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# DIETZ WINS COLLEGIATE! 



## FIRESTONE KTS

## WIN AKRON TITLE

First scason of the Akron Chess League saw the title go to the Firestone Knights by a $6-2$ match score, with Akron University in second place with $5-3$. It was unfortunate that Akron U was forced to fortelt its second watch to the Knights, for otherwise the race might have been a very close one.
With the close of the league season plans are under way for the first Akron City Championship in four years. The last event was sponsored by the M. O'Neil Co. in 1945 and won by Pete Seitz of the Firestone Chess Clnb who has reigned uncontested ever since.

## MAGEE TRIUMPHS

IN OMAHA EXPERTS
Winning in a stirring finish, Lee Magee took the Omahn Experts Tourney with a $41 / 2-11 / 2$ score, losing a game to Ohman and drawing wit Ladwig. Tied for second were Ack erman and Ohman with $4-2$ each Ackerman lost to Magee and Beb zer while Ohman lost to Ackerman and drew with Spence and Ladwig. Ludwig finished third with $31 /$ followed by Belzer with 3, Spence with $11 / 2$, and Underwood with $1 / 2-$

The last round saw five players with a chance for the title; but while Magee bested Belzer, Ludwig lost to Ackerman in an interesting Gruenfeld; and the race was

## In Field Of Forty Collegiate Players Pittsburgh Champion Finishes First

By a score of 61/2-1/2 Paul Luther Dietz, Pittsburgh City and Penn sylvania Junior Champion, won the U. S. Intercollegiate Individual title and custody of the H. Arthur Nabel trophy for the University of Pitts burgh. Drawing only with Francis Mechner of Columbia, the champion topped a strong field of forty players, representing nineteen colleges.

Eliot Hearst of Columbia finished second with a $51 / 2-11 / 2$ score. Unti the fifth round Hearst led the field, but in that fateful round lost to Dietz and never again obtained the lead. Hearst also drew with Mechner In the seventh round.

Among the other top players, tied at $5-2$ each, were Francis Mechner of Columbia, Peretz Miller of Syracuse, James Sherwin of Columbia and
U. S. INTERCOLLEGIATE CHAMPIONSHIP


## ST. LOUIS WON BY INDEPENDENT

The Independent Chess Club won the St. Louis Chess League title for the first time since 1940 when they completed a three-year reign, but were forced to decide the title in their last rotind encounter by besting YMCA Juniors, who were rumers-up, by a $4-2$ score. The independents scored victorles in all five matches, while the YMCA Juniors lost two match poinds for a 3-2 score, but placed second on games won.
Outstanding individual scorer was Eugene J, Roesch with $41 / 2-1 / 4$ on the top board for the YMCA Sentors. R. W. Bumer made a similar score on a lower board for the Independents; and T. P. Curtis scored 4-0, and Calvin Marvin 3-0 for the Independents as well.

## PORTSMOUTH WINS NO. SHORE TITLE

For the third straight year the Portsmouth (N.H.) Chess Flub won the title in the North Shore Ghess Leaguc, but the race was Sery close. An upset occurred in thy final match when Portsmouth was decisively trounced by Haverhill $5-1$, and Newburyport (Mass.) Chess Club came within a few points of smatching first place honors. Best individual score in the league was that of Mrs. Margaret Gould of Newburyport who tarned in five wins with no losses or diraws.

Saul Wachs of Temple.
Other leaders in the 7 -round Swiss, directed by Joshua Gross were: Eucher of Michigan, Hatch of Penn State, Rogan of Indiana and Smith of Yale, tied at $41 / 2-21 / 2$ each.
Dietz succeeds to the title won in 1947 by Robert Byrne of Yale who did not defend his title.
Succeeding Robert Byrne as In
tercollegiate Rapid Transit ChampLercollegiate Rapid Transit Champion is James Sherwin of Columbia who finished with an $81 / 211 / 2$ score

## JACKSON TAKES

 TOLEDO TITLEjedo City Championship went to Laurence C. Jackson, Jr, president of the Toledo Chess Club which sponsored the tournament. The event was a 6-round Swiss with 13 entrants, and Jackson won by the score of $51 / 21 / 2$. Second place went to Francis H. Ashley with 5-1, and third place to Richard Manaban with $41 / 2 \cdot 1 / 2$. The tournament was most unusual in the fact that only one draw oecurred-the game be tween Jackson and Manahan.

