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## SALO FLOHR STAGES COMEBACK!

MANHATTAN CHAMPIONSHIP * A.V.R.O. * HASTINGS RESHEVSKY * TARTAKOWER * HODGES * COHEN

## RUBBER STAMPS FOR CHESSMEN



Complete Set, Practical, Handsome, PLUS 2 Stamp Pads and 1 Pad of Diagram Blanks.

Postpaid \$1.65
Diagram Blanks- 3 Pads for $\$ 1.00$
Single Pads ( 100 Diagrams)-40c

## LEATHERETTE CHESS BOARDS

$2^{\prime \prime}$ squares ( $18^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ ) .-.........--- $\$ 1.00$ ea.
$23 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ squares ( $22^{\prime \prime} \times 22^{\prime \prime}$ ) .......... 1.50 ea.
Special $20 \%$ Discount in quantities of 6 or more.

## GAME SCORE SHEETS

Single Pads ( 100 sheets) .-............ $\$ .75$
Three Pads for ............................ 2.00
Six Pads for
HORN POCKET CHESS SETS
Green cloth, black and green $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ squares, folds to fit pocket--.----\$1.25
Same in Brown Leather, black and tan squares, rounded corners $\qquad$
Improved Leather Board with pat-
ented clasp to prevent loss of men- 3.00
Extra Sets of Men50

HORN TRAVELING CHESS SET
Board with Walnut and Maple $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ squares, men of the "peg" type, rounded corners, metal slide covering compartment for chessmen, over all size of board $5^{\prime \prime}$ square $\$ 2.50$

## DRUEKE CHECKERS

Unbreakable Checkers, highly polished, made in black and white, red and white, or red and black. Boxed 30 to a set.
No. 76, Size $11 / 4^{\prime \prime}$...........- $\$ 1.00$ per set No. 74, Size $11 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ (Composition) 1.50 per set

No. 75, Size $11 / 4^{\prime \prime} \quad$ (Interlocking)
2.00 per set

DRUEKE DOMINOES
CATALIN, DOUBLE SIX, DOMINOES No. 100 , Size $2^{\prime \prime} \times 13 / 8^{\prime \prime} \ldots \ldots .$.

|  | CHESS | NS A | MEDALS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | solld Gold | Gold Filled | Gold Plated | Sterling Sllver |
| 500 | -\$3.25 | \$1.50 | \$1.25 | \$1.25 |
| 501 | - 4.25 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| 502 | -15.00 | 7.00 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| 503 | _--12.50 | 5.00 | 3.75 | 3.75 |

THE CHESS REVIEW
25 W. 43rd STREET NEW YORK, N. Y.

NEW IMPROVED POCKET SETS (Catlin Model)
Made of genuine cowhide leather with 16 squares both top and bottom for captured pieces, dimensions folded $41 / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 61 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. Available in brown or blue. SINGLE BOARD (includes 1 set of men)

Made with chessboard on right
hand side, leather pocket for game clippings on left hand side.
DOUBLE BOARD (includes 2 sets of men)

$\$ 4.00$

Has two chessboards. Very handy
for analyzing. One of the two sets
of celluloid men has checker sym.
bols on the reverse side.
Extra Sets of Chessmen
Extra Sets of Combination Chess \& Checkers
Special $20 \%$ Discount in quantities of 6 or more.
NEW IMPROVED CHESS CLOCKS One of the finest clocks we ever handled. Two clocks mounted as a single unit in a fine walnut case, substantially built with a sturdy dependable movement. Overall dimensions: $73 / 4 \times 43 / 4 \times 23 / 4$. Price, f. o. b. New York $\qquad$ $\$ 12.50$ Special Discount of $10 \%$ in quantities of 3 , and $15 \%$ in quantities of 6 or more.

STAUNTON CHESSMEN
Made of Boxwood, loaded, polished, felted.

| No. Height of King | Price |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $21 / 2$ | inches | $\$ 2.75$ |
| 2 | $23 / 4$ | $"$ | 3.00 |
| 3 | 3 | $"$ | 3.50 |
| 4 | $31 / 4$ | . | 4.00 |
| 5 | $31 / 2$ | $"$ | 4.50 |
| 6 | $33 / 4$ | $"$ | 5.00 |
| 7 | 4 | $"$ | 6.00 |
| 8 | $41 / 4$ | $"$ | 7.50 |

Special $20 \%$ discount in quantities of six or more.

## THE CHESS REVIEW

25 W. 43rd STREET
NEW YORK, N. Y.

## Collection of CHESS STUDIES

By A. A. Troitzky

With a supplement on the theory of the end-game of two knights against pawns.

Translated by A. D. Pritzson.
Price $\$ 3.00$

## David McKay Company

Washington Square
Philadelphia
Chess and Checker Casalogue Sent on Request
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## FACTS * FANCIES * FOIBLES

We omitted mention last month of the reception tendered by Mr. and Mrs. Harold M. Phillips on December 25th, to Dr. Emanuel Lasker in honor of his seventieth birthday. A few hundred chess enthusiasts gathered to pay homage to the former World Champion. The taste of the birthday cake, which was made in the form of a chessboard with squares of chocolate and white icing, still lingers pleasantly!
Our Holland correspondent advises that a twenty game match between M. Botwinnik and Dr. Euve is in the air. If consummated, half the games will be played in Holland and half in Russia.
Uncle Sam's postal sleuths generally get their man. Or so it would seem to this observer. Recently an enthusiastic autograph hunter from Holland addressed a letter as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { R. FINE, Esq. } \\
\text { Known chess-player } \\
\text { U. S. A. }
\end{gathered}
$$

The post office, not to be daunted by such a trifing matter, scribbled on the envelope, "Try The Chess Review" and Reuben got his mail!

Commencing with the March issue we shall run a series under the title "Famous Last Round Tourney Thrills" by Paul Hugo Litlle.

Our amiable Dutch translator, Mr, Jobn B. Snethlage, advises that the Dutch newspapers

## SPOT NEWS!

Sammy Resheusky is due home on the Queen Mary, Thursday, February 23rd. Albert C. Simonson will not play at Margate! He plans to marry Miss Jane Weisel on March 2nd and take a honeymoon cruise to the West Indies. Good Luck, "Si"'
Jack Landau informs us that his brother had a high fever while playing his game against Euwe published on page 46. The game speaks for itself!
of November 17 th, carried the report of the death of Paul Jobner, the concert cellist, and the greatest chessplayer Switzerland has ever produced. Paul Johner's principal victories were:

Gothenburg, 1920-B Tourney-First prize ahead of Euwe and Marchand.
Scheveningen, 1923-Tied for first with Spielmann ahead of Colle, Maroczy and Reti.
Trieste, 1924-First ahead of Canal, Yates, Dr. Tarasch.
Debreczin, 1925-Tied for second with Dr. Tartakower, ahead of Kmoch.
Berlin, 1925 -First prize in a four cornered match with Rubinstein, Teichman and Mieses. Paul's cello concerts enabled him to go abroad and participate in international tournaments. He leaves a brother, Hans Jobner, who follows him closely in chess ability, but has confined his activities to Swiss tournaments.

Last month we carried a little story about Albert B. Hodges and Max Judd. We have since learned that it was Judd who made it possible for Hodges to come to New York. Old-timers may remember the Eden Musee and the chess-playing Automaton that was one of its attractions. Judd received an invitation to play in the Automaton, but did not care to leave St. Louis, and turned the job over to Hodges.

Hodges received minute instructions for meeting Mr. Hooper (the owner of the Automaton). On his arrival in New York, he was to check in at a hotel and refrain from making any acquaintances. The next day, he was to contact Mr. Hooper at 11 A. M., by waiting on the northwest corner of 23rd Street and Broadway until a gentleman, (Mr. Hooper, of course), spoke to him. For identification he was to wear a white carnation in his lapel. Hodges carried out his instructions faithfully, and Mr. Hooper, after passing him a couple of times and inspecting him carefully, spoke to him and led him into the Eden Musee by the back entrance on 24th Street.

Hooper informed him that to hold his job he must lose his identity. Hodges was not permitted to visit any of the local chess clubs though he longed to do so. He was not permitted to fraternize with any chessplayers, nor advise his out-of-town friends that he was conducting the Automaton.

One evening a group in formal clothes entered the Musee. One of the members passed a remark that he was going to take the Automaton over the hurdles. He didn't realize that the player in the Automaton could hear everything spoken. Hodges disliked his assurance and made up his mind that he would beat him. And he did.

Of course the loser felt rather chagrined, especially after his previous boasting. He felt obliged to explain away his defeat and remarked, "I can't play good chess standing up! If I could play that thing sitting down, I'd give it Pawn and Move!" He little realized how much harder it was for the player inside the Automaton. It was necessary to remain for hours, bent over in a cramped position. Also, it was only possible for the player in the Automaton to see out of one eye. For Hodges, who wore glasses, this was a special hardship.

Realizing all this, Hodges waxed rather indignant at his opponent's idle boasting and vowed that some day he would get even. From a remark passed, he knew the player belonged to the Manhattan Chess Club. Some time
later, when he was no longer operating the Automaton, Hodges stepped into the Manhattan Chess Club and had the pleasure of squaring accounts. He made arrangements for an introduction to Mr. X, and derived a great deal of personal satisfaction in beating him-Hodges giving the odds of Pawn and Move.

At the conclusion of the A.V.R.O. tournament Botwinnik returned to Russia. On his arrival in Leningrad, he was enthusiastically greeted by a welcoming committee and presented with an exquisite set of Chinese handcarved chessmen.

## Miniature Games

| An Off-Hand Game |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York-June, 1938 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CARO.KANN DEFENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. Goodman |  |  | Amateur |  |  |
| White |  |  | Black |  |  |
| 1 | P.K4 | P-QB3 | 5 | B-Q3 | KKt-B3 |
| 2 | P.Q4 | P-Q4 | 6 | Kt -Kt3 | P-K4 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ | 7 | Kt -B3 | PxP |
| 4 | KtxP | Kt-Q2 |  | 0.0 | B-K2 |

Not $8 \ldots$ P-B4; 9 R-K1ch, B-K2; 10 Kt-B5!

| 9 | KtxP | O.O |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 10 Kt(4)-B5 | B.B4? |  |
| 11 | KtxP! | K×Kt |
| 12 P.Kt4! | $B \times P$ |  |

Slightly hetter was $12 \ldots$ B-K2; but White gains the advantage by $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{Kt1}$; 14 R-K1, R-K1; 15 Q-B3!
13 B-Kt2!
K.R1
14 Kt -B5?
. . .

Here White misses the quickest win: 14 Q-R5!, K-Kt1 (forced); 15 Q-Kt5ch, K-R1; 16 Q-R6, K-Kt1; 17 Kt -R5!


The liberating move for Black in all positions of this type is . . . P-Q4! This is no exception. 7 ... P-Q4!; 8 B-Kt3 (8 PxKt, PxB), P-B7!; 9 B or QxBP, Kt-K5!

| 8 | B-Q5 | Kt-B4 | 11 | Q-R5 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 9 | PxP | B-R4 | 12 | BxKt |
| 10 | Kt-Kt5 | Kt-K3 | 13 | B-B6!! |
|  |  | Q-K1 |  |  |
| P-R3 |  |  |  |  |

The immediate threat was 14 Q -Kt5, P-KKt3; 15 Q-R6! If $13 \ldots$ PxB; 14 B-K4!

14 Q-Kt6
Resigns

## The Russian Masters' Training Tournament

The outstanding feature of the tournament recently completed in Russia is, of course, Salo Flobr's remarkable comeback. After his disappointing performance in the A.V.R.O. Tournament, Alekhine, who had previously championed Flohr's right to a title match, stated "the failure of the two who have come last, (Capablanca and Flohr-Ed.), virtually eliminates them for some time to come from the contests for the world title." Not only Alekhine, but all the critics as well, appeared to be of one mind: Flohr was through. Yet the ink in which these statements were printed was hardly dry, before Flohr disproved them by finishing first in a hard tournament - ahead of Reshevsky and Keres, who outranked him in the A.V.R.O. event. What can account for this reversal of form?

There are a number of reasons. He played in the A.V.R.O. tournament under the double strain of having to live up to his position as the recognized contender for the World Championship and the feeling of insecurity which faced many other Czecho-slovakians as a result of the Munich agreement. We understand that he has made arrangements to live in Russia and the relief thus afforded him on this pressing question, might well be reflected in his games.

In the tournament just concluded he was the Flohr of old. The Flohr who tied for first at Kemeri (in 1937) with Reshevsky and Petrov. The Flohr who tied for first in Moscow (in 1935) with Botwinnik and ahead of Dr. Lasker and Capablanca. The Flohr who always ran up one of the best scores (on a percentage basis) in the International Team Tournaments. His record of eight wins, one loss, and eight draws, is convincing proof that he is back to normal.

