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White mates in three moves
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## FACTS : FANCIES * FOIBLES

From a rare old document in the possession of P. Shaul Hallett of Pasadena, comes this treasured oddity.

## A NARROW ESCAPE

"Even now I tremble. My opponent The Master of Evil. The Stake, I dare not mention. The Position - as here set down. 'Doctor', he exultingly exclaimed, 'Mate in seven!' Ominous number. 'Then, will I claim mine own!' Pale I grew, as my own White Men. In dread silence the play proceeded."


Myself
White Indeed
1

The
Red as Blood
$\begin{array}{llr}5 & \text { PxR } & \text { Kt-B7 } \\ 6 & \text { P-K4 } & \text { KtxPch }\end{array}$
${ }_{7}^{6}$ P-K4 K KtxPch

## SPOT NEWS:

A last minute change of plans indicates that New York may be the scene of the 1939 A. C. F. Tournament and not California as heretofore reported.
"Mate" he cried, but the seventh move was never completed! My opponent could not or would not make the fatal move. With a shriek of rage that rent the board in twain, he disappeared!

Friend! Set the men in order as above, make the moves recorded, and discover for thyself the wherefore of my Narrow Escape.

Red


## Margate Easter Congress

Once again displaying the rare form that has earned for him the adoration of the chess playing multitudes, Paul Keres annexed premier honors at Margate, England, the scene of many of Caissa's memorable events. With a score of 6 wins, 3 draws and no losses, the young Esthonian topped his nearest rivals by a full point.

Jose R. Capablanca and Salo Flohe divided second and third prizes. While none of the participants was able to account for an outright win against him, the invincible Cuban handicapped himself by turning in 5 draws. Salo Flohr bowed once, to Sir George Thomas.

> April, 1939
> RUY LOPEZ

Sir George Thomas White

| 1 | P.K4 | P-K4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 3 | E-K | P.QR3 |

S. Flohr

Black

| 28 KtrP | PxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 29 | Kt-QB3 |
| 30 Kt-Q5 | Kt-Q5 |
| 31 R-B2 | Kt-K3 |

To prevent B-B7.
32 B-Kt3
P-B5

A desperate attempt at freedom, but it fails.
33 BxP
B×P
$34 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$
B.Kt3

If 34 . . . B-Kt2; 35 Kt -B5, threatening KtQ6, and no adequate defense suggests itself.

| 35 | BxP | R-R1 | 43 | K-R2 | P-R4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | B-QKt5 | Kt-Q5 | 44 | Kt -Q1 | Kt-B8 |
| 37 | B-B7 | Q-K2 | 45 | R-K3 | R×R |
| 38 | BxKt | QxB | 46 | QxR | R-K1 |
| 39 | B-Kt6 | Kt-Kt6 | 47 | R.Kt2! | Q-B3 |
| 40 | R-R3 | Kt-B8 | 48 | Q-QB3 | Q-Q3ch |
| 41 | P-Q4 | Kt-Q6 | 49 | Q-Kt3 | Q-Q4 |
| 42 | R-Q2 | Q-Kt4 | 50 | Kt-B3 |  |

Slowly, ever so slowly, but surely, White makes progress, and the advanced QRP remains to torment the second player, notwithstanding his valiant efforts to stave off the inevitable.

| 50 | Q.B5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 51 Kt -Kt5 | Q-B8 |
| $52 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | Q-Q8 |
| 53 R -Q2 |  |

No warm welcome or haven of hospitality is offered the Black $Q$ in White's comfortable portals.


Salo Flohr


Sir George Thomas
56 P-Q6!
Onwards, ever onwards!

$$
56 \ldots \quad \text { KtxQ }
$$

If 56 . . R-Q2; $57 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and the QRP marches to glory.

| $57 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ | P. 33 | 63 | Kt-Q5 | Q-R6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 RxKt | Q-Kt5 | 64 | Kt-K3 | K-B2 |
| $59 \mathrm{P-K8}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{ch}$ | BxQ | 65 | R-B1 | P.Kt3 |
| 60 KtxB | P-R5 | 66 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K}+4$ | P.B4 |
| 61 Kt -B7 | K-B2 | 67 | Kt -K5ch | K-B3 |
| $62 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}^{1}$ | K-Kt3 | 68 | B-Q4! | Resigns |

For White intended to continue with R-R1 and the adyance of the QRP.

This game was superlatively played by the Baronet.

| MARGATE EASTER CONGRESS | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | - |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\infty$ | 10 |  |  |  | \|c| | 苞 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. Keres | . $\|1 / 2\| 1 / 2\|1\| 1 / 2\|1\| 1\|1\| 1\|1\|\|6\| 0\|3\| 71 / 2-11 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mid$ J. R. Capablanca | 1/2\| $\|11 / 2\|$ |  |  | 1 | $\|1 / 2\| 1 / 2 \mid$ |  | 1 | $\|1 / 2\| 1$ |  | $1 \mid 4$ |  | 1015 |  | $161 / 2-21 / 2$ |
| S. Flohr | 退1/2\|1/2 |  |  | $0 \mid 1 / 2$ |  | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 1113 |  | $161 / 2-21 / 2$ |
| Sir G. A. Thomas |  | 010 | 1 |  | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $11 / 2$ | 1 | 3 |  | 14 | 15 |
| P. S. Milner-Barry |  | $1 / 2$ | 1/2 | 1/2 |  | 0 | 1 | $11 / 2$ |  | 1/2 |  |  | 17 | 141/2-41/2 |
| 6 M. Naidorf |  | 0\|1/2|0|010| |  | 10 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | $14-5$ |
| 7 H. H. Golombek | O |  | - | 1/2 | 0 | 1 |  | $1 / 2$ | 1/2 | , |  | 4 |  | $131 / 2-51 / 2$ |
| 8 \| E. G. Sergeant | 0 <br> 0 $11 / 2$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 14 | $13-6$ |
| 9 \| Vera Menchik |  | 010 | 01 | 1/2\|1/2|1/2| $1 / 20$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1/2 11 |  | 014 | 15 | \| $21 / 2-61 / 2$ |
| 0 G. S. A. Wheatcroft |  | 010 | 01 |  | $11 / 2$ | , | 0 | 11 |  |  | 1 |  | \|2 | $12 \quad-7$ |

## Cross Country

## CHICAGO CITY CHAMPIONSHIP

The strongest city championship in Chicago since 1926, in session from March 4th to April 27th, ended with the not unexpected victory of Samuel D. Factor, whose consistently steady form enabled him to score 9 out of a possible 10 points. Charles Elison with 8 points finished second, Herman Hahlbohm with 7 points, third, Burton Dahlstrom with 61/2, fourth, and Nels Engholm with 6, fifth.
The tournament unfortunately was marred by several withdrawals and forfeits. Elias Gordon as a consequence of a dispute withdrew after the first round, and Lewis J. Isaacs, who was unwell, retired after the sixth round.
The final score:


## COLORADO NOTES

A greater interest in chess has been evinced in Denver since the first of the year than at any other time. The Denver Achletic Club has played matches with several groups, and for the first time in team competition, were tied by a select group of players under the banner of the Y. M. C. A. On April 21st at Boulder the D. A. C. vanquished a team composed of students and faculty of the University of Colorado. At the conclusion of the match, Professor William Wallace played host to the visiting and local team by entertaining them at his bome. A return engagement between the D. A. C. and the Y. M. C. A. resulted in a victory for ohe former by the score of $121 / 2$ to $91 / 2$.

The chessplayers of Colorado are desirous of perfecting arrangements for a match over an amateur short wave radio station. Teams interested should contact Frank L. Fetzer, Denver Athletic Club, Denver, Colorado.

## MASSACHUSETTS CHESS

Oscar Shapiro is the 1939 winner of the annual tournament of the Massachusetts State Chess Association with a score of $121 / 2-11 / 2$. Fliegel, Ward and Daly were tied for second, third and fourth places with scores of $101 / 2-31 / 2$ each. Fifth place went to Keller with $10-4$, sixth to Sharp with 8-6, seventh to Taylor, 7-7. Other contestants included Mitchell, Chauvenet, Sturgis, Hersey, Lewis, Gring, Dore and Mulloney.

Harold Morton of Providence and Weaver W. Adams, now residing in New York, were missing from the entry list. The schedule was promptly completed under the able direction of Frank K. Perkins.

Shapiro, this year's winner, has been playing chess in various Boston events for the past fifteen years, and on two previous occasions finished in second place in the Massachusetts State tournaments.

Raymond Thomas of the Boylston Chess Club made a clean score, $10-0$ in the minor section of the state tourney, repeating his $9-0$ success in the City of Boston championship, which finished a few weeks earlier. He is captain of the Boylston Club's " $B$ " team in the Metropolitan League.

## CONNECTICUT CHESS

The Connecticut Chess League has just completed the season's activities, having conducted during the past year both team and individual championship tournaments.
Eleven entries participated in the A division of the inter-city team tournament and five in the B division. The team representing the Yale Club of New Haven won the A title, defeating all other teams in the league, except one, which contest resulted in a tie. A deadlock between Deep River and the Hartford teams, was finally won by the former in the play-off to determine the championship of the B division.

In the individual championship tournament there were twenty entries in the A division, and preliminary play picked the best four to be Bertil Clareus of Hartford, Robert Mitchell of Hartford, Robert Ryder of Yale and Nicholas Bellome of Waterbury A round robin in which all four participated was won by Clareus, who is declared Connecticut Chess Champion.

The B division tournament resulted in a tie between Joseph Hazuka of Deep River, and W. P. Burroughs of Bristol. The representative of Deep River was victorious in the play-off.

## NORTHERN CALIFORNIA NOTES

The annual match between San Francisco and Eastbay, played on April 8th, was won by the former by the score of $141 / 2$ to $101 / 2$.

| e score: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fink | C. Howland |  |
| 2 | Simon ....... 1/2 | Barlow |  |
| 3 | Clarke ........ 0 | T. Tippin |  |
| 4 | Lewis ....... 0 | Fawcett |  |
| 5 | Bagby | Belmont |  |
| 6 | W. H. Smith . $1 / 2$ | McClain |  |
| 7 | H. Epsteen ... $1 / 2$ | R. Willson |  |
| 8 | Boyette ...... 1 | Capps |  |
| 9 | Byron ....... 0 | Buchanan |  |
| $10$ | Bergmann .... 1 | Christensen |  |
| $1$ | Ralston ...... 1/2 | Robinson |  |
|  | Swalberg ..... 1 | McCarthy |  |
| $13$ | Hendricks .... 1 | Amneus |  |
| $14$ | Myers | Smolakov | 0 |
|  | Schaller | Mecorney | 0 |
| $16$ | Guinasso .... | Thomas |  |
| $17$ | C. Stamer .... $1 / 2$ | C. Wilson |  |
|  | Boone ....... ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | Nelson Falconer |  |
|  | Woskoff ...... 0 | Schuman | 1 |
| $21$ | Feduloff ..... 0 | Hyatt |  |
| $22$ | Plumley ...... 0 | R. Howland |  |
| $23$ | A. Epsteen .. 1 | Kaufman | 0 |
| $24$ | Silvey ....... 1 | Griffith | ${ }^{0}$ |
|  | Forsberg ..... 1 |  |  |
|  | $141 / 2$ |  | 101/2 |

A 10-game match between A. J. Fink, outstanding west coast player, and Charles Bagby of the Mechanics Institute Chess Club resulted in a 5 to 5 tie.
The score:
$1|2| 3|4| 5|6| 7|8| 9|10| \mathrm{T} \mid$.
Fink..............|1|0|1/2| $1|1 / 2| 1 / 2|0| 0|1 / 2| 1 \mid 5$ Bagby.............. $0|1| 1 / 2|0| 1 / 2|1 / 2| 1|1| 1 / 2|0| 5 \mid$


## AUTO CITY NEWS

George Eastman, Michigan State Champion, with a perfect score of 11-0 annexed the city of Detroit championship. Marvin Palmer, former state champion, with $91 / 2$ points, finished second and Robert Coveyou, 1938 Detroit champion with $71 / 2$ points, finished third. A four way tie for fourth place resulted between Arthur Weiss, Joseph Moore, 16-yearold schoolboy, who has been playing chess but a year, Robert Eoff, and Charles Klinglesmith, all with scores of 5 wins, 4 losses, and 2 draws.
The tournament was played in three groups of 10 each, with the four leaders in each group qualifying. Abram Croll, Klinglesmith and Eastman were the winners of their respective sections. By winning every game in the qualifying rounds, the new titleholder set the pace which he maintained until the conclusion of the finals.
The Michigan Junior Tournament, held at Detroit April 2nd, was won by Jos. Moore with a perfect score of $6-0$.

