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## Bouquets and Brickbats

The old adage that it is impossible to satisfy everyone must once more be dusted off and dragged from its lair. Some readers are asking that we publish all the games of the Notting. ham Congress. Still others request that we annotate most of them. We wish that we could comply with the latter, but in this mundane world there are such things as space and printer's costs. Regarding the first requestas one who has been compelled by duty to play over the entire 105 games ( 39 wins for White, 24 wins for Black, 42 draws) we are in a position to state with some authority that many of them were born to blush unseen.

We have endeavored to present the story of the Congress and most of the outstanding games. We wish to acknowledge publicly our indebtedness to Mr. Paul Hugo Litwinsky, who was on the scene of action during the entire Congress and covered it for us in a most able manner.

Soon after the Nottingham Congress Dr. Milan Vidmar sailed for the United States. In the course of his short stay he quite naturally mixed a little chess with his engineering activ-ities-a simultaneous exhibition at the Capital City Chess Club in Washington, D. C.; off-hand games against Denker and Kashdan at the Manhattan Chess Club and Reshevsky at the Marshall Chess Club-and voiced his opinions on a variety of chess topics.

He believes that in a match between the United States and Russia the American players would be victorious; that the younger masters are not better than the older masters but that they can stand more punishment; that Fine has reached the peak but Reshevsky still has unplumbed possibilities; that Kashdan is the most talented player in America.

What do you think? Don't be bashful. Let's hear from you.

We regret having to hold over Lajos Steiner's most interesting article on the Munich Olympiad until the November issue due to lack of space. The Nottingham Congress takes up too many pages in this issue despite the most rigorous editorial pruning.
Lajos, who shared the burdens of the first board with Geza Maroczy for the victorious Hungarian team, sends in his report from Moscow and writes that he is traveling to Australia to participate in the Australian Championship
(Continued on Page 243)

# The Nottingham International Masters Tournament 

By Paul Hugo Litwinsky

## PART II

The great Nottingham Congress came to an end on Friday evening, August 28, with a celebration dinner at the Victoria Station Hotel at which the usual speeches were made and the prizes awarded. Capablanca and Botwinnik divided the first and second prizes, and Fine, Reshevsky and Dr. Euwe tied for third.

As was expected, the play in the final rounds was acute. At the end of the twelfth round, Euwe, Capablanca and Botwinnik stood even with 8 points each. Then in the next round Euwe committed a blunder in a drawn position against Dr. Lasker, while both Capablanca and Botwinnik won their games handily. In the fourteenth round Euwe regained his form and held Borwinnik to a draw in a splendidly played game, Capablanca drawing tamely with Fine. The last round found Capablanca and Botwinnik tied; Botwinnik got into difficulties with Winter, but ultimately drew. Capablanca, however, with first prize in his very grasp, missed his way and could only draw with Bogolubow. Thus one of the strongest chess congresses in history came to a dramatic end.

The position of Reshevsky and Fine must speak eloquently for the achievements of American chess. Both tied for third prize with the present world champion, Dr. Max Euwe, only half a point below the first two. Fine went through undefeated, although he drew too many games to give him first prize. Alekhine came in sixth with a total of 9 , gained by superb play in the last rounds, which brought him $51 / 2$ points out of 6 . Dr. Lasker pur up a fine performance, considering his age and the strain of the contest, tying with Flohr at $81 / 2$.

Bogolubow, Tartakower and Vidmar were quite out of form. The English players took the last four places, as expected, although Tylor played very well and held many of the grandmasters.

The closeness of the struggle must be noted. Between first and eighth place there was a margin of only one and one-half points. With a little luck any of these eight players could easily have gained first prize. Flohr's score, for example, would have been equal with that of Capablanca and Botwinnik if he had won an easily won game with Tartakower and been content with a draw against Tylor. Alekhine, on the other hand, had a sure win against Tylor
and the better game against Capablanca. This tightness of play is a testimonial to the bitterness of the struggle.

That Capablanca was somewhat favored by luck he himself admitted at the prize-giving, saying that Botwinnik had to work for every point, whereas he had been the recipient of several without undue exertion on his part. Nevertheless, his score here and at the last Mos. cow tournament reveals the fact that he has been able to cope with the hypermoderns who. it was once feared, had outdistanced him.

The generosity of Alderman Derbyshire, who financed half of the Congress to a sum of at least $\$ 6500$, made the great Nottingham Tournament possible. To him the chess world owes heartfelt thanks and future support of his farsighted ideas for the world berterment of chess.

Special prizes to spur the players on to even greater efforts were many. Mr. I. S. Turover of Washington, D. C., noted master and patron of chess, donated prizes of $\$ 50$ for the most brilliant game of the tournament and $\$ 25$ for the best result by a non-prize winner against the four prizewinners or those tied for the first four places. Mr. Harold M. Phillips of New York contributed prizes of $\$ 5$ each for the most brilliant game in rounds 12 to 15 inclusive.

Mr. P. H. Litwinsky of Chicago contributed prizes of $\$ 25$ for the best played end game by an English player, $\$ 15$ for the most brilliant King's side attack, $\$ 5$ for the best score by a non-prize winner in the last seven rounds, $\$ 5$ for the player winning the largest number of games as White using the King's side openings, $\$ 10$, equally divided, for the most aggressive draw, and $\$ 10$ for the largest number of outright wins.

Mr. A. J. Mackenzic of Birmingham was the tournament director and was most successful in his duties, the major part of which consisted of amicably settling the incessantly clamorous demands of the press for scores of games and vantage points of watch.

Thus the Nottingham International Tournament of 1936 brought together for the first time in chess history the four men who have at some time held the championship of the world. America may well be proud that in the younger generation of grandmasters she has such splendid representatives as Reuben Fine and Samuel Reshevsky.

## Round VII - August 17

| White | Black | Opening | Moves | Leaders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. S. Tartakower . $1 / 2$ | Dr. M. Vidmar ... $1 / 2$ | Bird's Opening | . 16 | Botwinnik . 5 |
| R. Fine . . . . . . . . . 1 | W. Winter . . . . . . 0 | Queen's Gambit Declined | 32 | Euwe . . . . . 5 |
| E. D. Bogolubow ...1/2 | Dr. M. Euwe . . . . .1/2 | Queen's Gambit Declined | . 39 | Fine . . . . . $41 / 2$ |
| Dr. A. Alekhine ... 0 | S. Reshevsky . . . . . . 1 | Queen's Pawn Opening | . 62 | Reshevsky . $41 / 2$ |
| J. R. Capablanca . . . 1/2 | M. Botwinnik . . . . 1/2 | Queen's Indian Defense |  | Vidmar ... 4 |
| T. H. Tylor . ...... 0 | Dr. E. Lasker . . . . . 1 | Four Knights Game | 46 | Capablanca . $31 / 2$ |
| S. Flohr . . . . . . . . . 1 | Sir G. A. Thomas . 0 | English Opening ... | 85 | Flohr . . . . $31 / 2$ |

## C. H. O'D. Alexander, a bye.

Tartakower-Vidmar: Nothing in it. Fine-Winter: Reuben sacrificed a piece and won handily. Bogol-ubow-Euwe: Well played-by both sides. AlekbineReshersky: Sammy's knights made 30 of his 61 moves and won the ending neatly. Capablanca-Botwinnik: Capa took no chances. Tylor-Lasker: Tylor, the king's pawn exponent in this tournament, found that Lasker knew the king's pawn openings, too. Flobr-Thomas: The rook and pawn end game was not handled by Sir George with quite his usual accuracy.

## Nottingham Chess Congress <br> August, 1936

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| R. Fine | W. Winter |
| :---: | :---: |
| White Black |  |


| Wh |  | Brack |  |  | 15 | Kt-B1 | Q-Q3 | 46 | K-Q3 | Kt-Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 17 | 0.0-0 | P.KB4 | 16 | Q-R3 | QXQ | 47 | B-B1 | Kt -B3 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 18 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | QxP | 17 | RxQ | Kt-(B3)-Q4 | 48 | B-Kt2 | Kt-Q2 |
| 3 Kt -KB3 | Kt-B3 | 19 | P-B5 | B-B2 | 18 | Kt-Kt3 | 3 B-Q2 | 49 | B-R3 | P.K4 |
| 4 P.K3 | B-B4 | 20 | BXRP | P×B | 19 | R-Kt3 | KR-Q1 | 50 | K-K3 | K-K3 |
| 5 Kt - B | P.K3 | 21 | QxBP | K-Q1 | 20 | B-Q2 | KtxP | 51 | B-Kt2 | K.Q4 |
| 6 Kt -KR4 | B-K5 | 22 | QxKP | Q-B3 | 21 | R-R1 | Kt-(R5)-Kt3 | 52 | Kt-R4 | Kt-Kt3 |
| 7 P-B3 | B-Kt3 | 23 | Q-Q5 | K-K2 | 22 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | BxB | 53 | B-B1 | Kt-B5ch |
| 8 KtxB | RPXKt | 24 | B-Kt4 | KR-QB1 | 23 | R(Kt3) | ) BB P-K3 | 54 | K-B2 | Kt-Kt5 |
| 9 P-KKt3 | B-Q3 | 25 | K-Kt1 | Kt-B1 | 24 | P-Kt3 | R-Q2 | 55 | K.K2 | Kt-R7 |
| 10 P-B4 | Kt-K5 | 26 | P.KKt4 | P-QR4 | 25 | Kt-K4 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | 56 | B-Q2 | P-Kt5 |
| 11 KtxKt | PxKt | 27 | B-B3 | P-KKt3 | 26 | BxR | Kt-B1 | 57 | PxP | P-Kt6 |
| 12 B-Q2 | Q-K2 | 28 | PxP | QxBP | 27 | Kt-K5 | BxKt | 58 | K-Q1 | KtxP |
| 13 P-QR3 | Kt-Q2 | 29 | Q-B4 | Kt-K3 | 28 | PxB | Kt-B2 | 59 | Kt-Kt2 | K-K5 |
| 14 Q-Kt3 | QR-Kt1 | 30 | KR-B1 | Q-R4 | 29 | Kt -B6c | h K-Kt2 | 60 | P-R4 | Kt-Q6! |
| 15 Q.R4 | P-QR3 | 31 | P-Q5 | KtxP | 30 | $\mathrm{Ktx} \mathbf{R}$ | KtxR | 61 | B-R5 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 16 B-K2 | P.KKt4 | 32 | P-Q6ch | Resigns | 31 | B-Q2 | Kt-K2 |  | Resigns |  |

## Round VIII - August 18

| White | Black | Opening | Moves | ers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W. Winter | E. D. Bogolubow ... 1 | King's Indian Defense |  | Botwinnik . 6 |
| Dr. M. Vidmar ....1/2 | Dr. A. Alekhine ... $1 / 2$ | King's Indian Defense |  | Euwe |
| C. H. O'D. Alexander 0 | R. Fine ........... 1 | English Opening | 48 | Fine ..... $51 / 2$ |
| S. Reshevsky .......1/2 | S. Flohr ...........1/2 | Queen's Gambit Accepted |  | Reshevsky . 5 |
| Dr. M. Euwe ...... 1 | Dr. S. Tartakower . 0 | Tchigorin's Defense ..... | 40 | Capablanca . $41 / 2$ |
| M. Botwinnik ...... 1 | T. H. Tylor . ....... 0 | Reti Opening | 36 | Vidmar $\quad . ., 41 / 2$ |
| Sir G. A. Thomas .. 0 | J. R. Capablanca .... 1 | Ruy Lopez |  | Flohr ..... 4 |

## Dr. E. Lasker, a bye.

Winter-Bogolubow: Bogolubow always signs his name on his score sheet in the abbreviated fornf of "Bog", and Winter stumbled into the bog. VidmarAlekbine: Both masters were imbued with the harmonious spirit of peace. Alexander-Fine: Alexander played weakly. Reshevsky-Flobr: Flohr quickly re-
turned the gambit pawn and equalized. Euwe-Tartakower: This was the first time that Euwe had ever beaten Tartakower in a tournament game. Botwinnik. Tylor: An interesting combinative game in which the better combinative player won. Thomas-Capablanca: Sir George mismanaged the opening.