Reshevsky finished in second place, $11 / 2$ points behind. He played some good chess but was troubled with a cold that prevented his playing for a while, and caused the tournament committee to postpone a number of his games.

The struggle for third place resulted in a quadruple tie among $A$. Lilienthal (the former Hungarian master who has been living in Russia for a number of years), G. Loewenfisch (the present Russian Champion), V. Makaganov and V. Ragosin.

The fact that Paul Keres, hero of the A.V.R. O. Tournament, finished with a minus score, must be attributed to the let-down after his tremendous effort in Holland.

The tournament was run in two sections: first half at Leningrad, second half at Moscow.

Of the eighteen contestants, fourteen were Russians, (Lilienthal is not considered a Russian). The Soviet press spoke of the event as a training tournament. They considered it a preliminary to the regular Russian Championship Tournament!

| Player | W | L | D | Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. Flohr | 8 | 1 | 8 | 12-5 |
| S. Reshevsky | 7 | 3 | 7 | 101/2-61/2 |
| A. Lilienthal | -4 | 1 | 12 | 10-7 |
| G. Loewenfisch | -5 | 2 | 10 | 10-7 |
| V. Makaganov | 4 | 1 | 12 | 10-7 |
| V. Ragosin | 8 | 5 | 4 | 10-7 |
| I. Rabinowitsch | 6 | 4 | 7 | $91 / 2-71 / 2$ |
| V. A. Alatortzev | 4 | 3 | 10 | $9-8$ |
| S. V. Belavenetz | 4 | 3 | 10 | $9-8$ |
| I. Kan | 5 | 4 | 8 | 9-8 |
| Konstantinopolski | . 5 | 5 | 7 | 81/2-81/2 |
| P. Keres | -3 | 4 | 10 | $8-9$ |
| V. Smislov | -4 | 5 | 8 | 8-9 |
| S. Goglidze | 3 | 5 | 9 | 71/2-91/2 |
| I. Tolusch | 5 | 7 | 5 | $71 / 2-91 / 2$ |
| P. Romanowski | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6.11 |
| V. Bondarevsky | 2 | 9 | 6 | $5-12$ |
| V. Panov | 0 | 10 | 7 | $31 / 2-131 / 2$ |

Flohr's only loss occurs in the first round. A game of the type known as "moral victories". After the opening moves, Flohr does all the forcing, obtains a superior position, and tosses the point into Belavenetz' capacious lap.
'Russian Masters' Training Tourney
Leningrad-January, 1939
CAROKANN DEFENSE


|  | P-K4 | P.QB3 | 4 KtxP | Kt-B3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P.Q4 | P-Q4 | 5 KtxKtch | KPxKt |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | PxP | 6 B-QB4 | B.Q3 |

The position is now similar to the KeresFlohr, 10 th round, A. V. R. O. game, the one difference being that Keres had developed his KKt at B3 instead of his KB at QB4.

## 7 Q-K2ch

B-K2
For his seventh move Keres played B-K2, and then both sides castled. Belavenetz adopts a more violent continuation. Flohr's reply shows that he is intent, right from the beginning, on scoring the point. He wants to keep all the pieces, otherwise $7 \ldots$ Q-K2 would have been more logical than retracting his sixth move. The continuation might be 8 QxQch, KxQ! ; 9 Kt-K2, R-K1; 10 O.O, K-B1.

| 8 | Kt-B3 | O.O | 11 | Q-Q3 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 | O-O | B-KKt5 | 12 | P-KR3 |
| 10 | R-K1 | B-Q3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Instead 12 Kt -R4 followed by Kt -B5 seems more logical. Whenever Black plays . . . R-K1; White answers B-Q2.

| 12 .-... | B-R4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 B-Kt3 | P-R4 |
| 14 P-B3 | . . . |

More to the point is 14 P-QR4. The white KB should be preserved.
14
Kt-B4!
15 Q-Q1

Not 15 PxKt, B-R7ch; etc.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
15 \\
16 \underset{Q \times K \dot{L}}{ } & K t \times B \\
Q-Q 2
\end{array}
$$

Threatening . . . BxKt followed by . . . Qx RP but it would be better to invert the order of moves and play $16 \ldots$ BxKt immediately. The weakening of the white K-side pawns does not involve an ending with opposite colored Bishops and therefore is advantageous.

| 17 | Kt-R2 | P-R5 | 25 | P-Kt3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 18 | Q-B2 | B-B2 | 26 KR-Q1 | B-QR4 |
| 19 | B-K3 | P-KB4 | 27 | QR-B1 |
| 20 | P-KB4! | P-B3 | 28 K-B2 | B-Kt3 |
| 21 Kt-B1 | B-B2 | 29 K-Kt2 | Q-R2 |  |
| 22 | P-B4 | KR-K1 | 30 K-B2 | Q-R1 |
| 23 | Q-Q3 | P-KKt3 | 31 K-Kt2 | Q-Kt2 |
| 24 | P-QKt3 | P-QKt4 | 32 | K-B2 |

The last few moves were made to gain time on the clock. Flohr now decides to make a decisive break.


This is the crucial stage of the game. In making his last move Flohr of course realized that White must reply 37 P-B5 and is counting on giving up his KBP for White's QRP and eventually pushing his QRP through. The flaw in his reasoning is that the White QBP suddenly comes to life and decides matters.

| 37 | P-B5 | BxP | 39 QxP | R-QB1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | R×R | R×R | 40 Kt-K3! | P-R6 |

The capture of the QBP would lose: $40 \ldots$ RxP; 41 Q-Q4! ; R-R4 (comparatively best) ; 42 Q-Q8ch followed by . . R-B7ch, etc.

| 41 | P-B6! | B-Q4 | 44 RxB | R-K1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 42 | P-B7! | Q-R4 | 45 | Q-Q4 |$\quad$ P-R7

## Russian Masters' Training Tourney January, 1939 <br> NIMZOWITSCH DEFENSE (Notes by Samuel Reshevsky)

## S. Reshevsky White <br> G. Loewenfisch <br> Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | P-K3 | 4 | P-K3 | $0-0$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | Kt-KB3 | 5 | B-Q3 | $\cdots$ |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | B-Kt5 |  |  |  |

In my game with Botwinnik (A.V.R.O. Ty. 10th Round) I played 5 Kt K2 and there followed: 5 ... P-Q4; 6 P-QR3, B-K2; 7 PxP, KtxP; 8 KtxKt, PxKt; 9 P.KKt3, etc. (The complete score is published elsewhere in this issue-Ed.)

| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | 7 | O-O | Kt -B3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B4}$ | $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | B-R4 |  |

Black's last move is a new and interesting one. The usual continuation in this well-known position is $8 \ldots$ BxKt; $9 \mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$.

$$
9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QR} 4
$$

To force . . BPxP. The move is questionable, however, since it leaves the Kt out of play.

```
9 ....
BPxP
PxP
```

11 BxBP
P-KR3!
It is necessary at this point to prevent $B$ KKt5.

| 12 | P-QKt4 | B-B2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 13 | B-Kt2 | P-QKt3 |
| 14 | Kt-K5 | $\ldots .$. |

This is premature. Better would have been 14 Q-K2 to be followe by KR-Q1 and QR-B1.
14 ... B-Kt2
15 R-B1
R-B1

Here 15 . . Q-Q3 deserved consideration. 16 B-Kt5
A better line would have been 16 B-K2 followed by B-KB3.

| 16 | Kt-K2 | 20 | P-Kt4 | Kt-Q3! |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 17 Q-K2 | Q-Q4 | 21 | B-Q3 | Q-Kt6 |
| 18 P-B3 | Kt-B4 | 22 Kt-B5! | P×Kt |  |
| 19 QR-Q1 | B-Kt1 | 23 | QP×P | Kt-Q4! |

(An attempt to save the piece would lose the Q. 23...Kt-K1?; 24 B-B4, Q-R4; 25 B-Kt5, Q-Kt6; 26 R-Q3, Q-R7; 27 B-B4-Ed.)

```
24 PxKt
Kt-B5
25 Q-K3
```

The only move. If 25 Q-Q2?, KtxB; 26 KtxKt (QxKt?, QxB!), R-B7 and wins.

```
25 ...
26 QxKt
```

QXQB

```
                                    QxQ
```

Better was $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ (to force the Q off the 7 th Rank), Q-B6 (best! 26 KtxB?; 27 RxQ, KtxR; 28 Q-Kt3!); 27 QxKt and continues as in the actual game. (The $Q$ blocks the $R$ from getting to the $7 \mathrm{th}-\mathrm{Ed}$.)

| 26 | BXQP | 29 | Q-Kt3! | B-Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 B-R7ch | K×B | 30 | P-KKt5 | PxP |
| $28 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | R-B7! | 31 | K-R1 |  |



31
P-B3?
Up to this point Black played a magnificent game. But this permits a forced draw. Better was 31 ... R-B8; 32 RxR, QxRch; 33 K-Kt2, R-QB1 and White's position is hopeless.

| 32 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ ! |  |  |  | K-R2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | Q-R3ch | K-Kt1 | 35 | Q-R3ch |  |
|  | (Trun | from "6 |  | I. Kbotimi |  |

(Transluted from "64" by J. Kbotimlansky)

## REMEMBER TO

RENEW YOUR SUBSCRIPTION

# The Manhattan Chess Club Championship 

By S. S. Cohen

First prize went to Jacob Moskowitz. He led the tournament from the very first round, played sterling chess, and deserved to win. His style resembles greatly that of Kashdan and Flohr, and like them, he excels in the middle game and ending. In the opening it is still possible to outmaneuver him, but he fights back gamely and is dangerous to the last. His record in this tournament, (seven victories and three draws), tells its own story.

A tie for second place occurred between W. W. Adams of Boston and H. Avram, with scores of 7-3. Both played good chess, though Avram was lucky in drawing his last round game against Moskowitz. The latter was three pawns up and stopped to swallow a fourth, only to have it give him a bad case of indigestion! The capture left Avram's King in a stalemated position and he was able to sacrifice two rooks and draw.

Fourth place went to George Shainswit, score $61 / 2-31 / 2$. He played steady chess throughout.

I finished fifth with 6-4, just outside the prize money, and the only other player to record a plus score. Strange to say, entering the final week of the "tournament, I was the only player with a chance to catch Moskowitz, my score being 5-2. Losses on successive evenings to Adams and Halpern dissipated all alarm.

The annotations and comments to all the games are mine. If I have seemed to select too many of my own games, it is only because they happened to be the most interesting games.

Played in the first round, this game plagued me for six weeks, as it seemed during that period of time, to have been the deciding game of the toumament. A typical example of Moskowitz' ability to stage a comeback if given the slightest opportunity.

## Manhattan C. C. Championship <br> New York-December 11, 1938 RUY LOPEZ

S. S. Cohen
J. Moskowitz White Black

| P-K4 | P-K4 | 4 B-R4 | Kt-B3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Kt -KB3 | Kt, QB3 | 50.0 | B-K2 |
| 3 B-Kt5 | P-QR3 | 6 P-Q4 | O-O |
| Black prefers to avoid complications. If 6 KtxQP; 7 KtxKt, PxKt; 8 P-K5! followed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| by 9 QxP . On $6 \ldots$ KtxKP follows $7 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$, |  |  |  |


(Courtesy of J. Smoliar)
JACOB MOSKOWITZ
 BxKt; 16 PxB, Kt-K4; 17 BxP !

| 13 | Q-K2 | Kt-KB3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 14 | P-B4 | R-K1 |
| 15 | P-QKt3 | B-B1 |
| 16 | B-Kt2 | $\mathrm{Kt}(3)-\mathrm{Kt5}$ ! |

Characteristic of Moskowitz' style. From a passive defense he suddenly evolves an ingenious and aggressive idea. The threat is .. KtxRP. 16 P-KR3 is no defense because of $16 \ldots$ Kt-R7! ; 17 R moves, Kt(4)-KB6ch, etc. Of course if 17 KxKt , Kt-Kt5ch; winning the $Q$. It looks as though I must give up my QB or move the Q. Actually, I have a much stronger reply-one which he probably had not foreseen.

## EXCHANGES TAKE NOTICE!

Kindly Change Our Address
On Your Records To
25 West 43rd Street, New York, N. Y.

S. S. Cohen

## 17 Kt-K6!

Obstructing the masked attack on my $Q$ and simultaneously attacking his $Q$ and KBP.

| 17 P× | B×Kt |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18 P×B | Q-R5 |
| 19 | P-KR3 |

Not . . . RxP; 20 BxP wins a piece. 20 Kt Q2
Not 20 PxKt, KtxP and the only way to stop mate is to give up the $Q$ for the second Kt. The text stops all threats and wins a P. 20

Kt-KB3
Forced because I now threatened to capture the Kt.


