 BxN? BxB 10 BxP?? because of 10 . . BxNt 11 PxB, Q-Q4! And, on 9 $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 3$, the defense seems to hold. But that last point also requires checking.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
7 \ldots-\mathrm{P} 2 \\
8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5 & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3!?
\end{array}
$$

Black's move is a major decision. The ghastly doubled Pawn after $9 \mathrm{BxN} \dagger$ is bearable in view of White's slightly weakened Kingside, Bisguier claims.

9 N-R3?!
While Bisguier may be right. White still must play $9 \mathrm{BxN} \dagger$ and try to exploit the doubled Pawn somehow. At any rate, the actual sequel is much more convenient for Black.

| $9 \ldots-\mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2!$ | 11 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 12 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |

Now Black has the better of it. It's the difference of the two half-open files which counts. Black controls five squares to White's two on the Queen file, while the ratio is four to three on the Queen Bishop file. Moreover, White has hardy a chance of improving the situation by means of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 4$ or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$.
$13 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1$
14. K-N1
....

14 PxP, RPxP 15 RxR , RxR $16 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ is a bit better.
14 . . . .
P-KN4!
$15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 3$
....
Of course not 15 P-R6 as Black has 15 . . BxN.

| $15 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 5!$ |
| $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

White's King-side action has merely weakened his own position.

| 18 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB1}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |
| 20 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\ldots$. |

White relies on indirect protection of his King Rook Pawn: 20 . . QxP?? 21 BxN. But this calculation involves a misconception.


On Q any or 21 BxN , Black simply wins the King Rook Pawn.


No. 1 Gerbstman White to move and draw


The tie that binds.
No. 2
White to move and draw


One sfale is as good as another.
No. 3
Lommer
White to move and win


Mate solves all.
Solutions on page 121.

## YOU ARE IN ZUGZWANG1

．．．if you do not know the give－ and－take of chess strategy．．．． What，for example，is the value of center control？Or how weak is an isolated Pawn 泉，or a doubled Pawn $\ddagger$ ？How strong is an outpost Knight or a salient 系 贫 会，or a reverse salient $\pm \pm$
Or the more than thirty char－ acteristic features of Pawn and Piece structures？
．．．if you are constantly in a muddle as to what to do，and your play is planless，pointless－ plain shiftless，
Then you need POINT COUNT CHESS by I．A．Horowitz and Geoffrey Mott－Smith．These two champions have collaborated to bring you an entirely new， simple approach to the ever－re－ curring problems of chess strate－ gy．They have defined，describel and appraised via a POINT COUNT all the effective，stra－ tegic ideas of the great masters． When you have read this book， you will no longer treat an iso－ lated Pawn $I$ merely as an unimportant detail or hanging Pawns 皇 贫 with a bored ＂let＇em hang．＂You will see these as plans，plans to inflict weaknesses on your opponent and to avoid for yourself．Simi－ larly，you will see all structures as plans，and you，yourself，will be able to evaluate them．You will learn when to accept weak－ nesses，when not to．
In short，by counting the plus and minus points involved in every move，you will become an expert trader，an expert chess player．And you can see how the masters have done so in many，complete，illustrative games．Your move is to get your copy of Point Count Chess， today． 340 pages ．．．．．．$\$ 4.95$ 1．Zugzwang（German，compul－ sion to move）the situation of a player whose moves are so re－ stricted that any move he chooses will impair his defense seriously or fatally．
or
84
other useful chess terms，from ＂advanced group＂to＂Zwischen－ zug＂are defined and described in this work．

## ORDER FROM <br> CHESS REVIEW

134 West 72nd St．，N．Y．23，N．Y．


PxP 22 N－N5
Now；after 22 ．．．NxN 23 QxN， Black＇s remaining Knight is loose，and White wins the tempo needed to recover the Pawn with a satisfactory game．

22 ．．．
$P \times P$ ！
Here＇s Black＇s point：the sacrifice of the Exchange spoils White＇s plan．The sequel is foreed．

| 23 NxR | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Qi}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| 25 BxB | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$ |

With two Pawns for the Exchange， and connected，passed Pawns at that， Black has the superior game as is normal in such situations．Bisguier uses his ad－ vantage very energetically．

| 26 | B－N4 | N／5－N4 | 29 | B－Q2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 27 | P－K4 | B－N7 | $30 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | R－Q1 |
| 28 | R－B4 | P－R4 | 31 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

After 31 PxP，PxP 32 R－K7，Black wins with $32 \ldots$ B－B4．

| $31 \ldots$ | B－N2 | 34 R－Q1 | R－K1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 32 PxP | PxP | 35 B－Q2 | N－Q4 |
| 33 N－B2 | B－B6 | 36 B－B1 | $\ldots$. |

White is hopelessly lost，anyhow．

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
36 \ldots \\
37 & \text { R-B1 } \quad \text { P-R7 } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## （4）FOREIGN

## SOVIET UNION 1964－5 U．S．S．R．Championship

## Tactics to the Rear

This becomes a difficult positional game and White，intending to avoid serious complications，loses a Pawn for only moderate compensation．Then he provokes a tactical melee which works adversely，and he succumbs because of a bad Bishop．A fine performance on the part of Black．

RUY LOPEZ

| A．Suetin |  |  |  | M，Tahl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  |  |  | Black |
| 1 P－K4 | P－K4 | 6 | R－K1 | P－QN4 |
| $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | N－QB3 | 7 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | O－0 |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | P－QR3 | 8 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P－Q3 |
| 4 B－R4 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 9 | P－KR3 | N－QR4 |
| $5 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | B－K2 | 10 | B－B2 | P－B4 |
|  |  |  | P－Q4 | N－Q2 |

The text is both good and bad at the same time according to Keres，and bad according to Fischer．

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
12 \text { QN-Q2 } & \text { BPxP } \\
13 \text { PxP } & \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QB} 3
\end{array}
$$

Keres usually trades off the other Pawn，too．
14 P－Q5 N－N5 16 P－R3 N－R3
15 B－N1 P－QR4 17 P－QN4 N－N3
18 Q－N3
18 PxP has rather obscure conse－ quences：e．g． $18 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 519 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ ， N－B6 20 Q－Q3，NxB 21 RxN，B－Q2［bet－ ter than $22 \mathrm{KN}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ ］，P－B4 as Black may have enough compensation for the Pawn．

Understandably perhaps，White is not eager to enter into serious complications with Tahl．But，as played，his game de－ teriorates．

| 18 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | 21 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |  |  |
| 19 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $22 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R5}$ |
| $20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 24 BXB | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |

White has shed his bad Bishop，but now his Queen Pawn must fall．Black stands better but not decisively as White will emerge with strong frontal pressure on Black＇s hanging center Pawns．

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
25 & \text { QR-Q1 } & N / 3 \times P \\
26 \text { Q-N1 } & \text { Q-Q2 } \\
27 \text { N-B1 } & \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$



The critical position．As may easily be seen，Black has difficulty in holding his extra Pawn．How can he safely dis－ entangle his cluster of pieces in the cen－ ter？He must guard against numerous tactical possibilities，most of them start－ ing with NxP．
The text．however，allows him a pow－ erful sacrifice．

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
27 \ldots \times & R \times N! \\
28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R} & Q \times P
\end{array}
$$

Now Black has two Pawns for the Ex－ change in addition to a powerful attack． Apparently，he is winning．

29 RxN
This rejoinder，however，offers strong counterplay．

| $29 \ldots$ | $N \times R$ | 31 Q－N7 | B－Q1 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 30 Q－K4 | $N-N 3$ | 32 BxP！ | $\cdots \cdot$ |

In fact，this line seems to win．It．does certainly after $32 \ldots$ PxB 33 RxP．

$$
32 \ldots \quad Q-Q 2
$$

The only move．


## 33 BxQP！

Yet another bit of brilliancy．Now White threatens 34 QxQ etc．
Actually，the brilliancy doesn＇t work very well，but the alternative： $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q4}$ ， $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ ！ $34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4$ is worse．With $34 \ldots$ R－B1 35 Q－K8 $\uparrow$ ，QxQ 36 RxQ $\uparrow$ ，K－B2 37 RxB，RxR 38 BxN，R－QB1，Black secures the win of the Queen Rook Pawn and so the game．
$33 . .$.
K－N1！

Again the only move. But this is a strong one forcing liquidation to an endgame in which Black stands better. 34 QxQ
Nothing else avails.

$$
34 \ldots \quad N \times Q
$$

Now White's brilliant counter attack terminates, and he suffers from both bad Bishop and doubled Pawns. If anything offers hope, it's the slightly superior activity of his Rook.

## 35 R-K8 $\dagger$

Probably short of time, White goes into a line which exchanges Rooks and so eliminates his only chance.
$35 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 7$ is most natural as, if the Bishops go, White is fairly safe.
He is fairly safe also after 35
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 336 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; e.g. $36 \ldots$, . N-B3 37 BxN , PxB 38 R-Q5, R-N1! 39 N-K3! etc. or 36 . . . R-R2 37 R-Q5, R-N2! 38 N-K3, BxN 39 PxB , etc.
So Black's last chance to press his advantage lies in 35 . . . B-B2! 36 R-Q1, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 337 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ ! It is a very good one, but White can still put up tough resistance with $38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 539 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, B-N7 $40 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 2$.

| 35 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 36 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1!$ |
| 37 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $\ldots$ |

On $37 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 4$ : Black threatens to enmesh the Rook by $3 S \ldots$ B-R3, and 38 R-R5 is met by $38 \ldots$ K-N3 39 N-N3, N -B3. Then, having repulsed the attack on his Queen Knight Pawn, Black wins by going after White's Queen Rook Pawn.

$$
37, \ldots \quad \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3
$$

One threat is . . N-B5 and . . . NxP. $\begin{array}{ll}38 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3 \\ 39 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} & \ldots\end{array}$
Now the exchange is compulsory as, on $39 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 3$ ! Black prevents 40 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$ and wins by means of $40 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 7$. $\begin{array}{lllll}39 & \ldots & \text { NxR } & 41 & \text { K-N3 } \\ 40 & \text { B-B5 } & \text { K-K3 } & 42 & \text { K-B4 } \\ 4 & \ldots\end{array}$ $42 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{KxB} 43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 744 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 2$, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ offers no hope either. If White sheds his bad Bishop, he still loses as Black's Bishop is too good.

| 42 | B-K4 $\dagger$ ! | 45 | B-Q4 | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ ! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 7$ | 46 | $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\times P}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| $44 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | N-B5 | 47 | BxB | P-R6 |
|  |  |  | Resig |  |


"They're rank and file! Stop calling them warp and woof!"

## Solitaine Chess

## ONE TOUCH OF GENIUS

Grandmaster's grandmaster Akiba Rubinstein enjoyed the magical touch of genius. Here, in a match in Vienna 1908, he leaves Teichmann (Black) wondering, "Where did I go wrong?" Close scrutiny will reveal his error was made when he sat down to play Rubinstein. The opening, a Queen's Gambit Declined, begins: $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 42 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 34 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 26 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} 7 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$.

Cover scoring table at line indicated. Set up position, make Black's next move (exposing table just enough to read it). Now guess White's 8th move, then expose it. Score par, if move agrees; zero, if not. Make move actually given, Black's reply. Then guess White's next, and so on.

COVER WHITE MOVES IN TABLE BELOW. EXPOSE ONE LINE AT A TIME

| White Par <br> Played Score | Black Played | Your Selection for White's move | Your Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 . . . . P-QN3 (a) |  |  |
| 8 PxP . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 | 8 . . . P PxP | ................. |  |
| 9 B-Q3 ............... 4 | $9 . . . . \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | ................. |  |
| 10 O-O-O ................ 5 | 10 . . . . P-B4 | ................ | ........ |
| 11 P-KR4 . . . . . . . . . . . . 6 | 11 . . . . P-B5 |  |  |
| 12 B-B5 , ,............ 5 | $12 . . . .8$ R-K1 (b) |  |  |
| $13 \mathrm{BxN} / 6 \ldots \ldots . . . \ldots . .$. | $13 . . . . N \times B$ |  |  |
| 14 P-KN4 . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6 | 14 . . . . B-Q3 | ................. |  |
| 15 P-N5 ................ 5 | $15 . . . . N$ N-K5 | ................. |  |
| 16 P-R5 (c) $\ldots . . \ldots, \ldots .{ }^{5}$ | $16 \ldots$ Q-K2 (d) | ............... |  |
| 17 QR-N1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 | $17 . . . . P-Q R 3$ * | ................ |  |
| $18 \mathrm{BxP}+$ !! . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | $18 . . . . K \times B$ | ................ |  |
| 19 P-N6 $\dagger$. . . . . . . . . . . . 6 | $19 . . . . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | ................ |  |
|  | 20 . . . PxN |  |  |
| 21 P-R6! ............... 6 | $21 . . . . P-B 3$ (e) | ................. |  |
| 22 PxP! ................ 6 | $22 . . . . P \times N$ |  | . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 23 R-R8 $\dagger$. . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 | 23 . . . KxP | ................ |  |
| $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R7} \dagger \dagger$................ 4 | $24 . . . . K^{\text {K-N1 }}$ | . $\cdot$.............. |  |
| 25 Q-B5! (f) . ........... 6 | $25 . . .$. P-B6 |  |  |
|  | $26 . . .$. Resigns | .................. |  |
| Total Score . . . . . . . . . 100 | Your Percentage |  |  |

SCALE: 75-100-Excellent; 55-74-Superior; 40-54—Good; 25-39—Fair

## Notes to the Game

a) $7 \ldots$. . P-B4 is theoretically correct. Now White's Queen-side castling poses problems for Black.
b) The speculative $12 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4$, to get a strong counter off the ground, is at least a strong psychological threat.
c) White's attack is already irresistible.
d) So Black doesn't resist; but note that 16 NxNP fails against $17 \mathrm{NxN}, ~ \mathrm{QxN} 18 \mathrm{BxP} \dagger$, K-B1 19 P-R6, PxP 20 QR-N1 etc.
e) $21 \ldots \mathrm{PxP}$ is a better defense but fails also after $22 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4$.
*Position after $17 \ldots$. P-QR3
f) White threatens P-N7, Q-R5 and RxQ.
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## THE HAUNTED CHESSBOARD

## By JACK STRALEY BATTELL

Believe in ghosts? I didn't. I don't really even now. But, well, consider the story behind my winning the championship of the Little Chess Club.

My first glimpse of the inside story began, I suppose, during the week before the last round. I had two games standing adjourned; and, per the rules of the club, I had to make them up before the day of the last round. So I was to the club twice that week. And, to my surprise, I saw Victor Nolle earnestly plugging away over-the-board each time.
Vic's schedule was up-to-date, and he was leading the tournament, By rights, therefore, he should have been conserving his energies. He might of course have been keeping his hand in. That is, he might if he were anyone else than Vic, who thought he was a world beater but could never seem to summon the resolution to really work at sociable games. Off-hand practice -and Vic himself admitted as much-was more likely to put him off form than not.

Consequently, his activity made me curious. If I should win both my adjourned games (as I had fair reason to hope), Vic would still be a half-point up on me. Besides he was to play against Upfelsand the club's pet name for him was Oopsjels!-while I had to beat off our next nearest challenger. So I was prompted to ask and learned that Vic had always lost in a showdown with Upfels. Against this surprise, my curiosity began to ebb somewhat.
Not entirely, though. For, each time I walked in, Vic fairly scooped up set and board and made off without a word to me.
The second time, I spoke to Dick, whom Vic had been playing.
"Oh, Vic said he wanted to try out a set and board of his own under the club lights," Dick replied. "Then-just now-he recalled he had an engagement."
Old Ned sitting alongside cackled. "Had an engagement yesterday when Oops walked in," he said. "Think I'd have beaten him, too-he was playing me then."
"Were you taking him?" I asked Dick, who is somewhat more than fair-to-middling.
"Naw," said Dick. "I got an attack going against his King, but it ran up agin a defense I'd missed-damnedest job: 1 was sure I had him." Dick frowned, then added lamely, "After that, he had the material to win."
Well, old Ned was always claiming "He might've won." I thought of sounding out the fellow Vic had been playing the first time, but he wasn't around.