Nottingham Chess Congress
August, 1936
RETI OPENING

## Nottingham Chess Congress <br> August, 1936 <br> TCHIGORIN'S DEFENSE

|  | M. Botwinnik White |  | T. H. Tylor Black |  |  | Dr. M. Euwe White |  |  | Dr. S. Tartakower Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kt-KB3 | P-K3 | 20 | QxKt | Q.B3 | 2 | P-QB4 | Kt-QB3 | 22 | KtxP | QxP |
| 2 | P-B4 | P-Q4 | 21 | Kt-R4 | P.Kt4 | 3 | Kt-QB3 | P-K4 | 23 | Ktx | KtxKt |
| 3 | P-KKt3 | Kt-KB3 | 22 | BxB | QR-Q1 | 4 | PXQP | KtxP | 24 | QxKtP | R-Q1 |
| 4 | B.Kt2 | B-K2 | 23 | Q-B6 | PxKt | 5 | P-K3 | Kt-B4 | 25 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | Q-K3 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24 | Q-B3 | PxP | 6 | P-K4 | Kt-Q3 | 26 | R-K7 | Q-KB3 |
| 6 | P.Q4 | QKt-Q2 | 25 | RPxP | Q-Kt2 | 7 | Kt -B3 | B.Kt5 | 27 | Q-Q7 | R-KB1 |
| 7 | Kt -B3 | P.B3 | 26 | P-QB5 | B-Q5 | 8 | Q-R4ch | B-Q2 | 28 | R-K8 | Kt -Q3 |
| 8 | Q-Q3 | P.QKt3 | 27 | QR-QB1 | 1 P.K4 | 9 | Q-Kt3 | P.KB3 | 29 | RxRch | K $\times$ R |
| 9 | P-QKt3 | B-Kt2 | 28 | P-B6 | P-K5 | 10 | B.K3 | Kt -K2 | 30 | R-Q3 | Q-K4 |
| 10 | P-K4 | PxKP | 29 | QxKP | QxKtPch | 11 | QR-B1 | Kt (K2)-B1 | 31 | K.B1 | P-KR4 |
| 11 | KtxP | P-B4 | 30 | Q-Kt2 | BxPch | 12 | B-Q3 | B-K2 | 32 | QXRP | Kt-B4 |
| 12 | Kt -B3 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 31 | K-B1 | RxRch | 13 | O-O | 0.0 | 33 | Q-Q7 | P.KKt3 |
| 13 | QXQP | Kt-K1 | 32 | RxR | QxQch | 14 | Kt-QKt5 | BxKt | 34 | R-QKt3 | Q-B3 |
| 14 | KR-Q1 | B-KB3 | 33 | $K \times Q$ | B-Kt3 | 15 | BxB | KtxB | 35 | P-KKt3 | Q-B8ch |
| 15 | Q-K3 | Q-K2 | 34 | R-Q7 | R-Q1 | 16 | P-Q6ch | R-B2 | 36 | K-Kt2 | Kt-K6ch |
| 16 | QR-Kt1 | Kt-QB4 | 35 | P-B7 | B×P | 17 | P×B | Q-Q2 | 37 | K-R3 | Q-B8ch |
| 17 | B-QR3 | Kt-Q3 | 36 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ R | R-Q7ch and | 18 | KR-Q1 | Kt (B)-Q3 | 38 | K-R4 | Kt -B4ch |
| 18 | BxKt | PxB |  |  | Resigns | 19 | P-QR4 | Kt-Q5 | 39 | K-Kt5 | Q-B8ch |
| 19 | QxP | BxQKt |  |  |  | 20 | BxKt | KPXB | 40 | K.B6 | Resigns |

Round IX - August 20

| White | Black | Opening Mover | Leaders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. A. Alekhine ... 1 | Dr. M. Euwe . . . . . 0 | French Defense . .......... 81 | Botwinnik . $61 / 2$ |
| S. Flohr . . . . . . . . 1 | Dr. M. Vidmar . . . . 0 | Queen's Gambit Declined ... 58 | Euwe . . . . 6 |
| Dr. E. Lasker . . . . . $1 / 2$ | M. Botwinnik ..... 1/2 | King's Indian Defense . . . . . 44 | Capablanca . $51 / 2$ |
| J. R. Capablanca ... 1 | S. Reshevsky ...... 0 | Queen's Gambit Accepted . . 57 | Fine . . . . . $51 / 2$ |
| E. D. Bogolubow ... 1 | C. H. O'D. Alexander 0 | Queen's Indian Defense . . . . 24 | Flohr . . . . . 5 |
| T. H. Tylor . . . . . . 1/2 | Sir G. A. Thomas ...1/2 | Hungarian Defense . . . . . . . 29 | Reshevsky . . 5 |
| Dr. S. Tartakower .. 1 | W. Winter . . . . . . 0 | From Gambit . . . . . . . . . . 30 | Alekhine . . $41 / 2$ |

R. Fine, a bye.

Alekhine-Euwe: If only this game had counted in the recent match! Alekhine got the better of the opening and held the upper hand throughout. FlohrVidmar: Vidmar overlooked a little combination. Lasker-Botwinnik: Lasker said that if he had been younger, he would have played on to win. Capa-blanca-Reshevsky: Full revenge for Margate, 1935.

Of course, Sammy got into time trouble. BogolubowAlexander: Bogolubow remarked that his opponent found the best moves-to lose! Tylor-Tbomas: An old-fashioned opening, steady on both sides. Tarta-kower-Winter: Another old-fashioned opening. Winter thought that Tartakower's sacrifice of the exchange was a blunder. He was mistaken.

## Nottingham Chess Congress August, 1936 <br> FRENCH DEFENSE

Dr. A. Alekhine
White
Dr. M. Euwe
Black


| 37 | QxKPch |
| :--- | :--- |
| 38 | QxQP |
| 39 | K-B2 |
| 40 | P-Kt4 |
| 41 | K-Kt3 |
| 42 | K-R3 |
| 43 | Q-QB5 |
| 44 | P-Kt3 |
| 45 | K-Kt2 |
| 46 | R-R7 |
| 47 | K-R3 |
| 48 | RxR |
| 49 | QxBP |
| 50 | Q-B5ch |
| 51 | K-Kt2 |
| 52 | Q-QB2 |
| 53 | Q-Kt3 |
| 54 | K-B2 |
| 55 | Q-Kt6ch |
| 56 | Q-Kt4 |
| 57 | Q-K1 |
| 58 | K-K3 |
| 59 | P-R5 |

K-B1
Q-B6ch
$R-K 1$
Q-K6ch
$Q-B 5 c h$
$R-K 2$
$Q-B 3$
$Q-R 1 c h$
$Q-B 6$
$Q \times Q B P h$
$Q-K 7$
$Q \times R$
$Q-K 8$
$K-B 2$
$Q-Q R 8$
$K-B 3$
$K-K 4$
$K-B 3$
$K-K t 2$
$Q-R 8$
$Q-R 7 c h$
$K-R 2$
$Q-R 7$



The world champion encounters his predecessor at Nottingham. P. H. Litwinsky (seated), special correspondent for The Chess Review, is an interested spectator.

Nottingham Chess Congress
August, 1936
QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED
J. R. Capablanca White

## S. Reshevsky

Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 13 | QKt-Q2 | Q-K2 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-KB3 | 14 | Kt-Kt3 | B-Kt3 |
| 3 | P-B4 | PxP | 15 | B-K3 | R-B1 |
| 4 | Q-R4ch | QKt-Q2 | 16 | Q-Q2 | Kt-K5 |
| 5 | QxBP | P-K3 | 17 | Q-Q3 | Kt(Q2)-B4 |
| 6 | P-KKt3 | P-QR3 | 18 | KtxKt | KtxKt |
| 7 | B-Kt2 | P-QKt4 | 19 | Q-Q1 | B-R1 |
| 8 | Q-B6 | $R-R 2$ | 20 | R-B1 | QR-B2 |
| 9 | B-B4 | B-Kt2 | 21 | P-Kt3 | Kt-Q2 |
| 10 | Q-B1 | P-B4 | 22 | R×R | R×R |
| 11 | PxP | BxP | 23 | BxB | Kt×B |
| 12 | O-O | O-O | 24 | Q-Q4 | Kt-Q4 |


| 25 | R-Q1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | Kt-K1 |
| 27 | BxKt |
| 28 | P-K3 |
| 29 | P-KR4 |
| 30 | P-B3 |
| 31 | RxQ |
| 32 | K-B2 |
| 33 | R-Q2 |
| 34 | Kt-Q3 |
| 35 | R-Kt2 |
| 36 | KtxR |
| 37 | Kt-Q3 |
| 38 | RPxP |
| 39 | Kt-Kt4 |
| 40 | PxP |
| 41 | P-Kt4 |
| 42 | K-K2 |
| 43 | K-Q3 |



## Round X - August 21

| White | Black | Opening | Moves | Leaders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W. Winter | Dr. A. Alekhine . . . . 1 | French Defense | . 40 | Botwinnik . $61 / 2$ |
| Dr. M. Euwe . . . . $1 / 2$ | S. Flohr . . . . . . . . . $1 / 2$ | Queen's Gambit Declined | . 19 | Capablanca . $61 / 2$ |
| C. H. O'D. Alexander 1 | Dr. S. Tartakower . . 0 | Dutch Defense | . 42 | Euwe . . . . $61 / 2$ |
| S. Reshevsky ....... 1 | T. H. Tylor ...... 0 | Queen's Gambit Declined | . 40 | Fine . . . . . $61 / 2$ |
| R. Fine . . . . . . . . . 1 | E. D. Bogolubow ... 0 | Dutch Defense ...... | . 36 | Reshevsky . . 6 |
| Sir G. A. Thomas . . 0 | Dr. E. Lasker ...... 1 | Queen's Gambit Declined | . 56 | Alekhine .. $51 / 2$ |
| Dr. M. Vidmar . . . . 0 | J. R. Capablanca . . . 1 | Queen's Gambit Declined | . . 30 | Flohr . . . . $51 / 2$ |

[^0]Winter-Alekbine: Alekhine showed that he can win with the French as well as against it. EutweFlobr: In the Zurich 1934 tournament book Alekhine remarks of the Euwe-Flohr game there, "A typical Euwe-Flohr game with all pieces off." His remarks are applicable here as well. Alexander-Tartakower: Alexander's first win and a good one. Reshevsky-Tylor: A convincing Reshevsky win with much strategic maneuvering. Fine-Bogolubow: Even Bogolubow had to laugh at the final position. "Anyway," he said in his inimitable German, "I made him get into time trouble." Thomas-Lasker: One of the few queen and pawn endings that Dr. Lasker has played since the match with Schlechter in 1910. Vidmar-Capablanca: This game was postponed by consent of all the players and re-scheduled for August 26 due to Dr. Vidmat being seized with a bad attack of stomach cramp just before the game. We wonder whether it might have been "Capablanca fright!"