I have managed to win a P and in addition attain the superior position (his advanced KBP is weak). Ordinarily I would expect to win for White from this position.

$$
23 \text { Q-K2 }
$$

Here I start to go astray. The natural move is 23 Q-B5. I looked at it, of course. I thought his best reply would be $23 \ldots$ P-KKt3 and I would have to continue 24 Q QR4 or 24 Q-Q3 in either case losing the KP. I overlooked that I could answer 23 P-KKt3 with 24 Kt -KB3:

23
R.K2

顛 double Rs on the K file and attempt to win back his P .

## $24 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ ?

The start of a combination that leads to nowhere. I can only account for my rather inept play at this point by the fact that this was the first clock game I had played since the U. S. Championship Tournament last May.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 24 \\
& 25 \\
& P-B 5
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$
. . . .
The point of my combination. Neither the P nor the Kt can be captured. If . . . BxP; 25 Q-B4, etc. And if . . . KtxKt; 26 BxKt threatening B-Q5.

## 25

## B-K4

But Black is under no compulsion to capture anything, and 1 found that I simply returned a P for nothing.
26 Q-B4
QR-K1
27 QR-K1
P-B6!

An excellent move which I completely underestimated.

## 28 R-K3?

I go from bad to worse. Correct was 28 KtxKtch, QxKt (. . . BxKt?; 29 RxR wins) ; 29 P-Kt3 and the advanced KBP is bound to fall. I could not play 28 P-KKt3 at once because of . . QxRP.
28
P×P
$29 \mathrm{R}(1)-\mathrm{K} 1$
. . . .

Still best is $29 \mathrm{KtxKtch}, \mathrm{QxKt}$; 30 KxP . 29 . ... K-R1
With this simple move Black avoids all difficulties.

```
30 KtxKt
                                    QxKt
31 Q-Q3?
....
```

The mate threat is easily parried. The $Q$ should remain on the 4th rank to prevent ... Q-B5. Best was KxP. If then ... B•Q5; 32 R-KB3, Q-Kt4ch; 33 R-KKt3, Q-Q7?; 34 RxR!

```
31
P-KKt3
32 R-B3?
Q.Kt4
```

Black could have won the exchange by 32 ... B-R7ch; $33 \mathrm{KxB}, \mathrm{RxR}$ ! I could not continue 34 RxQ because of . . . P-Kt8(Q) mate. Nevertheless the position is now lost.

```
33 R(3)-K3
Q-B5 34 KxP
```

If 34 R-Kt3, B-Q5! From this point on Black winds things up in snappy style.

| 34 Ү.B1 | Q-R7Ch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 35 R-Q2 | R-KB1 |
| 36 Q-Q | $\ldots$. |

Not $R$ or $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8$ mate.

```
36 ....
R(3)-KB3
```


## Resigns

(The only game in the tournament that I enjoyed losing!)

```
Manhattan C. C. Championship
New York-January 25, 1939
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
                                    (in.effect)
```

| S. S. Cohen | W. W. Adams |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 2 P-Q4 | P.Q4 |
| 3 B-B4 | $\ddots . .$. |

Adams has made a study of the King's Bishop Opening for White and the Albin Counter Gambit for Black. He answers 3 P-B4 with 3. P-K4 and if 4 PxKP, P-Q5; or 4 KtxKP , KtxKt; 5 PxKt, P.Q5. The text was intended to prevent . . . P-K4.

| 3 M-K3 | B-B4 | 7 P-QR3 | BxKtch |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 P-K3 | 8 KtxB | $0-0$ |  |
| 5 P-B4 | B-Kt5ch | 9 Q-Kt3 | Kt-KR4! |
| 6 QKt-Q2 | Kt-B3 | 10 B-Kt3 | $\ldots .$. |

And not 10 QxP, KtxQP!; 11 BxP (PxKt, KtxB), Kt-B7ch; 12 K-Q1, Q-B1!

```
10 .... Q-Q2
11 R-B1
                                    ....
```

Again not 11 QxP, KtxB; 12 RPxKt, QR-Kt1; 13 Q-R6, RxP.

| MANHATTAN C．C． CHAMPIONSHIP 1939 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { g } \\ & \text { g } \end{aligned}$ | 总 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ́I } \\ \text { §i } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 荷 } \\ & \text { 采 } \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岳 } \\ & \frac{\text { 号 }}{\text { 寽 }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { N } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 岂 } \\ \text { 要 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \frac{0}{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 苟 } \\ \end{array}$ | ［ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ñ } \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J．Moskowitz | － | 1／2 | 1／2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1／2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 81／2－11／2 |
| W．W．Adams | 1／2 | － | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | $7-3$ |
| H．Avram | 1／2 | 1 | － | 1／2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 7 －3 |
| G．Shainswit ．．． | 0 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | － | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | $61 / 2-31 / 2$ |
| S．S．Cohen ．．． | 0 | 0 |  | 1／2 | － | 1／2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 －4 |
| J．Soudakoff ．．．． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | 1／2 | － | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1／2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | $41 / 2-51 / 2$ |
| L．Halpern ．． | 0. | 1／2 | 0 | 1／2 | 1 | 1／2 | － | 0 | $11 / 2$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | $4-6$ |
| Dr．J．Platz ．． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | 1 | － | 1／2 | 1 | 1／2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | $31 / 2-61 / 2$ |
| H．D．Grossman | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | $1 / 2$ | － | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | $3-7$ |
| I．Heitner | 1／2 | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | 1／2 |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | $3-7$ |
| J．Fulop ．．．．．．． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $11 / 2$ | 1／2 | 0 | －1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | $2-8$ |

11
Kt－Q1
12 B－R4
To preserve the $B$ ．If $12 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{KtxB}$ and 13 PxKP fails because of $13 \ldots$ BxP．

$$
12 \text { M-K2 } \quad \text { P-QB3 } \quad \text { Kt-B3 }
$$

Forced．If ．．．B－Kt3； 14 P－Kt．4，Kt－B3； 15 BxKt，PxB； 16 P－KR4，P－KR3； 17 P－R5，B－R2； 18 B－Q3 and Black＇s K side is very open．


S．S．Cohen
14 P－Kt4！？
The same variation was now possible by an inversion of moves，but I was unable to resist the temptation to storm the Black K position． In my calculations，unfortunately，I overlooked the possibility of Black＇s 19th move－which saves the day，and in fact gives him the upper hand．

14

## KtxP

Of course not ．．．BxP； 15 BxKt，BxB； 16 R－KKt1 and wins because the Black Bishop cannot move due to the mating threat：RxPch， etc．

## 15 P－K4！

The second step in the combination．Purpose： to swing the Q across．

Forced．If ．．．BxP； 16 KtxB，PxKt； 17 BxKt．

| 16 R－KKt1 | Kt－R3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 17 B－B6！ | B－Kt3 |
| 18 KtxKP！ | K－R1 |

He cannot play $18 \ldots$ PxB； 19 KtxPch wins the Q．Also if 18 ．．BxKt； 19 RxPch， K－R1； 20 R－Kt 6 mate．

## 19 Q－KR3

Threatens QxKt．

$$
19 \ldots \quad \times \quad \text { Kt-B4 }
$$

The only move，but sufficient．On my 14th move，the $B$ was on this square and I failed to realize that it would be available for the Kt． 19 ．．．PxB？； $20 \mathrm{KtxP}, \mathrm{Q}$ any； 21 QxRP and wins．

## 20 B－K5

P－B3！
The best！Not ．．．KtxQP； 21 RxB！，BPxR； 22 Kt－Kt5，P－KR4（．．．P－KR3？； 23 QxPeh！）； 23 BxRP！，etc．

```
21 RxB
22 PxP
PxB
```

From a material point of wiew the combina－ tion has been proven sound：I regained the two Ps sacrificed．But the attack is now spent and Black seizes the initiative．
23 Kt-Kt5?
Q－Q5！

This definitely loses．Best was Kt－B3 giving up the KP but still retaining prospects for counter play．

23
Q－R5！
Forces the exchange of Queens and wins a piece．

> 24 QXQ
> $25 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KP}$

## KtxQ

．．．
Hoping for ．．．KtxR； 26 KtxKt with some chances．

## 25

P－KR3！
No rest for the weary．

| 26 | R－K7 | PxKt |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 27 | B－R5 | P－KKt3 |
| 28 | B－Kt4 | Kt－Kt7ch！ |
| 29 | K－K2 | $\ldots$. |

Forced. $29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ ?, Kt-K6ch! And $29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ ? RxP!

| 29 | Kt-B2 | 32 R-Q1 | R-B2! |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | B-Q7 | Kt-B5ch | 33 R×R | KtxR |
| 31 K-K3 | Kt-R3 | 34 P-K6? | $\ldots$. |  |

Overlooking Black's reply. Best was 34 K K4. Time pressure begins to take a hand. Strangely enough White has ample time, but Black has to make seven moves in two minutes and White makes the common error of rushing his own moves.

| 34 | KtxP! | 38 | P-B3 | Kt-B4ch |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 35 BxKt | R-K1 | 39 K-B5 | P-Kt3ch |  |
| 36 R-Q7 | R×Bch | 40 K-Kt4 | R-K2 |  |
| 37 K-Q4 | Kt-Q3 |  |  |  |

With not a second to spare! Nevertheless, Black's 40th move was made in time.

| 41 | R-Q8ch | K-Kt2 | 48 | R-KKt7 | Kt-Q4ch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | P.B5 | K-B3 | 49 | K-R3 | K-B3 |
| 43 | R-QKt8 | Kt-K6 | 50 | R.Kt8 | R-B8 |
| 44 | R-QB8 | R-K3 | 51 | R-Q8 | K-K4 |
| 45 | R-QR8 | R-K4 | 52 | R-KKt8 | P-B4! |
| 46 | $R \times{ }^{\text {P }}$ | R×P | 53 | Rxp? | P-B5! |
| 47 | P.QR4 | K-K4 | 54 | P.Kt4 |  |

There is no defense. 54 K-R2, Kt-Kt5ch; $55 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{Kt}$-B7ch; $56 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{QR} 8$ mate.

| 54 . . . | R-QKt8! |
| :--- | ---: |
| 55 RxKKtPch | K-Q5 |
| 56 R-Kt5ch | K-Q6 |
| Resigns |  |

Mate cannot be avoided. Black's last five moves have been keenly and accurately calculated.

Adams falters at the crucial moment and Shainswit never lets up. Forceful play by IV bite.

| Manhattan C. C. Championship |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York-January 15, 1939 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALBIN COUNTER GAMB |  |  |  |  |  |
| G. |  | wit | W. W. Adams |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 4 | KtxP | KtxKt |
| 2 | P-B4 | P.K4 | 5 | PxKt | P.Q5 |
| 3 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 |  |  |  |

Adams' favorite continuation against the QP openings.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
6 & \text { P-KKt3 } \\
7 \text { Kt-Q2 } & \text { B-K3 } \\
\text { BxP }
\end{array}
$$

Regaining his $P$. If \& $\mathrm{KtxB}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ !

| $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q4}$ | $11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4!$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5ch}!$ |
| $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |  |  |

Not . . . Q-R4ch; $13 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$, QxKP; 14 BxPch! If in this variation 13 B-Q2?, B-Kt5!; 14 BxP ch?, K-B1! wins a piece.
13 K-B1
R-Q1?

Not . . . Kt-K2; 14 P-QR3! etc. But $13 \ldots$ Q-Kt4! is the best. It allows the continuation $\therefore$ B-R4 followed by ... B-B2 and permits the Kt to be developed at K2. If $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$, Q-B4!

| 14 P-QR3 | B.K2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 15 P.KR4 | Q.Kt4 |

This move is not as effective now.
P.B3

A weakening move but Black is at a loss for a good continuation. If . . . QxKtP; 17 R-QKt1 followed by 18 RxP , etc.

## W. W. Adams


G. Shainswit
17 P-R4!
Q-R4
18 Q.R5ch
K-B1

Of course $18 \ldots$ P-KKt3 is met by 19 BxP ch, ete.


On ... K-Kt2 follows 23 Q-Q7ch.

| 23 | P-R5! | PxP | 26 BxB | R×R |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 24 | R-QR3! | K-Kt2 | 27 | BxR |$\quad$ Q-B2

An interesting contribution to the theory of the Grunfeld Defense.

Manhattan C. C. Championship
New York-January 29, 1939
GRUNFELD DEFENSE

Dr. J. Platz
White

| 1 P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 5 QxBP | Kt-B3 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \cdot P-Q B 4$ | $P-K K t 3$ | 6 Kt-B3 | $B-K t 2$ |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | $P-Q 4$ | 7 P-K4!? | $\cdots \cdot$ |
| $4 Q-K t 3$ | $P \times P$ |  |  |

If this move is good, then White controls the center and has the best of the position.
S. S. Cohen

$7 \ldots$ B-Kt5
This is the move I had counted on to refute 7 P-K4.

