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## LETTERS

## Readers are Invited to Use these Columns for Their Comments and Suggestions on All Matters of Interest to Chess Players.

## Reply to Edward Lasker

Sirs:
Mr, Edward Lasker's letter concerning the playing schedule at the 1941 New York State Chess Association Congress deals with an important point, does not take recognition of all the facts and distorts the picture as a whole.
I am glad that members of tournament committees were called "fine gentlemen" because the meaning of that term has always been quite well understood. Nor does being a gentleman necessarily invalidate one's judgment. Surely no one supposes that only chess masters are acquainted with the strain and fatigue of intense concentration.

The 1941 NYSCA Tournament Committee knew very well that the schedule for the masters was too heavy. How then did such a schedule come about? The players who came to Hamilton last August were greater in numbers and quality than even recent NYSCA development and fine prospects for 1941 could possibly lead us to expect. The Tournament Committee, confronted by this situation, consulted the masters. The final arrangement was the result of such consultation. Everyone who was at Hamilton knows that.

The Tournament Committee and I made special efforts to get from everyone suggestions for improvement of the Congress next year. Before the end of the week we had worked out modifications that would prevent a recurrence of the too-heavy schedule. We gave assurance that the schedule for masters another year would not exceed one tournament game a day with the exception of one day on which two games would be played. We received assurances, on the other hand, that this program would be satisfactory. No one was prevented from airing his views at that time.
The Congress has been, and shall continue to be, made up of several tournaments representing different lovels of skill. The policy we follow is that chess should be on as broad a foundation as possible and all kinds of players should be given tournament experience. Besides one never knows when or from whence a fine player may arise. This past summer the Brilliancy Prize for the Master's Section of the Championship Class was won by a young fellow who, but a few years ago, played in his first tournament in our lowest class. In successive years he worked his way up by winning progressively higher tournaments until this year he participated with credit in our highest class. My observation is that players in lower classes take their chess very seriously and, in no case, approach their tournament games in "the spirit of pleasurable chess debauch."
Perhaps, under the circumstances, it is necessary to emphasize that the several tournaments of the NYSCA Congress run parallel with each other but do not compete with each other, For instance, Class A has its own prizes, its own prize winners and does not compete with the

Championship Class. The masters need not be aware that other tournaments exist in their vicinity.

As a matter of fact I have found the masters most cooperative. We have appreciated, all of us, their coming to Hamilton year by year in steadily increasing numbers. On the other hand, we have felt that we had something to give over and above the modest prizes we offered. Such facilities as we have have been theirs. All in all we are making no apologies.

Chess does not flourish by the efforts of one class of supporters. This is no time to create or accentuate barriers between masters and other people.
C. HAROLD KING

President, N. Y. State Chess Ass'n Hamilton, N. Y.

## The Fried Liver Attack

 Sirs:I believe you know that "Fried Liver" is a translation of "Fegatello" which means "cooked liver". It also is used as an Italian idiom of speech when applied to a person who is a pushover, or easy to beat, saying "I'll make cooked liver out of you." However, this started with Polerio in 1575-1600 in Italy and the first to use the English translation is probably Mr. E. P. Eliot, President of the Los Angeles Chess Club, when he played in 1900 in Chicago with Sydney Johnson and others. He used it extensively.

## ANTHONY MORGAN

Los Angeles, Calif.
Sirs:
In his review of a Two Knights Defense game in the January issue, Fred Reinfeld asks if any reader can tell him how the name "Fried Liver" came to be applied to the famous variation in which White sacrifices a Knight.
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Years ago, in Leadville, Colo., I indulged in a weekly encounter with C. T. Menzel and when opportunity offered, I adopted this form of attack. It was stated in an old chess book that the Italians had used the word "Fegatello" to describe this particular line of play. This comes close to meaning "Fried Liver" and Menzel always used this expression. Later, after leaving Leadville, Menzel took up correspondence chess with great success; it would not be unlikely that he used the expression on his postal cards and thus gave it currency.

Why Italian writers selected this word to describe the maneuver was not stated in the book. The dictionary definition of Fegatello is "A bit of liver rolled up in its caul".
H. C. BUTLER

Denver, Colo.

## Better and Better

Sirs:
The current issue of CHESS REVIEW came this morning. Starting a few months back from a standard already high, it gets better and better-and better.

WALTER S. IVINS

Tucson, Ariz.
Sirs:
May I congratulate you on the latest issue. It was by far the best yet. The pictures, format, features and text show a vast improvement. There is really no comparison between the old CHESS REVIEW and the readability of the new.

It may interest you to know that this seems to be a general opinion. At a meeting of Westchester chess players on February 28th it came in for a lot of favorable comment that I know would have pleased you. Mr. John Barnhart, whom you know, said he thought this last issue was the best he had ever seen of any chess magazine. "It's obvious," he said, "that someone is working on it who knows the publication business."
New York, N. Y.
ROSSER REEVES
Sirs:
I consider CHESS REVIEW definitely the finest chess publication on the market today. It is full of life and does much to promote chess activities, I particularly like the Baron Munchausen stories. Certainly such a grand game as chess should not always be looked at in too serious a light. I like Chess Quizz and the more pictures the better. How about a section sometime devoted to photos and activities of many chess amateurs.

```
CARLTON M. FENLEY
```

South Portland, Maine
To readers Ivins and Fenley, Advertising Man Reeves, Newspaper Publisher Barnhart and the many other subscribers who have expressed their appreciation of our efforts, we extend our grateful thanks. That others feel as they do is evidenced by the $50 \%$ increase in circulation in the past eight months.-Ed.
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## José Raoul Capablanca

On Saturday evening, March 7th, José R. Capablanca sat watching a skittles game at New York's Manhattan Chess Club. He was in his usual excellent spirits, seemingly full of life and vigor. He joked and "kibitzed" with the others surrounding the board.

Suddenly the Cuban Grandmaster's voice thickened. "Help me - help me remove my coat," he gasped, and fell to the floor. Carried to a couch, he lapsed into a coma before the arrival of medical help. Rushed to Mt. Sinai Hospital, Capablanca died at 5:30 a. m., never having regained consciousness. A cerebral hemorrhage was the cause of his death.

Thus, with dramatic but merciful swiftness, passed the most famous figure in contemporary chess. To the farthest ends of the earth, Capablanca and Chess were almost synonymous. While the names of other men needed explanation, the magic name of Capablanca was sufficient in itself. The whole world knew that he was a chess genius, a chess champion.

At the time of his death Capablanca was commercial attache of the Cuban Embassy but had spent most of his time in New York, since his arrival here last May. The Cuban Ambassador, Dr. Aurelio F. Concheso, came from Washington to pay his respects to his friend as he lay in state. The Consular Service was represented by Consul General Roberto Hernandez and New York Consul Alfred Hernandez.

With ceremonies usually reserved for a Colonel killed in active scrvice, Capablanca was laid to rest in Havana on March 14th. General Batista, President of Cuba, took personal charge of the funeral arrangements.

Capablanca leaves a widow, his second wife, the former Princess Olga Chagodalf of Russia; a son and daughter, José R. Jr., and Gloria, children of his first marriage; and a younger brother.

As Reti has so well expressed it, chess was Capablanca's "mother tongue" in which he "couched his thoughts in the proper terms with case." Born in Havana, Cuba, on November 19, 1888, he learned to play the game at the age of four. His father and grandfather, both Spanish Army Officers, played chess. Young José was brought up to regard chess as a natural accompaniment of the home. He learned the
game in the same subconscious way that a child learns to speak.

José Capablanca, however, was not an ordinary child. He was possessed of that mys. terious genius for the game which manifests itself in few individuals. Others may look at the chess board and see inanimate pieces of wood on checkered squares but Capablanca saw a living, moving, dynamic picture in which the Queens and Bishops and Rooks and Knights radiated their power. At a glance he saw how their forces were concentrated on certain squares, left others weak. Whereas the ordinary mortal laboriously calculates the outcome of a series of moves and soon becomes befuddled, this boy followed the changing picture of the board with effortless ease. In his mind, the pieces moved from square to square and the final position stood out sharp and clear. Like most chess prodigies, he could not explain this gift. He just "saw" it, that was all.

When Capablanca joined the Havana Chess Club he was by far the youngest member but was soon taking the measure: of the older men. At the age of twelve he astonished his countrymen by winning the chess championship of Cuba, in a match with J . Corzo, by a score of $4-0$ with six draws.

This early training and experience was reflected in Capablanca's later play. To quote again from Richard Reti:
"In one's native language grammar is an unnecessary crutch, which is replaced by one's feeling for the language, the rich experience stored in one's subconscious mind. And Capablanca has the finest possible feeling for chess. Just by referring to that superior pattern in his mind he has succeeded in pointing out errors of exaggeration in many of the old rules."

As a youth, Capablanca attended a finishing school in New York and studied engineering at Columbia University. It was in this period that he developed much of his strength as a chess master. He studied the end-game exhaustively and played thousands of skittle games for money stakes so that he was forced to concentrate. He became a member of the Manhattan Chess Club and at the age of 18 was considered one of the leading players in this country.


José Raoul Capablanca
Chess Champion of the World 1921-1927

It was in 1909 that Capablanca obtained recognition as the outstanding player of PanAmerica. In that year, as a young man of 20, he defeated the American Champion Frank J. Marshall in an unofficial match by the remarkable score of 8 - 1 with 14 drawn games. Two years later he made his first European appearance at the International Tournament in San Sebastian, Spain. It was a brilliant debut. Against such outstanding masters as Rubinstein, Vidmar and other top-flight competition, he won first prize with the loss of only one game.

I'or many years thereafter, the name of Capablanca became increasingly famous. Apart from a string of international chess victories, there was something in his romantic background, his polished manner, his handsome appearance, even the euphony of his name itself, which caught the public's fancy. People who had never played chess in their lives knew his name, respected his talents, admired his accomplishments.

His achicvement at San Sebastian, in 1911, stamped Capablanca as the leading contender for the world title. He attempted to arrange a match with Dr. Lasker without success. When the latter won the St. Petersburg Tournament in 1914, defeating Capablanca in a famous game, the aspirations of the Cuban temporarily subsided. Furthermore, war conditions made it impossible to hold a match for the title. During the war, Capablanca competed in three tournaments in New York and won first prize each time.

After the armistice he returned to Europe and again attempted to arrange a match. Dr. Lasker had relinquished the title but Capablanca refused to accept this and insisted that they play for the championship. Finally, the match was agreed upon and was held in his native Havana in 1921. Capablanca won the championship of the world by a score of 4-0 with ten drawn games.

The new world champion then won the great international tournament in London in 1922 with the tremendous score of eleven wins, no losses, four draws. It was around this time that he began to be spoken of as "unbeatable" -a "chess machine" overcoming all opposition with deadly accuracy and precision. As a result of his early training he never got into time-trouble, never committed a serious blunder. From 1916 to 1924 Capablanca did not lose a single game of chess in master play. For twenty years, from 1911 to 1931, he was never lower than third in all the tournaments in which he competed.

Capablanca reigned as world champion from 1921 to 1927. Near the end of his reign, he achieved one of his greatest successes when he won first place in the four-round tournament of six masters at New York. The "coming man" Alekhine competed in this tourney and placed second. A match was arranged between them for the title and everybody expected Capablanca to win with ease.

The match was held in Buenos Aires. In the very first game the champion was defeated. He never recovered from the psychological handicap of this initial set-back and lost by a score of 6-3 with 25 drawn games.

Endless negotiations for a return match have taken place ever since 1927. Capablanca accused Alekhine of demanding impossible conditions while the new champion claimed that the terms were the same as those he had been called upon to meet. Whatever the reason, no return match could be arranged.