## Nottingham Chess Congress August, 1936 QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

Dr. M. Vidmar
White


## Round XI - August 22



## E. D. Bogolubow, a bye.

Alekbine-Alexander: Before the game started one of the spectators remarked that an Alexander was sure to win. He was right. Unfortunately for England, however, it was not the English Alexander. Alekhine showed a glimpse of his real form and brought off a brilliant little game. (This will appear in the November Chess Review annotated by Dr. Max Euwe.-The Ed.). Botwinnik-Thomar: Botwinnik got an overwhelming positional advantage, and Sir George had to give up a piece on the 22nd move. He fought hard thereafter to hold the game, but Botwinnik gave him no chance. Flobr-W inter: Both got into time trouble, but only Flohr got out. TylorVidmar: A rather dull game. Vidmar worked overtime trying to find a win. If there actually was one, it was microscopic. Capablanca-Euwe: An exciting little game which belies the supposed placidity of its 22 moves. Lasker-Resheviky: Lasker blundered away the game. Tartakower-Fine: Tartakower had a slight edge but it was not enough to win.

## Nottingham Chess Congress August, 1936

## QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| J. R. Capablanca | Dr. M. Euwe |
| :--- | :--- |
| White | Black |


| 1 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 12 | RxQ | B-B7 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | P.QB4 | P-QB3 | 13 | R-Q4 | B-B2 |
| 3 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-B3 | 14 | KKt-B3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 4 | Kt-B3 | PxP | 15 | R-Q2 | B-KKt3 |
| 5 | P-QR4 | B-B4 | 16 | P-QKt4 | P-QR4 |
| 6 | P-K3 | P-K3 | 17 | P-Kt | Kt-K4 |
| 7 | BxP | B-QKt5 | 18 | KtxKt | BxKt |
| 8 | O-O | $0-0$ | 19 | B-Kt2 | Kt-K5 |
| 9 | Kt-K5 | P-B4 | 20 | R-K2 | BxB |
| 10 | Kt-R2 | B-R4 | 21 | RxB | KtxP(B4) |
| 11 | PxP | QxQ | 22 | Kt-B1 | Draw |

Round XII - August 24

| White | Black | Opening | Moves | Leaders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W. Winter | J. R. Capablanca ... | Queen's Indian Defense |  | Botwinnik |
| Dr. M. Vidmar . ....1/2 | Dr. E. Lasker ......1/2 | Queen's Gambit Declined |  | Capablanca 8 |
| Dr. M. Euwe . . . . . 1 | T. H. Tylor . . . . . . . 0 | Queen's Gambit Declined | . 25 | Euwe |
| S. Reshevsky .......1/2 | M. Botwinnik ......1/2 | Dutch Defense |  | Fine ...... $7^{1 / 2}$ |
| E. D. Bogolubow ...1/2 | Dr. S. Tartakower . . $1 / 2$ | Dutch Defense |  | Reshevsky . $71 / 2$ |
| R. Fine $\ldots \ldots \ldots$..... $1 / 2$ | Dr. A. Alekhine . . . $1 / 2$ | Queen's Gambit Declined | . . 66 | Alekhine |
| C. H. O'D. Alexander 1 | S. Flohr . ......... 0 | Sicilian Defense ..... | . 62 | Flohr . . . . 61/2 |

Sir G. A. Thomas, a bye.


Nottingham International Masters' Tournament
Standing (left to right) : R. Fine, S. Tartakower, M. Vidmar, E. D. Bogolubow, T. H. Tylor, C. H. O'D. Alexander, S. Flohr, S. Reshevsky, M. Botwinnik, A. J. Mackenzie (Tourn. Dir.) Seated (left to right): Sir G. A. Thomas, Dr. E. Lasker, J. R. Capablanca, J. N. Derbyshire (Patron), Mrs. Derbyshire, M. Euwe, A. Alekhine, W. Winter.

Winter-Capablanca: Capa gave up the exchange and allowed an attack that made the spectators think he would be mated. Winter resigned, however, seeing that the continuation of his attack would be refuted by a pretty Queen sacrifice. The whole game was played in Capa's best style. Vidmar-Lasker: Interesting. Both masters showed considerable ingenuity. Enwe-Tylor: Hardly a full afternoon's work for the world champion. Resbevsky-Botwinnik: The inevitable time trouble kept Sammy from trying harder to find a win. A very interesting game.

Bogolubow-Tartakower: Two old opponents in an old-fashioned tussle. Bogolubow found shortly after adjournment that his pawn plus meant nothing. FineAlekbine: Reuben played very creditably and neutralized all of Alekhine's winning chances, having a few of his own which, however, did not materialize. Alexander-Flobr: A surprising upset. Alexander had the worst of it and went in for an attack to which Flohr nervously succumbed. A good win by the young British master.

## Nottingham Chess Congress <br> August, 1936

DUTCH DEFENSE

## S. Reshevsky

White
M. Botwinnik Black

|  | P-Q4 | P-K3 | 22 | QxB | B-Q2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P-KB4 | 23 | Kt-Kt3 | R-QB1 |
| 3 | P-KKt3 | Kt-KB3 | 24 | P-KKt4 | P-KKt3 |
| 4 | B-Kt2 | B-K2 | 25 | Q-Q4 | Q-K2 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26 | QR-B1 | B-K1 |
| 6 | P-B4 | P.Q4 | 27 | R-KB4 | R-Q1 |
| 7 | Kt -B3 | P-B3 | 28 | QR-KB1 | Q-Q2 |
| 8 | R-Kt1 | Q-K1 | 29 | PxP | PxP |
| 9 | P-B5 | Q-R4 | 30 | B-R3 | QxP |
| 10 | P.QKt4 | Kt-K5 | 31 | RxBP | QxQ |
| 11 | Q-B2 | Kt-Q2 | 32 | KtxQ | R-Kt2ch |
| 12 | P-Kt5 | B-B3 | 33 | K-R1 | B.Q2 |
| 13 | B-B4 | Q-K1 | 34 | R-R5 | BxB |
| 14 | B-B7 | R-B2 | 35 | RxB | R-Kt4 |
| 15 | B-R5 | P-K4 | 36 | Kt-KB5 | R-Q2 |
| 16 | P.K3 | KtxKt | 37 | R-KR4 | R-QB2 |
| 17 | BxKt | P-K5 | 38 | Kt-R6ch | K-Kt2 |
| 18 | Kt -Q2 | Kt-B1 | 39 | Kt -B5ch | K-Kt1 |
| 19 | P.KB3 | BPxP | 40 | Kt-R6ch | K.Kt2 |
| 20 | PxP | QPxP | 41 | Kt -B5ch | Drawn |
| 21 | P-Q5 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |  |  |  |



## Dr. S. Tartakower, a bye.

Alekbine-Bogolubow: Alekhine was a pawn down and Bogolubow was in time trouble. Alekhine hence initiated an attack that upset his opponent precisely as he had calculated that it would. Flobr-Fine: Flohr was depressed after his loss to Alexander and Fine did not feel like forcing the play. Result: draw. Botwinnik-Vidmar: A pretty little combination by Botwinnik, who throughout the tournament played enterprising chess. Lasker-Euwe: An incomprehensible blunder lost a piece, the game, and a valuable point for Dr. Euwe. Capablanca-Alexander: An erratic game. Capa missed an earlier win; then, shortly after adjournment, Alexander lost his queen on an oversight. Thomas-Resheusky: Thomas made a slip in the opening and never recovered from the bad effects. Tylor-Winter: Vigorous play. Tylor made a creditable record with the white pieces, using the King's side openings.

Nottingham Chess Congress
August, 1936
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

## M. Botwinnik White

| 1 | P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P-Q4 |
| 3 | PQ4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 4 | Kt-B3 | B-K2 |
| 5 | B-K5 | $0-0$ |
| 6 | P-K3 | QKt-Q2 |
| 7 | B-Q3 | P-B4 |
| 8 | O-O | BP×P |
| 9 | KPXP | P×P |
| 10 | B×BP | Kt-Kt3 |
| 11 | B-Kt3 | B-Q2 |
| 12 | Q-Q3 | QKt-Q4 |

## Dr. M. Vidmar

Black

| 13 | Kt-K5 | B-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 14 | QR-Q1 | Kt-QKt5 |
| 15 | Q-KR3 | B-Q4 |
| 16 KtxB | Kt-(Kt) $\times$ Kt |  |
| 17 | P-B4 | QR-B1 |
| 18 | P-B5 | P×P |
| 19 | $R \times P$ | Q-Q3 |
| 20 | KtxBP! | R×Kt |
| 21 | BxKt(B) | BxB |
| 22 | RxKt | Q-QB3 |
| 23 | R-Q6 | Q-K1 |
| 24 | R-Q7 | Resigns |

## Round XIV - August 27

| White | Black | Opening | Moves | Leaders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. S. Tartakower . . 0 | Dr. A. Alekhine .... 1 | Queen's Pawn Opening |  | Botwinnik . $91 / 2$ |
| Dr. M. Vidmar $\ldots$. $1 / 2$ | Sir G. A. Thomas . $1 / 2$ | Queen's Gambit Declined |  | Capablanca . $91 / 2$ |
| Dr. M. Euwe . . . . $1 / 2$ | M. Botwinnik ......1/2 | Nimzowitsch Defense |  | Alekhine .. 9 |
| E. D. Bogolubow . 0 | S. Flohr $\ldots$........ 1 | French Defense |  | Euwe . $. . .81 / 2$ |
| W. Winter ........ 0 | Dr. E. Lasker ...... 1 | Queen's Pawn Opening |  | Fine . . . . . $81 / 2$ |
|  | J. R. Capablanca ...1/2 | Queen's Gambit Declined |  | Reshevsky . $81 / 2$ |
| C. H. O'D. Alexander $1 / 2$ | T. H. Tylor . . . . . . $1 / 2$ | Caro-Kann Defense |  | Flohr $\ldots . .8{ }^{\text {c }}$ |

## S. Reshevsky, a bye.

Tartakower-Alekbine: Alekhine won with a neat combination. Vidmar-Thomas: There were three or four ways for Vidmar to win this ending. He overlooked them all in favor of the drawing line. EuweBotwinnik: A magnificent game that does credit to
the two eminent players. An ending of great difficulty was admirably contested. With such draws no one can find fault. Bogolubow-Flobr: Bogolubow was hypnotized by the Lasker-Euwe game and made a similar blunder, losing the exchange and the game.

Winter-Lasker: Lasker can still win an ending with an extra pawn. Fine-Capablanca: Capa offered a draw on the 6th move and Reuben accepted on the 20th. Alexander-Tylor: The first Caro-Kann of the congress. Sometimes Caro can and sometimes Caro can't.