## 8 P-Q5

And White continues as I had anticipated he would. It looks good because White's KKt prevents 8 ... Kt-K4.
8.... BxKt!
White thought the Black Kt had to move because of:

## 9 PxKt

And now both the $B$ and the QKtP are attacked.

$$
9 \ldots \quad \text { P-QKt4! }
$$

The move White had not foreseen. 10 KtxP is impossible because of $10 \ldots \mathrm{Q}$-Q8 mate. 10 QxKtP is answered by $10 \ldots \mathrm{KtxP}$ ! ; 11 PxB (KtxKt?, Q mates), KtxKt! And finally 10 Q-Kt4, P-QR4!

| 10 Q-Q3 | Q×Q |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 11 | B×Q | B×KtP |
| 12 | R.KKt1 | P-Kt5! |

The only way to maintain the pawn plus. 13 Kt.Kt5
If 13 RxB, PxKt; 14 PxP, KtxP!


| 23 | BxKt | 25 K-B1 | PxB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $248 \times \mathrm{R}$ | B-Kt5ch | 26 R-Kt3 | B-R4 |

Not $26 \ldots$ B-B4; 27 RxB!

$$
27 \text { P-B7ch! K-B1! }
$$

Strangely enough 27 . . . K-Kt2 (as suggested by a strong kibitzer- a former club champion) would permit White to force a draw! Q. E. D.: 29 R-Q3!, B-Kt5 (forced . . . Kt moves?; 29 R-Q8 wins!); 29 R-QKt3, B-R4; etc.

| 28 | R-K3 | R-K1 | 34 | R-R2 | P-K5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 29 | R-B6 | K-Q2 | 35 | P-R3 | P-K6 |
| 30 | R-B2 | P-QKt4 | 36 | P×P | R×P |
| 31 | R-QR3 | BxP | 37 | K-Kt2 | R-KKt6ch |
| 32 | R-R7 | Kt-B5 |  | Resigns |  |
| 33 | R-B1 | K-B3 |  |  |  |

(The difference between Second place and Fifth! After the game Halpern remarked, "In chess and borse-racing they pay off on the winner"!)

| Manhattan C. C. Championship |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York-January 26, 1939 |  |  |  |  |  |
| KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| L. Halpern $S$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Bla |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-KB3 | 5 | 0.0 | P.Q4 |
| 2 | P-B4 | P.KKt3 |  | PxP | KtxP |
| 3 | P.KKt3 | B-Kt2 |  | Kt-B3 | P-QB4! |
|  | B-Kt2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| To control Q5 and make P-Q4 difficult for |  |  |  |  |  |
| White. |  |  |  |  |  |


| 8 | KtxKt | QxKt | 12 | P-B3 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 9 | P-Q3 | Kt-B3 | 13 | B-K3 |
| 10 | Kt-Q2 | Q-R4 | 14 | P-KKt4 |
| 11 | Kt-K4 4 | B-Kt5 |  |  |
| P-Kt3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Not . . Q-R5; 15 B-Kt5! Also not 14... Q-Q4; 15 P-B4! etc. The text threatens
QxKtP as well as ... P-KB4.

| 15 | B-B1 | QR-Q1 | 19 PxP | Q-B1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 16 | P-B4 | Q-B2 | 20 Kt-Kt3 | B-QB3 |
| 17 | P-B5 | Kt-K4 | 21 Kt-K4 | P.B5! |
| 18 | P-KR3 | P×P | 22 Q-K1 | $\ldots .$. |

If $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{RxP}$ ! ; 22 QxR ?, Kt-B6ch; etc.

| 22 | PxP | 30 | Q $\times$ Kt | P-K4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 P×P | KtxP | 31 | P×P e.p. | QxQch |
| 24 Q-R4 | P.B3! | 32 | RxQ | BxKt! |
| 25 B-K3 | K-R1 | 33 | BxB | P-B4! |
| 26 P-Kt3 | R-Kt1 | 34 | QR.KB1 | B-K4! |
| 27 K-R1 | Q-Kt2 | 35 | P.K7 | R-Q7ch |
| 28 K-R2 | Q-B2ch | 36 | K-R1 | PxB ? |
| 29 B-B4 | KtxB |  |  |  |

Pure and simple carelessness. I had left the board after my 35th move, expecting White to resign as he must lose a full rook. When he made his 36 th move, I returned, and without a moment's hesitation, captured the wrong piece! I intended $36 \ldots \mathrm{BxR}$ (threatens $37 \ldots$ R-R7 mate) ; 37 RxB, R-Q5! ; $38 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{RxB}$; $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch} ; 40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$ ch; $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R1}$, RxRP followed by the return of the Rook to K7 and the advance of the Q side Ps.

| 37 | R-Kt4! | B-Kt2! | 41 K-Kt2 | R-Q7ch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 38 | R-B7 | R-Q8ch | 42 K-Kt3 | R-Q6ch |
| 39 | K-Kt2 | R-Q7ch | 43 K-R4 | $\ldots$. |
| 40 K-R1 | R-Q8ch |  |  |  |

Not 43 K-B2, R-KB6ch wins.

$$
43 \ldots \quad \text { P.KR4 }
$$

The last hope.
$44 \mathbf{R ( 4 ) \times B}$
Resigns
A blunder would have been $44 \mathrm{R}(7) \times B, R \times R P$ ch!; 45 KxR, PxRch; $46 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$; 47 RxP , K-Kt2 and draws.

Manhattan C. C. Championship
New York-January 1, 1939
BUDAPEST DEFENSE

Dr. J. Platz
White
L. Halpern

Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt.KB3 | 3 PxP | Kt-Kt5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P.K4 | 4 P-K4 | $\ldots .$. |

White can maintain the $P$ with correct play, although in doing so he must be content to yield Black a certain amount of initiative: 4 B-B4, QKt-B3; 5 Kt-KB3, B-Kt5ch; 6 Kt-B3! (best), Q-K2; 7 Q -Q5! (this would have been impossible on 6 QKt-Q2).

| 4 | O. | KtxKP | 10 | B-K2 | P-Q3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 5 | P-B4 | Kt-Kt3 | 11 | P-B5 | Kt-B3 |
| 6 | Kt-KB3 | B-B4 | 12 | P-R6! | P-KKt3 |
| 7 | Kt-B3 | $0-0$ | 13 | B-Kt5! | P-B3 |
| 8 | P-KR4! | R-K1 | 14 Q-Q5ch | K-R1? |  |
| 9 | P-R5 | Kt-B1 |  |  |  |

Better was $14 \ldots$ Kt-K3; 15 PxKt, BxP; 16 Q-Q2, PxB; 17 KtxP. On 14 . . Kt-K3; 15 B-R4, Kt-K2 saves the piece, but Black's position cannot be defended for long.

## The A. V. R. O. Tournament

ROUND 8-NOVEMBER 17th
J. R. Capablanca -- $1 \quad$ S. Flohr
S. Reshevsky ---.--1/2
A. Alekhine -.-..---1/2
M. Botwinnik
R. Fine 1/2
P. Keres
M. Euwe -------------1/2

This round was played in Utrecht, a large city in the center of Holland. Of the four games played, only the Botwinnik-Fine game had a quiet character. Flohr played very sharply, again choosing the Grunfeld Defense, and sarrificing a pawn on his sixth move. The same sacrifice was first made in a game Loewen-fisch-Botwinnik. Botwinnik, with the black pieces, lost and this led to the belief that Flohr had worked up an improved line of play. He later offered the sacrifice of a piece which Capablanca wisely refused. Then Capablanca offered to give up the exchange, and this time Flohr refused. Flohr missed his way, got into time trouble, (having to make fourteen moves in two minutes), and, in a lost position, overstepped the time limit.

The game Keres-Euwe was an ultra-modern Zukertort-Reti opening. Tartakower, writing about it in "De Telegraaf" calls the game "fresh" and "elegant". He states that Keres "tacked" in the waters of ultra-modern chess by posting both bishops on the long diagonals, but that when he discovered Euwe's destructive K-side plans, he quickly executed two elegant dancing steps: first "Changez les tours", and then "Changez les dames", and signed the peace treaty of Utrecht!

An interesting game up to Black's 24th move when it becomes marred by time pressure.

## A. V. R. O. Tournament Eighth Round Holland-November 17, 1938 GRUNFELD DEFENSE

J. R. Capablanca White
S. Flohr Black

| 1 P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 4 | B-B4 | B-Kt2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-KKt3 | 5 | P-K3 | $0-0$ |  |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | P-Q4 | 6 | Q-Kt3 | $\ldots$ |  |
| If instead | 6 | Kt-B3, | then | $6 \ldots$ | $\ldots$ P-B4! |

## 6 . . . <br> P-B4!

A $P$ sacrifice to take advantage of White's uncastled K position.
7 QPxP
8 PxP
Kt-K5!

Not 8 KtxP, P-K3! Wrong would be $8 \ldots$ Q-R4ch; 9 Q-Kt4!

| 8 | KKt-K2 | Q-R4 <br> 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 Q-B4 | $K t \times Q B P$ |  |
| $K t(1)-R 3$ |  |  |

S. Flohr

J. R. Capablanca

After the game Flohr suggested that 10 . . . P-K4! was correct. If $11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{Kt}(1)$-R3 and now white cannot play 12 Kt -Q4. Or 11 PxP e. p., BxP! Also if 11 P-QKt4, QxKtP!; 12 QxQ, Kt-Q6ch; etc.

$$
11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2
$$

The best is still ... P-K4. 12 PxP e. p., KtxP; 13 Kt-Kt3 (KtxKt?, BxKt followed by ... QR-B1), BxKtch; 14 PxB (QxB, QxQch; $15 \mathrm{PxQ}, \mathrm{KtxB}), \mathrm{Q}$-R6. Also better is $11 \ldots$ BxKt; 12 PxB (QxB?, Kt-Kt6!), Kt-K5! Bad would be $11 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-Kt5; 12 B -K2!, BxKt; 13 PxB, Kt-B7ch; $14 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, KtxR; 15 PxKt, and the second Kt is trapped.

```
12 R-QKt1!
KR.B1
1 3 ~ P . Q K t 4
. . . .
```

At this point, Capa's time was one hour, thirty minutes-Flohr's, one hour, fifty-seven minutes.

| 13 | P. | Q-Q1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 14 | $R \times K t$ | R×P |
| 15 | Q-Kt3 |  |

Winning back his piece.

## 16 BxKt

Making room for his K. 16 R-QB1, QR-QB1; 17 K-Q2, RxKt!; 18 RxR, Kt-B4!; 19 Q-B2, BxKt; 20 PxB, Kt-R5! The White $K$ position is 100 open.

| 16 Q... | $R \times K t$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18 R×Q | Q×Q |
| 18 | $P \times B$ |

Black declines to win the exchange: 18 . . R-B8ch; $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{RxR}$; 20 BxP followed by the win of the QRP.

$$
19 \text { K.K2 }
$$

R-B4
Too passive and methodical. Better was 19
P-QR4 inducing 20 R-Kt7?, B-QB1! ; 21 RxKP, B-QR3ch!; 22 K-Q1 (K-B3?, BxKt! Or K-Q3?, BxKt; 23 PxB, R-Q3ch, etc.), BxKt!

## 20 KR-QKt1! <br> P-KR3

The threat was $R$-Kt8ch followed by B-R6. 21 P-K4!

QR-QB1
Capa has twenty-four minutes left and Flohr, ten minutes for nineteen moves.

| 22 | B.K3 | R-R4 | 25 | R×KP | R-R6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | R-Kt7 | RxPch | 26 | Kt-B6 | BxKt |
| 24 | K-B3 | B-R5 | 27 | P×B | R-QB6 |
| Flohr in time pressure misses 27 . . . B-B1; |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | RxP |  |  | S, Bx | PxB, | RXQBP. If instead 28 R-Q7, RXQBP; $29 \mathrm{R}(1)$ Kt7, R-KB3ch!



Flohr overstepped the time limit. The element of time pressure has obviously affected Black's last twelve moves.

Euwe tries hard, but Keres refuses to take chances and keeps the draw in band.