## CHARLESTON, W. VA.

Forty players, divided into five groups of eight each, played in the qualifying rounds of the City Chess Tournament. The winners in their respective sections were E. Foy and Truslow, Sweeney and Maloy, Hurt and Ligett, A. Foy and Gilliland, Dr. Seletz and Church. Klug entered the championship tournament without playing in the preliminaries.

With a score of 4-0 Maloy leads in the final event, with Klug and Hurt directly at his heels with $3-0$.

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NEWS

In two set matches, the Chess Players Group of the Hollywood Anti-Nazi League bowed to the L. A. Chess and Checker Club team by the score of 3-5, and defeated a group from Steiner's Hollywood Chess Institute, 10-2.

## The scores:

## Hollywood Anti-Nazi League <br> L. A. Ches. and Checker Club

|  | Borochow .... 1/2 | Woliston ........ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Spero ....... 1 | Travers |
| 3 | Croy ........ 0 | Eliott (Capt) |
| 4 | Pray (Capt.) . 0 | Gibbs |
| 5 | Grabill | Kendall |
| 6 | Wolff | Dobsevage |
| 7 | Naiditch ..... 0 | Price ... |
| 8 | Millstein $\ldots . .11 / 2$ | Jaqua |
|  | 3 | 5 |
|  | ollywood Anti-Nazi League | Steiner's Hollywood Chess Institute |
|  | Borochow | Woliston ........ |
| 2 | I. Spero | Chern |
| 3 | Croy . ....... 1 | Carlson |
| 4 | Pray ${ }^{\text {a }}$....... 1 | Gibbs |
| 5 | Grabill ....... 1 | Hoerber |
| 6 | Wolff ....... 1 | Tanassy |
| 7 | Graham $\ldots . .11 / 2$ | Whipple |
| 8 | Stein $: \cdot . . .$. | G. Reinhardt |
| 9 | Millstein ..... $1 / 2$ | Vorkapich |
| 10 | Freedman ..... 1 | W. Reinhardt |
| 11 | S. Spero ..... 1 | Chernis |
| 2 | Ulrich | L. Johnson |

## MERCANTILE LIBRARY, PHILA. CHAMPIONSHIP

With a score of $11-1$, and without losing a game, Harry Morris headed the list of contestants in the Mercantile Library championship. J. Levin with $91 / 2-21 / 2$ was second, B. F. Wunkelman 9-3, third, Isaac Ash, $81 / 2-31 / 2$, fourth, S. Yablonowitz $71 / 2-41 / 2$, fifth, and Sigmund with $61 / 2-51 / 2$, sixth. Other contestants were Samuel Sklaroff, John Leary, A. DiCamillo, Bolden, French, Clay and Asher.

The score of the game between two of the leaders follows:

GRUNFELD DEFENSE
H. Morris

White

| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 27 | $\mathbf{R \times Q}$ | Kt-Q3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-KKt3 | 28 | Kt-K5 | KtxKt |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | P-Q4 | 29 | PxKt | Kt-K5 |
| 4 | Q-Kt3 | P×P | 30 | Kt-B4 | K-Kt2 |
| 5 | QxP | B-K3 | 31 | K-K2 | KR-Q1 |
| 6 | Q-Ktjeh | Kt-B3 | 32 | R(Kt)-Q3 | K-R3 |
| 7 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-Q4 | 33 | P-Kt4 | QR-B1 |
| 8 | P-QR3 | P-QR3 | 34 | Kt-R3 | R-QR1 |
| 9 | Q-Q3 | Kt-Kt3 | 35 | Kt-B2 | KtxKt |
| 10 | P.K4 | B-B5 | 36 | KxKt | P-QKt4? |
| 11 | Q-Q1 | BxB | 37 | R-QB3 | R-R2 |
| 12 | KxB | B-Kt2 | 38 | R(Q)-Q3 | R-Kt2 |
| 13 | P-Q5 | Kt-K4 | 39 | K-K3 | R-QR1 |
| 14 | B-K3 | $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{K})$-Q2 | 40 | R-B6 | P-R4 |
| 15 | Q-Kt3 | O-0 | 41 | R(Q)-B3 | $\mathbf{P \times P}$ |
| 16 | R-Q1 | R-QKt1 | 42 | P×P | R(R)-R2 |
| 17 | P-KR4 | P-KR4 | 43 | K-Q4 | R-R8 |
| 18 | Kt-KKt5 | Kt-K4 | 44 | K-B5 | R-K8 |
| 19 | B-Q4 | Kt-B1 | 45 | R-R6 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 20 | P-KB4 | Kt-Kt5 | 46 | K-B6 | R-Kt1 |
| 21 | P.K5 | Q-Q2 | 47 | K×P | R-KKt1 |
| 22 | P.K6 | Q-Q3 | 48 | R-QB5 | R-K5 |
| 23 | Kt-K2 | BxB | 49 | K-Q7 | R-Kt2 |
| 24 | RxB | P-KB4 | 50 | RxP | R×RP |
| 25 | Kt - $\mathrm{B}_{7}$ | Q-Kt3 | 51 | R-R8 | P.Kt4 |
| 26 | R-R3 | Q $\times$ Q | 52 | R-K8 | Resign |

## SEATTLE vs. VANCOUVER

On Sunday, April 30th, the Seattle Chess Club defeated an aggregation from Vancouver by the onesided score of $51 / 2$ to $11 / 2$. After play the Seattle men played hosts at a banquet to the neighboring British Columbians.

The score:

## Seattle

1 James Hurt ... 1
2 Haruo Ishida .. 1
3 Jas. WT. Tracy . 0
4 Anton S. Wallcoh 1
Bob Neale .... $1 / 2$
6 Bourne Smith . 1
7 Dan Wade ... 1
*Adjudicated a draw.

## NEW YORK NOTES

In competition with 10 other schools, Trinity School, for the sixth consecutive time captured premier honors in the league of Private Schools of New York City. The winning team consisted of Harry Sproat, Captain, Richard Gordon, Gordon Shaw and Garrettson Schoonmaker, with Travers Dike and Hans Haac as alternates.

## MANHATTAN C. C. vs. PHILADELPHIA

On Sunday, April 30th, the Philadelphia Chess Association played host to an imposing array of talent from the Manhattan Chess Club at the annual gettogether, at the Benjamin Franklin Hotel. After luncheon, a sixteen board match was played, favoring the New Yorkers by the score of $101 / 2$ to $51 / 2$. The Philadelphians excelled on the upper boards where they amassed four points.

The score:
I. Kashdan . . . . . . . 1 J. Levin . . . . . . . . . . 0
I. A. Horowitz . . . 1 H. Morris ....... 0
J. Moskowitz ..... 0 A. Regen ........ 1
R. Willman ...... 0 B. F. Winkelman . 1
W. W. Adams .... 1 I. Ash .......... 0
H. Morton ....... 0 S. Drasin ........ 1
J. Fisher ......... 1 S. T. Sharp ...... 0
O. Tenner ....... 1 M. Cohen ........ 0

Dr. J. Platz ...... I S. Gordon ........ 0
G. Shainswit ..... 1 A. Di Camillo .... 0
H. M. Phillips ... 1 W. McHale ...... 0
J. Soudakoff $\ldots .$. 1/2 P. B. Driver ...... $1 / 2$
L. Halpern ....... 1 S. Sklaroff ....... 0
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { I. Heitner } \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 / 2 & \text { H. Bolden } \ldots . . . & 1 / 2 \\ \text { M. Demby } \ldots \ldots . & 1 / 2 & \text { J. Wilkinson } & \ldots . & 1 / 2 \\ \text { S. S. Cohen } \ldots \ldots . & 0^{1 / 2} & \text { B. Keltz } & \ldots . . . . & 1^{2}\end{array}$
S. S. Cohen ..... $\frac{0}{101 / 2} \quad$ B. Keltz .......... $\frac{1}{51 / 2}$

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

| A. DiCamillo White |  |  |  | G. Shainswit Black |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P-Q4 | P-Q4 | 10 | P.K5 | PxP |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-QB3 | 11 | KtxKtP | KtxP |
| 3 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-B3 | 12 | KtxKt | PxKt |
| 4. | P-K3 | P-K3 | 13 | Q-B3 | R-R4 |
| 5 | Kt -B3 | QKt-Q2 | 14 | Q-Kt3 | B-Kt2 |
| 6 | B-Q3 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 15 | O-O | Q-Q4 |
| 7 | BxBP | P-QKt4 | 16 | Kt -B3 | B-Q3 |
| 8 | B-Q3 | P-QR3 | 17 | QxP |  |
| 9 | P-K4 | P-B4 |  |  |  |
| Into the lion's maw! |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | R-Kt1 | 21 | BxPch | RxB |
| 18 | QxKt | B-K4 | 22 | QxRch | K-B1 |
| 19 | QxB | RxPch | 23 | Q-Kt4ch | K-Kt1 |
| 20 | K-R1 | QxKt |  | Resigns |  |

## NEVADA CHAMPIONSHIP

William Taber, a member of the Mechanics Institute Chess Club, captured the Nevada State Championship without a single loss. The tournament was concluded on April 9th.

The final standing:


## THE SOCIAL ASPECT

"Boy, am I going to take up chess," remarked a young lady as she entered the portals of the Los Angeles Chess Club. "The place is full of men, and not a woman in sight."

## The Kemeri Tournament

Recording twelve points out of a possible fifteen, composed of nine outright wins and six draws, without even a single loss, Salo Flohr of Prague added another victory to the triumphant array already in his possession. This, coming directly on the heels of his conquest at Moscow, once and for all dispelled the dismal thought that the Czeohoslovakian has been relegated to the limbo of obscurity, and the shattered idol, restored and brilliant, again takes his place in the golden sun.

In a three-cornered duel for premier honors, Gideon Stahlberg of Sweden and Laszlo Szabo of Hungary deadlocked with 11 points at second and third position, the latter bowing in his individual encounter to the indomnitable Flohr. The performance of the Hungatian is noteworthy in that he did not draw even one game, winning eleven and losing four.

The scene of action was Kemeri and Riga, Latvia, where the tournament was begun on March 4th and completed on March 20th. Ten Latvians and six foreign masters comprised the list of entries.