And I had more than a shrewd idea Vic had had an "engagement" then, too.

I did win my adjourned games, and the last round came up in due course. I tried to check on Vic's game, but my opponent was apparently in a high cycle, and my game turned into a ratrace. So I didn't get to pay much attention to what else went on. Then, at last, my opponent overlooked a finesse-who says there's no luck in chess?-and I won. Before I could get up to see how Vic had made eut, the congratulations that came my way told me, and I forgot about Vic for the time.
Dick told me about Vic's game as I was leaving. "Weirdest deal I ever saw. He did just about everything to invite Lipfels to attack his King. Ups finally did, and Vic just sat and let him. Chessic suicide, 1 calls it."
Well, winning a championship, even of the Little Chess Club, is exhilarating. So, though alone, I was minded to stop off at The Captain's Table on my way home, for a drink to celebrate. As I entered I saw Vic and perked up-if I needed perking up. Getting the "alibi" from a loser is always a kick. My erstwhile opponent had just got up and gone witl. out a word. But Vic, on tourney score, was even more the loser. So I stalked up to the bar.
"Vic," I greeted him, "How you always manage to lose to our third-ranking duffer Ill never know-Scotch on the rocks, George." And I looked at Vic.
Speak of "alibi's." Vic had one to end 'em all!
"I was out-ghosted!" he said.
"Out what?" I came back, thinking I'd not heard right. "Oh, battle fatigue, you mean-spots on the board?"
"No," said Vic. "I was envisualizing everything with the proper degree of clarity; my opponent wasn't."
This was a puzzler. "So how did he win?" I asked.
"Well," replied Vic slowly. "It's not easy to explain."
"I shouldn't think so."
Vic had looked as though he was going to shut up, but my quizzical tone set him off again.
"It all reverts," he resumed "to a friend endowing me with a set and board from abroad. 'Belonged to none other than the late von Linkner,' he said; but, as he had no use for a set and I had, he was happy to let me have it for "Auld Lang Syne.'"
Vic fell silent a moment and then continued, a bit abstractedly: "I wonder at
that, come to cogitate on it. For we'd entertained no warm relations. He'd been a player of rising celebrity, in fact, but took hegira from the game after I'd bereaved him of a crucial tournament point." And Vic fell silent again.
"Should think you would wonder." I chipped in "-well, maybe, he started making a pile when he saved time and energy from chess?"

Vic snapped out of his fit of abstraction: "He must have been munificently endowed; for that set he conferred on me so gratuitously was a beauty. It was rather foreign in design, yet sufficiently similar to the Staunton pattern to be acceptable in chess circles here, and an exceptional one in that it had the Rooks and Knights exponentialized-you comprehend, as King Rook, Queen Rook etcetera.
"So I was well pleased-except for one disturbing consideratum. In the equally pulchritudinous coffer along with the ad-juncts-"
"The what?" I simply couldn't help cutting in.
"The pieces, the chessmen," Vic snapped. "Don't tell me you don't know Eng. lish." In my wordless abashment, he continued:
"In the box, when I opened it, I found a small segment of paper with the legend -that term may sound pretentious, but the ornate calligraphy-definitely not in my friend's handwriting-deserves some sort of distinction. Anyway, it said: 'Look out for the Queen Rook.' It was in Ger. man, and I ignored it at first. But I procured the translation later."
"Chipped or something?" I ventured to Vic. "Poison secreted in the base?"

Vic waved me off, wearily. " $\mathrm{N}_{0}-$ no physical blemishes. I played a game or two, and what happened behooved me to acquire the translation. Believe me, I'd have welcomed a mere matter of delapidation. Didn't think of poison-but, in a way-"
He lapsed into moodiness again but soon resumed: "My initial imbroglio with the 'new' paraphenalia was with a longstanding chess consort, Gabe Cousins. You wouldn't know him. It was just an amicable encounter; and, I confess it, I committed an oversight. I've never been capable of persevering seriously in any partie which didn't involve something extra, you comprehend, a tournament emolument or a club match with honor and all that dependent on the outcome. Anyway, I'd been inattentive, and Cousins could enforce an instantaneous victory. Curiously-for Cousins is death on exigencies like that or-
dinarily-he overlooked the opportunity. I got a life and went on to win.
"I animadverted after the game that he had had a win. And then it was that I began to suspect that set.
"Cousins didn't believe me, and we reinstituted the position.
"'Strange," said Cousins, finally. 'I remember thinking you had a Rook on that Pawn. Of course, I'd considered Queen takes. If I could, your whole position, it falls apart. But there was that Rook guarding the Pawn.'
"'But we possessed no Rooks,' I said.
"'Yes, we'd swapped them all off. I see that now,' replied Cousins.
"I shrugged it off, but Cousins was so discomfited-he showed it emotionallythat we played no more that night.
"I played after that, with nobodies whom mostly I subdue almost negligently. But, twice, I saw, after my move, I escaped disaster only because my opponent overlooked a chance. And I discerned in retrospection of these games, Cousins' and the subsequent ones, a pattern."
Vic ordered another drink and then continued: "Id offered White, as host, each time; and, though I was subtler in inquiring about the later games-no point in letting those patzers know they could've prevailed-each time, my opponent had thought my indefensible position was protected.
"Then Paul Dietz visited."
"Dietz!" I remarked to Vic. "No slouch of a player!"

Vic nodded, absently, and went onlike all losers, he was talking compulsively.
"No, and I wouldn't simply concede him White; and he acquired Black, in fact, when I palmed Pawns and proffered hands for his choice.
"Against Dietz, it was operoseness; but, if I do asseverate it myself, I engineered a transcendent attack on his Kingside and calculated at last that I had extrapolated a conclusive machination. At the crisis, though, when I was reaching to take his King Knight Pawn-"

Vic looked at me with bafflement in his eyes. "I'd forgotten his Rook on QR2 enfiladed the whole rank and protected the Pawn. It just couldn't be. I'd worked the series of moves out with due care. Perhaps, I thought, my combination had induced some other piece off the second rank and thus activated that Rook? I commenced to check.
"Just then the phone rang, and I went to answer it. When I returned, Dietz resigned.
" 'No mate,' he proclaimed. 'But, after RxNPt, your discoveries murder me!'
"I started to remonstrate, then reconsidered. And, after good-night courtesies, I hurried to review the position and the game score. Strange! We'd commuted

"I was out-ghosted!" he said.

Queens and one pair of Rooks, and his remaining Rook was on QR1-useless to defend his King position and easy prey to a discovered check after my RxNP $\dagger$ and his forced. . . K-R1.
"So much I saw from the score. But. when I looked at the board, I sensed-* Vic eyed me solemnly: "I didn't see but sensed, well, let's characterize it, the 'power of a Rook' impending from the Queenside."
"I don't get it," I said.
Vic hesitated. "Do you play blindfold?" he asked.
"No."
"Well, when you analyze ahead, do you see the actual pieces clearly, as figures, that is, in the coming position?"
"Hm, no," I responded. "I don't see a solid Knight, for instance. What I actually see is some vague symbol- [ jus: can't put it in words-and radiating lines of force like a Knight's."
"Precisely," said Vic. "So, on Black's QR2 just where Dietz had the foremost of a pair of Rooks doubled on the file-before hed commuted, that is-I sensed just such a symbol of a Rook.
"I reiterated the moves of the game to make assurance doubly sure. There was no Rook there now, not even that symbol of a Rook. But Dietz' departed Rook, the one that had been on R2, was his Queen Rook. I had the execrable piece in my hand, and the Queen superscription was palpable on it.
"More, as I retrospected the previous games in my mind, I realized it was my 'missing' Queen Rook which had impelled my White opponents, Cousins and company. to refrain from capturing.
"Still another point," Vic went onbut not without first sipping his drink, I thought, rather shudderingly--"I'd had the eeriest sensation at the time, too. I only then began to conceive something of how Cousins had felt. Remember: he'd been so emotionally distraught?"
"Mighty strange," I responded, and Vic
must have caught the tone of skepticism in my voice: I couldn't help it.
"It was then I arranged for the trans. lation of that bit of German," he said, regarding me defiantly. "I was fairly cognizant of what it said but desired to be certain."
"Yeah," I threw in: "Look out for the Queen Rook."

Vic stopped and glinted at me. "You did not experience this episode. I did. So did Cousins. And I tell you we were upset, emotionally perturbed.
"Look," he added, then bit his lip. "Ah! Ever read Hudson's The Law of Psychic Phenomena?" he asked.
"Never heard of it," I responded cheerfully.
"Or Sax Rohmer's The Dream Detective?"

I wasn't sure, and said so.
Vic snorted. "Well, Hudson elaborates a theory on haunted houses and like phenomena with the conception that a violent emotion leaves an aura impregnated on nearby physical bodies. An emotion like murder, or suicide, or rather the emotion of the victim deposit the aura. I read his book and philandered with the theory years ago, then discarded it as improbable. Perhaps on account of Rohmer's book. In that, he has the title character enucleate murders by sleeping at the scene of the crime and arousing with the essential clue. Rohmer doesn't refer to Hudson and, if he obtained the conception from him, I can't aver. But his fictionalization, it may be, simply made me reject the entire idea as just too, too.
"After the Dietz game, however, and gelling the translation of the paper, I became suspicious about the set. I corresponded with, well-no matter-but someone who'd known von Linkner."

Vie regarded me out of the corner of his nearest eye. "Know of him?"

I didn't. not enough to say much.
"After a time, I received an answertoo much of an answer. I still possess it."

Vic pawed in his pockets and passed me a dog-eared bit of paper, which I read:

Strange you ask, V. L. killed himself, as you seem to know, though official verdict was never issued. It was after his match-losing game in the Candidates. We did not find it for long, but he left a note (which was also suppressed). In it, he relates, rather incoherently, a long, obscure tale of an illusion in his last game. In brief, I make it, he plotted a combination but then suffered the fallacious notion that a Black Rook (all Rooks were off the board by then) prevented him from capturing at g7. 'With White,' he added, 'and on my own board!' Apparently, the incident so shook his confidence, so essential a trait to a professional chessmaster, that he -

We found him before that board, set to that position. His family, I understand, disposed of the board.

I handed the paper back to Vic, who said: "Well, that was that. I put the board and set away. Obviously, the aura of von Linkner haunted it, and I wanted no part of that visitation again.
"You understand, though, I simply could not dispel the proposition from my mind. Then I had another lucubration, reread Hudson and resuscitated the set and experimented. It worked every time. My White opponents feared to make safe captures on Black's KN2, after Black's Queen Rook was removed. It didn't seem to matter really whether the Rook had been on the second rank or not-though I wasn't intending to permit any risk on that score. I had a scheme in mind: I could use the psychic force of von Linkner's error and suicide that haunted the board. Against Upfels in the title-clinching game!"

I couldn't hold back. "But why all this fal-de-rol about it? Surely, you can beat Upfels. Just straight-forward-like. The character blunders against everybody!"
"No," said Vic, earnestly, "I require something extra against Upfels. He's that hearty type of extrovert who grates on one's nerves, especially when one loses. Whether it's apprehension of nerve-grating or something else, I always succumb to him.
"First time, I offered a Queen sacrifice -an ineffable conception. Know what happened? He declared afterwards, he never saw the Queen was en prise. Instead, he captured a Pawn and, by the time I'd rescued the Queen-the sacrifice was inexpedient by then-the game was irretrievably lost. After that and the insufferable manner in which he tautologized on that game, so was every game I played against him. The situation was a joke to the rest of the club, on account of Upfels' inimitable errors against others. It was far past a joke with me. And this haunted
chessboard might be just the gimmick I needed.
"I had to verify that the haunt would work in the club. So that last week, I carried the set and board in and played whomever I could."
"So that's what you were doing," I exclaimed. "I saw you."
"I know," said Vic. "But the haunt performed every time. I had to evade you and Upfels both, but my opponents had already re-acted to the haunt and passed up captures on KN2."
"But why duck out?" I asked.
"Well, that's complicated, too. You must understand I had White against Up. fels, and couldn't use the haunt against myself. Besides, I didn't want any third parties, Upfels, least of all, to witness my opponents in 'haunt-induced' errors."
"What's this with White?" I interjected. If Nolle had White, it seemed to me that queered everything. As he'd said, he couldn't use the "haunt" against himself.
"That was my transcendent inspiration," Vic smirked. "I offered, in return for the advantage of employing my own set, to concede first move to Upfels.
"Oh, the club secretary was dubious. He saw some vague advantage for me; but you, for example, might feel wronged by my giving away the very real advantage of moving first. But someone pointed out that you stood to gain if I lost. So we didn't interrupt your game, which was already under way. As for Upfels-I'd really dreaded he'd have some assinine objection on principle-he just guffawed in his irritating way: 'The board's nothing, having White is.'
"I was not induced to rectify his grammar; for my purpose, having Black was necessary; so I let well enough alone.
"My project took some doing. I'd appreciated the difficulty of course but had also practiced most of the week. It was more difficult with Upfels, though. He obstinately pressed on the Queenside. In time, however, I achieved my objective. I laid my Kingside so wide-open that even he could see his chance there and went for it. Meanwhile, I set up what would win for me on the Queenside - when he'd pass up the critical capture on KN2."

Vic got out his pocket set to display the position. "I'd acquired a new worry about this time," he said. "What if Upfels and his disgustingly bluff personality was impervious to 'haunts'?
"Some moves carlier, we had Rooks on his QR1 and my QR2 (I wasn't risking any deviations and labored hard for that Rook to be at R2 at least temporarily); then I'd exchanged. We'd played some few more moves. Here's the position. Upfels recaptured with that Bishop on his QR1.

## (See diagram)

"Now I played $28 \ldots$ PxP, counting on the phantom protection for my King


Knight Pawn, you may perceive. He'd have to play something like $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ then, to prevent my $29 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \dagger$."
"Well?" I asked.
"Upfels played 29 QxP广 , and won."
"Yes," I remarked condescendingly (Vic didn't seem to notice at first), "after 29 ... QxQ $30 \mathrm{BxQ}{ }^{\dagger}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 131 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 58$, he wins the Exchange. But 29 BxPt is stronger."
"How's that?" said Vic, sharply. "Yes," he conceded, then seemed to relax. "Same difference, however."
"Well, Oops wins, either way. So he was impervious to the 'haunt,' eh?"
"Dammit, no," said Vic, almost viciously. "That I could stand, perhaps. It was a gamble, but one I could live with."
"How then-" I began, but Vic cut in.
"It hurt, and all that, to lose; but I was curious, too. So I queried him." Vic glowered at his drink.
"And he said," I prompted.
"I broached it this way," said Vic. "Weren't you concerned about my Rook recapturing from QR2 after your 29 QxPt?
" 'Oh, that,' said Upfels, airily, 'I saw that ; but I also saw I had, on $29 \ldots$. RxQ, 30 RxR! and, $30 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \dagger 31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 2$ § or $30 \ldots$ QxR 31 R-R8 ${ }^{\dagger}$ and mate next," "

I was puzzled and said so. "I don't get it. What Rook to R8†?"

Vic looked at me with agony. "Don't you perceive? Upfels did sense my Rook at QR2, just as everyone else did in the other games. But, like the literal-minded and chess-blind imbecile he is, he simply thought, if my Rook was still at QR2, then it hadn't moved to take his at QR1. If the Rooks weren't exchanged -"
But I'd "gotten" it by now, and said so. "Still and all," I began to add.
"Yes, precisely." Vic's voice was bitter. "I told him: 'But, on 31 R-R8 $\dagger$, my Bishop can take.'
" 'By Swansdown,' said Upfels (the patzer is employed in a flour concern, you understand). 'So it could. Never thought of that. Lucky, you didn't play it that way! '"

I left Vic at the bar, looking even more miserable than when I'd first seen him there. His gloom must have been con(Concluded on page 122)


# TOURNAMENT NOTES Progress Reports for Golden Knights Tournaments 

## 13th Annual Championship

In the 1959-60 Golden Knights, no new Finals section has completed play. The current leaders remain as published in March.