Up to the time of his death, Capablanca was still striving to prove that he could defeat Alekhine. He came to this country last May in order to interest the U. S. Chess Federation in sponsoring a title match in this country. An attempt was made to bring Alekhine here but the champion was unable to obtain passports.

Perhaps it is just as well that this final attempt failed. Of recent years, Capablanca's power had waned. Since losing the title, he had registered many important tournament and match victories, including the famous tourneys at Moscow and Nottingham, 1936, but the young generation of Masters were beginning to outshine the ex-champion. He experienced more and more difficulty in maintaining his position. The man who had never been in timetrouble was no longer able to disregard the clock. He found it increasingly hard to concentrate. When he gave his last simultaneous exhibition at the Marshall Chess Club on November 6th, 1941, the players and audience could not help noticing how laborious he found this once simple task. The "chess machine" was beginning to run down.

Now he is gone. His remains lie buried in his native Havana. But the name of Capablanca and the games of Capablanca will live forever.
-K.H.

In the near future Chess Review will publish, in serial form, a selection of Capablanca's best games of chess -Ed .

## SEIDMAN VICTOR IN CLUB TOURNEY

Herbert Seidman of Brooklyn, whose portrait appears on our front cover this month, is the new champion of the Marshall Chess Club. In the annual tournament to decide who is the strongest player in this famous club, Seidman emerged the victor with the shattering score of $11-1$. For the last two years the title has been held by Reuben Fine, who was unable to defend it this year.

The final standings were as follows:

|  | W | L | D | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H. Seidman | 10 | 0 | 2 | $11-1$ |
| A. E. Santasiere | 9 | 2 | 1 | $91 / 2-21 / 2$ |
| Louis Levy | 7 | 3 | 2 | $8-4$ |
| Milton Hanauer | 6 | 4 | 2 | $7-5$ |
| J. Collins | 3 | 3 | 6 | $6-6$ |
| C. Pilnick | 5 | 5 | 2 | $6-6$ |
| A. Bakst | 4 | 5 | 3 | $51 / 2-61 / 2$ |
| H. Fajans | 4 | 5 | 3 | $51 / 2-61 / 2$ |
| J. Richman | 5 | 6 | 1. | $51 / 2-61 / 2$ |
| S. Bruzza | 3 | 6 | 3 | $41 / 2-71 / 2$ |
| J. Hidalgo, Jr. | 3 | 6 | 3 | $41 / 2-71 / 2$ |
| I. Rivise | 2 | 7 | 3 | $31 / 2-81 / 2$ |
| D. Levine | 1 | 10 | 1 | $11 / 2-10^{1 / 2}$ |

Seidman was held to a draw by Santasiere and Collins, won all his other games.
Santasiere, playing in this annual event for the twentieth time, won second prize. He lost to Seidman and Levy, drew with Collins, won the rest.

Louis Levy, regarded as one of the comers among the large group of young college play-


ANTHONY E. SANTASIERE won second prize. Looking on is Marshall Club Treasurer HENRY LEEDS.
ers in the club, finished third. Hanauer showed greatly improved form and came in fourth.

Some games from the tourney, annotated by the players themselves, are given below.

## ENGLISH OPENING

(Notes by Herbert Seidman)
M. Hanauer

White
H. Seidman

Black

| 1 | P-QB4 | Kt-KB3 | 5 | P-Kt5 | P-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Kt-KB3 | P-KKt3 | 6 | P-QR4 | P-Q4 |
| 3 | P-QKt4 | B-Kt2 | 7 | P-K3 | O-O |
| 4 | B-Kt2 | P-QR4 | 8 | B-K2 | QKt-Q2 |

Angling for . . . P-K4. Though White prevents this break, he does so at the expense of a passive QB and a position in which Black has more freedom.


Initiating a doubtful combination. 14 QR-QB1 is preferable.
14
PxKt
$15 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$
....

If 15 Kt Q2, P-K4 with advantage to Black.

| 15 | P-K3 | 17 KtxKBP | KxKt |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{P-B4}$ | P-KR3! | $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4!$ |

Either White overlooked this move or underestimated its strength. Black now gets a big edge on the Q-side while White's two Bishops are reduced to passivity. 19 QxQ, KtxQ; 20 PxB, KtxKP leaves White's game in shreds so his next move is practically forced.
19 Q-Q1
KR-QB1!
21 Q-Q2
B-B1
20 PxB
$K P \times P \quad 22$ B-QB3?

22 KRQB ! is necessary though White's position is lar from satisfactory after 22 . . . B-Kt 5 .
${ }_{23}^{22} \underset{B \times P}{ }$
Q-Kt6

Leading to complications with an unpredictable outcome. However, alter 23 KR-QB1, B-R6! 24 R-B2, Kt-Q4!, Black maintains the advantage.

| 23 |  | R×B! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | QxR | QxPch |
|  | R-B2 |  |

Or $25 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{QxB} ; 26$ QxKt, R-B7 and a quick mate.


Threatening . . B-B4 with an overwhelming game. 28 . . B-B4 at once was also playable but not as sharp, e. g. 28 . . . B-B4; 29 B-B4, Q-Q5 (not $29 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ ? 30 QxQ , BxQ; 31 R Q1, K-K3; $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{BxR} ; 33 \mathrm{KxB}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 ; 34$ P-R5! PxP: 35 RxKt! RxR; 36 P-Kt6 wins); 30 Q-B1? (if 30 BxKtch, QxB and Black wins the ending), QxB!; 31 QXQ, BxRch, etc.

LOUIS LEVY plays A. BAKST in the Marshall Club Champion. ship Tourney.

Pink-cheeked, good-natured Levy has a fiery gleam in his eyes when he plays chess. In skittle games he gathers the captured pieces in both his hands, twisting and grinding them together, or fondling them like a miser with his gold. Excaptain of the N. Y. U. chess team, Levy plays brilliant chess, is competing in the preliminaries of the U. S. Championship Tourney.


Note also that $28 . . . K t x P ? ? 29$ B-B4ch wins for White!
29 B-Q1
Q×Q
31 R-B2
B.B4ch
30 R×Q
R-B8
32 K-R1
. . . .

If 32 K.Kt2, Kt-K6ch (not $32 \ldots$ RxR? 33 BxR. Kt-K6ch; 34 K-Kt3, KtxB: $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ !) ; $33 R \times K t, B \times R ; 34 R \times R, B \times R$ wins.

| 32 |  | $R \times R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | B $\times$ R | KtxP |
|  | P-R5 |  |

A very difficult ending results after 34 BxP , PxB; 35 RxP but Black should win with best play.
$34 \ldots$.
P.K6
35 P-R6
. . . .

35 P-Kt6, threatening P-R6 seems to offer White better chances.

| 35 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 37 P-R4 | K-K4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $36 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | K-B3 | 38 B-Kt1 | . . . |

Preventing Black's entry with $38 \ldots$ K-Q5 which can now be met by 39 R-Q1ch, K-B6? $40 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{ch}$, followed by 41 RxB . White aims to approach the passed pawn with his King via R2 and Kt3.

| 38. | P-K7 | 40 R×Pch | K-B5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 39 B-Q3! | Kt×B | 41 R-B2 | B-R2?? |

As so often happens, Black plays well in time trouble but blunders on emerging from the pressure, $41 \ldots$ B-K6 was the only move, saving a vital tempo, and after $42 \mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ ! 43 R-K7, K-Kt5, Black wins easily.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
42 & \text { R-B7 } \\
43 \text { P-R5? } & \text { B-K6 }
\end{array}
$$

43 P-R7 was the move, and after 43
BxP; $44 \mathrm{RxB}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$; $45 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$, Kt-B5 ; $46 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$, a win for Black is very doubtful.

| 43 - - P-Kt4 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 44 R-B4ch | K-B6 |
| 45 R-B3 | $\cdots$ |

Attempting to draw the King away from the White RP, when 46 R-B7, followed by P-R7 would be effective.
45 R-B7

K-K7
K-B8!

Threatening . . Kt-B7ch followed by . . B-B5 mate and win of Rook!

47 R-B4
P-Kt5
Another way would be 47 . . B-Kt8; 48 R-B2; Kt-B7ch, etc.

| 48 R-R4 | P-Kt6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 49 R-R1ch | Kt-B8 |
| Resigns |  |

The following game is annotated for CHESS REVIEW by 3rd Prize Winner Louis Levy.

NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE
(Notes by Louis Levy)
Jack Collins Louis Levy
White
Black
1 P-Q4
2 P-QB4
Kt-KB3
P.K3

3 Kt -QB3 $\quad$ B. Kt 5
4 Q-B2 P-B4
5 PxP
Kt -B3
$\begin{array}{lr}6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{BxP} \\ 7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3 & \mathrm{P} \text {-QR4 }\end{array}$
7 P-QR3
8 P-K3
P.QR4

This move, played with the intention of fianchettoing the QB, allows Black to assume the initiative. With \& B-Kt5 followed by 9 P-K4, White could retain the advantage of the first move and get a firm grip on the center.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \text {. }-\dot{\text { B-K }} \\
& 10 \text { O-O } \\
& 11 \text { P-QKt3 }
\end{aligned}
$$

P-QKt3
B-Kt2
O-O
Kt-KKt5

Striving for a Dutch formation with good attacking chances.

| 12 | B-Kt2 | P-B4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 13 | Kt-QR4 | Q-K2 |
| 14 | P.KR3 | Kt-B3 |
| 15 | Kt×B | PxKt |

Black could not very well avoid the exchange of his important KB but in compensation gets a Pawn on QB4 which gives him a center packed with potential power.


P-K4 as well as
Threatening . . . P-K4 as well as . . . B-K5 immediately.

| 18 Kt-Q2 | P.K4 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 19 P-B3 | $\cdots$ |

## 19 P-B3

If 19 QxP, Kt-K5: 20 Q-R5, P-Kt3; 21 Q•Kt4, KtxP wins at least an exchange for Black.

| 19 |  | Kt-R4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | Kt -Bi | Kt-K3 |
| 21 | Q-Q2 | QR-Q1 |
| 22 | B-B3 | Q-Kt4 |
| 23 | Q-K1 | P-B5 |

Even stronger was 23 . . P-K5; 24 P-B4 (necessary, or else 24 . . P-B5 would tear White's position wide open), KtxP; 25 PxKt, KtxP; 26 Kt-K3, KtxPch; 27 K-R2, QxKt; 28 PxKt, Q-B5 ch; 29 Q-Kt3, QxQch; 30 KxQ , P-B5ch; 31 K R2, P-B6 and White will have to give back a piece and his game is lost.

Although there are many other variations possible, the above moves appear best for each side. In any case, positions like this generally favor the offensive player.

| 24 | P.K4 | B×P |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 25 | R-Kt2 | B-Kt2 |
| 26 | B×RP | R-Q2 |

My original intention $26 \ldots$ P-K5 would now be questionable because of 27 BxR , KtxB (unfortunately a tempo must be lost recapturing this piece); 28 PxP and neither 28 . . . BxP nor 28 . . P-B6 is decisive.


Consolidating the position before continuing the attack.

| 30 | P-QKt4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 31 | P-KKt4 |
| 32 | PxP |

White's last two moves, played in time pressure, were ill-advised and a quick decision is now reached.


The annotations of the following game are supplied by International Master Edward Lasker, the distinguished author of "Chess Strategy" and the new book "Chess For Fun and Chess For Blood."