Kemeri Masters Tournament
Latvia-March, 1939
SICILIAN DEFENSE

| V. Mikenas White |  |  | L. Dreiberg Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P.K4 | P-QB4 | 6 | Kt-Kt5 | P-Q4 |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P-K3 | 7 | P-K5 | KKt-Q2 |
| 3 | P-Q4 | P×P | 8 | Q-Kt4 | B-B1 |
| 4 | KtxP | Kt-KB3 | 9 | B-KKt5 | Q-R4 |
| 5 | Kt-QB3 | B-Kt5 |  |  |  |
|  | If 9 | txP; 10 | -R5 |  |  |
| 10 | Q-QR4! | Q-Kt3 | 16 | Kt(B3) $\times$ Pch | h K-Q1 |
| 11 | O-0.0 | B-B4 | 17 | B-Kt5 | Q-Q5 |
| 12 | P-QKt4 | B-K2 | 18 | P-K6! | Q-R8ch |
| 13 | BxB | K $\times$ B | 19 | K-Q2 | Q-Q5ch |
| 14 | RxP ! | QxP | 20 | B-Q3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 15 | Kt-B7 | $P \times R$ |  |  |  |
| L. Dreiberg |  |  |  |  |  |


V. Mikenas

| 21 QxKt! | Q-B7ch | 27 RxR | Q×R |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 22 K-Q1 | R-K1 | 28 Q×P | Q-K4ch |
| 23 P×Kt | B×P | 29 P -B3 | Q-K8 |
| 24 Q×P | B-Kt5ch | 30 Q-B5 | Q-Q7ch |
| 25 K-B1 | R-K8ch | 31 B-B2 | Resigns |

A bit of Wild West!
Kemeri Masters Tournament
Latvia-March, 1939
SICILIAN DEFENSE
G. Besrutschko

White
E. D. Bogolubow

Black

| P-K4 | P-QB4 | 19 | R-Q3 | QxRP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-Q4 | PxP | 20 | PxP | P-Q5 |
| QxP | Kt-QB3 | 21 | R×P | K-R1 |
| Q-K3 | Kt-B3 | 22 | B-B4 | Q-R6ch |
| Kt-QB3 | P-KKt3 | 23 | K-Q2 | Kt.R4 |
| B-K2 | P-Q3 | 24 | QxBch!? | KxQ |
| P-B4 | B-Kt2 | 25 | R-Q7ch | K-B3 |
| Kt-B3 | Kt-Kt5 | 26 | B-Q4ch | K-B4 |
| Q-Kt1 | P-B4 | 27 | R-Q5ch | KxBP |
| 10 P-KR3 | Kt-B3 | 28 | B-K3ch | K-K5 |
| 11 PxP | Bxp | 29 | R-Q4ch | K-K4 |
| $12 \mathrm{Kt-Q4}$ | 0 -0 | 30 | R-Q5ch | K-B3 |
| 13 KtxB | PxKt | 31 | B-Q4ch | K-K2 |
| 14 B-K3 | P-Q4 | 32 | R-Q7ch | K-K1 |
| 15 O.0.0 | P.K3 | 33 | B-Kt5 | P-QR3 |
| 16 P-KKt4 | Kt-K5 | 34 | RxKtPch | P×B |
| 17 PxP | KtxKt |  | and Black | won. |
| 18 PxKt | Q-R4 |  |  |  |

Kemeri Masters Tournament
Latvia-March, 1939
FRENCH DEFENSE
(Notes by I, A. Horowitz)
P. Keres

White
1 P-K4
2 P.Q4 3 QKt-Q2
V. Petrov

Black
P-K3
P-Q4
Of late the textmove is becoming popular. It avoids stereotyped play and is basically sound in that it does not immobilize White's QBP, and also in that the Kt enjoys a promising future; it may wind up at Q4 via QKt3, in the event of . . . P-QB4.

```
3... PxP
```

But this steers the game into channels that have long been expoited to White's advantage. An attempt at refutation would be 3 QB4, when White may continue with 4 QPxP, BxP; 5 Kt-Kt3, B-Kt3; 6 PxP, PxP; Black remaining with an isolated $Q P$ in return for his complete freedom.

3 .. Kt-KB3; 4 P-K5, KKt-Q2; 5 B-Q3, PQKt3! (threatening . . . B-R3, ridding White of his commanding KB) is also meritorious.
4 Ktxp
$5 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$
QKt-Q2
B-K2

If 5 . . . KKt-B3; 6 KtxKt, KtxKt; 7 Kt-K5! Q-Q4; 8 B-K2! after Spielmann.

| 6 | B-Q3 | KKt-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 7 | Q-K2 | $K t \times K t$ |
| 8 | BxKt | Kt-B3? |

A blunder! It allows 9 BxKtP, BxB; 10 Q-Kt5ch regaining the $B$.


## 9 B-Q3

Failing to take advantage.

| 9.0 | P-B4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 10 P×P | Q-R4ch |
| 11 B-Q2 | Q×BP |
| 12 O-O-O | $\ldots$. |

Anticipating Black's K side castling and intending a $K$ side Pawn assault, which will result in a free-for-all.
12....

And Black obliges.

| 13 | Kt-K5 | P-QKt3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 14 P-KKt4 | B-Kt2 |  |
| 15 | P-Kt5! | Kt-Q4 |

14 P-KKt4
15 P-Kt5!
0.0
B.Kt2

Kt-Q4

If $15 \ldots$. . BxR; 16 PxKt, BxP; 17 Kt-Q7, winning. After the text if $16 \mathrm{Kt-Q} 7, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 17 \mathrm{Ktx}$ $R$, Kt-B5 and Black should win.

## 16 KR-Kt1

## Q-B2

A typical Keres onslaught would occur after the seemingly natural $16 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$. Kt5; 17 BxKt , QxB; 18 R-Kt4, Q-B4; 19 BxPch, KxB; 20 RR4ch, K-Kt1; 21 Q-R5, BxPeh; 22 QxB, P-B3: 23 QxPch, KxQ; 24 R-Ktich with mate to follow.

## 17 R-Kt4

Quite a few complicated possibilities are inherent in the position: I. 17 BxPch, KxB; 18 Q-R5ch, K-Kt1; 19 R-Kt3, QxKt; 20 R-R3, P-B3 and Black is able to defend himself. II. 17 P-Kt6, RPxP; 18 KtxKtP, PxKt; 19 QxPch, R-B2; $20 \mathrm{BxP}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1} ; 21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3!$ III. 17 Q-R5, P-Kt3; 18 KtxKtP, BPxKt; 19 BxP , B-Q3!

$$
17 \text { :-R } \quad \begin{array}{lr}
18 \text { P-Kt3 } & \text { B-Q3 }
\end{array}
$$

To parry the threat of $19 \mathrm{RxP}, \mathrm{KxR} ; 20$ Q-R5ch, K-Kt1; 21 KtxKtP with a mate to follow.

19 Kt-Kt4
KR-B1
Amongst other things, White threatens to move his $K$ to Kt1 and follow up with P-QB4, gaining command of the square KB6 with
crushing effect. If 19 . . B-B5; 20 Kt -B6ch, KtxKt; 21 BxB !

## 20 K.Kt1 <br> P.Kt4

The counter-attack lacks momentum.
21 R-Kt1
Indirectly attacking Black's KKtP, which may be of use after 22 Kt -B6ch, KtxKt; 23 PxKt, further threatening, RxRP, when after KxR; Q-R5ch; K-Kt1, BxP!

21 . . .
B-K2
If 21 . . Q-K2; 22 Q-B1 followed by 23 Q-R3!

22 Kt-R6ch K-B1
V. Petrov

P. Keres

23 KtxP !
Violently forcing the entrance.
. . . .
B-B4
If 24 . . . KxKt; 25 RxPch , followed by Qx $K P$. Black no longer has an adequate defense.

| 24 | RxP | B-Q5 | 29 | QxQch | KtxQ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 25 | QxP | B-Kt2 | 30 | B-Kt4 | R-Q2 |
| 26 | Kt Q6 | R-Q1 | 31 | R-K1 | QR-Q1 |
| 27 | KtxB | QxKt | 32 | B-Q3 | Resigns |
| 28 | BxKtP | Q-K2 |  |  |  |

Kemeri Masters Tournament
Latvia-March, 1939
SICILIAN DEFENSE

## L. Szabo <br> White

| 1 | P-K4 | P-QB4 | 20 | K-B1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |$\quad$ R-Kt3

A scarcity of employment for gunmen is indicated by a recent holdup of one of the chess clubs-of all places! The players becoming confused over the unfamiliar scene, and not being acquainted with the rules for proper decorwm under the circumstances, shouted and jumped through the windows.
Incidentally, a radio broadcast announced that for once in their lives, the chessplayers made a hasty move.
P. S. The gummen got nothing.

## CUBAN NOTES

Competing against twelve of the foremost players of Cuba, Miguel B. Aleman, with a score of 8 wins, and 3 draws, annexed the Cuban National Championship title, according to a report of the Federation Cubana de Ajedrez. Francisco Planas, with 9 points, was second and Alberto Lopez third, with $71 / 2$.

Under che direction of Major Jaime Marine, the National Athletics and Sponts Dept, is conducting an elimination tournament, to determine the members of the team to represent Cuba at the Argentine Chess Olympics. Sixteen entries already have indicated a desire to play.

At the general elections of the Federation, Dr. Angel de Albear was made president, Dr. Amador Guerra, Vice President, St. Jose Victor Regueiro, General Secretary, St. Maximo Castro, Assistanc General Secretary, Sr. Herminio Montero, Treasurer, and Sr. Darwin Cabrera, Assistant Treasurer. The destiny of Cuban Chess is in the hands of these gentlemen who are endeavoring to bring about a revival in the Royal pastime similar to the good old days, when Havana was known as the "Eldorado' of chess.

## NEW CORRESPONDENCE CLEARING HOUSE

Economy is the keynote of the newly formed International Correspondence Chess Clearioghouse of 1901 Browning Blyd., Los Angeles, Calif. For 35c annually, an entrant receives names and addresses of prospective opponents, a registered rating of his ability, an annual multigraphed directory of correspondence players, and helpful suggestions.

## ROOK VS. BISHOP

## By Jose Maestre <br> (Fourth Installment)

SOLUTION TO PROBLEM NO. 4 1 K-R5
The threat is R-B7.

| 1.... | K-Kt2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 R-QKt3ch | K-R2 |
| 3 R-KB3! | B. K7 |

Best. If $3 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5 ; 4 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}$ any; 5 R B7ch etc.

| 4 R-B7ch | K-Kt1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 K-Kt6 | $\ldots$. |

Mate is threatened.

| 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 K-B6 | $K-B 1$ |

If $6 \ldots$ K-Kt1; 7 R-QB7, etc.

| 7 K-Q6 | K-B1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8 R-QB7ch |  |
| 9 K-B6 | Kt1 |
| 10 K-Kt6, etc, and wins |  |

The next King position to be discussed is Opposition 5. It is similar to the one presented last month.


This diagram is intended to illustrate that if the Black Bishop is on either his K1, QR3, QB3, QB5, Q8, K7, KB8 or KKt7 Black can draw because the Rook will find no method of forcing a win. Note that no Rook is shown on the diagram. The R can be placed anywhere provided it does not threaten to capture the $B$ or mate on the move.

For example, White attempts to win with the B at QR 3 .

$$
1 \text { R-QB3 B-B8 }
$$

Not 1 . . K-R5? ; 2 K-B4, K-R4; 3 R-B5ch! etc.

| 2 | R-KB3 | B-Kt7 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 3 | R-KKt3 |  |
|  | Drawn | B-B8 |

More examples are not necessary.