## PRESENT LEADERS*

These are the leading weighted-point scores of contestants in published, finished Finals sections (omitting lower tallies for those in more than one Finals Section). As other Finals finish, the top scorers are melded into this list.
H Berliner $\ldots . .46 .2$
R
Steinmeyer
$\ldots 46.2$
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{R} & \mathrm{B} & \text { Ilderton }\end{array} \ldots 44.0$
R Schuler $\ldots \ldots .44 .0$


| D | Doumanoff | $\ldots 43.95$ | R R Coveyou |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D | Fidlow | 34.45 |  |
| B |  |  |  |


| P | Sherr | ....... .43 .45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S A Popel | $\ldots . .43 .0$ |  |

R J Kneeream ....43.0 G Wood .......34.1

B Crowder . 42.0 W Bland .......34.05
R Verber $\ldots \ldots . .411 .7$

T V Kildea. .... 40.8
G C Gross …40.6 R L A Walker $\ldots 33.35$
S S Johnson ...40.1 L Johnson ..... 33.0

F D Dulicai $\ldots 39.6$ L J Roza $\ldots \ldots .32 .85$
D Howard
M
O E Goddard $\ldots .38 .5$ S S St Martin $\ldots . .32 .4$
O B Sachs …338.5 G Borowiecki ...32.3
A Lidacis .....38.35 G L Munson ... 32.25
I D Patten …3s.35 R B Fischer $\ldots 31.75$
S Watterson $\ldots 38.35$ C G Gibbs .....31.7

M S Zitzman .. 38.35
${ }^{1}$ vittes ........37.55
J Healy $\ldots \ldots .337 .3$ R E Edberg $\ldots 31.6$
J Johnston $\ldots . .37 .3 \quad \mathrm{R} \mathrm{K}$ Hart ......31.3
I A Veguillo $\ldots 37.25 \mathrm{R}$ T Shultis ...31.1
${ }_{S}$ G Priebe $\ldots . .36 .9$ R Smidchens $\ldots 30.8$
S Simcoe $\ldots \ldots .36 .9$ A Crowley .....30.8
L B Joyner ....36.7 H $G$ Moorhead .30.65
E L Dayton $\ldots 36.25$ G Katz ..........30.6
I A Curdo .....36.2 R Nusinoff ......30.6

| E E Kansen | $\ldots 36.1$ | I R Ruff |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L R Klar |  |  |
| L |  |  |

L Dreibergs $\ldots 35 .{ }_{3}$
L Stormo
C B Gish
. 29.6
We find we omitted mentioning in the March issue that the above list had been corrected: several errors were reported on the February listing. Recheck your own score carefully. Kindly note Rule 18:

## 14th Annual Championship

In the 1960-1 Golden Knights, no new Finals section has completed play. The Finals, as a whole, are far from finishing -the last Finals section is yet to be assigned; but a number of early Finals

[^10]have run over-due now without all results being reported. Kindly note Rule 18, recheck your tallies and report any results you did not get in or have not seen published, If in any doubt, send a summary of all results, listing each opponent and outcome with him.

The following Postalites have qualified for assignment to the Finals: H Rose, D E Ehmke, M Ladacki and B Thompson,

## 15th Annual Championship

In the 1961-2 Golden Knights, no new Finals section has completed play. The following Postalites, however, have qualified for assignment to the Finals: J Mayer, K E Wise, S St Martin, L S Ward. H Rothe, Mrs B Jarnagin and W Weil.

## 16th Annual Championship

In the 1963 Golden Knights, the follow: ing Postalites have qualified for assignment to the Finals: R E Swarbrick, R E Ellis, P A McGettigan, J Sarar, P Volkman, R T Chace, J Paterson, J N Parr and A Stys.

Also, the following Postalites have qualified to the Semi-finals: F S Townsend, D Gulliford, P L Thompson, D E Sailor, M Mooney, L Jamison, P Graves and A C Hallam.

## 17th Annual Championship

In the 1964 Golden Knights, Vine Smith of Brooklyn, New York, is the first to qualify for assignment to the Finals.
Meanwhile, the following Postalites have qualified for assignment to the Semi-finals: R A Roberts, J Taylor, L G King, V Smith, L B Reynolds, D T Miller, G O Grant, I LeWine, R O'Neil, H S Itkin, M P Burns, S R Brown, P Goodspeed, L F Karli, D Rivera, I G White. Mrs Helen Warren, J L Wojtowicz, H M Levy (2) and A Makaitis.

## 18th Annual Championship

In the 1965 Golden Knights, all of eighty-two sections were in play by the end of February, 574 contestants. We still await the first qualifier for assignment to the Semi-finals!

## POSTALMIGHTIES!

## Prize Tournaments

These Postalites have won prizes in the 1963 and 1964 Prize Tournaments.
Tourney Players Place Score 63-P 12

| Grant |  | 6-0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Babb | 2-4 | 3-3 |
| D R Blechman | $2-4$ | $3-3$ |
| Mrs L Stefani |  | 3 - |


| 14 | S B Lilly . .............. 2nd | $4-2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | A C Gen\% . ..............1-2 | $5-1$ |
|  | J Smith ..................1-2 | 5-1 |
| 20 | G A Gaissert ..........end | 412-11 |
| 26 | G H Agnew . . . . . . . . . . 1-3 | $5-1$ |
|  | S Gruen .................1-3 | 5 -1 |
|  | D R Heidel $\ldots$...........1-3 | $5-1$ |
| 28 | H Scott .................2nd | $5-1$ |
| 43 | C L Lott . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1st | 5 |
|  | E L Mott . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 nd | $4 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 48 | A J Holton ...............1-2 | 4 $\frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | R E Malkin . . . . . . . . . . 1 -2 | 412-12 |
| 60 | M Eucher ............... 2 nd | $5-1$ |
| 76 | M O'Donnell ...........1st | 6 -0 |
| 96 | J Spann ................, 1st | 51-1 |
| 101 | T Behrens ..............1-2 | $5 \frac{1}{2}-$ |
|  | Doris M Gould ...........1-2 | 51-1- |
| 104 |  | $6-0$ |
| 64-P 7 | J Blucher . . . . . . . . . . . 1 st | $5 \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | Q L Frank . . . . . . . . . . 2 nd | $5-1$ |
| 11 | J A Marshall . . . . . . . . .1-2 | 5h- $\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | W van der Wijingaard ..1-2 | 5h- |
| 30 | D Rivera ............... 1 ist | 6-0 |
| 32 |  | 6 -0 |
|  | G Prazak .................2nd | 42-12 |
| 40 | H Jurado . . . . . . . . . . . . . Ist | 51-2 |
| 58 |  | 5-1 |

## Class Tournaments

These Postalites have won or tied for first in 1963 and 1964 Class Tournaments.

| Tourney | Players | Place | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R Gish | 1st | $4-2$ |
|  | A P Angstenberger | Ist | $5-1$ |
|  | R Vuylsteke | .1st | $4 \frac{1}{2}-1 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | R W Anderson | .1-2 | $4-2$ |
|  | J Matek ..., | 1-2 | 4-2 |
|  | T J Stewart | 1st | 42-14 |
|  | W S Browne | 1st | $5-1$ |
|  | R G Brown | .1st | $4-2$ |
|  | 1 A A Fee | 1-2 | 4-2 |
|  | P A Galisin | 1-2 | $4-2$ |
|  | 1 Willis | .1st | $4-2$ |
|  | T Ragsdale | 1st. | $4-2$ |
|  | A G Crowley | .1-3 | 32 2 23 |
|  | C J Giblos . . | 1-3 | $3 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | W Taylor | 1-3 | $3 \frac{1}{2}-2 \frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | , Stonkus .. | .1st | $5-1$ |
|  | H E Winston | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | G Petit | ,1st | $5-1$ |
|  | 1) Dawson | .1st | 5 -1 |
|  | J J Telega | .1st | $4-2$ |
|  | T Rosenthal | .1st | $5-1$ |
|  | 1) Viets | .1st | 6 -0 |
|  | D W Connor | 1st | 6 -0 |
| 6.t.C | R C Traube | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | R Sylvester | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | R Vuylsteke | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | C Moore .. | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | $R$ W Mangold | .1st | $5-1$ |
|  | A $R$ Cumming | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | A S Penniston | .1st | 5 -1 |
|  | R Walmisley | .1st | $5-1$ |
|  | E Meyer ..... | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | W P Jackson | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | $J$ L Priddy | .1st | $5-1$ |
|  | C E Moore | .1st | $6-0$ |
|  | H Ploss | .1st | $5-1$ |
|  | O R Beal | 1-2 | $5-1$ |
|  | F' I Gayton .... | 1-2 | $5-1$ |

## (A)

(A)


## POSTAL MORTEMS

## Game Reports Received

## during February 1965

To report results, follow instructions on pages 4 \& 5 of your booklet on Postal Chess strictly and exactly. Otherwise the report may be misrecorded, held up or even lost.
Please note: Winners (and those with the White pieces in case of draws) must report as soon as result is confirmed by opponent. The opponent may report also to ensure his record and rating going through but must then state clearly that he was the loser (or played Black in case of a draw).

Game reports sent in time for receipt by dates given above should be printed below. And the players concerned should check to see that they are so published. To spot them, look under your section number, first by the key (e.g., $63-\mathrm{C}$ indicating Class
Tourney begun in 1963) and by number (466) given in text below the key.

Symbol $f$ indicates a win by forfeit without rating credit; a shows a rating credit adjudication; df marks a double-forfeit.

## CLASS TOURNAMENTS

## Four-man Tourneys Graded by Classes

## Started in 1963 (Key: 63-C)

Notice: Game reports on all tourneys begun in April 1963 become past-due during this month. Get in reports to reach us here before May 1st, to avoid losing on doubleforfeit (both players lose!).

Earlier tourneys have been closed. We are not publishing individual double-forfeits but are publishing winners now left by closing process on February tourneys in Postal. mighties
Tourneys 1 - 404: es Correction: Gibbons won one from King. Ge Capritta, Stewart tie, 78 Fee fells Thomas. 104 Crowley, Gibbs tie. 175 Stonkus stops Henderson, 190 Roth rips Sachs. 227 Willis tops Donovan twice. 234 Larzelere licks Lenz. 236 Lohrman whips Winkler. 244 Winston whips Schulze. 250 Clark tops (f) Noble. 269 Anderson. Neil tie 278 Oumbet tops (2f) Fardin. 293 McConnel ties Groh and tops Petit. 302 Mayo ties Scarpinato and tops Moody twice. 308 Dawson downs Allen. 316 Hendricks tops Price. 321 Rosenthal rips Hempel and Riesenbeck 364 Gosselin bests Buell, 367 Fehlandt tops (f) Knowles. 369 Herrera tops Lombard twice, Agnew once, 381 Alfassa, Telega tie. 386 Viets licks Long. 389 Noble bests Birdwell and splits with Tycksen. 391 Dopheide halts Hart. 397 Appleby withdraws, 400 Roth rips Bonn.

## Started in 1964 (Key: 64-C)

Tourneys 1-99: 4 Dyba tops Thomas twice. 11 Chaikin. Thomas tie. 12 Monette conks Collins, 13 Kimball and Moore each top Morgan twice, 20 Giesen bests Vlahos. 23 McPherson tops (20 Taylor, 27 Lundh tops McDonough twice and Henry (2f). 29 Howes beats Boyer. 35 Traube tops Saht (1f \& 1a). 36 Haberman licks Lee, to Sylvester stops Steffens. IS Greenberg chops Chupick. 50 Vuylsteke bests Blumberg. 57 Piggins withdraws. is Vuylsteke rips Rosenwald twice. -9 Appleby withdraws. 67 Moore tops Montague. 68 Ernst lieks Lindbloom. 73 Wood whips Murphy, To Fortier fells Probst; Gleason withdraw'n. 80 Bender beats Berry. 82 Gardner stops Strelecky. S8 Gray tops (2f) Murphy: Areher conks Coppess, 91 Triplett trips Gault, 93 Mankin, Bicknell and Mooney stop Stone: Bicknell bests Mooney, 96 Ban-
eroft. Cummings best Boroughs; Townes eroft, Cummings best Boroughs:
withdraws. 98 Spivack spills Kelley.
Tourneys 100-159: 100 Townes withdraws 102 Walmisley bests Bock. 103 Meyer. Shearman down Domanico. 108 Ballenger beats Sattinger but bows to Burton. 114 Eldridge Ioses to Moore and splits with Becker. 116 Jackson tops Fuchs (1f \& 1a). 120 Snyder whips Woodle. 121 Beal and Solomon lick Lewis. 122 Schliesing conks Coulbourn. 125

Koch withdraws. 126 Priddy downs Ebbs. Hirt withdraws, loses (2a) to king. 139 toore mauls Montague; Piggins withdraws 140 Barnard. De Lozier tie. 145 Hart withdraws, 148 Nemethy conks Kohl. 149 Blumetti, Chosak tie. 150 Ploss and Stonkus xplit two and each top Maddux twice. 151 Parmelee withdraws. 152 Beal bests Montague and splits with Gnyton. 155 Lecker tops (a) Egan. 15 ̄ 6 De Lozier licks Angstenberker und Woodle. 159 Hoag halts Broyles. Tourneys 160-199: 160 Biwer, Feeney tie. 164 Berry bests Hood. 165 Fasolino whips Williams (wice, 166 Faus tops (2f) Lawler. 167 Berry bests Gayton, 171 Withers whips Bratz and Sheldon. 172 Kirby, Kontra split. 173 Slocum sloughs Scherrer; Parmelee with draws, 174 Gottesman. Price tie, 178 Ewing and Gervais split. 181 Vanginderen whips Welch. 182 Jackson jolts Bratz and Rosen: Bancroft and Brat\% rip Rosen. 18.1 Watson whips Quinlan. 186 Larzelere licks Gray and Cuschleg: Bergen-Olsen, Cuschleg tie. 187 Sorensen conks Kessler. 188 Whitman tops Lamasney twice. 189 Shearman bests Schmitt but bows to Lavender; Miller and Lavender maul Schmitt. 196 Simon conks Caster. 198 Beal tops (2a) Grey. 199 Bohnen bests Zechman.
Tourneys 200-259: 201 Gwynn lops Tirone twice, 211 Connor conks Brown. 212 Shaffer downs Denniston. 213 Milas mauls Mantell, 215 Denniston downs Zucker, 216 Frand tops Vinck, 217 Jacobson tops Moser twice: Bearden withdraws. 221 Riegier licks Lilly but loses to Rammelkamp. 223 Lindenfelser stops Stephansky, 226 Biniasz beats Mullison. 227 Kinslow tops Nelson once, Mulkerin twice:

## NEW POSTALITES

The following new Postal Chess players hegan in February with these ratings:
CLASS A at 1300: J. A. Bennett, F. C. Bristol, S. J. Elowitch, L. Guthridge, G. Household, M. Kalina, W. R. Loudon*, R. H. Morit\%, W, J. O'Connor, L. Roberts, M. M. Thomason and J. G. Warren:
Class B at 1200: F. T. Darville, J. J. Ferret, T. Foley, O. L. Friedl, A, George, J. Groner R. B. Harms, M. Kay, R. B. Ketterer, J. J. Kieslich, D. H. Paetkau, J. R. Piazaa, W. G Ross. A. Shulman, B. Shuman, D. Stulken and S. P. Wang;
CLASS C at 900: H. C. Bankhead. Jacquelyn A. Barnes, B. Bates, R. D, Becker, R. ©. Bell. T. Bewley, G. W. Blair. L. E. Bower, E. L. Burtolini, C. Clayton, B. Crisp. W. A. Cross, J. Dailous, J. R. Daniels, R Elliott. V. A. Ezerins, J. Fales, C. Finley, D. Gillen, C. S. Goldy, M. Gunther, C. P. Hass, R. Hayes, B, Jucobsen, F. C. Johnson. R, G. Johnson, D. C. Kingsley. V. LaFontaine, J. Landau, S. Latus, J. O. Ledlie. C. G. Leedham, R. Letfew, C. Liming, R. Mackenzie, R. Mandel, O. E. Mastin, P. J. Medlockin. J. Miller, E. Mumns, D. E. Novick D. J, Novick, W. Pikington, S, R. Popp, D Quane, C. J. Rausch. J. C. Reepmeyer, C R. Reitz, L. R. Reitz, R. Ringham, L. Robinson. D. Sandak, H. Sautter, J. Schuitz, G. Singer, S. Spritzer, M. Stecle, D. Stevens, R Strauss, R. A. Swanson, Alice Wheelwright, Lois Vebster, $R$. White, D. Wilner, B Woosley, G. C. Wright and D. A. Ybarrondo; CLASS D at 600: C. B. Ball, S. M. Brown, N. P. Case, R. Colp, J. Drosdick, B. Ducker, D. Faber, J. M. Fay, D, Frerichs, P. A. Goldberg, C. Goodman, R. W, Gove, B. V. Hanes, R. Howard, A. King, J. Lane, L. C. Malone R. Matoni. W. H. Olesen, E. Page. R. Palmedo, W. Parks, W. I. Plant, P. A. Prescott. S. M. Pruss, T. Roberts, P. Strasser, D. H. Sullivan, R. D. Walkden, S, A Wells, P. A. Wemett, W. Williamson, Mrs A. M. Wright, and M. Yvole.