## WANDERLUST HITS LOG CABIN AGAIN

The never-resting Log Cabin Chess Club of New Jersey rallied around team captain E. Forry Laucks early in December and dashed off on one of their perennie chess raids. First skirmish was at Deep River (Conn.) where the pow erful Log Cabin team, headed by Weaver Adams and J Fuucher bowled over Deep River Chess Club by a $91 / 2-1 / 2$ score. D. Johnson saved the halt-point for Deen River by drawing with G. Partos.
Next they sallied into New Haven, with hearts set upon re venge, and took a $5 \%-11 / 2$ victory although Adams drew with J. Voltin of Yale and Faucher lost to Byron Owens.

## SUESMAN GIVES

BLINDFOLD SIMUL
Walter B. Suesman, chess editor of the Providence (R.T.) Journal, gave a. 5 -board blimdfold simultanning four and drawing with Jack Davis. A total of 132 people attend served to draw attention to the cless school which is being con ducted by the YMCA under the direction of Mark I. Thomas, presi dent of the Greater Providence yMCA Chess Club
In the club championship Walter Suesman captured the title with a $51 / 2-21 / 2$ score, edging ont State Champion Albert Martin who tin ished second with 5-3, followed by former club champion Otto Hoffer with $41 / 2-31 / 2$.

## Chess Problem Association Announces

## Prize Winners In 1949 Problem Tourneys

解 the prize winners in their problem composing fourneys of 1949, conducted in their monthly publication, The American Chess Problemist

In the informal two-move and three move tourneys there were the following results

Two-movers: First Prize, A. C. Neisser (New Rochelle); Second Prize, P. ten Cate (Holland); Third Prize, E. Holladay (Charlottesville) Honorable Mentions were won by J. Buchwald and H. Huse; and Com mendations by Costikyan, Lubell, Fillery, Hilde Hassberg and Oppenheimer

Three-movers: First Prize, J. Buchwald (New York); Second Prize, 6. Holladay (Charlottesville); Third Prize, A. D. Gring (Brookline) Commendations were won by Rothenberg, Fillery, Sayer and Metzenauer The judge was the editor, Eric M. Hassberg.

The Special Christmas Tourney Prize went to G. F. Anderson (Eingland) for his selfmate.
In the Meredith Two-Move Tourney in honor of Otto Wurzbarg' 75 th birthday, where J. Buchwald was the judge, the following awarls were made: First Prize, R. Fortune (South Africa); Second Prize, E. M. Hassberg (New York) ; Third Prize, R. Fortune; Fourth Prize, E. Holladay (Charlottesville). Honorable Mentions were won by C. Vaughan and V. L. Eaton; and Commendations by Becker, Hassberg, DeBlaslo, Tump and Oppenhemer.
In the seetion for pawn-free Meredithis the Special Prize went to K. Rasmu'gen (Canada), who was the winner ${ }^{2} n$, CHESS LIFE's Problem Tourney. Special Honorable Mentions were wan by N . Gabor and M. Major, edriar of CHESS LIFE (this is his first siuc
cess in a problem composing tour ney since his first problem com position was published in Problems of Chess Life in June, 1949),
All prize winning problems will be published in the January, 1950 issue of the American Chess Problemist, which can be ordered from the Secretary R. Tump, 3268 No. 27th Street, MIlwaukee 10, Wis, (Single coples 25 e each: annual membership and subscription $\$ 2$; patron membership $\$ 5$.)

The American Chess Problemist announces the following informal tourneys for the first half of 1950: For two-movers, judge is F. Gamage; for three-movers, judge is the Problem Editor of the Amerean Chess Bulletin, E. Holladay All entries should be sent to the editor, Eric M. Hassberg, 41-26 73rd Street, Jackson Heights, L. L
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## AN OLD ENGLISH CUSTOM


 renditions of the same name, sometimes in the same issue of CHESS
LIFE. Let us hasten to reassure the reader that no rules have been violated; the Editor is merely adhering to an old English custom of free
spelifg, dating back to Elizabethan days when words were not spelled spelling, dating back to Elizabethan days when words were not spelled
according to inflexible regulations but according to the mood of the according to inflexible regulations but according to the mood of the tist wrote their name grandly as Shakespeare 'when they felt proud or curtly as Shaxpur when in a hurry, with some thirty other arrangements of the letters to fit their varying moods.

