# A Smash Hit with the Critics! 

## Here's what the Columnists say about RUBINSTEIN'S CHESS MASTERPIECES

We hail the publication of "Rubinstein's Chess Masterpieces" giving 100 of his best games.
-David Robb, Cleveland Plain Dealer.

Here, in these 100 games, the reader will find classic examples of various winning techniques. There are many sacrificial attacks and deep, subtle endings. Rubinstein was a fighting player and he knew how to win a game in more than one way.

Anyone wishing to improve by playing over recorded games, and this is one of the best ways to improve, should not miss this excellent book. -Walter B. Suesman, Providence Journal.

A portfolio of beautiful chess art works. The compilation of Rubinstein's gems will be a source of keen enjoyment to those who appreciate art istry and perfection in chess.

Students of the game will do well to lay aside their text books for a spell and learn how to play winning chess by applying Rubinstein's technique to their own games.
-Paul G. Giers, Syracuse Herald-American.

Chess lovers will want to add this volume to their library, not only for the games themselves, but also because the book deals with one of the greatest chess masters who ever lived.
"Rubinstein's Chess Masterpieces" is a worthwhile book for all true lovers of chess.
-Ed Foy, Charleston Daily Mail.

A charming reminder to the present generation that Akiba Rubinstein is one of chess's immortals, a superlative artist of world championship caliber. . . profoundly and lucidly annotated.
-J. C. Thompson, Dallas Morning News.

A real contribution to the history of chess and a fascinating study for devotees of the game. -Marcus A. Wolff, Newark Evening News.

I congratulate Horowitz and Harkness on the publication of "Rubinstein's Chess Masterpieces." It is as good a chess book as I have ever come across and I hope it is only the first of many.
-Charles A. Crompton, Toronto Daily Star.
 Y ou can get more practical information on how to play winning chess by studying the games of the great Rubinstein than you could obtain from a dozen theoretical text-books. There is no better, more pleasant way of increasing your knowledge of chess and improving your winning technique.

By playing over the selections in "Rubinstein's Chess Masterpieces," just published, you will see how this great strategist developed his game with accuracy and precision, overcame his world-renowned opponents with crushing blows in the middle-game or with superb, polished technique in the end-game. You will learn how to apply the underlying principles of Rubinstein's winning strategy and tactics to your own games.

## EXAMINE THIS BOOK AT OUR RISK

We offer you the opportunity to examine this new book for five days entirely at our risk. Order your copy now and if you don't like it, send it back within five days; we will refund your money without question.
You need send no money in advance. Just write your order on a post-card and mail to the address below. When the book arrives, pay the postman $\$ 2.50$ plus a few cents postal charges*.

## HOROWITZ and HARKNESS

*You can, if you wish, save postal charges by sending $\$ 2.50$ in advance. Same guarantee.
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Cat. No. 300. Game Score Book. . . $\$ 1.00$
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## LETTERS

Readers are Invited to Use these Columns for Their Comments and Suggestions on All Matters of Interest to Chess Players.

## More on Recorded Games

Sirs:
CHESS REVIEW is becoming much more valuable to those of us who are learning chess by the recent addition of features such as the Chess Qui\% and the Readers' Games Department. The more of these the better.
Referring to your recent articles on studying recorded games. I would like to recommend a system of scoring which enables the student to compare his efforts with those of the masters. I learned this method from Malcolm Patrick in 1936. Here it is:-
Follow the general procedure suggested by Matthew Green in the October issue, covering up the moves of the game and making your own selections, but for both sides. On a separate piece of paper, under the headings White and Black, record the numbers of the moves you selected correctly.
Thus, in the opening, review mentally each of the possible good variants and credit yourself if any move you considered playable is made. Once the game is beyond the opening stage, choose the move you would have made and credit yourself with that move when your play coincides with that of the game. Then add up the number of moves you played correctly and compute your percentage of the total moves in the game.
This measurement of percentages would be even better if some system could be devised for giving additional credit for making correct key moves. A superficial knowledge of openings raises one's percentage unduly, as do forced moves. It might be better to count less for the opening moves, or not to count them at all.

## WALTER MEIDEN

Columbus, Ohio.
In this issue we begin a series entitled PLAY THE MASTERS with a percentage scoring system. We are indebted to Reader Meiden for suggesting the idea. As adapted, we allow high scores for key moves, obscure moves, brilliant moves, etc., only 1 point for forced or obvious moves, no score for opening moves. - $E d$.

## Wants Problem Department Sirs:

I read with keen interest and sympathy Mr. Lasker's "Protest" against the way masters are treated by those who arrange chess tournaments.

Criticisms, like every other forms of protest, come in waves. Hence, I take courage to speak for the small, very small man (in this instance, a woman).
I take it that the person playing chess for recreation is also to be considered by your valuable magazine. The games of masters

## CHESS BOOKS

Here are the books you can't afford to do without.* If you don't own them all now, your library is not complete. We highly recommend each and every one of them.

Modern Chess Openings-By Reuben Fine (Griffith \& White). An absolute MUST. The reference book of the openings \$2.50

My Best Games of Chess (1924-1937) By Dr. A. A. Alekhine. The world's champion annotates and explains his best games. Full of vital information

My Best Games of Chess (1908-1923) By Dr. A. A. Alekhine. Reprinted from the original which sold at a much higher price$\$ 1.70$

How to Play Chess Endings By Eugene Znosko-Borowski. Study this book and your end-game play will show a big improvement $\$ 4.00$

Practical End-Game Play By Fred Reinfeld. An excellent treatise on the end-game from a purely practical point of view. Includes many fine specimens from master games $\$ 2.00$

My System By Aaron Nimzovitch. Invaluable treatise on the principles of chess. Guaranteed to improve your game

Masters of the Chessboard By Richard Reti. 436 pages explaining technique of masters $\$ 3.00$

Strategy and Tactics in Chess By Dr. Max Euwe, A book for the practical player. Clearly explains underlying theories

## Order from

## CHESS EQUIPMENT CO.

250 West 57th Street, New York, N. Y.
*This is just a partial list of our large stock of new and used chess books. Write for complete price list.
overwhelm me and when 1 try to follow through some of the moves as printed in your issues, I get lost in the jungles.

Heretofore, 1 played over the end games with pleasure and benefit but as your issues come to me now, they might as well appear in Greek as far as any benefit I derive therefrom. Between the highest and the very lowest there must be a happy medium. I want to learn to play better than 1 do.

I miss the published problems. If many others feel as I do, perhaps you would see it our way and re-establish that custom. Otherwise, as you will see, the magazine has no value for me.
(Mrs.) EVA ROBIN
New York, N. Y.
Criticisms are as welcome as bouquets. We learn from both. The Problems have been omitted from recent issues merely because our Problem Editor was unable to supply us with copy. The department re-appears this month, will continue regularly under the supervisior of Problemist P. L. Rothenberg.
We are attempting to include a generous share of material which should appeal to weaker players. To Mrs. Robin and others who wish to improve we recommend perusal of Readers' Games Department which is full of instructive advice.-Ed.

## Orchids and Law-Suits

Sirs:
Allow me to throw you an orchid for the "Readers' Games" section which I find enjoyable and instructive. I have consistently followed the games of the masters and experts, but I have refused to delude myself into believing that the inspiration of their profound strategy could awaken in me chess talents which-mercy me-just do not exist. The readers' section, however-with the most helpful annotations-offers more of a kinship:
"Let's see how much better or worse the other palookas are!"

There's just one annoying feature. After I saturate myself with a good bit of chess knowledge, culled from the pages of the CHESS REVIEW, I continue to lose chess games. One attorney has advised me that 1 have no cause of action against you. I shall consult another.

In the meantime, a Happy New Year to you and to your esteemed publication!
H. M. BERLINER, Capt., Medical Corps Jamaica, N. Y.

Self-effacing Captain Berliner, with sparkling wit, voices the opinion of numerous readers. We heartily reciprocate with a Happy New Year to him and to the cause for which he is in active service.-Ed.

## FRONT COVER

Front cover composition is by CHESS REVIEW Photographer NED GOLDSCHMIDT, whose studios are at 52 W .58 th St., New York.

Properties are by courtesy of F. A. O. SCHWARZ, 745 Fifth Ave., New York.

## HARVARD WINS H. Y. P. D. TOURNEY

The annual Christmas Tourney between teams of four representing the Universities of Harvard, Yale, Princeton and Dartmouth, held at the Marshall Chess Club on December 21st and 22nd, was won by the Harvard quartet with a total score of $10-2$, including nine games won, two drawn and one lost.

The Crimson team, captained by Clarence W. Hewlett, Jr. '42, thus regained possession of the Belden-Stephens Trophy which they had held consecutively from 1935 to 1938 . Yale won the cup in 1939 and 1940.

## Summary of Results

First Round-Harvard 3 $1 / 2$, Dartmouth $1 / 2$.
Yale, 2, Princeton 2.
Second Round-Harvard 4, Princeton 0.
Yale 4, Dartmouth 0.
Third Round-Harvard $21 / 2$, Yale $11 / 2$.
Princeton 4, Dartmouth 0.
The decision was reached in the final round match between Harvard and Yale. The defending champions from New Haven still had a chance to overtake their rivals from Cambridge. Yale's No. 1 player Robert B. Moss succeeded in winning from Hewlett while Harvard's Roger P. Stokey and Yale's William R. Gennert fought to a draw at Board No. 2. The Crimson players at the lower boards, how-


Princeton's R. B. BLIZARD They woke him up


CLARENCE W. HEWLETT, Jr. He captained the winning team
ever, came through for their Alma Mater with two wins and clinched the title for Harvard. The Eli team had to be satisfied with second place, their final score being $71 / 2-41 / 2$.

Final Standings and Individual Scores

| Harvard |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Players | Won | Lost |
| Clarence W. Hewlett, '42 | 2 | 1 |
| Roger P. Stokey, '42 | - $21 / 2$ | 1/2 |
| Alan G. Skelly, '43 - | 3 | 0 |
| Henry Brandt, '43 | $21 / 2$ | 1/2 |
| Total | 10 | 2 |
| Yale |  |  |
| Players | Won | Lost |
| Robert R. Moss, '44 | 2 | 1 |
| William R. Gennert, '44 | $21 / 2$ | 1/2 |
| Hillary B. Waugh, '42 | 2 | 1 |
| Jerome S. Raskin, '45 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 71/2 | $41 / 2$ |
| Princeton |  |  |
| Players | Won | Lost |
| Stephen P. Diliberto, '42 | 2 | 1 |
| Lloyd H. Shaffer, '43 | 1 | 2 |
| R. B. Blizard, '45 | 1 | 2 |
| V. D. Withstandley, '45 | 2 | 1 |
| Total | 6 | 6 |

Dartmouth

| Players | Won | Lost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raphael Eban, '45 | 0 | 3 |
| John Middleton, '42 | 0 | 3 |
| Eastman Birkett, '4 | 0 | 3 |
| Henry F. Herzl, '45 | 1/2 | $21 / 2$ |
| Total | 1/2 | $111 / 2$ |

The touranment was directed by Richard G. Holbrook, Dartmouth member of the graduate committee of the league.


CHESS REVIEW'S Candid Cameraman Ned Goldschmidt snapped these close-ups of the 2nd round. At top, Diliberto and Shaffer (P) play Hewlett and Stokey (H). Second: Raskin (Y) and Herzl (D); at rear Waugh (Y) and Birkett (D); third: I. to r. Middleton and Eban (D) play Moss and Gennert. (Y). Bottom: J. Middleton, Dartmouth Captain.