## A. V. R. O. Tournament

Eighth Round
Holland-November 17, 1938
RETI OPENING

| P. Keres | M. Euwe |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 Kt-KB3 | P.Q4 |
| 2 P-B4 | P-QB3 |
| 3 P-QKt3 | B-B4 |

Essayed by Dr. Lasker in the N. Y. International Tournament in 1924.

| 4 | B.Kt2 | P-K3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 5 | P.Kt3 | Kt-B3 |
| 6 | B-Kt2 | QKt-Q2 |
| 7 | 0.0 | P-KR3 |

To save the $B$, White threatened 8 Kt -R4.

| 8 | P-Q3 | B-B4 | 12 PxP | B-R2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 | QKt-Q2 | $0-0$ | 13 P-QR3 | P-QR4 |
| 10 Q-B2 | Q-K2 | 14 P-R3 | B-QKt3 |  |
| 11 P-K4 | PxKP |  |  |  |

Vacating the square B4 for the QKt which will exert pressure on the pinned KP.

| 15 | B-B3 | Kt-B4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 16 | Kt-K5 | KR-Q1 |

Black's development is completed.
M. Euwe


White refuses the offer of the exchange: 18 BxR , $\mathrm{Kt}(4) \times \mathrm{xKP}$.

| 18 |  | Kt-Kt6 | 21 | PxP | P-B4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | Q-K1 | KtxR | 22 | P-Kt5 | B-B2 |
| 20 | BxKt | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 23 | Kt-Q3 | P.K4 |

The beginning of a plan to place his QB on a more favorable diagonal.

| 24 | Q-K3 | Kt-Q2 | 27 | B-B1 | B-B2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 25 | B-Kt2 | P-B3 | 28 | Kt-K1 | Kt-B1 |
| 26 | R-B1 | B-KKt3 |  |  |  |
|  | The Kt is destined for |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Q-Q3 | Kt-K3 | 34 | R-R3 | RxR |
| 30 | Kt-Kt2 | Kt-Q5 | 35 | BxR | Kt-B6ch |
| 31 | Kt-K3 | R-R7 | 36 | K-Kt2 | Kt-Kt4 |
| 32 | Q-Kt1 | R-R1 | 37 | Kt-B5 | P-QKt3 |
| 33 | R-B3 | Q-Q2 | 38 | Q-Q3 | Drawn |

Botwinnik selects a line Fine bas recently analyzed in the B. C. M.

## A. V. R. O. Tournament

Eighth Round
Holland-November 17, 1938
FOUR KNIGHTS OPENING

| M. Botwinnik | R. Fine |
| :---: | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | P-K4 |
| 2 Kt-KB3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 3 Kt-B3 | Kt-B3 |
| 4 | B-Kt5 |

The variation popularized by Akiba Rubinstein, and the reason why the Four Knights Opening is seldom seen in modern master play.

| 5 | B-R4 | B-B4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 6 | P-Q3 | $0-0$ |
| 7 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ |

White refuses to yield Black the initiative. If 7 KtxP or $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ Black answers with $7 \ldots$ P-Q4! Also on 7 KtxKt, PxKt; 8 Kt-K2, P-Q4!

| 7 | P-Q3 | 14 | P-QB3 | P-Kt3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 P-KR3 | P-B3 | 15 | BxKt | QBxB |
| 9 KtxKt | BxKt | 16 | P-Q4 | P-Q4! |
| 10 Kt -K2 | B-Kt3 | 17 | PXKP | BxB |
| $11 \mathrm{Kt-Kt3}$ | Kt-K1 | 18 | PxB | Q-Kt4 |
| $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ | Kt-B2 | 19 | Q-B3 | QxP(K4) |
| 13 B-K3 | Kt-K3 |  | Draw |  |

A dour struggle in which Reshevsky threw away a point. Alekbine, bowever, belped out with a magnificent display of endgame technique.

> A. V. R. O. Tournament
> Eighth Round

Holland-November 17, 1938
NIMZOWITSCH DEFENSE
S. Reshevsky White
A. Alekhine Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 4 | P-K3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-K3 | $5 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | 0.0 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | B-Kt5 |  | $\cdots$ |

Also played at this point is $5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ followed by $6 \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{K} 2$.

| 5.0 .0 | P-QKt3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 6 B.Q3 | B-Kt2 |
| 70.0 | $\ldots$ |

White makes no attempt to prevent the doubling of his Ps. The alternative was: 7 B-Q2, BxKt; 8 BxB , Kt-K5; 9 BxKt!, BxB;

10 Kt-Q2, B-Kt2 (... BxKtP?; 11 R-KKt1); 11 Q-Kt4! with a strong attack.
7 P×B
8 BxB
90 Q-B2
KBxKt
B-K5
Kt×B
P-KB4

Transposing into a Dutch Defense formation.

| 11 | Kt-K5 | Q-K1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 12 | P-B3 | Kt-KB3 |
| 13 | B-R3 | P-Q3 |
| 14 | Kt-Q3 | P-B4 |

To prevent the undoubling of the White Ps by P-B5.

15 Kt -B4 Kt-B3

S. Reshevsky

| 16 | P-K4 | PxKP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 17 | PxKP | Kt-QR4 |
| 18 | Q-Q3 | Kt-R4 |

On $18 \ldots$. . Q-R5 follows 19 KtxP ! QxB; 20 KtxR, RxKt; 21 P-K5! Black's Q and QKt are too far from the scene of action for safety.

| $19 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | Q×Kt |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 20 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5!$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{KP}$ |
| $21 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |  |
| 22 | Q-K4 | Q-Kt3! |

White's $Q$ is in too dominating a position. Alekhine offers to saddle himself with a second doubled $P$ to get into an ending with good drawing chances. Also he hopes white may be tempted by 23 QxKP which would be answered by . . . R-Q7.

| 23 | Q-K2 | P.K5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 24 | R-B4 | P×P |
| 25 | B×P | Kt-B3 |

To prevent B-Q4 followed by R-KYt4.

| 26 | $R \times P$ | $R-Q 7$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 27 | Q×R | Q×R |
| 28 | $R-K 1$ | Q×BP |
| 29 | Q-Q6 | $R-Q B 1$ |

Not $29 \ldots \mathrm{QxBP} ; 30 \mathrm{QxPch}$ and mate in two.

| 30 | QxPch | Q $\times$ Q | 36 | R.R7 | KtxB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $R \times Q$ | K-B2 | 37 | PxKt | R-B7ch |
| 32 | R-Q6 | P-QR4 | 38 | K-B3 | RxRP |
| 33 | K-82 | Kt-K2 | 39 | RxKtP | R-R6ch |
| 34 | B-Q4 | Kt-B4 | 40 | K-K4 | P-R4 |
| 35 | R-Q7ch | K-K3 | 41 | R-Kt6ch | K-B2 |

The last ten moves were made under terrific time pressure, Reshevsky having about six
minutes and Alekhine four minutes in which to make them.


White should start the immediate $P$ advance with 52 P-R4!

| 52 K. . . | R-Kt7ch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 53 K-Kt3 | R-Kt6ch |
| 54 K-R4 | R-Kt7! |
| 55 K-R3 | .... |

If $55 \mathrm{RXP}, \mathrm{RxP}$ draws. 55 P-Q6 dis. ch.
P.R7

If instead 56 P-Kt4, K-B5; 57 P-Q6, R-Kt2!; 58 RxP (R-KB5ch, K-K5; 59 R-B1?, R-Kt8!), R-KR7ch; $59 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$, KxP and the QP must also fall. The remaining RP will be insufficient to win.

| 56 | KxP | 59 R-R8 | K-Kt4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 P-Kt4 | K-B3! | 60 P-R3 |  |
| 58 K-Kt3 | K-Kt3 |  |  |
| Not P-R4, | R-Kt6ch; | 61 K any, | ; etc. |
| 60. | K-Kt5 | 63 R-QR8 | K-Kt5 |
| 61 K.B4 | R-QB7 | Drawn |  |
| 62 R-Kt8ch | K-B6 |  |  |

ROUND 9-NOVEMBER 19th

| R. Fine ---------- 0 | S. Reshevsky .----1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Alekhine _-..--1 | J. R. Capablanca _- 0 |
| S. Flohr ---------1/2 | M. Euwe -...-.-.-.-1/2 |
| M. Botwinnik ------1/2 | P. Keres --_---.--1/2 |

Arnheim, a medium sized town picturesquely located on the right bank of the Rhine, was the site of this round. The games started a little later than usual to allow a celebration in honor of Capablanca's fiftieth birthday, With appropriate and complimentary speeches, a fourcolored automatic pencil was presented to him, and a large bouquet of white lilies to his wife. Only Alekhine was absent from the ceremonies, and would have found his clock ticking against him, had it not been for the late start. He arrived on the run, just as Landau was starting the clocks. He had to play against Capa! As the opening moves were made, not a word passed between them. Capablanca adopted the French Defense and Alekhine chose the same continuation with which Keres had beaten the Cuban in the sixth round.

Fine played his usual P-K4, and Reshevsky his usual defense. Fine having recently analyzed the Ruy Lopez and advocated the advance of the QRP as an improvement for White, played accordingly. The game became quite complicated, both players got into time trouble, but Reshevsky had the upper hand at adjournment. When the play-off was scheduled a few


FINE, ALEKHINE, BOTWINNIK, KERES, CAPABLANCA, EUWE, FLOHR, RESHEVSKY

| Leads <br> the way | Tied | Scooting along <br> alt | Sedateness <br> itself | Tled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| Can'tget |
| :---: |
| started |

THE RACE IN ITS EARLY STAGES
days later, Fine refused to play, basing his objection on his interpretation of the tournament rules. When the tournament committee disregarded his protest and threatened to start his clock, he came around and played. Dr. Tartakower, commenting on this, remarked. "It seems that Fine already has all the temperamental earmarks of a world champion."
A typical Fine-Reshevsky struggle. Sammy gets an advantage and squeezes hard. Reuben gets into time trouble and this time fails to get out sajely.

> A. V. R. O. Tournament
> Ninth Round

Holland-November 19, 1938
RUY LOPEZ

| R. Fine White |  |  | S. Reshevsky Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-K4 | P.K4 | 9 | B-B2 | P.B4 |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 10 | P-Q4 | Q-B2 |
| 3 | B-Kt5 | P-QR3 | 11 | P-KR3! | O-O |
| 4 | B-R4 | Kt - B 3 | 12 | P.QR4 | B-Q2 |
| 5 | 0.0 | B-K2 | 13 | QKt-Q2 | BPxP |
| 6 | R-K1 | P.QKt4 | 14 | BPxP | KR-B1! |
| 7 | B-Kt3 | P-Q3 | 15 | B.Q3 | PxRP |
|  | P-B3 | Kt-QR4 | 16 | Q-K2 | Kt-R4 |

Threatening . . . Kt-KB5. The "book" reply is $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$, but Fine chooses to deviate.


If $22 \ldots$ BxKt; 23 KtxB, QxKt; 24 QxKt.

$$
23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2 \quad \mathrm{Q} 4
$$

Reshevsky prefers to hang on to the advanced QRP rather than exchange it for the QKtP.

| 24 | QxQ | KtxQ | 27 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | P-KKt4 | Kt-Kt2 |  |
| 26 KtxP | P×P | 28 | Kt-Q4 |
| 26 | R-K1 |  |  |

Fine has three minutes left for twelve moves, Reshevsky, thirteen minutes.

## $29 \mathrm{Kt}(4)-\mathrm{B} 3$ <br> 30 R-R2

B-K2!
Not 30 R-B3, B-QKt5!



## 41 PxP

This was Fine's sealed move. Better was 41 Kt -B4! If . . . RxKt; 42 PxP regaining the piece.


Stronger was $43 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-Kt4! If 44 BxKt , RxKt; 45 B-K3, RxB! ; 46 PxR, Kt-Q7ch; etc. Or 44 KtxKt, RxB!, etc. Of course not 44 K-Kt2?, RxB!

| 44 | K-Kt2 | R-R7 | 47 | K-Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 45 | K-Kt3 | Kt $($ K $)$-B4 | 48 | R-B7 |
| 46 | R-K1 | Kt-K5ch |  | P-B4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Fine has taken so much time, he has only one minute left to make eight moves.

| 49 | PxP | PxP | 53 | RxKt | RxKt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | Kt-K5 | R-Q4 | 54 | R-Kt6ch | K-K2 |
| 51 | Kt-KB3 | K-B3 | 55 | Kt-Q4 |  |
| 52 | R-QKt1 | R-R4 |  |  |  |

Fine overstepped the time limit.

Psychology plays a great part in this game. Capablanca, a past master at putting each piece in its most effective position, shifts his pieces around like a tyro. Why? Because be is too anxious to win!