Nottingham Chess Congress
August, 1936
NIMZOWITSCH DEFENSE


Black

| 45 | P-Kt4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 46 | P-R5 |
| 47 | PxP |
| 48 | B-R1 |
| 49 | K-B3 |
| 50 | P-K5 |
| 51 | K.K4 |

P-QKt4
P×P
54 P.K4ch
Kt-Kt3 55 K-B4 P-R4
P-Kt5 56
Kt-Q2
K-K3

Kt-B1
K-Q4

K-Q3

## Round XV - August 28

| White | Black | Opening | Moves | Leaders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. Botwinnik ...... $1 / 2$ | W. Wrinter . .......1/2 | Reti Opening | . 38 | Botwinnik . 10 |
| S. Reshevsky ....... 1 | Dr. M. Vidmar . . . 0 | Queen's Gambit Declined | . 26 | Capablanca 10 |
| Dr. E. Lasker . . . . . . 1 | C. H. O'D. Alexander 0 | English Opening | . 34 | Euwe .... 91/2 |
| J. R. Capablanca . . . $1 / 2$ | E. D. Bogolubow ... $1 / 2$ | English Opening | . 46 | Fine ..... 91/2 |
| Sir G. A. Thomas . . 0 | Dr. M. Euwe . . . . . . . 1 | Alekhine's Defense | . 38 | Reshevsky . $91 / 2$ |
| S. Flohr . . . . . . . . . 1/2 | Dr. S. Tartakower . $1 / 2$ | Queen's Gambit Declined | . 57 | Alekhine . 9 |
| T. H. Tylor ...... 0 | R. Fine . . . . . . . . 1 | Sicilian Defense . . . . . . | . 71 | Flohr .... 81/2 |

## Dr. A. Alekhune, a bye,

Botwinnik-w inter: Winter had a better game, but his pride in being able to hold Botwinnik got the better of him and he consented to a draw. This was the only game of the tournament in which Botwinnik really stood badly. Reshevsky-Vidmar: An error in the opening paved the way for a clever combination and earned Sammy a high prize. Lasker-Alexander: Alexander, in a perfectly stable position, blundered and lost the exchange. Capablanca-Bogolubow: Upon this game depended the first prize. Capa had a win, but he allowed Bogolubow to bring off a coun-ter-combination that equalized and secured for Botwinnik no worse than a tie for first prize. ThomasEuwe: Sir George missed the win of a piece on his 23 rd move. An unfortunate slip near adjournment time cost him a rook. Flobr-Tartakower: Flohr had an easily won game but overlooked a sudden mating threat and was forced to take a perpetual. Tylor-Fine: For long hours this looked like a draw and no prize for Reuben, who was groaning from time to time in sorrowful recognition of the state of affairs. Then Tylor miscalculated the ending, lost still another chance to draw, and ultimately gave Reuben the point he needed to tie for third prize. This was the last game of the tournament.

Nottingham Chess Congress
August, 1936
RETI OPENING

| M. Botwinnik White |  |  | w. Winter Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kt -KB3 | Kt-KB3 |  | QxB | Q-Kt3 |
| 2 | P-B4 | P-B3 | 21 | R-QB2 | K-B2 |
| 3 | Kt-B3 | P.Q4 | 22 | Kt-B1 | QR-QB1 |
| 4 | P-K3 | P.KKt3 | 23 | R(B1)-B2 | 32 R-QB5 |
| 5 | P-Q4 | B.Kt2 | 24 | Q.K1 R | R(K1).QB1 |
| 6 | Q-Kt3 | 0.0 | 25 | B-Q1 | R×R |
| 7 | B-Q2 | P-QKt3 | 26 | BxR | P.QR4 |
| 8 | PxP | PxP | 27 | Kt.Q3 | B.R3 |
| 9 | Kt-K5 | B-Kt2 | 28 | P-QKt4 | PxP |
| 10 | B-QKt5 | P-QR3 | 29 | KtxP | Q-R4 |
| 11 | B.K2 | QKt-Q2 | 30 | B-QKt3 | Kt-B3 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | KtxKt | 31 | R-KB1 | Kt-K5 |
| 13 | P-KB4 | P.K3 | 32 | Ktx ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | QxKt |
| 14 | O-O | P-KB4 | 33 | Q-Kt4 | R-B6 |
| 15 | B.KB3 | QR-Kt1 | 34 | R-K1 | Q-B3 |
| 16 | QR-B1 | P-QKt4 | 35 | P-KR3 | R-B8 |
| 17 | Kt-K2 | KR-K1 | 36 | K-R2 | Q-B6 |
| 18 | Q-QR3 | B-KB1 | 37 | QxQ | R×Q |
| 19 | B-QKt4 | BxB | 38 | R-K2 | Drawn |




## CHESS TIME CLOCKS

This latest model is substantially built with a fine movement, exceptionally suited for match and tournament play.-Price $\$ 7.50$.-Postage extra.
Order through THE CHESS REVIEW 60-10 Roosevelt Ave., Woodside, N. Y.

# My Best Games of Chess 

By I. Kashdan

## U. S. Championship Tournament New York, 1936

## QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

 (Notes by I. Kashdan)| I. Kashdan | A. Kupchik |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 2 PQB4 | P-K3 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | P-Q4 |
| 4 Kt-R3 | QKt-Q2 |
| 5 B-Kt5 | B-K2 |

The Orthodox Defense. On the looks of things, Black gets a more backward game than in the Cambridge Springs or Slav Defenses, and the text is about the least aggressive of Black's choices. But he has a sound formation, with no real weaknesses, and White has never demonstrated a lasting advantage if properly continued.

| 6 | P-K3 | 0.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | R-B1 | P-B3 |
| 8 | B-Q3 | $\cdots$. |

Black waits for this move in order to play
PxP with gain of time. White can delay it for a time by $8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$; $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, but the net result is about the samé.

## 8.... <br> PxP

This temporarily yields the center, but Black relies on the later ... P-K4 or . . . P-QB4 as an equalizing measure.

## 9 BxBP <br> Kt.Q4

Black rightly tries to exchange a piece or two, in order to free his game.
10 BxB
QxB
1100
R-Q1

More consistent is 11 . . KtxKt; 12 RxKt, P-K4. If then 13 PxP, KtxP; 14 KtxKt, QxKt; $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$, with an even game. Less good is $15 \ldots$ Q-K2; 16 P-B5! or $15 \ldots$ Q-B3; 16 P-K4, etc. Interesting is 13 P-K4, PxP; 14 QxP, R-K1; 15 P-K5, and now KtxP? will not do because of 16 KtxKt, QxKt; 17 R-K3! winning. But 15 .., Kt-B1 followed by . . . B-K3 is sufficient.

## $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$

Now matters will be move difficult for Black, as either . . . P-K4 or . . . P-QB4 is answered by BxKt, isolating the QP.

KKt-B3
The exchange now involves some loss of time, but it is still Black's best plan.

## 13 Q-B2

If $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$, or Kt -B3, . . . P-B4 is effective. The text involves several Queen moves, but accomplishes the object of delaying Black's lreak in the center.

$13 \dot{\mathrm{QxKt}} \quad$| KtxKt |
| ---: |
| $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3}$ |

If here 14... P-QB4; $15 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{PxP} ; 16$ QXQP, with a marked advantage in development. Or $15 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3}$; 16 Q-K5, PxP; 17 Ktx $P$, and White has all the better of it.

15 Q.B2

## P-QKt3

Eventually necessary, but it creates weaknesses on the Queen side, of which White can make good use.

16 Q-K2
In order to answer . . . B-Kt2 with 17 B-R6, and play against the weakened BP, which will be hard to advance at this stage.

$$
16 \ldots \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2
$$

To be able to retake with the Knight after ... P-QB4; PxP. The move is sufficient evidence that Black did not gain time by driving the Queen.

| $17 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{\times B}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4} 4$ |

At last! But White still has the superior game, because of his greater pressure on the Queen's wing.

## 20 P-QKt3

A useful tempo. White wants his opponent to exchange Pawns, as that will get his Knight to a better post. The text prevents . . . P-K4, which would be followed by 21 PxKP, KtxP; 22 KtxKt, QxKt; 23 QxRP! and White's QKtP is not en prise.

| 20 KtxP | PxP <br> 21 <br> 22 <br> Q-K2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Kt-B4 |  |

The most natural looking move, but here it is a mistake, which costs at least a Pawn. Best was $22 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 2$, when white can establish his Knight very strongly by 23 P-QKt4, Kt-K5; 24 P-Kt5, followed by Kt-B6, with definitely better prospects for the endgame.
A. Kupchik

I. Kashdan

## 23 P.QKt4

P.K4

The point is that if $23 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$. K5; 24 Kt -B6! RxRch; 25 QxR, Q-Kt2; 26 Kt-K7ch! wins. The text is the only move to save the exchange.

| 24 | Kt-B5 | Q-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 25 | R×Reh | $R \times R$ |
| 26 | KtxP | $K \times K t$ |

Q-B3
25 RxRch
K KKt

If the Kt moves, 27 Kt R 5 and the Black King is too exposed.

| 27 PxKt | PxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 28 RxP | Q-Q3 |

The best chance to obtain some counter play but White can easily maintain the position, and keep the extra Pawn.

| 29 | R-B1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 30 | Q-Kt4ch |
| 31 | Q-QB4 |
|  | K-B1 |

Now everything is defended, for if . . . QQ8ch? 32 RxQ, RxR; 33 Q-B1, etc.
31 ...
K.Kt2
32 P-KR4
. . .

This leads to some difficulty. P-KR3 would have been simpler, and more secure.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
32 \\
33 & \text { R-Q3 } \\
\cdots
\end{array}
$$

And here $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$; $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ would have been more effective than the text, which loses some ground.

$$
33 \ldots \quad \text { Q-Kt5 }
$$

The exchange of Queens would lead to a fairly easy win in the ending.

## 34 Q-KB5

Now the strongest method, to force an exchange of Pawns. But not 34 Q-QB5?? R-Q8ch! $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{QxP}$ mate!

| 34 | QxP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 35 QxKPch | R-B3 |
| 36 R-B2 | K-Kt3 |
| 37 R-Q2 | R-K3 |

Allowing an exchange, which makes things easier. But Black has little to do. If $37 \ldots$

## MANHATTAN CHESS CLUB

HOTEL ALAMAC<br>Broadway and 71st St., New York

Organized 1877
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> Club Always Open

Non-members will be admitted upon presentation of a card issued by the Secretary upon request

P-KR3; 38 P-Kt3, Q-QKt5; 39 Q-Q4, to be followed by Q-Kt4ch, etc.