Marshall Club Championship, 6th round
(Notes by Edward Lasker)

## BISHOP'S OPENING

| Olaf Ulvestad | Ed. Lasker |
| :---: | :---: |
| White | Black |
| 1. P-K4 | P.K4 |
| 2 B-B4 | $\ldots .$. |

Suspicious! He must have something new up his sleeve, or he must have seen how badly I played the game which I won last year against Adams, I thought. I must avoid that gioco.

| 2 . $\underset{3}{ }$ Kt-KB3 | B-B4 |
| :--- | ---: |

With P-KB4 White can now lead into the King's Gambit declined, 1 was wondering whether my opponent had glanced back into the games I played in the match with Marshall. Well, I could hardly help it. The first chance I get I must look up what Fine says about P-Q4 in answer to P-KB4 at this stage.

## 4 Kt -QB3

Hum! He is still playing with the thought of P-KBA, evidently. Shall I now play P-B3? But that would possibly run into the Adams game again, it $5 \mathrm{Kt} \cdot \mathrm{B} 3$, P -(24 should follow. On the other hand, I could play P.Q3 instead of P-Q4. But then my Queen's Knight cannot get into play so easily. And if I want to consider P-Q3, I might as well play it right away and leave open the option of playing either Kt -B3 or P-B3, depending on Whites continuation.

## 4 <br> 5 P.KR3

P-Q3
....
Well, I declare. This I certainly had not expected. Can't be good, either. Gives me the initiative. Of course, he wants to play B-KKt5 and Kt-Q5. But the good old move B-K3 should provide against that. This Bishop has no better square now anyhow, Therefore:

## 5 ... <br> B-K3 <br> P-KKt4 <br> ...

This time I hardly belleved my eyes. Who on earth conceived that attack? Surely not my esteemed opponent whose logical discussions in CHESS REV1EW on the theme of rapid development 1 had enjoyed rather recently in connection with his new move in the two Knights defense? Does he want to chase my King's Knight in order to lodge his Knight on Q5 ? Does he expect me to play P-KR3? Hasn't he read my CHESS STRATPGY? Is some newfangled combination to refute my general principles? No, sir. General principles must be right. Therefore, let's go on with the development.

| 6 | Kt-B3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | P.Kt5 | Kt-Q2 |
| 8 | Kt-Q5 | $\ldots$. |

Well, he still has only two pieces in the fray. I have four of them. That Knight could easily be dislodged again from the center by making room for P-B3 with either Kt-Q5 or Kt-R4. The latter seems better because it does away with the Bishop. Any swindle possible whth 9 P-Kt4? No, BxKt would refute that.

| 8 |  | Kt-R4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Kt.KB3 | KtxB |
| 10 | PxKt | P.QB3 |
| 11 | Kt-K3 |  |

Now, let us survey the situation. His QB Pawn is surely not very happy. True enough there would be no sense in exchanging the King's Bishop for the Knight which guards the Pawn, because my own Queen's Pawn would lose its protection. But White's Knight blocks the Queen's Bishop and before he moves, the Bishop's Pawn would have to be protected with P-Kt3, creating a hole on QB3. My Queen's Pawn I could protect with the Rook by castling on the Queen's side after playing Q-Kt3 and moving the Knight. Incidentally, from Kt3 the Queen would be attacking White's QKtP through the threat $B x K t$, practically forcing

OLAF ULVESTAD (left) plays White against EDWARD LAS. KER in the Marshall Club Tournament. Ulvestad has made an exhaustive study of the openings, published his findings in CHESS CHARTS. His analysis aroused great interest and controversy.

Edward Lasker is the distinguished author of "Chess Strategy" and "Chess For Fun and Chess For Blood."

Both these players were forced to withdraw from the Marshall tourney.

P-QKt3. And then P-QR4 should be very powerful, or B-Kt5ch? Well, anyway:

## Q-Kt3

 . . . .

With the hole on White's QB3 the first thought would naturally be B-Kt5ch. After 13 B-Q2 the Knight could attack the King's Pawn from B4. But 14 P -B3 might cause trouble then. Oh no, it wouldn't. Kt-Q6ch, $15 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Kt-B5ch would make room again for the Bishop to retreat, and he could not very well follow up with 17 P-Kt4 because BxPch would be the answer. But how about 15 K -B1 in reply to my check on Q6? After B-B4, 16 P-Kt4, BxKt; 17 BxB my Queen and my Knight would be attacked. BxP would then yield no advantage, on account of 18 BxQ , Kt-Kt7ch; $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$, KtxQ; 20 RxKt , PxB; 21 RxP .

My goodness, there must be something decisive in this position if there is any justice. With all that advantage in development and two Bishops to boot? How about P-B4? After 13 PxP, BxP; $14 \mathrm{KtxB}, \mathrm{BxPch} ; 15 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$; $16 \mathrm{KtxQP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$; $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 2$, B-Kt6; 18 B-K3 he would be finished in fine shape with R-B7ch. But that looks too good to be true. Let's see again: After $15 \ldots$ O-O, could he perhaps play B-K3? Ouch! He really could. After BxB, KtxB I can't get at him.


Well, back once more to B-Kt5ch. At the end of that combination, with his King on KB1 and his QB Pawn hanging, there should be a move for me to . Why, certainly! I simply go to R3 with the Queen when he attacks it with his Bishop on his K3, and then he cannot take my Knight because BxP would win his Queen. P-Kt5 would do him no good either, because after PxP he still cannot capture the Knight.

Running through all these variations had taken a lot of time, and in spite of all the usual efforts not to devote too much time to the opening my clock showed an hour gone. Still, I had to analyze what would happen if White first exchanged Bishops and interposed the Queen on Q2. Exchanging Queens would then give me at least a very favorable ending, I concluded, as P-KR3 would open my Rook's file with strong pressure against White's Rook's Pawn. P-QR4 before exchanging would also be very strong, as 16 P-B3, Q-R6; 17 QxP? would fail on account of R-Q1! followed by Kt-Q6ch. All right, then; the check with the Bishop seemed the most forcible continuation.


It was now White who took a great deal of time and evidently he did not anticipate my QR3 in the combination cited above, for he went right ahead with what I had figured would lead to a rapid collapse of his game.


White had figured only on Kt-Kt7; 18 BxQ , KtxQ; 19 RxKt, BxPch; $20 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, PxB; 21 RxP. Now he loses two Pawns without any counter play.

| 18 K-Kt2 | QxBP |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 19 | Kt-Q2 | QxBP |
| 20 Q-B3 | O-O |  |
| 21 P-KR4 | P-KB4 |  |
| 22 Resigns |  |  |

With Black's Rooks entering the fray there is no hope for prolonged resistance.


## The Game of the Month

By REUBEN FINE

Each month Grandmaster Fine explains and annotates a recently-played game considered of greatest current interent to Chess Review's readers. The Game of the Montb for this risue ir " "wa-time" effort played a short time ago in England.

Some games are outstanding because of what does happen in them, others because of what does not. The following encounter is a combination of the two-the first part is a glorious series of lost opportunities, the second a brilliant finish in grand style.

## SICILIAN DEFENSE

| White | Black |
| :--- | :---: |
| L. Alexander | J. Mieses |
| 1 P.K4 | P-QB4 |
| 2 Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 |

Mieses intends the Sicilian Four Knights'Black's most enterprising counter.

| 3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | PxP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 4 | KtxP | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

Necessary at an early stage to prevent $P$ QB4, which would put a crimp in Black's hopes for counterplay on the Q -side.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \text { Kt-QB3 P-K3 } \\
& \text { Position after } 5 \ldots \text { P-K3 }
\end{aligned}
$$



A position of crucial importance for the theory of this opening. On the natural move 6 B-K2, B-Kt5 gives Black at least equality, for the sacrifice 7 O-O, BxKt; 8 PxB, KtxP leads to no lasting counterplay for White.

The exchange of 6 KtxKt , KtPxKt (the exchange of Queens is in White's lavor) is more promising but has likewise resulted in no permanent superiority. On 7 P-K5, Kt-Q4; $8 \mathrm{Kt}-$ K4, Black looks cramped, but he can secure a lively and promising position by $8 \ldots, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 9$ P-KB4, P-KB4!: 10 PxP e. p., KtxP(B3); 11 KtxKtch, PxKt; 12 Q-R5ch, K-Q1! and Black's
central Pawns are quite powerful. Again, the simple developing line $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ is met by 7 P-Q4; 8 P-K5, Kt-Q2; and Black's game is solid and sound.

It has been found that the best place for White's King's Bishop in all these and similar variations is the long diagonal from KR1-QR8. Normally, the imperative necessity of quick development has led most experts to carry out this deployment with the loss of a move (B-K2-B3-Kt2). Yet if it could be shown that the fianchetto can be carried out immediately, a great advance in theory would be registered. Many attempts have been made; all ended unsuccessfully. Another try occurs here and, since White does manage to get the better of it, the game acquires added importance and interest.

## 6 P-KKt3!

B-Kt5
Black sticks to the usual plan. However, since the normal reaction to a wing maneuver is a break in the center, one is compelled to ask what would happen on $6 \ldots$ P-Q4. The reply 7 PxP, KtxP; \& Kt(Q4)xKt, PxKt; 9 B-Kt2, BR3 is obviously not to be feared. Nor is 7 Ktx Kt , PxKt; 8 P-K5, Kt-Q2 anything to worry about. Again, it would be extremely dangerous for White to try to win a Pawn by 7 B-QKt5, B-Q2 (even 7 ... KtxP: might be tried) ; 8 PxP, PxP; $9 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 4)-\mathrm{K} 2$, B-QKt5 etc., since White's King position is badly weakened.

But the logical 7 B-Kt2! leaves Black in some difficulties. 7 ... B-Kt5; 8 PxP transposes back into the game, while $7 \ldots$ PxP; \& KtxKt, QxQ ch; 9 KxQ , PxKt; 10 KtxP is in White's favor because of Black's ruined Pawn position.

| 7 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}!$ |  |

A clever rejoinder. On $9 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 4) \times \mathrm{Kt}$; 10 PxKt, BxP; 11 KtxKt!, PxKt; 12 R-Kt1!, B-Q2 (12 . . QxQ; $13 \mathrm{RxQ}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 14 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 7$ is immediately fatal): 13 B-QR3 leaves White with a tremendous pull for the Pawn. Similarly, 9
BxKt; 10 KtxKt, PxKt; $11 \mathrm{PxB}, \mathrm{KtxP}$ ?; 12 Q. B3, Kt-Q4; 13 P-B4 is most decidedly bad for Black. Mieses chooses the simplest line.

$\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$
Up to this point White has played beautifully, but here he begins to see ghosts. With 12 Kt K2 he would hammer at the Black weakness
and secure an overwhelming position: 12... B-KKt5 (12 . .B-K3; $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ is clearly inlerior) : 13 P-KR3, BxKt; $14 \mathrm{QxB}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; 15 B K3, QR-Q1; 16 QR-Q1 and Black's game is positionally lost because of the terribly exposed QP and White's domineering Bishops,

```
12 ....
13 P-KR3
```

Kt-K4
If now 13 Kt -K2, B-KKt5; 14 P-KR3 the strong 14 . . . B-B6 is possible.
13
14 B1
Kt-B5
Kt-Kt3

Now Black need no longer lose a Pawn, but his position remains splintered. Instead of developing normally, however, White continues in a mood of agonizing fear and drilts from bad to worse. Soon Altmeister Mieses, hero of many a striking brilliancy, comes into his own.

## 15 K-R2

Despite seven years of intensive study 1 can find no reasonable explanation for this move. Perhaps the King was bored with his ivory tower and wanted to try a change of air

```
1 5
P.B4
16 P-KB4??
```

Truly it has been said that the threat is stronger than its fulfillment. At some future date, maybe, possibly, conceivably, . . . P-B5 will be threatened, $l$, when and as that happens White can always take appropriate coun-ter-measures, Making the move now merely concedes the vital square K5 to his opponent. On 16 R -K1 Black would still have been in a bad way.

| 16 K. . | R-B3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 17 R-K1 | B-Q3 |
| 18 Q-K2 | B-Q2 |
| 19 B-K3 | K-R1 |

So that the QP will not be taken with check. On $19 \ldots$... QBI at once, $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ is hard to meet.