A. V. R. O. Tournament<br>Ninth Round<br>Holland-November 19, 1938

FRENCH DEFENSE
A. Alekhine White
J. R. Capablanca Black
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { P.K4 } \\ 2 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4} \\ 3 & \mathrm{Kt} \text {-Q2 }\end{array}$
P-K3
P.Q4
Kt-KB3

Alekhine's third move is one in great favor with the Russian players. Capablanca's answer is bad. Better was $3 \ldots$. . P-QB4 or 3 . . . PxP.

| P.K5 | KKt-Q2 | 8 | Kt-B3 | PxP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 B-Q3 | P.QB4 | 9 | PxP | B-Kt5ch |
| 6 P.QB3 | QKt-B3 | 10 | K-B1 |  |
| $7 \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | Q.Kt3 |  |  |  |

In order to avoid exchanges. 10 B-Q2?, BxBch; 11 QxB, Q-Kt5!

$$
10 \ldots \text { B-K2 }
$$

If instead 10 ... O.O; 11 BxPch!, KxB; $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{Kt} 1 ; 13 \mathrm{Q}$-Q3 followed by Q-KR3, etc.

| 11 | P.QR3 | Kt.B1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 12 | P.QKt4. | B.Q2 |
| 13 | B-K3 | Kt-Q1 |

Black's pleces are very awkwardly placed. The text has in mind . . . B-QKt4 and/or . . . P-QR4 but White's simple reply prevents both plans.

14 Kt -B3!
P.QR4?

This is a positional error. It permits White to blockade the Q side and thus leaves him a free hand on the K side.
J. R. Capablanca

A. Alekhine

| 15 | Kt-QR4 | Q-R2 | 18 K-Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 P-Kt5 | P-QKt3 | 19 | Kt-B2 |
| 17 | Q-K2 | P-R3 |  |
|  | P-KK3 | P-B4 | 20 |
| P-R4 | Kt-R2 |  |  |

The normal move would be $20 \ldots$ P-KKt3 to answer 21 P-R5 with . . . P-Kt4. But Capa's pieces are so badly placed that he needs the square Kt4 for a piece, and therefore the text move which permits Alekhine to control KKt6.

| 21 | P-R5 | Kt(B)-Kt4 | 24 | P.B3 | Kt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Kt-R4 | Kt-K5 | 25 | P.Kt4! | PxP |
| 23 | Q.Kt2 | K-B2 | 26 | B-Kt6ch |  |

To prevent . . . KR-QB1.

${ }_{27}^{26}$| P-B4i | K-Kt1 <br> Kt-B6 |
| :--- | :--- |

On 27 . . Kt-K5 follows 28 BxKt, PxB; 29 Kt-Kt6! Also if $27 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-B2; $28 \mathrm{BxKt}(\mathrm{R} 2) \mathrm{ch}_{\text {r }}$ RxB (... $\mathrm{KxB} ; 29$ Q-B2ch, etc.); $29 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 6!$

| 28 | BxKtch | B | 32 | K | Kt-R5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Kt-Kt6 | B-Q1 | 33 | KtxKt | QxRPch |
| 30 | QR.B1 | B. K 1 | 34 | K-Kt3 | Q-B2 |
| 31 | K-Kt3! | Q-KB2 | 35 | Kt-B3 | P.R4 |

At this point Capablanca overstepped the time limit, but his position was hopeless.

A drawn game that is chock full of interest.

## A. V. R. O. Tournament <br> Ninth Round

Holland-November 19, 1938 NIMZOWITSCH DEFENSE (Notes by Dr, S. G. Tartakower)

| M. Botwinnik | P. Keres |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | Kt.KB3 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | B.Kt5 |
| 4 | P-QR3 |

It is significant that Botwinnik selects the sharpest continuation, one which affects the pawn structure rather unfavorably-but secures a free hand in the center. 4 P-B3 is also a very interesting continuation.


More often played is 5 ... P-Q4; 6 P-B3 and then $6 \ldots$ P-B4. Too slow would be $5 \ldots$ PQ3 whereupon 6 P-B3, O O; 7 P-K4 would give White superiority in the center.

## 6 P.K3

White seeks complete development of his pieces. Otherwise 6 Q -B2 deserves consideration in order to prepare for P-K4.


More flexible than 8 Kt -B3.

| 8 |  | P.Q3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Kt-Kt3 | P-QKt3 |
| 10 | B-Kt2 |  |

Here, the Bishop, obstructed by Pawns, is only a potentiality.

10 ... B-R3
The siege of White's QB4 starts. 11 P-K4
Establishes clearly the White center. 11... R-B1

In order to threaten $12 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-QR4 followed by . . . PxP.

12 R-QB1
Prevention. After 12 Q-R4 would follow 12 . Kt-QR4. 12. PxP
Black wants to clear up the situation in the center. True, he dissolves White's doubled Pawn, but White's QB4 becomes rather weak.

| 13 | PxP | P-K4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 14 | Q-R4 | Kt-QR4 |
| 15 | O.O | $\ldots$. |

Purposeless would be $15 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{PxP}$ because White would have to defend the KB.

15
Q-K1
Direct protection of Black's K4 with an indirect attack against White's QB4.

16 Q-Kt4
After 16 QxQ; KRxQ the White QBP is lost.
P. Keres

M. Botwinnik

$$
{ }_{17}^{16} \because Q-R 4
$$

Kt-B3
White wants to gain time before taking any heroic decisions.

$17 \dot{Q}-\dot{K} t 4 \quad$| Kt-QR4 |
| ---: |
|  |
|  |
| Kt-B3 |

At pistol point: a draw or change of plans! 19 Q-Q2
A courageous decision, indicating Botwinnik's plan to fight a great battle.

$$
19 \ldots \text { Q-Q1 }
$$

19 ... PxP; 20 Kt -B5! and 19 . . . Ktx QP; 20 BxKt, PxB; 21 Kt -B5! and $19 \ldots$ KtQR4; 20 Q-K2! etc., all would be advantageous for White.

$$
20 \text { P.Q5 }
$$

Closing the center for the largest possible freedom of movement on the sides. 20 Kt-QR4 21 Q-Kt4 Kt-Q2
Taking the reserves to the Queen's side. 22 B-K2
Getting ahead little by little (by retreating!). Premature would be 22 P-B4 as long as Black's Kt covers Black's K4 square. A painful blunder would have been 22 QxQP due to $22 \ldots$ R-B4; 23 Kt -B5, R-K1 and the White Queen is caught after 24 Kt -Kt2. Just like Keres!

| 22 | . . . | Kt-B4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R-B3 | R-B2 |
|  | B-B1 | B-B1 |
| Regrouping in both camps. |  |  |
| 25 | P.B4 | P.B3 |
| 26 | P-B5 |  |

A new stopping point. Several ways to roll up the King's side are dimly visible on the horizon. Of course not $26 \mathrm{R}(3)-\mathrm{B} 3$, B-Kt5!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 26 \text { Q-Q2 } \\
& 27 \text { B-K3 } \\
& \text { B-R3 } \\
& 28 \text { KR-B1 } \\
& \text { KR-B1 } \\
& 29 \text { B.Q1 } \\
& \text { - } \mathrm{R} 5 \\
& \text { In order to prevent } 29 \ldots \text { Q-R5. } \\
& \text { 29 . . . Q-K1 } \\
& 30 \text { B-K2 } \\
& \text {... }
\end{aligned}
$$

Change of plans. Both players prove equal in ability; the battle transposes from aggressiveness into waiting.

| 30 | R.Kt1 | R-Kt1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 31 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\ldots-\ldots$ |
| 32 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |

An exchange of pieces after 27 moves without any.

| 32 |  | R×B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | Kt-B1 | B-R3 |
|  | P.QR4 |  |

To prevent a possible $34 \ldots$. Q-Q1 and later P-QKt4.

| $34 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | B-B1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 35 | B-Q2 |
| $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\ldots$. |

Not only to protect the QRP but also for a possible future opening up of the file with P-R5.

$$
36
$$

Q-Q1
Black maneuvers in the available territory.

| 37 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ | KtxKt |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 38 | RxKt | $\mathrm{R}(1)-\mathrm{B1}$ |
| 39 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |

The scheme of the fight is very much simplified.

## 40 K-B2

## R-R4

Black remains dangerous. He threatens now ... P-QKt4. At this point the game was adjourned. Both players agreed to a draw without further play.
(Translated from "De Telcgraaf" by J. B. S.)
Entwe works up a slight advantage-but not enough to win.

A. V. R. O. Tournament<br>Ninth Round

Holland-November 19, 1938
QUEEN'S GAMBIT ACCEPTED
S. Flohr
White
M. Euwe
Black

| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 4 | B-Kt2 | PxP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P.K3 | 5 | Q-R4ch | QKt-Q2 |
| 3 | P-KKt3 | P-Q4 | 6 | Kt-Q2 | $\ldots .$. |

A slight variation from the beaten path. More usual is 6 QxP.

| 6 | P.B4 | 18 | Q-B1 | Q-B2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 KtxP | B-K2 | 19 | Kt -B4 | B-B3 |
| 8 PxP | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 20 | R-Q1 | Kt-Kt5 |
| 9 Kt -B3 | 0.0 | 21 | P.QR3 | RxRch |
| 100.0 | Kt-Kt3 | 22 | QxR | R-Q1 |
| 11 Q-Kt3 | B-Q2 | 23 | Q-QB1 | Kt-R3 |
| 12 KtxKt | PxKt! | 24 | BxB | Q×B |
| 13 Kt -K5 | B-R4 | 25 | Q-K1 | Q-R5 |
| 14 Q-Q3 | Q-K2 | 26 | Kt-K3 | P-KR3 |
| 15 B-K3 | KR-Q1 | 27 | R-Q1 | R-Q5 |
| $16 \mathrm{~B} \times 8$ | P×B | 28 | R-Q2 | Q.R4 |
| 17 Q-QB3 | Kt-Q4 | 29 | Kt-B1 | Drawn |

## ROOK VS. BISHOP

By Jose Maestre

(Second Installment)
SOLUTION TO PROBLEM NO. I
1 R-R1
B.Kt7
2 R-R5!
. . . .

Preventing the King's escape. 2 R-R8 which would win is not possible because the $B$ controls that square.

$$
2 \ldots \text { B-B8 }
$$

If instead 2 . . . B-R8; 3 R-R3 (R-KKt5 would also win), B-Kt7; 4 R-KKt3! etc.

| 3 | R.KKt5 | K-R6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | R-Kt3ch | K-R7 |

Obviously not 4
K-R5; 5 R-Kt1!
5 K-B3
B.K7ch

6 K-B2 and wins

## Proposition II

When the Kings are in Opposition 6 the Rook will always win (with one exception as shown in Diagram C).

DIAGRAM C
Black


## White

This diagram is intended to illustrate that if Black's Bishop is on either his $\mathrm{KB} 6, \mathrm{~KB} 8$, or KR6, he can draw.

White attempts to win:
(1) When the Bishop is at KB6:

## 1 R.KKt7

The best position for the R. It confines the Black K to the last file and threatens R-Kt3, etc.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \text { K.- } 55 & \text { K-R4 } \\
2 \text { K-. }
\end{array}
$$

If instead 2 R-Kt5ch, K-R5; 3 K-B5, B-Kt2 and draws. When the Black $K$ heads for the Positive corner, it is essential that the Bishop control his KB6 square in order to draw.

$$
2 \ldots
$$

K-R3
Forced. 2 ... K-R5?; 3 K-B4! turning the position into Opposition 4, covered last month.

$$
3 \begin{aligned}
& \text { R-Kt3 } \\
& \text { Drawn }
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) When the Bishop is at KB8 or KR6 the procedure is the same. This is not so, however, if the $B$ were at KR8 because of 1 RKKt7, K-R4 ( $1 \ldots$. . B moves; 2 R attacks B with a simultaneous threat of mate); 2 RKR7ch and wins the B).

Now to prove the rule. Let us examine Diagram C placing the Black Bishop at his K7.

According to the rule, White must win:

| 1 R-K7 | B-B6! |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 R-K3 | B-Kt5 |
| 3 R-K1! | $\ldots .$. |

Not 3 R-K4 or 3 R-KKt3 because of the answer $3 \ldots$ K-R4! and draws. Note that 3 R-K2 is not possible.
3
B.B6!
4 R-KB1!

Changing the attack on the B to a file where the $R$ can get to the second rank if the $B$ goes back to Kt5.
5 R-QB1
B-B3!
B-B6

If 5 . . . B-Kt2; 6 R-B7, B-R3; 7 R-B6, B-Kt4; 8 R -Kt6, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ ( B anywhere else the R attacks with mating threat) ; $9 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 7$ dis. ch. and wins.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \text { R-B3 } \\
& 7 \text { R-B2 and wins }
\end{aligned}
$$

B-Kt5

Another example involving the use of Opposition 6.


1 K-B5
Threatens 2 R-R7 mate. Since the $B$ cannot check nor command his KR2 square, Black's reply is forced.

$$
1 \ldots K \text { K-R3 }
$$

Not . . . K-R5; 2 R-B4ch wins the B.
2 K.B6
And now we have Opposition 6 again. White threatens 3 R-B4 attacking the B and threatening mate.