We have now arrived at the King positions on the N corner to which opposition 7 and 8 belong, together with others when the Black King is not in lateral opposition. These are mostly all in Black's favor, and with but a few exceptions the Bishop manages to draw.

Besides opposition 7 and 8 there are three other King positions that should be analyzed.
(a) When the White King is at KB6 and Black at his KR2 (or KKt1).
(b) When the White King is at KB6 and Black diagonally opposed at KR1.
(c) When the White King is at KB7 (or KKtG) and Black at KR1.

We have no space to treat each one in great detail, but as they are akin one to the other, we will present enough examples to give a clear idea of the process involved in all of them.


Observe that the Bishop is already posted on one of the long diagonals coming out of the N corner.

This situation and its subsequent play is well known and has appeared in numerous chess treatises. The play is for the Black King to remain in that corner and cover any checks with the Bishop, whereby nothing but Stalemate may result.

Care must be taken, however, not to fall into any traps:
1 R-KKt7ch
K-R1
2 R-Q7
B-B5

Or . . . B-Kt1, R7, etc. 3 K-Kt6
A trap!
3... B-R7

If 3 . . B-K3?; 4 R-R7ch, K-Kt1; 5 R-K7 wins.

| 4 R-Q8ch | B-Kt1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 5 K-B6 | K-R2 |
| 6 R-Q7ch | K-R1 |
| Drawn |  |

Not $6 \ldots$ K-R3? (Op. 6) ; because of 7 R-Q2, K-R4; $8 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{ch}$, etc.

From the diagrammed position, the drawing situation arises after 1 R-Kt7ch, K-R1, unless of course the Bishop is subject to immediate capture. If the Bishop be placed on any other White square on the board, Black gets a draw. For example, if the Bishop were on KB 6 , the play would proceed $2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 7$, B-Q4 ch; 3 K-B8, B-B5; 4 R-Kt4 or 4 R-Kt3, B-Q6 or K-R2, respectively, drawn. The Bishop cannot be prevented from getting on one of the two Central diagonals.

Let us examine the diagrammed position with Black's Bishop at KR6.

The play proceeds:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \text { R-Kt7ch } & \text { K-R1 } \\
2 \text { R-Kt1 } & \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$

Best, If K-B7 or Kt6, the $B$ checks and draws.
$2 . \dot{\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 7} \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$
$\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3!$

Not 3 . . . B-B4; 4 R-Kt8ch followed by 5 R-Kt5!
4 R-Kt8ch K-R2
5 R-Kt5

Preventing the Bishop check, and the escape of the Black King.
5 . Drawn B-B6

Let us examine the position referred to earlier as (b), with the Rook at Q4. Black may now draw only if his Bishop is situated in one of the squares indicated on the diagram.


For example let us place the Bishop on QR3 (QB3 or QKt4), and White wins with K-B7. The situation is identical if the Bishop is on KB 8 (KB6 or K7). Then K-Kt6 wins.

It is to be observed in the diagrammed position that the Rook and King combined, control eight squares of the two Central Diagonals.

When the Kings are in opposition 7 and 8 the same procedure is followed by both White
and Black. In these positions there are times when the Rook must remain stationary and a King move wins the game for White.

DIAGRAM M Black


This is opposition 7. With the Bishop as shown, not considering immediate captures or mates, there are two squares for the Rook, which would ultimately lead to a win. One is Q2 (to which he is unable to move in the diagrammed position) and the other is KB s, which controls Black's KB6 and prevents a check, e. g.:

| 1 | R-KB5 | K-R3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | K-B6 | B-R6 |
| 3 | R-Q5 | B-Kt5 |
| 4 | R-Q2! wins |  |

In the same diagrammed position, if the Rook were at K7 and White tried to win by: 1 R.K5, Black would draw with 1 . . B-B6. Or if 1 K-B6 dis. ch, K-R1; 2 K-Kt6, B.Q4, etc. draws.

If in the diagrammed position the Kings were at B8 and R1 (Op. 8), White wins with Rook to the 4th rank or with R-K2, and in no other way.

PROBLEM NO. 5
Black


By placing the Rook at QKt8 and the Bishop at QRs (Kings in Op. 8) a situation arises where the Rook has no adequate winning square. R-QB4 is not possible. But White wins by first playing I K-B7 dis. ch, K-R2; 2 R-Kt 4 !

One last example, with another variation.
It is to be observed in these positions (Kings in N corner) that White is able to win only because of the bad situation of the Bishop.

DIAGRAM N
Black


The Bishop's means of escape toward the central diagonals is via Q2 or B8. Out of the various ways in which White might win this position, the present situation of the Rook permits only two:
I. 1 R-Q4! and Black has no reply. For if 1 . . . B-B8; $2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 6$ etc., Op. 7.
If 1 R-K5 (or R-K3), B-B8! draws. (Not 1.. B-Q2; 2 R-Kt5ch, K-R1; 3 K-B7 wins).

Or if 1 R-K1?, B-Q2! (now correct) because if 2 R-Kt1ch, K-R1; 3 K-B7, B-B3! draws. Or in this variation $2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 6, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 5$ draws.
II.
1 R-K8ch K-R2
2 R-K7ch K-Kt1

If 2 . . . K-R1; 3 K-Kt6 wins. These two checks are for the purpose of conserving the same position while placing the Rook on the 7 th , and still hold the initiative.

## 3 K-Kt 6

## K-B1

Forced. The Rook is now attacked, If it moves along the 7 th rank the Black King escapes via K1.

If $4 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 1$, again, and as R-Q4 is not. possible, the only way to win would be by recovering the move with $5 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 6, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$. In the present situation White continues with: 4 R-K3
Or better yet, R-K5!

| 4 K-B6 | B-Q2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 5 K-KKt3ch | K-Kt1 |
| 6 R-. |  |

Or R-KKt5ch.

$$
{ }^{6}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \quad \text { K-R1 (forced) }
$$

And wins as was shown in solution to Problem 2.


Copyright February 1936, by Esquire-Coronet, Inc.

A game distinguished by retreats and long. range action.

A. V. R. O. Tournament<br>Fourteenth Round

Holland-November 27th, 1938
NIMZOWITSCH DEFENSE
S. Flohr
White

| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | B-Kt5 |
| 4 | Q-B2 | P-Q4 |
| 5 | PxP | QxP |
| 6 | Kt-B3 | P.B4 |
| 7 | B-Q2 | BxKt |
| 8 | BxB | Pxp |
| 9 | KtxP | O-O |
| 10 | P-K3 | P-K4 |
| 11 | Kt -B3 | Kt-B3 |
| 2 | B-K2 | B-Kt5 |

## 12 B-K2 <br> B-Kt5

Odd indeed-first White plays Q-B1, later follows up with B-K1, and Black in due course plays Kt-Kt1. Now comes Q-Kt1. This must be an example of the latest contribution to theory "For safety, keep your pieces at home."
 25 B-R

Kt-Kt3
While the advantage of 2 Bishops is not decisive, still it undoubtedly sways the game in White's favor. Most likely the players were in no mood for hard chess on the last day of the grind.

A bold bid for victory in the early stages peters out.
A. V. R. O. Tournament Fourteenth Round
Holland-November 27th, 1938
RUY LOPEZ
P. Keres
White

## R. Fine

Black

| 1 | P.K4 | P.K4 | 4 B-R4 | Kt_B3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 50.0 | txP |
| 3 | B-Kt5 | P-QR3 |  |  |

Considering the circumstances, both players deadlocked in the final round; the text is to say the least, rather ambitious. The staid and stolid 5... B-K2 is less risky.
6 P.Q4
P-QKt4
9
10
11
PKKt-Q2
Kt-Q4
B-K2
7 B-Kt3 P-Q4 10 QKt-Q2 O-0
8 If 11.

## 12 PxKt <br> 13 BxKt <br> KtxKt P-QB4

A fairly weak, isolated QP is the result of the rapid exchanges.

| 14 | P×P | B×P | 18 Q Q 4 | R-B8 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | R-B1 | R-B1 | 19 | B $\times R$ |
| 16 | R $\times$ R | R $\times R$ |  | Drawn |
| 17 | B-Kt4 | Q-B2 |  |  |

Both content to share premier honors, otherwise White's position is preferable. 20 P-KB4 followed by a well calculated advance of the K side pawns offers winning chances.

## My Favorite End-Game Compositions

By Irving Chernev

By Kubbeĺ


White to Play and Draw


Black finally wins the Knight, but loses his King!

By BRON


White to Play and Win

| 1 Kt Q2ch | K-Q6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 7$ |

2...KxKt, 3 Kt:B3ch
$\begin{array}{lll}3 & \text { B-Kt5ch } & \text { K-Q8 } \\ 4 \text { P-Q7 } & \text { BxP }\end{array}$
$5 \mathrm{BxB} \quad \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$
$5 \ldots \mathrm{KxKt}, 6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{ch}$
6 K-Kt1 B-B6
$6 \ldots \mathrm{KxKt}, 7 \mathrm{Kt}$-B3ch
7 Kt-K4 BxKt
8 B-Kt4 mate

# The A. V. R. O. Tournament 

ROUND 14-NOVEMBER 26


This round was played at Amsterdam, busy capital of the Netherlands. As expected, neither Fine nor Keres took any undue chances, and a draw was recorded in nineteen moves.

Capablanca lost to Euwe, which was important for the latter, as it was the first time the invincible Cuban bowed to the Dutch Grandmaster.
The end of this round came early. An enthusiastic public cheered long and heartily, and acclaimed both winners, Fine and Keres.

Appropriate speeches by AVRO officials, the tournament director, officials of the Netherlands Chess Association, and the two happy winners, closed the tournament.

Pressure, more pressure, and still more pressure and the invincible Cuban collapses.
A. V. R. O. Tournament

Fourteenth Round
Holland-November 27th, 1938 QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

Dr. M. Euwe
White

| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 60.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P-QB4 | P.K3 | 7 Kt - 3 | 4 |
| 3 | Kt-KB3 | P-QKt3 | 8 Kt K5 | Kt-K5 |
| 4 | P-KKt3 | B.Kt2 | $9 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 5 | B-Kt2 | B-K2 |  |  |
|  | $9 . . . K$ | 10 | PxP | BxP) | is preferable. Black, however, does not anticipate White's pointed 11th!

10 KtxKt

## PxKt

J. R. Capablanca


Dr. M. Euwe
11 Q-B2!
P-KB4
11 ... P-KB3 is met by 12 BxP and after 11 QxP, follows QxP!

12 B-K3
Kt-R3
13 QR-B1
Q-Q4

Black's difficulty is to rid himself of his backward QBP.
$14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$
Not 14 P-B3, B-Kt.4!
14
4 . . . .
BxKt
14...B-Q3, maintaining the Bishops for as long as possible offers better chances.

| 15 QxB | QxQ | 19 | P-QR3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 R×Q | R-B3 | 20 | R-B4 |
| 17 KR-B1 | R×R | 21 P×B | B×B |
| 18 R×R | B-Q3 | 22 | P-K3 |

$22 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ to relieve the Kt from protecting the BP was essential. 23 R-B4
Threatening R-R4.
P-QKt4
If 23 . P-B4; 24 R-R4, P-QKt4; 25 R-R5, PxP; 26 RxP! P-Q6; 27 RxPch and Black's QP can be stopped.
24-R-B3
P.B3 25 P-B3!