There is no set rule for the transliteration of names from the CyrilHe alphabet to the English, and so all Slavic names are dedicated to
confusion when naturalized into English. Our good friend, Dr. Buschke, n obedience to his Germanic training writes Bogoliubov, whereas to us the name looks neater as Bogoljuboff-It is a matter of personal taste and educational background. We write Botvimik, whereas some other refer Botwinnik; and we trust the reader is not confused thereby.

But while this confusion of foreign names remains a chaos beyond order, We trust that those Europeans who settle in these United States
or Canada will lend a hand in naturalizing their names into one standard form. Eiven the EOffor (inured as he is to the varagies in nomenaccounts of the New English Chimplonship refer to the former Lithuantan champlon, now a resident of Boston, as Tautvaishais, Tautwaisha
and Tautvaiskas. Let's settle for one spelling while the Lithuanian master resides in this country. In the same vein, let us standardize reference to the Russian master now residing in Canada as Dr. Bohatyrchuk (altholugh he is better known to the world as Bogatyrchuk) simply because Dr. Bohatyrchuk now spells it that way.

Fortunately for future generations, most of the great names eventually become standardized Hke Alekhine or their variants are recogoizable like Nimzowitsch (Nimzovitch), Chigorin (Tchigorin). So the struggle for identities is limited to the rising luminaries like Naidorf (Naj-
dorf), Smisloff (Smyslov), Lowenfisch (Levenfish), etc., whose names dorf), Smisloff (Smyslov), Lowenfisch (Le
-will finally solidify into one accepted form.

Montgomery Major

## TWO WAYS OF PROMOTING CHESS

By HANS KMOCH

## Visc-President, United States Chess Federation

THIS COUNTRY has in the past produced Morphy, Pillsbury and Marshall, has fostered Capablanca, has enabled Steinitz and Eman-
Lasker to become World Champions, has by its team four times won the (to use a simplified expression) Olympian Championship, while at the present it has in Fine and Reshevsky two recognized candidates for the World Championship. Nonetheless the pulsation of U. S. chess life has in comparison with Europe always been astonishingly weak. Chess life everywhere culminates in, and is in return most effectively stimulated by international tournaments. Such tournaments, however, are very rare in this country; scores of them have always been held in Europe before the U. S. could organize one. All the American chess heroes would have withered as flowers in the desert had they lacked the possibility of proving their qualities in Europe. Take e.g. Pillsbury who participated in all together twelve great international tournaments; eleven of these contests took place in Europe, and only one (Cambridge Springs 1904, his last) in the United States. Isn't it Ignominous?

The conclusion is that chess in this country has been hampered by some factors the significance of which has so far been underestimated.

In deed, there are first of all strongly excusing factors provided by history and geography. While chess life in Europe largely roots in tradition, old culture, and the competing forces arising from a variety of independent nations, many of them small, it lacks such roots in this country which, speaking in terms of history, is still a baby, and speaking in terms of georgraphy a gigantic hermitage, Yes, the oragnization of international chess contests in the United Sta
immeasurably more difficult than in Europe.

However, these arguments are convincing only if looked at from the European economic standapis as against the immeasurably greater wealth of this country, they fade away. The United States, for all its handicaps, could easily organize international tournaments if there woie enough interest in chess. Why is this interest so low?

One reason of overwhelming importance is that unlike Fofrope, the United States drives chess players to seclusion.

In most European coffeehouses and similar pl. ifs, anybody may order a cup of coffee and a chess set, and play for hours without getting bothered, except probably by kibitzers. I mysalf did so frequently not only in large cities but also in small places,ní many European countries;
I did so in Centinje, former Montenegro-\$ pocket-sized capital lying high

In the mount
Monte Carlo.
Nobody can do that in the United States.
A chess master and a very dignified man, accompanied by a friend, quiet hours. Being sane, he did not order a chess set but used his own. Unexpectedly left undistrubed, they tried it again the next day. This time, however, the manager nabbed and politely ousted them/explaining that what the gentlement were doing ald not look good.