## 20 QR-Q1?

Again afraid of his own shadow.
$20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ looks and is much stronger: If then 20 ... Kt-B5; 21 KtxB , RxKt; $22 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and White stands magnificently, while if 20 B-Kt1; 21 QR-Q1 Black is in a bad way. 20 . . .
Q.KB1!

Preparing the entry of the other Rook. Mieses has finally completed his preparations and now switches to a counter-attack (more precisely attack, since White never deliberately threatened anybody but himself).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
21 \text { Q-Q3 } & \text { R-K1 } \\
22 \text { B-B2? } & \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$

Missing his last chance for some real coun-ter-play, 22 Kt -Kt5 was still in order:

$$
22
$$

R-K5!
Not too surprising, but very pretty.

## 23 BxR??

It is true that Steinitz said that the way to beat a sacrifice is to accept it, but as they say at the Manhattan Chess Club, Steinitz is dead. He could still have had a playable game with $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2$, to concentrate on the QP.

```
23..*
\(B P \times B\)
24 Q-K2
... .
```

It makes no difference where the queen goes to.


24
$R \times P!!$
The real point.

## 25 R-KB1

Again over-prudent. After $25 \mathrm{PxR}, \mathrm{QxPch} ; 26$ B-Kt3:, QxBch; 27 K-R1 Black cannot find the kidney punch. True, after 27... QxPch; 28 K-Kt1, B-KKt5; 29 Q-Kt2, Q-R4! (29 ... QxQch, 30 KxQ , BxR; 31 RxB should win, but in such endings, as Keres says, you can always look for a swindle); 30 R-Q2, Kt-B5; 31 R-KB2, KtK4 or even $31 \ldots$ P-KR3, it is hard to find good moves for White.

## 25 <br> R-R5

Not a brilliancy-the Pawn is pinned.

| 26 | B-K1 | RxPch |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 27 | K-Kt2 | Q-B1! |

The most effective way for the Queen to support the K-side action.

## 28 R-KR1

White sticks to his policy of doing nothing that might give him a chance. The best was 28 Kt Kt5, for on 28 ... B-KKt5? ; 29 KtxB , BxQ; 30 KtxQ, BxReh; 31 KxB , KtxKt; 32 RxP is good. Of course, 28 . . . BxKt; 29 QxB , Kt-B5; 30 B-B2, P-K6, should still win, but with 31 KR-K1! White can still do his best to fish in troubled waters.

$28 \underset{\mathrm{R} \times \dot{R}}{ } \quad$| B-KKt5 |
| ---: |
| BxRch! |

Mieses concludes forcefully and elegantly.

| 30 | K-R2 | B-KKt5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 31 | Q-QB2 | Kt-B5! |
| 32 | R-B1 | Q-K1! |
| 33 | Q-B2 | Kt-K4! |

Every move a nail in White's coffin.

| 34 | R-B2 | P-K6! |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 | Q-B1 | $\cdots$ |

35 QxP, Kt-B6ch costs him his Queen.

| 35 | K-R1 B-QB4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 36 K 1 |  |

Desperation. He can do nothing against the threatened exchange.

| 36 P× | BxKt |
| :--- | ---: |
| 37 Px | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |

$38 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ is the only hope, but then Black can decide as he pleases, e, g. 38 . . . KtxR; 39 KxKt, Q-Rtch; $10 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$ ete.

The moral of the story is: It is not enough to get a won opening; you have to win it, too.

CHESS FOR FUN AND CHESS FOR BLOOD -By Edward Lasker. Illustrated by Maximilian Mopp. Published by David McKay Co.. Philadelphia, Pa., February, 1942. 224 pp. \$2.50.

A quarter ol a century ago Edward Lasker carved for himself a permanent niche in Caissa's Hall of Fame with his "Chess Strategy." The book was soon recognized as a classic; it has gone through eight editions or so and is, 1 understand, still selling as strongly as ever.

The same distinguished author has now favored us with a new work which, although it has a somewhat different character, is just as attractive as its title. Where the emphasis in the earlier book was on instruction, this one is written in a more mellow mood; the object is not so much to cram the reader with principles as to show him the value of chess as relaxation and recreation. From the rich store of more than thirty years' preoccupation with our ever fascinating game, pastime, sport-call it what you will-the author delights us with his observations, his anecdotes, his maxims; all this in a text which is notable for its quict charm, lar removed from the occasional asperities and keen rivalry of direct chess competition.

Particularly interesting, in the Chess for Blood section, are Lasker's two notable encounters with Dr. Emanuel Lasker and Carlos Torre. These notes are very thorough but not repellently so; the material is not technical and dry but full of boldly etched vignettes which outline clearly the clash of personalities and ideas. The critics should be interested in the errors which the author finds in Alekhine's severe strictures on the game with 1r. Lasker; the artistic value of this game has been greatly decreased by Alekhine's combination of a sharp tone and some serious blunders.

The tastelul decorations by Maximilian Mopp help to make the volume a most attractive one. Another teature which will particularly interest all readers is the series of letters from such distinguished amateurs as Hunfington Cairns, of the Invitation to Learning program, and Mischa Elman. Most amusing is the letter to Mr. Lasker from businessman Alfred MacArthur, who writes:
"I am glad to see that you are applying yourself to a book on Chess that emphasizes the potential interests of the game to a large group of people who erroneously assume that its enjoyment depends on deep study, years of practice, oceans of time, and 'that kind of a mind'.
". . . . A Chess problem is better than solitaire or a cross word puzzle, the game itself a better contest than Bridge.
". . . . 1 have heard as criticism that the game is not social. This is, of course, nonsense. I have found nothing that promoted domestic relicity as much as studying the game of a great master, allowing my wife to hold the book and make the opponent's moves, and then fumbling around until I found the move that the book calls lor. I improved my game somewhat and the
charming parther of my existence passed a pleasant evening telling me I was wrong 49 times out of 50 . This diversion is more enjoyable than back seat driving lor both parties."

FRED REINFELD

KERES' BEST GAMES OF CHESS (1931-1940)
Selected and Annotated by Fred Reinfeld in consultation with Paul Keres. Published by David McKay Co.. Philadelphia, February, 1942. 222 pp. $\$ 3.00$.

The games of Paul Keres will lascinate the amateur, the expert, and the master. They are exciting, highly imaginative, even wildlooking at times and yet we can feel the firm hand of the helmsman guiding his ship through raging storm and treacherous waters safely to port.

We find terrific complications in a game against. Winter (Warsaw, 1935), a 19 -mover full of combinative fire. A twenty-move game against Alexander (Margate, 1937) includes more threats, pins and Knight forks than the law should allow. Or take a 22 -move brilliancy played against Dunkelblum (Ostend, 1937) where Keres' opponent tried to play safe. The result was that Keres made lire miserable for Dunkelblum but highly enjoyable for the audience as they watched the thrilling battle.

Lest it be assumed that these brilliancies occur only against inferior opponents, we hasten to add that Keres has sparkling victories to his credit over such Grandmasters as Alekhine. Capablanca, Euwe, Reshevsky and Fine. When it is also considered that Keres was the winner of the Avro tournament undoubtedly the strongest tournament ever held-some idea may be had of Keres' prowess.

Keres' abilities are not confined to over-theboard play. As a youngster of 16 , he startled his opponents in correspondence play by his strange openings, then bewiddered them by his combination pyrotechnics. He proved, if prool were needed, that correspondence games can be as exciting as cross-board contests.

The Keres book is embellished by the annotations of Fred Reinfeld who has done his usual excellent job of conscientious endeavor to instruct and enlighten the student. The notes point out the traps and pitfalls that lurk along the way and explain the various possibilities of the positions as they untold. In addition, each game has a brief preface which prepares us tor the delights to come.

All in all, a book we recommend highly and worthy to be placed beside Alekhine's, Rubinstein's and Euwe's fine collections of games.

One little suggestion-for future editions. Keres is not only one of the greatest living players, but is also one of the youngest and handsomest. A photograph of him would go far to dispel the general public's illusion that chess is not a young man's game and would serve also to convert the fair sex to the belief that chess is a wonderiul game for young men and young women.

IRVING CHERNEV

## Chess for fuan \& Cf



By Edward Lasker
Illustrated by Maximilian Mopp

# CHESS FOR FUN \& CHESS FOR BLOOD 

By EDWARD LASKER

Illustrated by Maximilian Mopp

Wrth this beautifully illustrated book the well known author of chess strategy makes another unique contribution to the literature of games. Apart from the purely technical contents, intended for Chess players or those who want to learn the game, he discusses a number of related subjects of general nature which make the book highly interesting even to readers who do not actually play the game but are merely attracted to its sporting or strategic background and who would like to know to what type of mind the game appeals.

There are chapters on the relation of Chess to Science and Fine Art, on the psychological aspect of the game, on Chess amenities and ethics etc., and all of these themes are enlivened by anecdotes about some of the great masters and by reminiscences of the author's personal encounters with them.

Strange as it may seem in view of the great age of the game and the thousands of books written about it, chess for fun \& Chess for blood is the first illustrated Chess book. The drawings, finely conceived in the spirit of the text, are by Maximilian Mopp. This noted painter succeeded in interpreting the human qualities of the game and its men most amusingly in a manner which makes their functions appear adapted to real life. One of the illustrations, in which a Bishop stands on a map of the world that has become a Chess board, seems particularly timely right now. Many readers will prize Mr. Mopp's imaginative portrait of Emanuel Lasker which introduces a chapter containing the memorable game between the former world champion and the author from the New York tournament of 1924.

Throughout the technical part of the book general strategic principles are stressed rather than analyses requiring memorization. Thus even a beginner or a player of moderate experience can readily follow the discussion. This method of teaching is unquestionably the most interesting and most effective, because it makes the student think for himself rather than absorb uncritically the analysis of others, and his game is improved more rapidly than could be accomplished by any other approach.

Another unusual feature of considerable interest is offered in the Preface to the book, to which well known people from many walks of life have contributed letters explaining why Chess is their favorite pastime.

## A Sample Illustration

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { C } & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{N}\end{array}$


THE modern Chess Problem has no relation to a Chess game whatsoever except that the same rules govern the movement of the pieces. It is true that the Chess problem originated with the game. The early composers always concerned themselves with positions which might occur in a game and in which an unexpected move forced the win or produced a mate in a given number of moves. Today such positions are called End-game studies, while the composer of Problems pays no attention to the question whether or not the position, or the combination made possible by it, is at all likely to occur in a game. The position of a problem is generally supposed to be one which could possibly be reached in a game, no matter how absurd from a player's point of view the moves would have to be to reach it. In my opinion this is an
of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ right away, followed by the advance of the other King's side Pawns. In this connection it occurred to me that I could give back the exchange which I had won early in the game, and get the black King out of play in that way, over on the Queen's Rook's file, so that my own King should have a free hand in the centre as


DIAGRAM 18.
well as among the weakened Pawns of Black's King's wing. For these reasons I proceeded as follows: 1. P—B6, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; 2. P-QR4, P—Q4; 3. P-R5, K-Kt2; 4. $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{R} ; 5 . \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{BP}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} ; 6 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} ; 7$. K-Q4. So far so good. Black cannot attempt holding the Queen's Pawn, as $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ would be answered by $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ with the threat $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 6$. On the other hand there is no way for the Black King to get back into play unless he opposes the Rook on Kt2. After the exchange I will win the two black Pawns in the centre. If Black's King's side Pawns were not torn up and I had the th my Rook's Pawn fren ."