Enabling the Bishop to cooperate in the attack on the weak pawns.

| 25 | P×X |
| :--- | ---: |
| 26 | P-Kt3 |
| 27 | P-QR4! |

If $27 \ldots$ P-Kt5; 28 R-B4 and Black is helpless against the threat of 29 B-R3 followed by 30 B-B8.

| 28 | R-B4 | K-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 29 | R×RP | P-B4 |
| 30 | B-B1 | P×P |

A gesture of despair, but what is Black to do?

| 31 | R×Kt | P×P | 36 | B-K6ch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |$\quad$ K×P

Thrust and paryy.
A. V. R. O. Tournament

Fourteenth Round Holland-November 27th, 1938 QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE

Dr. A. Alekhine.
White

| 1 P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 17 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Q-Kt3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-K3 | 18 | R-R4 | Kt-B3 |
| 3 Kt -KB3 | P-QKt3 | 19 | P-B4 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 4 P.KKt3 | B-Kt2 | 20 | BxKt | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 5 B-Kt2 | B-K2 | 21 | $R(R 4) \times B P$ |  |
| 6 O-O | 0.0 |  |  | R-KB1 |
| $7 \mathrm{Kt-B3}$ | Kt-K5 | 22 | B.K4 | RxR |
| 8 Q-B2 | KtxKt | 23 | $R \times R$ | Q.K1 |
| 9 QxKt | P-KB4 | 24 | B-B5 | B-B1 |
| 10 B-K3 | B-B3 | 25 | BxB | Q×B |
| 11 Q-Q2 | P-Q3 | 26 | RxRch | QxR |
| 12 P-Q5 | P-K4 | 27 | Q-K2 | P.KR3 |
| $13 \mathrm{Kt-Kt5}$ | BxKt | 28 | Q-K6ch | Q-B2 |
| 14 BXB | Q.K1 | 29 | Q-B8ch | K-R2 |
| 15 P.K4 | PxP | 30 | K-Kt2 | Drawn |
| 16 QR-K1 | Kt-Q2 |  |  |  |

# Book Reviews 

Books reviewed in this column may be obtained from THE CHESS REVIEW

## ONE HUNDRED REMARKABLE ENDINGS

By P. J. Wenman \$. 75
The title is rather deceptive for the term ending as here used refers to the conclusion of the game. Nevertheless, that does not detract from the keen selection of combinational motifs culled from ancient and modern practice. Each of the 100 positions is terminated in the most unexpected and abrupt fashion by concealed strategy.

Black


Anderson
The above position is a little known example of Anderson's play and actually occurred over the board.

If ever a game appeared hopeless, this would seem to be the one. Black threatens mate in two places and White appears to be barren of defense. If 1 R-Kt8ch, KxR; 2 Q-Kt 4 ch, KB1; 3 Q-Kt7ch, K-Q1; 4 Q-Kt8ch and Black is able to defend with Q-B1. Yet there is a win for White in the original position.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1. Q-K6ch!! } \\
2 \text { Kt-Q7! } \\
3 \text { R-Kt8ch!! } \quad Q \times K t \text { (forced) } \\
4 & \text { PxQ and mates next move. }
\end{array}
$$

White has nothing but Pawns left. Truly a remarkable finish.

## CHESS MASTERY BY QUESTION AND ANSWER

By F. Reinfeld
$\$ 2.00$
A new, novel, instructive and entertaining method of presenting features and problems arising in the various departments of the game. Sixteen exemplary games are chosen from master play covering almost every known tactical, strategical, positional and combinative device. But these are not to be swallowed as
a pill, effecting an immediate cure-all for deficient knowledge in the opening, middle game or endgame. Instead, they are offered as roughage to prove and digest, and absorb. After every few moves, pointed questions are propounded, the answers to which are discussed at length in another section of the book. 173 pages, with an index to players, motifs and openings. Cloth cover.

## INSTRUCTIVE POSITIONS FROM MASTER CHESS

By J. Mueses

$\$ 1.00$
An addition to the lighter and brighter side of chess literature, it aims to serve rare delicacies to those who play chess for the fun of it. One hundred twenty-five diagrammed positions, covering every phase of the game, are discussed. Seventy-five pages, clear, readable type.

## A WITTY EXAMPLE <br> Marotti del Simone and del Giudice in consultation



Dr. S. Tarrasch
White to play and win

If $1 \ldots$ RxB; 2 Q-Kt7ch, RxQ; 3 RxP mate.
2 R×Pch $Q \times R$ 3 Q-Kt7ch K×P
4 R-R1 mate
This seems to have the earmarks of Plachutta interference.

## A COURSE OF INSTRUCTION IN THE ELEMENTS OF MODERN CHESS STRATEGY

By F. Reinfeld
(Lessons 21 to 24 inclusive)
Four additional lessons covering the much discussed Catalan System, the . . . B-B4 variation of the Caro-Kann Defense, the Slav Defense and the Tarrasch Defense to the Ruy Lopez. Each is written with the depth and understanding of the pedagogue, in presenting thoughts from the point of view of the student.

## Game Studies

(One of the most remarkable games ever played by American amateurs!)

Utah Championship, 1938
QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
(Notes by S. N. Bernstein)
D. L. Morgan

White
I. W. Taylor Black
P-Q4
$\begin{array}{ll}1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \\ 2 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4\end{array}$
Kt-KB3
3 Kt -QB3
White is intent on playing a regular Q.G.D. -otherwise he would seize the chance to obtain a fine free game by 3 PxP, KtxP; 4 P-K4 etc.

| $3 . .$. | P-K3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| B-Kt5 | B-K2 |
| 5 Kt -B3 | P-QKt3 |
| 6 PxP | KtxP |
| 7 BxB | Ktx ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

Safer was 7... QxB; 8 P-K4, KtxKt; 9 PxKt, B-Kt2 and Black's Q prevents Kt-Kt5 (the key-move of White's subsequent combination).

| 8 P-K4 | O-O |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9 B-Q3 | B-Kt2 |

To be considered was 9 ... B-R3; 10 B-B2 (or $10 \mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{KtxB} ; 11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$, P-QB4; 13 P-Kt5, PxP!), Kt-Kt3! 11 Q-Q2, Q-B3! with a satisfactory game (12 P-K5, Q-B5!).

| 10 | P.K5 | QKt-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 11 | BxPch! | K×B |
| 12 | Kt-Kt5ch | K-R3 |

Of course not 12 . . K-Kt1? 13 Q-R5, R-K1; 14 QxPch, K-R1; 15 KtxP.

Taylor


13 Q-Q2?
Here White misses a very pretty win by 13 Q-Kt4! He then threatens a forced mate by 14 Q-R4ch, K-Kt3; 15 Q-R7ch, KxKt; 16 P-B4ch, KxP (if $16 \ldots$ K-Kt5; 17 Q-R3ch, KxP leads to the same position); 17 Q-R4ch, K-B4 (if 17

K-K6; 18 Q-K4 mate) ; 18 Q-R5ch, K-B5; 19 Kt -K2ch followed by 20 Q -B3 mate.

How is Black to prevent the above mate? He cannot play ... R-R1, for then KtxPch wins the Q . Other possibilities are:

I 13... Kt-Kt3 (or -B4); 14 QKt-K4 followed by 15 Q -R3ch and mate next move.

II 13 . . . QxP; 14 QKt-K4, R-R1; 15 Ktx Pch, K-R2; $16 \mathrm{Kt}(7)-\mathrm{Kt} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 3 ; 17$ Q-R3ch! (stronger than QxPch at once), K-Kt3; 18 QxPch, K-R4; 19 P-Kt4ch, K-R5; 20 Kt-B3ch, K-R6; 21 Kt (4)-Kt5ch, K-Kt7; 22 R -Ktl mate.

III $13 \ldots$ QxP; 14 QKt-K4, Q-Kt5ch; 15 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ (to protect the KP); $16 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, R-R1; 17 KtxPch, K-R2; $18 \mathrm{Kt}(4)-\mathrm{Kt5ch}$, winning the $Q$.

IV 13 . . KtxKP; 14 PxKt, P-KB4. Now White cannot force mate as in the main variation because after 15 Q-R4ch, K-Kt3; 16 QR7ch, KxKt; 17 P-B4ch, KxP and White's KP is unprotected! Therefore White must content himself with obtaining a winning game by 15 Q-R4ch, K-Kt3; $16 \mathrm{KtxP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ (if 16 ...Q-Q6; 17 KtxRch, RxKt; 18 QxKt); 17 KtxRch with the exchange and a $P$ ahead. $\vee 13 \ldots$ KtxQP (the best defense); 14 O-O-O! (not. 14 P-B4, Kt-B7ch; $15 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, QQ5ch and wins), P-KB4 (forced; if $14 \therefore Q^{-}$ K1; 15 Q-R4ch, K-Kt3; 16 Q-R7ch, KxKt; 17 RxKt, Kt-Kt3; 18 P-B4ch. KtxP; 19 P-R4ch, K-Kt5; 20 QxPch and $21 \ldots$ Q-Kt5 mate. Or $14 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}(2)-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ and $16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{ch}$ etc.) ; 15 Q-R4ch, K-Kt3; 16 KtxP, KtxKt; 17 RxQ, QRxR; 18 QxKt winning.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
13: \ddot{Q}-B 1 & \left.\quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { QxP } \\
14
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { QXPCh }
\end{array}
$$

Allowing White to reinforce the Kt at Kt5. Stronger was $14 \ldots$. Q-Q6 with the unpleasant threat of $15 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ (or -Q 5 ). $15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B4}$ is not to be feared because of 15 . . Kt-Kt3!

Black could also obtain an advantageous ending here with $14 \ldots$ KtxP! 15 KtxKPch (if 15 O-O, Q-KKt5! wins), K-R2; 16 KtxQ, Kt-Q6ch; $17 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{KtxQ}$; 18 RxKt, KR-Q1 etc. However, the text move would have proved sufficient if Black had not gone astray on his next move.

## 15 QKt-K4 <br> K-Kt3?

Here $15 \ldots$ Q-R4ch was essential and after $16 \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~B} 1, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ ! the threat of . . B B R 3 ch or $\therefore Q$-Kt4ch leaves white hopelessly lost, e.g. 17 KtxKPch, P-Kt4! 18 KtxKt (or 18 QxPch, QxQ; $19 \mathrm{Kt}(6) \mathrm{xQ}$, B-R3ch and wins), BxKt; 19 P-KR4, K-Kt2 ete.

Or 17 Q-K3, B-R3ch; 18 K-Kt1, Kt-K7ch; $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Kt}$-B5ch; $20 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{Kt}(2)-\mathrm{Q} 4!!\mathrm{win}-$ ning.
16 P-KR4
R-KR1
17 P-KKt4!
....

Vigorously seizing the opportunity to resume the attack.

| 17 |  | R×P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | Kt-Q5! |
| 19 | P.B4 | Q-R4ch |
|  | K-B2 | Q-Kt4 |

The onslaught appears most formidable, but Black could have played here 20 ... P-KB3! 21 Q-QKt1 (if 21 Kt -KB3, KtxKt; 22 KxKt , P KB4; 23 PxPch, PxP etc. Or 21 KtxKP, KtxKt; 22 P-B5ch. KtxP etc.). PxKt; 22 Ktx Pch (not 22 Kt-Q6ch, Kt(2)-B4; 23 PxKtch, PxP with a winning counter-attack), $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3$; 23 Kt -R7ch, K-B2; $24 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1 ; 25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, Q-Q4 etc.