## 38 R-Q6 <br> R×R

If $38 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QB} 5$; $39 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4!$ threatening mate, and also P-B5ch, wins the Rook by force.

| 39 QxRch | P-B3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 40 Q-Q3ch | K-R3 |
| 41 Q-Q4 | $\ldots$. |

This forces the exchange of Queens, or the gain of a second Pawn, winning quickly in either case.
41... Q.Kt4

If $41 \ldots \mathrm{QxQ}$; 42 PxQ , K-Kt4; 43 P-B3, KB4; $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ wins. Now White can exchange by Q -KB4, but the capture is at least as strong.

| 42 QxP | Q.Kt4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 43 Q-KB7 | Q-K4 |
| 44 P-R4 | P.B4 |

This allows a forced exchange of Queens, but Black is hopelessly lost in any case, as there is no chance for perpetual check.

| 45 | Q-B8ch | K-Kt3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 46 Q -Kt8ch | K-R3 |  |
| 47 | Q-Kt5ch! | Resigns |

For after $47 \ldots \mathrm{KxQ} ; 48$ P-B4ch, K-B3; 49 PxQch, KxP; $50 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ wins. Or $48 \ldots \mathrm{QxP}$; 49 PxQch, KxP; 50 P-R5, and the Pawn cannot be stopped.

| U. S. Championship Tournament New York, 1936 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| CAR (Not | ENSE <br> hdan) |
| H. Morton White | I. Kashdan Black |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { P-K4 } \\ & 2 \text { P-Q4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { P-QB3 } \\ \text { P.Q4 } \end{gathered}$ |

Alternatives are $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{BxB}$; $5 \mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$, followed by $\ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$, or 3 Kt QB3, PxP; 4 KtxP, B-B4, or Kt-B3, with an even game in every case.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
3 \because B & P \times P \\
4 \ddot{Q} 3 & \therefore \because
\end{array}
$$

More enterprising play is $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4} 4$, leading to complications after Kt -KB3; $5 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$, Kt -B3; 6 Kt -B3, B-Kt5; $7 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{KKtxP} ; 8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QKt} 5, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$; 9 Q-Kt3, etc. With the text, White seeks to restrain Black's QB, and plays for simple development.
4
Kt-KB3

Delaying Kt-KB3 until Black shuts in his Bishop by . . . P-K3. 5 . . . B-Kt5, is playable anyway, but Black is quite content to develop the Bishop on the Queen side.

| 5 | Ot-B3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | B-KB4 | P-K3 |
| 7 | Kt-Q2 | P-QR3 |

Preparing for . . P-QKt4, which is useful either in supporting a Knight at QB5, or to start a Queen side attack by . . . P-Kt5.

| 8 KKt-B3 | P-QKt4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 9 Kt-K5 | B-Kt2 |
| 10 Q-K2 | $\cdots$. |

Preventing . . . Kt-QR4 (with the idea of . Kt-B5) when 11 BxPch! PxB; 12 QxPch, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; 13 P-QKt4 would win.

10 . $\dot{x}$

KtxKt
11 PxKt would not be favorable to White, as he cannot do much on the King side, and Black would gain good squares for his pieces.

| $11 . .$. | B-K2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 120.0 | 0.0 |
| 13 P.KB4 | $\ldots .$. |

Planning a direct attack, but it does not turn out well. $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ should have been played first, or $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ to safeguard the other wing.

## I. Kashdan


H. Morton

## 13

P-Kt3
$13 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ is too risky because of 14 Bx Pch! KxB; 15 Q-R5ch, K-Kt1; 16 BxP !! KxB; 17 R-B3, B-R5 (this and Black's next move are practically forced) 18 Q -Ktách, B-Kt4; 19 PxB , with a powerful attack for the piece. But after the text Black threatens . . . Kt-Q2 and P-B3, which compels the exchange of White's Bishop.

```
14 BxKt
15 Kt -Kt3
BxB
```

15 P-QR3 was in order, to prevent . . . P-Kt5. Black now has a slight advantage, owing to the two Bishops. The text leads to some exact and very interesting play.

$$
15 \ldots \quad \text { P-Kt5! }
$$

The proper idea. It opens the lines, and creates targets which can be readily attacked. White's resources had to be carefully examined.

## 16 Kt -B5

16 PxP, Q-Kt3; 17 P-QR3, BxPch; 18 KtxB, QxKtch, would leave Black with the better endgame, as he can eventually establish two passed Pawns in the center, whereas White's Queen side Pawns are relatively ineffective.

## $16 \ldots \quad$ B.B1 <br> 17 BxRP

Not 17 KtxRP, PxP; 18 PxP, BxKt; 19 BxB , $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$, followed by $\ldots \mathrm{QxBP}$ and the QP falls. 17 . . .

## BxB

An interesting attempt which just falls short is $17 \ldots \mathrm{PxP}$; $18 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 19 \mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{QxBP}$; 20 , B-Kt7, BxPch; $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R1}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R2}$ (if QxKt; 22 QR-B; followed by BxR, with the exchange ahead) $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ ! (but not 22 QR -B1, Q-K6! 23 QxQ, BxQ, remaining a Pawn up) R-Q1; 23

QR-B1, Q-K6; 24 Q-Kt5! or $23 \ldots$ Q-R4; 24 BB6 retaining a material advantage.

| 18 | KtxB | PxP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 19 | PxP | Q-R4 |
| 20 | Kt-Kt4 | KR-B1! |

Black could have regained his Pawn at once by ... BxPch; 21 PxB, QxKt, but then White would have an easy game to defend by 22 KR Q1, R-Q2, etc. Black's plan must be to win the Pawn without exchanging his Bishop, which will be very useful in the further play. That this plan would prove feasible had to be foreseen when 15 . . P-Kt5 was played, and is the justification for the entire maneuver from that point.

## 21 Q.Q2

The best. Not 21 KR -B1, RxP: $22 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{BxP}$ ch wins. Or $21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6!22 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{RxQ}$, which will soon win both Pawns.

21

R-B5

22 P-QR3

A necessary precaution. If $23 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{B} 1$, BxPch; 24 PxB, QxKt! 25 QxQ, RxQ; 26 R-Q1, QR-R5 wins the Pawn.

$22 \underset{\text { KR-B1 }}{ } \quad$| QR-QB1 |
| ---: |
| Q-B2 |

Threatening . . BxPch, as well as RxBP. 24 Kt -R2
If 24 Kt -R6, BxPch! 25 QxB (evidently not 25 PxB, RxRch, etc.) Q-Kt2; 26 Q-Q2, QxKt; and the threat of $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ will win the QBP. Again there is a pretty idea which will not work: $24 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2 ; 25 \mathrm{Kt}$-B5 (not 25 Kt Kt4, RxBP! as in the game), R(B) xKt; 26 PxR , QxPch; $27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2!\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ !? 28 QxB1! (on 28 PxB , RxRch; $29 \mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{QxRch} ; 30 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B1}, \mathrm{QxRP}$ is a winning endgame), RxQ; 29 PxR, QxPch; 30 K-R1, QxP; 31 P-QR4! and though Black is two Pawns ahead, there is little to do about the dangerous RP.

## ${ }_{25}^{24}$ Kt-Kt4 <br> Q-R2

This loses quickly, but a Pawn must fall, and Black's position will remain greatly superior. If 25 Q-Kt2, RxQP! $26 \mathrm{PxR}, \mathrm{BxPch} ; 27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$, BxQ; 28 RxRch, K-Kt2; followed by QxP, and the two Pawns plus are sufficient to decide.

$$
26 .{ }_{R \times R}
$$

$\mathbf{R \times B P}$ !
$26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ was better, but after $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B})-\mathrm{B} 5$ ! the Pawns begin to drop. The text loses at least the exchange.

| 26 K.P. | BXPch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 27 K-R1 | B×R |
| 28 Q-QB2 | R-B5 |
| Resigns |  |

As . . . BxKt is threatened, in addition to . BxR.

DON'T FORGET TO
RENEW YOUR
SUBSCRIPTION!

## Addenda to Griffith and White

By Fred Reinfeld

One of the great desiderata of all chess players is a book on the openings which will be accurate, thorough and up-to-date. The search for such a book is about as likely to be successful as the quest for the Philosopher's Stone; yet the perennial demand for a firstclass book on the openings is based on the real needs of practically every player, be he master or tyro.

The only book in the English language which comes even remotely near the ideal is "Modern Chess Openings" by Griffith and White. To cavil at the imperfections of such a work is ungrateful; the assembling of so much information at so reasonable a cost is a task for which the chess world must be grateful. However, I have found that this work contains lacunæ and errors, which while slight when compared to the total scope of the book, are nevertheless calculated to mar the value of this compendium for all but master players. In this and future articles I shall therefore call attention to such lines of play as unquestionably call for revision or elaboration. My purpose is not to supersede Modern Chess Openings, but to enhance its value.

## ALEKHINE'S DEFENSE

## Column 3

After the moves:

| P-K4 | Kt-KB3 | 6 | BPxP | Kt-B3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 P-K5 | Kt-Q4 | 7 | B.K3 | B-B4 |
| 3 P.QB4 | Kt-Kt3 | 8 | Kt-QB3 | P-K3 |
| 4 P.Q4 | P-Q3 | 9 | B-K2 | B-K2 |
| 5 P-B4 | PxP | 10 | Kt-B3 |  | there is no mention of the plausible continuation $10 \ldots$ Q-Q2; 11 O.O, O-O.O (similar to the line of play in Column 2). It is worth

## MODERN CHESS OPENINGS

By Griffith and White Published by David McKay Co.
Fifth edition completely revised by P. W. Sergeant, R. C. Griffith and M. E. Goldstein. Most authoritative compilation of openings from master practice. Essential for expert and beginner. Specially compiled for match and tournament players.

PRICE POSTPAID $\$ 1.50$
Catalogues sent on request by
David McKay Co.
604 So. Washington Square Philadelphia, Pa.
noting that the previous edition gave this line in Note (b) to Column 1 as a suggestion of Tartakower's.

Black


White
From this point Tartakower continued his analysis (Die Hypermoderne Schachpartie, P. 4S2) with

| 12 P-Q5! | PxP | RxB wins! |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 BxKt | RPxB | 16 KtxB | PxKt |
| 14 PxP | Kt-Kt5 | 17 RxP | B-B4ch |
| 15 Kt -Q4! | P-Kt3 | 18 K-R1 | KtXQP | If 15 . . B-B4; 16

"and White's attack is fully tamed." However, in an important article on this opening (Wiener Schachzeitung 1927, P. 18) Grunfeld pointed out that White now wins a piece by 19 P-K $6!!$ (19 . . . PxP; $20 \mathrm{RxKt}, \mathrm{PxR}$ ? 21 B-Kt4).

## Column 5

This consists of the moves:

| P.K4 | Kt.KB3 | 8 P-B5 | KKt-Q2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 P-K5 | Kt-Q4 | 9 Kt -KB3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 3 P-QB4 | Kt-Kt3 | 10 P.QKt4 | 0.0 |
| 4 P-Q4 | P-Q3 | 11 Q-B2 | R-K1 |
| 5 PxP | KPxP | 12 QKt-Q2 | B-Kt5 |
| 6 B-Q3 | B-K2 | 13 Kt -K5 | Kt-B3 |
| 7 B-K3 | P.Q4 |  |  |

the column (Zubareff-Torre, Moscow 1925) is now broken off without any comment.

The game continued 14 QR-Ktt? KtxKt! 15 PxKt, P-Q5! and Black has the initiative. But it is clear that the simple 14 KtxKt , PxKt; 15 O.O is considerably in White's favor because of the weakness of Black's $Q$ side. The moral to be drawn from this line of play is that . . . P. Q4 is an inferior move for Black.