GAMES FROM H. Y. P. D. TOURNEY
IRREGULAR OPENING
Eban (Dartmouth)
White
Hewlett (Harvard)
Black

| 1 Kt -KB3 | P.KB4 | 15 | Kt-Kt5 | P.R3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 P-B4 | Kt-KB3 | 16 | Kt-K6 | BxKt |
| 3 P-KKt3 | Kt -B3 | 17 | PxB | Q-Kt4 |
| 4 B-Kt2 | P-K4 | 18 | Q-R5 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| 5 P-Q3 | B.B4 | 19 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $P \times P$ |
| 60.0 | P-Q3 | 20 | K-R1 | Q×QP |
| 7 Kt -B3 | 0.0 | 21 | B-K1 | P.K6 |
| 8 P-QR3 | P.QR4 | 22 | Q-Q1 | QxQ |
| 9 B-Q2 | Q.K1 | 23 | $R \times Q$ | PxP |
| 10 Kt -Q5 | KtxKt | 24 | B-QB3 | P.K5 |
| 11 PxKt | Kt.K2 | 25 | B-B1 | Kt -B4 |
| 12 P-K4 | B-Q2 | 26 | K-Kt2 | Kt-K6ch |
| 13 P-QKt4 | P×P |  | Resigns |  |
| 14 PxP | B.Kt3 |  |  |  |

TWO KNIGHTS' DEFENSE

Diliberto (Princeton)
White

| 1 | P.K4 | P.K4 | 21 | QxP | Q×Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 | 22 | KtxQ | B.Q5 |
| 3 | B-B4 | Kt-B3 | 23 | QR-Kt1 | BxKt |
| 4 | Kt-Kt5 | P-Q4 | 24 | B-KB3 | R-QKt1 |
| 5 | PxP | Kt-QR4 | 25 | P-R5 | R-B3 |
| 6 | B.Kt5ch | P . B 3 | 26 | P-Q4 | BxP |
| 7 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $P \times P$ | 27 | KR-K1 | R-K3 |
| $\varepsilon$ | B.K2 | B-QB4 | 28 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | B $\times$ R |
| 9 | P-Q3 | 0.0 | 29 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| 10 | P-QB3 | Kt-Kt2 | 30 | $B \times R$ | B-B5 |
| 11 | P-QKt4 | B-Kt3 | 31 | B-K3 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 12 | O-O | P-QR4 | 32 | PxB | K.Kt1 |
| 13 | P-QR4 | PxP | 33 | P-R6 | BxP |
| 14 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Kt-Q4 | 34 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | K-B2 |
| 15 | B-Q2 | P.KB4 | 35 | K-B2 | K.K3 |
| 16 | Kt-KB3 | Q-B3 | 36 | K-B3 | K.K4 |
| 17 | Kt-B3 | KtxP | 37 | P-R4 | P.R3 |
| 18 | Q-Kt3ch | Kt-Q4 | 38 | B-Kt7 | P-Kt4 |
| 19 | KtxKt | PxKt | 39 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | PxP |
| 20 | QxPch | K.R1 |  | and Wh | won. |

## ENGLISH OPENING

Moss (Yale)
White
Hewlett (Harvard)
Black

| 1 | P-QB4 | P-K4 | 25 | P-KR4 | Kt-R3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-QB3 | Kt-QB3 | 26 | Q-R3 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 3 | P.KKt3 | P.KKt3 | 27 | QxP | Kt-B2 |
| 4 | B-Kt2 | B.Kt2 | 28 | R-KR1 | Kt-Kt4 |
| 5 | P-Q3 | P-Q3 | 29 | Q-R6ch | K-Kt1 |
| 6 | Kt - 3 | KKt -K2 | 30 | Q-R4 | Q-Kt3 |
| 7 | O-O | 0.0 | 31 | KR-Q1 | QxPch |
| 8 | B-Q2 | B.K3 | 32 | KR-Q2 | Q-R6 |
| 9 | P-K4 | Q-Q2 | 33 | Kt-Kt4 | Q-B6ch |
| 10 | Kt-Q5 | Kt-Q1 | 34 | K-R2 | R-Q2 |
| 11 | B-R6 | P-QB3 | 35 | P-K5 | QxP |
| 12 | BxB | K×B | 36 | Kt -R6ch | K-R1 |
| 13 | Kt-K3 | P-B3 | 37 | $\mathrm{Ktx} \boldsymbol{Q}$ | Kt -B6ch |
| 14 | P-Q4 | PxP | 38 | K-R3 | KtxQ |
| 15 | KtxP | B-R6 | 39 | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 16 | P-B4 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | 40 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | R (2)-KB2 |
| 17 | KxB | Kt -K3 | 41 | P-Kt4 | R-K1 |
| 18 | Q-Kt4 | K-B2 | 42 | R-Q7 | R×R |
| 19 | QR-Q1 | QR-Q1 | 43 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | P.K5 |
| 20 | P-KB5 | KtxKt | 44 | R-K7 | R×R |
| 21 | RxKt | K-Kt2 | 45 | KtxR | P.K6 |
| 22 | KR-Q1 | Q-B2 | 46 | K-Kt3 | K.Kt2 |
| 23 | Q-B4 | P-KKt4 | 47 | Kt -B5ch | Resigns |
| 24 | Q-Kt4 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ |  |  |  |

C. C. N. Y. WINS COLLEGE CHESS TITLE

## LAVENDERS NOSE OUT

 BROOKLYN CHAMPIONSIN THRILLING LAST ROUND


The winning team. Seated, I. to r.: I. SALEM, S. RUBINOW, D. LEVINE, B. KAPLAN (Substitute), M. FINKELSTEIN, C. PILNICK (Capt.). Standing: A. GREENSITE (C.C.N.Y. Club President) and S. WEINSTOCK (Substitute).

The College of the City of New York chess team won first place honors in the 42 nd annual Christmas Tournament of the Eastern Intercollegiate Chess League, held at the Marshall Chess Club from Dec. 26th to 30th.

Seven colleges competed for the custody of the coveted Harold M. Phillips Trophy, emblem of the league championship. The final standings and scores were as follows:

| C. C. N. Y. | 20-4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Brooklyn | $191 / 2-41 / 2$ |
| Cornell | $10^{1 / 2}-131 / 2$ |
| Cooper Union | $91 / 2-141 / 2$ |
| Yeshiva | $9-15$ |
| Rensselaer Pol. Inst. | $81 / 2-151 / 2$ |
| N. Y. U. | $7-17$ |

The C. C. N. Y. team won the championship in a dramatic last round defeat of the Brooklyn College team, former holders of the title. The Brooklynites went into the last round with a score of $18-2$, half a point ahead of City College. As the two teams were closely matched, this slight edge gave the Kingsmen a decided advantage. In the final round, however, the Lavenders defeated Brooklyn by $21 / 2-11 / 2$ and took the title away from their rivals by a half-point margin.

Cornell, eight times champions in the past, re-entered this year and put in an unexpectedly strong team. They finished in third place with $101 / 2-131 / 2$. The Ithacans threw a scare into the Lavender team in the fifth round when

City College barely outpointed Cornell by $21 / 2-11 / 2$.

The showing made by N. Y. U. was disappointing and unexpected. Even the presence of the beauteous Miss Eileen Press in their line-up failed to keep them out of the cellar. SEIDMAN STARS IN LAST APPEARANCE

Outstanding hero of the tourney was chess master Herbert Seidman, Brooklyn '42, who was invincible at Board No. 1, finished with a perfect score of 6-0. In five Intercollegiate tourneys, Seidman has scored 26 wins, 2 losses, 2 draws.

Intercollegiate Chess League President M, Finkelstein, who has done much for college chess, again appeared in the C. C. N. Y. lineup, winning both his games. Other members of the victorious team were S. Rubinow (5-1)) ; Bronx County Champion C. Pilnick ( $5-1$ ) ; D. Levine (3-1) ; I. Salem (3-1) and L. Levine $(2-0)$.

Nos. 2 and 3 players of the Brooklyn team were A. A. Bakst and J. Kelson who each scored $31 / 2-11 / 2$.

The tournament was sponsored this year by City College, past training ground for such masters as Fine, Kashdan, Reinfeld and Bernstein. Under the same sponsorship, an individual collegiate championship tourney will be held during the Easter vacations.

M. FINKELSTEIN

President of the Intercollegiate League

## Intercollegiate Tourney Games

## Comments by Fred Reinfeld

Credit Paul Keres with an assist on this one: the Wing Deferred still works wonders. As a matter of fact, however, Black's loss may be attributed to sins of omission and commission.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

H. Seidman
(Brooklyn)
White

Tucci
(Cooper Union) Black

| 1 | P.K4 | P-QB4 | 21 | Kt -K4 | KtxKt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P.Q3 | 22 | Q $\times \mathrm{Kt}$ | Kt -B3 |
| 3 | P.QKt4 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 23 | Q-K2 | Kt-Kt1 |
| 4 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 24 | P-R5 | B.KB3 |
| 5 | B-Q3 | P-K3 | 25 | Q-K4 | Q-K1 |
| 6 | 0.0 | B-K2 | 26 | Q-Q3 | Kt-K2? |
| 7 | P.B4 | $P \times P$ e.p. | 27 | B-QKt4 | Q-Q1 |
| 8 | KtxP | Kt-B3 | 28 | BxP | B-QKt4 |
| 9 | P-Q5 | Kt-K4 | 29 | Ktx ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | PxKt |
| 10 | B-Kt5ch | K-B1? | 30 | B-QKt4 | K-Kt1 |
| 11 | Kt -Q4 | P-QR3 | 31 | P-Q6 | Kt-B3 |
| 12 | B-K2 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 32 | BxKt | RxB |
| 13 | PxP | P-R3 | 33 | P-Q7 | R.K3 |
| 14 | P-B4 | QKt-Q2 | 34 | R-QB1! | R-B3 |
| 15 | P.QR4 | Kt-B4 | 35 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | P×R |
| 16 | B.B3 | B-Q2 | 36 | P-R6 | Q-R1 |
| 17 | R-K1 | R-B1 | 37 | P-R7 | P.Kt3 |
| $1 \varepsilon$ | Q-K2 | R-B2 | 38 | B-B3! | B $\times$ B |
| 19 | B-Q2 | R-B1? | 39 | P-Q8(Q)ch |  |
| 20 | R.R3 | Kt-Kt1 |  |  | Resign |

Thus, White's 10th move was a shot in the dark which should have been answered by . . . B-Q2 followed in due course by . . . O-O. 10 ... K-B1? left Black's KR out of play for the balance of the game.

Black should thereupon have concentrated his energies on artificial castling-getting his King to KR2 and bringing his KR into the game. This could have been accomplished by $19 \ldots$ B-QB1, for example, freeing his King from the defense of the KB. Nor does Black get any benefit from the deep knee bending exercises of his KKt in the following play.

On move 26 we get the inevitable blunder which comes from too much preoccupation with an unrewarding position. The final play with the two passed Pawns, beginning with move 31 , is handled very neatly by Seidman.

NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

Landman
Salem
(N.Y.U.)

White
(C.C.N.Y.)

Black

| 1 | P.Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 21 | P.K4 | P.B5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P.QB4 | P.K3 | 22 | B.K2 | P.KKt4 |
| 3 | B-Kt5? | B-Kt5ch | 23 | B-Kt4 | B. $\mathrm{B}^{1}$ |
| 4 | Kt - 3 3 | P.KR3 | 24 | BxB | R×B |
| 5 | BxKt | $Q \times B$ | 25 | P-B3 | P.KR4 |
| 6 | Kt -B3 | 0.0 | 26 | K-R1 | P.Kt5 |
| 7 | P-QR3? | BxKtch | 27 | R-KKt1 | R-R2 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | P-Q3 | 28 | Q.K2 | K-R1! |
| 9 | P-K3 | Kt -Q2 | 29 | R.Q3 | R-KKt1 |
| 10 | B-K2 | R-K1 | 30 | P.Kt3 | Q-R3 |
| 11 | 0.0 | P-K4 | 31 | PxBP | QxP |
| 12 | R-K1 | P-QKt3 | 32 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | R×P |
| 13 | Q-B2 | B-Kt2 | 33 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | P×R |
| 14 | QR-Q1 | R-K2 | 34 | Q-KKt2 | Q-B8ch? |
| 15 | P-Q5 | Kt -B4 | 35 | Q-Kt1 | QxRP?? |
| 16 | Kt-Q2 | R-K B1 | 36 | QxP | Q-B8ch |
| 17 | Kt-K4 | Q.Kt3 | 37 | R-Q1 | Q-B5 |
| 18 | B-Q3 | KtxKt | 38 | Q-B8ch | K-Kt2 |
| 19 | BxKt | P.K B4 | 39 | R-Kt1ch | Resigns |
| 20 | B.B3 | Q-B3 |  |  |  |

A game with a moral. Black outplays his opponent in the opening and carries over a considerable positional advantage into the middle game (although 22 ... B-B1! keeping White's inferior Bishop out of play, would have been more to the point).

But then Black stumbles badly at moves 34 and 35 , going after a worthless Pawn and exposing his King to a fatal attack. Correct was 34 . . . R-B2: and the mastery of the KB file wins for Black.

And on move 35 , Biack had . . . Q-B5, retaining the option of again controlling the KB file.


MISS EILEEN PRESS
She played for N. Y. U.
'This was probably the most interesting game played in all the matches.