Under such circumstances, the popularization of chess in this country is severely hampared. Chess players can meet only at home or in a chess club which, however, is more complicated than just entering a
cafe. Moreover, it lacks the propagating power that playing ehess in cafe. Moreover, it lacks the propagating power that playing chess in
public has. During the period of warm weather, chess players (in New York at least) frequently gather in public parks and always attract onlookers as does the Manhattan Chess Club where sometimes more people lokers as does the Manhattan claying inside. But as soon as the weather are kibitzing outside than are playing inside. But os "No lingering" as changes, these people have to hibernate; signs of "No lingering" as
well as watchful managers would keep them away from restaurants, cafes, tearooms, cafeterias, bars etc.
I believe that every effort should be made, by organizations as well as individuals, to lift this ban on chess. Progress in this regard, however slight, would greatly serve to make chess in this country more popular. One must realize that the game of chess is almost exclusively learned through onlooking and getting advice from friends or relatives; only those who already got a faint idea of it are inclined to go further by the means of books, clubs, expert teachers and so on.

A second field where U. S. chess should and could make substantial progress is the press. Indeed, U. S. newspapers observe a more friendly attitude towards chess than U. S. restaurants do, yet it is desperately little in comparison with what the European press is doing. While most European newspapers have a regular chess column, most U. S. newscondueted by experts, none by this country's leading experts. Fine and Reshevsky. Besides, the unfortunate idea of considering all U. S. chess players as beginners seems to prevail, and so the columns are largely players as beginners seems to prevaii, and so the columns are largely
kept on a very primitive level containing little that could please and kept on a very primitive level containing
further educate the average chess players.

Therefore, in addition to the possibility of being played in public, U. S. chess urgently needs more and better chess columns in daily news papers, columns of approximately the level on which the bridge columns stand.

To strive for progress in these two regards, it would be necessary to convince authorities, newspapers and leading personalities of the royal
game's morale value. It would be necessary to convince them, that the chess community as a whole belongs to the most decent part of the nation, and that promoting chess, though for the time being it may require, small sacrifices, would pay in the long run by stimulating particularly in young people the urge for decency and noblesse.

## A National Rating System Planned <br> To Cover Local And National Events <br> by william b. byland

F F OD WUV mont onvamal yoove the Tnited States Cless Federation has of the United States. The work involved in setting up a practical rating plan has, of necessity, been time-consuming; it was important to give consideration to rating practices used in certain other countries, as well as to examine and study original ideas proposed by American players, for we were determined that the system finally adopted would be the best obtainable, and one eminently fair to all of our country's players.

The studies and the preliminary detail have now been completed, and your Federation officers will shortly be presented with a definitive rating plan for their approval; it is, therefore, our confident hope that a national rating system will be in effect early in 1950. Full details of the final plan adopted will be published in future issues of CHESS LIFEbut right now we can assure you of certain features of the plan-features you have every right to expect under a fair and practical system of rating:

1) It will be a rating system designed for all chess players In the United States, and will give welght to performances in club, local, and state competition, as well as in the large national tournaments.
2) It will be a completely fair system, entirely mathematical in operation, and only the player's actual performance-not anyone's personal opinion on his chessic prowess-will be taken into consideration.
3) It will provide the easiest possible method of-and incentive for-improvement and advancement to the aspiring player. 4) Last, but not least, it win finally solve the perplexing problem of which American players can properly be dubbed "masters," a question which has certainly led to some heated controversies in the past.
We believe that such a rating system will prove extremely popular with the chess players of the United States. We feel that practically all of you are anxious to learn of your strength and standing in the national chess community (the popularity of the various correspondence rankings amply substantiates this view), and we are confident that our national rating system will meet with your instantaneous support and wholehearted cooperation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The Kibizzer Has His Day }
\end{aligned}
$$