## won f .

$$
\text { CHESS REVIEW, } 250 \text { West } 57 \text { th Street, New York }
$$

$$
\text { CHESS REVIEW, } 200 \text { w e. } 57 \text { th }
$$

CHESS FOR BLOOD © $\$ 2.50$

## U. S. CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENT

The most important event in American Chess will begin in New York on April 11th. The bi-ennial Open Tournament to decide who will be the next Chess Champion of the United Siates will start on that date and continue until May 3rd.

The tournament will be held in the grillroom of the Hotel Astor. Times Square.-the same central location as the 1940 tourney. Five rounds a week will be played. The sessions start at $2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on Saturdays and Sundays, at $7 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}$. on Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Fridays. Mondays and Thursdays are reserved for adjourned games, which will not be played at the Astor.

## FAMOUS MASTERS TO COMPETE

Among the seeded masters who have agreed to play are Samuel J. Reshevsky, I. A. Horowitz, Isaac Kashdan, Arnold S. Denker, Albert S. Pinkus. Herbert Seidman and Herman Steiner.

With this galaxy of chess stars competing for the national title, the tournament is eagerly anticipated by the chess lans of New York. Many visitors from other parts of the country are also expected to attend.

There is just a possibility that Reuben Fine may be able to compete. He has been transrerred back to Washington from Portland, Oregon. If he can get the time off he will be there. He is more than anxious to get a crack at the title.

In the present line-up, I. A. Horowitz is considered the leading contender for the title now held by Reshevsky. Returning to tournament competition for the first time since he received serious injuries in an automobile accident over two years ago, he is expected to pat up a strong fight for the championship. Kashdan and Denker, who tied with Reshevsky last summer at Hamilton, are also expected to be near the top in the final standings.

## PRELIMINARIES NOW IN PROGRESS

In addition to the seeded masters, seven players will be qualified from preliminaries now going on in New York. It is also possible that players will be qualified from preliminaries in Chicago, Washington, D. C., and St. Louis, Mo. A maximum of is will play in the finals, including seeded masters and qualifiers.

The names of those competing in the New York preliminaries are given below, with the latest standings:

## Section A

| M. Green _-..-21/2-1/2 | J. W. Barnhart _-0-2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| J. Hidalgo -- $21 / 2$ - $1 / 2$ | J. Battell _-_-0-2 |
| H. Baker _-_-_2 -1 | D. Hallman _-_---0.3 |
| H. Kline _----2 - 1 | N. Lessing |
| Section B |  |
| I. Chernev -- $21 / 2-1 / 2$ | B. Altman _-_-.-0-1 |
| J. Feldman -.- 2 , -0 | S. Almgren .-....-0-2 |
| R. Podhorcer - 1 -0 | W. Frere -...-.-. $0-2$ |
| R. Youdlik --- 1/2-1/2 | J. Westbrock |


I. A. HOROWITZ and HERMAN STEINER CHESS REVIEW'S Editor and California Champion Steiner are among the seeded Masters who will compete for the title.

## Section C

L. Levy _.....21/2-1/2 W. Goldwater ....1-1
B. Forsberg -_ $2^{-0} \quad$. Barron
C. Pilnick $\ldots 1 / 2-1 / 2 \quad$ L. Persinger $\ldots-0-3$
J. Szold $\qquad$ $1-1$

## WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNEY

The Hotel Astor will also be the battleground of the Open Tournament to decide who will be the next Woman Chess Champion of the United States. In this event, Miss N. May Karff, reigning Queen of American Chess, will defend her title against strong competition. The seeded players who will strive to dethrone her are Mrs, Adele Belcher, Mrs. Mary Bain and Mrs. G, F. Gresser. Mrs. Bain is coming from Miami, Fla, to take part.

The following are competing in the Women's Preliminaries in New York:

Mrs. M. Harmath: Miss Raettig. Miss M. Peters; Miss C. Fawns; Miss E. Wray; Mrs. E. Horowitz. Three of these ladies will be qualified for the finals.

U. S. CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNEY<br>- April 1lth to May 3rd -<br>at the Hotel Astor, Times Sq., New York SEASON TICKETS<br>All Rounds-\$5. :: :: Five Rounds-\$2.<br>Now on Sale by CHESS REVIEW<br>250 West 57th St. New York, N. Y.

# MY FIFTY YEARS OF CHESS <br> By FRANK J. MARSHALL <br> UNITED STATES CHESS CHAMPION 1909-1936 



The five original Grandmasters of Chess-Lasker, Alekhine, Capabianca, Marshall and Tarrasch appear in this reproduction of a historical postcard sent by Marshall to his wife and son from the Grand International Masters Tournament at St. Petersburg, in 1914.

These "five woodshifters," as Marshall calls them, eliminated six other masters, including Rubinstein, Nimzovitch and Janowski, then played a double round for the final prizes.

Lasker, who won the event, was world champion. Two of the others -Capablanca and Alekhine-later succeeded to the title.

## CHAPTER VI

## Championship Years (Pre-War)

In the five years following my match with Showalter for the U. S. title, I played in twelve International Master Tournaments and was among the prize-winners in all except three of these events. The last tournament in this period, at Mannheim in 1914, was interrupted by the outbreak of war and was never finished.

In 1910 I played at Hamburg. It was here, in my game with Dr. Tarrasch, that I introduced a new move in the Max Lange Attack. This famous attack was being exhaustively analyzed around that time and efforts were being made to refute it. My new move reestablished the strength of the attack and it has stood up for over twenty years. Ever since that game, few masters have permitted the Max Lange to be played against them. I believe, however, that I have finally found the refutation of the move myself! I lack space to explain it here-but it is in my book, in the chapter devoted to some of my recent analysis of openings.

In 1911 I won first prize in the New York tournament of that year and then went to San Sebastian. It was at this event, you will recall, that Capablanca, whose sudden death we all
mourn, made his brilliant debut. I remember the occasion well. Capa was 23 years old, handsome, cultured, full of youthful eagerness to demonstrate his ability. As usual, the veterans at San Sebastian under-rated this young newcomer, with practically no tournament experience. I did not share their viewpoint. I was still licking the wounds I had received two years before when Capa beat me in an exhibition match. I had been guilty of the same fault; I had thought Capa was going to be a pushover, too. I made no preparation for the match and took the whole thing very lightly. My experience gave me a wholesome respect for his ability.

When Capa first arrived at San Sebastian, he seemed a little awe-stricken to find himself in such distinguished company. His natural selfconfidence, however, soon asserted itself and in the very first round, he administered a crushing defeat to his opponent. The rest is history. Capa won first prize, followed by Rubinstein, Vidmar and myself.

Two years later, I again played with Capa in a tournament in his native Havana. By that time, of course, he was the national hero of Cuba. The first prize hinged on our individual game. The setting and the game itself were
quite extraordinary. There was a tremendous crowd, which filled the street outside. Capa had a win and could have taken first place, but the tension and excitement were too much for him. He made a bad move and I eventually won the game and first prize. When the result was announced, the crowd let out a tremendous roar. At first I thought they were after my blood for daring to defeat their idol and asked for an escort to my hotel. It turned out, however, that the good Cubans were just showing their sportsmanship and were cheering me!
(Continued next month)

## Breslau, 1912 <br> FRENCH DEFENSE

Perhaps you have heard about this game, which so excited the spectators that they "showered me with gold pieces!" I have often been asked whether this really happened. The answer is - yes, that is what happened, literally!

| S. Lewitsky <br> White | F. J. Marshall <br> Black |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 | P-Q4 |

PxP is better. White does not handle the variation particularly well and Black soon has a fine position.

| 8 | P×P | O-O |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 | Kt-Q4 | B $\times P$ |
| 11 | KtxB | $\ldots$. |

Weak. Black's Pawns are strengthened and the KB file is opened advantageously for Black. If White expected to profit from the "weakness" of the KP, he is soon undeceived.

| 11 B-Kt | P×Kt |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12 B-Kt | Q-Q3 |
| 13 B-R3 | QR-K1 |
| 14 Q-Q2 | $\ldots$. |

Another weak move, as Black promptly demonstrates. $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ was decidedly better.

14 . . . .
B-Kt5!
Now something has to be done about the threat of . . P-Q5.

| 15 BxKt | RxB |
| :--- | ---: |
| 16 QR-Q1 | Q-B4 |

Disposing of the threat of $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$, and reviving the menace of . . . P-Q5.

## 17 Q-K2

Feeling very uncomfortable because of the pin, White steers for what seems a clever exchanging combination. He could have put up a longer resistance with 17 P-R3, BxKt; 18 QxB, QxQ; 19 PxQ -although it is clear that the ending would prove untenable.

| $17 \underset{\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}}{ }$ | $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{\times Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 18 | $\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{\times P}$ |
| 19 RXP | $\ldots$. |

Regaining his Pawn, but losing the game. White's position can no longer be held.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
19 \text { Q... } & \text { Kt-Q5 } \\
20 \text { Q-R5 } & \ldots . .
\end{array}
$$

Part of the combination: if $20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ ! 21 PxKt, R-Kt3ch and wins.
$\begin{gathered}20 \ldots . \\ \text { Better than } 20 \\ 21 \text { R-K5 }\end{gathered} \quad . \quad$ QR-KKt3? 21 Q1! $\quad$. 21 R-K5
White must have relied on 21 R-QB5, overlooking 21 . . . RxP!

$$
21 \ddot{Q} \dot{21-\dot{K}+\dot{5}} \quad \text { R-R3 }
$$

Losing prettily, but if 22 Q-Kt4, Kt-B6ch wins easily enough.

| 22 ...̈r | $R \times B$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 23 R-QB5 |  |

Despair . . but see what follows
Position after White's 23 rd move Marshall


23
Q-KKt6!!!
The most elegant move I have ever played! The Queen is offered three ways, and White cannot accept the offer in any form: I 24 BPxQ , Kt-K7ch forcing mate; II 24 RPxQ , Kt-K7 mate; III 24 QXQ , Kt-K7ch; $25 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R1}$, KtxQch; $26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{KtxR}$ with a piece ahead.
Therefore:
White resigns.

## Order Marshall's New Book Now

A limited first edition of "My Fifty Years of Chess," autographed by Marshali, is now in printer's hands.

The book contains the complete autobiography of Frank J. Marshall and includes 140 of his brilliant games, fully annotated for the first time.

To obtain your copy of this first edition, you must order NOW, in advance of publication. The price is the same as for the regular edition- $\$ 3.00$. Order from

```
HOROWITZ and HARKNESS
250 West 57th St.
New York, N. Y.
```


## PLAY THE MASTERS

Get out your board and pieces - or your pocket set-and play with Nimzovich against Salwe. You have White.

The opening moves are given in the adjoining column and all remaining moves in the box below. With a strip of paper, cover all the moves in the box except the first-Black's fourth. Make all the opening moves on your board up to and including Black's 4th.

Then study the position and select White's next move. Write it down. Expose the next line in the box and see the move White actually made (at the left). Score par if you picked this move. If not, score zero and make the correct white move on your board. Also make

Black's reply, shown on the same line. Continue as before, one line at a time, to the end of the game.

## MAKE THESE OPENING MOVES

FRENCH DEFENSE
White: A. Nimzovich
Black: Salwe
1 P-K4, P.K3; 2 P-Q4, P-Q4; 3 P.K5, P-QB4;
4 P-QB3. Now continue with moves in box.
Complete ammontions of this game (Carlshad, 1911) can be found in "Masters of the Chessloard" Hy Richard Reti.