## 2....

K-R4
Wherever the $B$ moved, the $R$ would be able to attack it and simultaneously threaten mate.
3 R-B5ch
K-R3
4 R-B4 and wins.

Please note that the foregoing exercise is not a clue to the solution of Problem No. 2.

PROBLEM NO. 2
Black


White
White to Play and Win

## Cross Country <br> EMPIRE STATE NEWS

The annual championship of the Marshall Chess Club resulted in a tie between Sidney Bernitein and Milton Hanazer, both finishing with final scores of $61 / 2 \cdot 31 / 2$. A full account of the tournament with some of the outstanding games will appear in the March issue.
Jacob Kaln died on December 15th, 1938. He served as Secretary' of the Isaac L. Rice Progressive Chess Club from 1909 to the day of his death. He was sixty-seven years old and chess is indebted to him for the promotion of the Chajes-fanowski Match and the Duras-Kupchik Match.

Twelve players qualified for participation in the Championship Tournament of the Wrest Side " Y " (Manhattan) now in progress. They are S. Almgren (known to our readers for some interesting endgame studies we published last year), M. Bullard, S. S. Coggan (former Harvard star), C. Dowling, C. Forster, O. D. Freedman, S. Gustafson, M. W. Herrick, S. Karandy, H. Macormac, M. Neckerman, and L. Tolins.

The New York State Chess Association, now in its 60th year, is issuing a ten page quarterly paper called The Bulletin. It will be sent to all members of the Association and is intended to keep the chess players of New York State informed on current activities. The Editor is Robert F. Brand of Cazenovia. Cooperating with him will be Walter L. Murdock, Jr., also of Cazenovia, and C. Harold King of Hamilton.

## MASSACHUSETTS NOTES

The Old Colony Chess League finished its Winter Tournament with Autleboro in furst plate by a match score of 10-2. Woonsocket was second 9-3 and New Bedford, third, 8-4. Other teams included Weymouth, Stoughton, Quincy and Foxboro.

A new chess club has been formed in Newton under the leadership of C. S. Crummett. It will be known as "The Newton Chestnuts". In its first match the new club defeated the Weymouth C. C. by $7-3$.

## ILLINOIS CHESS

The Illinois State Championship is scheduled to start February 18th. Factor, Hablbohm and Ellison have been seeded and will meet the winners of three preliminary sections.

We regret to report the death of Samuel W. Addleman, a Director of the National Chess Federation, a subscriber to The Chess Review from its very first issue, and a staunch supporter of the game. He died at the age of sixty-six.

| DRUEKE'S |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| DELUXE CHESSBOARDS |  |  |  |
| DK. | Size | Squares | Price |
| No. | $25^{\prime \prime} \times 25^{\prime \prime}$ | $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | $\$ 20.00$ |
| 254 | $20^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime}$ | $22^{\prime \prime}$ | 11.00 |
| 154 | $25^{\prime \prime} \times 25^{\prime \prime}$ | $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 10.00 |
| 165 | $23^{\prime \prime} \times 23^{\prime \prime}$ | $21 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ | 9.00 |
| 164 | $21^{\prime \prime} \times 21^{\prime \prime}$ | $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 6.50 |
| 163 | 162 | $18^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ | $134^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 161 | $15^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$ | $112^{\prime \prime}$ | 5.50 |
|  |  | 4.50 |  |

Nos. 161 to 165 are inlaid boards with Walnut and Maple squares, Walnut Border and Back, Shaped Edges, Lacquer finish.
Nos. 154 and 254 are made of the finest veneers with Walnut Burl and Carpathian Elm Burl squares, Rosewood Border and Walnut Back. They are shaped and finished with a rubbed lacquer finish.

ORDERS FILLED BY
THE CHESS REVIEW * 25 W. 43rd Street, New York, N. Y.

## PENNSYLVANIA JOTTINGS

I．Kashdan gave a simultaneous exhibition at the Franklin Chess Club（Philadelphia）last month．
WI m．Hart，Jr．won the York County Chess Champ－ ionship from a field of twenty－four contestants．F． Kerber finished second，and P．Enders third．

## WISCONSIN CHESS LETTER

We have received the first issue of the Wisconsin Cbess Letter intended to serve as a medium through which Wisconsin chess players can maintain contact with one another．It will be published quarterly by Fritz Rathmann，Milwaukee，Wisconsin，and each issue will contain a simple chess lesson by Arpad Elo， former President of the Amevican Chess Federation．

One of the decisive games of the tournament and a great combinative effort．Landau acted as Tournament Director of the A．V．R．O．Tour－ nament and plays a keen game．We shall run some of bis theoretical comments in future issues．

## Hastings Christmas Congress <br> January， 1939 <br> GRUNFELD DEFENSE

## M．Euwe <br> White

| 1 | P－Q4 | Kt－KB3 | 4 Kt－B3 | B－Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | P－QB4 | P－KKt3 | 5 Q－Kt3 | P×P |
| 3 | Kt－QB3 | P－Q4 | 6 QxBP | B－K3 |

An aggressive continuation involving the sacrifice of the QKtP．Black gets a couple of tempi for the P but with correct play it is not sound．

| 7 Q－Kt5ch | Kt－B3 | 10 Q－Q1 | B－B4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8 QxP | B－Q2 | 11 Q－R4 | $\ldots$. |
| 9 Q－Kt3 | R－QKt1 |  |  |
| The threat | was $\ldots$ | Kt－QKt5． |  |
| 11 | Q－Q2 | 15 O－O | Kt－K3 |
| 12 P－QR3 | O－O | 16 P－QKt4 | P－QR4 |
| 13 P－K3 | Q－Q3 | 17 Kt－K5？ | $\ldots .$. |
| 14 B－Kt5 | Kt－Q1 |  |  |

Euwe falls a prey to the methodical precision characteristic of his style． 17 QxRP is of
course bad because of $17 \ldots$ R－R1．But in positions of this type where one side has sacrificed material，and is intent on sacrificing more to open up the game，formal，abstract principles such as＂Capture towards the cen－ ter＂，＂Avoid doubled Pawns＂，etc．，must at times be disregarded．Normally 17 PxRP would be a bad move．In this case the opposite is true．The advanced passed QRP would turn the game in a very few moves in White＇s favor．It is already on the fifth．The threats of P－R6 and R7 in conjunction with B－B6 are extremely strong．

17．．．．
P－B4！
This would be Black＇s best reply to 17 PxRP but with the White Kt still on KB3 he could continue 18 P－R6！
$18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$
19 KtPxBP
Q．B2

Not QPxP，Kt－Kt5； 20 P－Kt3，BxKt！Also not 19 KtxRP，PxQP！And if 19 QxRP，PxQP！； 20 PxP ，Kt－Kt5！

| 19 | Kt－Kt5 | 21 PxKt | BxP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 P．Kt3 | KtxQP！ | 22 B－KB4 |  |

The position is quite complicated．If 22 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{QxBP}$ and the threat of ．．．B－Q6 as well as KtxBP is very unpleasant．Euwe， therefore，prefers to return the piece and re－ main a pawn ahead．
22 KtxKP
P－K4
BxKt（4）

Of course not ．．．BxKt（6）； 24 KtxKt ，etc．

| 24 | P－B6 | Q－Kt3 | 30 KR－Q1 | Kt－K4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 25 | BxB | KtxB | 31 Q－Q5 | Q－K7 |
| 26 | Q－KB4？ | Q－B4！ | 32 R－R2 | Kt－B6ch |
| 27 | KR－K1 | RxB！ | 33 K－Kt2 | Kt－K8ch |
| 28 | KtxR | Kt－Q6 | 34 K－Kt1 | Kt－B6ch |
| 29 | Q－Q4 | QxKt | 35 | K－R1？ |

It was better to return to Kt2．Landau probably would have accepted the perpetual check．His only other alternative would be after $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 36 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{BxQ} ; 37 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$ and the passed $P$ become dangerous．

| 19th ANNUAL HASTINGS CHRISTMAS CONGRESS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت゙ } \\ & \text { ت゙ } \\ & \text { ت } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{y}{2}$ | $\frac{\cdot \frac{G}{E}}{\frac{1}{x}}$ | 氝 岂 岂 豆 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 算 } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | 喜 |  | 5 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{0}}$ | 砍 | \＃ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L．Szabo | － | $1 / 2$ | 1／2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | $71 / 2-11 / 2$ |
| M．Euwe ． | $1 / 2$ | － | 0 | 1／2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 5 | 1 | 3 | $61 / 2-21 / 2$ |
| S．Landau | 1／2 | 1 | － | 0 | 1 | 1／2 | 1／2 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | $6-3$ |
| V．Pirc | 0 | 1／2 | 1 | － | 0 |  |  | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $6-3$ |
| E．Klein | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | － | 1／2 | 1／2 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $41 / 2-41 / 2$ |
| P．S．Milner－Barry | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | － |  | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 41／2－41／2 |
| H．Golombek ．． | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | － | $1 / 2$ | 1 | ， | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 －5 |
| G．A．Thomas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | － | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 5 | 3 | $21 / 2-61 / 2$ |
| T．H．Tylor | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | ， | 0 | － | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | $2-7$ |
| E．G．Sergeant | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | － | 0 | 6 | 3 | $11 / 2-71 / 2$ |


M. Euwe

| 35 | Kt-Q7! | 41 | R-K7 | Q-B4 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 36 R(2) $x K t$ | B-K5ch | 42 | $R(1)-Q 7$ | $K-B 3!$ |
| 37 K-Kt1 | Q-B6 | 43 | R-K3 | Q-B8ch |
| 38 QxB | QxQ | 44 | K-Kt2 | Q-B3ch |
| 39 P-B7 | Q-B3 | 45 | R-B3ch | K-Kt2 |
| 40 R-Q7 | $K-K t 2$ |  |  |  |

Not 45 . . . K-K3; $46 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ !, QxP?; $47 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q})-$ $K 3$ ch with perpetual check or the win of the $Q$.

| 46 | R-K7 | P-Kt4 | 49 PxP | K-Kt3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 47 | P-Kt4 | P-R4 | 50 P-R4 | P-B3 |
| 48 | P-R3 | P×P |  | Resigns |

THEORETICAL CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE RUSSIAN CHAMPIONSHIP PRELIMINARIES SEMI-FINALS AT LENINGRAD

## (Continued from January issue)

In the French Defense, Botwinnik as Black defended in a novel manner: 1 P-K4, P-K3; 2 P-Q4, P-Q4; 3 Kt-Q2, Kt-KB3; 4 P-K5, KKt-Q2; 5 B-Q3, P-QKt3 (more usual is $5 \ldots$ P-QB4; 6 P-QB3, Kt-QB3; $7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Q-Kt3; $8 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$, etc.).

Diagram 111
M. Botwinnik


Abramjan
The idea of Black's fifth move is to rid him of his immobile QB by the threat of exchanging it at QR3. The game continued: 6 Q-K2, P-QB4; 7 P-QB3, PxP; 8 PxP, Kt-QB3; 9 KKt-B3, Kt$K t 5$. White is now at a disadvantage for should he retreat 10 B-Kt1, then follows 11 B-R3 or
should he castle, then 11 . . . KtxB, and Black has the slight advantage of the Bishops.

## Would You Have Seen It?

By Irving Chernev
NO. 1
Buffalo, 1893
Allies


A snappy finish in a simultaneous exhibition. Pollock mated in five. Can you?

NO. 2
Rev. G. W. Enders, Jr.

W. M. Hart, Jr.

This position occurred in the seyenth game of a ten game match held in 1936, for the Championship of York County, Pa. White, on the move, mated in five. How?

SOLUTIONS ON PAGE 52

Chessplayers desiring to turn their libraries into cash are requested to get in touch with us. We will be glad to appraise any library and make a cash offer for it in whole or in part. Address: THE CHESS REVIEW, 25 W. 43rd St., New York, N. Y.

# Problem Department 

By Vincent L. Eaton

Address all correspondence relating to this department to V. L. Eaton, 2237 Q Street, N. W., Washington, D. C.

Whoever coined that pretty phrase about "the Editor's easy chair" obviously was not thinking about a Problem Editor's, which is sometimes like a wheel chair, sometimes slightly electric! I am gradually getting adjusted to it, with the kind help of Mr. Cheney, who has made the transition as painless as possible. To all who have sent in congratulations and suggestions, go my heartiest thanks.