[^1]| 1 | P-K4 | Kt-KB3 | 6 B-K3 | P-Kt3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2 P-K5 | $K t-Q 4$ | 7 Kt-QB3 | B-Kt2 |  |
| 3 P-QB4 | Kt-Kt3 | 8 Kt-B3 | Kt-B3 |  |
| 4 P-Q4 | P-Q3 | 9 | P-KR3 | $0-0$ |
| 5 PxP | BPxP | 10 Q-Q2 | $\cdots \cdots$ |  |

Black


White
This is a variation which gives scope for some very intricate play. I do not quite understand why the line recommended for White in the previous edition has been dropped here (7 P-Q5, B-Kt2; 8 B-Q4). The game being quoted (Yates-Alekhine, Dresden, 1926) continued:

| 10 | P-Q4 | 14 B-R6 | B. 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 P-B5 | Kt-B5 | 15 BxB | K×B |
| 12 BxKt | PxB | 16 Kt -K2 | B-Q6 |
| $13 \mathrm{O}-0$ | Kt.Kt5 | 17 KR-Q1 | ... |

with advantage to White. I recall that when I first saw this game, the move $10 \ldots$ P-Q4 (with its underlying idea of play on the white squares) seemed rather artificial in combination with the K side fianchetto. The suspicion was confirmed by a subsequent article by Kmoch (Kagans Neueste Schachnachricbten, 1927, P. 131), who recommended (see Diagram 2) 10 . . P-K4! which is decidedly more in accordance with the whole trend of Black's previous play. Kmoch gives the following possible continuations: $11 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{KtxP}$; 12 KtxKt , BxKt (and Black has an excellent game, since . . . P-Q4 can hardly be prevented) e. g.:
(a) 13 B-K2, B-K3; 14 P-QKt3, P-Q4!
(b) 13 R-Q1, B-K3; 14 P-QKt3, R-B1; 15
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q4}$ (if $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ !), $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 16 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R}$ K1; $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 18 \mathrm{PxP}$ (not 18 KtxP , BxKt; $19 \mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$, etc.), BxQP ; 19 KtxB , KtxKt; 20 QxKt, QxQ ; 21 RXQ, R-B7; 22 R-Q2, R-B8ch; 23 R-Q1, R-B7; drawn.
The above is all Kmoch's analysis, and he adds: "It is quite possible that Black's moves may be improved on, while White's moves here are practically all forced." One final point: Kmoch's suggestion of 6 P-QKt3 instead of 6 B-K3 seems of doubtful value, to judge from
the game Winz-Danielsson, Warsaw 1935 (see the Tournament Book, P. 173).

## Column 8

There is an indication left here for note (g), but there is no note (g)!! The game quoted in the column is Maroczy-Colle, Bled 1931 and the position at the close is somewhat in White's favor:

| 1 | P-K4 | Kt-KB3 | 8 PxP | RPxP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | P-K5 | Kt-Q4 | 9 Kt-B3 | Kt-B3 |
| 3 P-QB4 | Kt-Kt3 | 10 | B-Q3 | B-R3 |
| 4 P-B5 | Kt-Q4 | 11 O-Q | B-K2 |  |
| 5 Kt-QB3 | Kt×Kt | 12 R-K1 | BxB |  |
| 6 QPxKt | P-K3 | 13 | QxB | R-R4 |
| 7 B-K3 | P-QKt3 | 14 | B-B4 | $0-0$ |

## Column 9

After the moves:


White


Black
But Tartakower has shown (L'Echicquier, 1932, p. 1711) that this apparently simple position is not even. He continues with:

|  | B-B4 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | fearfully | cramped. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KtxB | Kt -R3 | $20 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | KtxKt |
|  | Kt-R5! | QR-Kt1 | 21 RxQR | RxR |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22 B-B4 |  |
|  | R-Q7 | B-Q1 | R-Q7 | can be an |
|  | B-K3 | P-QKt3 | swered | R-Q1! |
| 18 | Kt-B6 | R-R1 | 22 | R-QB1 |
| 19 | KR-Q1 | Kt-Kt1 | if 22 R | ; 23 BxP , |
|  | 19 | B-B3 would | R-K7; | K-B1, Rx |
|  | void any | immediate | P ? 25 R | Q6! wins. |
|  | aterial | loss, but | 23 R-Q7 |  |
|  | ould le | ave Black | and Whit | wins a |

(To be continued)

## Additional Philadelphia Games

In the diagrammed position, Black to move, Morton conceded Dake a draw. Actually, there is a forced win possible.
A. C. F. Congress

August, 1936
H. Morton

A. W. Dake

|  | K-Q5! | 6 | K-R4 | K-B5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 K-R5 | K-B6 | (If $6 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Qb}$ : ) |  |  |
| Diagonal | opposi- |  |  |  |
| tion! |  | 7 | K-R3 | K-B6 |
| 3 K-R4 | K-Kt7! | 8 | K-R4 | K-Kt7! |
| 4 P.Kt3 | K-B6 | 9 | P-Kt5 | PxPch |
| 5 K-R3 | K-Q5! | 10 | KxP | K-B6! |

## A. C. F. Congress <br> August, 1936

 QUEEN'S PAWN OPENING(Notes by I. A. Horowitz)

| I. A. Horowitz | S. Bernstein |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| 3 Kt-KB3 | P-QB4 |
| 4 Kt-B3 | -... |

Simple and unenterprising. 4 P-Q5 inviting the Blumenfeld Counter Gambit (4... P-QKt4) would undoubtedly lead to interesting positions.

| 4 K.- | PxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 5 KtxP | P-Q4 |
| 6 B-Kt5 | PxP |
| 7 P-K3 | B-K2 |
| 8 BxP | $0-0$ |

8... P-K4 followed by the exchange of Queens would leave White ahead in development.

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
9 \text { O-O } & \text { P-QR3 } \\
10 \text { Q-K2 } & \text { Kt-Q4 }
\end{array}
$$

With the idea of simplifying. Otherwise, after White continues $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ and $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B1}$ it will be difflcult for Black to find a suitable continuation.

11 BxKt
If 11 BxB, KtxKt!

| 11 | BxB |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 12 B-K4! | Kt-Q2 |  |
| 13 KR-Q1 | Q-K2 |  |
| 14 | QR-B1 | R-Kt1 |

To relieve the pressure exerted on the Ktp, and possibly with the idea of eventually continuing . . . P-QKt4.
15 B-Kt1
P.B4

To prevent Kt-K4, but this weakens the Pawn formation.

16 P-QR3
Kt -Kt3
The threat was 17 KtxKP! 17 B-R2

B-Q2
If 17 . . . K-R1 with . . . P-K4 to follow, then 18 P-QR4 as played is effective.

## 18 P-QR4!

To retard Black's development by driving the Kt , or after $18 \ldots$ P-QR4, to gain QKt5 and subsequent command of the Black squares for the White Kt.


If $20 \ldots$ P-K4; 21 Kt -B3 followed by 22 Q B7 and if $20 \ldots$ Kt-Q3; 21 Q-Kt4! The text injects life into what was rapidly becoming a forlorn hope.
S. Bernstein


1. A. Horowitz
$21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ !?
This certainly is in line with the spirit of the previous play, but the simpler $21 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{BxP}$; 22 R-B2 followed by 23 R-K2 might have yielded more fruitful results sooner. Any misstep on White's part here would have been fatal: 21 Q-B7, PxP (among others); 22 QxR , $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ and Black has at least a draw by $23 \ldots$ BxPch, followed by . . Q-R5ch, etc.


The point. Black's Queen and Bishop are simultaneously attacked.
24... RxKt; 25 PxR, B-B3; 26 Q-K2 and White has an adequate defense, with an exchange to the good.

25 Q-B7
Threatening 26 QxR , but not 26 QxB , or 26 $\mathrm{RxB}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 8(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{ch}$, etc.


If $30 \ldots$ P-K5; 31 Kt -B1 is sufficient.

A. C. F. Congress

August, 1936
(Notes by H. Morton)
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED


## A. C. F. Congress

August, 1936
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
I. Kashdan

White

| 1 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 19 | PxB | R-Kt3! |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 20 | B-Q4 | Q-Kt4 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | Kt-B3 | 21 | KR-Kt1 | P-R4 |
| 4 | Kt-B3 | Kt-K5 | 22 | P-QR4 | P.R5 |
| 5 | P-K3 | P-K3 | 23 | B-B1 | P×P |
| 6 | KtxKt | PxKt | 24 | RPxP | K-B2 |
| 7 Kt-Q2 | P-KB4 | 25 | B-Kt2 | R-R3 |  |
| 8 | P-QR3 | B-Q3 | 26 | P-R5 | QR-R1 |
| 9 | P-B5 | B-B2 | 27 | PxP | R-R7! |
| 10 P-QKt4 | O-O | 28 | BxP! | Q-R4 |  |
| 11 | B-K2 | Kt-Q2 | 29 | K-B1 | PxB |
| 12 | Kt-B4 | P-QKt4 | 30 | QxKP | R-R8ch |
| 13 | PxP e. P. | PxP | 31 | K-Kt2 | R-R7ch |
| 14 | B-Kt2 | Kt-B3 | 32 | K-B1 | R-R8ch |
| 15 | O-O | Kt-Q4 | 33 | K-Kt2 | R-R7ch |
| 16 | Q-B2 | B-Q2 | 34 | K-B1 | R-R8ch |
| 17 | Kt-K5 | R-B3! |  | Drawn |  |
| 18 | P-Kt3 | BxKt |  |  |  |

A. C. F. Congress

August, 1936
ALEKHINE'S DEFENSE
I. A. Horowitz

White

| 1 | P-K4 | Kt-KB3 | 14 | Kt-K4 | B.K2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-K5 | Kt-Q4 | 15 | Kt-Kt3 | P-QR4 |
| 3 | P-QB4 | Kt-Kt3 | 16 | B-Q2 | Kt.B4 |
| 4 | P-Q4 | P-Q3 | 17 | B-QB3 | Q-Q2 |
| 5 | Kt-KB3 | B-Kt5 | 18 | Kt - 5 | B-B3 |
| 6 | PxP | KPxP | 19 | P-B4 | Kt-Kt3 |
| 7 | B-K2 | Kt-B3 | 20 | BxB | PxB |
| 8 | 0.0 | B-K2 | 21 | B-Kt4 | Q-R5 |
| 9 | P-Q5 | BxKt | 22 | Q-B3 | Kt-Q2 |
| 10 | BxB | Kt -K4 | 23 | Q-KR3 | K-R1 |
| 11 | B-K2 | 0.0 | 24 | R-B3! | Q-B7 |
| 12 | Q-B2 | B-B3 | 25 | Q-R6 | R-KKt1 |
| 13 | Kt-Q2 | Kt(Kt3)-Q2 | 26 | QxPch | Resigns |

A. C. F. Congress

August, 1936
VIENNA GAME

## S. Mlotkowski White

B. F. Winkelman

Black

| 1 P.K4 | P.K4 | 19 | Kt -B3 | B-B5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Kt -QB3 | Kt-KB3 | 20 | Kt-Q1 | QxRP |
| 3 P-KB4 | P-Q4 | 21 | Q-B3 | Q-R8ch |
| 4 PxKP | KtxP | 22 | K-Q2 | R-K5 |
| 5 Q-B3 | Kt-QB3 | 23 | K-K2 | QR-K1 |
| 6 KtxKt | Kt-Q5 | 24 | K-B2 | BxBCh |
| 7 Q-B3 | PxKt | 25 | KtxB | Q-R5 |
| 8 Kt -K2 | Kt -B3 | 26 | B-B4 | Q-Q2 |
| 9 Q-B4 | KtxP | 27 | P.KKt4 | R-B5ch |
| 10 QxKP | Q-K2 | 28 | K-Kt1 | B-K5 |
| 11 P.Q4 | Kt-Kt3 | 29 | R-R2 | Kt-R5 |
| 12 Q-Q3 | B.Kt5 | 30 | B-K2 | B-B6 |
| 13 B-Q2 | 0.0 .0 | 31 | R-KB1 | P.KKt4 |
| 14 O.0.0 | Q-Q2 | 32 | B-Q1 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 15 B-K3 | B-Q3 | 33 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | PxR |
| 16 P-KR3 | B.KB4 | 34 | Ktx B | R.K8ch |
| 17 Q-Q2 | KR-K1 | 35 | QxR | $\mathrm{Kt-B6ch}$ |
| 18 R-K1 | Q.K3 |  | Resigns |  |

## Canadian Section

by F. W. Watson

## Articles pertaining to this department will be accorded special attention if addressed to the Editor at 191 Jones Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

## The Dominion Title

Boris Blumin is Canada's chess champion. To him goes the 1936 title, the first prize, the trophy and the glory that goes with it. The new champion deserved to win. For those who will have their little petty arguments or dislikes and likes, it may be well to make clear that Blumin did

F. W. WAtson not use a hook, but did make one swiping snatch at the silverwareand, after all the excitement and turmoil, it was found to be well in the grip of his hand! Born in Leningrad, R us . sia, 1907, Mr. Blumin came to Canada in 1924, and from that time to 1931 played chess in Toronto and was soon established as a player of exceptional calibre. In the following years Boris settled in Montreal and became city champion on three occasions: 1933, 1934 and 1936; finished second in 1935 Montreal championship, and third in 1935 Dominion tournament.