* Actually, old timer returned.


## RETURN POSTS

The following old timers returned during February at these former ratings:
R. J. Bicksler 382; P. R. Eastman 1438; A. C. Franz 800; L. L. Hassenpfluz S70; J. I. Hill 1096: V. E. Holdworth 430; W. A. Lons 864; R. D. McCormack 500; and J. A. Walicki 1004.

Nelson nips Dittman. 231 McCrossen cracks Blake. 232 Nelson jolts Jackson. 233 Zonies tops (2f) Carlson. 234 White whips Conitz. 239 Hintzen tops and ties Rieger. 241 Gross axes Angelis: Slomowitz slaps Angelis and Gross. 243 Wallace withdrawn, 244 Burk bests Thach. 245 Scott downs De Souza, 247 Williams whips Smith, 249 Goldberger. Hintzen tie. 252 Weiling bows once to Savary, twice to Pariza; Pariza halts Hogan. 253 Noble tops (2a) Stein. 254 Bruton bests Boyd. 255 Cross cracks Westbrook, 257 Beneroft beats Smith. 258 Cntalano cracks Bancroft. 259 George conks Carney.
Tourneys 260-319: 260 Angstenberger bows to Kumro but bests Hempel. 261 Schleidt Jolts Jachens and Huebsch: Trapp withdrawn. 262 Cartwright and Gayton split. 267 Tuttle bests Buell and (2f) Cummings but bows to Greenspan, 270 Gribushin beats Germain. 271 Everett withdrawn. 273 Stayart tops Clark twice and ties Lifson: Clark withdrawn. 275 Goodspeed halts Harris. 276 Fetzer bests Miller but bows to Shader. 279 Wallace loses twice to both Estabrook and Nelson. 281 Pampel bests Robinson but bows to Baldwin. 283 Stephansky outpoints PoilIon. 285 Stayart downs Dibble. 286 Connor withdraws. 289 Altman tops (1) \& 1a) Rose. 290 Sherman fells Forman. 292 Gustafson tops Blanke. 295 Bruce tops Thomas. 297 Mantell fells Finn. 299 Wagner loses twice to Ouellette, withdraws and drops (1a) to Wagner, 300 Carpenter tops Wallace twice. 304 Silverman socks McCoy. 305 Dalrymple downs Yeakel. 314 Moan bests Miodus and Bode. 315 Bergoffen beats Wagner. 319 Sterfee stops Dessaules.
Tourneys 320-416: 328 Greene and Hailey best Beal 329 Keeling fells Field. 330 Horvath smites Smith. 335 Rader withdraws. 3.3 Faires tops ( 26 ) Gawler. 366 Thomas tops Skrzypinski. 36S Jones withdrawn. 393 Ashwell whips sotcy, 402 Cohen conks Gildea. 403 Lowery nips Neufeld.

## Started in 1965 (Key: 65-C)

Tourneys 1-86: 13 Martin replaces Johnson. 18 Shearman replaces Cohen. 25 Conner conks McCormack: Smith replaces Cave. 26 Phelps flips Volk: Thunen replaces Fox. 35 Sachs replaces Rinde, 36 Ritner replaces Boyer. 37 Sullivan replaces Smolan. 42 Bendix replaces Boyer. 59 Kersula rephaces Singer. 62 Pappas replaces Resnick.

## PRIZE TOURNAMENTS

## Seven-man Tourneys for Premiums

## Started in 1963 (Key: 63-P)

Notice: Game reports on all tourneys begun in April 1963 become past-due during this month. Get in reports to reach us here before May 1st, to avoid losing on double-forfelt (both players lose!).
Earlier tourneys have been closed. We are not publishing individual double-forefeits but are publishing winners now left by closing process on February tourneys in Postalmighties!
Tourneys 1-112: 20 Shook shakes Gaissert. 26 Heidel halts Barksdale. 2 S Scott tops Chase, 42 Spohr spills Orbanowski, 43 Lott licks Barber. 48 Holton hatts Dollard. 60 Eucher bests Borker. 67 Dollard downs (a) Kutan. 70 Klein ties H. Carr and tops Mooney. 76 O'Domnell tops (a) Kleinick. 78 Well whips Buchanan. So Lamb licks Norris. 88 Martinez jolts Johnson. 96 Spann, Rugs tie. 101 Behrens tops (a) Hamilton. 103 Leschensky cracks Kreczmer, 104 Levy licks Leach. 106 Parkinson dips Clay. 107 Bishop bests McCowan.

## Starłed in 1964 (Key: 64-P)

Tourneys 1 - 39: 1 Kontautus conks Kramer. 2 Loy withdrawn; Zubrzycki tops (a) Vandebroek. 9 Morris ties Best and tops Lenz, 10 Encinas Lies Peterson and Scott; Scott ties Kramer, 11 Carroll. Marshall jolt Johnson. 16 Weaver whips Ashley. 17 Riley. Wagner tie. 19 Smidchens smites McDonough. 21 Bellaire bests Newell: Wiersch stops Stephens, 22 Hamilton, Gosselin and Humphrey down DeVincent; Hahar halts Gos-
selin. 24 Gerner, Lott tie; Melis mathls Goldberg. 27 Trone trips Stuckey. 28 Svoboda flips Phillips. 30 Rivera rips Ashley. 32 Matkin fells Famham, 33 , Jepson jolts Eves; Strupeck and Reynolds best. Beer, it Joslin jolts Plucinski. 36 Gellish clips Clarkson and Broderick: Reynolds rips Broderick 37 Eatman axes Olson: Thomas tops Eatman and Olson. 39 Audrain bows to Thoms but bests Duignan.
Tourneys 40-59: 40 Abramson, Strahan tie, 41. Cestaro, Itkin, tie. 43 Schliesing whips von Saleski and Wipper; Wipper bests Schmitt but bows to Chayt. I Kaltenbrun beats Seybold. $4 \overline{\text { L Lacey ties Einstein and tops Bat- }}$ tat. 46 Pratt nips North. 17 Weil licks Stevens but loses to Foaghund; Birsten ties Cook and tops Chenoweth. AS Fontenrose rips Scott: Roby routs Gould; Hawksworth tops Roby and ties Aks. 49 Lawler withdrawn. 50 Neff nips Ashley. $\overline{\text { N }}$ Lacey licks Pulien: Kline clouts von Saleski. 52 D'Aoust beats Clark but bows to Doren. 55 Nester mips Itkin: Cotter axes Evans. $\overline{6}$ Joyce jolts Bryant and Tarter. 58 Appel tops Petit. 59 Lacey and Spohr sock Sorenson; Lacey licks Spoht; Gwynn, Jarvis tie.
Tourneys 60-89: 60 Erismanis wins from Wilson; O'Donnell downs vittes. 62 soforic rips Ramthum. 63 Burt heats Heidel, 64 Carpenter conks Spohr. 65 Hujber and Carr hatt Hamilon. 67 Stevens stops Gieselman. 68 Jackson withdrawn. 69 Lacey licks Mahoney, 71 Sample, Good sock Graerf: Good mats Mott. 73 Rader withdraws. 71 Morrill downs Dickey and Hendricks. 75 Ward whips Stevenson: Tarter jolts Jones; Stevens stops Hartwig and Jones. 76 Klein clouts Bramante. 77 Welsh withdrawn. is Rapier rips Carpenter: Myers downs MacDermid; Stein withdrawn. 79 Hurd. Flein and Cain rout Richardson; Klein tops Cain and ties Hynes: Greenberg beats Hynes. so Hardin downs Goldwasser. 81 Meglis and Erkmanis fell Faires. 82 Tomko tops Gee, 83 Halpert smites Smart. 84 Stayart ties Lifson and tops Sehwab. 85 Stayart tops Raasoch and ties Tingle. 86 Rufer bests Tweten but hows to Thoms. $\$ 8$ Arnow nips Neff, 89 Rollins conks Carlson. Tourneys 90-120: 92 Shandov wins from Spohr. 94 Sullinger socks Carpenter, 95 Nes(er nips Henriksen. 98 Winston whips Ne!son and March; Matty mauls Sanborn. 101 Rader withdraws, 102 Karalaitis conks Prazak. 103 Netherton nips Jones and Fves, 10 t Barnes withdraws. 105 Wallace loses to Spohr and withdraws. 106 Bostwick bests Tweten. 107 Gambescia withdrawn. 114 Matty tops (f) Thompson.

## Solutions to CHESSBOARD MAGIC!

No. 1 White draws with $1 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KN} 5, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ [else Pawns go, or worse] 2 NxP $\ddagger$ ! NxN $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 6 \dagger, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ 4 B-N6, B-B8 [to stop $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5] 5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 1.6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5 \div$ t, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ $7 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1!\mathrm{B}$ any $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$, and Black can make no progress without a Knight move, but Bishop check forces its return, and then $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ holds again.
No. 2 White draws with $1 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8$ ! $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$ $2 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ !, Q-N8 $3 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ ! P-N4 4 RxQ 亩, and stalemate follows.
No. 3 White wins with $1 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6 \dagger, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ [else White soon mates] 2 BxQt, KxB 3 RxP, and White either mates or stops Black's Pawns while queening his King Rook Pawn: e.g. $3 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 34 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7$ and $5 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5 \dot{\dagger}$ etc. or $3 \ldots \mathrm{PxP} 4 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \dagger$; and 4. . K-K3 5 N-N6! KxR L5 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 8(\mathrm{Q}) 6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ mate] $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4 \div, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 5$ 6 NxP, K-Q6* 7 N-N6 ete.; or 4
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 35 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 6$ ! $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 8(\mathrm{Q}) 6 \mathrm{NxP}$ mate; or 4... K-B1 $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7$ ! P-B3 $6 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{S}_{\dagger}$, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ $7 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5!$ and $7 \ldots, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 8(\mathrm{Q}) 8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 6$ mate, or 7 . . . KxR 8 N -B3, and White wins; or 4 . . K-K1 $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7$ ! $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 36$ R-Q8t, K-K2 7 N-K5: ete.
${ }^{*} 6$, K-B6 is probably Lommer's main line. See it? No! Then see page 125.

Started in 1965 (Key: 65-P)
Tourneys 1 - 20: 2 Strupeck wins from Veit. 3 Valenteen replaces Mitchell.

## GOLDEN KNIGHTS

Progressive Qualification Championships

## 13th Annual Championship-1959-60 FINALS (Key: 59-Nf)

Sections 1. 32: 29 Capillon tops (f) Hartigan: Druker withdrawn. 30 Frank mauls Miller. 32 Crenshaw cracks Harris.

## 14th Annual Championship-1960-1

 SEMI.FINALS (Key: 60 -Ns)Sections 1-80: 71 Pierson wins from Less and Petersen: Rose rips Less. 72 Bloch withdrawn. 7s Coe besis Rudolph but loses (f) to Ladncki; Coe and Marks af. 76 Thompson, Ware tie. 77 Parker outpoints Pransky: Agnew nips Ashley. 78 Harvey halts Self. 79 Stolzenberg bests Travis and Seastrum; Bailey beats Seastrum. So Ashley licks Martin.

## FINALS (Key: 60.Nf)

Sections 1-30: 13 Dubois downs LaRtue. 18 Crown licks Leonard. 19 ODp tops (a) Blais. 21 Siklos socks Moore and Kilker. 27 Hartigan withdrawn, 28 Roberts rips Rudolph tand Snow: Harvey hatts Snow.

## 15th Annual Championship-1961-2

SEMI-FINALS (Key: 61-Ns)
Sections 1-95: 33 Chase. Robinson tie, 38 Howell downs O'Donnell. 43 Abeles. Johnson lie. $\overline{3} 6$ Mayer rips Roth. 61 Vogel ties Herman and Belisle. 66 Gibbs tops (a) Rose. (9) Crown loses to Wise and ties Ganzel. 74 Pransky whips Wilson. 77 Smith smites Appleton. S2 Fischer tops Edwards and ties St. Martin, 87 Shaw halts Hillman. ss ward whips Cullum. 89 Rothe bests Balmuth and Bulfinch. 90 Jarnagin jolts Rogers and MeGumbigle, 91 Schmidt bests Bertram. 93 Frank jolts Jones: Jones and Weil fell Fallier: Camphell ties Weil and Funk. 95 Shepard tops (f) Millman.

## FINALS (Key: 61.Nf)

Sections 1-28: 7 Slater lops Gibson. 8 Moore jolts Jania; Jacob jars Thurman. 10 Miles bests Zeroth but bows to Strahan. 11 Klein clouts Beckham. 12 Fontenrose rips Howard. 13 Popel licks Slavich and Ellis but loses to Cook. 16 Van Brunt ties Muir and loses to Miller. 17 Harrison, Kogan tie; Baneroft ties Fubbard and Lahde. is Cheswick and Levine tic, 19 Weaver whips Burdick and Kleinick. 20 Hardman ties Sanderson and Neff; Stack withdraws. 21 Ashley licks DeVault: Eastman withdrasus: Limarai axes Ogni. 22 Suyker socks Tucker. $2_{3}$ Meiden downs Thomas.

## 16th Annual Championship-1963

## PRELIMINARY ROUND (Key: 63-N)

Sections 1-177: 89 Townsend wins from Glassbers. Holden, Gehringer, Owen and Weinberg. 92 Levine bests Bricknell. 98 Fish and Schaffel Lie. 113 Devereaux jolts Johmson. 123 Hardin bests Benson, 130 Crater tops Cathers and lies Thomas. 132 Kohut tops (a) Kirks. 144 Hall tops (f) Gibello. 146 Gulliford and Thompson tie. 158 Halter and C. Stutz withdrawn. 164 Sailor whips Willis, 168 Smith smites Pease, 175 Einstein stops Menzies: Mooney beats Bartlett.

## SEMI-FINALS (Key: 63-Ns)

Sections 1-49: 5 Swarbrick stops Stern. 11 Bland, Ellis tie. 12 McGettigan whips Wissig. 13 DiJoseph jolts Moore. 17 Burdick downs Dragonetti. is Sarar tops (f) Pascucci. 21 Volkman bows to Julson hut bests Frank; Remer rips Adorjan, 22 Appleby withdraws, loses (a) to Bock, 26 Paterson downs Dulicai. 25 Thompson tops Slade. 30 Fearey fells Carleton. 31 Parr. Schwartz tie. 32 Lamb Ioses to Stys but licks Browne. 34 Erdos withdrawn, 3: Paterson cracks Crosbie; Parmelee withdraws. 36 Frank and Hannold tie; Adashek downs Foglund. 37 Abramson bests Buぇan; Goodman downs Donins; Griffin beats

## CHESS BY MAIL

If you have not played in our tourneys before, please specify in which class you would like to start. We recommend Class A for unusually strong players, Class B for above average players, Class C for about average players and Class D for below average, If you have played, please state your probable rating.

Mail proper entry coupon below, or copy of it, to CHESS REVIEW, 134 West 72 d Street, New York, N. Y. 10023.

## CLASS TOURNAMENT

Start playing chess by mail NOW: Enter one of the 4 man groups.

You will be assigned to a section with 3 other players about equal to yourself in playing skill. You play both White and Black against the other three. You play all six games simultaneously, two games on one set of postcards.