Correction: In last month's Play THE MANTEHS. White's sth move is Q-Kiti, not Kit-q4.

| White Played | Par Score | Black <br> Played |  | Selection ite's move | Your <br> Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | Kt-QB3 |  |  |
| 5 Kt -B3 | 1 | 5. | Q-Kt3 |  |  |
| 6 B-Q3 | 4 | 6. | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |  |
| $7 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | - 3 | 7 | $B \times P$ |  |  |
| $80-0$ |  | 8 . | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |  |
| 9 P-QKt4 |  | 9. | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |  |
| $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB4}$ | - 3 | 10. | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |  |
| $11 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 1 | 11. | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |  |
| 12 Bx Kt | 1 | 12. | Kt-B3 |  |  |
| 13 Kt -Q2 | 2 | 13.. | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ |  |  |
| 14 Kt -B3 | - 2 | 14 . | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |  |  |
| 15 Q-K2 | 3 | 15 | QR-B1 |  |  |
| 16 B -Q4 | 3 | 16. | Q-B2 |  |  |
| 17 Kt -K5 | 3 | 17. | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |  |
| 18 QR-K1 | 3 | 18. | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |  |
| $19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | 2 | 19. | Q-B3 |  |  |
| $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | 3 | $20 .$. | B-Q2 |  |  |
| 21 Q-B2 | 5 | 21. | R-KB2 |  |  |
| 22 R-K3 | 4 | 22. | P -QKt3 |  |  |
| 23 R-Kt3 | 3 | 23. | K-R1 |  |  |
| $24 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{RP}$ | 9 | 24. | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |  |  |
| 25 B-Kt6 | - 4 | 25. | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |  |
| $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | - 4 | 26 . | Q-Q3 |  |  |
| $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | 2 | 27. | P-Q5 |  |  |
| $28 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ | 3 | 28. | $R \times P$ |  |  |
| $29 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | 1 | 29. | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ |  |  |
| $30 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | 1 | 30. | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ |  |  |
| 31 P-QR3 | - 3 | 31. | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B1}$ |  |  |
| $32 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | - 4 | 32. | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |  |
| $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B5}$ | 3 | 33. | Q-Q5 |  |  |
| $34 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | - 2 | 34 . . | $P \times Q$ |  |  |
| $35 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | 2 | 35. | $K \times R$ |  |  |
| $36 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | 3 | 36 . . | K-Q3 |  |  |
| 37 Bx Kt | -3 | 37. | $P \times B$ |  |  |
| $38 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | 2 | 38. | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |  |
| $39 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ | 3 |  | Resigns |  |  |
| Total Score --------100 |  | Your Percentage |  |  |  |

## PROBLEM SECTION

P. L. Rothenberg<br>Problem Editor

All correspondence pertaining to this department should be addressed to P. L. Rothenberg, Chess Review, 250 West 57th Street, New York, N. Y. For personal replies, please enclose self-addressed, stamped envelope.

It is unfortunate that none of the material relating to this department has been received from Mr. Eaton. The solvers' ratings have not appeared since Jan., 1941, when the standings through the Nov., 1940 issue of CHESS REVifW were published. With no submitted solutions on hand, it is impossible for me to compute up-to-date cumulative ladder scores.

CHESS REVIEW, however, will issue prizes to the eight persons who, in addition to the winner then announced, were leading the ladder in Jan., 1941. This is being done on the equitable assumption that each would have progressed to first place during the subsequent eight months; i. e., through the Aug.-Sept. issue, wherein the last problem section under the editorship of Mr. Eaton appeared. All other scores are cancelled, but "just" claims will be given proper attention. Please do not hesitate to write.
'I'he "official" solutions of problems which appeared in the May. June-July and Aug.-Sept. issues have not been received from Mr . Eaton. However, we have worked out the solutions and they will be published next month.

A Solvers' Contest begins anew with the problems in the Feb.. 19.12 issue. I urge each of the previous participants to submit solutions and comments. The deadline for the solutions to the Feb, problems is being extended to April 5th, but not for corrections of solutions already submitted.

I should also like to suggest that composers re-submit problems which have not been published in CHESS REVIEW. The same applies particularly to those who sent contributions for the Sam Loyd (1911) Tourney. I share the composers' understandable anxiety in wanting to bring the tournament to completion as quickly as possible. At present, however, I have not a single entry on hand.

## MONTH'S HARVEST

I have an extremely small number of original problems. Composers, please get to work. Please! It will be most regrettable if it is found necessary to use an unduly large number of quoted problems in the Solvers' Contest.

Acknowledging with thanks receipt of problems from Sven Almgren; F. J. C. DeBlasio;

WALTER JACOBS First Prize, 1941 Tourney American Chess Bulletin, Jan. 1942


1. White mates in 2

# FEATURE PROBLEMS OF THE MONTH 

You are invited to solve these problems. Solutions
on next page. Note that No. 4 requires two solutions

- (a) when Black moves DOWN the board and (b)
when Black moves UP the board.

OTTO WURZBURG
Emery Memorial, 1937

2. White mates in 3

NICHOLAS GABOR Chess Correspondent, March, 1942

3. Black plays first and HELPS White mate in 3

Source?

4. White mates in 3 See Instructions Above

John Elsman; F. Gamage; Prof. G. W. Hargreaves, E. E. Stears. If budding composers will enclose self-addressed, stamped envelopes with their first efforts, it will be helpful. Early compositions usually call for some comments which, time permitting, I shall be glad to make.

Welcome to the family of solvers: F. J. C. DeBlasio; W. G. Jurgensen; W. O. Peters; Aaron Rokoff; Herbert Seideman (won't a topnotch chess player try his hand at composition?); W. F. Viveiros (your point is well taken, but most solvers seem to favor a brief preview; others postpone reading the text until they have solved the probiems. By the way, how about some problems?

Nos. 1939 and 1942 are rather in the modern style; the other direct twoers are of the less complex "threat" or "wait" type. The offering of Mr , Almgren, a well known composer of endgames, is indeed welcome.

Filteen points in the Solvers' Contest will be awarded for a complete solution of No. 1944. The stipulation is as follows: A White piece has been physically removed (NOT captured by a Black piece) from the board. Place the White piece on the correct square, enabling White to deliver mate on the move, Give reasons fully. Please bear in mind that this-as all other problems, in the absence of a specific indication to the contrary-is presumed to be a legal position; i. e., one which could be arrived at from the starting point in a regular game of chess. (By the way, if you are inclined to regard this problem as difficult, I shall sadistically refer you to some of the truly startling settings in RETROGRADE ANALYSIS, 1915 issue of the A. C. White Christmas Series, and you will agree that the possibilities for complex problem composition are unlimited.)

This month's treat is the following special article by ACW on Nos, 1945 through 1948, the theme of which bears the name of a brilliant contemporary.

# Mott-Smith Theme; Unpins by Black King 

By Alain White
It is an interesting contention of Geoffrey Mott-Smith, the genial problem editor of the Chess Correspondext, that almost any theme which can be illustrated in the direct mate two-mover can be shown with novel results in the self-mate two-er. Recently I happened to mention to him a problem by the late Alexander Kish, in which the principle Black defense, unpinning both a White and Black piece, defeats an initial threat but allows a new mate.

Mott-Smith promptly presented a batch of self-mates which he had composed. In these, somewhat the same mechanism was involved, the White threat, the pinned White and Black men, the simultaneous unpin of both by Black defense, the ensuing White check, and (the new element!) the mate of White by a move of the Black unpinned piece. I like to call this combination the Mott-Smith theme, for he has not only originated it but has explored it extensively. The results are characteristic of his brilliance.

Some of the positions have already been published, but there is a little quartette which is new and which I believe the readers of CHESS REVIEW will enjoy. Here the main-
plays are entrusted to the Black King.
No. 1945 shows the theme delightfully. The White Queen grants a flight square of which the Black King takes advantage at once. This resuits in liberating the White Rook and Black Bishop. The former checks and the latter mates, It all fits in like clock-work. The flightgiving key is a thematic embellishment which Mott-Smith has worked into all his renderings. The defeat of the threat by the Black umpin is also a thematic touch which is not realizable in every one of the other renderings. In most of the positions, owing to the complex set-up inherent in the theme, there is little beyond the threat and mainplay, but the ingenious strategy offers more than ample compensation.

Having started this little series, I asked if the theme could be shown with the Black King unp:nning each of the other pieces. "Not the White Queen," laughed Molt Smith and added, "for it cannot be unpintred by the Black King else it would be easy to show two fight-unpin variations. So lar that has me stumped, unless we do not insist that the White unpinned piece be the agent to force the Black unpinned piece to mate,"

So we turned to a White Bishop unpin instead, in No. 1946, a difficult task accomplished with clarity in every detail. The key, incidentally, here and in No. 1947, serves to pin the Black piece which is later unpinned. Since, however, a flight is given by the key in all the series, the method employed-a Black pin or the withdrawal of a piece from too strong a positionis quite excusable.

In several of these versions the mainplay unpins two Black pieces. In No. 1947, which embodies the White Pawn unpin, both Black unpins are essential. The unpinned Rook at Kt2 defeats the threat, while the unpinned Knight at B3 is required for the mate. This lends considerable flexibility to the Mott-Smith theme, though it does not come precisely within the original definition.

Another slight deviation from the intended pattern occurs in No. 1948. When the White Knight is unpinned, the simultaneous unpin of the Black Knight is not used to offset the threat. This position, however, is distinguished by a secondary unpin of the White Knight, after 1 . . . B-Kt4, which seems to me to make it one of the most interesting of all.

Whether Mott-Smith's suggested task of two flight-unpin variations is possible of achievement is, of course, one of those things only the future can decide. It offers a challenge to the composer, which I hope some of the CHESS REVIEW fans will at least attempt to meet.

## SOLUTIONS TO FEATURE PROBLEMS

No. 11 R-B7! Fine line openings and closings, No. $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 8$ !! QxR ; $2 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4$; BxQMate 1..., P-R5; 2 R-R2!!! Q-any ; 3 B-B7Mate 2 . . . P-R6; 3 Kt-B3 Mate No. $31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 8(\mathrm{R}), \mathrm{PxP} ; 2 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \operatorname{PxR}(\mathrm{Q}) ; 3 \mathrm{P}$ Kt8(Kt)!! Q-Kt6Mate
No. 4 (a) 1 B-B6, PxB; $2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 8, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 3 \mathrm{Kt}$; 7 Mate
(b) 1 K-B3, P-Kt8(Kt)ch; $2 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{ch}$ and 3 BxKtMate
1 ..., P-K18(Q); $2 \mathrm{Kt-B2ch}$ and 3 KxQMate
1..., P-Kt8(B or R); mate follows at once.

## SOLVERS' CONTEST PROBLEMS

Solutions to these problems are due April 20th. Key moves only are required for direct-mate two-movers and three-movers; key moves AND variations for all others. Point credits generally correspond to number of moves of problem. Full credits are given for correct claims of "no solution" and lor "cooks," i, e., solutions other than the composer's intention. Deductions from the solver's score are made for wrong solutions. $A$ monthly prize, consisting of a half-year subscription to CHESS REVIEW, or a $\$ 1.50$ book, or an equivalent credit. toward the purchase of a costlier book, will be given to the person or persons at the top of the solvers' list. Similar prizes will be given every three months for the best original direct-mate two-move and longer-range problems published during the preceding period. Kindly submit your votes for the best original problems in each issue.

SIMON COSTIKYAN
New York, N. Y.
(Original)


HARRY CONOVER
Camp Croft, S, C.
(Original)


1940 Mate in 2

Prof. G.W. HARGREAVES
Auburn, Alabama
(Original)


1941 Mate in 2
C. W. SHEPPARD

Norristown, Pa.
(Original)


1942
Mate in 2

GEOFFREY MOTT-SMITH New York, N. Y.
(Original)


1945 Self-mate in 2


1946 Self-mate in 2

GEOFFREY MOTT-SMITH New York, N. Y. (Original)


1947 Self-mate in 2

GEOFFREY MOTT-SMITH New York, N. Y.
(Original)


1948 Sell-mate in 2

## WITH OUR CORRESPONDENCE PLAYERS

Over 170 of our readers are now competing in OHESS REVIEW'S Correspondence Tournaments. Twelve sections, with seven players in each, have been started in the 1942 event. Four of these are Class A, seven Class B and one Class C., Another Class B section will be started by the time this issue goes to press.