It must be remembered, however, that in such positions it is almost impossible to avoid going wrong somewhere!

| 21 Kt-QB3 | Q-Q6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | R-R3! | Q-B5 |
| 23 | Q-Kt1ch | P-B4 |
| 24 Q-Kt1 | Q-B4 |  |
| 25 | P-Kt4! | . . . |

Black finds himself "in the soup" again! But the last word has not been said yet!

| 25 P×P. | QxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 26 PxPh | $\mathrm{Kt}(2) \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 27 KtxKPch | K-B2 |
| 28 R-Kt1 | Q-Q3 |
| 29 Kt-Kt5ch | K-Kt1? |

Here it was necessary to play 29 . . K-K2; 30 R-K1ch, K-Q2; 31 R-K5 and it is still anybody's game.

| 30 | R-R8ch! | K×R |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 31 | Kt-B7ch | $K-K t 1$ |
| 32 | KtxQ | KtxKt |
| 33 | Q-Kt6 | $\ldots .$. |

Heaving a sigh of relief, White starts to "mop up." But Black finds amazing resources in the position.

33 . . . .

## R-KB1!

So that if 34 K-Kt3, Kt(5)-B4ch; $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ (or -Kt4), R-B3 with a good attack.
34 R-KKt1
RxPch!?
R-B6ch!

Introducing a remarkable finale.

## 36 KxKt

Not $36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Kt}$-B5ch; $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ (if $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, R-Q6ch!), R-K6ch; $38 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ and draws.

| 36 |  | P-B4ch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | K-K5 | Kt-B2ch |
| 38 | K.K6 | R-K6ch |
| 39 | Kt-K4 |  |

Forced, since if $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 7, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$. Or $39 \mathrm{~K}-$ B5, R-B6ch; $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$, Kt-KAch.

| 39 | R×Ktch |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 40 | K-B5 | R×K <br> 41 <br> K-B4 |
| 42 K-Kt3 | R-K5ch |  |
| 43 K-B2 | Kt-R3 |  |
| 44 K-K3 | R-B5ch |  |
| 45 K-K2 | R-B6ch |  |
| 46 R-Kt5? | Kt-B4 |  |

Missing his last chance. There is a win with 46 Q-K8ch, K-R2; 47 R-R1ch, Kt-R3; 48 Q-Q7, R-K6ch (or $48 \ldots$ B-R1; 49 QxP etc. If $48 \ldots$ B-K5; 49 R-R4! Or $48 \ldots$ R-B2; 49 RxKtch) ; $49 \mathrm{KxR}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{R}$; $50 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ and Black's $Q$ side $P s$ fall, after which the win is certain.

$$
46 \ldots \text { B-R3ch! }
$$

The game was given up as a draw here. If White tries to avoid the perpetual check, he loses! ( $47 \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 48 \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~B} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; 49 K-Kt2, R-B7ch; $50 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch} ; 51 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4$ ? P-Kt4ch; $52 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6$ mate!).

An extremely interesting and exciting game, despite several excusable lapses.

Chessplayers desiring to turn their libraries into cash are requested to get in touch with us. We will be glad to appraise any library and make a cash offer for it in whole or in part. Address: THE CHESS REVIEW, 25 W. 43rd St., New York, N. Y.

Moves which create a weakness in one's own Pawn position are called anti-positional mover. The experienced player, acquires a natural dislike for such moves, which occasionally redounds to his discredit.

The following game from the eigbth sound of the Noordwijk tournament illustrates this.

## Noordwijk International Tournament June, 1938 <br> SICILIAN DEFENSE <br> (Notes by Dr. Max Euwe)

Sir G. A. Thomas White
Dr. Max Euwe Black

| 1 | P-K4 | P-QB4 | 5 | Kt-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 6 | B-K2 |
| 3 | P-Q4 | PxP | 7 | B-K3 |
| 4 KtxP | Kt-B3 | 8 | P-K3 |  |
|  | O.O | B-K2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.0 |  |

The Paulsen variation.

## 9 Q-Q2

Not sharp enough. $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ avoiding a possible exchange of Kts is preferable.

$$
9 \ldots \quad \text { PQRZ }
$$

Aiming at complications, otherwise $9 \ldots$. KtxKt; 10 BxKt, B-Q2; 11 P-B4, B-B3; 12 B$B 3, Q-Q 2$, deserves preference from an objective standpoint.
10 P-B4
Q-B2
11 QR-Q1
Kt-QR4
12 Q-Q3

White must prepare to cope with . . . Kt-B5. 12... P.QKt4

At first sight the text appears strong, but a closer examination proves its deception. Indeed, Black still threatens . .. Kt-B5, and White cannot parry this by 13 P-QKt3, e.g.: $13 \ldots$ P-Kt5; 14 Kt-Kt1, B-Kt2; 15 B-B3, QR-B1, with an overwhelming position. The following completely anti-positional move is however an adequate reply. In view of this $12 \ldots$ B-Q2 would be better. 13 P-QKt4!


## Sir G. A. Thomas

This unexpected move creates grave and difficult problems for Black. The point is that $13 \ldots$ Kt-B5 is now refuted by 14 Kt (Q4) xKtP, PxKt; 15 KtxP followed by 16 QxKt. Also 13 . . Kt-B3 is met by 14 KtxKt, QxKt, 15 P-K5!, Kt-Q4 (forced, 15 . . . Kt-K1 loses: 16 B-B3, P-Q4; 17 KtxQP!, PxKt; 18

BxP and 19 BxR) ; 16 KtxKt, PxKt (or 16 ... QxKt; $17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ ! and White wins at least the QP); $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$. True, Black's position is still not without hope, for after $17 \ldots$ B-Kt2 the capture of the Black QP is no advantage: 18 BxP, QxB; 19 QxQ, BxQ; 20 RxB, PxP with recapture of the Pawn.

```
13
14 P-QR3
Kt-Kt2
B-Q2
```

Again inaccurate, after which Black gets into very serious difficulties. Indicated was 14 $\mathrm{Kt} \cdot \mathrm{Q} 2$, possibly followed by . . . Kt-Kt3 and . . . Kt-B5.

## 15 P-K5!

Very strongly played. After 15 . . . PxP; 16 PxP, QxP? White would win the Queen with 17 B-B4.

15
Kt-K1
Necessary was $15 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-Q4, to preclude the possibility of losing a Pawn after 16 KtxKt . 16 Kt .K4
White misses the best continuation 16 B-B3! The point is that White would obtain an irresistible attack after $16 \ldots$ P-Q4; 17 BxP!, PxB; 18 KtxP, Q-Q1; $19 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B5}, \mathrm{BxKt} ; 20$ QxB. Also $16 \ldots \mathrm{R}$-B1 (instead of $16 \ldots$ P.Q4) would have proved insufficient after 17 Kt-B5!, B-Q1 (17 . . . PxKt; 18 Kt-Q5 recapturing the piece); $18 \mathrm{BxKt}, \mathrm{QxB} ; 19 \mathrm{Ktx}$ QP, etc.

After the text move the dire consequences of the anti-positional move become apparent.

| 16 | P×․ . | R-B1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 17 | $\mathrm{Kt}(1) \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| 18 | KtxKt | KtxKt |
| 19 | Kt-Kt3 | $\ldots .$. |

A trap! After $19 \ldots$ QxP? White would win a piece with $20 \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathrm{~B} 5$ !, QxQ ; 21 RxQ .

| 19 | B.B5 | B-QB3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 20 | B-B5 | B-K5 |
| 21 | Q-Q2 | B-Q4 |
| 22 | Q-Q3 | Kt-K5 |
| 23 | B×B | Q×B |

Now it becomes obvious that the move 13 P-QKt4 has created a fatal weakness in White's Q side, his QBP is doomed to remain backwards. Black now threatens to win the exchange by $24 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$ - 6 followed by $25 \ldots$. KtxBch, and $26 \ldots$ B-B5. White has no adequate reply. After his next move the exchange is lost, and with it the game.


Translated from Kikeriki by J.B.S.
In the February, 1938 issue we reported that Harold Morton of Providence, R. I. retained the New England title by defeating Weaver W. Adams of Dedham, Mass. Two of the games from this match follow. They have been in our files for some time and some of our readers may ask why they have been resurrected at this late date. We feel that Harold Morton's refreshing annotations must not be lost to the chess world.

New England Championship Match, 1938
FRENCH DEFENSE
(Notes by Harold Morton)
W. W. Adams
White
H. Morton Black

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | P-K3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ | P-Q4 |
| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\ldots$. |

Objectively acceptable-but giving Black much choice of good continuations.


Defiant, dogged development.
$\qquad$ Ktxp
Not playing for "keeps". Black hopes to relinquish the $P$ presently whilst gaining in some other element as White converts Time into Material.

## 9 Kt -QR4

Kt - B 3
Right or wrong-this move yields Black 2 B's if White wants his P rebated.

| 10 BxKtch | PxB |
| :--- | ---: |
| 11 QxQch | KxQ |
| 12 P-B3 | B-R4 |
| 13 KtxP | B-Kt3 |

Inviting White to win a $P$, whereafter White might have more wisely emulated the virtuous maiden's, "No! No! a thousand times - No!" Adoption of the plausible plan at this point gives White handicaps he is unable to shake off.

| 14 | Kt-K4 | K-K2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 15 | Kt-K5 | B-Kt2 |
| 16 | KR-Q1 | QR-Q1 |
| 17 | Kt-Kt4 | P-KR3! |

The key to the refutation.

```
1 8 \text { BxKtch PxB}
19 Kt(Kt4)xP ....
```

He has buttered his bread, so now he must lie in it. Or made his bed so now he must eat it. Kt(K4)xP loses a piece by P-KR4.

$$
19 \ldots \quad \text { P.B4! }
$$

The point. Both Kts are immobilized. Menace lurks with P-KR4 and transfer of a B to K4.

20 P-QB4
$P$-KB3 is better at once.

| 20 |  | R-Q5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | $1 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | PxR |
| 22 | P-B3 | R-QB1 |
| 23 | 3 K-B1 |  |

If 23 P-QKt3, P-Q6 dis. ch followed by 24 i.. B-Q5 wins a piece. Similarly, if R-QB1 the same procedure pays dividends.

| 23. | RxP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24 $\mathbf{K t}$ - Kt8ch | K-B1 |
| 25 | KtxP |
| 26 | Kt-Q6 |

Ma! That man's here again.
Resigns

New England Championship Match, 1938

## ALEKHINE'S DEFENSE

(Notes by Harold Morton)

| W. W. Adams | H. Morton |
| :---: | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | KKt-B3 |
| 2 P-K5 | Kt-Q4 |
| 3 P-QB4 | Kt-Kt3 |
| 4 | P-Q4 |
| 5 | P-Q3 |
|  | $\ldots-$. |

A pawn advance at wholesale. Is it a bargain? Opinions extant are well assorted. Hecklers and adherents both find reinforcement for their argument. e. g. Tarrasch, "Too much of a good thing. White allows himself to be enticed into advancing all four center pawns." But Alekhine commends it, "The most dangerous procedure for Black. Refutation of Black's strategy, if possible, can be found only through this advance." Snosko-Borovsky writes, " . . . this fortress erected imprudently too close to the enemy lines." Tartakower analyzes it to show a powerful attack for White. Some pot pourri!