Let me explain at the outset that this will be a very democratic Department. Like most of what is published on this side of the Atlantic, a problem section is designed to reflect the preferences of its readers, and must rest on their voluntary support. I should like, therefore, to conduct an informal Poll of Public Opinion among you, to discover just what you want in the way of problems and articles. So please write in your views on how you think the Department should be conducted: whether you want more two-movers or three-movers, selfmates or Fairies; whether you would like more discussion by the Editor or none at all (which would be quite understandable); whether you wish articles on solving or composing, or both. In fact, criticize everything connected with the Department-everything, that is, except the Editor's private life.
There will be one change, effective immediately. Henceforth solutions will be received until the 25th of the month following publication of all problems. This applies retroactively, and answers to the January offerings which are submitted up to February 25th, will be credited on the March Ladder. This will normally allow from four to six weeks for solving.
Which brings us to the matter of this month's Ladder Prize, won for the fourth time by Mr. P. L. Rothenberg. Congratulations! The Honor Prize goes to E. M. H. Guttmann for his splendid strategic five-mover, No. 1212.

The last nine diagrams this month review the work of our retiring Problem Editor, Mr. Richard Cheney. Mr. Cheney, who is a teacher of English at Rochester High School, was born May 17, 1908 and received an A. B. degree from Allegheny College in 1931. He began to play chess some eight years ago, and became attracted almost immediately to problems. His first composition, a miniature, appeared in the Boston Transcript in Nov., 1931, and foreshadowed his later success in that genre.

Next to Wurzburg and Dobbs, Mr. Cheney is America's finest contemporary composer of miniatures. He has published about 150 problems, of which only three have been twomovers, and a majority have had seven pieces or less. Nos. 1267-73 are specimens of his work in this field. We need not discuss his rationale for miniatures, which he has explained in an article in THE CHESS REVIEW, Nov., 1937. It is sufficient to say that his singlehanded sponsorship of the International Miniature Tourneys during the past five years has
done much to revive interest and experiment in a field which many had regarded as exhausted.

Lately, Mr. Cheney has developed a taste for the thematic type of problem, in which restrictions of form and purity of mate are subordinated to emphasis on idea and interaction of pieces. "Theme in problems", he writes, "is like plot in drama; without it the art may be brilliant, but finally grows meaningless. I found this true even in miniatures. There must be a 'point' or I am no longer entertained." With this new viewpoint, Mr. Cheney has recently been experimenting with strategic ideas. One of his technical innovations has been a type of White halfpin in which one White piece unpins another, with both captured alternately, as illustrated in No. 1274. He has not yet perfected his technique in the strategic type of problem, but he has had some success in international tourney composition.
Those interested in Mr. Cheney's work may consult a further selection of his best problems, published last Autumn in the Christian Science Monitor.

## INFORMAL LADDER

***P. Rothenberg 854, 93; H. Medler 864, 70; H. Stenzel 816, 35; *I. Burstein 736, 65; *I. Genud 725, 一; *I. Kashdan 713, -; Dr. P. G. Keeney 651, 63; Bill Beers 622, 46; Bourne Smith 571, 18; ***Dr. G. Dobbs 554, 85; ***M. Gonzalez 546, -; *W. Patz 452, 60; E. Korpanty 449, -; I. Burn 437, -; W. Jens 402, 20; *A. Sheftel 410, 40; *1. Rivise 389, 55 ; ***H. B. Daly 357, 90; W. Keysor 326, 18; K. Lay 303, 20; J. Schmidt 302, -; K. Stubbs 278, -; H. Hausner 264, -; *C. Miller 262, -; T. McKenna 252, 49; ***G. Plowman 249, 63; L. Greene 239, -; Dr. M. Herzberger 236, -; I. \& M. Hochberg 224, 93; B. M. Marshall 220, 32; F. Sprenger 199, 46; W. Jacobs 164, -; A. Grant 152, ; A. Saxer 133, -; L. Eisner 129, -; W. Neuert 126, -; J. Hannus 125, 78; J. Tusch 39.

## SOLUTIONS

No. 1195 by F. Gamage: 1 Sk2
Elegant promotion study-Dobbs.
My choice-McKenna.
No. 1196 by C. S. Kipping: 1 Sf5
Fine sacrifice and block piay in economic setting. My vote-Rothenberg.
No. 1197 by ©. S. Kipping \& E. Davis: 1 Bf7. Very nice block-Medler.
Strategic shutoff key-Keysor.
No. 1198 by C. S. Kipping: 1 Se4 (Not 1 Sd1. Sf4!) First class. Halfpins are excellent-Keeney
No. 1199 by Dr. G. Dobbs: 1 Se 4
A beautiful problem-Keysor.
Variation after $1-B x R$ very flne-Medler.
No. 1200 by Dr. G. Dobbs: $1 \mathrm{Qg4}$ Another fine crosschecker-Rothenberg.
No. 1201 by Bill Beers: 1 Kh7
Nice key, allowing crosscheck-Hochbergs.
No. 1202 by Bill Beers: 1 Ra3
$1 \ldots \mathrm{Ph}_{1}{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{Kh}_{2}$ or Kg 2
Deceptive key. Optional dual-Dobbs.

## Original Section

No. 1249
BILL BEERS
Willmar, Minn.


Mate in 2

No. 1250
BILL BEERS
Willmar, Minn.


Mate in 2

No. 1251
DR. G. DOBBS
Carrollton, Ga.


Mate in 2

No. 1252
DR. G. DOBBS
Carrollton, Ga.


Mate in 2

No. 1253
V. L. EATON

Washington, D. C.


Mate in 2

No. 1254
V. L. EATON

Washington, D. C.


Mate in 2

No. 1255
V. L. EATON

Washington, D. C.


Mate in 2

No. 1256
DR. P. G. KEENEY Bellevue, Ky.


Mate in 2

No. 1257
MAXWELL BUKOFZER
Bellaire, L. I.


Mate in 3

## Original Section (cont'd)

No. 1258
MAXWELL BUKOFZER Bellaire, L. I.


Mate in 3

No. 1259
DR. G. ERDOS
Vienna, Austria


Mate in 3

No. 1260
DR. G. ERDOS
Vienna, Austria


Mate in 3

No. 1261
R. FAIRLEY

New York City


Mate in 3

No. 1262
G. GOSMAN

Tantori, Brasov, Roumania


Mate in 3

No. 1263
C. S. KIPPING

Wednesbury, England


Mate in 3

No. 1264
C. S. KIPPING

Wednesbury, England


Mate in 3

No. 1265
HERBERT W. THORNE St. Petersburg, Fla.


Mate in 3

No. 1266
THOMAS S. McKENNA Lima, Ohio


Mate in 4

## Quoted Section

No. 1267
R. CHENEY
C. C. L. A. Bulletin

Mar., 1934


Mate in 3

No. 1268
R. CHENEY

Grand Rapids Herald Nov. 25, 1934


Mate in 3

No. 1269
R. CHENEY

In Memoriam: H. W. Barry American Chess Bulletin June, 1933


Mate in 3

No. 1270
R. CHENEY

American Chess Bulletin Jan., 1934


Mate in 3

No. 1271
R. CHENEY

Dedicated to V. L. Eaton Cincinnati Enquirer Sept. 18, 1933


Mate in 3

No. 1273
R. CHENEY

Cincinnati Enquirer Aug. 25, 1935


Mate in 4

No. 1274
R. CHENEY

British Chess Magazine Jan., 1938


Mate in 3

No. 1272
R. CHENEY

British Chess Magazine 1934


Mate in 4

No. 1275
R. CHENEY

Honor Prize, The Chess Review Nov., 1934


Mate in 5

No． 1203 by Maxwell Bukofzer：
1 KdB
1 ．．．PxP 2 Bel
Clever variations；simple and neat－ Bourne Smith．
No． 1264 by A，J．Fink： 1 Sej therat 2 Qdich or I3lueh
Bxes 2 Bib7ch
$1^{\text {Pxs }} 2$ 1sefoch
KxI 2 qeteh
Pe2 2 R』
Difticult－Fothenberg．
A hosi of mates without duals－l＇at\％，
No． 1205 by Dr．G．Erdos： 1 Qb7

| 1 | threat． | 2 Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | －Rb8 | 2 Bfach |
| 1 | ，Bha | 2 Qxh7eh |
| 1 | ，Rbs | 2 g 3 or git |

Mutual interference theme－Patz．
No． 1206 by R．IS．Meqee： 1 एe3
$1 . . \quad$ threat 2 Pth
1 Pretiy model mates－Rothenberg．
Prets
No． 1207 by R．J．lermudez： 1 Kd
1 ingly．Kd5 2 Pbi3 3 Ke 7 or Sod accord－ ingly，
No． 1208 by P．IA，Rothentherg： 1 1＇ts（Q）
$1 . .$. Ke4 2 Ra1
$1 . .$. Ke6 2 Rhs
I＇d call this a＂double lateral＂－Stenzel．
No． 1209 by Percy Bowater： 1 Sca
1．．．Kxs 2 JxPeh Kds 3 Ral
1．．．PXS 2 Rg ，Pgl（Q） 3 RxQ
Beautiful key－Rothenbers．
Characteristic Bowater siratery－Dobbs．
No． 1210 by Maxwell Bukofzer： 1 Idd
1．．．Kxe2 2 Sc 3 ，Kb2 3 Rat
1．．．Kxe2 ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Sg3ch，K12 3 Kh4
Mates certamly well hidden．My vote－ Medler．
No． 1211 by Thomas S．MeNenma： 1 R133

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1...Sat } 2 \text { Ra3, } 1: 1033 \text { sxlich }
\end{aligned}
$$

No． 1212 by E．M，H．Guttmann： 1 Rat 1．．Ibu7 2 Rb6－b3，Kd5 3 Red3ch，Kef 1 lezeh
 1 ．Sd2 or Se3 2 Irbdeh，Sct 3 RxSch． Nils 4 Resch
1．．．Ph3 2 Rbs，PxR 3 Kゅ゙5 atty 4 Sgich
 2. else 3 1216－f3，ecc．

1．．．SdG or Se7 2 R（x）dti，ete．
Splendid creation with a wath of variat tions．My vole！－Rothentrers．

No． 1213 by P．T．Rothembers（This and Nos．1214－ 1216 ：He（hristants offerinss，using the unorthodox＂sturt＂of White promotion to a Eblack biece．： 1 I’xf（B：ack Bishod）eh 1 ．．．KhN 2 Qhisch，BhG 3 QxP


$1 \ldots$ Sels 2 Unselt．Ses 3 1th！
So．1215 hy 1＇．R．Rothentmers： 1 l＇xR（Diack Rook）（h

No． 121 fis T．I．Jothiotheris： 1 I＇xQ（black （2114－21）•・ト

2 （2l）sch，（2xQ 3 Ratch Enorliodox but entertaning quartette－ Kematy．
No． 1217 by Maxwoll lsukorzar：Four solitions，viz， 1 SE？（1he atuhor＇s）， 1 Bef， 1 Be：8，and 1 Q\＆8．
No．1218 by 11，Jange：Intention 1 Qge
 Cook 1 （QtBeh ${ }^{2}$ Qas any 3 Qhe Cook 1 QtBeh
No． 1219 by H．1，ange：Intended 1 Rif but cooked by 1 lexpi and 1 lag
No．12210 by G．Goller：I IRdB

 Womderfat problem－bals：
No． 1221 1， F ．Chency：I fers
1．．．Ba7 2 Rbfich，Kh5 3 Kゅ1，BxD5
 Diflicalt ame rever conception－Keeney．

## SOLUTIONS TO＂WOULD YOU HAVE SEEN IT？＂

No．1： $15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ； $18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ dble．ch．， K－Q1； 19 Kt－B7ch，K－B1； 20 R－K8ch，BxR； 21 R－Q8 mate．

No．2： 1 Q－B6ch，K－Kt1； 2 Q－Kt7ch！，RxQ； $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ ； $4 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Rch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P}$ ； $5 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$ mate．

## LATEST CHESS BOOKS

From My Games（Dr．M．Euwe）－－－．－．．．－－$\$ 2.75$
Elements of Modern Chess Strategy
No．21－Reti Opening，No． 22 Caro－
Kann Defense，No． 23 Queen＇s Gambit
Declined，No． 24 Ruy Lopez． 24 num－
bers in all．Any 4 for
$\$ 1.00$
Championship Chess（Sergeant）－－．－．－．$\$ 2.50$
Basis of Combination In Chess（DuMont）$\$ 3.00$
La Apertura Moderna（1 P－Q4！）Bogo－


## CHESS TABLES

Sturdy，good looking，available in Oak，Mahogany or Walnut．Contains two－way drawer with partition to keep the pieces separated．Height $30^{\prime \prime}$ from the ground．Top $30^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime}$ ． $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ squares of maple and walnut．Price $\$ 25.00$ ．Special discount of $15 \%$ to Chess Clubs in quantities of six at a time．

Order from
THE CHESS REVIEW
25 W． 43 rd St．New York，N．Y．