KING'S INDIAN DEFENSE

Keilson
(Brooklyn)
White

| 1 | P-Q4 | Kt-KB3 | 25 | B-B4 | R-KB1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P.QB4 | P.KKt3 | 26 | Q-K3 | $B \times R$ |
| 3 | Kt-QB3 | B.Kt2 | 27 | $Q \times Q$ | $P \times Q$ |
| 4 | P.K4 | P-Q3 | 28 | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $P \times B$ |
| 5 | Kt-B3 | QKt-Q2 | 29 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | R-B5 |
| 6 | B.K2 | 0.0 | 30 | R-QB1 | K-B2 |
| 7 | 0.0 | P-K4 | 31 | K.Kt3 | R-Q5 |
| 8 | P-Q5 | P.QR4 | 32 | R.B3? | P-R5 |
| 9 | Q-B2 | P.Kt3 | 33 | K×P | K-K2 |
| 10 | P-KR3 | Kt-B4 | 34 | K-K3 | R-Q8 |
| 11 | B-K3? | Kt-R4! | 35 | P-B5? | KtPxP |
| 12 | P-R3 | P.B4 | 36 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | RxP |
| 13 | P-QKt4 | KtxP | 37 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Pch}$ | K $\times$ P |
| 14. | KtxKt | PxKt | 38 | R-B4 | R.K4ch |
| 15 | Kt -Q2 | Kt-B5 | 39 | K-B3 | R-QR4 |
| 16 | KtxP | B-B4 | 40 | R-R4 | R-R2 |
| 17 | B-B3 | Q-Q2 | 41 | K-B4 | K-K3 |
| 18 | Q-Q2 | BxP! | 42 | K-K4 | K-B2 |
| 19 | PxB | KtxPch | 43 | K-Q5 | K-Kt2 |
| 20 | K-Kt2 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ ! | 44 | K-B5 | P-Kt4 |
| 21 | K $\times$ R | Q-B4ch | 45 | R-Q4 | R.KB2 |
| 22 | K.Kt2 | QxKtch | 46 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $R \times P$ |
| 23 | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | Q-B6ch | 47 | R-R7ch | Drawn |
| 24 | K-R2 | P.K5! |  |  |  |

$11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ is an inaccuracy allowing Black to work up a dangerous initiative. Preferable was $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ intending Kt-Kt3 and at the same time preventing . . . Kt-R4.

Black's 18th move begins an admirable combination. It is doubtful whether White would have done better with $22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, as $22 \ldots$ QxKt would have left Black with a powerful attack.

White had to return his extra material at move 26 , as 26 B -Kt3? would have been refuted by . . R-B4 etc.

White weakened badly in the ending, missing at least two chances to draw (32 PxP followed by 33 P-B5; and later on 35 K-K4).

At the end Black has an easy win, but a draw suffices to gain the title.


A tense moment in the game between SCHLAEFER (left) and BAKST
D. Levine
(C.C.N.Y.)

Black
R-KB1
$B \times R$
$P \times Q$
PX
K-B2
R-Q5
P-R5
R-Q8
R×P
$K \times P$
R-QR4
R2
K-B2
P.Kt4

R×P

Guber (Brooklyn) Finn (Rensellaer) White

Black

|  | P-K4 | P-QB4 | 8 | Kt-Q6ch | K-K2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-K B3 | Kt-QB3 | 9 | Kt-B3! ! | KxKt |
| 3 | P-Q4 | PxP | 10 | P-QR3 | K-K2 |
| 4 | KtxP | P.K3 | 11 | PxB | Q-B2 |
| 5 | P-QB4 | B-Kt5ch? | 12 | Q-Kt4 | Q-K4? |
| 6 | B-Q2 | Q-R4 | 13 | B-B4 | Q-B3 |
| 7 | Kt-Kt5 | P-QR3 | 14 | B-Kt5 | Resigns |

White's ninth move comes as a clever surprise. Naturally it cannot be answered by ... BxKt? because of 10 Kt Q 5 ch winning the Queen. The result is that Black is left with a lasting inferiority.

Black's twelfth is a bad mistake, but if instead $12 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$; 13 P-Kt5 with a positionally lost game for Black.

If $13 \ldots$ Q-Q5; 14 R-Q1 wins rapidly.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

Schlaefer (Cooper Union) Bakst (Brooklyn) White

Black

|  | P-K4 | P.QB4 |  | B-R6 | Kt - B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P-Q3 | 28 | $B \times B$ | K×B |
| 3 | P-Q4 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 29 | Q-Q4 | Q-Kt3 |
| 4 | KtxP | Kt-KB3 | 30 | $Q \times Q$ | $R \times Q$ |
| 5 | Kt-QB3 | P-KKt3 | 31 | B-Q3 | K.R3 |
| 6 | P.KR3 | B.Kt2 | 32 | B-B4 | Kt-K5 |
| 7 | B-K3 | Kt-B3 | 33 | R-B7 | RxPch |
| $\Sigma$ | B.K2 | B-Q2 | 34 | K-Kt1 | Kt-Q7 |
| 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35 | R(1)-B2 | R-B8ch |
| 10 | P-QR3 | P-QR3 | 36 | K-Kt2 | Ktx B |
| 11 | Q-Q2 | R-B1 | 37 | PxKt | R×P |
| 12 | QR-Q1 | P-QKt4 | 38 | RxP | P-Kt6 |
| 13 | P.B4 | Q-B2 | 39 | R-K1 | P.Kt7 |
| 14 | KtxKt | BxKt | 40 | R-QKt1 | R(5)-Kt5 |
| 15 | B.B3 | P-QR4 | 41 | R-Q2 | K-Kt4 |
| 16 | R-B2 | P.Kt5 | 42 | R-Q3 | R-Kt6 |
| 17 | $P \times P$ | P×P | 43 | R-Q2 | K-R5 |
| 18 | Kt-Q5 | BxKt | 44 | R-QB2 | R-Kt6ch |
| 19 | PxB | R-Kt1 | 45 | K-B1 | $R \times R P$ |
| 20 | P-QKt3 | KR-B1 | 46 | $\mathrm{R}(1) \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| 21 | P-Kt4 | Kt-Q2 | 47 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | K×P |
| 22 | P-B5 | Kt-K4 | 48 | R-Kt6 | R-B6ch |
| 23 | B.K4 | Q-Q1 | 49 | K-Kt2 | R-B3 |
| 24. | QR-KB1 | R-B6 |  | White re | signed on |
| 25 | K-Kt2 | Kt-Q2 | the 69th move. |  |  |
| 26 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | BPxP |  |  |  |



# The Game of the Month 

By REUBEN FINE


#### Abstract

Each month Grandmaster Fine explains and annotates a recentlyplayed game considered of greatest current interest to Chess Review's readers. The Game of the Month for this issue is from the Championship Tournament of the Marshall Chess Club, now in progress. Twenty-year-old Louls Levy, who won this game, is ex-captain of the N. Y. U. Chess Team.


For centuries now the two ideals of the chess world have been originality and brilliancy. Up to the present century brilliancy was the outstanding requisite and any game which did not sparkle with sacrifice was discarded as hopelessly dull. It is commonly believed-although there are no facts to support it-that Morphy's play abounded in brilliancies and consequently everybody is disadvantageously compared with Morphy. Though the number of people and alleged critics who still hold to such views is fortunately diminishing, they are still prevalent.

Now, every master has had his "Morphy period," when he deliberately and regardless of cost steered his games into channels where sacrifices were bound to result. And yet virtually all have toned down this youthful impetuosity and conducted the game along orthodox positional lines. Why? Is it, as somein this country notably C. S. Howell-hold, because of laziness and the desire to play safe? No: there is no justification for such accusations. The real reason is that the technical equipment of the modern player has increased to such an extent that brilliancies as a rule are either impossible or relatively easily refuted. As a result, to play wild sacrificial chess is equivalent to suicide and everybody who expects or hopes to win against equal opponents has to forego such tactics.

The more intelligent critics have recognized the indisputable fact that brilliancy is an accident and have instead demanded originality. Mr. Santasiere falls into this category. But he makes the mistake of exaggerating the value of novelty in the opening and champions the curious notion that only bizarre moves can produce interesting chess. He often indulges his penchant for "crazy" and "romantic" openings, but the results he secures are more than enough to scare other players away from them.

For some time now the "Orang-Utang" Opening has been one of his favorite weapons and he has puzzled many an opponent with
it. On principle, of course, there is nothing to fear because White makes no attempt to secure the upper hand in the center. Still, though there were a number of known lines which led to easy equality, there were none which yielded Black any superiority. The following game is important because for the first time it indicates a method which demolishes White's opening idea completely. San will either have to find some improvements or stop being romantic.
"ORANG-UTANG" OPENING
A. E. Santasiere
L. Levy
White Black
1 Kt-KB3 P.Q4
2 P-QKt4!? . . .

We are informed by usually reliable sources that Mr. Santasiere has requested the government to copyright this move
The advance of the QKtP is useful in certain variations of Reti's Opening (as, e.g., in the famous encounter Reti-Capablanca, New York, 1924) but mere loss of time in most cases. While it does not give White any advantage, it has, up to now at any rate, had the merit of leading to most unusual positions where the player with more daring and originality would have the upper hand.

$$
2 \ldots \quad \text { P-KB3! ! }
$$

A natural reply which nobody seems to have thought of before. Ordinarily, the early advance of the KBP is bad because it deprives the KKt of its best square, but here it is very much to the point because Black can build a strong Pawn phalanx in the center.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \\
4 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3
\end{array}
$$

P-K4!

A routine reply, after which Black secures an overwhelming position. True, 4 PxP, BxP ch; 5 P-B3, B-QB4 is likewise very much in Black's favor: But he might have tried 4 P-K4!? and if then 4... PxKP; 5 KtxP! in true gambit style. After 5 ... PxKt; 6 Q-R5ch, K-Q2; 7 Q-B5ch, K-K2; 8 QxPch, B$\mathrm{K} 3 ; 9$ B-Kt5ch, Kt-B3; 10 B-QB4, Q-Q3; 11 $\mathrm{BxB}, \mathrm{QxB} ; 12$ QxPch, White at least has some material for the piece and retains some attacking chances.


## 6 P-K3

P-KB4
We now have, with colors reversed, a variation of the French Defense which is highly favorable to the attacker (here Black) and which is particularly strong because White has made two wholly useless moves on the Q-side (P-QKt4 and P-QR3). No more complete refutation of White's faulty opening strategy could be thought of.

| 7 | P-QB4 | P-B3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 8 | Kt-QB3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3} 3$ |
| 9 | Q-Kt3 | $\ldots .$. |

This sortie illustrates White's dilemma. If he tries $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 10 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ followed by ... P-B5 at the appropriate moment, his King will be exposed to a powerful attack. 9 P-B3, normally good in the French Defense to break up the enemy Pawns, comes too late: Black's foot soldiers are too strongly entrenched. White apparently hopes that the Q-side diversion may produce something.

$$
10 \text { PXP }
$$

B-K3
Clarifying the situation in the center only helps Black, but White has no constructive plan available. On 10 P-B5, B-B2; 11 P-Kt5, even $11 \ldots \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} ; 12 \mathrm{PxP}, \mathrm{KtxP} ; 13$ QxKtP, Kt-QR4; 14 Q-R6, P-B5 is quite powerful.

10 B-K2 $\quad$| P | PP |
| ---: | :--- |
| QKt-Q2 |  |

Black has so strong an initiative that normal development creates a positional threat: the occupation of QB5 by a Kt. White manages to prevent this, but at terrific cost.

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
12 \text { P-QR4 } & \text { R-QB1 } \\
13 & \text { P-R5 }
\end{array}
$$



White's position is cramped, but it looks safe enough. A bomb explodes his serenity. Of course, while Black retains the better of it with the simple . . Q-K2 and . . . P-B5, the line chosen is far more forceful.

13 ....

## B×RP!

Obvious and strong. The continuation is less obvious, but still stronger.

$$
14 \text { P.Kt3 }
$$

The only hope. $14 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{B} 3) \times \mathrm{KP}$, BPxKt; 15 $\mathrm{RxB}, 0-0$ leaves White's game torn apart and doomed to quick defeat because he can not castle on either side. On $14 \mathrm{RxB}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ wins a Pawn for nothing.

BxP!!

This is the real point to Black's play: he gets three Pawns for the piece plus a strong attack.
15 PxB
Q-B2
$16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 1$
....