Your game results will be recorded and published in CHESS REVIEW as well as your postal chess rating.

The entry fee is only $\$ 1.50$. You may enter as many sections as you please at $\$ 1.50$ each. Send coupon below.


## PRIZE TOURNAMENT

Start playing chess by mail NoW! Enter one of the 7 man groups.

You will be assigned to a section with six other players about equal to yourself in playing skill. You play White against three of your opponents, Black against the other three-and you play all six games simultaneously.

You stand a good chance of winning a prize, too! Credits of $\$ 6.00$ and $\$ 3.00$ are awarded to 1st and 2d place winners in each section. Credits may be used to purchase chess books or equipment.

The entry fee is only $\$ 2.75$. You may enter as many sections as you please at $\$ 2.75$ each. Send coupon below.


Boles. 38 Walloch whips Grafa. to Faivus and Bielefeld best Verdugo. 11 Kohut conks Gropp. 12 Pflumm rips Roby; Ozols axes Sullivan. 48 Mitchell mauls Ashley, 45 Greenberg, Stack and Kleinick best Bultockus; Kleinick clouts Byrd. 46 Johnson jolts Gorman. 47 Schleicher licks Ashley. is Abram rips Reeder.
Sections 50-63: 50 Bizar licks Lewis. 52 Steputat stops Heidel; Birstein halts Hateh.

## FINALS (Key: 63-Nf)

Sections 1.6; 1 Markiewicz ties Limarzi and loses to Collins; Limarzi tops Katz. 2 Cook conks Robinson and Keiser.

## 17th Annual Championship-1964

PRELIMINARY ROUND (Key: 64-N)
Sections 1.64: 2 Roberts whips woelfinger.
3 Hallam bests Harper but bows to Kemer.
4 Best beats Hoglund, 6 Berg bests Terry
12 Schwartz tops Prati. 13 Beekman whips Wilson. 15 Milter ties Chapin and loses to Kramer, 17 Redmond, Taylor tie, 21 Pease licks Lawrence. 25 Spessard and Smith maul Mulliken; Simmons jolts Jamison; King tops (f) Spessard. 26 Rugs rips Belts. 33 Paterson tops Frank, 39 Duncombe downs Whelan. 41 Pflumm rips Henriksen. 43 Erdtmann loses to Reynolds but licks Nay; Nay withdrawn. 45 Shipley tops (f) Feldman. 47 De Vault downs Eatman. 49 Goff gaffs Richard. 50 Langer licks Lehner. 52 Miller mauls Lindenfelser, 55 Young loses to Trone but licks Huckin. 59 Grant belts Burbank: Katz conks Grant. 60 LetWine wins from Weininger and Aranoff. 61 Breider, Roberts and Howell whip Wootton; Breider halts Howell. 62 Henderson and Adorjan jolts Crampton.
Sections 65-89: 67 Wright, Gehringer, Gildea and O'Neil mob Cameron; O'Nell nips Gildea. 69 Carlyle. Itkin $6 x$ von Saleski: Carlyle conks Coveyou. 72 Donald does in Soule: Koller withdrawn. 73 Burns bests McCormick. 7.1 Gildea ties Stein and loses to Brown. 75 Goodspeed licks Larzelere and Hendricks: Huber hits Lapsley and Hendricks: Plemel beats Hendricks but bows to Dunham, 76 Volkman bests Goldberg; Lliso licks Birns. 78 Karli conks Gelin: Buhalo bests Hall; Kline and Karli clout Schaaf. 79 Littrell whips Whittaker. 80 Rivera rips Stevenson; Rivers, Deidun and Goodman down Shafran: Taylor tops Larzelere. \$1 Sigler whips West; Hughes withdrawn. \&t Goff lies Yevuta; Larzelere and Goff gaff Burton. 85 Breider breaks Webber. 87 Zwell ties Wolf and loses to White; Gordon, Kaplan tie: White whips Gordon and Wolf. 88 Pangborn bows to Tomaino and ties Kersula; Donald beats Hamilton but bows to Gerzadowicz. 89 Johnson, Becker beat Kelso: Domann downs Becker; Rudolph ties Domann and tops (f) Weisman.
Sections 90. 109: 90 Croy halts Hyde. 91 Larzelere licks Lee but loses to warren; Woltowicz whips Warren and Hendricks. 92 Weaver whips Jamison. 93 Levy, Shortz tie; Devereaux beats Casey but bows to Levy and Shortz. 94 Hill halts Carpenter; Marschall ties Schleidt and tops Carpenter: MeKaig conks Westbrook. 96 Malkin licks Livingston; Crutchley and Malkin whip Williams. 97 Levy licks Egan and Stwain. 98 Pincumbe withdrawn, loses (a) to Alberts. 100 Lacey downs Deitrich. 101 Siegel rips Rauch: Johnson jolts Mantell. 102 Mooney bows to Terry but bests Hamilton; Malkin conks Hamilton; Makaitis tops Terry. 103 Hodak and Einstein halt Hall. 104 Bloom blasts Harnach, 106 Schleidt conks Carpenter. 107 Gottesman tops Pemniston; Freedman mauls Murphy. 109 Nothnagle nips Moyer.
Sections 110-129: 110 Pehnec wins from Hessel. 111 Moody and Vaitkus sock Samutel; Lang licks Jepson. 112 Smart and Wrisht rip Blechar: Roth routs Droesch. 113 Bullockts and DeVore tie: Ellis conks Carpenter. 114 McKenna loses to Opp and Klinkner, withdraws and drops (a) to Ward and Oswald: Ward whips Oswald. 115 Esposito. Hesse, Loy and Vandemark mob Hendricks; Vandemark and Marshall Iick Gilliland. 117 Roth rips Tremblay. 121 Romero, Willis tie. 123, Chernis downs Diedrich; Aicher hits Heidel. 124 Graves tlips Phillips; Howard hits Dean.

125 Weihe whips Christman; Campbell conks Cuomo. 126 Stearns stops Dalrymple: Dalrymple and Hurttlen rip Reeder, 127 Jones withdruws. loses (a) to Carson and Patterson. 128 Caytord conks Wemberg and Kaplan: Kaplan tops (f) Kawas, 129 Dubnow withdraws.
Sections 130-151: 130 Connell jolts Truitt and Jeans. 132 Stark stops De Paul: Ferrell withdrawn. 133 Kahn withdraws. loses (a) to Valdes and MeDonald. 135 Rothbard withdraws. 138 Thomas tops Paulsen. 139 Townes withdraws. 140 Draughon drubs Thomas. 142 Jacob Jolts Mantell. 143 Egan tops Zinck, 144 Daniels defeats Carleton; Champion withdraws.

## SEMI-FINALS (Key: 64-Ns)

Sections 1-21: 2 Muir masters May, 3 Dunham downs Stiefel; Smith smites Terry, Loeffler and Buhalo. 4 Van Brunt outpoints Peck. 5 Redmond rips Hubbard. 7 Lynch, Smith tie.

## 18th Annual Championship-1965

Sections 1-82: \& Anders downs Wilson, \& Smith smites Rule. 21 Ermanis replaces Bennett: and Alberts replaces Berent, 32 Buhalo heats Gliick. 34 Moore replaces Pogoloff. 5.1 O'Neil nips Katzenstein. 56 Hiesa replaces Agnilera.

## Solutions to CHESS QUIZ

No. 1 White secures the Exchange and a winning position with $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \dagger$ ! The how: 1 . - PxP $2 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{KxP} 3 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \dagger$, K -B5 (else 4 RxB ) \& $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ \%, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 65$ R-K4§: K-Q7 6 B-B3才!
No, 2 Black mates by 1 ... Q-R8t 2 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5 \ddagger$ : $3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, QxPf : and 4 BxQ, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B7} \div 5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \div 6 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 \dagger 7 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 5, \quad \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$; or $4 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \div$ as before.
No. 3 White wins a Rook with 1 Q-N7: The how: 1 ... RxQ is mate by $2 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8$; so $1 \ldots R-Q 1$ (the one safe square for this Rook) $2 \mathrm{RxR} \uparrow$. KxR $3 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 8+$ etc.
No. 4 Black mates by $1 \ldots$ Q-K4! The how: 2 Q any, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 6 \div 3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{RxP}$ : 4 NxR, QxN广 $5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ [or $5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{QxR}$; or (with 2 Q-B2) 5 Q-B2, QxR $\dagger$ ete.], $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 7 \dagger$ etc. or $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ [else $2 \ldots \mathrm{NxQ}$ wins], QxBP $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ [else $3 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ or $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 6$ is mate $], \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 6 \div$ and mate fol lows anyway: e.g. $4 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \dagger 5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, $\mathrm{RxN} \dagger 6 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2 \dot{\mathrm{~T}} 7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \quad \mathrm{BxB}+8$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$.
No. 5 White wins with 1 NxNt , RPxN 2 B-N5 (these two moves can be transposed). The how: (simple really) 2 .
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{BxQP} \& \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7 \div, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{R} 5$ N -K5 (but note for full credit, White wins more with $5 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 16 \mathrm{NxQ}, \mathrm{BxN}$ $7 \mathrm{BxB}+\mathrm{KxB} 8 \mathrm{BxB}$, and, if $8 \ldots \mathrm{KxB}$, $9 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 7 \mathrm{~T}$ ).
No. 6 Black mates with $1 \ldots \mathrm{RxP} \dagger 2$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \dagger$ ! $3 \mathrm{KxR}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2 \dagger$ or $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 \%$ $4 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7+5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8+6 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \div$ ! e.g. (a) $7 \mathrm{QxP}, \mathrm{QxP} \dot{\mathrm{t}}$ and mate next; (b) $7 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \dot{\dagger}$ and 8 KxP , $\mathrm{QxP} \uparrow$ and mate next or $8 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 \dagger$ and mate in two; (e) $7 \mathrm{KxP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2 \dagger$ ! and (I) $8 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ t and mate in two; or (II) $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{QxP}+9 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$; and
(A) $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{QxB} \dagger$ and mate next; or (B) $10 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{BI}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5 i$ and mate in two -aiso here $9 \ldots$ Q-R4 $\dagger$ mates but more slowly: e.g. $10 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2 \dagger 11 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 5 \div 12 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7 \div 13 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8$.
No. 7 White wins with 1 Q-K1! The how: (a) $1 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 22 \mathrm{QxP} \dagger, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 7 \div$
etc. or (b) $1 \ldots$ BxP 2 BxB and, e.g. Q-Q3 $3 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 7$ with threat of $4 \mathrm{QxP}{ }_{\dagger}$, PxQ $5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ mate; or (c) $1 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 22 \mathrm{QxP}$. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$ : and $3 \ldots \mathrm{BxP} 4 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 6 \dot{7}$ and 5 BxP etc. or $3 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 6 \div$ and 5 RxP etc.
No. 8 Black wins immediately and despite his exposed King with $1 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ : and
(a) $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 53 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{BxB} \$ 4 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 7 \uparrow$ : or (b) $2 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \dot{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 33 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 \dot{\mathrm{~T}}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 44 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6 \div, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 3$ etc. or (c) $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$, $\mathrm{BxN} \dagger$ and $3 . . \mathrm{QxB} \dagger$.
No. 9 White wins at the least the Exchange with $1 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$. The how: (a) $1 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$ [to prevent $2 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6 \dagger$ and 3 QxB ] $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ : Q any [except of course 2. Q-K3 3 QxQ and such] 3 BxN and 4 QxRt-or 2 . . NxN 3 QxR $\uparrow$ etc. or (b) 1 . . B-Q3 2 Q-K6 ${ }^{+}$, K-N1 [or 2 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7$ mate], $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \dagger$ etc. [3 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 14 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{BxB}$ is no threat on White's King because of 5 QxR with check]; or (c) $1 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6 \dagger, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6 \dagger$ etc. nor does (d) 1

B-B1 help: 2 $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6 \dot{\text { }}$ and $3 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \dot{7}$.
No, 10 Chess Review generously allows you either of two answers here:

A "Book" on an analogous position is 1...N-B4! with (I) 2 PxN, RxP $\div 3$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, QxBP and White's King is obviously on the run: e.g. $4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 74$ ! $5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \dagger$ etc. or $4 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 75$ Q-N1, Q-Q3 $\dagger$ etc. and, presumably, (II) $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$, RxP; [stronger, we feel, than the recommended $2 \ldots \mathrm{~N}$-Q6 6 ] $3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, QxQP etc.

B $1 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3-$ not so spectacular but a sure and consistent win-(I) $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$, RxP $7 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, QxQP etc. or (II) $2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5 \div$ ! and $3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$, NxKP $4 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$, QxQP or $3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3+!$ bath put White's King on the run; and (III) 2 P-K4, NxP clobbers White's King.

## THE HAUNTED CHESSBOARD

## (Concluded from page 118)

tagious. Anyway, I walked along glumly for a while. Or, maybe, I was just mulling over the whole incident-and its implications. For I cheered up when the thought rang home: Hell, that board was "double-haunted"-Vic's Rook and Upfels' were both leading after-lives. Well, it gave me the club championship!

Or did it? I thought, after walking another block, In a way, yes-that is, Vic lost on the haunted chessboard this time; but he'd have found another way if there were no such board.

And then I began to chuckle, Yes, Vic was right when he said he needed something extra against Upfels. How he did elaborate to secure that loss! And Upfels such a sure and steady blunderer! The extra Vic needed was to abstain from extras!

In the subway, I had one more thought: "With talents like Vic's, he doesn't need opponents." But I didn't enjoy my witticism. I'd spoken aloud, and spent the rest of the ride trying to stare down the other passengers I kept finding eyeing me.

## POSTAL GAMES

from CHESS REVIEW tourneys

## Annotated by JOHN W. COLLINS

## Electrifying

A bookish opening and an almost nonexistent middle game produce an unclear but electrifying ending in which the winner is behind a double Exchange.

FRENCH DEFENSE

| G. Helder |  | Vine Smith |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| White |  |  | Black |  |
| 1 P-Q4 | P-K3 | 3 | N-QB3 | B-N5 |
| 2 | P-K4 | P-Q4 | 4 P-K5 | P-QB4 |
|  |  | 5 | P-QR3 | B-R4 |

5 . . . BxN $\dagger$ is more frequently played, followed by $6 \mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ or $6 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$. 6 P-QN4!
$P \times Q P$
If $6 \ldots$ PxNP. White gains the edge by $7 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ ! PxPs $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and BxP.


| 7 Q-N4! | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | 9 Q×NP | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $8 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | 10 Q×RP | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
|  |  | $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B3}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |

Smyslov-Botvinnik, 9th Match Game 1954, continued: $11 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 112$ Q-Q3, QxRP 13 P-KR4: B-Q2 if B-N5, R-B1 15 N-Q4. N゙-B4 16 R-QN1! (CHESS REVIEW, page 168, June, 195t).

12 Q-Q3
$12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB} \cdot \mathrm{t}$ is better.

```
12....
1 3 \text { Q-Q4}
N-KN3
```

Despite having the Two Bishops, White will not find the ending satisfactory.

| $13 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~N} / 2 \times \mathrm{P}$ | $17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $14 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N} \dagger$ | $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR4}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3!$ |
| $15 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 20 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
|  |  |  | 21 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N} 4$ | $\ldots$. |

$21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{QB} 122 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ deserves consideration.

```
21 . ...
B-R5
```

```
22 P-R6
```

```
22 P-R6
```

$$
0
$$

$22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{QB} 123 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q1}$ is met by $23 \ldots$ B-N4 $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ !

| $22 \ldots$ |
| :--- |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 24 |

QR-QB1 24 P-N5

P-N3
ar

White wins on 24
N-B5

R-KR1 26 B-Q4.

## 25 P-R7!?

Unclear, but probably better, is 25 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 6 \dagger, \mathrm{RxP} 26 \mathrm{BxR} \stackrel{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{KxB} 27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 1 \dagger$, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 228 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 7 \dagger$, K-R1 $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 430$ BxBP, N-K6 $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 532 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 4$.

```
25.... NxB!
26 PxR(Q)t RxQ
```

Not $26 \ldots$ KxQ 27 R-QB1, PxP 28 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KN1}$.

| 27 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 6 \frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R} \dagger$ | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$ |  |
| 29 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P} \dagger$ |

Not $29 \ldots$ BxP because of $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$.