The final standing:

|  | $W$. | $L$. | D. | Pts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. Blumin | . 10 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| M. Fox |  | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| J. Belson | 9 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| H. Morrison |  | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| R. Drummond | 5 | 5 | 1 | $51 / 2$ |
| H. Opsahl |  | 5 | 1 | $51 / 2$ |
| J. Therien |  | 6 | 0 | 5 |
| Schaffer | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Hayes | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| Cradock |  | 8 | , | 21/2 |
| H. Jordan | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 |
| W. Wilson | 0 | 8 | 3 | $11 / 2$ |

## The Canadian Congress Compendium, 1936

Event: Dominion Chess Congress.
Time: August 28th-September 12th.
Place: Toronto, National ExhibitionAutomotive Building, Mezzanine floor.
Entries: Twelve players-with representation from Montreal, Quebec, Tem-
iskaming, London and Moose Jaw respectively assigned to: B . Blumin and M. Fox; J. Therien; H. Opsahl; W. N. Wilson and H. W. Jordan.

Toronto comprised a field of six players!
Winner: B. Blumin (Montreal).
Eleven rounds of play necessitated two sessions per day with rounds scheduled for $2: 30$ p. m. and 7:30 p. m. Time limit: $20 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{h}$. 66 games were played; white won 31, black won 30 and 5 games were drawn (!). Draws occurred in the 5th, 6th, 8th and 10th rounds; two in 5th round.

The Queen's Pawn, the Ruy Lopez, Giuoco Piano, French Defense, English and Reti openings were mostly favored.

The shortest game- 15 moves! Time: Fortythree minutes, Queen's Pawn, won by Blumin (black) in 10th round against Opsahl.

The longest game- 78 moves. Time: Seven hours, eight minutes! King's Pawn, SchafferMorrison in 1st round; one adjournment. 12 games were adjourned; reports indicate that no games were adjourned in rounds 3,8 and 10 .

The black forces suffered most in 11th, final round, scoring 1 against 5 ; the white forces suffered most in 10 th round, scoring $1 / 2$ to $51 / 2$ ?

Drummond and Opsahl shared honors for compiling a fifty per cent score. Blumin's only reverse was with white against Belson in 1st round- 39 moves, Queen's Pawn.

Fox experienced two defeats, the first with white against Blumin in 4th round - 60 moves, one adjournment-French Defense; the second was with white against Morrison in 9th round - 41 moves, one adjournment, English opening.

Belson played to his first loss with white against Fox in 5th round-43 moves, one adjournment, Queen's Gambit Declined; a second defeat came in 6th round with black against Morrison- 41 moves, French Defense. Morrison lost two games, one in the 5 th round with black against Blumin- 41 moves, one adjournment, Queen's Gambit Declined; with a surprise reversal in 7th round, playing black against Drummond- 56 moves, one adjournment, English opening.

Progressive score-board showed leaders from 3rd round as: Fox, Belson, Morrison and Therien, each with 3 points, with Blumin next and alone at 2 points. 4th round: Belson, Morri-
son and Therien, tie with 4 points; Blumin and Fox, 3 points (Blumin defeated Fox). 5th round: Blumin, Fox, Belson, Morrison and Therien, tie with 4 points (Blumin defeats Morrison, Belson loses to Fox, and Therien was beaten by Opsahl to fade in next three rounds with consecutive losses). Gth round: Blumin, Fox and Morrison-s points; Belson-4 points (Morrison defeats Belson). 7th round: Blumin and Fox- 6 points; Belson and Morrison $\rightarrow$ points (Drummond defeats Morrison!). 8th round: Blumin and Fox-7 points; Belson and Morrison- 6 points. 9th round: Blumin -8 points; Fox, Belson and Morrison-7 points (Morrison defeats Fox). The 10th and 11th rounds added points in respective rotation with Blumin holding the margin.

Major tournament results: 1 st and 2 nd divided between K. Kerns, Toronto, and Abie Yanofsky, 11-year-old Winnipeg prodigy; cup playoff won by Yanofsky. 3rd and 4th divided by I. Schochet and M. Allen, Toronto. Senior Boys: 1st, A. Yanofsky, Winnipeg; 2nd, A. Breckels, Toronto; 3rd, G. Gottlieb and I. Zimmerman, Toronto.

The Toronto Chess Association supervised all necessary arrangements, etc., with direction of tournament entrusted to Malcolm Sim.

Election of executive officials to the Canadian Chess Federation for the ensuing year include: C. A. Crompton (Toronto), President; C. Robillard (Montreal), C. De Wet (Winnipeg), R. Gingras (Quebec), H. W. Jordan (Moose Jaw), as Vice-Presidents; R. Trotier (Quebec), Secretary; B. Freedman (Toronto), Treasurer.

Dominion Championship 1936 QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

White
B. Blumin

| 1 | P.Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 21 | R-R4 | P-Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P-K3 | 22 | Q-B3 | Q-Kt4 |
| 3 | P.B4 | P-QKt3 | 23 | P-QKt4 | Kt-B3 |
| 4 | Kt -B3 | B-Kt2 | 24 | P-R3 | P.R4 |
| 5 | Q-B2 | B-Kt5 | 25 | R-QKt1 | PxP |
| 6 | B-Q2 | 0.0 | 26 | PxP | P-K4 |
| 7 | P-KKt3 | Kt -B3 | 27 | K-B1 | P-Q5 |
| 8 | Q-Q3 | Kt-QR4 | 28 | BxKt | Q×B |
| 9 | B-Kt2 | BXQKt | 29 | Q-R1 | P-B6 |
| 10 | Q×B | Kt-K5 | 30 | P-Kt5 | Q-B5ch |
| 11 | Q-B2 | KtxB | 31 | K-Kt2 | P.B7 |
| 12 | K $\times \mathrm{Kt}$ | Q-K2 | 32 | R-QB1 | Q-Q4ch |
| 13 | P-Kt3 | P-QB4 | 33 | P-K4 | QxKtP |
| 14 | PxP | QxP | 34 | P-B4 | P-Q6 |
| 15 | P.K3 | QR-B1 | 35 | P×P | P-Q7 |
| 16 | QR.QB1 | KR-Q1 | 36 | P-K6 | PxR(Q) |
| 17 | K.K2 | P-QKt4 | 37 | PxPch | K-R1 |
| 18 | P.KR4 | BxKtch | 38 | QxQ | Q-K7ch! |
| 19 | BxB | PxP | 39 | K-R3 | R-Q8 |
| 20 | P.R5 | P-KR3 |  | Resigns |  |

## News Events

## Texas State Championship

Thirty-two players entered the contest to determine the championship of the Lone Star State, held this year at Dallas from September 5 to 7. They were divided into four groups of eight; the two highest in each group entered the championship round robin, the next three in each group qualified for the Class A Tourney and the rest played in the Class B Tourney.

Prior to the commencement of the tournament, W. N. Kendall played eight simultaneous blindfold games, winning 4, losing 2 and draw. ing 2.
W. N. Kendall and J. W. Stapp from Group I, C. P. Gray and Edgar Hartsfield from Group 2, J. C. Thompson and C. Hrissikopoulos from Group 3, and F. H. McKee and Henry Koch from Group 4 won their way into the championship finals. The round robin that followed witnessed a tie for first place between Edgar Hartsfield and J. W. Stapp, with Hartsfield being acclaimed the champion by virtue of his better record against the three leaders. J. Allan Anderson of Ft . Worth acted as referec.

The final standing:

|  | $W$. | $L$. | D. | Pts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. Hartsfield |  | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| J. W. Stapp |  | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| W. N. Kendall | 4 | 2 | 1 | $41 / 2$ |
| J. W. Thompson |  | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| C. Hrissikopoulos |  | 3 | 1 | $31 / 2$ |
| F. H. McKee |  | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| C. P. Gray |  | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| H. Koch* |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | *Lost to Kendall and withdrew.

The leading scores in the Class A Tourney were C. Villareal $91 / 2-1 / 2$, R. West $7-3$, Dr. R. S. Underwood 6-4, O. Brantley 6-4, C. G. Webb 5.5.
H. L. Kornrum won the Class B Tourney, score 8-1, with F. Scott in second place 7-2.
(Continued on Page 248)
(Continued from Page 225)
Tournament. He is scheduled to play two serious clock games in Moscow and will give a number of simultaneous displays enroute in Siberia and Japan.

Reuben Fine writes that he and Flohr will participate in a tournament in Oslo, and from there he intends to go to Russia. This, in con. junction with the advice of mutual friends that Reuben actually has $\$ 10.00$ deposited to his credit in a Russian bank, may shed some light upon a previously dark secret. Now we know why Reuben took up the study of Russian last fall!

# Problem Department 

By R. Cheney

Address all correspondence relating to this department to R. Cbeney, 1339 East Ave., Rochester, N. Y.

## THEME PALAESTRA

If you have solved problems without an ap. preciation of themes, you have missed the true edenic joy of solving. How to know themes? Very simple; solve with one eye on the Theme Palæstra. Beginning with this issue, this feature will endeavor to instruct and entertain, initiate and enmesh the wily solver in the fascinating arcana of theme study. Yes, we promise not to be technical. Nor long-winded. Come inside the composer's brain with us, and see what makes those baffling stratagems evolve.

First, let us consider No. 506 by K. S. Howard, a succinct example of what is known as "pawn-one-two" play. Does this give you an idea? Pawn-one-two-two distinct variations from a pawn-and there you have it! But first we must find a key. Oh, yes!-and so, Mr. Solver, it is still up to you.

Next, look at No. 493, a new theme, creation of Dr. E. Foschini of Italy, and apparently a very fertile one. It may be enunciated as follows: The Black King is given two flights. Two Black pieces control a White battery. As the Black King occupies a flight square he pins one of the controlling Black pieces, allowing the battery so to discharge that the battery forepiece interferes with the non-pinned Black control piece, inflicting mate from the battery hindpiece.

In No. 495 by A. F. MacKenzie we have a related, although different, theme. Here MacKenzie uses self-obstruction of the controlling Black pieces, so that after each Black self-obstruction the battery fore-piece may interfere with the remaining unobstructed Black piece, enabling the hind-piece to deliver mate.

In No. 494 by C. Gavrilov, the theme as proposed by the author is "Two mates with the Queen in the line of pin," and a sparkling task it is! Does this give a clue to the key? We hope so.