Dear Mr. Major:
Your fine editorial in CHESS LIET, of September 20th, "The C.owth of the Swiss", and my re cent experience as Director of the 1949 Quebec Provincial Cham-
pionship have induced me to write to your readers a few words on the subject of the 6-Round Weekend Swiss System Tournament.
It was felt at the outset of the 1949 Championship that the most important problem to be faced was that of determining a Champion
who had played at least with the 2nd prizewinner, and with as many other strong players as possible. A prime condition to be fulfilled was that once the tournament started, the pairings were to be completely automatic, not allowing the Director any possible subjectivity whether he played or not in the tournament.
Now, the question of seeding the players according to previously known strength was immediately (Please turn to page 3, col. 2)

## Alchhine's Carly Chess Career Additionnl Daca By A. Buschke

N HIS recently published book,
THE UNKNOWN ALEKHINE, Fred Reinfeld points out two in-
stances which show a remarkable "lack of sportsmanship" on the part of Alekhine. One is the suppression or omission of the fact that, as a very young man in 1908, he played a match with the much older recognized master V. L. Nenarokov, lost three games in succession and "Summary of Result" from his ment and match play inserted in his "hy 1908-1923." (See "Unknown Alek 1908-1923." (See Unknown Alek-
hine," p. 46). The other one is the hine," p. 46). The other one is the
history of the Alekhine-Tenner game which Alekhine mentioned in the same book, on p. 240 in a note to a game with Teichmann, and claimed as a win whlle actually the game was played at a different time and ended in a draw ("Unknown Alekhine," p. 93).
If one goes through the "Summary of Results" published in "My Best Games of Chess 1908-1923," he will notice a number of inconsistoncies not only with the survey given in the German edition of the book under heading "Dr. Aljechins Erfolgstabelle (1908-1927)", but with data given in the text of the ed and definit "Sitself. A correcthine's Results" indexing of Alekpublished Alekhine games with their place of publication will be published by the writer of this article at a later date. As long as only Alekhine's own "Summary" is availlon ' ${ }^{\text {ans }}$ (in the English veras the listing of the "1913 St, Petersburg Quadrangular Tournament" with a total of 4 (!) played of which Alekhine claims none, one could think that this Summary is just carelessly put together, and this might explain the omission of the Nenarokov match in the Summary. However, it is interesting to note that the Summary in the English version men tions the won match with Blumenfeld (see also the "result" of this match given in the masthead to game No. 36 of the "Best Games 1908-1923"), while the German "Erfolgstabelle" seems to skip intentionally events of 1908 and therefore fails to mention the Blumenfeld match as well as the Nenarokov match, and the heading of game no. 36 with Blumenfeld in the German edition mentions only that this was played "in a match, Moscow, October 1908." Of course, both editions do not mention at all Alekhine's first appearance in the international chess arena, at the Dusseldort "Hauptturnier" of 1908, his matches with von Bardeleben and Fahrni, several local Moscow tournaments of 1908 (except in the heading to game No, 35 in "Best Games 1908-1923"), in which the very young Alekhine took several first prizes.
Obviously the mature Alekhine found or wanted to pretend to find the year 1908 too insignificant although, strangely enough, he did not find it too insignificant to fig. ure in the very title of the book as the starting point of his career. Possibly he really had forgotten about the events of that year and whatever he cited from memory was not quite correct-e.g., the Brumenfeld match, according to contemporary reponts in the first "Shakhmatnoe Obozrenie" (which devotes more than two pages to young Alekhine's activities in 1908, including the Nenaroloor match, No. 79-82, p. 66068, 1909), consisted of only 5 games (not 8 as Alekhine reports) of which Alekhine won 4 an ddrew one. The same result is also reported in "Deutsches Wochenschach" 1908.

# Chess For The Jired Business Man <br> By Fred Reinfeld 

val

## The Sicilian Dragon

$\mathbf{M}^{\text {ANY attempts have been made to slay the sicmian }}$ have sugceeded, some have failed. Despite the most intensive study and practice, the last word still remains to be sald. The opening manuals generally incline in White's favor, yet in actual play Black does well enough. The practical resuls therefore bespeak a thard inner core resistance which promises well for the durability of the defense. In com-
mon with other defenses which are somewhat cramped but resilient, the mon with other defenses whicl are somewiat cramped sut resiant, conDragon Variation is a line of play in which Black, given ravorable con-
dittons, can strike out with all the breathtaking thrust of an uncoiled dittons,
sprimg. springs.