Black is behind a double Exchange, but the fun is just starting!
$30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$
P-K4!
Else: e.g. 30 . . . P-Q5 31 QR-N1户. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 232 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \dagger, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 133 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 434$ $\mathrm{R} / 1-\mathrm{N} 7, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 635 \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 7$, and white mates.

$$
31 \text { QR-N1 } \dagger \quad \text { K-B2 }
$$

On $31 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B4}, 32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6$ is strong.

| 32 R-R7 $\dagger$ | K-K3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 R×P | P-N4 |  |
| 34 | R-R6 $\dagger$ ! | $\cdots$ |

White dodges a loss: $34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ : $35 \mathrm{RxB}, \mathrm{PxR} 36 \mathrm{RxN}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ !

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
34 \ldots & K-B 4 \\
35 & \ldots-N 7
\end{array}
$$

The losing move. The issue hangs fire after $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ ! B-N6 [35 . . P-Q5 now loses to 36 RxB$] 36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 537$ RxP, B-B5 $38 \mathrm{R} / 5-\mathrm{N} 1$ ! NxP $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 1$.
$35 \ldots \quad \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 5!\quad 37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2 \quad \mathrm{P}$-Q5 36 R-KB7 N-K3 38 K-K1 ....
Black wins, too, on $38 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5 \div 39$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ or $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$.

| $38 \ldots$ | P-Q6 | 40 RxP | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R} \dagger$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \dagger$ | 41 | $\mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
|  |  |  | Resigns |  |

It is very unusual to see two minor pieces overcome two Rooks. Of course the passed Pawns really did the trick.

## Virtues and Vices

This game illustrates several of the typical virtues and vices of the Exchange Variation of the Gruenfeld.

## GRUENFELD DEFENSE

J. O'Hearn

Les Thompson
White
Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | N-KB3 | $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-KN3 | 4 PxP |

According to U. S. Champion Robert J. Fischer, this line is White's only way of securing a definite advantage.

| $4 . \ldots$ | $N \times P$ | $6 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB4}$ | $\ldots$. |

A vice. The overly broad center, neg. lected development and weakened dark
squares provide Black with more than is needed.

7 B-QB4, P-QB4 $8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ is correct and quite satisfactory.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
7 \ldots & \text { P-QB4 } \\
8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3 & . . .
\end{array}
$$

On 8 B-K3, Q-R4 $9 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{O}$-O 10 Q-N3, PxP 11 PxP. N-Q2 12 P-KR3, N-B4! (Fischer's move), Black wins.

| $8 . \ldots$ | $0-0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9 B-B4 | $\cdots$ |

$9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ is preferable in this line.

| $9 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

With typical and thematic forcing moves, directed at the Queen Pawn. Black takes the initiative.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
11 \text { B-K3 } & \text { B-N5 } \\
12 \text { P-K5 } & \cdots . .
\end{array}
$$

White's text move is the only way to hold the Queen Pawn now.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
12 \ldots & Q-R 4 \dagger \\
13 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2 & \ldots .
\end{array}
$$

If $13 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{QxQ}{ }^{\dagger} 14 \mathrm{KxQ}$, then $14 \ldots$ KR-Q1 is too strong; or $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6$ :

| $13 \ldots$ | P-QN4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 14 B-N3 | QR-B1 |
| 15 P-Q5 | KR-Q1! |

Development complete and ideal.

## 16 P-QR3

Rather than weaken the Queen Rook Pawn, White ought to play $16 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{B} 1,16$ QR-B1 or $16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$,

| 16 Q-QN1 | Q-B6! |
| :--- | :--- |
| 17 Q-Q-B1, Q-N7\%! | $\cdots$ |
| 17 M. |  |
| 18 P×B | $\cdots$ |

Not 18 KxB because of $18 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \dagger$ !


Black has this move, anyway, and kills White on the dark squares.

## 19 BxN

On $19 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$, Black wins by 19 QxKB $20 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{QxQP} 21 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \dagger 22$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 4$ ! or $20 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{RxR} 21 \mathrm{BxN}$, QxQP $22 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \dagger$ etc.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
19 \ldots & \text { Q×B } \dagger \\
20 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 3 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3!
\end{array}
$$

The sleeping Bishop awakens and helps win two Pawns.

## 21 Q-R2

On 21 Q-K4, Black wins by $21 \ldots$ QxQ $22 \mathrm{PxQ}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 \div$.

21 Resigns

$\mathrm{BxP} \dagger$

An instructive refutation of 7 P-KB4.

# ALLA KUSHNIR - Woman Chessplayer No. 2 

By VASSILY PANOV, International Chessmaster

Toward the close of last year, the chess world learned the name of another claimant to the world chess title among women.

It was Alla Kushnir who had emerged victorious in a playoff among the three challengers, held in Moscow in December 1964. Alla Kushmir and Tatyana Zatulovskaya, both of the Soviet Union, and Milunka Lazarevich, seven times Yugoslav titleholder, played two games each against one another. All three bear the title of International Master among Women.

The playoff had to be arranged because the three tied for first in the international women's tournament, last September and October, among the entrants of which were six strongest women chessplayers of the USSR (except the world titleholder Nona Gaprindashvili) and twelve of the best women players from nine other countries, including two from the United States and one from Argentina.

Lazarevich was off to a brilliant start in the Moscow playoff, winning from both her opponents. In the second round, however, though it was sufficient for her to draw just one of the two remaining games, she lost both.

Thus, Alla Kushnir placed first with $21 / 2$ points out of a possible 4, followed by Lazarevich with 2 and Zatulovskaya with $11 / 2$.

Alla Kushnir is now to engage the reigning chess queen, Nona Gaprindashvili, in a match in the autumn of this year. It is interesting that the two rivals are both twenty-four years old.

Alla, who is below the average height, slim and blond, studies at the Moscow Institute of Printing, Editing Department, and dreams of becoming a journalist. She is married and has a six-months-old son.

She began her chess career at an early age. At seventeen, she became the Moscow women's champion. That same year, 1958, she took part in the USSR Women's Championship for the first time, placing eighth among twenty entrants and drawing her games with world titleholder Yelizaveta Bykova and ex-world-champion Olga Rubtsova and future champion Gaprindashvili.

Since then Alla has taken part in all the USSR Women's Championships, nearly always occupying prize-winning places: fifth in Lipetsk 1959, tied for third in Yerevan early 1962, tied for second (both times with Larisa Volpert) in Riga late

Story by courtesy of the Novosti Press Agency (APN); also compare the story by Dr. Peter Trifunovich as run on page 74 of the March issue.


Lazarevich (mislabeled Zatulovska, page 75 March issue) vs. Kushnir

1962 and tied for third (with Valentina Kozlovskaya) in Baku 1963. She has never, however, won the gold medal of a USSR Women's Chess Champion as yet.
Playing against Nona Gaprindashvili in the championships, Alla emerged victorious in one game and drew another.

In 1960, the title of USSR Master of Sports was conferred on Alla Kushnir.
In 1962, she made her first successful appearance in the international arena, finishing runnerup in Tbilisi-Sukhumi, ceding first to Gaprindashvili to whom she had also lost a game. Her achievement won her the title of International Master among Women.
Alla Kushnir is a fighter reputed for solid play and well versed in positional maneuvering. She has a profound knowl. edge of the theory of openings and endgames. At the same time, she is not afraid of complications and is always willing to mount a bold attack or plunge into a combination, if they hold out a promise of success. Her match yersus the present world titleholder will undoubtedly be of great interest and probably proceed in a tense struggle.
What are Alla Kushnir's chances to defeat Nona Gaprindashvili?
In the writer's opinion, Alla Kushnir's chances are rather slim. Nona Gaprindashvili today possesses a higher level of technique and much greater experience. Besides her experience ranges from women's tournaments to play against men. In the past year alone, Gaprindashvili scored a brilliant success, several points ahead of her closest rivals at the international
women's tournament in Sukhumi as well as at the USSR Women's Chess Championship in Tbilisi and, early this year, she performed well in the men's traditional Christmas Tournament in Hastings, England, outstripping five male masters and placing fifth.
Now for two of Alla Kushmir's encounters, showing her skills, with the writer's running commentary.

Challengers Tournament, Sukhumi, USSR, Sept. 11, 1964 SICILIAN DEFENSE

| Margareta <br> Roumania |  |  | Tlla Kushnir <br> Soviet Union |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| White |  |  |  |

A rare continuation which Emanuel Lasker advised parrying with 5 NxN , PxN 6 PxP, QxP $7 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ \& $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$, P-K3 $9 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$. B-K2 $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ with a more active position for White.*

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
5 & \text { B-QN5 } \\
6 & \mathrm{~N} \text {-QB3 }
\end{array}
$$

6 NxN, QxQt $7 \mathrm{KxQ}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 29 \mathrm{~N}$-B3! leads to a better eudgame for White.


[^11]$\begin{array}{cccc}9 \ldots & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3 & 12 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB4} 4 \\ 10 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3 & 13 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QR} 4\end{array}$ 11 R-K1 B-K2 14 Q-B3 R-QB1

Black loses no time and takes over the initiative by building up the activity of her light-colored Bishop.
$16 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3$
Q-N3
17 QR-Q1
. . . .

A stereotyped, aimless move. White ought to continue: $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 318$ $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} 19 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, striving for coumterplay and indirectly defending her Queen Knight Pawn.

| 17 Q-K2 | B-B3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18 Q-B4 | O-O |
| 19 | $\ldots$. |

Though White intends to prevent the advance of Black's Queen Bishop Pawn. she only facilitates the opponent's Queenside attack. $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ or $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ is better.

| $19 \ldots$ | $Q-N 5!$ | 21 | N-K2 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | 22 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
|  |  | 23 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
|  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

White strives to forestall . . . N-K5 or . . . N-N5. But these moves are not dangerous. She ought to continue with 23 B-K5: e.g. 23 , . P-QR4 24 NxP , BxP 25 PxP, BxP $26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ with a defensible position.
23 ....
P-QR4
24 R-R1?
P-R5
$25 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
B-B5

Black makes room for her Knight in the center and prepares for a final breakthrough with her Pawns.

| $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Comparatively better is 26 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$. |
| 26 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{NP}$ |
| $27 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\cdots$ |



27 P-R6!
28 B-B1 B-R3
$29 \mathrm{~N} / 4-\mathrm{K} 2$
Or $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$, KR-Q1:
29 Resigns

This game is characteristic of Kushnir's capacities for vigorous positional maneuverng.

Lommer's "Main Line" from page 121
$1 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 64, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 22 \mathrm{BxQ} \dagger$, KxB 3 RxP, PxP 4 R-Q5t, K-K3 5 N-N6! KxR 6 N-B4t. K-K5 7 NxP, K-B6: $8 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 59 \mathrm{~K}-$ B7, KxP $10 \mathrm{KxP}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 411 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 7, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ $12 \mathrm{KxP}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 313 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 7, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 214 \mathrm{KxP}, \mathrm{K}-$ B2 $15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 2$ ! K-B1 $16 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 6, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 117$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 7$., $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 118 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ or $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7$ $19 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 8(Q) 20 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7$ mate!

| Playoff, December QUEEN'S GAMBIT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alla Kushnir |  | Milunka Lazarevich |  |
| Soviet Union |  | Yugoslavia |  |
| P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 4 P-K3 | P-K |
| $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | N-KB3 | 5 N -QB3 | QN-Q |
| P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 6 B-Q3 |  |

The famous Russian chessplayer Milhail Tchigorin willingly employed this move.
$70-0$
PxP
9 P-K4 P-K4 8 BxP O-O 10 B-KN5 P-N4

Up to the last move, everything (although with transposition of moves) proceeded a la Tchigorin. The very first, independent move by Lazarevich, however, proves to be an unfortunate deviation from the well-known theoretical system of defense. Black ought to continue: 10 . . Q-K2 $11 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 . \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 312 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$. N-N3 $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QN} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$.

| 11 | B-N3 | P-N5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 N-K2 | B-R3 |  |
| 13 | R-K1 | R-B1 |

In such sharp positions, one must be careful not to waste a single tempo! Black ought to play 13 , . . P-KR3 14 B-R4, P-B4.


1. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ !

Now White succeeds in mounting an irresistible attack by her pieces on the Kingside. It is already too late for 14 . . . P-KR3 because of $15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ :

$$
14 \text {. . . . }
$$

Q-B2

It is impossible already for Black to find good defensive moves. Yet it is com-

"Well, you can start teaching me chess. I Just had a fight with everybody in the whole bridge club."
paratively better to play 14 . . . R-K1 $15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$.

| 15 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | QR-K1 | 19 | $\mathrm{QR} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 16 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{N}!$ | $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{B}$ | 20 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K} 6!$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| 18 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{N}$ | 21 | $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| B | 22 B 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Now White has an extra Pawn and an overwhelming position. The endgame is hopeless for Black.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
22 \ldots . & K-R 1 \\
23 \text { R-B7 } & \ldots .,
\end{array}
$$

Here white can finish the struggle at once by 23 RxB ! RxR 24 BxP .

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
23 \ldots \text { P-QR3 } \\
24 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 5 & \ldots .
\end{array}
$$

Also, $24 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ is stronger in preparation for the text move (See next note).

$$
24 \text {. . . . }
$$

N -R3
24 . . . N-K4 offers better chances.

| 25 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | 27 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7 \dagger$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 2$ |

Though it's better to resign at this point, Black tries to protract her hopeless resistance.

| 29 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathbf{R \times R}$ | 35 | K-K2 | R-QN1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | BxP | R-Q1 | 36 | R-B7 | KxP |
| 31 | R-QB1 | K-B1 | 37 | $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{\times P}$ | P-N6 |
| 32 | BxR | K×B | 38 | PxP | R×P |
| 33 | R-B6 | P-QR4 | 39 | Rxp | $\mathbf{R \times P} \dagger$ |
| 34 | K-B1 | K-K3 | 40 | K-B3 | K-B4 |
|  |  |  | 41 | R-R7 | K-N5 |

Here the game was adjourned. The next day, on learning the sealed move of 12 P-RI. Lazarevich resigned.

## CHARTS OF THE CHESS OPENINGS

## ONLY \$1 EACH

Each chart in convenient booklet form with the actual percentage of wins shown for every move. Based on many thousands of tournament games by the world's greatest masters.

## Simply check off the charts wanted:

() 1. The Sicilian Defense
( ) 2. The Ruy Lopez Opening
() 3. The Nimzo-Indian Defense
() 4. The King's Indian Defense
() 5. The French Defense
() 6. The Caro-Kann Defense
() 7. The Queen's Gambit
() 8. The English Opening
( ) 9. The Blackmar-Diemer Gambit
() 10. The King's Gambit
( ) 11. Bird's Opening
and send with $\$ 1$ for each chart ordered (add only 10 cents per chart for postage and handling) to

CHESS CHARTS,
Box 5326, San Diego, Calif, 92105

# 1964 OLYMPIAD 

## SELECTED GAMES

Annotated by Hans Kmoch<br>Sketches by G. Ross from the Israeli bulletins

## Troublemaker's Exit Causes Real Trouble

The problems arising from the isolated Queen Pawn (the "isolani" of Nimzovich's My System) are manifold, In the following game, Black errs in effecting an exchange of the troublemaker while behind in development, and he aggravates this state of affairs beyond repair by an ill-advised exchange of the Queens-itself bad -which relinquishes control of the Queen file.


Ghitescu
queen's gambit declined Samuel Reshevsky
T. Ghitescu United States Roumania White Black
1 P-Q4
P-Q4
P-K3
3 N-QB3
P-QB4
2
Black has set up the Tarrasch Defense which many experts now belleve perfectly playable. And Reshevsky seems to be one of these. At any rate, he avoids 4 PxQP long considered the first step toward the refutation of Black's line.

| 4 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B3}$ |
| 6 | PXQP | KPxP |

Black persists in staying within the Tarrasch proper. 6 . . . KNxP transposes to the Semi-Tarrasch more usual today, though by no means easy for Black to handle.

| $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $8 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $9 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\ldots$. |

From here on, both sides must check on the consequences of . . . P-Q5 time and time again.