Too passive. One of the first principles of defense is that one must be as active as possible, else the pieces are so cramped that the material superiority becomes meaningless. Following this line of thought, here white could have secured better prospects by 16 B-Kt2, QxPch; $17 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$. True, then $17 \ldots$ QxP wins another Pawn, but the reply 18 Kt Kt5!, is hard to meet. After $18 \ldots$ QxQch; 19 KtxQ , the double threat compels $19 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, when 20 KtxP, R-R1; $21 \mathrm{Kt-Kt5}$ restores material equality and gives White a powerful passed Pawn, than which nothing can be more effective with a piece to the good. And if Black attempts to hold on to his material by 18 Q-B5, then 19 R-KB1 (better than 19 KtxP which would return the initiative), Q-Kt1; 20 P-R6!, P-QKt3; 21 Q-K3, O-O; 22 Q-B4 is extremely annoying because White has all the play.
16.
QxPch $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2$
Evidently forced.
17
Kt-Kt5!

An attacker always keeps the lines open. 18 BxKt
$18 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{KtxP}$ is hopeless.
18
8 . . . .
P×B


Santasiere
$19 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 2) \times \mathrm{P}$
Justifiable suicide. On the tempting alternative 19 Kt -B1, there follows $19 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 7$ !; 20 R-R2, Q-B6; 21 Kt-R1 (relatively best), P-R4 and if now $22 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{B} 1)-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ ! ; 23 R KB2, PxKt! ; 24 RxQ, RxKteh; 25 R-B1 (or 25 K-Q2, KtPxR; 26 B-Kt2, P-Kt7), P-Kt7 and it is all over.

19
Q-Kt7
After this the thrust . . . P-Kt6 is a killer no matter what White does.

| 20 Kt Q6ch |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | K 4 |

Or 21 KtxR, P-Kt6; 22 R-B1, R-B1!; 23 Q-B2, PxKtch; 24 RxP, Q-Kt8ch etc.

21 B-Kit5ch $\begin{array}{ll}22 \text { P-Kt6 } \\ \text { Kt-B3 }\end{array}$

## 23 BxKtch

Otherwise the $B$ will be en prise; e.g., 23 R-KB1, PxKtch; 24 RxP, QxB etc.

| 23 .... | P×B |
| :--- | ---: |
| 24 R-KB1 | P×Ktch |
| 25 R×P | Q-R8ch |

In view of the exposed White King position the rest is simple. Levy repeats moves at several points, doubtless to gain time on his clock.
26 R-B1
Q-R5ch
27 R-B2

White has no choice. On a K move such as $27 \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ wins the K 1 .

| 27 | Q-R8ch |
| :--- | ---: |
| 28 R-B1 | Q-R5ch |
| 29 | R-B2 |

Simple and forceful. On 30 KtxR , R-Ktseh is deadly.

| 30 | K-K2 | B.Kt5ch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 31 | K-K1 | B-K3 |
| 32 | K-K2 | R-Kt6 |

Finally.

| 33 Q-R4 | B.Kt5ch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 34 K-Q2 | Q-R3ch |
| Resigns |  |

Anticipating the mate. On 35 K-K1, RKt8ch; 36 R-KB1, Q-K6ch is an almost pure mate.

A vigorous and pleasing game.

## BASIC CHESS ENDINGS

By REUBEN FINE

The outstanding book of the year! A classic! An indispensable guide to the end-game for study, consultation and reference. Every type of ending covered fully and thoroughly explained. An immediate best-seller. A few autographed copies left if you order quickly.

CHESS EQUIPMENT CO.

250 West 57th St.
New York, N. Y.

## Chess Federation Calls Off 1942 Championship Tourney

The following statement, signed by George Sturgis, President, and L. Walter Stephens, Vice-president, has been issued by the U. S. Chess Federation:
"For a long time prior to December 7 th and Sth the U. S, Chess Federation, through its National Championship Tournament Committee, had been planning its regular biennial Chess Tournament for March, 1942. The momentous events of those two days have completely changed plans and prospects for such a toumament, The United States Government has issued a call for an all out struggle in a war which has been thrust upon us. It is obvious that our very national safety is at stake, and our institutions and our homes endangered. Our way ol life is in great peril.

In view of these facts, the U. S. Chess Federation, acting through its Executive Committee, has decided that the present time is not propitious for holding the Championship Tournament. Rather it is a time when we should devote our entire thoughts, efforts and resources toward bringing this war to a successiul conclusion. For these reasons the tournament will not be held. We look torward, however, to a monster "jubilee" or "victory" tournament at a later date which we hope will not be in the too far distant future."

The Editors of Chess Review frankly regard this as a most unfortunate decision on the part of the U. S. Chess Federation officials. In effect, the Federation announces that it has withdrawn its support of chess for the duration of the war. The statement even tells us that we should not play chess or think of chess during the war. Surely this is something which each individual should decide for himself.

The United States Government is actively encouraging sports and recreational activities. Other countries at war are continuing to hold chess tournaments. Right now the Russian National Championship tournament is being played in Moscow, of all places. Tourneys are still being held in England, France, even in Germany.

Chess should be more usefuk now than ever as a relaxation from the more strenuous things we shall all be undertaking. Chess expends no defense materials. Money contributed to a tournament remains in the country, is not diverted from defense. Playing chess is not incompatible with war efforts, whether it is played socially or with a title at stake.

We sincerely hope that the United States Chess Federation will reconsider its decision.
I. A. Horowitz

Kenneth Harkness

## PLAY

How would you like to play a game against one of the leading American masters-with a grandmaster as your partner in consultation?

Here's your opportunity, Get out your board and pieces-or your pocket set-and get ready to play with Rubinstein against Kashdan!

Of course, Rubinstein and Kashdan actually played this game some time ago-at the Prague Olympies in 1931*-but if you haven't seen the game before, or have forgotten it, you're on your own now.

Start with the right hand column below. After the opening, we show only the moves made by Kashdan (White) with blank spaces

## BLACK MOVES (Rubinstein)

COVER THESE MOVES WITH SLIP OF PAPER. EXPOSE ONLY ONE LINE AT A TIME

| Black Played | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 ... P-KR3 (See next line) | 3 |
| Score 5 points for . . . P- |  |
| 8 . . . . P-B4! | 5 |
| 9.... BPxP! | - 6 |
| 10.... KtxP | $3$ |
| 11. . . . PxKt | $-1$ |
| 12.... R-K1 | 3 |
| 13.... Kt-B3 | 3 |
| 14.... B-KB4! | - 8 |
| 15... QxB | 1 |
| 16.... Q-Kt3 | $-3$ |
| 17.... QR-B1 | - 3 |
| $18 . . .$. P-R3 | $3$ |
| 19.... . Kt-K5 | - 5 |
| 20 . . . . B-B3 | - 2 |
| 21.... R-B5 | $-3$ |
| 22.... R-B1 (See next line) | - 1 |
| Score 5 points for 22 |  |
| $23 . . . . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B1}$ | - 3 |
| 24. . . . P.Kt3 | 7 |
| $25 . . . \mathrm{BxP}$ | $-3$ |
| 26.... BxPch | - 1 |
| $27 . . .$. Q-Kt4ch | - 5 |
| 28.... Q-B3 | - 3 |
| 29.... B-R2 | - 5 |
| 30 . . . . K-Kt2 | - 2 |
| 31..... Q-K3 | - 3 |
| 32.... RxR! | 10 |
| 33.... RxRch | - 2 |
| 34.... R-Q7ch | - 3 |
| Total Score | 100 |

for the replies. It's up to you to fill in those blank spaces!

The scoring system enables you to compare yourself with Rubinstein! The moves he made are given in the left-hand column. Cover those moves up with a strip of paper and expose them one at a time, after you have selected your own move. Score par if you picked the same move.

Don't expect to make a high score. It isn't easy, but it's fun-and how your chess will improve if you follow this series.
*Complete annotations of the game can be found in 'Rubinstein's Chess Masterpicces" Page 182,

## WHITE MOVES (Kashdan) <br> QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED

Opening Moves: 1 P-Q4, P-Q4; 2 Kt-KB3, Kt KB3; 3 P-B4, P-K3; $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$, QKt-Q2; 5 B-Kt5, B-K2; 6 P-K3, O-O;


# MY FIFTY YEARS OF CHESS By FRANK J. MARSHALL UNITED STATES CHESS CHAMPION 1909-1936 



CAROLINE D. MARSHALL IN 1904
"She has fought all my battles."
CHAPTER IV
(Continued)
It was shortly after the Cambridge Springs tourney that fate dealt me a cruel blow in the death of my father but then comforted me by allowing me to meet Carrie, the girl who became my wife, the mother of my son, my devoted companion for the past 37 years.

Carrie has travelled with me wherever chess has taken me. She has been a constant help and inspiration. As my business manager, she has fought all my battles for me.

Carrie has devoted her whole life to my interests and the welfare of chess. Today she is the secretary of the Marshall Chess Club and her job is by no means an honorary one.

I remember well the occasion I first met Carrie. It was on August 27th, 1904, at the wedding of her brother Charles. That very night I went to Carrie's mother and said:
"I have fallen in love with your daughter
and I'm going to steal her." Her mother laughed, but that's just about what happened.

A few weeks later I had to leave New York to play at St. Louis and to give exhibitions. I returned just before Christmas and began a "blitz" courtship which lasted for about two weeks. I was leaving on January 7 th for Paris to play Janowski so I rushed things a bit.

We were married at 11:30 a. m. on January 6th, 1905. At 9 o'clock the next morning we sailed together for Paris. As Carrie expresses it:
"I thought I had better marry him as he told me it was my last chance."

On the way over, there was a group in the smoking room playing chess all the time. The day before we arrived I went in and watched them. When I saw one of the players make a "lemon", I couldn't restrain myself from telling him he had a won game if he hadn't made that move. Whereupon, his opponent said to me:
"If you play chess, why haven't you shown up before?", I've beaten everybody else on board the ship."
"I didn't feel like playing," I told him.
"Well, why don't you play now?" he asked. "Sit down, I'll give you a rook."
"No, you won't give me a rook," I answered, "I'll give you a rook."
This was too much for my new-found friend.
"Nobody can give me a rook," he spluttered. "I'll bet you fifty dollars you can't."
"Well, I could take the bet," I told him, "but it wouldn't be fair. My name is Marshall."

When he learned he was talking to the winner of the Cambridge Springs tournament, my friend calmed down a bit. I let him off his bet but he insisted on buying us a dinner in Paris.

In Paris I met Janowski and won my match with him. Then, later in the same year, I won
first prize at the International Tournament at Scheveningen, Holland. A game from this event appears on Page 14.

A word about the St. Louis tourney. The American champion H. N. Pillsbury was ill and unable to play. The tournament committee then announced that the winner would become champion of the United States. In fact, they presented me with a medal inscribed "Frank J. Marshall, champion." However, I did not agree with the action of the committee and publicly acknowledged that I regarded

Pillsbury as still the champion. I hoped that it would be possible, on my return from Europe, to play a match with him. Unfortunately, Pillsbury died in 1906, before a match could be arranged.

The chess world then accepted me as the U. S. Champion but I still did not feel right about it. In 1909, however, I played and won a match with Jackson W. Showalter, the champion before Pillsbury, and I then felt that I had a clear right to the title.

## "No finer sportsman

## than Frank Marshall

ever sat down to a chessboard, nor could anybody hope

to find a better friend."

From Thomas Emery's introduction to
MY FIFTY YEARS
of chess.

## Scheveningen 1905

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED
A surprise sacrifice crashes through Black's defense.
F. J. Marshall

White
1 P-Q4
2 P-QB4
$3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
4 B-Kt5
5 P-K3
6 Q-B2
O. Duras

Black
P.Q4 P.K3

Kt-KB3
QKt-Q2
B-K2

I was very fond of this move at this stage of my career. Its elastic character appealed to me; for instance, it provides for Queenside castling in some instances.

| 6 |  | P.83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | PxP | KtxP |
| 8 | BxB | QxB |
| 9 | Kt-B3 | 0.0 |
| 10 | KtxKt | KPxKt |

And this Pawn formation is one frequently seen in my games. In recent years it has been adopted a great deal, particularly by Flohr and Reshevsky.

| 11 | B-Q3 | Kt-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 12 O-O | R.K1 |  |
| 13 | Kt-K5 | P-KR3 |

Preparing to move his Knight, so that he can undermine the commanding position of White's Knight.

## 14 P-B4

Kt-Q2
If 14 . Kt-K5; 15 BxKt, PxB; 16 P-B5 (not 16 QxKP?? P-B3) with good attacking chances.