SICILIAN DEEENSE



One or the most critcaa
he Dragon Variation
 B5; 12. P-Ki4! when Black finds himselr fin diffricuties.
 10. —P.OK44; 11. E.B3 is tar


## wandering Knight.

## 

This leads to trouble, but it was longer easy to suggest a good continuation.
${ }_{17}^{15}$ now 16 . BxKt (or BxB, ${ }^{\text {K.K.K. }} \mathrm{Q}$.Bich and wins). Black wins assly with




 B1, B.B5ch White must reply 20 . Q-K2 (20, B.K27? K K KP matet), Bxa ch and Black has won a pliece.
 Qo ch leaves him a plieece down. (one of many brilliant games in.
(uded in
RELAX WITH CHESS by Fred Reinfeld, published by the Pitman Publishing Corporation.)

North Jersey League results show Elizabeth Chess leading with 2 match points and $13-3$ in game points. Club of the Oranges is second with 2 match points but $91 / 2$ $41 / 2$ in game points. Leading individual scores are: Schultheiss (Kearny), MeCormick (Oranges), and $\mathbf{R}$. Hurttlen (Union).

## CHESS BOOKS

By Fred Reinfeld
The Unknown Alekhine .... $\$ 4$,
Immortal Games of Capaimmortal
blanca

| blanca |
| :--- |
| Chess by Yourself |
| Nimzovich the......... |
| 2.50 |
| 2.00 |

Nimzovich the Hypermodern 2.00
Keres' Best Games
Challenge to Chessplaye Tarrasch's Best Games Practical Endga
Chess Mastery
How to Play Better Ci.... 2.00 Relax With Cheser Chess 2.50

With Irving Chernev

| Fireside Book of Chess | ... |
| :--- | :--- |

Winning Chess
Order from your Bookseller

Position Mo. 68


## White To Play And Win!

Conducted by William Rojam

$\mathbf{P}^{\text {OSITrion No. }}$ ( 67 is a elassic composition, published in 1929 and re published by Shakhmaty in 1949, which features very subtle play and underpromotion of a pawn. It will well repay careful study, for it is a Position
Position No. 68 is a practical study in the technique of winning with equal pawns-by no means an easy victory even when the White King has advantage in position.

Alas, the best of composers will slip upon occasion; and No. 65 by Richard K, Guy in December 5th issue was an example of Jove nodding Scarcely was the ink dry on the edition when Dr. Julfus S. Weingart of Des Moines wrote to point out that 1. K-Kt2, B-K8!; 2. P-R7, BxP; 3 P-Q8(Q), B-B3 ch! leaves White fighting for a draw, Other solvers who found the same line were: Neil Bernstein (Brooklyn), Art Mease (Reading), E. J. Van Sweden (Grand Rapids), Carl Weberg (Salina), Malcolm $\operatorname{Sim}$ (Toronto), Neil P. Whitting (Salem). Congratulations to these eagleyed solvers.

Solver Edmund Nash of Washington suggests a swifter method of slaughter in No. 66 by M. Major in the variation 1. K-B4, B-B8 ch; 2. K-B5, P-R7 with the contintiation of 3, R-R7 ch, B-R3; 4. Kt-Kt4, K-R5; 5. RxB ch, K-Kt6; 6. R-R1 and wins. As usual, Mr. Nash is correct, and the

Please turn to page four for solutions.

## The Reader's Road Jo Chess

By Kester Svendsen
THE IDEAS BEHIND THE CHESS OPENINGS by Reuben Fine; Philadelphia: David McKay ( $\$ 2.50$ ), Second edition.
THE only difference between this and the first edition is the keyL ing of diagrams and lines of play to the author's "Practical Chess Openings" instead- of to his edition of MCO. The paper and binding are thinner here, but the analyses, being identical, are solidly In structive. Advanced students will no doubt regret that the present edition does not take advantage of modifications in opening theory developed since 1943. But for the ordinary player or for the man just past a chess primer, this edition, like its predecessor, will bring order out of chaos. Another grandmaster may quibble with Reuben Fine's estimates of certain lines of play; but the clarity with which the author defines objectives and the logic with which he pursues them will never lose their value for club and even tournament players.