9 . . .
$0-0$
Here, and for the next two moves. . . . $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ fails against $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{QR4}$.

| 10 P-QN3 | P-QR3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 11 B-N2 | B-R2 |
| 12 R-B1 | P-Q5 |

Now Black feels entitled to get rid of his "weak" Pawn, but he's wrong. The exchange is an aggressive step to which White is much more often entitled than Black in analogous situations. The state of development is the ruling factor. Black, as normally, a couple of tempi behind, must be particularly careful not
to open lines before he's ready to use them. He's not ready here.

Correct, therefore, is the usual procedure of re-inforcing the Pawn by 12 . . . B-K3 and then, if possible, . . . Q-K2, KR-Q1. Thereafter, with mutual mobilization completed, the actual fighting can start with the chances only slightly better for White.
After the text, however, the fighting begins with all the chances on White's side.


This is another weak move, and decisive as it enables White to take immediate control of the Queen file.
$14 \ldots \mathrm{BxN}$ is necessary. It saves two tempi as compared with the actual game: e.g. 15 Q-Q3, B-R2 and 16 QxQ. RxQ or $16 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{QxQ}$ and, if 17 RxQ , B-KB4 with a tempo.

Of course, White has the edge even in these instances, and he may better his game with $15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ or $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$. His advantage, however, is not so great as it becomes now.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
15 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Q} \\
16 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 2
\end{array}
$$

16 ... R-Q1 fails against $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{R} 4$, P-QN4 18 RxB, RxR 19 BxR , PxN 20 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{KB} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 121 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5.16 \ldots \mathrm{BxN}$ is just as hopeless, though it doesn't lose outright: for White must certainly win if conceded the Two Bishops in addition to his great lead in development.

## 17 P-KR3

White prevents $17 \ldots$ B-N5 to deny the enemy any relieving exchange of pieces.

| $17 \ldots$ | B-KB4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18 B-B3 | QR-N1 |
| 19 | P-KN4! |

Reshevsky uses his winning advantage with exemplary vigor. Apparently, he feels that collecting the Queen-side Pawns by B-R3-Q6 etc. is good enough in itself but not equal to what the position offers.

> (See diagram, top of next column) $19 \ldots$ B-KN3

After 19 . . B-K3, white must decide if he wants to go for the Queen-side Pawns by $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3$ etc. or proceed with his attack: 20 P-N5, N-Q2 21 N-Q5!now $21 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3$ is met by $21 \ldots$ B-QB4!


Black, it seems, is reluctant to play the passive . . , N-Q2. Hence, his text. Actually, however, it makes little difference what he plays.

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
20 & \text { P-N5 } & \text { N-R4 } \\
21 & \text { N-Q5 } & \text { KR-K1 } \\
22 & \text { R-B7 } & \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$

The focus of White's attack is actually the enemy King Knight Pawn!

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
22 \ldots & K-R 1 & 24 \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{B} \dagger & \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{N} \\
23 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 7 & \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 5 & 25 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{BP} & \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P} \dagger \\
& & 26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 2 & \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{BP}
\end{array}
$$

Desperation: 26 . . . NxNP permits mate by $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1 \dagger$ etc.

$$
27 \mathrm{R} / 1-\mathrm{Q} 7
$$

Resigns

## Fabulous Teenager

West Germany's fabulous teenager, Helmut Pfleger, achieved the most spectacular success of all participants in the Olympiad, winning many games and not losing one (Botrinnik and Petrosyan were the only others to go undefeated). Here he displays his exceptional talent at the expense of Donald Byrne who probably failed because he knew too little about his boy opponent.

## QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

(by transposition)

| Donald Byrne |  | Helmut Pfleger |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United S | tes |  | West | Germany |
| White |  |  |  | Black |
| 1 P-QB4 | P-K3 | 6 | P-N3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3$ | P-Q4 | 7 | PxP | PxP |
| N-KB3 | N-K B3 | 8 | B-N2 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | B-K2 | 9 | P-Q4 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| $5 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | O-O | 10 | PxP | BxP |

A position of the Tarrasch Defense in the Queen's Gambit Declined has now been reached. The fianchetto of White's Queen Bishop is supposedly harmless. But White still has a good game partly since Black lost a tempo with his King Bishop.

## $11 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$

The text is ineffective, as are the next few moves by White. Instead, N-K1-Q3-B4 followed by $\mathrm{QN}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ is a promising line of play in a similar position as demonstrated in Flohr-Lundin, Groningen 1946.

B-K3
13 Q-N1
B-B4
12 Q-B2 $\quad$ N-N5 14 Q-Q1 $\quad$ Q-N3

White meets Black's little trap: 15 N-QR4? BxP $\dagger 16$ K-R1, Q-KR3!-with a little trap of his own.
 get rid of the isolated Pawn leads to its loss, if not worse. 15 . . PxN? 16 N-R4, KR-Q1 offers White the choice of 17 Q-N1, winning the Pawn, or 17 NxB !? RxQ 18 QRxR. The latter line may not be convincing but is dangerous enough for Black so that plunging into it without close scrutiny is reckless.

## 16 N-K5

16 P-QR3 is met by 16 . . N-B7! threatening both $17 \ldots$ NxR and $17 \ldots$ QxP. Better, perhaps, is 16 N-K1, QRQ1 17 P-QR3, but White has another objective in mind.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
16 \ldots & \text { QR-Q1 } \\
17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 7 & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

Now White obtains the advantage of the Two Bishops. Ironically, it later becomes his undoing when he tries to retain the Two Bishops too long.

| $17 \ldots \mathrm{~B}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{N}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| 19 | B-KB3 |

From now on, White must guard carefully against the further advance of this Pawn.

| 20 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| 22 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\ldots$. |

Now the threat is 23 Q-B2 attacking Bishop and King Rook Pawn. 23 BxPt , however. fails against 23 . . KxB 24 Q-B2t, P-Q6! $25 \mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{PxP}$ etc.

| 22 | $\ldots$ | $B-B 1$ | 25 | $K R-Q 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | Q-B2 2 | Q-KR3 | 26 | $Q-Q 2$ |
| 24 | K-N2 | KR-Q1 | 27 | P-R3 |
| 27 | $\ldots$. |  |  |  |

So far, White has been unable to derive any advantage from the isolated Pawn. With the dubious text, he now even imperils his position. 27 Q-K1 and $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ (or vice versa) is safer.

At this stage, however, White is more or less naturally unwilling to think of defensive measures.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
27 \ldots & B-R 3! \\
28 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

The text is necessary but makes the King Pawn backward and creates a hole on K3.


After $29 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{PxB}$, White can neither play 30 RxP , because of $30 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \dagger$, nor hope to exploit that weak Pawn in the long run since his own weakness on the King file is at least as serious.

| 29 | Q-Q3 | B-B1 | 32 | R-B5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Q-N3

Youngster Pfleger handles his sound but difficult position with perfect skill. Here he readies . . . Q-R7 while setting a trap: 36 BxQNP? RxB! 37 QxR, QxKP $\dagger$ $38 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ or $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ ! on which Black wins thanks to the double threat of 39 $\ldots$ P-Q7 and $39 \ldots$ BxB.
$\dagger=$ check; $\ddagger=$ dbl. check; $₹=$ dis. ch


Here it is that White apparently thinks too highly of the Two Bishops. He ought to proceed with $38 \mathrm{BxN}, \mathrm{RxB} 39 \mathrm{RxR}$.

On $39 \ldots$ QxR $40 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{RxQ} 41 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{BS} \%$. $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 142 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$, the endgame is full of finesses; but the two main lines, both difficult, if not too much so, for Black are 42 . . . P-Q6 $43 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{RxP} \ddagger 44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 245 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$ and $42 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 443 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$.
$39 \ldots$ RxR 40 R-B8 $\div, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 141 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ sets up a middle game which may favor Black slightly, but a draw is still the most likely result.

| $37 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Now Black has a great advantage. |  |
| $38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\ldots$. |

The Queen Knight Pawn is definitely tabu: 38 BxQNP?? RxB etc. Nor does 38 BxN, PxB offer any hope so far as the Bishops of opposite colors are concerned; but continuing with $39 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{BxR} 40$ RxB allows better resistance.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
38 \ldots \text {. } \\
39 \text { R-QR5 } & \text { Q-R7 }
\end{array}
$$

Sacrificing the Exchange by 39 BxN . PxB 40 RxP etc, is still the best that White can do.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
39 \ldots & \text { P-N3 } \\
40 \text { R-R6 } & \ldots .
\end{array}
$$

This Rook is horribly out of play.
$40 \ldots$
R-K2
41 Q-B1
R/1-K1

Not 41 . . NxP?? because of 42 R-B2. But now the King Pawn must fall, 42 BxN
Too late!

42... RxP+!

An annihilating stroke.

| 43 BxR | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} \dagger$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $\ldots$. |

Or $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \div 45 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{N} 1$, PxB ete. with the same result.
44... PxB

The threat is $45 \ldots$ B-Q5 $\dagger$.

Now Black wins with his passed Pawn, the same which was his original problem child, the isolated Queen Pawn. Oh how Tarrasch would have enjoyed this game:
$47 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{RP}$
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \dagger$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B7}$
$49 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$
$50 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$
B-B6
48 K-R1 P-B7 $\begin{aligned} 50 \begin{array}{l}\text { R } \times \text { B } \\ \text { Resigns }\end{array}\end{aligned}$

On 51 Q-B1. Black wins the Queen with three checks: $51 \ldots$ Q-B6† 52 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 7 \dagger 53 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ or $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q}+\dagger$.

## Theory Too Optimistic?

The variation employed in this game offers White the Two Bishops, supposedly a purely theoretical asset under the circumstances. White succeeds, however, in making it tell, scoring a fine victory despite stubborn resistance. Has theory been too optimistic in assessing the line as satisfactory for Black? This perfect game is not yet a perfect answer: future experiences must confirm or deny it.

## CARO-KANN DEFENSE



Here is a deviation from the inaugural game of this line, Smyslov-Botvinnik, 1958 Match. Game 19. 7 . . B-K2 \& P-KN4 was played there (pp. 205 and 213, CHESS REVIEW, July 1958).

## 8 P-KN3

The sharp 8 P-KN4 offers Black considerable counter chances: e.g. 8 PxP 9 PxP, Q-B2 10 P-N5, N-K4 11 Q-N3, KN-Q2 $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ after which both sides must rely on castling long.

Unzicker handles the deployment more carefully. In essence, he succeeds perfectly in making his Bishops tell.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
8 \ldots & \text { B-K2 } \\
9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 2 & \text { PxP }
\end{array}
$$

Opening the Queen tile offers fair chances for neutralizing counterplay.

## 10 PxP

## Q-N3

Here. however, Black is inconsistent. Lest his Q3 may become a hole, he needs

"Phone CHESS REVIEW, Miss Quinn, and get me a firm definition of en passan!!,'
to proceed with $10 \ldots$ P-Kt followed possibly by . . . N-B4-K3.

| 11 O-O | O-O | 14 | P-B4 | Q-R3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 12 Q-K2 | KR-Q1 | 15 | Q-B2 | N-R5 |
| 13 K-R2 | N-B4 | 16 P-K5 | N-Q4 |  |
|  |  | $17 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\cdots .$. |  |

Black has indulged in some useless moves with Queen and Knights, and the hole in his position already appears.

| $17 \ldots \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{N} 3$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B4} 4$ |
| $19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{N}$ |

The alternative $19 \ldots \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} 620 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QN} 1$, NxB 21 KRxN, N-B2 $22 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ ! threatening $23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 5$, is worse: $22 \ldots \mathrm{BxN}$ ? 23 PxB, RxP?? 24 P-QB5.
$\begin{array}{llll}20 \text { QxN } & \text { N-B2 } & 22 & B-K 3 \\ 21 & P-Q N 4 & Q-Q 5 & 23 \\ B \times Q & \ldots .\end{array}$
The endgame favors White because of his Two Bishops. Unzicker uses this advantage with classic technique.

```
23\ldots... P-KB4
24 PxP e.p.
```

The King Bishop must observe the Queenside and simuItaneously prevent . $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$. So this exchange is essential.

| $24 \ldots$. | B×BP |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25 QR-Q1 | N-K1 |
| 26 B-QB5 | $\ldots .$. |

26 RxR is met effectively not by $26 \ldots$. BxR $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$ but by $26 \ldots$ RxR as 27 BxQRP is countered by $27 \ldots$ R-R1.

## (See diagram, top of next column)

## 26 . . . <br> P-QR3

This Pawn cannot be left loose indefinitely and, after $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QN} 327 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, Black remains at a loss for good protection of his Queen Bishop Pawn. The text move involves a fine trap.

```
27 B-QN6
R-Q3 28 B-B3!
...
```

White avoids the trap: 29 P-QB5? RxR $30 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 731 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ [31 R-Q7 is met
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Position after 26 B-QB5
by $31 \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3!], \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 332 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and Black's position is greatly improved.

```
28....
```

```
29 P-N4
```

```
29 P-N4
```

$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$

```
....
```

There's no point now to $29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 5$ as Black can stop White's Rook from penetrating: $29 \ldots$ RxR $30 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$.

| $29 . .$. | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | 33 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 30 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 34 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 5$ |
| 31 | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 7$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | 35 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KN} 3$ |  |  |  |  |
| $32 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ | 36 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 5!$ | $\ldots$. |

Now this advance greatly restricts Black freedom of movement. Note the important point that the Knight lacks any approach to its paradise, Q4.


Black tries to obtain some counterplay before White breaks through victoriously with P-KR4-5. Now, however, White wins on the Queenside.


40 PxP !
This exchange refutes Black's action. The ultimate point lies in swapping off the Pawn now on $R 5$ and rendering the other Queen Rook Pawn passed.

| 40 . . | BxBP | 42 P-QR4 | K-K1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 R-QN1 | R-Q2 | 43 K-N3 | K-Q1 |
|  |  | 44 P-R6! | $\ldots$. |

Note that $44 \ldots$ P-N3 fails against 45 R-Q1! e.g. $45 \ldots$ RxR $46 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 7$ or 45 ... B-Q3 46 RxB .
$44 \ldots \quad$ PxP $\quad 46 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6 \dagger \quad$ K-B2 45 R-N8 + ! K-K2 47 BxRP B-K2

Once again, a neat point: $48 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ $49 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 7$ ! and e.g. $49 \ldots \mathrm{RxB} 50 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$. RxP 51 RxB $\dagger$, K-N1 52 R-N7\%, K-R1 53 RxNP mate!

| 48 | $\ldots$ | $R-Q 8$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 49 | $R-N 7$ | $N-Q 2$ |
| 50 | $B-K 2$ | $R-Q 4$ |

Not $50 \ldots$ R-QB8?? $51 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{B} 452$ $\mathrm{RxB} \dot{\dagger}$ etc.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
51 & \text { K-B3 } & \text { N-B4 } & 53 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \\
52 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2 & 54 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5
\end{array}
$$

Now the fighting is over; the rest is merely an execution.

| 54 | . . . . | B-B4 | 65 | K-K2! | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | B-B4 | K-K2 | 66 | K-Q2! | K-K1 |
| 56 | P-R4 | K-Q3 | 67 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} \dagger$ | K-Q2 |
| 57 | P-R6 | B-R2 | 68 | B-K4! | $K \times B$ |
| 58 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 4+$ | P-B4 | 69 | $B \times N$ ! | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 59 | B-B3 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 70 | B-K4 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N} 1$ |
| 60 | B-K5 $\dagger$ | K-K2 | 71 | K-B3! | K-Q3 |
| 61 | B-Q3 | N-Q4 | 72 | K-B4 | $B-R 2$ |
| 62 | P-R5! | K-B2 | 73 | K-N5 | K-Q2 |
| 63 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \dagger$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 74 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 64 | B-Q6 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | 75 | K-B6 | Resigns |

## TOURNAMENT CALENDAR

## (Continued from page 103)

per half after; adjudication after 5 hours: Open to all rated under 2200: EF $\$ 5$ (juniors $\$ 3$ ) plus USCF dues \& NJSCF: $\$ 5 \& \$ 2$ ( $\$ 1$ juniors) : register by 8 PM , April 30: trophies to 1 st, $2 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~d} \&$ Class A, B, C \& Unrated: advance EFs \& inquiries to L. E. Wood, 1425 Sycamore St., Haddon Heights, New Jersey.