15 R-B3
This move has the double object of guarding the KP (Black was threatening . . . P-B3) and of placing the Rook in an attacking position.

| 15 . .O. | Kt-B1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16 QR-KB1 | P.B3 |
| 17 Kt-Kt6 | KtxKt |

Black has succeeded in dislodging the annoying Knight, but in so doing, he has created targets for attack which will be utilized later on.

| 18 BxKt | R-B1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 19 | P-B5 | B-Q2 |
| 20 | R-Kt3 | B-K1 |
| 21 QR-B3 | B×B |  |
| 22 R×B | R-B2 |  |
| 23 P-KKt4 | $\cdots \cdot$ |  |

White's attacking plan is clear. He will advance his King-side Pawns in order to open up avenues of attack against the Black King.

$$
24 \text { P-ḰR } 4
$$

R-K1
Q.K5

An offer which White naturally declines, since he wants to continue the attack and to avoid an ending in which his Pawn position would be unfavorable.

25 Q-B2
Q-Q6
To go Pawn-hunting with $25 \ldots, \mathrm{Q}$-Kt8ch; $26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$, QxRP would be very risky, to say the least, for then the thrust 27 P-Kt5 would be all the more powerful with Black's Queen out of play.

| 26 P-Kt5 | RP×P |
| :--- | ---: |
| 27 P×P | P×P |
| 28 R×KtP | R-B3 |
| 29 R-R3 | R-K2 |

29
pressure on the Pawns hampers the execution of White's attacking plans.


30 K-R2
At first sight it appears that 30 Q-R4 would have won, for example:

I 30 Q-R4, K-B2? 31 RxPeh ! and wins.
II 30 Q-R4, RxBP; 31 Q-R8ch, K-B2; 32 RxPch, K-K3; 33 R-R6ch! K-Q2; 34 RxRch, KxR: 35 Q-R7ch followed by a Rook check, or 35 Q-Kt7ch, R-B2; 36 Q-K5ch winning in either event.

III 30 Q-R4, Q-Kt8ch; $31 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 32$ R-Kt.2, QxBP; 33 Q-Rsch, K-B2; 34 RxPch, K-K3; 35 Q-B8ch, K-Q3; 36 Q-Q8ch, R-Q2; 37 RxRch and wins.

However, there IS a draw alter $30 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ by 30... R-R3!! 31 Q×R, Q-Q8ch; $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q}$ Q7ch; $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ (if $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ or K-B3, RxPch etc.), Q-K8ch; 34 K-R2, Q-B7ch; 35 R-Kt2, $Q \times$ Rch; $36 K \times Q, P \times Q$ with equality.
$30 \ldots K-B 2 ? ?$
Rumning into a neat sacrifice. . . . Q-K5 was still the move.

## 31 RxPch!

## Resigns

On 31 . . KxR there is a forced mate with 32 Q-Kt3ch, K-B2; 33 R-R7ch, K-K1; 34 QQKtsch, K-Q2; 35 QxPch, K-Q1; 36 QxRch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 37 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ mate.

If $31 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$; 32 R-Kt5 winning easily.

## Order Marshall's New Book Now

A limited first edition of "My Fifty Years of Chess," autographed by Marshall, will be available soon.

The book contains the complete autobiography of Frank J. Marshall and includes 140 of his brilliant games, fully annotated.

To obtain your copy of this first edition, you must order NOW, in advance of publication. The price is the same as for the regular edition- $\$ 3.00$. Order from

[^0]
## GAMES FROM ARGENTINE TOURNEY

As reported last month, Swedish champion Gideon Stahlberg and Polish master Moishe M. Najdorf divided first and second prizes in the International Jubilee Tournament at the Club Circulo, Buenos Aires, held Oct. 18th to Nov. 11th, 1941.

Each of the eight competitors played two rounds with each of his opponents, making a total of 14 rounds. The final standings were:


Najdorf was a member of the Polish team which was stranded in Argentina at the conclusion of the last team tournament of the International Chess Federation. Paul Frydman, third prize-winner, was a member of the same team.

Some fine games were produced in this tourney and we present two specimens on these pages. In each case, the player with the White pieces is C. E. Guimard, champion of Argentina.

## Buenos Aires, 1941

FRENCH DEFENSE
(Notes by Fred Reinfeld)

| C. Guimard | P. Frydman |
| :---: | :---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P.K4 | P.K3 |
| 2 P.Q4 | P.Q4 |
| 3 Kt-QB3 | B.Kt5 |
| 4 P.K5 | $\cdots .$. |

Extensive analysis seems to indicate that if White is to secure an advantage, it can be only with this move.

| 4 .-QR | P-QB4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 5 P-QR3 | B×Ktch |
| 6 P×B | Kt-K2 |
| 7 Q-Ki4 | PxP!? |

An interesting move. The safest is $7 \ldots$ Kt -B4 and if $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{Q}$-R5.

| 8 QxKtP | R-Kt1 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 9 QxP | Q-B2 |
| 10 Kt-K2 | P×P |
| 11 P-B4 | QKt-B3 |
| 12 KtxP?! | $\cdots \cdot$ |

Ingenious, but not as strong as 12 Q-Q3.

| 12 | KtxP! |
| :---: | :---: |
| $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ ! | Q-Kt1 |
| 14 PxKt | QxPch |
| $15 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | P-R3 |

Preferable to $15 \ldots \mathrm{QxR} ; 16 \mathrm{Kt}$ - $77 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}$ Q1; 17 KtxR, Q-K4; is QxP, Q-Kt1; 19 B-KB4
and a very strong game, with his two Bishops and powerful passed KRP.

| 16 QR.Kt1 | PxKt |
| :--- | ---: |
| 17 BxPch | B-Q2 |

Not $17 \ldots \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{Q} 1 ; 18$ QxP with a winning game.
18 BxBch
$\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$
K-B3!

A resource which white must have overlooked.

Frydman


The Knight cannot be captured because after 20 RxKt, KRxP (threatening . . . Q-K7 mate) ; 21 R-K1 (if 21 Q-Q3, Q-R4ch; $22 \mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~K} 1$, Q-R5ch winning the Rook), Q-Q5ch; 22 Q-Q3, Q-Kt5ch; 23 R-K2, R-Kt8ch; 24 K-Q2, Q-Kt4ch and wins.

| 20 | B-Kt2 | Q-Kt4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 21 | R-Kt4 | Q×P |
| 22 | R K1 | Q |
| 23 | K-B1 | Q-B6ch |
|  | R×P! |  |

Neat play. If $24 \mathrm{BxR}, \mathrm{QxBch} ; 25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, R-Kt1 etc.

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
24 & \text { Q-R4 } & \text { Kt-B4 } \\
25 \text { Q-B6 } & \text { R-Kt8! }
\end{array}
$$

Now a surprise move with the other Rook! A picturesque position, with both Black Rooks en prise!

| 26 | R×R | Q-K6ch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 27 | K-Kt1 | QxRch |
| 28 | - B1 | Kt-Q3 |
| 29 | P-R4 | $\ldots$. |

The counterchance!
$\qquad$ Kt-K5 ?
This turns out to be a serious waste of time, costing two tempi. . . . R-R1 offered better prospects.

| 30 | Q-R8 | Kt-Q3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 31 | P-R5 | R-R3 |
| 32 | P-R6 | Q-K8! |

The Pawn has become very menacing as a result of Black's lapse, but he is still able to stop it.

## 33 R-Kt3

If 33 P-B3, R-R8ch! $34 \mathrm{KxR}, \mathrm{QxBch} ; 35$ K-R2, Q-B7ch etc.

| 33 .... | Q-R4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 34 Q-B3ch | Q×Q |
| 35 R×Qch | Kt-B5 |
| 36 P-R7 | R-R1 |
| 37 R-KR3 | R-Kt1ch |
| 38 K-R2 | $\cdots .$. |

Still trying for a win, as 38 R-Kt3, R-KR1; $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KR} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1} \mathrm{ch}$; 40 R -Kt3 draws at once. However, there is nothing to be gained from the following play, which, although interesting, offers no winning chance. Black's 41st move saves the day.

| 38 |  | R-R1ch | 48 | K-B5 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |$\quad$ K-Kt5

A well-known theoretical position has been reached.

Buenos Aires Tournament, 1941 QUEEN'S PAWN OPENING (Notes by Fred Reinfeld)
C. Guimard P. Michel White Black
1 P-Q4 P.Q4

2 Kt -KB3
P.QB4

3 PxP P-K3
4 P-QR3
P
White is really playing the Queen's Gambit Accepted with a move in hand. The extra tempo makes itself felt in that White develops very easily, without having to worry about his opponent's gaining the initiative.

| 4 | $\ldots$ | B×P |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | P-B4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 6 | P.K3 | Kt-B3 |
| 7 | P.QKt4 | B-Q3 |
| 8 | P×P | . . |

A good idea. As Black's pieces are more aggressively posted, white gives him the burden of an isolated QP, which will require constant protection; in addition, White will be strong on the black squares, particularly his Q4. A. Knight planted on this square will be ideally posted, for it will be strongly centralized without being subject to expulsion.

| 8 | PXP |
| :--- | ---: |
| 9 B-Kt2 | O-O |
| 10 B-K2 | R-K1 |
| 11 O-O | Kt-K5 |
| 12 Kt-B3 | B-K3 |

Black's pieces are "all dressed up with no place to go." There is little for them to do, as white is amply protected against Kingside attacks. Given these conditions, the weakness of the isolated QP becomes more prominent.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
13 \mathrm{Kt}-Q K \mathrm{t} 5! & \text { B-Kt1 } \\
14 \mathrm{Kt}(5) \cdot Q 4 & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

As explained in the note to White's 8th move, the Knight is strongly entrenched here.

$$
{ }_{15}^{14} \cdot \underset{\mathrm{R}}{ } \cdot \mathrm{~B} 1
$$

Q-B3
This simple move strengthens the pressure considerably. The development of Black's

Queen-side is a pretty hopeless problem.
15 KtxKt
16 P-B4!
$\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ P-QR3

A terrible-looking move. It leaves a backward KP, but it enhances White's command of the black squares immeasurably. Its strength will soon become apparent.

```
1 7
B-Q2
18 B-R1!
....
```

With the positional threat of $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B6}$, getting rid of Black's vital KB. Black parries the threat, but Guimard takes advantage of the removal of Black's Queen to gain ground on the King-side.

| 19 B-Kt4! <br> 20 QxB <br> 21 Q-R3 <br> 22 Kt-B5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Q-QKt3 } \\
\text { BXB } \\
\text { Kt-B3 } \\
\text { B.Q3 } \\
\text { B.B1 } \\
\text { Q-K3 }
\end{array}
$$



Guimard
White has manoeuvred very cleverly to establish a tremendous positional superiority.

```
24
```



```
QR.Kt1
K-R1
```

Black has just about run out of meaningful moves. If 25 ... KR-B1; 26 RxR, RxR; 27 $\mathrm{RxR}, \mathrm{QxR} ; 28 \mathrm{Kt}$-R6ch wins. Or if $25 \ldots$ KR-Q1; 26 Kt-R6ch! PxKt; 27 QxQ, PxQ; 28 BxKt with an easily won ending.

## 26 Kt -R6!

R-K2
If $26 \ldots$ PxKt; 27 QxQ, RxQ; 28 P-B5. $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; 29 RxBP, B-Kt2; 30 B-K5, QR-Q1 (if $30 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; 31 RxB wins) ; $31 \mathrm{R}(1) \cdot \mathrm{B} 7$ and White regains the piece with a won game.

```
27 P-B5
\(\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{R}\)
```

Or 27 . . . Q-K5; 28 KtxPch, K-Kt1; 29 Kt -Kt5 winning easily.

| 28 | RxR | Q-K5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 29 | KtxPch | K-Kt1 |
| 30 | BxKt | R-K1 |

For if $30 \ldots$ PxB; 31 Q-Kt3ch leads to mate. Further resistance is useless, as Black has nothing for the piece.

| 31 | B-Q4 | Q-Kt8ch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 32 K-B2 | R-K5 |  |
| 33 Kt-R6ch | K-R1 |  |
| 34 R-B8 | Q-R7ch |  |
| 35 K-B3 | Resigns |  |

A fine game by the Argentine Champion.

# CHESS BRIEFS 

CONCISE - CURRENT - CONDENSED

Weaver W. Adams won his game with Denker in the latest round of the Manhattan Chess Club Championship tournament and leads the field with $41 / 2-11 / 2$. Following Adams are Pinkus ( $31 / 2-21 / 2$ ), Bernstein (3-0), Reinfeld (3-1) and Denker (3-2). The tourney will probably be completed about February 1st.

World Champion Alekhine recently gave a simultaneous exhibition against 75 German officers, according to a Vichy radio announcement. You're a great chess player, doctor, but you're playing on the losing side this time.