The eight chapters survey all of the standard openings. The section on the French Defense will illustrate both the coverage and the method. Thirteen pages, including eight diagrams, begin with the four principal continuations for White on this third move, describe in each variation considered Black's best replies and the reason for them, and conclude with variations beginning at later moves. Typographical devices like italies, bold-face type, and frequent paragraphing are worked into a systematic presentation that charts one's path through the print.

I have heard many players say that they never really knew what they were doing in the opening until they had read this book. It is not the monument that PCO is nor the masterpiece that Fine's "Basic Chess Endings" is, but in many ways it should be more useful than either of these to the average player, who most often loses his way early because he does not understand the ideas behind the chess openings.

## QUICK TOURNEY NEWS ROUNDUP

Argentina: Najdorf scored overwhelming victory in Major tourney of ACF with $20^{1} / 2-11 / 2,3$ points ahead of Guimard who was in turn
3 points ahead of Czerniak and Lackls. Najdorf will not play a match with Julio Bolbochan for the championship.
Brazil: Walter Craz retained the title with $17-4$. Freitas was second with $151 / 2-51 / 2$ and Camara and Germann tied with 14.7 each. General Enrico Dutra, President of Brazil, who is a keen chass player, was present during the tournament. present during the tournament,
Mexico: H. Pllnik (Argentina) Mexico: H. Pilnik (Argentina) won
the Mexico City tourney with $111 / 2-$ the Mexico City tourney with $111 / 2-$
$11 / 2$. Tled for second were Araiza $11 / 2$. Tled for second were Araiza
(Mexico) and Medina (Spain) with 10-3.
Spain: A small tourney at Sitges was won jointly by Dr. Bernstein (France) and Pomar (Spain) with 8 points each. Medina (Spain) was third with $61 / 2$ and Llado fourth with 6, Mme. Chaude de Silans placed 7th with 5 points, ah
of French Champion Hugot.

## PUBLICISE CHESS IN BATTLE CREEK

Headed by a unique view of chessmen, whose heads were of ficials of the Battle Creek Chess Club, the Enquirer and News of Battle Creek published a long and informative article upon chess in its Sunday issue of November 20th, stressing the value of chess as a relaxation and relterating strongly that it was a popular misconception to consider that chess was a hard game to learn.
The five officials of the Battle Creek Club whose features were imposed upon chessmen were: Henry Doran, club president; Molly Sue Doran, secretary; Reuben Buskager, Michigan State Ass'n Secre tary and treasurer; Gene Cahill, vice-president; and Carl Hjerpe city champion.

## NORTH SHORE LEAGUE



# Memorable <br> Chess Dates <br> Compiled by A. Buschke 

## January 21012 Dr. Emil M. Pradignat, celebrated



## ALEKHINE'S CAREER

(Continued from page 2, col. 5.)
However, we believe there is
However, we believe there is more to these inconsistencies than just forgetfulness, and we believe our research in the compilation of a really complete index of Alekhine's games has led to the discovery of at least two more in stances, in which Alekhine's vanity prompted him to include games in his collection of "Best Games" in versions in which they have not been actually played and which in the published form could even be called "falsifications;" what is very puzzling, however, is that in at least one of these Alekhine's opponent was another Grandmaster of no, small vanity who was still alive when the "Best Games" were published and who could have been expected to become very indignant about it . . . provided of course he cared to read Alekhine's book or he cared, if he read it, to study one of his own LOST games with Alekhine's notes,

## N. BANKS TOURS SOUTHERN STATES

January will see Newell Banks, chess and checker expert, giving exhibitions in Louisiana, Alabama and Florida. Thence he plans to give a simultaneous exhibition in Cuba, and is open for other dates in Cuba and Puerto Rico after the exhibition in Havana.

Mr. Banks also has a few free dates for the later part of January and February, and clubs that are interested in an exhibition may write the Editor, CHESS LIFE.
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## FRENCH DEFENSE

Annual Highschool Championship
Omaha, 1949
Notes by Erich W. Marchand

 Not good, sinve White will have trouble pro-
teeting his renter Pp (as his next move
thows) Corret is Pither

筒

15. KtxKP!
White has at lant an eye tor combinations
denpite his inarearate opening play.