## Ohio - May 1 to 2

Forest City Open at Central YMCA, 2200 Prospect Av., Cleveland: register by $9: 30 \mathrm{am}$, May 1: EF $\$ 5$ ( $\$ 2.50$ under 21): lst prize $\$ 100$ : inquiries \& ady. EF to J. Klimowski, 1811 Knowles Stt., E. Cleveland, Ohio.
Ohio - July 17 to 18
8th Annual Cincinnati Open at Central Farkway YMCA, 1105 Elm St., Cincinnati: 5 Rd SS Tmt; 45 moves/ $1 / 1 / 2$ hours, 17th; $50 / 2$, 18th: EF $\$ 7.50$ (juniors under $18 \$ 6$ ) plus USCF dues (less $\$ 1$ if received by July 13, other discounts to OSCA members) : $\$ \$$ per at least $70 \%$ EFs, 1 for each 10 entries \& each $2 / 3$ of preceding higher: advance EFs \& inquiries to D. Taylor, 706 Mt. Hope St., Cincinnati 45204.

Massachusetts - June 26 to 27
5th Annual Central New England Open at Hotel Raymond, Fitchburg, Massachusetts: 6 Rd SS Tmt, 60 moves $/ 2$ hours: starts 9:30 Am, June 26: EF prior to June 22, masters \& experts \$9. Class A \$8, B \$7, C \& unrated $\$ 6$ (after June 22, \$1 more) phis USCF dues: $\$ \$$ guaranteed fund is $\$ 422$; 1st $\$ 125 \&$ numerous other $\$ S$ : inquiries and advance EFs to G. Mirijanian, 46 Beacon St., Fitchburg, Mas. sachusetts.
Washington - June 26 to 27
3d Annual Evergrcen Empire Open at Fircrest Community Center, 555 Contra Costa Blvd., Tacoma. Washington: 6 Rd SS Tmt, 50 moves $/ 2$ hours: register by 9 Am, June 26: EF $\$ 5$ plus USCF \& WCF dues or to Reserves (under 1800 rating) $\$ 3$ \& WCF dues ( $\$ 2$ ): $\$ \$ 1$ st $\$ 50$ plus excess over expenses, $2 \mathrm{~d} \$ 30 \& 3 \mathrm{~d} \$ 20$ : Reserves, trophies to top two and top Class C: inquiries and advance EFs to J. R. Ward, 3909 No. 34 St., Tacoma, Washington 98407.

## WHERE TO PLAY CHESS

## PHOENIX CHESS CLUB

Phoenix Adult Center, 1101 West Washigton St., Phoenix, Arizona: Tuesday \& riday 7:30 PM; phone then 262-6471

BERKELEY YMCA CHESS CLUB
2001 Allston Way, Berkeley 4, California: Phone: 848-6800
Meets Wednesdays at 7 PM
PLUMMER PARK CHESS CLUB 7377 Santa Monica Blvd.
Hollywood, California
Meets every Monday and Friday
CITY TERRACE CHESS CLUB 1126 North Hazard Street Los Angeles 63. California Meets Wednesday 7 to 12 PM

HERMAN STEINER CHESS CLUB 8801 Cashio Street
Los Angeles 35, California
OAKLAND YMCA CHESS CLUB 2101 Telegraph Ave., Oakland, California 94612: Phone: 451-5711 Open Fridays at 7 PM

BROWARD COUNTY CHESS CLUB
1440 Chateau Park Rd, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida: Mondays 7 PM "till morning" in Lauderdale Manors Recreation Ctr.

ORLANDO CHESS CLUB
Sunshine Park
Orlando, Florida
ven evenings from seven PM on
ST. PETERSBURG CHESS CLUB, Inc. 540 Fourth Avenue N
St. Petersburg, Florida
CHESS UNLIMITED
4747 North Harlem, Chicago, Illinois
Friday 8 PM to 1 AM, Phone: GL $3-4267$
H. C. Stanbridge, Pres.

## CHICAGO CHESS CLUB

64 East Van Buren Street
Chicago 5, Illinois
Phone: WE 9.9515
GOMPERS PARK CHESS CLUB
4222 W. Foster, Chicago 30, Illinois Fridays 7:30 PM - 11:45 PM
Phone: PE 6-4338
OAK PARK CHESS CLUB
Stevenson Fieldhouse, Taylor and
Lake Streets, Oak Park, Illinois
Meets Wednesday evenings
INDIANAPOLIS CHESS CLUB Sheraton-Lincoln, 117 W . Washington, Indianapolis, Indiana: Fri. 6-12 PM; Sat. noon- 12 PM; Sun. noon-9 PM

PORTLAND CHESS CLUB
YMCA, 70 Forest Avenue Portland, Maine
Meets every Friday night.
SPRINGFIELD CHESS CLUB Meets every Thursday, 7 PM at the AFL-CIO Hq, 221 Dwight Street Springfield, Massachusetts

EAST BRUNSWICK CHESS CLUB
VFW Hall, Cranbury Road, East
Brunswick, New Jersey: phone: 254-9674
Meets every Wednesday night
ELIZABETH CHESS CLUB
Mahon Playground, So. Broad St. near St. James Church, Elizabeth, New Jersey Meets Monday and Friday evenings
JERSEY CITY YMCA CHESS CLUB 654 Bergen Avenue, Jersey City, N. J. Meets at 7:30 PM
Every Tuesday and Friday
THE KING'S CHESS CLUB
896 Bergen Av., Jersey City, N. J.
Daily $\&$ PM to 2; Sat., Sun. \& Holidays
2 PM to 2: 65 c admission: free games
LOG CABIN CHESS CLUB
(Founded 1934)
At the home of E. Forry Laucks
30 Collamore Terrace
West Orange, New Jersey
Champions of the N. Y. "Met" League. 1948. Organized and founded the North Jersey Chess League and Inter-chess League. First to help in large scale inter-state matches. First to fly by air to Deep River Chess Club. First to promote largest international match of 18 and 19 boards. First to make transcontinental and international barnstorming tours. Played interclub matches in 5 Mexican states. 5 Canadian provinces and all 50 United States but 5, to 1958. Visited 11 countries and flew by plane to 3 - all in 1958.
QUEEN CITY CHESS CLUB
210 Delaware Avenue, Buffalo 22
New York: Phone: TL-3-4300
Open daily 12 noon to 2 AM
NASSAU CHESS CLUB
Brierely Park Game Room, Clinton \& Dartmouth St., Hempstead, New York Meets every Wednesday evening

HUNTINGTON T'NSHIP CHESS CLUB Old Fields Inn, 81 Broadway, Greenlawn, New York: meets Thursday 8 PM Phone: AN-1-6466.

## JAMAICA CHESS CLUB

155-10 Jamaica Avenue, Jamaica, New York: open daily, afternoon and evening. Phone: JA 6-9035.

LEVITTOWN CHESS CLUB
Levittown (N.Y.) Public Library, Bluegrass \& Shelter Lanes, Thursday evenings: phone: PE-1-3142

BRONX CENTER CHESS CLUB
Formerly Westchester-Bronx CC 3990 Hillman Av., Bronx, N. Y. Meets Friday evenings: TA-3.0607
CHESS \& CHECKER CLUB OF N. Y. 212 W 42 St NY 36, John Fursa, Dir. Open daily afternoon \& evenings; no membership fees: public invited.

C. Y. O. CHESS CLUB

202 Van Buren Street
Brooklyn, New York 11221
Mon., Tues., Wed., 7 PM to 10 PM
LONDON TERRACE CHESS CLUB 470 W. 24 St., New York 11, N. Y. Meets Wednesday evenings
Telephone: SL-6-2083
MANHATTAN CHESS CLUB
353 West 57 St., New York 19, N. Y. Henry Hudson Hotel, near 9th Avenue Telephone: CI-5-9478

MARSHALL CHESS CLUB
23 West 10 Street
New York, New York
Telephone: GR-7-3716

## THE QUEEN'S PAWN

Lisa Lane's Greenwich Village Chess Center, $1221 / 27 \mathrm{Av}$. So (W. 10th St.) N. Y. CH-2-9456. 2 PM - 2 AM exc. Monday

ROSSOLIMO CHESS STUDIO
Sullivan and Bleecker St., New York,
New York; GR-5-9737; open daily
from 6 PM, Sat. \& Sun. from 2 PM
PARKWAY CHESS CLUB
Central Park YMCA
1105 Elm Street, Cincinnati 10, Ohio
Thurs. evening \& Sunday afternoon
CHESS CENTER, Inc.
Masonic Building, 3615 Euclid
Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio
Phone: EN-1-9836
COLUMBUS "Y" CHESS CLUB
40 West Long Street
Columbus, Ohio

## DAYTON CHESS CLUB

at Dayton Public Library, P. O. Box 323 Dayton, Ohio 45401
7 PM, Friday evenings

## TULSA CHESS ASSOCIATION

At Whiteside Recreation Center, 608
Wright Bldg., 41st and So. Pittsburg Tulsa, Oklahoma, meets Monday evenings.

CHESSMEN OF MARPLE-NEWTOWN 8 PM Wed., at the old Broomall Library bldg., 2nd floor, Sproul and Springfield Roads, Broomall, Pennsylvania

FRANKLIN-MERCANTILE C. C.
Hotel Philadelphian, Broad and Vine Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Open daily.
GERA CHESS CLUB
General Electric Company
3198 Chestnut St., Room 4443
Philadelphia, Penna. 19101
RHODE ISLAND ADULT CHESS CLUB
No. 111 Empire Street
Providence, Rhode Island


# 1000.00 IN 75 cASH PRIZES 

FIRST PRIZE . . \$250.00<br>Second Prize $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ |Sixth Prize $\$ 40$ Third Prize $\quad \mathbf{\$ 8 0}$ Seventh Prize $\mathbf{\$ 3 0}$ Fourth Prize $\mathbf{\$ 6 5}$ Eighth Prize $\mathbf{\$ 2 5}$ Fifth Prize<br>Tenth Prize $\$ 15$<br>65 Prizes - Eleventh to Seventy-fifth $\$ 5.00$ each<br>and the golden knights emblems!

To befit the Championship, there are added prizes in the form of handsome plaques, suitably inscribed


Seventy-Five Cash Prizes, amounting to a total of $\$ 1000.00$, will be awarded to the seventy-five players who finish with highest scores in the Eighteenth Annual Golden Knights Postal Championship now running! Entries accepted from December 1, 1964 to end of November, 1965 (must bear postmark of no later than November 30, 1965).

This is the 1965 Golden Knights

## PRIZES FOR EVERYBODY

But that isn't all! Every contestant can win a prize of some kind! lou can train your sights on that big $\$ 250.00$ first prize, or one of the other 74 cash prizes, but even if you don't finish in the money you can win a valuable co:nsolation prize. Every player who qualifies for the final round, and completes his playing schedule, will be awarded the emblem of the Golden Knight-a sterling silver, gold-plated and enameled lapel button, reproduced above. You earn the right to wear this handsome emblem in your buttonhole if you qualify as a Golden Knight finalist, whether or not you win a cash prize.

And even if you fail to qualify for the finals, you still get a prize! If you are eliminated in the preliminary or semi-final round, but complete your playing schedule, you will receive one free entry (worth $\$ 1.50$ ) into our regular Class Tournament or can enter our regular Prize Tournament (entry worth $\$ 2.75$ ) on payment of only $\$ 1.50$. First and second in each Prize Tournament win a $\$ 6$ and $\$ 3$ credit respectively for purchase of chess books or chess equipment.

## FOR SPECIAL RULES

SEE MARCH OR MAY ISSUE.
for the winners of the first five places in this national event, as well as the Golden Knights emblems.

## open to all classes of players

Even if you've never played in a competitive event before, you may turn out to be Golden Knights champion or a leading prize-winner-and, at least, you'll have lots of fun. For all classes of postal players compete together in this "open" Postal Chess event

Beginners are welcome. If you've just started to play chess, by all means enter. There is no better way of improving your skill.

## MAIL YOUR ENTRY NOW

As a Golden Knighter you'll enjoy the thrill of competing for big cash prizes. You'll meet new friends by mail, improve your game, and have a whale of a good time. So get started-enter this big event now! The entry fee is only $\$ 4.00$. You pay no additional fees if you qualify for the semi-final or final rounds. But you can enter other first round sections at $\$ 4.00$ each (see Special Rules for Golden Knights). You will receive Postal Chess instructions with your assignment to a tournament section. Fill in and mail this coupon NOW!

```
CHESS REVIEW 134 West 72d St., New York, N. Y. 10023
```

Check bere if you are a new.

``` comer to Postal Chess.
Start me as CLASS
``` \(\qquad\)

I enclose \(\$ \ldots .\). . . Enter my name in ......... (how many?) sections(s) of the Eighteenth Annual Golden Knights Postal Chess Championship Tournament. The amount enclosed covers the entry fee of \(\$ 4.00\) per section.

Print Clearly
Check bere if already a reg. istered Postalite.

Name
Address

City
State```


[^0]:    CHESS REVIEW is published monthly by CHESS REVTEW, 134 W .72 d St., New York, New York 10023. Printed in U. S. A. Reentered as second-class matter August 7 . 1947. at the Post Office at New York, N. Y.. under the Act of March 3, 1879.
    General Offices: 134 West 72d Street, New York, N. Y. 10023. Sales Department open daily 9 to 6 p. $m$. Saturdays from 2 to 5 p. m. Telephone: LYceum 5-1620.

[^1]:    Subscription Rates: One year $\$ 6.50$, two years $\$ 12.00$, three years $\$ 15.75$, world-wide. Change of Address: Six weeks' notice required. Please furnish an address stencil impression from the wrapper of a recent issue. Address changes cannot be made without the old address as well as the new one. Unsolicited manuscripts and photographs will not be returned unless accompanied by return postage and self-addressed envelope. Distributed nationally by Eastern News.

[^2]:    Items printed for benefit of our readers if reported by authorized officials at least two months in advance, and kept to brief essentials. Readers: nearly all tourneys ask your aid by bringing own chess sets, boards and clocks. Also, write for further details for which no space here, but mention you heard through Chess Review!

[^3]:    * As we mentioned, page 70, March issue. Tahl actually was ill during at least part of the championship.-Ed.

[^4]:    * 20 P -B6! B-B55 $21 \mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{Q}$ away $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6$ and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ or $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N} 7$; or 20 . . BxP 21 B-Q3.-Ed,

[^5]:    * The Novotay Interference involving mutual blockins by Rook or Bishop recaptures: 26 . RxP permits 27 PxP with disasterous effect; $26 \ldots$ BxP allows 27 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NF}$ with even more decisive an out-come-Ed.

[^6]:    1 For Geller-Pachman, see account by Dr Petar Trifunovich, page 106.-Ed,

[^7]:    2 For account of tournament at Curacao, see pp. 189 (June), 217 (July) and 233 (August) 1962-Ed.

[^8]:    3 For his tie for second at Curacao.-Ed.
    $\dagger=$ check; $\ddagger=$ dbl. eheck; $\S=$ dis. ch.

[^9]:    $\dagger=$ check; $\ddagger=$ double check; $\$=$ dis. check

[^10]:    *Weighted point totals are based on the following scale: 1.0 points per win in the prelims; 2.2 in semi-finals; and 4.5 in finals. Draws count half these values.

[^11]:    $\ddagger=$ check; $\ddagger=$ dbl. check; $\S=$ dis. ch.

    * Standard is 5 b-QN5, PxP 6 NXN, QxQ 7 KaQ. P-QR3 8 B-R4, B-Q2 9 N-B3. BxN $10 \mathrm{BxB}+\mathrm{PxB} 11 \mathrm{NxP}$ as White can exploit the weak. isolated Black Pawns (see Spotlight on Openings, page 14, December 1948). Also good, perhaps better, is $5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ (; B-QNij, B-Q2 7 O-O (Battell-Wysowski. page 17. December 1948).-Ed.