Our British allies don't think it is unpatriotic to continue chess activities. A team representing the British Services recently defeated an allied team of Czechs, Free French, Poles and Dutchmen in a match played at Nottingham, according to a cable dispatch.

Herbert Seidman is leading in the Marshall Chess Club Championship tournament with the fine score of 7-1. Milton Hanauer is a good second with 6-1, followed by L. Levy (51/2$11 / 2$ ) and A. E. Santasiere (5-2). Marshall has been unable to play more than two games on account of his health.

Boris Blumin, former Canadian champion, is the new Bronx County Champion. C. Pilnick, last year's winner, didn't come close this time, finished in 8th place.

The Metropolitan Chess League held its annual election at the Manhattan C. C. on January 6th. All officers were re-elected for another year: Leonard B. Meyer, President; N. Y. Post columnist H. R. Bigelow, vice-president; Milton L. Hanauer, treasurer; Benjamin Altman, secretary. The League delegates will meet at the Marshall C. C. on February 3rd to receive final entries and arrange the schedule.

David Przepiorka, famous Polish chess master and problem composer, has died at Warsaw, aged 61, according to Toronto columnist. Charles Crompton. Przepiorka was reputedly one of the richest men in Warsaw before the Nazi invasion. He bent his efforts untiringly towards the promotion of Polish chess, his prime achievement being his work in connection with the 1935 chess olympics at Warsaw.
A. E. Elo and J. Kraszewski tied for first place in the annual Milwaukee City Championship tournament. A. Powers was third and J Fashingbauer, last year's winner, came in fourth. R. Durkin, who made a good showing at Ventnor City last summer, finished sixth in a field of eight.

From Boston comes the news that Reshevsky played 32 opponents in a simultaneous exhibition at the Boylston Club on New Year's Day. The U. S. Champion won 30 games, drew with Godrey L. Cabot of the City Club, lost to Fliegel of the Boylston Club.

On January 3rd, the Boylston Club played a 12-board match with City Club, wimning $61 / 2-$ $51 / 2$.

The Boston championship tournament is now in progress with twelve players competing. Among the entries is Garhard Katz, a refugee and comparative newcomer in Boston
chess circles. Katz defeated Oscar Shapiro, one of the city's leading experts, in an early round.

Julius Partos won the Queens County championship in the annual tournament sponsored by the Queens Chess Club of Woodside. Partos finished with a score of $9-1$. Benjamin Altman, who won last year, was runner-up with $81 / 2-11 / 2$. I. Schmolka divided third and fourth prizes with J. Koninsky of City College. The Class A tourney was won by George Partos, the champion's brother, who scored $81 / 2-21 / 2$. Second, third and fourth prizes were shared by Mrs. Nanny Roos, M. Durand and B. Mills.

The Minnesota State Chess Tourney will be staged at the Minneapolis Chess and Checker Club on February 22nd.

Philadelphia's International C. C. is leading in the play-off for the 1941 Championship of the Phila. Chess Association. The Internationals have won 26, lost 18 games, with 4 adjourned games still to be played. Camden City is close with 26 wins, 20 losses and 2 adjourned games. Delmont, the defending champions, and North City, winners in 1937 and 1939, are out of the running with 26 lost games.

Kelvin Domovs, 16-year-old student at the New Utrecht High School, won the individual championship of the Interscholastic Chess League of New York. Eight boys competed in the championship finals which ended in a triple tie for first place between Domovs, Marcy Hanft, of Jefferson, and J. Hidalgo, Jr. of the High School of Commerce. All had scores of $6-1$. A unique situation arose when the three endeavored to break the tie without success in the first play-off. Hanft won from Hidalgo, who in turn defeated Domovs. Whereupon, Domovs completed the circle by winning from Hanlt.

Determined to break the deadlock, the three schoolboys started all over again and Domovs emerged the final victor. He defeated Hidalgo and drew with Hanft for a play-off score of $11 / 2-1 / 2$. Hidalgo was second with $1-1$, vanquishing Hanft, who finished with $1 / 2-11 / 2$.

Albert Englemann of Evander Childs and Nicholas Anack of Eastern District tied for first place in the consolation tourney. Donald Zimmerman, Boys' High, was third.

Awards were made by Milton Hanauer, faculty director of the tournament.

## PROBLEM DEPARTMENT

We regret to announce the resignation of Vincent L. Eaton from editorship of the Problem Department of Chess Review. His services to this periodical, for the past three years, and to the chess problem world in general, have been exceedingly valuable. In the employ of the Federal Government, Mr. Eaton finds himself hard-pressed for time. It is a "priority" which none of us, especially at a time like this, fails to recognize as paramount. In expressing our heartfelt thanks to the talented retiring editor, we do so with a hope that he will continue to favor us with his fine contributions.-Ed.

## WITH OUR CORRESPONDENCE PLAYERS

For a long time we have promised to rate our correspondence players. We have finally got around to doing it and the results appear on the next page.

Our rating system is fair and accurate, will eventually portray a player's ability compared with others. The number of points with which you are credited or debited for each finished game depends upon the rating of your opponent. When you have played enough games your rating will be representative of your playing strength. In our table, some players have finished only a few games and their ratings may change radically later. Players rated with an even 1000 have not completed any games.

## 1942 TOURNEY UNDER WAY

The 1942 tournament is off to a flying start with the first section in play and entries coming in daily. Now that we are classifying entries it takes a little longer to get sections started-so be patient.

Players with CHESS REVIEW ratings will be entered in sections as follows:
Class A Sections: Players rated above 1050. Class B Section: Players rated 950 to 1050 . Class C Sections: Players rated below 950 .

If you have not played in our correspondence tourney, please note the following change in the rule concerning classification of new entries:

New entries, on which we have no data, will be given an initial rating of 1000 and will be placed in Class B sections. However, new entries of known playing strength will be rated and classified in accordance with their ability. New entries are requested to give details of their past experience, if any.

## PRIZE-WINNERS THIS MONTH

J. HOLIFF has won Section 10 by $7-0$. He won two games from C. L. Hardwick and deleated R. W. Hays once. R. W. Davis withdrew in the early stages and all his games were forfeited. Stetler, who finished half his playing schedule ( 3 out of 6 games) forfeited his 2 games with Hoiliff. Holiff has an unfinished game with Hays.
L. P. VICHULES has won 1st prize in Section 12 and second prize in Section 13 . He completed his schedule in Section 12 with a final score of $7-1$, defeating $G$. Mladinich, J. P. Quillen and W, Meiden by $2-0$ and dividing 1-1 with E. I. Treend. In Section 13 his final score was $5-3$.
L. R. CHAUVENET has won first prize in Section 15 with a score of $7-0$. He defeated N. T. Austin and Jose Benardete by $2-0$, scored 1 point against $O$. W. Dishaw, with whom he has an unfinished game. Andrews forfeited all games.

DR. B. W. PAUL has won Section 16 with a final score of $7-1$. He defeated L, R. Chauvenet and Dr. S. S| Eddy, Jr., by 2-0, split 1-1 with J. M. Palmer; Jr. Kruskal forfeited all games in this section.

## GAME REPORTS - 1941 TOURNEY

 Section Results to Jan, 10th3. Martin 1, Dishaw 0. Gennert and B. Rozsa drew twice (1-1).
4. Mayers 1, Emmermann 0. Aronsen 1, Mayers 0 (1-1).
5. B. Rozsa won Game A, drew Game B with Beringer ( $11 / 2-1 / 2$ ). B. Rozsa 1 , Work 0 .
6. Stetler forfeited 2 games to Holiff.
7. Glynn defeated Wallace.
8. Quillen 1 , Meiden 0 ( $2-0$ ). Quillen 1 , Treend 0 ( $11 / 2-1 / 2$ ).
9. W. H. Smith 1, Lippes 0. Lippes 2, Butler 0 . Lippes and Fallenbeck divided 1-1.
10. Chauvenet defeated Dishaw.
11. Dr. B. Paul won 2 games from Chauvenet and defeated Dr. Eddy in their second encounter (2-0). Dr. Paul and Palmer split 1-1. Eddy defeated Palmer. Kruskal forfeited all games.
12. T. Rozsa won from Allured and drew with L. K. Smith ( $11 / 2-1 / 2$ ). Kemble defeated Stubblefield.
13. Hatch defeated Chism and drew with C. M. Fenley.
14. Hicks 1, Benardete 0. Anderson 1, Benardete 0 .
15. Robinson defeated Pratt.
16. Noonan and Stauffer both defeated Hamilton 2-0. Greenfield won his second game with Hamilton (2-0). Stauffer 1, Greenfield 0. Palmer 1, Greenfield 0.
17. Faucher and Rivise both defeated Hamilton 2-0. Spielberger 1, Hamilton 0.
18. Little 1, Tishko $0(2-0)$. Little drew with Powell. Frutkin defeated Tishko and Powell.

## PLAY CHESS BY MAIL! <br> Correspondence chess is fascinating, improves your game, gets you acquainted with other chess players.

Sections of our 1942 Tournament are now being formed. This is your opportunity to test your skill and get a Chess Review rating.

You stand a good chance to win a prize, too. Awards valued at $\$ 4.00$, $\$ 2.00$ and $\$ 1.00$ go to the first, second and third-place winners in each 7 -man section. As far as possible, sections are composed of players of the same strength.

Entry fee is $\$ 1$ per section and you can enter as many sections as you please. Copy of Rules sent on request.

Mail your entry now to:

## CHESS REVIEW

Prize Correspondence Tourney 250 West 57th St., New York, N. Y.

## CHESS REVIEW RATIMGS

These ratings of our correspondence players are from all reports received up to Jan. 10th. We have temporarily classified players as follows: Class A-above 1050; Class B-950 to 1050; Class C-below 950.

Alexewicz, W. ----------------------------1000
Allured, K. B. ----------------------------1055




Benardete, J. ----------------------------1005
Beringer, T. H. --------------------------1033
Borker, L. --------------------------------1000

Briggs, A. -------------------------------------189
Brown, R. L. ------------------------------1020
Buschke, A. --------------------------------1000
Butler, H. C. -------------------------------1876

Campbell, C. W. -------------------------1000

Chauvenet, L. R. --------------------------1058

Cook, W. N. ------------------------------1000
Davis, D. L. -------------------------------1928
Dayton, E. ----------------------------------1040
Dean, P. L. -----------------------------1000

Dudley, R. ---------------------------------1015

Emmermann, H. -------------------------1033
Enochson, H. -----------------------------1000

Faucher, J. A. ---------------------------1024

Fenley, C. M. ------------------------1003
Fielding, L. W. ---------------------1000
Friend, B. ---------------------------1000
Frutkin, A. M. --------------------------1070



Gilutin, E. ----------------------------------1000
Gluski, H. E. --------------------------1000
Glynn, J. ------------------------------------1143
Greenfield, H. -----------------------------189
Halverson, C. ----------------------------1000



Hatch, D. B. -----------------------------1031

Heisey, H. C. -------------------------------183
Henry, F. L. ------------------------------1000
Henry, L, L. -----------------------------1000

Hodgson, A. G. ---------------------------1000
Hogan, J. G. -------------------------------1000
Hoit, H. S. -------------------------------1067
Holiff, J. ---------------------------------1154

Jacobs, M. ---------------------------------1107


Kahn, L. ----------------------------------1000
Kaufman, A. H. ---------------------------1000
Kelsey, R. M. --------------------------1000
Kemble, R. P. ------------------------1077
Kingsland-Smith -----------------------------1000

Kirkegaard, M. ----------------------------- 992

Koch, J. A. ---------------------------------------1109
Koken, J. C. -----------------------------1000
Kolisch, R. ------------------------------1000
Koslow, B. ----------------------------------1850
Kovner, I. ---------------------------------1010
Kramer, M, ---------------------------------1000
Kresse, A. O. -------------------------------1936



Lesh, J. ------------------------------------1051
Liggett, H. N. ----------------------------1000
Linder, A. ----------------------------------1035
Lippes, A. --------------------------------------1930

Mager, J. .---------------------------------1000


Masket, A. V. ----------------------------1000
Mayers, D. ---------------------------------1074
Meeker, J. M. ---------------------------------1937
Meiden, W. ----------------------------------- 932
Mitchell, N. W. -------------------------------1967
Mitchell, W. M. P. ------------------------- 972
Mladinich, G. -------------------------------1016
Muir, Mrs. D. S. -------------------------1184

Nicholson, W. ----------------------------1174

Noonan, T. R. ----------------------------1035
Palange, J. E. ----------------------------1229
Palmer, J. M., Jr. -------------------------1011
Parker, A. W. ---------------------------1046

Paul, Dr. B. ------------------------------1108
Paul, P. ---------------------------------1030
Persinger, L. -------------------------------1054


Pratt, M. U. ------------------------------ 960
Quillen, J. P. ----------------------------1079
Read, H. L. ---------------------------------1000
Rehr, J. J. --------------------------------1000
Reichenbach, H. --------------------------1000
Rivise, 1. -----------------------------------1022
Roberts, C. --------------------------------1000
Robinson, N. I. ----------------------------1040



Rubin, M. -----------------------------------1966
Russ, N. -----------------------------------1000

Shephard, H. C. -------------------------1040

Smith, W. H. ----------------------------1102
Spielberger, C. -----------------------------1014
Stauffer, D. --------------------------------1063
Stetler, C. E. ------------------------------1071

Ter Veen, R. ------------------------------1000
Tishko, W. E. ------------------------------- 879
Treend, E. I. ----------------------------------1842
Treiber, W. J. ------------------------------1000
Umberger, E. H. -------------------------1929
Van Patten, H. --------------------------1001
Vichules, L. P. ---------------------------1281
Wallace, W. J. L. --------------------------1922
Wilcox, H. L. -----------------------------1000
Williams, T. -------------------------------1000
Work, T. A., Jr. ----------------------------1002
Yaffe, L. L. ------------------------------------1936
Zoudklik, R. J. --------------------------------1990

# PROBLEM SECTION 

P. L. Rothenberg<br>Problem Editor

All correspondence pertaining to this depart. ment should be addressed to P. L. Rothenberg, Chess Review, 250 West 57th Street, New York, N. Y. For personal replies, please enclose self-addressed, stamped envelope.