Thus far all is just like grandma used to bake.

$$
9 \text {. . . . }
$$

## Kt-Kt5

To avoid a highly dangerous sacrificial possibility which might come after $9 \ldots Q \cdot Q 2$ with the under-rated $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ ! followed $10 \ldots$ Kt -Kt5; 11 Kt -Q4, PxP; 12 PxP. Black may not continue with KtxP as he will lose a piece after KtxB.

| 10 R-B1 | P-B4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 11 P-QR3 | PxP |
| 12 KtxP | $\ldots .$. |

Snosko-Borovsky vs. Alekhine adopted 12 BKt5 after which Alekhine sacrificed his queen by PxKt. The game was subsequently drawn but later analysis indicates Black had much more favorable play, perhaps sufficient to force a win. The idea is 12 B-Kt5, PxKt; 13 BxQ , PxP; 14 BxKt, PxR(Q); 15 QxQ, Kt-B7ch; 16 K-any, PxB. Black has $R$ \& $B$ \& $P$ plus an attack commencing $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QB4} 4$ for the Q .

$$
12 \dddot{\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}}
$$

Kt.B3
....
A fine move. I had expected an even game after 13 KtxKt which has been accepted as correct by analysts. Adams' accurate appraisal here assures him of the superior game.

$$
13 \text {. . . . }
$$

PxKt
After $13 \ldots \mathrm{QxQ}$ Black would have no defence against $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt}$.

14 Q-B3

## KtxBP

This illiterate beast is not conversant with Genesis, Chapter III, Verse 3. He bites of the Apple, thereupon hastening the upset of the whole apple-cart.

Q-R5ch
H. Morton

W. W. Adams

16 K-Q2
The only move! Seven alternatives fail, Obviously after an interposition by either $Q$ or $B$ he will be unable to play Kt-Q5 upon which he relies to maintain advantage. If 16 P-Kt3, QxB; 17 Kt-Q5, KtxP; 18 Q-Kt2, Kt-Q6ch; $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{KtxR}$; $20 \mathrm{RxR}, ~ Q x K t c h!;$ 21 QxQ, R-Q1 and Black's gambol is vindicated.
$16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$

```
QxB
```

A real work-horse. Unlike the entry from the rival stable which died at the 15 th move, and for which a blood transfusion might appropriately have been tubed from a jack-ass, the driver makes this steed earn his oats.

```
17 . . . .
```


## QxKtch

A sad necessity. Originally intended was the faulty line $17 \ldots$ Q-Kt6; 18 Kt-B7ch, KQ2; 19 KtxR, QxPch; $20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$, QxKP; but now he sees that White demolishes him with $R$ QKt1 to which there is no adequate defence. Now White goes on to win the game as he pleases.

| 18 QxQ | R-Q1 | 22 R-Q3 | B-K2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 19 QxRch | KxQ | 23 R-Kt3 | P-B5 |  |
| 20 KR-Q1 | K-Q2 | 24 | B-B2 | $\cdots$ |
| 21 K-K2ch | K-K3 |  |  |  |

21 K.K2ch
K-K3
24 B-B2

Of course, not $B x B P$ because of Kt-Q5ch. Similarly, RxP is a blunder refuted by Kt-Q1.

| 24 | O.-. | Kt-R4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 25 | R-Kt5 | P-QKt3 |
| 26 | P-QKt4 | Kt-Kt2 |
| 27 | R-B7 | R-QKt1 |
| 28 | BxKtP! | . . . |

A bit of rhythm akin to the popular number "Without a Word of Warning". White carries his convincing play right to the finish.

P.QR3

Resigns
(The following game, although only a draw, is thrilling from beginning to end. Almost every move alters the chances, and at every turn each player believes the other is lost. But always there is a saving clause, with new surprises.

A drawn game, true, but of such there exist but few!

Played in Holland-1938
CARO-KANN DEFENSE
(Notes by Dr. Max Euwe)

| J. L. Stolk | F. J. Voorwinde |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | P-QB3 |
| 2 P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | PxP |
| 4 KtxP | B.B4 |
| 5 B-Q3 | $\ldots .$. |

One of the many gambit variations of this particular opening. White offers a Pawn in return for a few tempi. It is however, a moot question whether the gain in time is worth the loss of material.
$5 \ldots$ QxP
Fearlessly, Black accepts the gambit.
6 Kt-KB3
Best. The threat is now $\ldots$ BxKt followed by the exchange of Queens.

## 7 Q-K2

White must of course avoid the exchange of Queens in order to retain the initiative.

## 7... BxKt

And Black must of course guard against smothered mate: 7 . . . Kt-Q2; 8 Kt-Q6 mate.

This last exchange will now enable Black to develop his KKt with the gain of a tempo.

| 8 | BXB | Kt-KB3 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 9 | B-Q3 | P-K3 |
| 10 | O.O | QKt-Q2 |
| 11 | B-KKt5 | B-K2 |
| 12 | QR-Q1! | $\ldots$. |

In the nick of time to upset the peaceful development of Black! Were Black now to continue with $12 \ldots \mathrm{O}$ - O , then would follow: 13 BxKt, KtxB; 14 BxPch, and White wins the Queen for a Rook and Bishop. Should Black recapture $13 \ldots$ BxB (or $13 \ldots$. . PxB), then White recovers his Pawn by 14 BxPch, KxB; 15 Q-Q3ch, K-Kt1; 16 QxKt. This latter continuation would lead to about an even game: 16... QxQ; 17 RxQ, BxP; 18 RxKtP, KRQKt1.

12
Q-R4
Removing the Queen from the danger zone to facilitate castling.

13 KR-K1
Simple, but powerful! White's entire army is now mobilized; Black's Rooks are out of play.

$$
13
$$

P-KR3
Was .. . O-O impossible? Let us consider: 13 ...O-0; 14 BxPch, KtxB; 15 BxB or 14 ... KxB; 15 RxKt!, KtxR; 16 BxB and White must win the exchange, for he has a double threat of BxR, and Q-Q3ch followed by QxKt. Then after $13 \ldots 0$. . . White at least regains his Pawn and obtains equality.

But Black does have better. 13 . . . O-0-O apparently leaves the first player without tangible compensation for his gambit Pawn. On this ground the opening sacrifice is to be condemped.

## 14 B.Kt6!

Opening the $Q$ file and immediately threatening BxBPch and QxPch.

14
O-0
The only move to safeguard the King. The threats on Black's K2 and K3 could not be adequately met otherwise: $14 \ldots$ BPxB?; 15 QxP, Q-Q1; 16 BxKt, PxB: $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ and White wins.

## 15. RxKt

A remarkable move in a remarkable position. Many pieces are en prise.

## 15.... <br> KtxR

After other moves White obtains decisive advantage: $15 \ldots \mathrm{RPxB}$; $16 \mathrm{RxB}, \mathrm{PxB} ; 17$ QxPch, etc.

## 16 BxB

## PxB

There was nothing else: 16 . . KR-K1 fails because of $17 \mathrm{BxPch}, \mathrm{KxB}$; 18 QxP mate.

$$
17 \text { QxPch }
$$

Apparently White has achieved a winning position. Black's Knight must fall, and White has two pieces for a Rook.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
17 . \dot{\mathrm{Q} \dot{\mathrm{~K}} \mathrm{t}} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { K-R2 } \\
\text { R×Kt }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

The tables are turning! Now White's Rook is threatened and it appears that Black will remain with material advantage.

19 P-QKt4
Interposes on the diagonal, and attacks the Queen. Does not White win a piece?

19

Q-KB4

Indirect protection for the Rook on B6. Black retains the exchange.

20 QxKtP
Now two rooks are loose.
20 ...
$\mathbf{R x P}$
Black acquieces
21 QXR
White captures the rook. But now comes the surprise!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{21} 2 . \text { F. J. Voorwinde } \\
& \quad \text { F. }
\end{aligned}
$$

RxPch

J. L. Stolk

22 ... Q-Kt5ch
Drawn. The White King cannot escape: 23 K-R1, Q-B6ch; 24 K-Kt1, Q-Kt5ch; 25 K-B2, Q-B5ch; 26 K-K2, Q.K5ch; 27 K.Q2, Q-Q5ch. A thrilling battle - a credit to both players. Translated from Kikeriki bj J.B.S.

# Problem Department 

By Vincent L. Eaton
Address all correspondence relating to this department to V. L. Eaton, 2237 Q Street, N. W., Washington, D. C.
"By popular demand", as the saying goes, we are inaugurating in this month's issue a change of policy with regard to the solving rules. When I called for suggestions recently, a number of you pointed out the difficulty of solving all the problems in each month's issue for full credit on the Ladder; and 1 quite agree that mastering twenty-seven offerings of all types and of varying degrees of diflculty every four weeks is a formidable task, even for the expert. Hence we shall hereafter hold you responsible only for the eighteen original problems, the majority of which will be in two and three moves. Solving of the nine quoted works will be optional, but will not score points on the Ladder.

As for the actual scoring, credit will ordinarily be allowed on the basis of two points for each two-mover, three for each three-mover, and longer problems pro rata; but this scale will be made flexible enough to allow extra points for more than moderately difficult problems of each type. Correct claims of cooks and no solution will also bring dividends. The number of points awarded will appear with the solution of each problem, which will give you a month-by-month check on your scores, and an opportunity to prove yourselves better mathematicians than the Editor. The solving prize will continue to be awarded each month to the lucky fellow at the top of the Ladder, but inactive climbers will be dropped if they are silent for more than six months. In case they subsequently awake from their slumbers, however, they will be allowed to resume their ascent with their back points restored and the Editor's blessing.

I have also decided to change the system of awarding the Honor Prizes for our original contributions. Until now the best two-mover and the best longer problem over a period of several months have received prizes; but it seems to me that three-movers deserve a separate place in the sun, and that they must be judged by somewhat different standards than those applied to long-range compositions. A good three-er may suffer in the popular ballot by comparison with an equally good four- or five-mover or self-mate; tot homines, tot sententiae, or, as someone has said, "What's one man's fish is another man's poisson." Hereafter, therefore, please vote for the best two-er, the best three-er, and the best long-range problem or self-mate in each issue. The Problem Editor will then constitute himself judge of the best problem of each type selected by ballot over a period of three months, and these problems will appear in rotation on the cover. Thus the June Honor Prize will be awarded to the best long-range problem published during the period Feb.April; the July Prize to the best two-er from the March-May issues; the August Prize to the best three-er during April-June; and so on. This amounts, in effect, to holding informal quarterly tourneys for each type of problem.

Now that these matters are off my chest, I hope soon to be able to give a series of brief articles on problem terms and themes, the technique of composing, hints on solving, and other matters which may be of some general interest. You might help by writing in and suggesting points which you should like to have explained in these pages. I shall also try to answer questions about problems (except your personal ones) if they are accompanied by return postage.

The amusing pseudonym above No. 1336 masks a once-active composer who has come back to the chessboard after nearly twenty years of abstinence. No. 1343 is also by a newly-returned veteran. No. 1356 is an interesting revision of an unsound Shinkman selfmate, with added variety. Though Nos. 1348 1356 need not be solved for Ladder credits, they are recommended as being of exceptional merit.

Congratulations to Andre Marceil on his popular Honor Prize Lightweight, No. 1288, and to Dr. Gilbert Dobbs, who has acquired the habit of winning the Ladder award (this being his fourth ascent).