We welcome entries from players who have not yet competed in our tournaments. The conditions and rules are quite simple. If you have had no experience with correspondence chess (or if we cannot estimate your playing strength), you are given an initial rating of 1000 points and are entered in a Class B section. If your playing strength is known to us, you are rated and classified accordingly. When the section in which you are entered starts play, you are sent explicit instructions on how to proceed.

As soon as you send in your entry, your name is listed on our Rating Table and will appear each month thereafter, as long as you are an active player. Your rating will go up or down, depending on the results of your games.

## TEN GRADUATE TO CLASS A

As a result of the games reported this month, ten players graduated to Class A. Some of these made some spectacular gains. For instance, A. W. Parker, Jr., of Colorado, reported six wins and jumped his rating from 1046 to 1236 ; W. M. P. Mitchell leaped from Class C to Class $\Lambda$ by belatedly reporting three wins, one from a Class A player; Capt. R. P. Kemble wrote us "Just to show you that I should be in Class $A$, I report three wins in Section $18^{\prime \prime}$ and increased his rating from 1030 to 1172.

Carl Roberts of Detroit, who graduated to Class A this month, writes: "Am having a lot of fun in your correspondence tourney and have made some swell chess pals. It's a little tough sometimes to keep up on the games working seven days a week, on top of that just being made a proud father! Oh boy! She weighed 8 lbs. and her name is Marjorie." Congratulations.

Please note the date which appears above the Rating Table and Game Reports. These are made up well in advance of publication date so don't expect to see reports of games sent in after the date specified. They will appear next month.

PORTRAITS OF PRIZE WINNERS
We are obtaining portraits of our PrizeWinners and they will be published from time to time, together with some biographical notes. Next month we will feature our Lady Champion Mrs. Dorothy S. Muir, of Schenectady, who wants to know why more women don't enter our Correspondence Tournaments. Well, why don't you?

We also acknowledge with thanks portraits received from Dr. B. Paul and Mr. J. Holiff.

## Prize-Winners This Month

PAUL H. LITTLE won first prize in Section 27 with $61 / 2-11 / 2$. He lost one game to Campbell, drew one with Powell, won all the others.
C. E. STETLER won second prize in Section 10 with a score of $6-2$. He won six games and forfeited 2 to Holiff.

Game Reports
1941 Tourney
Section Results to March 12th

1. Mitchell defeated Emmerman.
2. Shephard defeated Linder and Meeker.
3. Dudley $11 / 2$, Kirkegaard $1 / 2$; Kirkegaard 2, Lippes 0.
4. Rockel and Hamburger drew (1-1).
5. Work defeated Beringer (2-0).
6. Parker defeated Marcelli and drew with Hoit.
7. Stetler defeated Hardwick (2-0). This was prematurely reported before.
8. W. M. P. Mitchell won two from Heisey and second game with Glynn (1-1).
9. Correction. Mladinich's score against Treend is $1-0$, not $2-0$.
10. Butler 1, Briggs $0(2-0)$.
11. Eddy 1, Palmer 0 (2-0).
12. Kemble defeated L. K. Smith (1-1) and won two games with Ted Rozsa,
13. Parker won two games each from Kresse and Stetler. Parker also defeated Reichenbach. Stetler 1, Reichenbach 0.
14. Fenley defeated Chism.
15. Hicks 1, Anderson 0; Dayton 1, Hicks 0 (2-0); Anderson 1 Davis $0(2-0)$.
16. Pratt defeated Russ.
17. Palmer won 2 from Hamilton and one from Greenfield (2-0).
18. Roberts defeated Kelsey.
19. Hays won two from Hamilton. Spielberger defeated Rivise and Faucher.
20. Campbell and Tishko drew (1-1). Little defeated Frutkin.
21. Van Patten defeated Sechler.
22. Borker defeated Kaufman.
23. Koken and Fielding awarded forfeits of their games with Gilutin.
24. Little defeated Treiber.

## CORRESPONDENCE AIDS

Record the position of each of your correspondence games with this unique device. Size $81 / 4 \times 11$. Can be inserted in ordinary 3-ring Binder. Men can't fall out. Simplifies correspondence play. Keep all your games together.


Position-recorders, with men_-_10 for $\$ 2$
Move-recording postals 100 for 75 c

CHESS EOUIPMENT CO.
250 West 57 th St. : New York, N. Y.

## CHESS REVIEW RATINGS

These Ratings of our Correspondence Players are from Game Reports received up to MAR. 12.
Class A
Anderson, E. N. ..... 1062
Borker, L. ..... 1166
Chatuvenet, L. R. ..... 1090
Dayton, E. ..... 1194
Dudley, R ..... 1056
Fielding, L. W. ..... 1072
Gennert, O. E. ..... 1070
Glymn, J ..... 1080
Hicks, Col. G. R. ..... 1072
Hoit, H. ..... 1084
Holiff, J ..... 1154
Jacobs, M ..... 1150
Kemble, Capt. R. P. ..... 1172
Koch, J. A. ..... 1110
Koken, J. C. ..... 1090
Lesh, J. ..... 1052
Linder, A ..... 1114
Little, P. ..... 1170
Mayers, 1) ..... 1074
Mitchell, N. W. ..... 1082
Mitchell, W, M. P. ..... 1062
Muir, Mrs, D, S ..... 1214
Nicholson, W. ..... 1174
Palange, J, E. ..... 1230
Parker, A. W. ..... 1236
Paul, Dr, B ..... 1108
Persinger, L ..... 1054
Powers, A. ..... 1090
Quillen, J. P. ..... 1080
Roberts, C. ..... 1056
Rozsa, Bela ..... 1166
Rozsa, Ted ..... 1050
Shephard, Dr. H. C. ..... 1060
Smith, W. H ..... 1130
Spielberger, C ..... 1164
Stetler, C. E ..... 1052
Van Patten, H. T. ..... 1052
Vichules, L. P. ..... 1282
Work, 'T. A., Jr. ..... 1056
Class B
Aibrecht, J, J ..... 1000
Alexewicz, Dr, W ..... 1000
Allured, K. B. ..... 1012
Aronsen, Maud ..... 992
Austin, N. T. ..... 994
Bauder, E. L. ..... 1000
Bennett, F. T. ..... 1000
Bennett, R. W ..... 1000
Beringer, T. H ..... 980
Bowman, 1. H ..... 1000
Boyd, R. M. ..... 1000
Brandler, A. M. ..... 100 )
Brandstrom, B. ..... 1000
Brown, R. L. ..... 1.020
Burkart, B ..... 1009
Buschke, Dr. A. ..... 1000
Callis, ..... 1099
Campbell, C. W. ..... 1006
Ceruzzi, A. ..... 1000
Cook, Alton ..... 1000
Cook, W. N. ..... 960
Culbertson, W. ..... 1000
Dean, P. L. ..... 1000
Eddy, Dr. S. S., Jr. ..... 976
Enochson, H. ..... 1000
Fallenbeck, E. ..... 1026
Faucher, J. A. ..... 980
Fell, Chester ..... 1000
Rockel, R. S. ..... 1036
Rubin, M ..... 968
Ruckert, H. G ..... 1000
Sechler, C. ..... 950
Smith, G. R. ..... 1000
Sprintzen, I. ..... 1000
Stauffer, D. ..... 1036
'Talmadge, 'T. ..... 1000
Ter Veen, R. ..... 1009
Tishko, W. E. ..... 990
Treiber, W. J, ..... 958
Wallace, Donald ..... 1000
Wallace, W. J. L ..... 973
Weiss, A. ..... 1000
Wilcox, H, L. ..... 1000
Williams, T. H ..... 1000
Zoudlik, R. J. ..... 954
Class C
Axinn, S. ..... 910
Benardete, J. ..... 882
Briggs, A. ..... 836
Butler, H. C. ..... 902
Casey, J. J., Jx. ..... 900
Chism, S. M. ..... 864
Davis, D. L ..... 823
Dishaw, O. W. ..... 764
Emmermann, H ..... 904
Gay, Mrs. H. B., Jr. ..... 912
Gilutin, E. ..... 838
Greenfield, H . ..... 832
Hamilton, L. B. ..... 548
Hatch, D. B. ..... 986
Hays, R. W. ..... 832
Heisey, H, C., ..... 792
Hodgson, A. G. ..... 910
James, W. J. ..... 838
Kibbey, (G. S ..... 900
Koslow, B . ..... 850
Kresse, A. O ..... 802
Lippes, A. ..... 744
Meeker, J. M. ..... 796
Meiden, W. ..... 932
Noland, H. ..... 932
Peters, W. O. ..... 900
Powell, J. M. ..... 762
Russ. Nick ..... 946
Smith. L. K ..... 898
Stubblefield. A. H ..... 906
Treend, E. I. ..... 872
Umberger, $\mathrm{E} . \mathrm{H}$. ..... 928
Van Esen, S. T, ..... 900
Yaffe, L. L. ..... 936

## PLAY CHESS BY MAIL

Join our 1942 Correspondence Tournament and get a CHESS REVIEW rating. Awards valued at $\$ 4.00$, $\$ 2.00$ and $\$ 1.00$ go to the first, second and third place winners in each seven-man section. Conditions and rules on request. Entry fee is $\$ 1$ per section. Mail your entry now.

CHESS REVIEW (Prize Correspondence Tourney) 250 West 57th Street,

New York, N. Y.

# CONVINCING THE KIBITZERS 

By IRVING CHERNEV
It is rare that the "kibitzer" can correct the master. The obvious move is often a trap leading to loss of a pawn, a piece, or even to mate. The traps set by one player and avoided by the other are often more beautiful than anything that occurs in the game itself. Some illustrations are given below from actual games. If you had been a kibitzer at these contests, would you have seen winy the apparently strong move could not be played?


Why did Black not play . . . KtxP?
In this simple-looking situation, which oecurred between Reti and Tartakower in New York, 1924, it looks as if Black could safely capture the important Knight. Pawn as should White de-capture KtxKt., Black can play QKt3ch, lollowed by QxR. Should White recapture QxKt, K-R2 pins the Queen.

Actually, Black would lose if he captured the pawn by the following pretty combination:




White threatens mate. Why not . . . Kt-KB3?
Spielman, as White, threatens to mate Honlinger by Kt-R6 and the "natural" defense would seem to be . . Kt-KB3, attacking the Queen and perhaps getting rid of one of the White Knights. Had Black tried this, however, he would have fallen into the following beautiful loss:





1 P.K5 is a "natural"-but it loses!
It takes will-power to resist P-K5 as the following seems good: 1 P-K5, Kt-Kt5; 2 Kt. KKt5, P-Kt3; 3 KtxKP, PxKt; 4 BxPch, K-R1; 5 BxKKt.

Restraint, however, is the mark of the master. Alekhine points out what would have happened to him if he had tried the above:-
-974-O + of paquinaəns OAEY pinom pue eyryd $t$ kead of pasuot aq pinom




What happens if Black plays . . . KtPXP?
At this point, Eliskases, playing Black against. Spielman, captured the White BP by KPxP, White countered with P-K6 and wild complications ensued. Our trusty kibitzer would, of course, have said, "Why not have played KtPxP? How could white have broken through?"