There is the story of the Greek sage who, when asked why no statue had been erected in his honor, replied that he should much prefer to be asked why not than why so. That, in effect, is my reaction to CHESS REVIEW'S offer of responsibility for its Problem Department.
There is a keen realization of the necessity to muster all (and I mean ALL) of my resources, in order to live up to the standards established by my predecessors. Without exception, all of the problem editors of CHESS REVIEW-Wurzburg, Bukofzer, Jacobs, Cheney and Eaton-have certainly proved their metier as experts in the art of problem chess. We feel indebted to each of them for valuable mentorship. This publication's sentiments, expressed elsewhere in this issue, regarding my immediate predecessor, the brilliant Vincent Eaton, completely reflect my l'eelings.

I am also mindful of the fact that CHESS REVIEW is entering into its tenth year. Its editors have striven constantly to find for chess the widespread popularity it deserves. With that as a goal, I am certainly happy to join the family.
As a fitting tribute, practically all of this month's problems are compositions by former editors of this department. I have picked them at random from the early issues of CHESS REVIEW. Whereas no problem is necessarily meant to illustrate any particular skill or predilection of the composer, you will note, nevertheless, that none lacks the touch of the master.

In No. 1920 you will find an unorthodox piece, the Grasshopper, represented as an inverted Queen. It moves and captures vertically, horizontally and diagonally one square beyond the nearest piece of either color. E.g., the Grasshopper on R3 can capture the Pawn on K6; similarly, the one on R5 can capture the Pawn on K2; neither has any other move. Mr . Bukofzer skilfully resorted to the use of a Fairy piece in order to illustrate a fascinating theme-eight distinct interferences by a Black Knight, leading to eight distinct mates -which cannot be expressed with orthodox forces.
No. 1923 was amended slightly to overcome (I hope) an unfortunate flaw occurring in the original. No. 1927 is by a distinguished colleague whose work in "gleams"-two-move miniatures-is widely known.

Lastly, No. 1928 demonstrates an intriguingly flippant echo task. It is dedicated to the undisputed Dean of Chess Problemdom, A. C. White, who recently expressed the task in another form, and to Mott-Smith who directed my attention to it.

And so we have begun! This department hopes to publish mostly original problems. Please submit contributions. Beginning with the problems in the February issue, the solvers' ladder will be resumed.

## CHESS AND CHESS PROBLEMS <br> I. POWER OF THE PIECES

Some chess players appear to shy away from chess problems because of a resistance to synthetic positions which they deem to be unrelated to actual play. I regard such attitude as unwarranted. An enthusiastic chess player should evince an interest in any phase of chess. CHESS REVIEW ran an account some time ago about U. S. Champion Samuel Reshevsky who, presented with an extremely difficult four-move chess problem, sat down, gave his all and, rising with a smile, recited every one of the numerous variations. That is to be expected. Isaac Kashdan is probably one of the outstanding chess problem solvers in the world. Examples of the interest of top-notch chess players in chess problems are numerous. Why the resistance of the less expert players?
True, chess problems will not help you develop your middle game in, say, the Ruy Lopez opening. On the other hand, chess problems will give you an understanding of the power and coordination of the pieces, in relation to certain positional settings. A brilliant sacrifice in a game of chess is, in effect, the solution of a chess problem; a more difficult solution, to be sure, in the absence of prearranged stipulations, but a solution nevertheless.

Let us consider the power and coordination of the chess pieces. Place White King on K7, White Queen on KR6 and Black King on K4. When the Queen checks at KB6, K6 and Q6, there are two free squares to which the King can escape. Now place a White Knight on Q2. White can now mate in two moves. 1 Q-KB6ch, K-Q4 (forced, for Black's K5 is now controlled by the Knight); 2 Q-Q6 mate, for now the Knight controls both escape squares previously available.

Elementary, did you say? Agreed! Consider however, the following position, an endgame in actual play:

White to play: Who wins?
(Solution on page 24)



1917

MAXWELL BUKOFZER
Chess Review, Mar., 1935


1920 Mate in 2

RICHARD CHENEY
Chess Review, Dec., 1933
(Amended)


1923
Mate in 3

VINCENT L. EATON
Chess Review, Jan., 1934


1926
Mate in 3

OTTO WURZBURG
Chess Review, Aug., 1935


1918 Mate in 3

MAXWELL BUKOFZER Chess Review, May, 1933


1919
Mate in 3

WALTER JACOBS
Chess Review, Feb., 1933


RICHARD CHENEY
Chess Review, Jan., 1934


1924 Mate in 4

Geoffrey MOTT-SMITH New York City
(Original)


WALTER JACOBS Chess Review, Apr., 1933


VINCENT L. EATON
Chess Review, Dec., 1933


1925
Mate in 3
P. L. ROTHENBERG Dedicated to A. C. White \& G. Mott-Smith
(After A.C.W.)


## READERS' GAMES REVIEWED

In this department we publish games by readers with annotations by noted commentator Fred Reinjeld. Any subscriber to Chess Review is welcome to use this free service. Submit your games to Readers' Games Department, Chess Review, 250 W'est 57th Street, New York.

Here is a brevity which illustrates one of the worst faults which can be found in an inexperienced player's games. Writes Reader Steele Blackall: "I have always called it "The Pursuit of the Knights." And it is just that -with a vengeance!

## QUEEN'S PAWN OPENING

| S. Blackall | R. Smith |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-Q4 | P-Q4 |
| 2 P-QB4 | Kt-KB3? |

This is the fault to which I referred. Inexperienced players often fail to realize the necessity for maintaining a firm foothold in the center. This may be done in one of two ways: either by planting Pawns firmly on the center squares (which is the usual way) or by controlling these same center squares by the action of pieces working from the wings.

In either case, the forces commanding or controlling the center must be reasonably safe from attack. If they can be eliminated or driven off, you will have to yield the center to your opponent.

What is wrong, some will ask, with yielding the center to one's opponent? Just this: the center is the most valuable part of the board. from the point of view of maintaining communication of your pieces and having them exert pressure on your opponent's game. If you lose your foothold in the center, your pieces will be driven off to ineffectual squares; you will never be able to develop your pieces to good squares. And the worst of it is that this is just the kind of disadvantage which keeps on growing; for if a player combines command of the center with good development, he will be able to utilize the already accumulated advantage to acquire new advantages.

From the foregoing remarks, it is clear that Black would have done better to play $2 \ldots$. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ or 2 ... P-QB3. In this way he would have maintained his foothold in the center.

$$
3 \mathrm{PxP} \quad \mathrm{KtxP}
$$

Or $3 \ldots \mathrm{QxP} ; 4 \mathrm{Kt}$-QB3 and White develops with gain of time.

## 4 P.K4

Illustrating the comment on Black's second move. White develops with gain of time, opens up new avenues for the deployment of his pieces; and he does this free of charge, so to speak, since Black must lose time retreating his Knight.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
4 \\
5 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 \\
& \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating the mistake of the second move, since this second Knight will at once be driven off. Relatively better was 5... P-K3, despite its passive character. Black would then

By FRED REINFELD

aim for . . P-B4, which would to some extent neutralize the power of White's center. The chances are that Black would always remain with a cramped game-but that was inevitable after his second and third moves.

## 6 P-Q5 <br> Kt-K4

To retreat $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$.QKt1 and allow 7 P-K5 with further constriction of his position, would be even more unpleasant. Note what evils Black's faulty opening play has brought about!

## 7 B-KB4

Again developing with gain of time!

$$
7 \ldots \quad K t(4)-K t 5 ?
$$

It is true that after $7 \ldots$ Q-Q3 Black threatens to obtain some freedom with $8 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-Q6ch (the player with greater freedom of action must always be on guard against such surprise moves); but White can prevent this by the immediate 8 B-Kt3! and Black's position would be quite miserable (threat: 9 P-B4 followed by $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ winning a piece).

Relatively best, however, was 7 . . Kt-Kt3, although after \& B-Kt3 Black's position would be unenviable. The fact that even best play cannot give Black a playable game, shows how serious was his initial error.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
8 & \text { P-KR3 } & \text { Kt-R3 } \\
9 & \text { P-K5 } & \text { Kt-Q2 }
\end{array}
$$

After nine moves, Black has brought out only the two Knights, and both of ther. aup miserably placed. But now White is able to make decisive use of his superior position.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} \\
11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K6} & \text { Resigns }
\end{array}
$$

Surprising, isn't it? However, 11 . . . KtKt3 or 11 . . Kt-B3 is answered by 12 B Kt5ch winning a piece (if 12 . . P-B3; 13 PxP and Black is helpless). If 11 . . Kt-K4; 12 P-B4, Kt-Kt3; 13 B-Kt5ch wins.

Another pretty point: if 11 . . PxP; 12 Q-R5ch and MATE.

## TWO KNIGHTS' DEFENSE

A game from which there is a great deal to be learned because of the many inconsistencies on both sides. The players have interesting ideas, but their moves have a hit-or-miss quality.

| Leo Kahn | Amateur |
| :---: | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | P-K4 |
| 2 Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 3 B-B4 | Kt-B3 |

White's last move holds out the possibility
of an attack against Black's weakest point, his KBP. It is therefore customary to play 3 . . . B-B4, in order to answer 4 Kt -Kt5 with 4 ...O-O. However, 3 . . B-B4 often leads to dull, symmetrical positions, so that aggressive players have always preferred the text move.

## $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$

As will be seen, this virtually ensures the winning of a Pawn, at the expense of giving Black a considerable lead in development.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
4.0 \times P & \text { P-Q4 } \\
5 \dot{P \times P} & \text { Kt-QR4 }
\end{array}
$$

To those unfamiliar with this variation, this may seem a very peculiar move. The natural reply 5 ... KtxP leads to the famous "Fried Liver Attack"*: 6 KtxP!? KxKt; 7 Q-B3ch, K-K3; $8 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ etc. The attack is analytically unsound, but nobody relishes getting his King in such an exposed position in actual play; hence, instead of accepting the Knight, the text is usually played, although it involves the sacrifice of a Pawn.

| 6 | B-Kt5ch | P.B3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 7 | PxP | P×P |
| 8 | B-K2 | P-KR3 |
| 9 | Kt-KB3 | P-K5 |

It has already become apparent that in return for the sacrificed Pawn, Black is making a considerable gain in development. Still, the off-side position of his QKt is bound to be disquieting. This piece will require attention later on, as its present situation leaves it quite useless.

## 10 Kt-K5

Q-Q4
Not the best. The usual procedure is 10 ...B-Q3; 11 P-KB4, O-O; 12 O-O! (giving back his extra Pawn for reasons that will soon be apparent), BxKt; 13 PxB, Q-Q5ch; 14 K-R1, QxKP; 15 P-Q4! and White has the better game: he has two effective Bishops, the open KB file and the Queen-side majority of Pawns, which is very strong here. Black on the other hand, has serious disadvantages: his QKt is out of the game, and it is not clear just how it is to be placed to better advantage; his Queen-side Pawns are split and weak; his remaining Bishop will be bad in an ending and his black squares are weak.

While 10 . . . Q-Q4 is less good, the foregoing weighing up of advantages and disadvantages applies to some extent to the following play.
11 P-Q4
B-Q3

If $11 \ldots$ PxP e. p. 12 KtxQP, QxKtP? 13 B-B3, Q-Kt3; 14 P-QKt4 with a winning position.

## $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$

Q-K3
The old story: Black's premature develop. ment of the Queen is answered by later development with gain of time.

## 13 B-KB4

The Bishop is somewhat insecure here; 13 P-B4 would be more solid.

$$
13 \dot{B-K \cdot t 3} \quad \text { Kt-Q4 }
$$

The straightforward continuation would have been 14 KtxKt, PxKt; 15 Q-Q2, Kt-Kt2; 16 P-QB4 with a very strong game. Note how logical such a line would be: it takes advantage of the QKt's poor position, and gets the

Queen-side Pawns moving.

| 14.0 | O.O |
| :--- | ---: |
| 15 O-O | P-KB4 |
| 16 P-KB4? | $\cdots$ |

A blunder, losing the exchange.
There are two things to note about this move. The first is that White has transgressed against the need for examining each move scrupulously to make certain that it does not leave anything en prise. The second is that we have here an example of how a faulty move ( $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ ) often leads to difficulties which are conducive to making a blunder.

| $16 \dot{Q} \dot{Q 2}$ | Kt-K6 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 17 | KtxR |
| 18 RxKt | BxKt |

Despite the win of the exchange, Black's game is quite difficult, due to the weaknesses of his position mentioned previously. The text is bad because it opens up the black squares to exploitation, but it is difficult to suggest a wholly satisfactory move. 18 ... B-B2 seems about best.
19 QPxB
B-Q2

Losing a Pawn, but . . . Kt-Kt2 is not inviting.

| 20 KtxP | PxKt |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 Q×Kt | K-R1 |  |
| 22 | P-Kt3 | Q-Q4 |
| 23 | Q-B3 | P-B4 |
| 24 | B-B4 | Q-B3 |

Or $24 \ldots$ Q-Q5ch; 25 QxQ, PxQ; 26 R-Q1 and White should win.

| 25 R-Q1 | QR-Q1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 26 R-Q6 | Q-B2 |

## Amateur



White clearly has the advantage. He has played well the last lew moves, and all his pieces are powerfully entrenched, while Black is afflicted with several weaknesses. The logical continuation was now B-Q5, winning still another Pawn, with a certain win in prospect.

Instead, White embarks on a combination which, despite its ingenious character, is inadequate. While lively combinative play is enjoyable and desirable, its possibilities must be weighed with special care when it is adopted in place of a line of play which offers very strong winning prospects without any risk whatever.

[^1]| 27 | R×Pch?! | P×R |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 28 | P-K6ch | K-R2 |
| 29 | P-K7 | B-Kt5 |
| 30 | P×KR(Q) | R×Q |
| 31 | P-B5 | Q-Q2? |

32 ... Q-K2 should have been played, leading to the immediate downfall of the KBP. 32 P-B6

Q-Q8ch
32 ... Q-Q5ch probably loses: $36 \mathrm{QxQ}, \mathrm{PxQ}$; $37 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ etc.
33 K-B2
P.K6ch
34 QxP?
....

After 34 KxP the outcome is not clear, but seems to favor White.

| 34 |  | RxPch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | B-B4 | QxBPch |
| 36 | K-Kt3 | B-B4?? |

This loses. $36 \ldots$ R-KKt3 would have left White with no alternative but resignation (37 B-Q3, B-B4ch or $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4$, QxKtP: 38 Q-Kt3, Q-B3!). When the margin between victory and defeat is so huge, it behooves us to devote considerable care to the crucial move.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
37 \text { Q-K7ch } & \text { K-Kt3 } \\
38 \text { Q-K8ch } & \text { Resigns }
\end{array}
$$

Hollywood Chess Club Championship 1941 HUNGARIAN DEFENSE
It is interesting to see how loss of time is sometimes transformed into positional weaknesses, which in turn involve new losses of time!

| S. Kovacs | J. Weisstein |
| :---: | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | P-K4 |
| 2 Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 3 B-B4 | B-K2 |

Rarely played because it leads to a cramped game.
4 P-Q4
PxP
5 P.B3
. . .

Complicated. 5 KtxP is simple and good.

$$
5 \ldots \quad K t-B 3
$$

After 5 . . P PxP White plays 6 Q-Q5 with seemingly murderous effect. But the advantage is not clear: $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$ R3; 7 BxKt, O-O! Now White has the choice between 8 KtxP, PxB and 8 B-QB1, Kt-Kt5! 9 Q-KR5 (if 9 Q-Q1, P-B7 and if 9 Q-Q4?? Kt-B7ch), Kt-B7ch with a rather inscrutable position.

```
6 ~ P - K 5 ~
7PxP?
Kt-K5
```

Giving Black time for the important advance of his QP. Correct was $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ : Kt-B4; 8 PxP, Kt-K3; 9 B-Kt3! threatening P-Q5 and leaving Black in a very difficult position.

$$
7 \ldots \text { P-Q4 }
$$

Whereas now Black has an excellent game.

```
8 \text { B.Kt3}
9 Kt-B3
0.0
```

Again bad timing. O.O at once would have avoided the ensuing difliculties.

## 9.

Going counter to the rule against bringing out the Queen too early in the game. B-Q2 was preferable, although . . . B-Kt5 would be a troublesome reply.

10
B-KB4
Developing with gain of time. . . . Kt-Kt6 is threatened.

| 11 Q-K3 | Kt-K2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 12 O-O | P-B4 |
| 13 P-QR3 | P×P |
| 14 KKtxP | B×Kt |
| 15 P×B | R-B1 |

As a result of his losses of time, White has wound up with a weak Pawn on the QB file; in the nature of things, this Pawn will either be lost in short order, or if it can be maintained, will compel unnatural and ineffectual grouping of the White pieces.

## 16 KtxB

Now the Pawn goes. The only way to hold it would have been 16 Kt -K2, with a likelihood that the QB would have to go to QKt2!

| 16 | $\cdots-\cdot$ | KtxKt |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 17 | Q-B4 | Kt-K2 |
| 18 | B-B2 | $K t-K t 3$ |
| 19 | Q-B5 | Kt×QBP |
| 20 | B-Q3 | Q-K2 |
| 21 | Q-R5 | Kt-K5 |

Not 21 . . QxKP?? 22 BxKt etc.

| 22 | B-Kt2 | Kt-B5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | Q-Q1 | Q-Kt4 |

Black has definitely taken the initiative.

| 24 | P-Kt3 | KtxB |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 25 | QxKt | Q-Q7 |
| 26 | Q-Kt5 | $\ldots .$. |

Relatively better was 26 QxQ, KtxQ; 27 KR-Q1, Kt-B5; 28 B-Q4, P-QKt3. The ending would present technical difficulties, whereas after the text Black obtains a crushing attack.

| 26 | R-B1 | R-B7 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 27 | Q-B6 |  |
| 28 | B-B4 | Kt×BP |
| 29 | QxQP | Kt-R6ch |
| 30 | K-R1 | Q-Kt7 |
| 31 | P-Kt4 | KtxB |
| 32 | Q-B3 | R×Pch |
|  | Resigns |  |

## SOLUTION TO PROBLEM

White, already a piece behind, is apparently in desperate straits, for mate in two (1... R-Kt8ch; 2 KtxR, Q-Kt7 mate) or loss of Queen (1 Q-QB3, KtxPch; 2 QxKt, RxQ), followed by a quick mate, is threatened. Should White choose to play 1 Q-Kt5ch, he may as well resign. How about attacking? White's pieces seem to be sufliciently well coordinated.
A. 1 R-Q6ch? K-B2; 2 R-Q7ch, KtxR; 3 QQ6ch, K-B1; + Kt-K7ch, K-Q1; $5 \mathrm{Kt}-$ B6ch, QxKt! and White is helpless, for 6 QxQ is followed by $6 \ldots$ KtxP Mate, perpetual check is not possible and any other move is hopeless.
B. $1 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $2 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ leads to the same variation as $A$. There remains
C. 1 Kt-Q4ch!! PxKt (if $1 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$; 2 Kt-K6ch and mate next move); 2 QKt6ch!! KxR (if . . . K-B2; 3 Q-Q6ch and mate next move); 3 Q-Q6 Mate! . .
You now have a mating position corresponding completely to the coordination of the Queen and Knight previously expressed in our "elementary" setting!

## STANDARD HIGH QUALITY SETS

These sets are made of genuine Olivewood, beautifully carved and finished. Outstanding values at these prices.
No. 100, Student (3" K) . . . . . $\$ 6.00$
No. 102, Club (4' K)
. $\$ 10.00$
No. 101, Small Club ( $33 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ K) . 7.50
No. 104, Master ( $43 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ K) . . . . 15.00

Each man, including Knight, carved from one solid piece of wood. No screws: no glue; nothing to come apart. Won't split or warp. Guaranteed for life. Staunton pattern. Beautiful polished finish. All types (except Economy Set 102A) loaded and telted. Prices include complete set of 32 men packed in wooden box with hinged cover and clasp. Standard High Quality and De Luxe sets in various sizes as listed.

## ECONOMY SET

Same as No. 102 Club set but not loaded or felted.
No. 102A, Economy Set (4' K) . . . . . . . . $\$ 7.50$

## DE LUXE SETS OLIVE AND BRAZILNUT

Unusual and distinctive. All pieces in their original natural wood colors. "White" pieces are Olivewood, "Black" pieces are deep nut-brown Brazilnut.
No. 122
De Luxe Club (4" K). . . . $\$ 16.50$ No. 124 -

De Luxe Master (43/4" K). 22.00

## IMLAID CHESS TABLE <br> Heavy, solid, well-made-for home or club.

## DE LUXE INLAID WOOD CHESS BOARDS



Handsome, sturdy boards with inlaid maple and walnut squares.

No. 212-21"x21", $2^{\prime \prime}$ sqs

Mahogany border and back on No. 212. Walnut border and back on Nos. 213 and 214

## SPECIAL QUALITY VENEERS <br> These boards have Walnut Burl and Carpathian Elm Burl squares with Rosewood border and Walnut back. No. 215-21"x21", $2^{\prime \prime}$ sqs. <br> No. $216-26^{\prime \prime} \times 26^{\prime \prime}, 21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ sqs <br> 22.00

Inlaid maple and walnut squares ( $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ ). Top- $30^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime}$. Height-30". 2quares ( $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ ).


Your choice of WALNUT or OAK (Specify which)
Crated and shipped free. Cat. No. 240
$\$ 2500$

## FOLDING BOARDS

No. 202- $16^{\prime \prime} \times 161 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 13 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ sqs. . $\$ 1.20$ No. $203-171 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 173 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$ sqs. 2.50
No. $204-20^{\prime \prime} \times 201 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 21 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ sqs.. 4.00 No. 204 is heavy, double mounted binder board with green and tan squares, gilt dividing lines.

## OILCLOTH ROLL-UP BOARDS

As used at all leading tournaments. Roll them up and take them with you anywhere. Green and white squares.
No. $220-18^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$ sqs.
$\$ .75$
1.25


Moil Your Order to

## CHESS EQUIPMENT CO.




## SIX YEARS

## OFTHE

## CHESS REVIEW

In these six cloth-bound volumes of the Chess Review ( 1935 to 1940), you get more worthwhile chess material, more real value for your money than in any combination of chess books you could buy at anywhere near the price.

Each volume contains the year's big happenings in chess, all the important matches and tournaments, the best games annotated and explained by leading Masters, a book-full of chess problems and end-game studies, chess instruction for all grades of players, articles on theory and practical play, analysis of openings, brilliancies, personality sketches of the Masters, diagrams and pictures galore.

Any one of these features alone would be worth the price. Put together in handsome cloth-bound volumes, they represent value you cannot duplicate, an opportunity you can't afford to miss. As the years go by they will become more and more valuable.

Stock is limited and they won't last long at this bargain price. Mail your order NOW.

CAT. NO. 460
SIX VOLUMES
1935 TO 1940

### 9.73


[^0]:    HOROWITZ and HARKNESS 250 West 57th St.

    New York, N. Y.

[^1]:    *Can any reader tell us how this name originated.