## INFORMAL LADDER

(Maximum score for Nos. 1276-1302: 79) ***Dr. G. Dobbs 799, 75; Bill Beers 744, -; *1. Genud 725, -; Dr. P. G. Keeney 714 ; **: H. B. Daly 607, 76; *W. Patz 598, 53; E. J. Korpanty 550,$54 ; *$ A. Sheftel 532,60 ; BourneSmith 589, -; *I. Revise 501, 60; **1. \& M. Hochberg 470, 79; ***M. Gonzalez 546, -; ***G. Plowman 467, 68; W. Jens 496, 34; F. Sprenger 415, 75; T. McKenna 384, 58; 1. Burn 437, -; W. Keysor 397, 39; K. Lay 351, 40 ; J. Hannus 303, 43; B. M. Marshall 308, 18; J. Schmidt 302, -; H. Hausner 264, -; *C. Miller 262, -; L. Greene 239, -; ****P. L. Rothenberg 157, 79; A. Saxer 166, -; A. Grant 152,-; **1. Burstein 79, 64; G. Fairley 64, 69; L. Eisner 129, -; J. M. Dennison 57, 63; J. Tusch 97, -; V. Rosado 79; A. Tauber 79; W. C. Dod 75, $;{ }^{*} \mathrm{H}$. Medler 70, -; Max Weybege 62; A. Fortier 38, -; J. Donaldson 3, 14; F. Grote 6, -.

## SOLUTIONS

No. 1276 by Bill Beers: 1 Rg2 (Two points) A preparing waiter-Marshall. The echo promotions nicely done-Dolobs. Key provides symmetrical setting-Rothenberg. Pleasing underpromoter-Sprenger.
No. 1277 by Bill Beers: 1 Sf3 (Two points) Clever pinner-Dobbs. Key is weakRothenberg. Discovered pin defenses are thematic and accurate, variety excellent RRosado. Nice pinning defenses throush BR evacuation-Sprenger.
No. 1278 by Dr. G. Dobbs: 1 BxP (Two points) Objectionable capture key is several times compensated for by the half-pin workKeysor. Neat half-pins and interferemes - Marshall. Delectable pin play-Rothenberg. From half-pin to pin blocks-Sprenger.

# Original Section 

No. 1330
BILL BEERS
Willmar, Minn.


Mate in 2

No. 1331
BILL BEERS
Willmar, Minn.


Mate in 2

No. 1333
DR. J. HANSEN
Copenhagen, Denmark


Mate in 2

No. 1334
BURNEY M. MARSHALL Shreveport, La.


Mate in 2

No. 1336
"THE OLD DUCK" New York, N, Y.


Mate in 2

No. 1337
HERBERT THORNE St. Petersburg, Fla.


Mate in 2

Mate in 2
No. 1335
GEOFFREY MOTT.SMITH New York, N. Y.


Mate in 2


## Original Section (cont'd)

No. 1339
J. M. DENNISON Detroit, Mich.


Mate in 3

No. 1340
DR. G. DOBBS
Carrollton, Ga.


Mate in 3

No. 1341
THE PROBLEM
EDITOR


Mate in 3

No. 1342
J. G. LINTON

New York, N. Y.


Mate in 3

No. 1343
FRED ORNES
Mount Vernon, Wash.


Mate in 3

No. 1344
HERBERT THORNE St. Petersburg, Fla.


Mate in 3

No. 1345
GEOFFREY MOTT-SMITH New York, N. Y.


Selfmate in 2

No. 1346
DR. G. DOBBS
Carrollton, Ga.


Selfmate in 3

No. 1347
G. GOELLER

Munich, Germany


Selfmate in 5

## Quoted Section

No. 1348
B. J. De C. ANDRADE

London Observer, 1939


Mate in 2

No. 1349
A. J. FINK

First Prize,
Western Daily Mercury, 1938


Mate in 2

No. 1350
BURNEY M. MARSHALL British Chess Magazine Nov., 1938


Mare in 2

No. 1351
J. J. RIETVELD

First Prize,
British Chess Magazine, 1923


Mate in 2

No. 1352
DR. L. N. DeJong
Pittsburgh Post, 1922


Mate in 3

No. 1353
FRITZ HOFMANN
Schachminiaturen, 1902


Mate in 3

No. 1354
J. JUCHLI

Schweiz. Schachzeitung,
Feb., 1905


Mate in 3

No. 1355
M. HAVEL.

Zlata Praha, May 15, 1914


Mate in 4

No. 1356
GEOFFREY MOTT-SMITH (After W. A. Shinkman) Games Digest, Jan., 1938


Selfmate in 7

No. 1279 by Dr. G. Dobbs: 1 Re8 (Two points) The half-pin is very.good-Keysor. Threeway pinning of the mating piece. My vote-Marshall. Difficult two-er, remarkably rich in variations. My vote-Rothenberg. Interesting incomplete halfpinner with nice Black self-interterence -Rosado. Best two-er-Hochbergs.
No. 1280 by Burney M. Marshall: 1 Sc5 ('Two points) A very strategical key and a "tuff nut" -Keysor. Self-pinning to get a Gavrilow mate-Marshall. Pleasing complex affait —Dobbs. Difficult. Threat hard to find -Sprenger, Best two-er-Patz, Sheftel.
No. 1281 by Herbert Thorne: 1 Qc2 (Two points) A sacrifice key to a wondertul piece of work-Keysor. The Royal unpins are splendid-Dobbs. Splendid mate after i Mr. Ke6. Problem speaks well for young Mr . Thorne-Rothenberg. By movins the White Bishop to h3, removing the Black Pawn from 12 , and moving the rest of the position two squares to the right the dual after $1 \ldots$ RxQ is eliminated -Rosado.
No. 1282 by Maxwell Bukofzer: 1 Kg 7 (Three points) $1 \ldots \mathrm{KxP} \quad 2 \mathrm{Sf3}$ or Se6 (Dual)
Easy meat but very cute double echoRosado. The symmetrical play is most pleasing. Am happy to see Mr. Bukofzer using pieces other than Bishop and Knight-Rothenberg. Very pretty echo models-Sprenger. My vote-weybege.
No. 1283 by Maxwell Bukofzer: 1 Sc6 (Three points)


Very good problem with many varlations -Weybege. Wonderful echo playRosado, The results are meager-Dobbs. My vote-Sprenger.
No. 1284 by Simon Costikyan: 1 Be3 (Three points)

| 1... Sd4ch | 2-1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 . . .8 x B$ | 2 Kelch |
| SxB | 2 Qf4 |
| Sd6 | 2 RxB |

Very nice mainplay, other variations not good-Weybege. The Eaton theme well presented, thoush nothing startlingRothenberg. Good consecutive interference in main line-Fairley. My voteSheftel.
No. 1285 by V. L. Eaton: 1 Kb7 (Four points) 1... threat 2 Re7ch $1 .$. Sa5 2 Qg 4 ch 1.... Sf5 $\quad 2$ Qe5 2 SxBch $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Be5 } & 2 \text { SxBch } \\ \text { Qf4 } & 2 \text { SxQch }\end{array}$
This proved rather difficult, several solvers submitting keys of 1 Bb 4 and 1 Ba3, which are defeated by 1 .. Bd8. To those who voted this composition the Honor Prize, many thanks, but my problems are not in the competition-Editor.
No. 1286 by Dr, J. Hansen: 1 Ra5 (Three points) $\begin{array}{llll}1 . . . & \text { Pg6 } & 2 & \text { Sd5 } \\ 1 . . . & \text { PxP } & 2 & \text { Rf5 } \\ 1 & . . & \text { KxP } & 2 \\ \text { Be8 }\end{array}$
Interesting side-board position with a neat cross-check and thematic keyRosado. Cute little king-at-the-edge prob-lem-Keysor. The cross-check is the best feature-Dobbs.
No. 1287 by C. S. Kipping: 1 Pb4 (Three points) 1 . . Paxb e.p. 2 QxR

A genuine tasker with some good trifsRothenberg. A fine thematic and characteristic three-er by one of Eingland's greatest modern composers-Rosatio. En passant aspect of maximum distanceDobbs.
No. 1288 by Andre Marcell: 1 Rf6 (Three points) $1 . . . K x R$
1 ${ }_{2}$ Bd8ch
The pure model mirror mate in this lovely creation is thrilling to behold. My voteRothenberg. Very fine variations with economic means-Weybege. Fine mates -Rosado. My vote-Fairley, Plowman.

No. 1289 by Rudolf Popp: 1 Pc3 (Three points) $1^{\prime} .$. PxP 2 Re6 1 ...Pas 2 Be
Puzzling but cumbersome-Rosado. While not a true Indian, the alternate maskings are interesting-Dobbs. The double $\ln$ dian is always a feat-Rothenberg. Impossible position-Sprenger. (The Black
Pawns have made six captures-Editor)
No. 1290 by Maxwell Bukofzer: 1 Sb4 (Four points)

1. . . Kc5 2 Se4ch, Kb5 3 Kd3
$1 .$. Ke5 2 Sd3ch, Kd6 3 Pe4
2. . Pa3 2 Sd3, Pa2 3 Pc4

Mr. B's cavalry again well presentedRothenberg. Quite a feat, considering that there are only minor pleces on the board-Sprenger.
No. 1291 by Thomas S. McKenna: 1 Bg6 (Five points)
$1 . . . t h r e a t$
2
2
$\mathrm{Se} 2 \mathrm{Se} 3-\mathrm{d} 1 \mathrm{ch}$.
$\cdot$ Kat or 2 Se3-d1ch, Ke1 3 Sf2;
4 Se4 or PxS acc.
1 ... SxP 2 Sc4, Sf3 3 Bd2ch, SxB 4 Se5
Complex scheme with minor piecesFairley. Not difficult, but the two main variations are fine-Rosado. Two lines neatly timed-Dobbs.
No. 1292 by Will C. Dod: 1 Qg1 (Three points) 1... Pb6 $2 \mathrm{Se} 8, \mathbf{P x B} 3 \mathrm{~Pb} 4 \mathrm{ch}$

Rather a simple idea, but not devoid of interest-Rosado.
No. 1293 by Geoffrey Mott-Smith: 1 Rb6 (Three points)
1... KxR 2 Ba7ch, KxS 3 QxPch

1 (Duai) PxR 2 Ba 7 or Qd4ch or Qe3ch
Elegant symmetrical echoes in economic setting-Rothenberg.
No. 1294 by S. Krelenbaum: 1 Qh6 (Two points)
No. 1295 by S. Pituk: 1 Pe4 (Two points)
No. 1296 by C. G. Watney: 1 Qf5 (Two points)
No. 1297 by G. F. Anderson: 1 Kh7 (Four points)


No. 1298 by V. L. Eroblem-Rosado.


| 1...threat | 2 RxPch |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 . . Re6 | $2 \mathrm{Sf4}$ |
| 1. . . Sc3 | 2 Sb 4 |
| 1... Sb5-d6 | 2 Sd 8 |
| 1... Sf6 | 2 Sf7-e5 |
| 1...Se8-d6 | 2 S14 |

No. 1300 by V. K. Raman Menon: 1 Rf3-g3 (Three points)

| KxPch | 2 Rech |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kf4ch | 2 |
| Rd3ch |  |
| Bd2 | 2 |
| SxBch |  |
| Rg1 | 2 Re3ch |
| threat | 2 Rh4ch |

No. 1301 by'Hं. V. Tuxen: 1 Qc2 (Three points) $\mathrm{fSg}^{2} \mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{Kf7}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { hS\&7ch } 2 \text { Kd7 } \\ \text { Sa6ch } & 2 \text { Kf8 } \\ \text { Sf6ch } & 2\end{array}$
No. 1302 by $\dot{O}$. Votruba: 1 Qg5 (Four points)


## MY BEST GAMES OF CHESS

By Dr. Alexander Alekhine $\$ 1.50$
A new edition at a more economical price. The original edition listed for $\$ 3.50$. 100 of the world champion's games played between 1908 and 1923, thoroughly and enjoyably annotated. Each game, in its own right, is like a play-drama, or tragedy, or sometimes even comedy.