The answer is given below:

- әдеш $\angle 8 \cdot 89$
 -g $\varepsilon$ ! ( $\varepsilon x) \quad 7 x \times d$ ' $d x \times 7 y$ 乙 $\int d \times d 7 x$


## READERS' GAMES REVIEWED

In this department we publish games by readers with annotations by noted commentator Fred Reinfeld. Any subscriber to Chess Review is welcome to use this free service. Submit your games to Readers' Games Department, Chess Review, 250 West $57 t h$ Street, New York.

Writes Reader Wills: "I am sending this informal game in the hope that it may prove, in some degree, instructive to your readers." Both the principle involved and the opening variation from which it arises, are of considerable importance for amateurs.

## PETROFF DEFENSE

| A. B. Wills | T. Sparks |
| :--- | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | P-K4 |
| $2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Kt-KB3 |

Instead of relying on defense (2 . . Kt-QB3), Black plays for counter-attack. Theorists have therefore always been keenly interested in trying to refute this defense, in order to demonstrate that there must be something wrong about Black's trying to seize the initiative at so early a stage.

Without wanting to give a definite opinion on this point, it can readly be affirmed that the attempted counterplay must be managed with great care, as Black can easily overextend himself.

## 3 KtxP

## KtxP?

A typical mistake which has been repeated for generations. Black fails to realize that the complete opening of the $K$ file may bring dangers with it (possibility of a pin on this file). The proper course is $3 \ldots$. P-Q3; 4 Kt -KB3, KtxP; and if 5 Q-K2, Q-K2 and Black, having eliminated the pin on the $K$ file, need not worry about the security of the advanced Knight,

But after the text, the Knight is exposed to a dangerous attack.

$$
4 \text { Q-K2 }
$$

What now? If the Knight retreats, then 5 Kt-B6ch wins the Queen.

Or if 4... P-Q4; 5 P-Q3 and the Knight is still unable to retreat. Thus Black is punished for not having given adequate consideration to the dangers of the pin on the K file.
4... Q-K2

Setting up a counter-pin in hopes of regaining whatever material is now lost.

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
5 & \text { QxKt } & \text { P-Q3 } \\
6 & \text { P-Q4 } & \text { P-KB3 } \\
7 & \text { P-KB4 } & \text { Kt-Q2 }
\end{array}
$$

If $7 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-B3; \& B-Kt5 wins (still another pin).

## 8 Kt-QB3!

White's better development and resulting initiative enable him to dispose of the pin advantagen:sly.

By FRED REINFELD

| 9 | QPXKt |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $90 \mathrm{Kt-Q5}$ | Q-Q3 |  |
| $10 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | PXP |  |
| 11 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Q-QB3 |

There is no good move. If $11 \ldots$ QxP; 12 KtxPch wins (another pin!) or if 11 . . . KtxP; 12 B-KB4 (still another pin!) wins for White.
$12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QKt} 5$
$13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$

Q-B4
The Queen is lost. A beautifully effective example of the dangers a player exposes himself to by running into a pin at a stage when he is behind in development.

The temptation is strong to follow the example of some chess writers and describe this game in terms of military combat. However, it will suffice to point out that this game, contested in one of our correspondence tourneys, was handled by White in excellent style throughout!

| QUEEN'S INDIAN DEFENSE |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pvt. J. Palmer | Dr. B. Paul |
| White | Black |
| 1 P.Q4 | Kt-KB3 |
| 2 P-QB4 | P-K3 |
| 3 Kt-KB3 | P-QKt3 |
| 4 | P-KKt3 |

Played on the familiar theory that the best way to counter-act fianchetto control of a diagonal is to meet it with another fianchetto!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \dot{\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2} \quad \text { B.Kt2 }
\end{aligned}
$$

An interesting problem which no one has solved conclusively to date: whose Bishop is stronger? The Black QB controls the vital center square White's K4 (or Black's K5) ; on the other hand, White's KB will be the more secure, for after castling it will be guarded by the White King. Black's QB, however, is not similarly protected, and hence Black will have to be on his guard against any surprise attacks on the diagonal.

| 5.0. | B-K2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 6 O-O | O-O |
| $7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\ldots$ |

White is now ready to play Q-B2 followed by P-K4, leaving him in full possession of the center and putting Black's QB out in the cold. How is Black to fight back and assure himself of an adequate command of the center?

| 7 •••• | Kt-K5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 8 Q-B2 | KtxKt |
| 9 PxKt | $\ldots .$. |

The customary method of recapture is 9 Qx Kt, avoiding any weakness in the Pawn position. However, White is willing to put up with the doubled Pawns in order to keep the Queen at $B 2$ and thus control the center.

$$
9 \text {. . . . }
$$

## Q-B1

Black decides that his QB needs protection. If he tries to restrain P-K4 with 9 ... P-KB4 there might follow 10 P-Q5: PxP; $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ regaining the Pawn with considerable positional advantage.

## 10 P-Q5!

Very good: this move is possible despite the fact that the $Q B$ is protected. Thus if $10 \ldots$ PxP; 11 PxP. BxP; $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$, BxKt; 13 KBxB , P-B3; 14 BxB, PxB. Black is still a Pawn up, but after 15 KR -Q1 he must not only lose back the Pawn, but he will be left with a distinctly inferior game.

10 B.K.j5! $\quad$| 11 P-Q3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q-Q1 |

White's last move was an embarrassing one to answer. If $11 \ldots$ BxB; 12 KtxB , P-Kt3; 13 P-K4 with a splendid game for White. Or if 11
. P-KB3; 12 B-R3! and wins.

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
12 \mathrm{BXB} & \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} \\
13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB4} 4
\end{array}
$$

What's this?! The natural $13 \ldots$ PxP would be answered by $14 \mathrm{Kt-Kt5}$ : threatening mate and uncovering an attack on the Bishop, thus winning the exchange. Thus we see how White has utilized the somewhat insecure position of the hostile QB.

| 14 Kt-Q4 | BxB |
| :--- | ---: |
| 15 KxB | P-KKt3 |
| 16 QR-Q1! | P-B4 |

Hasty. He wants to chase away the Knight so that . . QxP will become possible, but it was important to take away QKt5 from White's Knight. However, White would continue to maintain his positional advantage, since Black would always have to resort to

P-B4 to win the KP.

```
17 Kt-Kt5
```

The backward QP must be lost.

| 17. R-Q3 | R-Q1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 18 P-QR3 |  |

Or $18 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-B3; 19 Q-Q5, Kt-R4; 20 KtxQP followed by P-K4 with an easy win.

19 Q-Q5! Resigns
White's smooth combination of tactical and strategical play deserves careful study. Note that Black never succeeded in developing QR or QKt.

Incidentally, Black's resignation is somewhat premature, 19 . . . Kt-B3 being possible.

From Reader Alvin Boggis: "I have been receiving your wonderful magazine and I certainly enjoy the various departments in it. In Concord ( $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$. ) there are a minimum of chess players, but a friend of mine and myself (we are 14 and 16 years of age respectively), play chess regularly. I am enclosing one of our games, which, if space will allow, I am hoping you will print in your Readers' Games RevIEwED department."

## VIENNA OPENING

| John Davic | Alvin Boggis |
| :---: | ---: |
| White | Black |
| 1 P-K4 | P-K4 |
| 2 Kt-QB3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 3 B-B4 | Kt-B3 |
| $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | P.Q3? |

Not the best. Amateurs often play the opening in a heedless manner. Here, for example, the KB could be played satisfactorily to QKt5 or QB4, at the same time making castling possible.

The text has two corresponding drawbacks: it condemns the $K B$ to comparative inactivity, and at the same time postpones castling.

## 5 P-KR3?

White neglects to take advantage of his opponent's mistake. The right way was 5 Kt KKt5, concentrating on Black's weak point KB2. (Had Black developed his KB on the previous move, as he should have done, he would have been in a position to answer 5 Kt -KKt5 in a simple and convincing manner with 5 ... O.O). After 5 Kt -KKt 5 Black would be in considerable difficulties, having nothing better than $5 .$. P-Q4. Then there would follow 6 PxP, Kt-QR4 (or 6 ... KtxP: 7 KtxBP!) ; 7 P-Q3 and White remains a Pawn ahead without any compensation for Black.
5....
B-K3
6 B-Kt5
P-Q4?

Losing a Pawn. Black forgets that his KP is inadequately protected-again an example of hastiness which the amateur must strive to remedy. Why impose on oneself the burden of having to contend with the difficulties that result from a single ill considered move?

| 7 | BxKtch | PxB |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 8 | KtxiKP | KtxP |
| 9 | KtxQBP | Q-Q3 |
| 10 | Kt-Q4 | Q-B4 |

White appears to be in a bit of trouble: the attacked Knight cannot move because of the resulting mate. The simple way of resolving the difficulty, however, would be 11 KtxKt and White remains a Pawn up with an excellent game.

## $11 \mathrm{Kt}(3)-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ ?

If White preferred a defensive move to the simple 11 KtxKt , then $11 \mathrm{Kt}(3)-\mathrm{K} 2$ was in order. When you resort to protective moves such as the text, you ought to make certain that the protecting force is safe from attack.

$$
11 \text {. . . . }
$$

P-QB3?
Wrong. Simply 11 ., . P-QR3 wins a piece, as both Knights "hang."

```
\(12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}\)
K-Q2
\(13 \mathrm{Kt}(4) \times B\) ???
. . .
```

If 13 KtxR , QxKt followed by . . . B-QB4 obtaining two pieces for a Rook. But the text is a latal blunder; the right way was $13 \mathrm{Kt}(7) \mathrm{xB}$, PxKt; 14 P-QB3 and White gains time for castling into safety, as 14 . . . P-K4? is refuted by 15 Q-Kttch! while $14 \ldots$. . KtxKBP; 15 KxKt , P-K4; 16 P-QKt4! Q-Kt3; 17. Q-Kt4ch, K-B2; 18 Q-Kt3! salvages the piece!

## "THE

## A New and Better Pocket Chess Set



At last we are able to offer you a pocket chess set which meets all the requirements of the practical chess player. A handsome, distinguished product, this new miniature set is enclosed in a metal jewel case, covered with simulated leather and lined with satiny fabrikoid. The padded cover, with snap fastener, can be closed without disturbing the plastic pieces which plug into holes in the board. The spaces at each end are for captured men. By all means the finest pocket
set ever produced.

> Mail Your Order to CHESS EQUIPMENT CO., 250 West 57th St., New York, N. Y.

Cat. No. 195


## BOUND VOLUMES

## OF THE <br> CHESS REVIEW

Each volume contains the year's big happenings in chess, all the important matches and tournaments, the best games annotated and explained by leading Masters, $\alpha$ book-full of chess problems and end-game studies, chess instruction for all grades of players, articles on theory and practical play, analysis of openings, brilliancies, personality sketches of the Masters, diagrams and pictures galore.

Any one of these features alone would be worth the price. Put together in handsome cloth-bound volumes, they represent value you cannot duplicate, an opportunity you can't afford to miss. As the years go by they will become more and more valuable.

Just Outl - 1941 Volume -
$\$ 3.00$

## SPECIAL MONEY-SAVING

 COMBINATION OFFERS
## Bound Volumes

 for 1935, 1936 and 1937.
## Bound Volumes

 for 1938, 1939 and 1940. .
## SOLD SEPARATELY TOO

1933
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940 " (siock low) ....... 2.50
1941 ॥ $1 . \cdots, \ldots, \ldots, \ldots$. 3.00
(just out) ............ 3.00
. .... 3.00
(1934 Volume Out of Print)
MAIL YOUR ORDER TO Chess Review
250 WEST 57th St
$\$ 3.00$
2.50
2.50
2.50

$$
.00
$$

$$
.00
$$

3.00


[^0]:    FRONT COVER portrait is by CHESS REVIEW photographer NED GOLDSCHMIDT, 52 West 58th Street, New York, N. Y.