Now, scan No. 498 by H. Guttman. Here is news, for just to hand we have a letter from Dr. G. Erdos of Vienna with the following stirring announcement:
"A new problem school constituted in Vienna intends to open up new paths in the invention and study of problem ideas. Among the various studies already considered is a theme which it desires to name the "London Theme" in honor of English composers. The London

Theme is characterized in the following way:
"By decoying one or several Black men the first or second move of a new threat is made possible; moreover, due to the decoys, one or several new partial defenses to the new threat are created. By the decoys no disturbing Black moves but positional hindrances must be removed."

For example, in No. 498 the Key: 1 Qc3 threatens 2 Qh8 mate, therefore $1 \ldots$ Pes (the decoy) which allows 2 Qh3 with a threat of 3 Qc 8 mate. After 2 Qh 3 comes the partial defense $2 \ldots$ Pe6 (another decoy), foiling the threat, but allowing 3 Qa3 mate! Incidentally a marvelous switchback!

Now with the elements of the theme in hand, you can solve Nos. 510, 512, 514 and 500, all charming variants of the London Theme.

Finally, examine No. 497, the first known example of the R. Cheney theme, and with pure mates in the main line! The theme idea consists in a pin by Black which is changed to a half-pin by White's following move; whereupon either one of White's half-pinned pieces is captured by a Black piece, thus releasing the remaining White piece which delivers mate with an interference unpin (Brede) effect. If this seems involved, solve the problem.

In passing we might state that No. 497 shows the maximum thematic content for this theme.

No. 511, an original by A. D. Gibbs, also with maximum thematic content, is perhaps the most stimulating attainment of this theme to date.

Well, having undergone your novitiate, let us know how you like the experiment. And if you have any questions, command us.

## SOLUTIONS

No. 463 by M. Charosh. 1 Sd 3 . Neat presentation of a familiar theme.-G. Dobbs. This style of twomover was in vogue forty years ago, but to me it has no interest as it does not illustrate any particular theme.-K. S. Howard.
No. 464 by M. Charosh. No solution. After 1 Pc6, Sc2. $2 \mathrm{Sc} 4, \mathrm{Se} 3!$ and no mate. The intended mutual interference of S and B is cleverly arranged.-Ed.
No. 465 by W. Jacobs. Cooked by 1 Rb8, Ra6: 2 Qa2 ! and 1 Qd1. Threat: 2 Qxhs 3 Qes mate. If 1 $\dot{R}$ eisewhere on file $\dot{A} \cdot{ }_{2}$ Pesch. Intention: $i$ Qc3, RxQ: 2 Rbs! $1 \ldots$ PxQ; 2 Se3.
No 466 by J. F. Tracy. 1 RdI! RxP: 2 Qe3ch! $1_{\text {Bx }}$ :
 Highly praised by many solvers and received an almost unanimous vote for honor prize. Unfortunately this gem of sacrificial strategy is completely anticipated by A. F. MacKenzic-f. No. 143 in "Chess Lyrics." The two positions are identical with the exception of a single black pawn, therefore ineligible for honor prize.

## Quoted Section

493
DR: E. FOSCHINI Australasian Chess Review (Foschini Theme)


Mate in 2

494
C. GAVRILOV

Xadrez Brasileiro June, 1936


Mate in 2

## 495

A. F. MACKENZIE

Chess Lyrics


Mate in 2

496
VINCENT E. EATON
Ajedrez Espanol Ty. 1935


Mate in 3

497
R. CHENEY

Russian Ty. June, 1936
(R. Cheney Theme)


Mate in 3

498
H. GUTTMANN Source?
(London Theme)


Mate in 3

499

## OTTO WURZBURG

Schachminiaturen No. 322


Mate in 3

500
DR. G. ERDOS
Source?
(London Theme)


Mate in 3

501
C. M. FOX

British Chess Magazine
April, 1934


Black Plays and Helps White Mate in 4

## Original Section

502
R. J. BERMUDEZ

Aguascalientes, Mexico


Mate in 2

503
VINCENT L. EATON
Washington, D. C.


Mate in 2

504


Mate in 2

505
WILLIAM PATZ
Irvington, N. J.


Mate in 2

506
KENNETH S. HOWARD
Erie, Pennsylvania


Mate in 2

507
OTTO WURZBURG
Grand Rapids, Michigan (Dedicated to Alain C. White)


Mate in 2

508
R. J. BERMUDEZ

Aguascalientes, Mexico


Mate in 3

509
VINCENT L. EATON Washington, D. C.


Mate in 3

510
DR. G. ERDOS
Vienna, Austria (London Theme)


Mate in 3

# Original Section (cont'd) 

511
A. D. GIBBS

Buffalo, New York (R. Cheney Theme)


Mate in 3

512
K. HAJEK

Vienna, Austria
(London Theme)


Mate in 3

513
C. S. KIPPING

Wednesbury, England


Mate in 3

514
A. QUALKOWITZER

Vienna, Austria
(London Theme)


Mate in 3

515
W. K. WIMSATT

Washington, D. C.


Mate in 3

516
DR. GILBERT DOBBS
Carrollton, Georgia


Mate in 4

517
E. McCARTHY

Rochester, New York


Mate in 4

518
MANNIS CHAROSH
Brooklyn, New York


White MAXImates in 5

519
J. F. TRACY

Ontario, California


White SELFmates in $s$

No. 467 by J. F. Tracy. ${ }^{1}$ Bf6. Threat: 2 Qc6 mate. ... PxB; 2 Rdoch. 1 ... BxR; 2 Qbsch. 1 Kc4; 2. Qd4ch.
The short threat is rather strong, but otherwise good.-G. Dobbs. I dislike the short threat, and there is not enough to the problem to counterbalance this defect.-K. S. Howard. The models after 1...BxR and 1...Kc4 are commendable. Ed No. 468 by J. F. Tracy. Cooked by 1 Qxf7, Sc7: 2 Kxc8: Threat: 2 Qe6 mate. Intention: 1 Re3. Threat: 2 Rd3 mate. $1 . . . K$ Kds; 2 Qxf7ch. 1... PxR: 2 Rd4ch. 1 . . . Pe4; 2 BxPch. S any; 2 Rd3ch.
No. 469 by A. Chicco. 1 Rg5. The play of the two Black Knights is fine-two self-blocks, two pins and two interferences.-G. Dobbs.
No. 470 by C. Mansfield. 1 Ses. Here, too, the alternate interference is good.-G. Dobbs.
No. 471 by M. Segers. 1 Qfs. Fine changed cross-checker. -G. Dobbs. The try 1 Sds given by several solvers is defeated by $1 \ldots$ QxP.-Ed.
No. 472 by G. Latzel.
1 Rg4, Pfs: 2 Rff, Rg6; 3 RxR etc.
1.... Rhs: 2 Rf4, Rts; 3 RxR etc.
1.... Ras: 2 Rg3ch, PxR; 3 Rh8ch, etc.

Splendid oddity featoring a series of Roman interferences. Note the waiting strategy after the key.Ed.
No. 473 by J. Halumbirek.
1 Bh7, Kf8; 2 Ra7, Ke8; 3 Re1ch, Any; 4 Bb1 etc. 1 . . Kd8; 2 Bfs. Ke8; 3 Relch, Kd8; 4 Rd7 ch, etc.
3. . . Kf8; 4 Bbl etc.

The moves are well-timed and the play somewhat deceptive on account of the static S. E. corner.G. Dobbs.

No. 474 by S. Steiner.
1 Ba4, BxB; 2 Sgbch, Ke6; 3 Qcbch.
.... Bb3: 2 Sf7ch, Kfs; 3 Qdsch.
$\mathrm{Bc} 2: 2 \mathrm{Sd} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Ke6} ; 3$ Qe4ch.
BxP'; 2 Sf2ch. Kf5; 3 Qf3ch.
The four lines are handsomely forced-a splendid sui.-G. Dobbs. The offerings of the Queen along the diagonal are pretty.-P. Rothenberg.

## NOTES AND NEWS

Solvers are to be congratulated on the excellent response to our challenge, and a number of splendid sets of solutions were received. Prizes go to Burnett B. Wisegarver, who scored 23 out of 26 possible points on the Original Section, and H. B. Daly, who scored the full 18 points on the Quoted Section, and gave all significant variations. By "complete solutions" we mean all significant variations down to the mating move. In case of tie the set of solutions with best appended comments will receive the prize.

In deference to many solvers who have requested that we continue the Ladder, we are running an informal ladder in which only the previous score and current points obtained are given. We are not able to run an orthodox ladder in which the points for each problem are separately listed, as this requires too much space. The informal ladder gives you just as much chance to climb and see your score grow. S8 join the merry throng before the scores begin to mount.

Honor Prize to J. F. Tracy for bis No. 467. Congratulations to this last surviving member of America's band of famous old-time composers!

## INFORMAL LADDER

B. Wisegarver, 41; G. Dobbs, 38; W. Patz, 35; H. B. Daly, 32; 1. Burstein, 32; G. Plowman, 32; P. Rothenberg, 32; A. Sheftel, 32; J. F. Tracy, 32; M. Gonzalez, 30; H. Hausner, 29; W. Vanwinkle, 27; K. Lay, 24; M. Hertzberger, 23; A. Tokash, 23; W. Towle; 22; I. Burn, 17; K. S. Howard, 17; G. Berry, 8; P. Papp, 7; W. Rawlings, 7; J. Turner, 7.

## (Continued from Page 243)

At the annual business meeting of the Texas Chess Association, Lubbock was chosen as the site for the 1937 tournament and new officers were elected as follows: Dr. R. S. Underwood, Lubbock, President; J. C. Thompson, Dallas, Vice-President; C. Gordon Webb, Lubbock, Secretary-Treasurer.

## Empire State News

The annual tourney for the New York State Championship was held this year at Poughkeepsie from August 31 to September 5. I. Kashdan successfully defended his title, going through the schedule with 10 victories and 1 draw. Herman Helms, the only contestant to hold Kashdan in check, also went undefeated and finished in a tie for second and third with G. Shainswit, champion of the Empire City C. C. The leading scores: I. Kashdan $101 / 2$ $1 / 2$, H. Helms 9-2, G. Shainswit 9-2, E. Martinson $71 / 2-31 / 2$, J. Soudakoff $7-4$, J. S. Battell 6.5.

In the Class A tourney run simultaneously with the championship, Lynn H. Bryant, President of the Binghamton Chess Club, took first place with a score of $41 / 2-11 / 2$, and secured possession of the Poughkeepsie C. C. Cup.

The Marshall Chess Club is once again sponsoring a tournament for women. Last year's tourney was such a splendid success that plans for the current tourney have become somewhat more ambitious. The tourney will be open to all women whether members of the Marshall C. C. or not. In fact, out-of-town women will be especially welcome. The preliminaries will start the middle of October and the finals sometime in January. Mrs. Adele Rivero, last year's winner, Mrs. Mary Bain, Mrs. Wm. Slater, Mrs. Rafæl McCready, Miss Helen White and Miss Edith L. Weart, who reached the finals last year, will be exempt from the preliminary rounds. The Hazel Allen Trophy will again be placed in competition and it is hoped that the winner this year will be officially recognized as the leading American woman player and sent to represent this country in the International Ladies Tournament to be held in Stockholm next summer in conjunction with the International Team Tournament.


[^0]:    M. Botwinnik, a bye.

[^1]:    Column 7
    This proceeds as follows:

